# LIST OF PUBLIC OBJECTIONS
## TO THE PROPOSED STATE ELECTORAL DISTRICTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Objection</th>
<th>Name / Organisation</th>
<th>Address</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O/641</td>
<td>Rae Willis</td>
<td>10 Maui Court</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PARREARRA QLD 4575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/642</td>
<td>Margaret Ballantine</td>
<td>35 Satinwood Road</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>RAINBOW BEACH QLD 4581</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/643</td>
<td>Ian Mellon</td>
<td>57 Mooloolah Drive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MINYAMA QLD 4575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/644</td>
<td>Tony and Susan Nahuys</td>
<td>2 Abaco Street</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>KAWANA ISLAND QLD 4575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/645</td>
<td>Neil and Jean Leonard</td>
<td>No Address Provided</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/646</td>
<td>Michael Randall</td>
<td>87/2 Grande Parade</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PARREARRA QLD 4575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/647</td>
<td>Cynthia Randall</td>
<td>87/2 Grande Parade</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PARREARRA QLD 4575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/648</td>
<td>Keiyh Radford</td>
<td>27 Bahamas Court</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PARREARRA QLD 4575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/649</td>
<td>Noel and Patricia Williams</td>
<td>128 Sunbird Chase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PARREARRA QLD 4575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/650</td>
<td>Carolyn Karklins</td>
<td>6 Canary Court</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PARREARRA QLD 4575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/651</td>
<td>Lynne Cadell</td>
<td>23 Dominica Place</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PARREARRA QLD 4575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/652</td>
<td>William Markwell</td>
<td>115/10 Marco Way</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PARREARRA QLD 4575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/653</td>
<td>Dennis Archer</td>
<td>140 Chelsea Crescent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MINYAMA QLD 4575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/654</td>
<td>Richard Dohnt</td>
<td>16 Wandoo Street</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MINYAMA QLD 4575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/655</td>
<td>Lucy Hazzard</td>
<td>3 Antilles Street</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PARREARRA QLD 4575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/656</td>
<td>Graham Roberts</td>
<td>16/7 Grand Parade</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PARREARRA QLD 4575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/657</td>
<td>Peter and Anne Lengenberg</td>
<td>No Address Provided</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/658</td>
<td>Graham and Coral Toovey</td>
<td>No Address Provided</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/659</td>
<td>Ian and Wendy McDougall</td>
<td>Villa 47/239 Kawana Way</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PARREARRA QLD 4575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/660</td>
<td>Don Lindsay</td>
<td>122/10 Marco Way</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PARREARRA QLD 4575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/661</td>
<td>Nigel Johnson</td>
<td>18/2 Grand Parade</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/662</td>
<td>Brian and Beverley Spencer</td>
<td>Living Choice, 127/10 Marco Way</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/663</td>
<td>Lesley Dimmock</td>
<td>21 Pacific Boulevard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/664</td>
<td>Garry McGann</td>
<td>80 Chelsea Crescent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/665</td>
<td>Terisita Geissmann</td>
<td>58 Bombala Crescent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/666</td>
<td>Bruce Geissmann</td>
<td>58 Bombala Crescent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/667</td>
<td>Peter and Cheryl Harris</td>
<td>23 Vauxhall Street</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/668</td>
<td>Michael Molloy</td>
<td>21 Pacific Boulevard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/669</td>
<td>David Dallaston</td>
<td>U1/133 Albany Creek Road</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/670</td>
<td>Gerardus and Hendrika Luermans</td>
<td>109 Grand Parade</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/671</td>
<td>Geoff Goble</td>
<td>18 Pacific Boulevard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/672</td>
<td>Steven Warne</td>
<td>7 Malta Place</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/673</td>
<td>Enzio Allemano</td>
<td>140/10 Marco Way</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/674</td>
<td>Clare Stranger</td>
<td>U88/10 Marco Way</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/675</td>
<td>Kerri Sugars</td>
<td>74 Undara Avenue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/676</td>
<td>Ross Christie</td>
<td>13 Mooloolah Drive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/677</td>
<td>John Ingram</td>
<td>10 Bimini Street</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/678</td>
<td>Kalon Wall</td>
<td>23 Kooringal Crescent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/679</td>
<td>Vicky Berneville-Claye</td>
<td>104 Sunbird Chase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/680</td>
<td>Brian Croke</td>
<td>10 Koolena Street</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/681</td>
<td>Tamara Warren</td>
<td>29 Harbour Parade</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/682</td>
<td>Jane Siddall</td>
<td>Villa 134/2 Grand Parade</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/683</td>
<td>Dianne Smith</td>
<td>1/19 Barellan Avenue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/684</td>
<td>Horst Ippendorf</td>
<td>147/10 Marco Way</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/685</td>
<td>Monika Ippendorf</td>
<td>147/10 Marco Way</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/686</td>
<td>Penelope Cameron</td>
<td>21 Cayman Place</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/687</td>
<td>Barbara Lamb</td>
<td>11 Vores Road</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/688</td>
<td>Judith Goode</td>
<td>No Address Provided</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/689</td>
<td>B. Wood</td>
<td>137/10 Marco Way PARREARRA QLD 4575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/690</td>
<td>Margaret Hinchliffe</td>
<td>4421/40 Hollins Crescent NEW FARM QLD 4005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/691</td>
<td>Noel Rock</td>
<td>122 Sunbird Chase PARREARRA QLD 4575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/692</td>
<td>Robert Hugall</td>
<td>4421/40 Hollins Crescent NEW FARM QLD 4005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/693</td>
<td>Margaret Schulz</td>
<td>5 Antilles Street PARREARRA QLD 4575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/694</td>
<td>Cecily Brennan</td>
<td>8 Kunari Street BUDDINA QLD 4075</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/695</td>
<td>Gregory Beale</td>
<td>35 Jessica Boulevard MINYAMA QLD 4575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/696</td>
<td>Genelle Gold</td>
<td>12 Dominica Place PARREARRA QLD 4575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/697</td>
<td>Susanna Cramb</td>
<td>No Address Provided</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/698</td>
<td>Edward Ryan and Bev Ford</td>
<td>No Address Provided</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/699</td>
<td>Penelope Brockett</td>
<td>605/45C Newstead Terrace NEWSTEAD QLD 4006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/700</td>
<td>June Rock</td>
<td>122 Sunbird Chase PARREARRA QLD 4575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/701</td>
<td>Robert and Angela Turnbull</td>
<td>10 Curberra Street BUDDINA QLD 4575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/702</td>
<td>James Brennan</td>
<td>8 Kunari Street BUDDINA QLD 4075</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/703</td>
<td>Patricia Marsden</td>
<td>54 Oomoo Street BUDDINA QLD 4575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/704</td>
<td>Angela Johnson</td>
<td>2/21 Iluka Avenue BUDDINA QLD 4575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/705</td>
<td>Pauline Tetther</td>
<td>13/2 Grand Parade PARREARRA QLD 4575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/706</td>
<td>Kevin Stevenson and Marguerite Riley</td>
<td>5 Lawinya Street BUDDINA QLD 4575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/707</td>
<td>Joshua Christie</td>
<td>31 Iluka Avenue BUDDINA QLD 4575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/708</td>
<td>Margaret Johnston</td>
<td>16 Manooka Drive RAINBOW BEACH QLD 4581</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/709</td>
<td>June and Tim Dean</td>
<td>104 Pacific Boulevard BUDDINA QLD 4575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/710</td>
<td>Graeme Preston</td>
<td>156/10 Marco Way PARREARRA QLD 4575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/711</td>
<td>Kate Campbell</td>
<td>6 Vores Road WHITESIDE QLD 4503</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/712</td>
<td>Michael Haseler</td>
<td>Apt 160/10 Marco Way PARREARRA QLD 4575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/713</td>
<td>Mathias Schlotterbach</td>
<td>114 Chelsea Crescent MINYAMA QLD 4575</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| O/714 | Leigh and Diane Petterson | 7 Lambert Court  
EATONS HILL  QLD  4037 |
| O/715 | Janette Robbins | Oomoo Street  
BUDDINA BEACH  QLD  4575 |
| O/716 | Ron King | No Address Provided |
| O/717 | Glenda Russell | 20/2 Grand Parade  
PARREARRA QLD  4575 |
| O/718 | Denise Dalton | 100/10 Marco Way  
PARREARRA QLD  4575 |
| O/719 | Julia Horn | Supplejack Court  
LAKE MACDONALD QLD  4563 |
| O/720 | Tony Mack | 23 Yaringa Avenue  
BUDDINA QLD  4575 |
| O/721 | Douglas Hoggan | 132/10 Marco Way  
PARREARRA QLD  4575 |
| O/722 | Phil, Melissa and David Stevens | 66 Point Cartwright Drive  
BUDDINA QLD  4575 |
| O/723 | Clifford Robbins | 60 Oomoo Street  
BUDDINA QLD  4575 |
| O/724 | Donald McCulloch | 14 Coomaroo Crescent  
MINYAMA QLD  4575 |
| O/725 | Jill Holt McCulloch | 14 Coomaroo Crescent  
MINYAMA QLD  4575 |
| O/726 | Garry and Julie Hewitt | 28 Manooka Drive  
RAINBOW BEACH QLD  4581 |
| O/727 | Beryl Dodge | Grand Parade  
PARREARRA QLD  4575 |
| O/728 | Glenn Trickett | 58 Jessica Boulevard  
MINYAMA QLD  4575 |
| O/729 | Christine Trickett | 58 Jessica Boulevard  
MINYAMA QLD  4575 |
| O/730 | James Newbury | PARREARA QLD  4575 |
| O/731 | Johann De Joodt | 12/39 Grantson Street  
WINDSOR QLD  4030 |
| O/732 | Anonymous | No Address Provided |
| O/733 | Christine Messenger | 8 Koolena Street  
BUDDINA QLD  4575 |
| O/734 | Heather Stevenson | 4 Bombarra Court  
RAINBOW BEACH QLD  4581 |
| O/735 | Anthony Ayres | 27 Beltana Crescent  
BUDDINA QLD  4575 |
| O/736 | B.J. Lanham | No Address Provided |
| O/737 | Lindsay Francis | No Address Provided |
| O/738 | Peter Miles | 7 Capri Court  
PARREARRA QLD  4575 |
<p>| O/739 | Alan Carey | No Address Provided |
| O/740 | Guy Montgomery | 10 Mooloolah Island MINYAMA QLD |
| O/741 | Susan Radford | 27 Bahamas Court PARREARRA QLD 4575 |
| O/742 | Violet Armstrong | 54/10 Marco Way PARREARRA QLD 4575 |
| O/743 | Wendy Goldshaft | PARREARRA QLD 4575 |
| O/744 | Leanne Downs | 1/124 Pacific Boulevard BUDDINA QLD 4575 |
| O/745 | Suzanne Lawson | 6 Baleara Street BUDDINA QLD 4575 |
| O/746 | Leanne Donnelly | No Address Provided |
| O/747 | Colleen Russ | 18 Majorca Place PARREARRA QLD 4575 |
| O/748 | Anne Ingram | 10 Bimini Street PARREARRA QLD 4575 |
| O/749 | George Smith | PO BOX 327 HERBERTON QLD 4887 |
| O/750 | David Reid | 138/10 Marco Way PARREARRA QLD 4575 |
| O/751 | Leah | 10 Arunta Street BUDDINA QLD 4575 |
| O/752 | Alison Taylor | 41 Kobble Creek Road KOBBLE CREEK QLD 4520 |
| O/753 | Mark Stoneman AM | Comarty MS 187 GIRU QLD 4809 |
| O/754 | Brian and Anne Smith | 130/2 Grand Parade PARREARRA QLD 4575 |
| O/755 | Sylvia Gibbs | 55 Chelsea Crescent MINYAMA QLD 4575 |
| O/756 | Bernie and Margaret Murphy | 37 Yaringa Avenue BUDDINA QLD 4575 |
| O/757 | S. Tobin | 92 Vale Street MOOROOKA QLD 4103 |
| O/758 | Mark Boothman Member for Albert | 2 Rochester Drive MOUNT WARREN PARK QLD 4207 |
| O/759 | Craig Shepherd | 6A Tivoli Crescent MINYAMA QLD 4575 |
| O/760 | Ron Organ | No Address Provided |
| O/761 | Ashley and Zena Reid | 25/241 St Vincents Court MINYAMA QLD 4575 |
| O/762 | David Costello | 25 Paramount Drive WARNER QLD 4500 |
| O/763 | Peter Dick | 1/11 Grand Parade PARREARRA QLD 4575 |
| O/764 | Glenyce Dickinson | 33/239 Kawana Way PARREARRA QLD 4575 |
| O/765 | Belinda Watman | 1/14 Pacific Boulevard BUDDINA QLD 4575 |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>O/766</th>
<th>John and Wendy Clarke</th>
<th>No Address Provided</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O/767</td>
<td>Enid Doherty</td>
<td>Surfside Apartments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>U19 North/143 Lowanna Drive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BUDDINA QLD 4575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/768</td>
<td>Digby Milne</td>
<td>72/10 Marco Way</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PARREARRA QLD 4575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/769</td>
<td>Wayne Lovell</td>
<td>7 Point Cartwright Drive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BUDDINA QLD 4575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/770</td>
<td>Pauline Smith</td>
<td>9 Werona Street</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BUDDINA QLD 4575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/771</td>
<td>Lucia, Brad and Naomi Heath</td>
<td>No Address Provided</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/772</td>
<td>Steven Jones</td>
<td>47 Coomaroo Crescent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MINYAMA QLD 4575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/773</td>
<td>Kylie Leach</td>
<td>15 Pacific Boulevard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BUDDINA QLD 4575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/774</td>
<td>Nanette Black</td>
<td>Apt 168/10 Marco Way</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PARREARRA QLD 4575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/775</td>
<td>Terry and Kathy Peterson</td>
<td>No Address Provided</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/776</td>
<td>Dorothy MacMichael</td>
<td>No Address Provided</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/777</td>
<td>Barbara Cullen</td>
<td>158/10 Marco Way</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PARREARRA QLD 4575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/778</td>
<td>D. Cronin and H. Cronin</td>
<td>M/S 118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>JANDOWAE QLD 4410</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/779</td>
<td>Peter Turton</td>
<td>12 Aroona Avenue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BUDDINA QLD 4575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/780</td>
<td>Murray Lloyd</td>
<td>3 Easter Street</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PARREARRA QLD 4575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/781</td>
<td>Sandra Wheat</td>
<td>48 Camaroo Crescent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MINYAMA QLD 4575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/782</td>
<td>Marion Jenkins</td>
<td>69 Chelsea Crescent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MINYAMA QLD 4575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/783</td>
<td>Monika Sprott</td>
<td>4 Mankina Court</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BUDDINA QLD 4575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/784</td>
<td>Maureen Shaw</td>
<td>13 Myoora Court</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MINYAMA QLD 4575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/785</td>
<td>Rosalieen Hodge</td>
<td>No Address Provided</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/786</td>
<td>Marilyn Schofield</td>
<td>107/11 Nicklin Way</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MINYAMA QLD 4575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/787</td>
<td>Kevin and Kathie Yates</td>
<td>30 Mawarra Street</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BUDDINA QLD 4575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/788</td>
<td>Darren Timms</td>
<td>104 Cooloola Drive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>RAINBOW BEACH QLD 4581</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/789</td>
<td>Peter W Cutting</td>
<td>142/10 Marco Way</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PARREARRA QLD 4575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/790</td>
<td>Kate Harvey</td>
<td>No Address Provided</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/791</td>
<td>Don Johnson</td>
<td>2 Gull Place</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PARREARRA QLD 4575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/792</td>
<td>Elizabeth Hall</td>
<td>15 Barellan Avenue, BUDDINA QLD 4575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/793</td>
<td>Megan Breid</td>
<td>14 Barbara Road, PEREGIAN BEACH QLD 4573</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/794</td>
<td>Dale Funston and Rhonda Hannaford</td>
<td>8 St Lucia Crescent, PARREARRA QLD 4575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/795</td>
<td>Peta Read</td>
<td>4 Hayman Street, PARREARRA QLD 4575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/796</td>
<td>Carol Egan</td>
<td>61 Sunrise Drive, OCEAN VIEW QLD 4521</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/797</td>
<td>Victoria Alicia Sosa</td>
<td>5A Panaroo Street, BUDDINA QLD 4575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/798</td>
<td>Andrew Anthony Stewart</td>
<td>10 Tingira Close, RAINBOW BEACH QLD 4581</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/799</td>
<td>R.V. Mirams</td>
<td>Pavavista Court, DOONAN QLD 4562</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/800</td>
<td>Daniel Brilliant</td>
<td>5 Martinique Court, PARREARRA QLD 4575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/801</td>
<td>Trevor and Pam Watkins</td>
<td>32 Mawarra Street, BUDDINA QLD 4575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/802</td>
<td>Judi Jabour</td>
<td>4/115 Terrace Street, NEW FARM QLD 4005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/803</td>
<td>Linda Whatman</td>
<td>1/115 Terrace Street, NEW FARM QLD 4005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/804</td>
<td>Stephanie Bond</td>
<td>16 Lombok Street, PARREARRA QLD 4575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/805</td>
<td>Collette Archibald and Coralie Leslie</td>
<td>21 Green Valley Drive, RAINBOW BEACH QLD 4581</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/806</td>
<td>Ian Jacobsen</td>
<td>121/10 Marco Way, PARREARRA QLD 4575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/807</td>
<td>Francoise Baumeyer</td>
<td>39 Lakeshore Place, PEREGIAN BEACH QLD 4573</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/808</td>
<td>Julie Gorring</td>
<td>20 Sheriff Street, CHINCHILLA QLD 4413</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/809</td>
<td>Deb Holmes</td>
<td>48 Jessica Boulevard, MINYAMA QLD 4575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/810</td>
<td>Shane Alexander Lambert</td>
<td>102 Moooloolah Drive, MINYAMA QLD 4575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/811</td>
<td>Annika Coutts</td>
<td>60 Wrigley Street, MAROOCHYDORE QLD 4558</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/812</td>
<td>Peter Roberts</td>
<td>18 Scouller Street, CHINCHILLA QLD 4413</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/813</td>
<td>Amanda Bird</td>
<td>Point Cartwright Drive, BUDDINA QLD 4575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/814</td>
<td>Tracey Jackson</td>
<td>9 Koolena Street, BUDDINA QLD 4575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/815</td>
<td>Bruce Neuendorf</td>
<td>13 Sweetbrush Place, MOUNTAIN CREEK QLD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/816</td>
<td>Nell Horrolt</td>
<td>41 Pacific Boulevard, BUDDINA QLD 4575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/817</td>
<td>Brenda Laydon on behalf of Merle and Ernest Laydon</td>
<td>No Address Provided</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/818</td>
<td>Katherine Boyce</td>
<td>15 Point Cartwright Drive BUDDINA QLD 4575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/819</td>
<td>Jennifer Hughes</td>
<td>3 Nabilla Street BUDDINA QLD 4575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/820</td>
<td>John Davis</td>
<td>21 Mooloolah Drive MINYAMA QLD 4575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/821</td>
<td>Beverley Mortensen</td>
<td>29 River Oak Drive JIMBOOMBA QLD 4280</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/822</td>
<td>J. Stock</td>
<td>The Groves, U/35/2 Longwood Street MINYAMA QLD 4575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/823</td>
<td>Shirley Allen</td>
<td>58 Bell Street CHINCHILLA QLD 4413</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/824</td>
<td>Raewyn Hutchins</td>
<td>Sunshine Coast Safe Communities Inc. PO BOX 794 BUDEIRIM QLD 4556</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/825</td>
<td>Moya Smith</td>
<td>147-153 Oak Street CHINCHILLA QLD 4413</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/826</td>
<td>Edward Dwyer</td>
<td>35 Condamine Street CHINCHILLA QLD 4413</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/827</td>
<td>Jim Dwyer</td>
<td>35 Condamine Street CHINCHILLA QLD 4413</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/828</td>
<td>Keith Smith</td>
<td>63 Wambo Street CHINCHILLA QLD 4413</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/829</td>
<td>Christine Turvey</td>
<td>Yalca' 71 Coates Road DRILLHAM QLD 4424</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/830</td>
<td>Chester Turvey</td>
<td>Yalca' 71 Coates Road DRILLHAM QLD 4424</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/831</td>
<td>C. Young</td>
<td>15 Cheltenham Street STRATHPINE QLD 4500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/832</td>
<td>C. and K. Cousins</td>
<td>4 Zita Place BRAY PARK QLD 4500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/833</td>
<td>R. Reynolds</td>
<td>7 Malinya Drive BUDDINA QLD 4575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/834</td>
<td>William and Sylvia Grant</td>
<td>BRAY PARK QLD 4500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/835</td>
<td>Samuel McDonald</td>
<td>DRILLHAM QLD 4424</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/836</td>
<td>Adrienne McDonald</td>
<td>DRILLHAM QLD 4424</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/837</td>
<td>Peter Bryant</td>
<td>Residents Assoc. South PO BOX 765 MOFFAT BEACH QLD 4551</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/838</td>
<td>Ray and Patricia Booker</td>
<td>52 Wamboo Street CHINCHILLA QLD 4413</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/839</td>
<td>R. and L. Cook</td>
<td>7 Colamba Street CHINCHILLA QLD 4413</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/840</td>
<td>Lottie Pryce</td>
<td>12/68 Hypatia Street CHINCHILLA QLD 4413</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/841</td>
<td>Robert and Daphne Forster</td>
<td>57 Wambo Street CHINCHILLA QLD 4413</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/842</td>
<td>Audrey Taylor</td>
<td>4/68 Hypatia Street CHINCHILLA QLD 4413</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/843</td>
<td>Norman Hibbett</td>
<td>CHINCHILLA QLD 4413</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/844</td>
<td>Kathleen Moha</td>
<td>1/68 Hypatia Street</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CHINCHILLA QLD 4413</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/845</td>
<td>N.G. and J.E. Connor</td>
<td>13 Yaringa Avenue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BUDDINA QLD 4575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/846</td>
<td>Clive and Nola Chaloner</td>
<td>29/7 Grand Parade</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PARREARRA QLD 4575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/847</td>
<td>Lyle Gregory</td>
<td>15 Jillian Street</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>KALLANGUR QLD 4503</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/848</td>
<td>Martin McCormick</td>
<td>Unit 1/2 Moore Court</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CHINCHILLA QLD 4413</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/849</td>
<td>Maria McKay</td>
<td>17 Creek Street</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CHINCHILLA QLD 4413</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/850</td>
<td>David and Lois Hubbard</td>
<td>15 Bender Street</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CHINCHILLA QLD 4413</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/851</td>
<td>Richard McKay</td>
<td>17 Creek Street</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CHINCHILLA QLD 4413</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/852</td>
<td>Zita Stark</td>
<td>Unit 1/2 Moore Court</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CHINCHILLA QLD 4413</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/853</td>
<td>Valerie Mitchell</td>
<td>43 Russell Street</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CHINCHILLA QLD 4413</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/854</td>
<td>Edna Ginnivan</td>
<td>8/68 Hypatia Street</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CHINCHILLA QLD 4413</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/855</td>
<td>F.M. Smith</td>
<td>6 Warren Street</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CHINCHILLA QLD 4413</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/856</td>
<td>Alan Ellis</td>
<td>Unit 5/68 Hypatia Street</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CHINCHILLA QLD 4413</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/857</td>
<td>Leila Smith</td>
<td>2/4 Moore Court</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CHINCHILLA QLD 4413</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/858</td>
<td>Maureen Hensel</td>
<td>48 Beasley Street</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CHINCHILLA QLD 4413</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/859</td>
<td>E.M. and G.V. Johnston</td>
<td>17 Warrego Crescent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MURRUMBA DOWNS QLD 4503</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/860</td>
<td>M. Aurish</td>
<td>1/25 Arunta Street</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BUDDINA QLD 4575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/861</td>
<td>Barry and Hazel Guest</td>
<td>72/2 Grand Parade</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PARREARRA QLD 4575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/862</td>
<td>Les Knuth</td>
<td>PO BOX 42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>RAVENSWOOD QLD 4816</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/863</td>
<td>Catharina and William Morris</td>
<td>6 Hayman Street</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PARREARRA QLD 4575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/864</td>
<td>N. Holtom</td>
<td>7/39 Arunta Street</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BUDDINA QLD 4575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/865</td>
<td>Elizabeth Harding</td>
<td>53 Chelsea Crescent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MINYAMA QLD 4575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/866</td>
<td>Garry Barrett</td>
<td>40 Todds Road</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>LAWNTON QLD 4501</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/867</td>
<td>P. McWilliams</td>
<td>45 Chelsea Crescent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MINYAMA QLD 4575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/868</td>
<td>Pauline Evans</td>
<td>28 Lyndon Street</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>KALLANGUR QLD 4503</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/869</td>
<td>Stella Clark</td>
<td>Villa 51/2 Grand Parade</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PARREARRA QLD 4575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/870</td>
<td>Terry and Margaret Weston</td>
<td>11 Kooringal Crescent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BUDDINA QLD 4575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/871</td>
<td>Janice Gehl</td>
<td>78/2 Grand Parade, PARREARRA QLD 4575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/872</td>
<td>R.H. Bennett</td>
<td>8 Whitsunday Street, PARREARRA QLD 4575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/873</td>
<td>Margaret Artini</td>
<td>45/239 Kawana Way, PARREARRA QLD 4575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/874</td>
<td>Moya Kettleton</td>
<td>No Address Provided</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/875</td>
<td>Muriel Johnson</td>
<td>116 Pacific Boulevard, BUDDINA QLD 4575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/876</td>
<td>Carmel Carnegie</td>
<td>25/10 Grand Parade, PARREARRA QLD 4575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/877</td>
<td>Richard Watson</td>
<td>16 Pheasant Street, PARREARRA QLD 4575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/878</td>
<td>Wendy Frankland</td>
<td>29 Mooloolah Island, MINYAMA QLD 4575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/879</td>
<td>Massimo and Lana Mancini</td>
<td>10/239 Kawana Way, PARREARRA QLD 4575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/880</td>
<td>Carmel Ivy Bond</td>
<td>276 Bond Road, KING SCRUB QLD 4521</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/881</td>
<td>Ian Maurice</td>
<td>No Address Provided</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/882</td>
<td>Renee England</td>
<td>PO Box 1597, MOOLOOLABA QLD 4557</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/883</td>
<td>Georg and Nell Horrold</td>
<td>41 Pacific Boulevard, BUDDINA QLD 4575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/884</td>
<td>Stephen Moss</td>
<td>543 Bunya Road, BUNYA QLD 4055</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/885</td>
<td>Ronald and Ronda Shoemrk</td>
<td>99/10 Marco Way, PARREARRA QLD 4575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/886</td>
<td>Tamara</td>
<td>24 Tortola Place, PARREARRA QLD 4575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/887</td>
<td>Darren</td>
<td>24 Tortola Place, PARREARRA QLD 4575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/888</td>
<td>Peter and Sharon Fletcher</td>
<td>24 Cayman Place, PARREARRA QLD 4575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/889</td>
<td>Helen Jardine</td>
<td>6 Myoora Court, MINYAMA QLD 4575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/890</td>
<td>Malcolm Elliott</td>
<td>13B Antilles Street, PARREARRA QLD 4575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/891</td>
<td>Geoffrey Folder</td>
<td>34/51 Grande Parade, PARREARRA QLD 4575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/892</td>
<td>Ignazia Grace</td>
<td>199 Arthur Street, FORTITUDE VALLEY QLD 4006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/893</td>
<td>Greg and Dale Royall</td>
<td>No Address Provided</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/894</td>
<td>Ivan Joseph Maher</td>
<td>43/7 Grand Parade, PARREARRA QLD 4575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/895</td>
<td>Cheryl and John Bannister</td>
<td>No Address Provided</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/896</td>
<td>Graeme and Anne Marshallsea</td>
<td>55/7 Grand Parade, PARREARRA QLD 4575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/897</td>
<td>Debra Lancelot</td>
<td>BRISBANE QLD 4000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/898</td>
<td>Ian and Daphne Morgan</td>
<td>42 Coomaroo Crescent MINYAMA QLD 4575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/899</td>
<td>Paul Bini</td>
<td>8/102 Sydney Street NEW FARM QLD 4005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/900</td>
<td>Renee Ten Dyke</td>
<td>103 Union Street SPRING HILL QLD 4000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/901</td>
<td>James Anthony Delahunty</td>
<td>6/9 Newstead Avenue NEWSTEAD QLD 4006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/902</td>
<td>Helen Savill</td>
<td>15 Market Street NEWMARKET QLD 4051</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/903</td>
<td>Janice Brunskill</td>
<td>4/122 Fortescue Street SPRING HILL QLD 4000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/904</td>
<td>Peter Newman</td>
<td>103 Union Street SPRING HILL QLD 4000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/905</td>
<td>Janet Hammill</td>
<td>1/9 Doggett Street FORTITUDE VALLEY QLD 4006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/906</td>
<td>Roxanne Martens</td>
<td>197 Annie Street NEW FARM QLD 4005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/907</td>
<td>Edmund Lawrie</td>
<td>8 Sixth Avenue WINDSOR QLD 4030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/908</td>
<td>Graeme Kidd</td>
<td>30 Merthyr Road NEW FARM QLD 4005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/909</td>
<td>Michael Wylie</td>
<td>13 Teneriffe Drive TENERIFFE QLD 4005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/910</td>
<td>Lisa Paterson</td>
<td>31 Beeston Street TENERIFFE QLD 4005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/911</td>
<td>Christiaan Willems</td>
<td>2690 Mt Mee Road OCEAN VIEW QLD 4521</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/912</td>
<td>Gregory Moran</td>
<td>1103/132 Alice Street BRISBANE QLD 4000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/913</td>
<td>Shane Doidge</td>
<td>48 Tit Street KELVIN GROVE QLD 4059</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/914</td>
<td>Andrew Schebella</td>
<td>117-119 Panguna Street TRINITY BEACH QLD 4879</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/915</td>
<td>Steve Dickson MP Member for Buderim</td>
<td>Suite 4/102 Burnett Street BUDERIM QLD 4556</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/916</td>
<td>Francine Webb</td>
<td>80 Somerset Street WINDSOR QLD 4030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/917</td>
<td>Steve Moon</td>
<td>10 Marnie Crescent DOONAN QLD 4562</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/918</td>
<td>Susanne Green</td>
<td>116/420 Queens Street BRISBANE CBD QLD 4000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/919</td>
<td>Carole Marco</td>
<td>2A/99 Gregory Terrace SPRING HILL QLD 4000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/920</td>
<td>Andrew Hines</td>
<td>12 Antigua Court PARREARRA QLD 4575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/921</td>
<td>Rebecca Robertson</td>
<td>12/120 Langshaw Street NEW FARM QLD 4005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/922</td>
<td>Jack Wallis</td>
<td>Island Point Villas Villa 88/239 Kawana Way PARREARRA QLD 4575</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| O/923 | Gillian Pincus | 59 Hill Street  
SPRING HILL QLD 4000 |
| O/924 | Virginia Russell | 63/36 Vernon Terrace  
TENERIFFE QLD 4005 |
| O/925 | Shelley Heath | 2601/59 Blamey Street  
KELVIN GROVE QLD 4059 |
| O/926 | Peter Hermann | 157/501 Queen Street  
BRISBANE QLD 4000 |
| O/927 | Terry Hudson | 6/120 Langshaw Street  
NEW FARM QLD 4005 |
| O/928 | Grant Friedlander | 8/4 Tilbrook Street  
TENERIFFE QLD 4005 |
| O/929 | Charles Esteban | BALD HILLS QLD 4036 |
| O/930 | Donald | 2806/59 Blamey Street  
BRISBANE QLD 4059 |
| O/931 | Cynthia Tacey | 155 Eldon Road  
WINDSOR QLD 4030 |
| O/932 | A.P. Passalaris | 96 Sunbird Chase  
PARRREARRA QLD 4575 |
| O/933 | Ross Brown  
President  
Rainbow Beach Community Information and Resource Service Association Incorporated | 3/32 Rainbow Beach Road  
RAINBOW BEACH QLD 4581 |
| O/934 | Ann Carroll | 24 Whish Street  
WINDSOR QLD 4030 |
| O/935 | Elfie Rabbidge | PO Box 523  
BUDDINA QLD 4575 |
| O/936 | Garie Slade | 26 Goondi Street  
RAINBOW BEACH QLD 4581 |
| O/937 | Walter Marshall | 6/38 Robertson Street  
FORTITUDE VALLEY QLD 4006 |
| O/938 | Robyn Hogan | 11/93 Leichhardt Street  
SPRING HILL QLD 4000 |
| O/939 | John and Gwen Duggan | 11 Tom Thumb Court  
COOLOOLA COVE QLD 4580 |
| O/940 | Nola Warrick | 101/420 Queen Street  
BRISBANE QLD 4000 |
| O/941 | Heather Robertson | 4 Ilmenite Avenue  
RAINBOW BEACH QLD 4581 |
| O/942 | Steven Nousala | 1 Ketch Street  
WURTULLA QLD 4575 |
| O/943 | Bill McDonald | 407 Oceanic Drive South  
WURTULLA QLD 4575 |
| O/944 | William McCulloch | 3C/172 Oxlade Drive  
NEW FARM QLD 4005 |
| O/945 | Maree Arndt | No Address Provided |
| O/946 | K.W. Grut | 22 Barbuda Circuit  
PARRREARRA QLD 4575 |
| O/947 | Lyn Cooper | 11 Regency Road  
DOONAN QLD 4562 |
| O/948  | Maree Ainsworth | 109 Villiers Street  
NEW FARM QLD 4005 |
|-------|-----------------|------------------|
| O/949  | John Greenhalgh | 5 Iluka Avenue  
BUDDINA QLD 4575 |
| O/950  | Saltwater (Qld) Pty Ltd atf  
The Saltwater Trust | 13 Birubi Street  
MINYAMA QLD 4575 |
| O/951  | Ann Stringer | 17 Yaringa Avenue  
BUDDINA QLD 4575 |
| O/952  | Marilyn Beale | 136/8 Starling Street  
BUDEERIM QLD 4556 |
| O/953  | David Wright | 5 Antigua Court  
PARREARRA QLD 45745 |
| O/954  | G4 Aust Pty Ltd atf | 13 Birubi Street  
MINYAMA QLD 4575 |
| O/955  | Graham Kirk | 23 Rosella Street  
PARREARRA QLD 4575 |
| O/956  | Andrew Mason | 11/501 Queen Street  
BRISBANE QLD 4000 |
| O/957  | Tracee Livingstone | 13 Birubi Street  
MINYAMA QLD 4575 |
| O/958  | Barbara and Edward Wilkes | 7 Curburra Street  
BUDDINA QLD 4575 |
| O/959  | Louise Thomas | 33 Jarrah Street  
MINYAMA QLD 4575 |
| O/960  | David Holzgefe | 2 Harbour Parade  
BUDDINA QLD 4575 |
| O/961  | Lynn Schifilliti | 32 Harbour Parade  
BUDDINA QLD 4575 |
| O/962  | Anna Wareham | 12 Dunsmore Street  
KELVIN GROVE QLD 4059 |
| O/963  | April Lauer | 3104/79 Albert Street  
BRISBANE CITY QLD 4000 |
| O/964  | Catherine MacMillan | 2 Lalwinya Street  
BUDDINA QLD 4575 |
| O/965  | Cherrell Picton | 410/13 Nicklin Way  
MINYAMA QLD 4575 |
| O/966  | Michael Greeff | 107 Moolooah Drive  
MINYAMA QLD 4575 |
| O/967  | Peter Spooner | 12 Mooloolaba Drive  
MINYAMA QLD 4575 |
| O/968  | Rod and Judy Ashlin | No Address Provided |
| O/969  | Ronald McCallum  
A.D McCallum & Son | 1A T-Tree Place  
WILBERFORCE NSW 2756 |
| O/970  | Belinda McCulloch | 9 Arunta Street  
BUDDINA QLD 4575 |
| O/971  | Raymond Reid | 407/13 Nicklin Way  
MINYAMA QLD 4575 |
| O/972  | John Marc | 3 Point Cartwright Drive  
BUDDINA QLD 4575 |
| O/973  | Julie Fitzsimmons | 13 Adaluma Avenue  
BUDDINA QLD 4575 |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>O/974</th>
<th>Cheryl Sipthorp</th>
<th>18 Harbour Parade</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BUDDINA QLD 4575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/975</td>
<td>Anthony Edwards</td>
<td>13 Cayman Place</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PARREARRA QLD 4575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/976</td>
<td>Barry and Wendy Meek</td>
<td>2 Charlotte Drive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>WYEBBA DOWNS QLD 4562</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/977</td>
<td>Leigh Slade</td>
<td>26 Aroona Avenue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BUDDINA QLD 4575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/978</td>
<td>Irina Kahler</td>
<td>347/26 Felix Street</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BRISBANE QLD 4000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/979</td>
<td>Robin Priebe</td>
<td>No Address Provided</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/980</td>
<td>Yvonne Li</td>
<td>42 Mornington Street</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>RED HILL QLD 4059</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/981</td>
<td>Warren Blee</td>
<td>Moorea, 5 Bangalow Street</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MINYAMA QLD 4575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/982</td>
<td>Neale and Terri Johnson</td>
<td>13 Easter Street</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PARREARRA QLD 4575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/983</td>
<td>Mark Paddenburg</td>
<td>6 Antigua Court</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PARREARRA QLD 4575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/984</td>
<td>Barbara Horton</td>
<td>9 Zanzibar Court</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PARREARRA QLD 4575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/985</td>
<td>Michelle Doidge</td>
<td>48 Tait Street</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>KELVIN GROVE QLD 4059</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/986</td>
<td>Donald Harrison</td>
<td>76/35 Howard Street</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BRISBANE CITY QLD 4000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/987</td>
<td>Dympna Hurley</td>
<td>11 Harbour Parade</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BUDDINA QLD 4575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/988</td>
<td>Adam Hertelendy</td>
<td>105 Marine Crescent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>DOONAN QLD 4562</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/989</td>
<td>Beverley Harrison</td>
<td>15 Pandanus Court</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MINYAMA QLD 4575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/990</td>
<td>Peter John Harvey</td>
<td>27 Coonawa Street</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BUDDINA QLD 4575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/991</td>
<td>Murray Hayes</td>
<td>29 Harbour Parade</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BUDDINA QLD 4575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/992</td>
<td>Jacinta Sullivan</td>
<td>2 Madagascar Court</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PARREARRA QLD 4575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/993</td>
<td>Andrew Curthoys</td>
<td>21 Mark Street</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NEWMARKET QLD 4021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/994</td>
<td>Anthony Bansemer</td>
<td>12 St Lucia Crescent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PARREARRA QLD 4575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/995</td>
<td>Jacqui Wilson</td>
<td>3/10 Alkira Street</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BUDDINA QLD 4575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/996</td>
<td>Graeme Mackenzie</td>
<td>6 Merthyr Road</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NEW FARM QLD 4005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/997</td>
<td>John Healy</td>
<td>1403/30 Festival Place</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NEWSTEAD QLD 4006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/998</td>
<td>David Pyle</td>
<td>47 Normanby Terrace</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>KELVIN GROVE QLD 4059</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/999</td>
<td>Arvind Chovatia</td>
<td>14/454 Upper Edward Street</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SPRING HILL QLD 4000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Address</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/1000</td>
<td>Anastasia Kollis</td>
<td>3 Mungala Street BUDDINA QLD 4575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/1001</td>
<td>Adrian Welbourne</td>
<td>13 Nicklin Way MINYAMA QLD 4575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/1002</td>
<td>Geoffrey Crittenden</td>
<td>1 Hetherington Street HERSTON QLD 4006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/1003</td>
<td>Pamela Coogan</td>
<td>90/239 Kawana Way PARREARRA QLD 4575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/1004</td>
<td>Brendan Dever</td>
<td>13 Albert Street BEAudesert QLD 4285</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/1005</td>
<td>Louise Nousala</td>
<td>1 Ketch Street WURTULLA QLD 4575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/1006</td>
<td>Brian Mangham</td>
<td>6403/501 Adelaide Street BRISBANE CITY QLD 4000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/1007</td>
<td>Bev</td>
<td>No Address Provided</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/1008</td>
<td>Kerry Anderson</td>
<td>21 Boscawen Street ROCEDALE SOUTH QLD 4123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/1009</td>
<td>Debra Stephens</td>
<td>18/8 Pacific Boulevard BUDDINA QLD 4575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/1010</td>
<td>Darren Wallis</td>
<td>5 Cypress Court MINYAMA QLD 4575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/1011</td>
<td>Helen Andrews</td>
<td>1/89 Iluka Avenue BUDDINA QLD 4575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/1012</td>
<td>Judy McKenzie</td>
<td>20/42 Chermside Street TENERIFFE QLD 4005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/1013</td>
<td>Keith and Shirley Rayner</td>
<td>101 Oak Street CHINCHILLA QLD 4413</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/1014</td>
<td>Linda Eising</td>
<td>30 Fraser Street CHINCHILLA QLD 4113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/1015</td>
<td>Benjamin Waite</td>
<td>U2/99 Lowanna Drive BUDDINA QLD 4575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/1016</td>
<td>Sonia Sanderson</td>
<td>2 Magnetic Street PARREARRA QLD 4575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/1017</td>
<td>Sylvia Nowlan</td>
<td>39 Bahamas Circuit PARREARRA QLD 4575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/1018</td>
<td>Ted Sharpe</td>
<td>9 Werona Street BUDDINA QLD 4575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/1019</td>
<td>Bradley and Bernadette Wilson</td>
<td>9 Koorin Drive BUDDINA QLD 4575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/1020</td>
<td>Kenneth Phelan</td>
<td>Unit 110/11 Nicklin Way MINYAMA QLD 4575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/1021</td>
<td>Greera</td>
<td>19 Baleara Street BUDDINA QLD 4575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/1022</td>
<td>David Goodall</td>
<td>8 Birubi Street MINYAMA QLD 4575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/1023</td>
<td>John Horrigan</td>
<td>44 Oomoo Street BUDDINA QLD 4575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/1024</td>
<td>Penelope Pinkham</td>
<td>7/306 Kent Street TENERIFFE QLD 4005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/1025</td>
<td>Lynn Parker</td>
<td>PARREARRA QLD 4575</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| O/1026 | Julie O’Sullivan | 2 Adina Court  
BUDDINA QLD 4575 |
| O/1027 | Sheridan Wilson | 6 Bega Place  
PARREARRA QLD 4575 |
| O/1028 | Ernie and Kylie Camp | Floraville Downs  
BURKETOWN QLD 4830 |
| O/1029 | Suzanne Royle | 36 Constitution Road  
WINDSOR QLD 4030 |
| O/1030 | Kevinn Seymour AM | Unit 33, One Macquarie Street  
TENERIFFE QLD 4005 |
| O/1031 | Tracey Goodwin-McDonald | 94 Point Cartwright Drive  
BUDDINA QLD 4575 |
| O/1032 | Elli Hentunen | 108 Sunbird Chase  
PARREARRA QLD 4575 |
| O/1033 | Graham McDonald | 94 Point Cartwright Drive  
BUDDINA QLD 4575 |
| O/1034 | Pertti Hentunen | 108 Sunbird Chase  
PARREARRA QLD 4575 |
| O/1035 | Peter Cowell | No Address Provided |
| O/1036 | Milja Meskanen | 89 Sunbird Chast  
BUDDINA QLD 4575 |
| O/1037 | Ute Woods | 11 Zante Lane  
PARREARRA QLD 4575 |
| O/1038 | Sam Jones | 5/21 Sundridge Street  
TARINGA QLD 4068 |
| O/1039 | Clifford and Karen Glover | 24 Mooloolah Drive  
MINYAMA QLD 4575 |
| O/1040 | Cyril Gaudart | 145/10 Marco Way  
PARREARRA QLD 4575 |
| O/1041 | Ken and Shirley Jones | No Address Provided |
| O/1042 | Don Allinson | No Address Provided |
| O/1043 | Darryl Stewart | 600 Antigua Road  
MUNGAR QLD 4650 |
| O/1044 | Rebecca Gill | 38 Iluka Avenue  
BUDDINA QLD 4575 |
| O/1045 | Deb Moran | 40 Newmarket Road  
WINDSOR QLD 4030 |
| O/1046 | Ben Dunstan  
Lifestyle Holidays Pty. Ltd | 101 Norman Point  
TIN CAN BAY QLD 4580 |
| O/1047 | Cheryl Bannister | No Address Provided |
| O/1048 | Maureen Brown | No Address Provided |
| O/1049 | Leah Williams | 107 Pacific Boulevard  
BUDDINA QLD 4575 |
| O/1050 | Michael O’Sullivan | 24 Chalmers Court  
SAMFORD VILLAGE QLD 4520 |
| O/1051 | Peter Von Blanckensee | 17 Barramundi Street  
TIN CAN BAY QLD 4580 |
| O/1052 | John Townsend | 13 Aroona Avenue  
BUDDINA QLD 4575 |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>O/1053</th>
<th>Jane Flintoft</th>
<th>11 Barbuda Court</th>
<th>PARREARRA QLD 4575</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O/1054</td>
<td>Paul Nichols</td>
<td>Kawana Island</td>
<td>PARREARRA QLD 4575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/1055</td>
<td>Neva Welch</td>
<td>50 Undara Avenue</td>
<td>BUDDINA QLD 4575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/1056</td>
<td>Keith and Pauline Gargan</td>
<td>No Address Provided</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/1057</td>
<td>Barbara Schrefler</td>
<td>1/27 Manooka Drive</td>
<td>RAINBOW BEACH QLD 4581</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/1058</td>
<td>Rosalind Walters</td>
<td>2/4 Barbuda Circuit</td>
<td>PARREARRA QLD 4575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/1059</td>
<td>Dean Bygrave</td>
<td>195/139 Commerical Road</td>
<td>TENERIFFE QLD 4005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/1060</td>
<td>Belinda Ann Meier</td>
<td>1/4 Barbuda Circuit</td>
<td>PARREARRA QLD 4575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/1061</td>
<td>Douglas Ritchie</td>
<td>61 Teneriffe Drive</td>
<td>TENERIFFE QLD 4005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/1062</td>
<td>Cameron Douglas</td>
<td>3 Nentoura Court</td>
<td>BUDDINA QLD 4575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/1063</td>
<td>Lynda and Joe McLeod</td>
<td>118 Emperor Street</td>
<td>TIN CAN BAY QLD 4580</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/1064</td>
<td>Carolyn Porter</td>
<td>5 Gormleys Road</td>
<td>CHINCHILLA QLD 4413</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/1065</td>
<td>Drew Levinson</td>
<td>11 Gormley Road</td>
<td>CHINCHILLA QLD 4413</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/1066</td>
<td>Anthony Tydd</td>
<td>5 Gormleys Road</td>
<td>CHINCHILLA QLD 4413</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/1067</td>
<td>Anne and Lawrie Martin</td>
<td>377 Bilyana Road</td>
<td>MURRAY UPPER QLD 4854</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/1068</td>
<td>Kathy Baxter</td>
<td>17 Barramundi Street</td>
<td>TIN CAN BAY QLD 4580</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/1069</td>
<td>Margaret Keane</td>
<td>17 Coomaroo Crescent</td>
<td>MINYAMA QLD 4575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/1070</td>
<td>Cheryl Phillips</td>
<td>20 Maxwell Street</td>
<td>NEW FARM QLD 4005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/1071</td>
<td>Jessica McKenna</td>
<td>28 Iluka Avenue</td>
<td>BUDDINA QLD 4575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/1072</td>
<td>James Cowan</td>
<td>37 Bess Street</td>
<td>WINDSOR QLD 4030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/1073</td>
<td>Michael Steven Colman</td>
<td>21 Bahamas Circuit</td>
<td>KAWANA ISLAND QLD 4575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/1074</td>
<td>Yvonne Cooper</td>
<td>20 Rodds Bay</td>
<td>IVERAGH QLD 4680</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/1075</td>
<td>Margaret King</td>
<td>14 Naturi Street</td>
<td>NOOSA HEADS QLD 4567</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/1076</td>
<td>Steve Turner</td>
<td>No Address Provided</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/1077</td>
<td>Brian Stafford</td>
<td>214/26 St Vinbents Court</td>
<td>MINYAMA QLD 4575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/1078</td>
<td>Barnacles Dolphin Centre/Café</td>
<td>Barnacles Dolphin Centre/Café</td>
<td>TIN CAN BAY QLD 4580</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/1079</td>
<td>Alan Lyle</td>
<td>14/263 Gregory Terrace</td>
<td>SPRING HILL QLD 4000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| O/1080  | Junjie Sha                      | 2103/151 George Street  
BRISBANE QLD 4000 |
| O/1081  | Ian Foden                       | 4 Barellan Avenue  
BUDDINA QLD 4575 |
| O/1082  | Manuela Nowak                   | 29 Williams Road East  
DEEP CREEK QLD 4570 |
| O/1083  | Mark Lawler                     | 11 Prospect Place  
RAINBOW BEACH QLD 4581 |
| O/1084  | Stan and Lorraine Hartill-Law   | No Address Provided |
| O/1085  | Leonard, Joan and Christine Moore | 121 Oceanic Drive  
WARANA QLD 4575 |
| O/1086  | Richard Jack Brittain           | 6 Richmond Place  
MINYAMA QLD 4575 |
| O/1087  | Seamus Rodden                   | 40 Sunbird Chase  
PARREARRA QLD 4575 |
| O/1088  | Selima Taylor                   | President, Kawana Senior Citizens  
No Address Provided |
| O/1089  | Debra Wilson                    | 40 Sunbird Chase  
PARREARRA QLD 4575 |
| O/1090  | Rodney Stapleton                 | 15 Vowles Street  
MILES QLD 4415 |
| O/1091  | Deanna Sesia                    | 4/37-39 Daisy Street  
MILES QLD 4415 |
| O/1092  | Darryl Bein                     | 7 Lawton Place  
MILES QLD 4415 |
| O/1093  | Lyn Bein                        | 7 Lawton Place  
MILES QLD 4415 |
| O/1094  | Robyn Hartley                   | 668 Hookswood Pelham Road  
MILES QLD 4415 |
| O/1095  | Douglas Dann                    | Lochoma’  
DRILLHAM QLD 4424 |
| O/1096  | Jo Robertson                    | 190 Myall Park Road  
MILES QLD 4415 |
| O/1097  | Valmas Jennings                 | 2/42 Edith Street  
MILES QLD 4415 |
| O/1098  | Keith Wright                    | 73 Constance  
MILES QLD 4415 |
| O/1099  | Dorothy Upton                   | 78 Edith Street  
MILES QLD 4415 |
| O/1100  | Doris Davidson                  | 52 Dawson Street  
MILES QLD 4415 |
| O/1101  | Joyce P Davidson                | 52 Dawson Street  
MILES QLD 4415 |
| O/1102  | Marlene Shaw                    | 4 Pillard Street  
MILES QLD 4415 |
| O/1103  | Elsie Cochrane                  | 61 Marian Street  
MILES QLD 4415 |
| O/1104  | Laurie Harbort                  | 2/51 Daisy Street  
MILES QLD 4415 |
| O/1105  | Andrea Wotton                   | 2/59 Marian Street  
MILES QLD 4415 |
| O/1106 | Jan Mulholland | 233 Hookswood Road
MILES QLD 4415 |
| O/1107 | RA and TM Nauschutz | 62 John Street
JANDOWAE QLD 4410 |
| O/1108 | Eunice Turner | PO BOX 111
CHINCHILLA QLD 4413 |
| O/1109 | Valerie McIntosh | 25 Coonawa Street
BUDDINA QLD 4575 |
| O/1110 | Valma Pugh | 40 Pinelands Street
LAWNTON QLD 4501 |
| O/1111 | Ann and Roger Griggs | Villa 85/239 Kawana Way
PARREARRA QLD 4575 |
| O/1112 | Lila McIntosh | 2/25 Arunta Street
BUDDINA QLD 4575 |
| O/1113 | Brian Littleproud | 80 Oak Street
CHINCHILLA QLD 4413 |
| O/1114 | Glen Elmes MP | PO BOX 1849
NOOSAVILLE BC QLD 4556 |
| O/1115 | Franco Ambrosio and Senyonn Goble | 1 Mooloolah Island
MINYAMA QLD 4575 |
| O/1116 | Cecil Weir | 82 Middle Street
CHINCHILLA QLD 4413 |
| O/1117 | Bruce Alexander | 10 Oleron Terrace
PETRIE QLD 4502 |
| O/1118 | Patricia McLoughlin | 14 Brown Beech Drive
JIMBOOMBA QLD 4280 |
| O/1119 | Ken and Bronwyn Wheeler | Unit 2/16 Dudley Street
CHINCHILLA QLD 4413 |
| O/1120 | William McEwen | 2 Nevell Street
CHINCHILLA QLD 4413 |
| O/1121 | Marie McEwen | 2 Nevell Street
CHINCHILLA QLD 4413 |
| O/1122 | Hedley Schoff | 68 Park Street
CHINCHILLA QLD 4413 |
| O/1123 | Janice Budden | 1 Nevell Street
CHINCHILLA QLD 4413 |
| O/1124 | B.A. and D.L. Towart | 10 Wheeler Street
CHINCHILLA QLD 4413 |
| O/1125 | Roy Smith | 6 Warren Street
CHINCHILLA QLD 4413 |
| O/1126 | Maurice Smith | 19 Covington Street
CHINCHILLA QLD 4413 |
| O/1127 | Olwyn Smith | 19 Covington Street
CHINCHILLA QLD 4413 |
| O/1128 | Laurie Martyn | 12 Endurance Avenue
COOLOOLA COVE QLD 4580 |
| O/1129 | Margaret Crevola | PO BOX 941
BUDDINA QLD 4575 |
| O/1130 | David Crevola | PO BOX 941
BUDDINA QLD 4575 |
| O/1131 | Kenneth Turner | 29 Mizpah Drive
CHINCHILLA QLD 4413 |
| O/1132 | Fairley Turner | 29 Mizpah Drive
CHINCHILLA QLD 4413 |
| O/1133 | Pauline Matthews | 133/2 Grand Parade PARREARRA QLD 4575 |
| O/1134 | Graham Buettel  | 17 Tara Road CHINCHILLA QLD 4413 |
| O/1135 | Laurette Buettel | 17 Tara Road CHINCHILLA QLD 4413 |
| O/1136 | Majorie O'Leary | 3B Foster Street CHINCHILLA QLD 4413 |
| O/1137 | F.L and M.A. Rigby | 136 Windmill Road CHINCHILLA QLD 4413 |
| O/1138 | Lynette Squire | 8 Covington Street CHINCHILLA QLD 4413 |
| O/1139 | Graham and June Kassulke | 18 Murrindi Street MINYAMA QLD 4515 |
| O/1140 | Ormand and Patricia Balzan | The Groves, 8/2 Longwood Street MINYAMA QLD 4515 |
| O/1141 | Isabella Blackstock | The Groves, 82/8 Longwood Street MINYAMA QLD 4515 |
| O/1142 | Ronald and Patricia Ross | 93 Pacific Boulevard BUDDINA QLD 4575 |
| O/1143 | Barry Dunn | Kawana Island Villas 111/2 Grand Parade PARREARRA QLD 4575 |
| O/1144 | Helen Oxenham | 49 Mackay Street WINDSOR QLD 4030 |
| O/1145 | Steve Sommerfeld | 1 Mayne Street TIARO QLD 4650 |
| O/1146 | Julie-Anne Hyde | 91 Pacific Boulevard BUDDINA QLD 4575 |
| O/1147 | Susan Penny | 5 Wingara Street BUDDINA QLD 4575 |
| O/1148 | William and Susan Pendergast | 38 Fraser Street CHINCHILLA QLD 4413 |
| O/1149 | M W and V A Campbell | 6 Hailbut Street TIN CAN BAY QLD 4580 |
| O/1150 | Jon Shinebarger | 3/8 Laisby Drive SPRING HILL QLD 4000 |
| O/1151 | John Haberecht | 60A Victoria Street KELVIN GROVE QLD 4059 |
| O/1152 | Allan Glover | 332 Mooloolaba Road BUDERIM QLD 4556 |
| O/1153 | Bec | 14 Mariana Street WARANA QLD 4575 |
| O/1154 | John and Christine Holmes | 16 Jersey Place PARREARRA QLD 4575 |
| O/1155 | Angela Hamilton | 159 Honour Avenue CHELMER QLD 4068 |
| O/1156 | Carolyn Aldrich | 92 Point Cartwright Drive BUDDINA QLD 4575 |
| O/1157 | Rosane Blanco Smy | 1 Seychelles Place PARREARRA QLD 4575 |
| O/1158 | Jenny Franklin | c/-47 Shore Street RUSSELL ISLAND QLD 4184 |
| O/1159 | Peter May  
Multi-Signed Petition | SPRINGWOOD / WATERFORD Area |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O/1160</td>
<td>Gary Wilson</td>
<td>16 Bahamas Circuit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PARREARRA QLD 4575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/1161</td>
<td>Christine Nichols</td>
<td>38/1 Grenada Way</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PARREARRA QLD 4575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/1162</td>
<td>Lynette Hulm</td>
<td>19 Chelsea Crescent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MINYAMA QLD 4575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/1163</td>
<td>A. Ward</td>
<td>61 Pacific Boulevard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BUDDINA QLD 4575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/1164</td>
<td>Sheram McDougall</td>
<td>310/13 Nicklin Way</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MINYAMA QLD 4575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/1165</td>
<td>E. McDougall</td>
<td>310/13 Nicklin Way</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MINYAMA QLD 4575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/1166</td>
<td>Agnes Anderson</td>
<td>310/13 Nicklin Way</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MINYAMA QLD 4575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/1167</td>
<td>Barry and Edna Fuller</td>
<td>3 Pheasant Street</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PARREARRA QLD 4575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/1168</td>
<td>Donald Munro AM</td>
<td>118/180 Swann Road</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>TARINGA QLD 4068</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/1169</td>
<td>M.E. and E.J. Bolland</td>
<td>103/2 Grand Parade</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PARREARRA QLD 4575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/1170</td>
<td>Tony and Bernadett Calleja</td>
<td>1 Richmond Place</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MINYAMA QLD 4575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/1171</td>
<td>John Sutton</td>
<td>129/10 Marco Way</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>KAWANA ISLAND QLD 4575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/1172</td>
<td>Shirley Hayes</td>
<td>22/10 Melody Court</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>WARANA QLD 4575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/1173</td>
<td>Joan Baker-Winn</td>
<td>No Address Provided</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/1174</td>
<td>Beverley Mortensen</td>
<td>JORDAN Area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Multi-Signed Petition</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/1175</td>
<td>Bob and Beth Auer</td>
<td>PO BOX 139</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MALANDA QLD 4885</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/1176</td>
<td>Leonard Gore</td>
<td>113 Oak Street</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CHINCHILLA QLD 4413</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/1177</td>
<td>Max Rider</td>
<td>22 Park Street</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CHINCHILLA QLD 4413</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/1178</td>
<td>Ronald Booth</td>
<td>PO BOX 145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CHINCHILLA QLD 4413</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/1179</td>
<td>Gwendoline Booth</td>
<td>PO BOX 145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CHINCHILLA QLD 4413</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/1180</td>
<td>David McDonald</td>
<td>DRILLHAM QLD 4424</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/1181</td>
<td>Kay Rider</td>
<td>22 Park Street</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CHINCHILLA QLD 4413</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/1182</td>
<td>Terry Maguire</td>
<td>Kilkenny, 64 Racecourse Road</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CHINCHILLA QLD 4413</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/1183</td>
<td>Arthur Squire</td>
<td>8 Covington Street</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CHINCHILLA QLD 4413</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/1184</td>
<td>Geoffrey Luscombe</td>
<td>4 Wondai Road</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CHINCHILLA QLD 4413</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/1185</td>
<td>Terry and Annette Sharry</td>
<td>2 Awinya Court MINYAMA QLD 4575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/1186</td>
<td>Lindy Clarke</td>
<td>34 Atkins Street CHINCHILLA QLD 4575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/1187</td>
<td>Len Budden</td>
<td>1 Nevell Street CHINCHILLA QLD 4413</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/1188</td>
<td>Julie Budden</td>
<td>2/76 Price Street CHINCHILLA QLD 4413</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/1189</td>
<td>Margaret Davis</td>
<td>34 Villiers Street CHINCHILLA QLD 4413</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/1190</td>
<td>B. Tinney</td>
<td>35 Colamba Street CHINCHILLA QLD 4413</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/1191</td>
<td>B.W.S.G. Bruggemann</td>
<td>28 Keating Street CHINCHILLA QLD 4413</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/1192</td>
<td>Barbara Cugley</td>
<td>11 Mykonos Court Kawana Island PARREARRA QLD 4575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/1193</td>
<td>Suzanne Cowell</td>
<td>No Address Provided</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/1194</td>
<td>Shirley Morton</td>
<td>No Address Provided</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/1195</td>
<td>Paul Morton</td>
<td>No Address Provided</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/1196</td>
<td>Sharon Emmerson</td>
<td>26 Magnetic Street PARREARRA QLD 4575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/1197</td>
<td>R. Easey</td>
<td>10 Price Street CHINCHILLA QLD 4413</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/1198</td>
<td>Wayne and Liz Male</td>
<td>KAWANA ISLAND QLD 4575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/1199</td>
<td>Paul Battle</td>
<td>11 Adelong Crescent BUDDINA QLD 4575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/1200</td>
<td>Rodney Feldhahn</td>
<td>12 Villiers Street CHINCHILLA QLD 4413</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/1201</td>
<td>Marion Feldhahn</td>
<td>12 Villiers Street CHINCHILLA QLD 4413</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/1202</td>
<td>Linda Delamotte</td>
<td>PO Box 36 BUDDINA QLD 4575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>President</td>
<td>Kawana Chamber of Commerce</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/1203</td>
<td>Paul Hughes</td>
<td>19 Cypress Court MINYAMA QLD 4575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/1204</td>
<td>Maria Colwell</td>
<td>No Address Provided</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/1205</td>
<td>Karen Dolbel</td>
<td>22 Elder Street CHINCHILLA QLD 4413</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/1206</td>
<td>Valmae Levett</td>
<td>37 Oomoo Street BUDDINA QLD 4575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/1207</td>
<td>Mark and Andrea Vickers</td>
<td>No Address Provided</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/1208</td>
<td>Craig Caldwell</td>
<td>9 Kunari Street BUDDINA QLD 4575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/1209</td>
<td>Sandra and Alan Ward</td>
<td>6 Murrindi Street MINYAMA QLD 4575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/1210</td>
<td>Trevor Gourlay</td>
<td>41 Adelong Crescent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/1211</td>
<td>Julie and Bryan Hansen</td>
<td>7 St Vincents Court</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/1212</td>
<td>John and Desleigh Langford</td>
<td>108/2 Grand Parade</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/1213</td>
<td>Betty Smith</td>
<td>79A Eleanor Street</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/1214</td>
<td>Leslie Smith</td>
<td>79A Eleanor Street</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/1215</td>
<td>Gloria Denise West</td>
<td>1/2 Point Cartwright Drive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/1216</td>
<td>Dianne Ball</td>
<td>44/7 Grand Parade</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/1217</td>
<td>Lorraine and Tiffany Samin</td>
<td>119/2 Grand Parade</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/1218</td>
<td>P.A. (Lex) Buchanan</td>
<td>18 Buchanan Road</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/1219</td>
<td>Gaylene Duracoski</td>
<td>3 Baleara Street</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/1220</td>
<td>Ashley Gibson</td>
<td>No Address Provided</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/1221</td>
<td>Patricia Blakeman</td>
<td>86/2 Grand Parade</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/1222</td>
<td>Charles McGuire</td>
<td>68A Counter Road</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/1223</td>
<td>Teresa Heon-Sook Cho</td>
<td>305/70 Mary Street</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/1224</td>
<td>Bob Clements</td>
<td>No Address Provided</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/1225</td>
<td>William Tainsh</td>
<td>4/251 Eumarella Road</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/1226</td>
<td>Cameron Smith</td>
<td>1/19 Barellan Avenue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/1227</td>
<td>Helen Mura</td>
<td>262 Harcourt Street</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/1228</td>
<td>Christine Tainsh</td>
<td>5/251 Eumarella Road</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/1229</td>
<td>Johanne Wright</td>
<td>8 Panavista Court</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/1230</td>
<td>Christine Tainsh</td>
<td>PO Box 1660</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/1231</td>
<td>Margaret Van Hees</td>
<td>1306/132 Alice Street</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/1232</td>
<td>Barry Rutherford</td>
<td>10/92 Welsby Street</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/1233</td>
<td>Kerry-Lee Sheard</td>
<td>15 Fyshburn Drive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Code</td>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Address</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/1234</td>
<td>Alex Myles</td>
<td>427 Oceanic Drive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/1235</td>
<td>Paul Nichols</td>
<td>6 Kunari Street</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/1236</td>
<td>Joyce Turnbull</td>
<td>13 Sunrise Road</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/1237</td>
<td>David Lightbody</td>
<td>3 Bridge Street</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/1238</td>
<td>Johanne Wright</td>
<td>47 Regency Road</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/1239</td>
<td>Peter Benkendorff</td>
<td>14 Forest View Court</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/1240</td>
<td>Emi Obara</td>
<td>The Palm, 11/10 Melody Court</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/1241</td>
<td>Darren Dahl</td>
<td>3 Gull Place</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/1242</td>
<td>Lucy Dahl</td>
<td>3 Gull Place</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/1243</td>
<td>Jay Cooper</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/1244</td>
<td>Stephen John</td>
<td>4/93 Leichhardt Street</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/1245</td>
<td>Charmaine Kennedy</td>
<td>30 Helm Crescent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/1246</td>
<td>Jocelyn and Len Martin, Jandowae Branch LNP</td>
<td>Allambe, 2192 Old Burrandowan Road</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/1247</td>
<td>Judith Kruger</td>
<td>9 Findlay Avenue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/1248</td>
<td>Eric Brown</td>
<td>49 Price Street</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/1249</td>
<td>Mervyn Evans</td>
<td>4 Little Street</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/1250</td>
<td>Ena Brown</td>
<td>49 Price Street</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/1251</td>
<td>Noela Travis</td>
<td>1/3 Platz Street</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/1252</td>
<td>Doreen Kleidon</td>
<td>53 Slessar Street</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/1253</td>
<td>Samantha Leutton</td>
<td>4 Black Avenue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/1254</td>
<td>C. Healy</td>
<td>25 Wambo Street</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/1255</td>
<td>Rita Holt</td>
<td>44 Beutel Street</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/1256</td>
<td>Laurette Fromm</td>
<td>1116 Wondai Road</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/1257</td>
<td>Bob Davis</td>
<td>34 Villiers Street</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/1258</td>
<td>Noreen Schwerin</td>
<td>62 Durah Street</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/1259</td>
<td>Kevin Pfeffer</td>
<td>94 Oak Street</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/1260</td>
<td>Richardson</td>
<td>12 King Street</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/1261</td>
<td>Beverley Daines</td>
<td>17 Mann Street</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CHINCHILLA QLD 4413</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/1262</td>
<td>Richard Kruger</td>
<td>9 Findlay Avenue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CHINCHILLA QLD 4413</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/1263</td>
<td>R.P. and J.W. Parker</td>
<td>364 Windmill Road</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CHINCHILLA QLD 4413</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/1264</td>
<td>Linda Tipene</td>
<td>57 Durah Street</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CHINCHILLA QLD 4413</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/1265</td>
<td>Judith and Ross Varnham</td>
<td>39 Ara Street</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CAMP HILL QLD 4152</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/1266</td>
<td>Nancy Cook</td>
<td>6 Magnetic Street</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PARREARRA QLD 4575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/1267</td>
<td>Joan Power</td>
<td>23 Bombala Crescent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>RAINBOW BEACH QLD 4581</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/1268</td>
<td>H. and I. Barndt</td>
<td>35 Oomoo Street</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BUDDINA QLD 4575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/1269</td>
<td>Rick and Sandra Messent</td>
<td>36 Jessica Boulevard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MINYAMA QLD 4575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/1270</td>
<td>Jennifer Mulholland</td>
<td>298 Widgee Crossing Road</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GYMPIE QLD 4570</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Online submission for **Kawana**

**Name:** Rae Willis  
**Address:** 10 Maui Court Parrearra 4575

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**
I wish to strongly object to the Queensland Government trying to change the boundaries of our area to be incorporated into the Buderim electorate, we as a community do not a single thing in common with the community of Buderim, we live a different life style, a different thought process and we wish to remain so. We do not want to have to travel up to Buderim to see our representative the one lane traffic up there is bad enough without adding to it. What gives this Government the right to try and change the whole dynamics of a community when they have no clue how it operates, when these people start to get out of there ivory towers and spend time with the people who elect them and see how communities operate they may have a better understanding of what is important to them. Leave well enough alone, we are very happy with the way the boundaries are thank you. I remain sincerely Rae Willis

Submission ID: 67021

Time of Submission: 18 Mar 2017 8:42am

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.214
Online submission for All Districts, Gympie, Noosa

**Name:** Margaret Ballantine  
**Address:** 35 Satinwood Rd Rainbow Beach 4581

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**
I am strongly against the electoral boundary change that would see Rainbow Beach moved under the Noosa electorate. This would disadvantage people in the area as you have removed us from the neighbouring suburbs of Cooloola Cove and Tin Can Bay. I have lived in Rainbow beach for 5 years and do not mind the drive to Gympie for any matters such as hospital, council etc. I have never even visited Noosa and if I had to go there to see the Federal MP it would take over 2 hours, we do not even have a sealed road to take us there. I also think we would become forgotten in the scheme of things, Noosa being an up market tourist area whereas in Rainbow Beach we are a small laid back town, accommodating mostly backpackers. It seems to me that taking Rainbow beach and Inskip Point as well as waterways is more of a land grab for the Noosa Electorate and very little thought has gone into this. regards Margaret Ballantine

Submission ID: 67022  
**Time of Submission:** 18 Mar 2017 8:46am  
**Submission IP Address:** 43.245.40.214
Online submission for **Nicklin**

**Name:** Ian Mellon  
**Address:** 57 mooloolah drive minyama 4575

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**  
WE do not want to become a part of Buderim electorate as we live in Kawana area - miles from Buderim - what happens in Buderim is nothing to do with our area. It is like lumping Brisbane and Sydney together. Whose crazy idea was this????

Submission ID: 67023  
Time of Submission: 18 Mar 2017 9:10am  
Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.214
Online submission for Kawana

Name: tony/ susan nahuys
Address: 2 abaco street kawana island 4575

File Upload: No file uploaded

Text:
another political stunt. totally disagree with these boundary changes.mp jarred bleijie is for us

Submission ID: 67024
Time of Submission: 18 Mar 2017 9:41am
Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.214
Dear Sirs,

We are members of Kawana Companions and Kawana Senior Citizens Inc., and on behalf of ourselves and many of our Senior friends who do not have access to a computer, we would object to the change of electoral boundaries to Buderim.

As we get older and lose our driving licences, to travel to Buderim to see our “local representative” would be a problem, and our clubs would not have a representative in Kawana.

We have great support from our MP at the moment and would not like that to change.

Lots more objections too numerous to put here ie: Our Beaches, Our Schools, Our sports grounds, Infrastructure etc etc.

Respectfully

Neil Leonard
Jean Leonard
Online submission for Kawana

Name: michael randall
Address: 87/2 grand pde, Parrearra, Qld. 4575

File Upload: No file uploaded

Text:
I am objecting to the electoral boundaries being moved.

Submission ID: 67025
Time of Submission: 18 Mar 2017 10:45am
Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.213
Online submission for **Kawana**

**Name:** cynthia randall  
**Address:** 87/2 Grand Pde, Parrearra.Qld. 4575

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**  
I am objecting to the electoral boundaries being changed in Kawana

Submission ID: 67026  
Time of Submission: 18 Mar 2017 10:51am  
Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.214
Online submission for **Kawana**

**Name:** Keiyh Radford  
**Address:** 27 Bahamas Ct Parrearra 4575

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**

To Whom it may concern. I must object in the strongest possible terms about the potential plan to incorporate Kawana, Minyama and Buddina into the Buderim electorate. Kawana and Co have always been and should remain a coastal continuance with its own unique requirements. At present we have a fine representative in Jarrod Bleijie who is thoroughly immersed in all aspects of our area and many of us would prefer to keep it that way. We have far more development both proposed and underway than anything happening in the Buderim area and I am concerned that any "Buderim based representative" would be totally out of touch with what would be best for us. Please leave us as we are and stop interfering with a system that is proving to work very well for our constituency. Keith Radford

---

Submission ID: 67027

Time of Submission: 18 Mar 2017 10:52am

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.214
We are against a boundary change to Buderim as we live in Parrearra where most people say where is that and please spell it so when we say Kawana straight across from the Kawana Shopping Centre, K beach, K Surf Club, K Library etc etc people know straight away where we are. We love our area as it is central well known and to split us will cause lots of confusion as straight away people think of Buderim being up on the hill. Other areas of Buderim are on the flat but are defined as Mountain creek, Glenfields etc. are you going to take away their named areas?? We are defined as KAWANA and would like to keep it as that with no other fancy names. Also our main bus service is also Kawana. Please reconsider this decision.

Thank you

Noel and Patricia Williams
128 Sunbird Chase, Parreara 4575
Online submission for **Kawana**

**Name:** Carolyn I Karklins  
**Address:** 6 Canary court PARREARRA QLD 4575  

**File Upload:** No file uploaded  

**Text:**  
This change is ridiculous and a waste of public monies. It makes our rep. not in our area and therefore not able to assess our local issues. Leave the boundary as is

Submission ID: 67028  
Time of Submission: 18 Mar 2017 11:50am  
Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.214
Online submission for **Kawana**

**Name:** Lynne Cadell  
**Address:** 23 Dominica Place Parrearra 4575  

**File Upload:** No file uploaded  

**Text:**  
I am concerned re the redistribution of electoral boundaries in Kawana and disagree with the proposed distribution. I am a member of the Kawana Tennis Club and the Kawana Library and my father was a very early resident of Kawana (at Buddina) since 1973. I now reside at Kawana Island. The electorate of Kawana is predominantly a coastal urban electorate. Buderim falls between the business districts of Maroochydore and Nambour. **THERE IS NO CONNECTION BETWEEN THE COASTAL URBAN SUBURB OF MINYAMA, BUDDINA AND PARREARRA AND THE BUDERIM ELECTORATE.** On consulting the proposed Kawana boundary it would appear that Sippy Downs and areas adjacent this western expanding suburb would be far better suited to join with Buderim than the eastern coastal areas proposed. Please give urgent consideration to my proposal and keep us here in Kawana.

Submission ID: 67030  
**Time of Submission:** 18 Mar 2017 12:09pm  
**Submission IP Address:** 43.245.40.213
Online submission for **Kawana**

**Name:** William Robert Markwell  
**Address:** 115/10 Marco Way Parrearra Q4575

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**  
I object to Parrearra being relocated to the Buderim Electorate as Buderim is considered to be a hinterland area whereas Parrearra and Kawana areas are considered to be beach suburbs. These areas have nothing in common.

Submission ID: 67033

Time of Submission: 18 Mar 2017 12:17pm

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.214
Online submission for **Kawana**

**Name:** Dennis Archer  
**Address:** 140 Chelsea Crescent MINYAMA QLD 4575

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**
The Commission has proposed that Minyama, Buddina and Parrearra localities are excised from Kawana and added to Buderim. While this may adjust quota percentages based on a prediction of elector numbers up to 2023, the Commission has not followed the very first of the guidelines as noted in the summary (pp10 of the Qld Redistribution 2017 .pdf) of the guidelines from section 46 of the Act. People who live in (on) Buderim travel to Maroochydore and Mooloolaba...to use the vernacular they are straight down the hill... in preference to travelling to Kawana...an area defined by the beachfront from Point Cartwright to Currimundi Lake...and containing numerous community and commercial organisations...the majority of which include Kawana as part of their nomenclature identification...similarly to Buderim having their own named community and commercial organisations Kawana is a coastal community...as opposed to Buderim which is based on a mountain village

Submission ID: 67034

Time of Submission: 18 Mar 2017 12:19pm

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.213
Online submission for **Kawana**

**Name:** Richard John Dohnt  
**Address:** 16 Wandoo St Minyama Qld 4575  

**File Upload:** No file uploaded  

**Text:**  
I have been a resident of Kawana electorate since 1985 when I purchased my home in Minyama and find it ridiculous that this area is proposed to be moved to the Buderim electorate. I have seen this area grow with its identity known as Kawana not Buderim. I am concerned that by moving Minyama, Buddina, and Parrearrra into Buderim electorate the suburbs and residents will lose true local representation and be forced into an electorate they have no cultural or business connection to. Residents will be forced to drive to the top of Buderim to see their local MP which will definitely result in less contact between the residents and their MP. If the proposed changes proceed Kawana electorate won't contain Kawana Shopping world, Kawana Hotel, Kawana Surf club and so many businesses known by Kawana. Let common sense prevail and KEEP KAWANA IN KAWANA ELECTORATE. Regards Richard Dohnt

Submission ID: 67035  

Time of Submission: 18 Mar 2017 12:26pm  

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.214
Online submission for **Kawana**

**Name:** Lucy Hazzard  
**Address:** 3 Antilles Street, Kawana Island Parrearra q 4575

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**
I strongly object to the proposed boundary change that would see Minyama, Buddina and Parrearra moved to the Buderim electorate. I identify as being from Kawana, living on Kawana Island and previously in Buddina. When my family & I chose to live here we wanted a home that was BEACHSIDE which Kawana is. I never wanted to be associated with living in an old folks village atmosphere area which Buderim is. In fact, we went to lengths not to buy in Buderim even though budget said yes. I will be very upset if the boundaries are redrawn. I've gotta say, I rarely go up that hill - why would I? When I do, I find a traffic jam and parking chaos and look forward completing my business so I can return to my beachside home. I love Kawana as a locality and agree that not having Kawana Surf Club, Kawana Shopping Centre, Buddina State School and everything else with Kawana in its name not as part of the Kawana electorate is ridiculous. You can not amalgamate beach and hilltop, even if only partially, into one electorate even if it's only as an electorate. Lucy Hazzard.

Submission ID: 67036

Time of Submission: 18 Mar 2017 12:35pm

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.213
Online submission for **Kawana**

**Name:** Graham Roberts  
**Address:** 16/7 Grand Parade Parrearra 4575  

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**
Dear Sir/Madam, I wish to lodge my objection to the proposed changes to the electoral boundaries for Kawana. Kawana has generally been the accepted name for the coastal strip between Minyama & Caloundra within which I have lived for over 25 years. The proposal to annex Minyama, Buddina & Kawana Island into the Buderim electorate would seem to me to be trying to fit a square peg into a round hole. I believe that we will get poor representation as we will be the only coastal part of the Buderim electorate. Coastal facilities that have been built up over the years between Point Cartwright & Currimundi, will under the proposal, be split into 2 setting the scene for fragmented management & discordant representation. I am sure that nobody within the electoral office has taken the time to step out of their comfortable office and had a look at the physical effects of this proposal and have relied solely on numbers of constituents I urge you to reconsider the proposed changes to the boundaries.

Submission ID: 67037  
**Time of Submission:** 18 Mar 2017 12:38pm  
**Submission IP Address:** 43.245.40.213
Dear Sirs,

We absolutely reject the proposed boundary change of our suburb Parrearra, being cut from the electorate of Kawana which previously was inclusive of the area known as Kawana Waters which also included the Suburbs of Minyama and Buddina in the Electorate of KAWANA.

We fail to see that this area has any connection with Buderim. The two areas are like chalk and cheese, a community on the coast which has grown rapidly with organisations specifically aligned to this coastal area and a semi rural area which has a totally different situation.

All the commercial areas, large and small relating to our Suburb and the area widely known as Kawana Waters, (Kawana Shopping Centre, Sporting Organisations, Surfing Clubs, Doctors, Dentists, Hospital, Mechanical, Clubs, Hotels, and many others are within five minutes of our suburb.

Having experienced what happens with Council amalgamations personally, it will be the same with electorates, with grants and funding drifting away from the most populated area to the seat of the parliamentarian’s office.

Please do not change this Electorate from its original grouping because it will then incorporate an area with two populations with very little in common!!

PETER and ANNE Lengenberg
To Whom It May Concern

Dear Sir/ Madam ,

We have lived in Minyama for the past 20 years . Our area has always been known as Kawana Waters since early 1960’s and everyone knows that Minyama ,Buddina & Parrearra are referred to as Kawana Waters.

We are concerned that by moving us Minyama ,Buddina & Parrearra into the Buderim electorate our suburb & residents will loose out on a true local representation.

We would have to travel to the top of Buderim to see our local MP, which is not fair for older residents.

We at Minyama like being in a coastal urban electorate. There is NO connection between our suburbs and the Buderim electorate.

Why would you have places like Kawana Shopping World, Kawana Ambulance Station , Kawana Surf Life Saving Club, Kawana Library , RSL –Sub branch just to mention a few NOT in Kawana they would be in Buderim .This is just ridiculous .

Please DO NOT change the boundaries and remove the suburbs of Minyama Buddina & Parrearra from Kawana where they belong.

Yours faithfully,

Graham & Coral Toovey
To the Commission

We wish to state our objections to the proposed changes to the current electoral boundaries.
We have been residents of the Island Point Villas on Kawana Way, Parrearra for ten years now and have settled well into our local community. We consider it ludicrous to contemplate the carving up of three communities that have formed part of a thriving coastal region for many years. In addition to this, or should we say as a result of this, we have supported our local members over a number of years and have received support from them in return. We do not wish to start again in another unknown electorate.

Regards

Ian & Wendy McDougall
Villa 47 239 Kawana Way, Parrearra.
Online submission for **Kawana**

**Name:** Don Lindsay  
**Address:** 122/10 Marco Way Parrearra q4575

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**
Kawana waters, Kawana Beach and All the coastal fringe suburbs , Birtinya, Bokarina Buddina, Mintpyama, my suburb of Parrearra,mwhich resides on Kawana Is , all of these and others comprise the Electorate of Kawana, it has been so for many years. Many community groups service this electorate, surf clubs, shopping villages etc that will be disjointed into Budderi which has no interest in this area whatsoever, it does not even have a significant geographical connection, people do not even recognise the adjacent areas that have crept into the fringe of Buderim as part of Buderim. We have Kawana beach which connects our community from Point Cartwright to Currimundi Lake, this connection will be divided if this insanity is passed. I am concerned and convinced that by moving Mingana, Buddina and Parrearra into Buderim we will lose the true local and extremely efficient representation we now have. This is a coastal electorate, no connection with Buderim which is largely business oriented whereas we are suburban, and tourist oriented. This type of redistribution was undertaken during the Jo years, referred to as the GerryMander, not sure this isnt the same thing and politically motivated.

Submission ID: 67038

Time of Submission: 18 Mar 2017 1:18pm

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.213
Online submission for **Buderim**

**Name:** Nigel Johnson  
**Address:** 18/2 Grand Parade, Parrearra, 4575

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**  
We as Electors in Kawana electorate do not wish to be transferred to the proposed Buderim electorate.

Submission ID: 67039  
Time of Submission: 18 Mar 2017 1:46pm  
Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.213
From: Boundaries
To: qrcsubmissions
Subject: Submission ID: 67040
Date: Saturday, 18 March 2017 2:06:58 PM

Online submission for Kawana

Name: Brian & Beverley Spencer
Address: Living Choice, 127/10 Marco Way, Kawana Island 4575

File Upload: No file uploaded

Text:
We strongly object to the proposed re-distribution removing the suburbs of Minyama, Buddina & Parrearra from Kawana to the Buderim electorate of which there is absolutely no connection between the coastal stretch of land between Mooloolaba and Caloundra and the business districts of Maroochydore and Nambour. These coastal based urban suburbs of Minyama, Buddina and Parrearra are culturally and socially connected with Kawana. Our major shopping and entertainment district is located at the Kawana Shoppingworld and Kawana Waters Hotel which should naturally remain in the Kawana electorate. Kawana Shoppingworld also acts as a major public transport hub, linking directly with the Sunshine Coast University Hospital as well as all other bus routes operating throughout the Kawana electorate. We urge you to take these strong objections into consideration before the final distribution is made.

Submission ID: 67040

Time of Submission: 18 Mar 2017 2:06pm

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.213
Online submission for **Kawana**

**Name:** Lesley Karen Dimmock  
**Address:** 21 Pacific Blvd Buddina Qld 4575

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**
I live in the district of Kawana Waters also referred to as Kawana. This is the coastal area includes a 10klm stretch of beach from Pt Cartwright south to Currimundi lake which is referred to as Kawana Beach and includes the following suburbs which also share the same postcode: 4575 MINYAMA 4575 BUDDINA 4575 PARREARRA (KAWANA ISLAND) 4575 BIRTINYA 4575 BOKARINA 4575 WARANA 4575 WURTULLA Our district of Kawana Waters should NOT be split by a new state boundary realignment that fails to recognise connection of local residents between these suburbs by name or even by postcode. We are a coastal urban community; there is no connection with the Buderim electorate which is not a coastal urban community by any stretch of the imagination. Please do not proceed with this boundary realignment which will split the Kawana Waters district and take some named places out of Kawana and placed them in Buderim, like: Kawana SLSC (would you also rename it to Buderim SLSC? Buderim is not a coastal town, nor is any part of the Buderim district coastal) Kawana Shoppingworld (would you rename it to Buderim Shoppingworld?) Kawana Library (would you rename it to Buderim Library) and so on with many other places named Kawana, all of which should remain in the Kawana electorate and not split off and added to Buderim. I herewith lodge my OBJECTION to the electoral realignment of MINYAMA, BUDDINA and PARREARRA (incorporating KAWANA ISLAND) out of KAWANA and into BUDERIM.

Submission ID: 67041  
Time of Submission: 18 Mar 2017 2:13pm  
Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.214
Online submission for **Kawana**

**Name:** Garry McGann  
**Address:** 80 Chelsea Crescent, Minyama QLD 4575

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**
Our local member advises you are proposing in taking Kawana out of the Kawana electorate... creating another stupid anomaly! This makes no real sense for any local identification- please reconsider before taking this action.

Submission ID: 67042

Time of Submission: 18 Mar 2017 2:26pm

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.213
Online submission for **Gympie, Noosa**

**Name:** Terisita Dianne Geissmann  
**Address:** 58 Bombala Cresant Rainbow Beach 4581 Qld.

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**  
I am a business owner and also live at Rainbow Beach. My business is Rainbow Beach Hardware, Fishing n Camping. As a business owner and a permanent resident the last thing we need is to be a part of Noosa! I very strongly object to the Proposal for the Redistribution for Rainbow Beach to become part of Noosa!! Terisita Dianne Geissmann

Submission ID: 67043  
Time of Submission: 18 Mar 2017 2:27pm  
Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.214
Online submission for **Gympie, Noosa**

**Name:** Bruce Michael Geissmann  
**Address:** 58 Bombala Cresant Rainbow Beach Qld. 4581

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**
I am a business owner and also live at Rainbow Beach. My business is Rainbow Beach Hardware, Fishing n Camping. I don't believe having our local member in Noosa will be any good for Rainbow Beach Businesses, local residents and our Tourist Industry!!! I very strongly object to the Proposal for the Redistribution for Rainbow Beach to become part of Noosa !!!! Bruce Michael Geissmann

Submission ID: 67045

Time of Submission: 18 Mar 2017 2:42pm

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.213
Online submission for Kawana

**Name:** Peter Harris  
**Address:** 23 Vauxhall Street Minyama QLD 4575

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**

To Whom it May concern I have lived in the KAWANA electorate for over 30 years (Buddina, Bokarina & Minyama) and see absolutely no good reason that we should be removed from our electorate I can only see disadvantages. We shop at Kawana we go out for dinner at Kawana and like spending OUR MONEY IN KAWANA. If you can give me a list of reasons that will advantage me by moving I'm happy to hear them. It seems to have been kept very quiet and has only been brought to our attention by our member (WHO WE ELECTED) Jarrod BLEIJIE. I feel that if we are moved to Buderim that we will not be represented in Minyama as we are now, also the suburb of Minyama is a coastal suburb where as Buderim is not and I feel that will just a suburb that will be left out. I AM DEAD AGAINST THE RELOCATION OF OUR SUBURBS AND SEE ABSOLUTLEY NO ADVANTAGE IN CHANGING IT. LEAVE IT THE WAY IT HAS BEEN FOR THE LAST 50 YEARS Peter & Cheryl Harris

Submission ID: 67046

Time of Submission: 18 Mar 2017 2:47pm

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.213
Online submission for **Kawana**

**Name:** Michael Anthony MOLLOY  
**Address:** 21 Pacific Blvd Buddina QLD 4575

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**  
My partner and I live in Kawana Waters, often referred to as Kawana. This coastal area includes stretch of beach from Pt Cartwright south which is referred to as Kawana Beach and includes the following suburbs which also share the same postcode: 4575 Minyama 4575 Buddina 4575 Parrearra (incorporating Kawana Island) 4575 Bittinya 4575 Bokarina 4575 Warana 4575 Wurtulla The proposed new state boundary realignment of our district of Kawana Waters should NOT proceed. The proposal fails to recognise the connection of local residents between these suburbs by name and even by postcode. Kawana Waters is a coastal urban community; there is no connection with the Buderim electorate which is not a coastal urban community. Please do not proceed with this boundary realignment which will split the Kawana Waters district and take some named places out of Kawana and placed them in Buderim, like: Kawana SLSC (Buderim is not a coastal town, nor is the Buderim district coastal) Kawana Shoppingworld Kawana Library, Kawana Community Centre, Kawana Ambulance Station and so on - many Buddina locations such as these are referred to as Kawana because they serve the Kawana district, not the Buderim district because Buderim have their own services. Takes these ones out of Kawana and add them to Buderim and then Kawana will be left without them. Are you also going to rename all these "Kawana" institutions to reflect the proposed association with "Buderim" from which they are totally disconnected. Many other places incorporate the name Kawana, all of which should remain in the Kawana electorate and not split off and added to Buderim. I herewith lodge my OBJECTION to the electoral realignment of MINYAMA, BUDDINA and PARREARRA (incorporating KAWANA ISLAND) out of KAWANA and into BUDERIM.

Submission ID: 67047

Time of Submission: 18 Mar 2017 2:53pm

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.214
Online submission for **Aspley, Everton**

**Name:** David Dallaston  
**Address:** U1 133 Albany Creek Road Aspley QLD 4034

**File Upload:** AspleySubmission_DavidDallaston.pdf, type application/pdf, 114.8 KB

**Text:**
Submission Attached.

---

Submission ID: 67048  
Time of Submission: 18 Mar 2017 2:54pm  
Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.213
18th March 2017
To The Commissioners,

I am writing to express concern about **Aspley proposed change B**, i.e. “Gains a small section of the Albany Creek suburb from Everton but transfers a small section of the Bridgeman Downs locality to Everton”. This change alters a small section of the electorate boundary so that it follows Albany Creek and Old Northern Road instead of the Brisbane City Council boundary.

While I agree following the creek makes for a tidier map, the reality is that the area has been developed as if the council boundary were the physical barrier. The two areas exchanged, the “Oxford estate” in Bridgeman Downs and the “Country Club estate” in Albany Creek, have their only road access via bridges across the creek.

Residents of these estates who seek help from their local member with schools, main roads, public transport or local development will now find the services they use are in the “wrong” electorate. I have particular concerns for the quality of representation of the Country Club estate, as they would be the only residents of the Moreton Bay Regional Council area in Aspley, an electorate which has otherwise been entirely within the Brisbane City Council area since 2009.

As the areas exchanged are relatively small and of similar size, I cannot see how this change can be necessary for population distribution reasons. If the Commission is not minded to revert it, I would appreciate further explanation for why this change has been made.

Yours,

David Dallaston
Online submission for **Kawana**

**Name:** Gerardus & Hendrika Luermans  
**Address:** 109 Grand Pde, Parrearra, QLD 4575

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**
-I am concerned that by moving Minyama, Buddina and Parrearra into the Buderim electorate, the suburbs and residents will lose true local representation and be forced into an electorate they have no cultural or business connections to. Residents will be forced to drive to the top of Buderim to see their local MP which will result in less engagement between the community and its representative. -Our major shopping and entertainment district is located at the Kawana Shoppingworld and Kawana Waters Hotel which should naturally remain in the Kawana electorate. Kawana Shoppingworld also acts as a major public transport hub, linking directly with the Sunshine Coast University Hospital as well as all other bus routes operating throughout the Kawana electorate.

Submission ID: 67049

Time of Submission: 18 Mar 2017 3:09pm

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.213
Online submission for **Kawana**

**Name:** Geoff Goble  
**Address:** 18 Pacific Blvd, Buddina, 4575

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**

We have bought our house near the coastal strip of Kawana Waters, in Buddina, just over 20 years ago. The issues of community interest are common along the coastal area and currently, with the existing boundaries, we feel we have a voice as we are joined with Bokarina, Wurtulla, Warana and Currimundi as a significant residential community with many common interests. The proposed change to move our area into Buderim, is not logical to us, us it will add a small pocket of beachside residents (us) to an existing, rural/residential electorate who have many differing issues and priorities. Obviously Kawana already covers some more rural areas, however we are currently an adequately significant part of our electorate to be listened to, and well represented by, whoever is elected. To move the northern end of what is Kawana Waters, into Buderim, is adding a pimple to a pumpkin. We do not logically fit from a community perspective. It simply does not seem logical or pragmatic to remove the Kawana Surf Club or the Kawana shopping centre from the electorate of Kawana. I rarely travel to Buderim for work or social or shopping etc. I do travel along the Coastal strip from Currimundi to Minyama, virtually daily, for the forementioned reasons. I request the Commission consider the opinion of the residents affected. I can truly state I have not heard of one resident who is happy with the proposed change.

**Submission ID:** 67050

**Time of Submission:** 18 Mar 2017 3:19pm

**Submission IP Address:** 43.245.40.213
Online submission for **Kawana**

**Name:** Steven Warne  
**Address:** 7 Malta Place Parrearra 4575

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**
I object the proposed boundary changes not only putting Kawana Island into the Buderim electorate but also putting places such as Kawana shopping world and Kawana surf club in there too. Does moving places such as these into the Buderim electorate mean we are going to have to change there names too. I don't think someone has really thought this through.

Submission ID: 67051

Time of Submission: 18 Mar 2017 3:27pm

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.213
Online submission for **Kawana**

**Name:** Ezio Allemano  
**Address:** 140/10 Marco Way Parrearra, Qld 4575

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**
Parrearra is currently part of Kawana and is home to a large number of retirees. We live in a Retirement Village called Living Choice which has over 250 elderly residents. Many more elderly people live in the neighborhood. We are entirely reliant on the shopping, medical, and service facilities which are mostly located in Minyama and Buddina and we rely for the representation of our interests on the local member which at present is located within easy reach. We are greatly concerned at the risk of losing the access to our local member and we are concerned at becoming a "fringe" area on someone else electorate where our priorities may not be important. Furthermore we are currently able to vote at the local facility which is in Kawana Island Boulevard within easy walking distance from our village. However on the basis of the proposed new boundaries we would lose this facility and we will need to travel an unknown distance to a voting booth. Please note that many residents will find this difficult due to their physical limitations.

Submission ID: 67052

Time of Submission: 18 Mar 2017 3:43pm

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.214
Online submission for **Kawana**

**Name:** Clare Stranger  
**Address:** U88, 10 Marco Way, Parrearra, 4575  

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**  
I am objecting to the boundary changes proposed for the Kawana electorate by the QRC. Along with many other reasons, I am concerned that by moving Minyama, Buddina and Parrearra into the Buderim electorate, residents will lose true local representation and will need to drive to the top of Buderim to see their local MP. Kawana Shoppingworld, Kawana Waters Hotel, and the Living Choice Kawana Island village, are but a few of the iconic entities that should remain in the Kawana Electorate, not Buderim!

---

Submission ID: 67053

Time of Submission: 18 Mar 2017 3:59pm

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.214
Online submission for **Kawana**

**Name:** Kerri Sugars  
**Address:** 74 Undara Avenue Buddina Qld 4575

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**

Dear Sir/Madam I would like to object to the suburbs of Minyama, Buddina and Parrearra being removed from the Kawana electorate and moved into the Buderim electorate because of a boundary change. I have been a resident of Buddina for 19 years, live within a stone's throw of Kawana Shoppingtown and the area has always been referred to as Kawana. I think it is only common sense that the Kawana Shoppingtown be part of the division of Kawana. Kawana Waters is an unofficial place name for a coastal stretch of land between Mooloolaba and Caloundra. Our area has been known as Kawana Waters since the early 1960's and is used by everyone as the commonly referred to name of our area. I don't believe the QRC has properly taken into consideration the strong communities of interest that are contained in the Kawana Waters region. Since 1959, Kawana has developed into a thriving community. We are serviced by wonderful community organisations including the Kawana Waters RSL Sub-Branch, Kawana Waters Surf Lifesaving Club, Kawana Library, Kawana Scouts, Kawana Community Centre, Kawana Rotary Club, Lake Currimundi-Kawana Lions Club, Kawana Waters Chamber of Commerce, Buddina State School, Minyama Neighbourhood Watch, Kawana Companions, Kawana Seniors and the Kawana Island Residents Association to name but a few. These community organisations have no connection with the Buderim community and may be forced to compete with existing Buderim-based organisations for funding, membership and volunteers. Please don't cause our respected, well used and much loved community organisations the disruption and expense for having to re-establish their location associations. The electorate of Kawana is predominantly a coastal urban electorate. Buderim falls between the business districts of Maroochydore and Nambour. There is no connection between the coastal urban suburbs of Minyama, Buddina and Parrearra and the Buderim electorate. These coastal-based urban communities are culturally and socially connected with Kawana. Redistributing these Kawana suburbs to Buderim will alienate the residents who strongly rely on Kawana for their work, business, social, educational, medical, community, sport, cultural and shopping needs. I am concerned that by moving Minyama, Buddina and Parrearra into the Buderim electorate, the suburbs and residents will lose true local representation and be forced into an electorate they have no cultural or business connection to. Residents will be forced to drive to the top of Buderim to see their local MP which will result in less engagement between the community and its representative. This already happens with our local government representation as they are located in Mooloolaba and couldn't care less what happens to residents across the river! The result of this boundary realignment would be no government representation for our area at local or state government levels. A terrible neglect of residents. Please don't leave us with no representation, respect the people's thoughts and wishes and leave Kawana residents in the Kawana electorate!  
Yours sincerely Kerri Sugars

Submission ID: 67054
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Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.214
Online submission for **Kawana**

**Name:** Ross Christie  
**Address:** 13 Mooloolah Drive, MINYAMA 4575  
**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**  
As a long term resident (35 years) of Kawana, a Life Member of Kawana Waters Surf Lifesaving Club and former Deputy Principal of Buddina State School, I am amazed that the Queensland Redistribution Commission would, in their wisdom, separate our suburb suburb from Kawana and include it in the Buderim electorate which has so little in common with Minyama. By removing us from the Kawana electorate the residents of our suburb will no longer have representation when the State Member for Buderim is lobbying for two totally different sections of our community. The Buderim M.P. represents a community with little in common with Kawana a coastal stretch renowned for its beaches and surf. As a member of Kawana Waters SLSC I can see that a change electoral boundary will do untold damage to our surf club and indirectly to the surfing safety of our beachgoers. I therefore request that you reconsider this redistribution which has obviously been done considering voter numbers alone, without considering the cultural and community values and links to our community. Thank you for considering this request.  
Kind regards, Ross Christie.

Submission ID: 67055  
Time of Submission: 18 Mar 2017 5:03pm  
Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.213
Online submission for **Kawana**

**Name:** John Ingram  
**Address:** 10 Bimini Street Parrearra 4575

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**
I wish to object to the redistribution of the boundaries in Kawana which removes Kawana Island from the Kawana electorate. This area as well as the Minyama and Buddina areas are part of a single suburban area and to split them will be detrimental the the efficient development of the area. We are split from the Buderim area by the Mooloola River and therefore our development is more connected to the Kawana area.

Submission ID: 67056

Time of Submission: 18 Mar 2017 5:06pm

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.213
Online submission for **Buderim**

**Name:** Kalon Wall  
**Address:** 23 Kooringal Crescent Buddina 4575

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**  
We will lose our sense of identity by amalgamating with a suburb that is a remote town in more ways than one. We do not want to compete with existing Buderim organisations for funding or memberships or volunteers. We will lose true local representation and will be forced to travel up the hill to see our local MP which will make it difficult for us to communicate with our MP. This proposal will affect all the infrastructure and transport systems and facilities that are in place in Kawana Waters. **WE DO NOT WANT A CHANGE!**

---

Submission ID: 67057

Time of Submission: 18 Mar 2017 7:20pm

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.213
Online submission for **Kawana**

**Name:** Vicky Berneville-Claye  
**Address:** 104 Sunbird Chase Parrearra Q 4575  

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**  
I am strongly opposed to removing Minyama, Buddina & Parrearra from the Kawana electorate. I was born on the Sunshine Coast 61 years ago and Kawana Waters has always been a well known local name to describe the Coastal strip of suburbs from Mooloolaba to Caloundra. I was young, around 4/5 years old when Kawana was established and today I live in this thriving coastal Community of shops, restaurants, Surf Club, Library etc etc. Buderim area is a beautiful mountainous business/cultural centre filled with many retirees/business/family people and I often frequent this area however their centre is an upmarket urban mountain area between Nambour and Maroochydore; We have no connection with the Buderim feel and vice versa; so this move would definitely affect future happenings in our area, and has a potential to disjoint our local coastal urban community feel. Marketing of tourism is important to the Kawana Waters coastal strip and this would be surely affected when three major suburbs are taken from that area. Parrearra would lack promotion and identify. If Buderim electorate needs to be widened I am sure there are more appropriate changes to the boundaries that could be made to include more like minded suburbs. I am sorry but I really can not see any positive in this decision for the people of Parrearra, Buddina, Minyama or Buderim.

Submission ID: 67058  
Time of Submission: 19 Mar 2017 6:03am  
Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.214
Online submission for **Kawana**

**Name:** Brian Croke  
**Address:** 10 Koolena St, Buddina, Q, 4575  

**File Upload:** No file uploaded  

**Text:**  
I live in Buddina, which has always been a part of Kawana Waters. In our area we have the Kawana Shoppingworld, Kawana Surf Life Saving club, Kawana Community Hall, Kawana Library. It makes sense to keep these in the Kawana electorate and not Buderim. A clear boundary is the Mooloolah River. Why change if its not necessary, let our area be represented by someone in Kawana, not Buderim. These areas are clearly different in nature. Let common sense rule, Buddina, Minyama, Parrearra to stay in Kawana.
Online submission for **Kawana**

**Name:** Tamara Warren  
**Address:** 29 Harbour Parade Buddina Q 4575

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**
I would like to register my objection to the proposed boundary change to remove Buddina, Minyama, and Parrearra from the Kawana electorate. I have lived in Buddina for sixteen years. It is a coastal community with little in common with the Buderim community. I feel we will not be truly represented if we are moved to the Buderim electorate. If boundaries need to be changed Palmview and Sippy Downs should be moved to the Buderim electorate, rather than the coastal suburbs.

--

**Submission ID:** 67060

**Time of Submission:** 19 Mar 2017 7:30am

**Submission IP Address:** 43.245.40.214
Online submission for **Kawana**

**Name:** JANE SIDDALL  
**Address:** Villa 134, 2 Grand Parade, Parrearra Qld 4575

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**
Kawana Waters is the unofficial place name for coastal land between Mooloolaba and Caloundra. This name has been in use since the early 1960s and is used by everyone as the commonly referred to name of our area. I don't believe the QRC has taken into consideration the strong communities of interest contained in our region. Since 1959 Kawana has become a thriving community. We are serviced by wonderful community organisations - which organisations have no connection with the Buderim community. I am concerned that moving Minyama, Buddina and Parrearra (Kawana Island) into the Buderim electorate, these suburbs and residents will lose true local representation and be forced into an electorate where they have no cultural or business connections. There is no connection between the coastal urban suburbs of Minyama, Buddina and Parrearra and the Buderim electorate. These coastal-based urban communities are culturally and socially connected with Kawana. Restitution will alienate residents who strongly rely on Kawana for work, business, social, educational, medical, community and shopping needs. The major shopping and entertainment district is Kawana Shoppingworld and Kawana Waters Hotel. These should stay within the Kawana electorate. Kawana Shoppingworld is a major public transport hub, linking directly with the new SCU Hospital.

Submission ID: 67061

Time of Submission: 19 Mar 2017 8:28am

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.214
The Secretary,
Queensland Redistribution Commission

Dear Sir/Madam,

I wish to object to the changes proposed to the Kawana Electorate.

My home is Buddina and I live next to the Kawana Shoppingworld. I teach dance at Kawana Community Centre and visit the Kawana Library.

I am also a relief teacher at Buddina School.

Buderim is a lovely village that I would visit once or twice a year as an outing for interstate guests.

I am very much a part of the Kawana Community and strongly object to the proposed changes.

Yours Sincerely,

Dianne Smith

1/19 Barellan Ave Buddina.
To whom it may concern!

I am concerned that by moving Minyama, Buddina and Parrearra into the Buderim Electorate, the suburbs and residents will lose true local representation and be forced into an electorate they have no cultural or business connection to. Residents will be forced to drive to the top of Buderim to see their local MP which will result in less engagement between the community and its representative. Do not try to fix what’s not broken.

Regards

Horst Ippendorf

147/10 Marco Way
Parrearra 4575 Qld

Sent from Mail for Windows 10
To: boundaries@ecq.qld.gov.au  
Subject: proposed change of boundaries for KAWANA electorate

To whom it may concern!

I am concerned that by moving Minyama, Buddina and Parrearra into the Buderim Electorate, the suburbs and residents will lose true local representation and be forced into an electorate they have no cultural or business connection to. Residents will be forced to drive to the top of Buderim to see their local MP which will result in less engagement between the community and its representative. We live in a retirement village and do not want to be send from a-z. Just leave everything as it is.

Regards

Monika Ippendorf

147/10 Marco Way
Parrearra 4575 Qld

Sent from Mail for Windows 10
Online submission for **Buderim, Kawana**

**Name:** Penelope Cameron  
**Address:** 21 Cayman Place Parrearra

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**  
I strongly believe that Parrearra should stay in the Kawana District, please do not move Parrearra (Kawana island) from the Kawana electorate.

Submission ID: 67062  
Time of Submission: 19 Mar 2017 9:47am  
Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.213
Online submission for All Districts

Name: Barbara Lamb  
Address: 11 vores rd whiteside 4503

File Upload: No file uploaded

Text:  
The pine rivers district has a long and important history. It is our identity and included numerous societies who associate with the name. THE NAME it's important and I object to the proposed change. Barbara lamb

Submission ID: 67063

Time of Submission: 19 Mar 2017 9:59am

Submission IP Address: 176.32.74.203
It has come to my notice from Jarrod Bleijies office that the Electoral Commission taking Minyama (where I Reside) Buddina, Parrearra, Kawana Shoppingworld and most of Kawana itself and moving us to the Buderim
This is so wrong and ridiculous. We have no affinity with Buderim, which is on the other side of the Moolooah River from us.
Pleasee request that the Queensland Redistribution Commission cease with this appalling idea. Judith Goode Minyama Sunshine Coast 6

Sent from my Samsung Galaxy Tab A on the Telstra Mobile network
Online submission for All Districts

Name: B. Wood
Address: 137/10 Marco Way Parrearra 4575

File Upload: No file uploaded

Text:
I wish to register my objection to the proposed changes for the following reasons: 1. So far as I am aware there has been no indication provided to the affected residents as to the rationale for the new boundaries i.e. why are the changes needed and why these particular changes? 2. Nor does there appear to have been any explanation as to the need for the additional politicians(4 as I understand it) to be elected to fill the vacancies in the new electorates. 3. In the absence of any such explanations why should the Queensland electorate be called on to meet the additional costs, both state-wide and within the affected electorates, associated with the proposed changes? 4. Where is the evidence that the current boundaries are wrong and/or that the number of current politicians are incapable of adequately and appropriately performing their responsibilities to their respective electorates i.e. that the current system in place is inadequate in any material way or that it prevents, or impacts upon, the operation of good governance in the state? 5. In brief----------"If it 'aint broke (and proven to be broke) then don't fix it."

Submission ID: 67064
Time of Submission: 19 Mar 2017 10:10am
Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.214
Online submission for Mcconnel

Name: Margaret Hinchliffe  
Address: 4421/40 Hollins Cres New Farm. 4005

File Upload: No file uploaded

Text:
I have lived in the electorate of Brisbane Central for the past 25 years and believe this is the appropriate name which reflects the geography and the history of this seat. I can't see any relevance in the proposed name of McConnell. I am in favor of retaining the name of Brisbane Central.
Online submission for **Kawana**

**Name:** Noel Rock  
**Address:** 122 Sunbird Chase Parrearra qld 4575  

**File Upload:** No file uploaded  

**Text:**  
We are in the electorate of Kawana and do not wish to be put into the electorate of Buderim through a boundary change. All our facilities are in the Kawana area and we do not visit Buderim. I strongly object to a possible boundary redistribution which will affect me and I will not have local representation, and be forced into an electorate which has no cultural or business connection which we now have in the Kawana electorate.
Online submission for **Mcconnel**

**Name:** Robert Hugall  
**Address:** 4421. 40 Hollins Crescent New Farm 4005

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**
As a resident of Inner Brisbane for over 25 years, I believe that the name 'Brisbane Central' both reflects the geography of the area and the history. I submit that as the capital of the state, and the seat of the Qld Parliament, it is important that the name of Brisbane be retained in the electorate and be represented in Parliament. However worthy the name McConnel, I submit that it is more important to retain the name Brisbane. Best regards Rob Hugall New Farm

Submission ID: 67067

Time of Submission: 19 Mar 2017 10:24am

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.214
Online submission for **Kawana**

**Name:** Margaret Schulz  
**Address:** 5 Antilles st

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**  
NO i do not want the transfer minyama, Buddina, Parrearra to be in the Buderim electrical it will always be Kawana. I am sure if this happens it to confusing to a lot of people.  
Thanks

Submission ID: 67068

Time of Submission: 19 Mar 2017 10:32am

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.214
Online submission for Kawana

Name: Cecily Brennan
Address: 8 Kunari Street, Buddina, 4075

File Upload: No file uploaded

Text:
I cannot comprehend why areas which are the heart of Kawana will now be included in the Buderim Electorate. The issues which concern the people of Buderim are far removed from the issues which are important to the local Kawana people especially as the environments of these 2 localities are so vastly different. Please reconsider these electoral boundary changes and come up with a fairer and alternative plan.

Submission ID: 67069

Time of Submission: 19 Mar 2017 10:40am

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.214
Online submission for **Kawana**

**Name:** Gregory Beale  
**Address:** 35 Jessica Boulevard Minyama

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**
I am concerned that by moving the boundaries Minyama, Buddina and Parrearra into the Buderim electorate the suburbs and residents will lose true local representation and be forced into an electorate than does not represent either the cultural nor the political view of the residents. The local MP has a long standing in our community and supports the residents. Our major shopping centre and entertainment district is located at the Kawana Shopping world and Kawana Waters hotel which should remain in the Kawana electorate. I do not believe that the Queensland Redistribution Commission has taken into consideration the will of the people and as a representative of us "the residents" it is my request that the electorate of Kawana be left as it currently is. Thank you, Greg Beale 35 Jeccica Boulevard Minyama 4575

Submission ID: 67070  
Time of Submission: 19 Mar 2017 11:09am  
Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.213
Online submission for **All Districts, Kawana**

**Name:** Genelle T Gold  
**Address:** 12 Dominica Place Parrearra Qld 4575

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**
Attention QRC members. In regards to the proposed changes to the electoral boundary for Kawana, Buddina and Minyama, the word "why" again rears it head with regard to what can only be seen as a politically biased decision. Who said the gerrymander days of the Bjelke Peterson era are gone. Who are these faceless beauracrats living in Brisbane, who have no physical, emotional or spiritual connection to the places or people they affect with what could only be politically biased motives.

The QRC members already know the affect this decision will have on the community, so I am asking the QRC committee members to give me three valid, non political reasons why the QRC has found it imperative to divide and alienate constituents from their community by changing the electoral boundary so dramatically. Anyone with any knowledge of demographics would never make such a decision to disconnect so many people from their local community. It may just be a line on a map to the QRC committee members but to the people it represents the actions of an ill informed committee with ulterior motives. The words "mountain "community (Buderim) and "coastal "community (Kawana) is really all you need to focus on - I live approximately 12 kms from Buderim, a community I have no connection to because my connections are to the Kawana community, less than 3 kms from my home. I know my parents, both close to 90 years of age, residents of the Kawana community, would struggle to make connections to whoever is the local MP in Buderim and feel "connected" to that community after all their years living in Kawana. Our communities could not be more further apart geographically and in our everyday lifestyles which beggars the question of how the Buderim MP and electorate could possibly relate to our needs and concerns. The outcome of this proposal is a no brainer! QRC members - Help the people of Kawana, Minyama and Buddina retain some faith in Government bodies such as yours by making the "right" decision in regards this ludicrous proposal. Looking forward to a logical, reasonable and intelligent response to my question. Regards Genelle Gold

Submission ID: 67071

Time of Submission: 19 Mar 2017 11:11am

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.214
Online submission for Bancroft, Kurwongbah, Murrumba

Name: Susanna Cramb
Address: Not supplied

File Upload: Proposed Bancroft boundary minimum adjustment.pdf, type application/pdf, 132.6 KB

Text:
Dear Commissioners, Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this. I compared the current and proposed electoral boundaries with the Australian Bureau of Statistics' ASGS 2011 and 2016 Statistical Areas 1 and 2 boundaries (SA1 and SA2, respectively), and it seems there could be advantages in attempting to match boundaries more closely with SA2 boundaries (and when necessary, SA1 boundaries), as a convenient unit which has annual estimated resident populations available. I am particularly interested in the newly proposed Bancroft boundaries which have now deviated from the Bruce Highway for a short stretch (which is a boundary of the relevant SA2s) before rejoining it again. I appreciate that Bancroft's eastern boundaries around Rothwell do not even match SA1s in parts, and perhaps it is not possible or desirable to adjust these, but I would appreciate it if alternative options could be considered. As a resident within the part of Kallangur that would be reassigned to Bancroft if the current proposal is kept, I do see disadvantages in being joined with North Lakes. At a local council level, North Lakes receives the vast majority of attention and funding for our local government area, so having a small portion of Kallangur joined with North Lakes at the State level is likely to also result in under-representation. Please refer to the attached map for the proposal to keep the boundary of Bancroft along the Bruce Highway (red line). I appreciate your consideration of these points, and wish you well in your deliberations. Yours sincerely, Susanna

Submission ID: 67072
Time of Submission: 19 Mar 2017 11:27am
Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.214
I don't want change in the boundaries Kawana leave as it is kawana thanks Edward Ryan and Bev Ford
Online submission for All Districts

Name: Penelope Anne Brockett
Address: 605/45C Newstead Tce NEWSTEAD 4006

File Upload: No file uploaded

Text:
It would appear to me to be an unnecessary waste of time and money to change that which has worked perfectly i.e. the name Brisbane Central which describes the area of the electorate perfectly. The name McConnel means nothing to me - I have lived here for almost 26 years and have never heard this name linked with this electorate in any shape or form. Don't waste our money for goodness sake!

Submission ID: 67073

Time of Submission: 19 Mar 2017 11:57am

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.213
Online submission for **Kawana**

**Name:** June Rock  
**Address:** 122 Sunbird Chase Parrearra Qld 4575

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**
I wish to register my objection to the proposed boundary change which will place my area of Kawana in the Buderim electorate. I do not have any connection to Buderim and don't ever have any need to visit there as I have all facilities on my doorstep, namely Kawana Shopping World, Kawana Library Kawana Waters Hotel and of course the office of the local member if needed. I don't fancy the trip up the hill to Buderim if I have need of help from the local member up there. I know you will say those first three facilities mentioned will still be there but by removing Minyama, Buddina and Parrearra from Kawana disconnects the intended grouping of this coastal stretch of suburbs that make up Kawana Waters.

Submission ID: 67074

Time of Submission: 19 Mar 2017 11:59am

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.213
Online submission for **Kawana**

**Name:** Angela Turnbull  
**Address:** 10 Curbarra Street Buddina. 4575

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**
My husband and I built our house in Kawana in 1989. We built here because there were services available which we knew we would need close by in our older age. Like, the Kawana shopping centre, Kawana Library, Kawana community Centre, Kawana Waters Lifesaving Club to name a few. We are concerned that by moving Buddina into the Buderim electorate, the suburb will lose true local representation and be forced into an electorate they have no cultural or business connection to. We are not interested in driving to the top of Buderim to see our local MP. We never go to Buderim now nor have anything to do with Buderim so we strongly object to the new boundary change. Robert & Angela Turnbull

Submission ID: 67075  
Time of Submission: 19 Mar 2017 12:15pm

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.214
Online submission for Kawana

Name: James Brennan  
Address: 8 Kunari St Buddina Q4575

File Upload: No file uploaded

Text:  
As a long-term resident of Kawana, I am very disappointed to learn that the suburb of Buddina is to be removed from the Kawana electorate. I am a member of Kawana Surf Lifesaving Club and cannot believe it will become part of the Buderim electorate, likewise Kawana Scouts, Kawana RSL Sub-Branch, Kawana Library. The list goes on. These organisations are a vibrant part of our community and our heritage. If the boundary needs changing, it would make more sense to include some of Sippy Downs in the Buderim electorate as many of the residents there frequent facilities at Buderim. Or perhaps, include some of the western part of Kawana, not Buddina. Buddina is the original, oldest settlement in Kawana and is its heart and soul. Buddina needs to remain in the Kawana Electorate!

Submission ID: 67076

Time of Submission: 19 Mar 2017 12:25pm

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.213
Online submission for **All Districts, Kawana**

**Name:** Patricia Margaret Marsden  
**Address:** 54 Oomoo Street Buddina QLD 4575

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**
I have just discovered that the boundary that I live is being changed!!!! I do not want and feel that it is totally unacceptable to have a local MP at the top of Buderim, should I wish to meet with him/her. I live a long way away from the top of Buderim and it is a terrible place to visit and park due to being so congested and not user friendly. At present my MP is local and this is how things should be. I play golf with people that live in Sippy Downs, and they are as confused and outraged as I am, as they actually don't want their MP to be located at Kawana. Why change something that is already working to the cost of the tax payer, and why not listen to the people and common sense. I urge the Commission to stop wasting money and leave the Boundaries as they are for the sake of the people that live in them and enjoy things as they are now. Your early comments would be appreciated.

Submission ID: 67077

Time of Submission: 19 Mar 2017 12:28pm

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.214
Online submission for **Kawana**

**Name:** Angela Johnson  
**Address:** 2/21 Iluka Ave Buddina Q 4575

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**  
Kawana has the rsl sub branch, Surf Club, Library, Scouts, community centre, rotary club, Buddina school, shopping centre, neighbourhood watch. We have no connection to the Buderim community. Please do not move Kawana in to Buderim

Submission ID: 67078

Time of Submission: 19 Mar 2017 12:28pm

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.214
I was unable to download the online form

I object most strongly to the proposed changes to the electoral boundaries. What is the point of placing our representative for Kawana in Buderim. Doesn't make sense to me.

I would like to suggest an alternative arrangement by removing West Caloundra which should never be in the Kawana district and if this is not sufficient then Aroona and Little Mountain and align those with Caloundra District.

We in Parrearrra consider ourselves part of the Kawano District and would like to stay that way!

Pauline Tetther
13/2 Grand Parade
Parrearrra 4575
Sent from Surface
Dear Sir/Madam,

5 Lawinya Street, Buddina 4575

We have your letter about the change from Jarrod Bleijie MP, Member for Kawana, Kevin J. Stevenson, and Marguerite S. Riley. We don't want electoral boundary change, we want Kawana to stay in Kawana.

Thank you for letting us know.

Marguerite Riley
Online submission for **Kawana**

**Name:** Joshua Christie  
**Address:** 31 Iluka Avenue Buddina 4575

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**  
RE: OBJECTION TO CHANGES IN BOUNDARIES OF KAWANA ELECTORATE  
Dear Sir/Madam, I am writing to object against the proposed changes for the Kawana electorate by the Queensland Redistribution Commission (the QRC). As I am a resident in Buddina I do not wish my current area to be transferred to Buderim. The Kawana boundary must continue to include Kawana Shoppingworld, Ambulance, Community Centre and MOST importantly KAWANA SURF LIFE SAVING CLUB of which I am a member. Our local MP must be in our area not at the top of Buderim. Further Jarrod Bleijie the member for Kawana does an amazing job for our residents. I do not want this to change. Let's be smart and logical and keep Kawana in Kawana! Thanks, Joshua Christie  
31 Iluka Avenue, Buddina, 4575 QLD

Submission ID: 67079

Time of Submission: 19 Mar 2017 1:12pm

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.213
Online submission for **Gympie**

**Name:** Margaret Johnston  
**Address:** 16 Manooka Dr RAINBOW BEACH Q 4581

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**  
Including Rainbow Beach in the Noosa electorate. A positive move as we have more in common with the coastal region of Noosa than the rural electorate of Gympie.

Submission ID: 67080  
Time of Submission: 19 Mar 2017 1:39pm  
Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.213
My husband and I were shocked to learn of the proposed plan to remove part of Kawana to the Buderim electorate. We have lived in Kawana waters for almost 30 years and are at a loss to understand this. We never go to Buderim and live near the Kawana surf club. We are a seaside suburb living opposite the beach and have nothing in common with Buderim. Since we purchased our first land here in 1987 we believed Kawana to be Wurtulla Bokarina Warana Buddina Minyama and more recently Parrearra and Birtinya. Why split this strong vibrant community apart.

June and Tim Dean
104 Pacific boulevard Buddina
Online submission for **Kawana**

**Name:** Graeme Preston  
**Address:** 156/10 Marco Way Parrearra

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**
We object to the Parrearra District being removed from the Kawana Electorate and the proposal for it to be added to the Buderim Electoral District. We do not understand the rationale in the proposal as most Parrearra residents make use of shopping and professional services located in Kawana. We are concerned that by moving Minyama, Buddina and Parrearra into the Buderim electorate we as residents will lose our true local representation and be forced into an electorate where we have no business or cultural connection to. As a resident of Living Choice Retirement Village on Kawana Island we have always enjoyed a good relationship with our local member and we would not like to see a dislocation of this relationship. The local member visits the village two or three times a year and is easily accessible in his office at Kawana for residents wishing to discuss relevant issues. We urge the Commission to revisit the proposal to remove Parrearra from the Kawana Electorate.

Graeme and Heather Preston

Submission ID: 67081

Time of Submission: 19 Mar 2017 2:02pm

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.213
From: Boundaries
To: qrcsubmissions
Subject: Submission ID: 67082
Date: Sunday, 19 March 2017 2:25:07 PM

Online submission for All Districts, Kurwongbah

Name: Kate Campbell
Address: 6 Vores Rd, Whiteside

File Upload: No file uploaded

Text:
I wish to object to the change of electorate name from Pine Rivers to D’Aguilar.

Submission ID: 67082
Time of Submission: 19 Mar 2017 2:25pm
Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.213
I wish to register that I am happy with the electoral boundaries as they exist and object to any changes in the near future.

Michael Haseler

Michael & Jan Haseler
Apt 160,
Kawana Island Retirement Village
10 Marco Way
PARREARRA
QLD  4575
Dear Madam/ Sir

I do have to ask whose brainstorming idea it was to cut the heart of the Kawana district and annex it to Buderim (Gods Waiting room) I do object to such a change, we have been and are one of the important parts of Kawana and to shift us to Buderim is just laughable. We have no connections with Buderim based Communities and if we want to see our representative we have to travel all the way up to the Mountain, which roads are blocked most of the time anyway. I urge you to reconsider and involve human considerations and not numbers only.

Mat Schlotterbach
Online submission for **D'Aguilar**

**Name:** Leigh and Diane Petterson  
**Address:** 7 Lambert Court Eatons Hill 4037

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**
As residents of Pine Rivers of more than 40 years, we object to the renaming of Pine Rivers electorate to D'Aguilar. Why does our history have to be changed all the time? Australia is a young nation but buildings and names of our history are regularly changed. We wont have a history if we continue to do this. Britain and Europe wouldn't think of doing such things. We have already lost our Pine Rivers Shire Council name. We object NO NO NO NO Leigh and Diane Petterson.

---

Submission ID: 67084

Time of Submission: 19 Mar 2017 3:06pm

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.213
Dear Sir
I am writing to protest against the move to make Kawana part of Buderim. We have been the suburb since 1959. We have grown from a very small community to a thriving wonderful community which includes a library, a shopping centre, a wonderful Kawana Community Centre which serves Kawana Companions, Kawana Seniors, We have Buddina State School and many other organisations in our area. We have nothing in common with Buderim, we are a coastal area and have a different culture to Buderim. I am afraid that we will lose true local representation.
I therefore submit my objection and hope that this will be successful in keeping Kawana Waters as is in the current Kawana Boundary.
Yours sincerely,
Janette Robbins,
Oomoo St,
Buddina Beach,
Qld 4575
I am absolutely appalled, even stunned, by the proposal of the QRC to remove the heart of the Kawana suburban electorate area by shifting it to join to, of all nearby electorates, Buderim!! What is the justification? Is it a punitive proposition to, in some way give this sitting government some sort of electoral advantage?

KAWANA IS Kawana! How do you justify the proposed new boundary? On what basis, other than political advantage? I can imagine our Club members (Kawana Probus Club) will also feel somewhat savage as am I.

Everyday my wife and I are involved in some mission that involves some organisation in Kawana titled Kawana (something or other). We have no interest in Buderim, rarely drive up the hill.

If the Commission succeeds in this redistribution, I will become a life-long vocal critic of the Commission and it’s current Government members. Of course I am an objector to the Commission’s proposition, which is as sensible as Donald Trump becoming President of the USA!!

Ron King, Kawana Island resident since 2006.
Online submission for **Kawana**

**Name:** Glenda RUSSELL  
**Address:** 20/2 Grand Parade

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**
I am concerned that by moving MINYAMA, BUDDINA and PARREARRA into the Buderim electorate, the suburbs and residents will lose true local representation and be forced into an electorate they have no cultural or business connection to. Residents will be forced to drive to the top of Buderim to see their local MP which will result in less engagement between the community and its representative.

Submission ID: 67085

Time of Submission: 19 Mar 2017 3:46pm

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.214
Online submission for **Kawana**

**Name:** Denise Dalton  
**Address:** 100/10 Marco Way Parrearra.

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**
The electorate of Kawana is predominantly a coastal urban electorate. Buderim falls between the business districts of Maroochydore and Nambour. There is no connection between the coastal urban suburbs and Buderim electorate. We belong to the coast area and there is no need to change any boundary to bring us together with a non coastal electorate. No, don't do it. Respectfully Denise Dalton.

---

Submission ID: 67086

Time of Submission: 19 Mar 2017 4:15pm

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.214
Online submission for **Gympie, Noosa**

**Name:** Julia Horn  
**Address:** Supplejack Court Lake Macdonald Qld 4563

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**
To whom it may concern, As a former resident of Rainbow Beach, I am overly concerned in regards to the proposed electoral division changes. Firstly, it seems that being a part of the Noosa Shire, the residents of Rainbow Beach, especially the elderly and infirmed would need to travel longer distances for medical/welfare services as Gympie would no longer provide these essential services. There is currently public transport provided for this purpose. The community link with Gympie for business' is a strong one. The proposal is unfair and impractical. My second objection to this boundary move is that the natural shoreline from Noosa Northshore to Double Island may become compromised and a mecca for resort like living because of the geographical link to Noosa. Many of the residents of Rainbow Beach chose this beautiful, unspoilt part of Qld because of the natural beauty and small town feel.

Submission ID: 67087

Time of Submission: 19 Mar 2017 4:18pm

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.213
Online submission for **All Districts**

**Name:** Tony Mack  
**Address:** 23 Yaringa AV Buddina  

**File Upload:** No file uploaded  

**Text:**  
I wish to make my objection known that as a Buddina resident and not Buderim resident that it would be obvious that our connection is towards Kawana should be kept intact. Our many groups in Kawana are in Kawana and not in another area ie. Buderim. We as Kawana fight for funding in our area and if we were out of our area I am sure this would be somewhat impossible to achieve due to being divided. I hope common sense prevails and our time and resources aren't wasted fighting this. Your faithfully Tony Mack  

---  

Submission ID: 67088  
  
Time of Submission: 19 Mar 2017 4:22pm  
  
Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.213
Online submission for **Buderim**

**Name:** Douglas William Hoggan  
**Address:** 132/10 Marco Way Parrearra Qld 4575

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**
We (my wife & I) have resided in the Kawana Island Retirement Village for over 10 years. Our life & interests are strongly attached to the Kawana area. This is our area & we have taken an active interest in our area. It is disturbing to us that we are now being added to Buderim Electorate. This is exactly like the super council decision to incorporate Caloundra, Marouchydore & Noosa. What a disaster that was. Noosa took control & NOTHING was ever done. Now you want us to link to Buderim. We live in the KAWANA area. Stop the political nonsense & leave us alone.

Submission ID: 67089

Time of Submission: 19 Mar 2017 4:43pm

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.213
Hi,

We are three voters in the Qld state seat of Kawana. Namely Philip Stevens, Melissa Stevens and David Stevens all residing at 66 Point Cartwright Drive, Buddina.

We are strongly against the plan to move parts of Kawana electorate into the Buderim electorate. Removing Kawana shopping centre, library, Centrelink and so on into Buderim effectively removes the heart of Kawana. In addition, the outlook and mindset of Buderim people is quite different to those of Kawana. Kawana is a beach side suburb, whereas Buderim is in the interior.

So, please do not go ahead with this plan.

Regards,

Phil, Melissa and David Stevens
Dear Sirs,

I wish to object to the new boundary change which merges Kawana Waters into the boundary with Buderim.

I am concerned by moving Buddina Beach, Minyama and Parrearra into the Buderim Electorate that our residents will not receive true local representation. There is no connection between our coastal-based suburb to the Buderim electorate. Our suburbs rely strongly on Kawana for our work, business on, our social, educational, medical, community, sport, cultural and shopping needs.

I trust that you will take this into your consideration when you propose the new boundary changes to this area.

I remain,  
Yours sincerely,  
Clifford Robbins,  
60 Oomoo Street,  
Buddina  
Qld 4575

Sent from Windows Mail
Online submission for **Kawana**

**Name:** Donald Basil McCulloch  
**Address:** 14 Coomaroo Crescent Minyama Qld 4575

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**
I wish to submit that the proposed removal of the suburbs of Minyama, Buddina and Parrearra from the Kawana electorate to the Buderim electorate is totally illogical on geographical, historical and ‘within-electorate shared community interests’ grounds. As a property owner, ratepayer and waterside resident of Minyama for almost 30 years, I believe that the proposal goes against all democratic principles of “shared community interests” within electorates, thereby effectively partially disenfranchising the residents transferred, and that the proposal should be immediately rescinded. My grounds are as follows:- 1. The Mooloolah River is the natural geographic northern boundary of the principally waterside areas developed and generally referred to as ‘Kawana Waters. The above 3 suburbs form the northern waterside region of that entire Kawana Waters area and have been closely bonded to it for almost 60 years, historically, geographically and in shared interests and aspirations. They should therefore be left, together with all the other Kawana Waters’ waterside suburbs, within a common electorate. To do otherwise would tragically and totally shatter a plethora of longstanding and invaluable community bonds. 2. The Buderim electorate, to which the proposed boundary change would transfer the suburbs of Minyama, Buddina and Parrearra, is a predominantly “rural and small towns” electorate with which they would have few, if any, genuine common interests and, indeed, probably have largely conflicting interests. Such an outcome would certainly not be of any public, State or National benefit.

Submission ID: 67090

Time of Submission: 19 Mar 2017 4:52pm

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.213
Online submission for **Kawana**

**Name:** Jill Holt McCulloch  
**Address:** 14 Coomaroo Crescent Minyama Qld 4575

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**
I wish to submit that the proposed removal of the suburbs of Minyama, Buddina and Parrearra from the Kawana electorate to the Buderim electorate is totally illogical on geographical, historical and ‘within-electorate shared community interests’ grounds. As a property owner, ratepayer and waterside resident of Minyama for almost 30 years, I believe that the proposal goes against all democratic principles of ‘shared community interests’ within electorates, thereby effectively partially disenfranchising the residents transferred, and that the proposal should be immediately rescinded. My grounds are as follows:-

1. The Mooloolah River is the natural geographic northern boundary of the principally waterside areas developed and generally referred to as ‘Kawana Waters’. The above 3 suburbs form the northern waterside region of that entire Kawana Waters area and have been closely bonded to it for almost 60 years, historically, geographically and in shared interests and aspirations. They should therefore be left, together with all the other Kawana Waters’ waterside suburbs, within a common electorate. To do otherwise would tragically and totally shatter a plethora of longstanding and invaluable community bonds.

2. The Buderim electorate, to which the proposed boundary change would transfer the suburbs of Minyama, Buddina and Parrearra, is a predominantly “rural and small towns” electorate with which they would have few, if any, genuine common interests and, indeed, probably have largely conflicting interests. Such an outcome would certainly not be of any public, State or National benefit.

**Submission ID:** 67091

**Time of Submission:** 19 Mar 2017 4:57pm

**Submission IP Address:** 43.245.40.214
Dear Sir,

The following is our Submission for Rainbow Beach / Noosa Redistribution.

The proposal for Rainbow Beach to be moved into the Noosa Electorate is against community interest and makes no sense as this will split the communities of Tin Can Bay, Cooloola Cove & Rainbow Beach. We are connected to Tin Can Bay, Cooloola Cove & Gympie and to have future decisions made for Rainbow beach from the distance of Noosa is detrimental to the people of Rainbow Beach and surrounding areas.

Rainbow Beach’s community interest is with Gympie and has little in common with Noosa. There is no direct coastal road link that is sealed to Noosa and would mean a 2 hour round trip each way just to meet with our state member. Rainbow Beach is serviced from Gympie for state government, local government, business & community services. Local residents also go to Gympie for the hospital, businesses, government & council services, disaster management, high school & emergency services.

These proposed changes could mean we may lose control of what happens regarding the future of our beach regulations and the town of Rainbow. This may also impact the future use of the waterways of Tin Can Bay.

In the proposed changes Tiaro has replaced Rainbow Beach in the Gympie electorate despite the fact that Tiaro has more connections with Maryborough than Gympie and Rainbow Beach has more connections with Gympie than Noosa and their voting numbers are very similar, again it makes no sense.

Leave Rainbow Beach in the Gympie electorate where the needs of this community are understood and historically connected.

Regards
Garry & Julie Hewitt
28 Manooka Drive
Rainbow Beach 4581
Online submission for Noosa

Name: Garry Hewitt  
Address: 28 Manooka Drive Rainbow Beach 4581 QLD

File Upload: No file uploaded

Text:
The proposal for Rainbow Beach to be moved into the Noosa Electorate is against community interest and makes no sense as this will split the communities of Tin Can Bay, Cooloola Cove & Rainbow Beach. We are connected to Tin Can Bay, Cooloola Cove & Gympie and to have future decisions made for Rainbow beach from the distance of Noosa is detrimental to the people of Rainbow Beach and surrounding areas. Rainbow Beach’s community interest is with Gympie and has little in common with Noosa. There is no direct coastal road link that is sealed to Noosa and would mean a 2 hour round trip each way just to meet with our state member. Rainbow Beach is serviced from Gympie for state government, local government, business & community services. Local residents also go to Gympie for the hospital, businesses, government & council services, disaster management, high school & emergency services. These proposed changes could mean we may lose control of what happens regarding the future of our beach regulations and the town of Rainbow. This may also impact the future use of the waterways of Tin Can Bay. In the proposed changes Tiaro has replaced Rainbow Beach in the Gympie electorate despite the fact that Tiaro has more connections with Maryborough than Gympie and Rainbow Beach has more connections with Gympie than Noosa and their voting numbers are very similar, again it makes no sense. Leave Rainbow Beach in the Gympie electorate where the needs of this community are understood and historically connected. Garry Hewitt 28 Manooka Drive Rainbow Beach 4581

Submission ID: 67124

Time of Submission: 20 Mar 2017 1:30pm

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.213
I wish to record my objection to the proposed boundary changes which places my address on Kawana Island/Parrearra as an afterthought to the Buderim electorate.

Admittedly a large proportion of Parrearra is feasible to be transferred, but the narrow strip buried in an area surrounded by Warana and Sippy Downs will be isolated and it would make it virtually impossible for me to have personal contact with my MP. My distance driving is limited these days and the poor public transport can be discounted so the irony of this situation is that if or should I have to personally see my proposed MP I would have to travel several Km north and pass the office of my current MP before proceeding to Buderim. Presumably by taxi.

Why is it not possible to draw a straight line above this isolated strip and leave it as it is now? I recollect when I lived in Cairns an electorate boundary line went right through the middle of my street.

All my facilities shopping, entertainment, clubs etc. are in this area so it would mean that I would be unable to get help about them from my proposed new MP’s should I need it.

Please reconsider cutting me off.

Beryl Dodge
Grand Parade
Kawana Island
Online submission for **Kawana**

**Name:** Glenn Trickett  
**Address:** 58 Jessica Blvd. Minyama Qld 4575

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**
After receiving the proposed boundary changes to Kawana I can definitively see why this country is in the shit. With everything else that is going on in the country we are spending money and time on moving lines on a map, for what reason I have no idea. Kawana is a region that has been developed over many years and the people of the north end of Kawana are proud to be a part of it. If I wanted to be a part of Buderim I would go and live on the hill. So I strongly oppose any change to the boundarys.

Submission ID: 67092

Time of Submission: 19 Mar 2017 6:51pm

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.213
Online submission for **Kawana**

**Name:** Christine Trickett  
**Address:** 58 Jessica Blvd. Minyama 4575

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**
It has been brought to my attention that the electoral boundary is proposed to be changed for the northern suburbs of Kawana by the QRC. I cannot see any reason for this change whatso-ever. We in the Kawana area have a great community life and all fits well in our area. I do not want or see the need for this proposed change.

Submission ID: 67093

Time of Submission: 19 Mar 2017 7:10pm

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.213
To whom it may concern,

I am writing this email to object to the proposed boundary changes to the Kawana electorate area to which I am a resident that will be directly affected.

As a resident of Parrearra I conduct all my life activities here in my beachside community, I exercise, shop, school my children, participate in sport and socialise here locally. I value having my local member here and close to the actual happenings of our electorate.

As much I appreciate all that Buderim has to offer I do not believe that a representative from up on the hills can understand the needs and wants of a community so far different to that of which they are used to working for.

I fear that the area will fall behind and be considered secondary to needs of its original electoral area. I feel very proud of the standard that the Kawana community sets and maintains, I feel it is safe, clean and well presented and a part of the sunshine coast that visitors will speak highly of after they visit, a commodity that is very valuable to our growth and prosperity of our coast.

A reason for my choosing this area to raise my family is because it has a local representation from a person that has real time understanding of the area, losing this would be a great dissatisfaction with the people that govern us.

I do not want the electoral boundary to change and I am happy to voice my opinion again if needed.

regards

James Newbury
Parrearra
Kawana electorate
I oppose the name change and would like to see Brisbane Central retained as the name. McConnel means nothing to me, I don't even know who it's named after, possibly a white heterosexual man. I would rather see electorates given meaningful names to make life easier for voters as we have an aging population. Brisbane Central would be easy for us voters to remember, there's no point changing it for the sake of changing it. I don't oppose change and I'm very open to it, yet this doesn't make any sense to me as to the reason for the change.
Online submission for **Oodgeroo**

**Name:** Anon  
**Address:** Withheld

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**  
What the hell is Oodgeroo???? This area is CLEVELAND leave it as CLEVELAND.

Submission ID: 67095

Time of Submission: 19 Mar 2017 9:00pm

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.213
I have lived in Buddina since 1976, also known as part of Kawana. I do not wish to be part of Buderim as Buddina has no similarities to Buderim. We are coastal and need to be retained as part of the coastal strip known as Kawana. I don't shop at Buderim, don't want to live in an area connected to Buderim and don't want to go up the hill on top of Buderim, quite a long way, to vote or see my mp. Any Buderim representative would not have a clue to the needs of our coastal strip.

The redistribution seems a crazy idea and not conducive to the Kawana community spirit.

I want Buddina, Minyama and Parrearra to stay as part of the entire existing Kawana community also known as Kawana Waters.

I shop at Kawana Shoppingworld, have required the services of Kawana ambulance, use the Kawana library and go to Kawana Surf Club, and you want to remove all these out of Kawana to Buderim! Why? It does not make any sense and I object to it! It is ridiculous and I do not want my suburb or any parts of the existing Kawana area removed by boundary changes.

Christine Messenger, 8 Koolena St, Buddina
Online submission for **Gympie**

**Name:** Heather Stevenson  
**Address:** 4 Bomburra ct, Rainbow Beach, 4581

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**
I am against Rainbow Beach being separated from the rest of the Cooloola Coast communities. We are and always have been part of Cooloola and I can't see how a state rep from Noosa can work for our area that is so far away from Noosa. I think it is too easy for a couple of pencil pushers to braw a line on a map without any thought for the ramifications to our communities or the people that live in them.

Submission ID: 67096

Time of Submission: 20 Mar 2017 7:12am

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.214
Online submission for **All Districts, Kawana**

**Name:** Anthony Ayres  
**Address:** 27 Beltana Crescent, Buddina, 4575

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**

Dear Sir/Madam, I am writing to strongly oppose the proposed electoral boundary changes for Kawana. This is a ridiculous proposal for this community. My wife and have grown up here and been a part of this community since 1980. We both went to Buddina primary school, have been members of Kawana SLSC. We call Kawana 'home', not Buderim. When we moved to Kawana, it was a small place and basically consisted of Buddina, about half of Warana, half of Minyama and part of Parrearra. Since then, 'Kawana' has grown southward and westward from this point. Kawana has evolved from the very area that's being proposed to be cut off. The suburbs that are proposed to be expelled are essentially the fabric of Kawana, the very roots of the community. Leave it where it is. Point Cartwright and the lighthouse are synonymous with Buddina and Kawana. You don't go to Buderim for a day the beach or to hang out at Point Cartwright. Don't put this community into Buderim, we are Kawana and want to remain Kawana. Regards, Anthony Ayres BM. Member of Kawana

Submission ID: 67097

Time of Submission: 20 Mar 2017 7:39am

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.213
Dear sir / madam, I live in pine rivers and I am not in favor of an electoral name change to D'aguilar it has less connection and history with the majority of the electorate.

BJ Lanham
Dear Sir, Please be advised that though I understand the reasoning behind electoral boundaries changes is to try and maintain a fair voting numbers in each electorate in Qld.

The proposed changes to include Rainbow Beach in the Noosa electorate make no sense for the following reasons:

1. Rainbow Beach is in the Cooloola shire and not a part of the Sunshine Coast.

2. The Cooloola National Park make a natural boundary between the two electorates.

3. For any voter living in Rainbow beach to attend the office of the Member would involve a road of trip of nearly 4 hours, which is totally unacceptable, given that it is the Qld Coast we are talking about. Can you imagine the uproar by Noosa voters if the Members office just happened to be in Rainbow Beach?

4. The majority of voters in Rainbow Beach use Gympie as the major town where they do business
Online submission for Kawana

Name: Peter Miles
Address: 7 Capri Crt Parrearra QLD 4575

File Upload: No file uploaded

Text:
The proposed change to the electoral boundaries for Kawana does not make sense from a representative point of view. On paper it may seem 'graphically' neater in a squarer shape but the proposed change deletes Parrearra, Minyama and Buddina which are geographically linked communities. They are bounded by the Mooloolah River on the north and all form part of the City of Caloundra and are best represented by someone in that local community.

Submission ID: 67098

Time of Submission: 20 Mar 2017 8:08am

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.213
I wish to put forward an objection to the new boundaries of the Kawana electorate.

To my mind and that of my family the excision of the suburbs of Parrearra, Buddina, and Minyama to the electorate of Buderim is inappropriate as the entire beachside suburbs should logically be grouped together and not be included in a semi rural electorate to maintain the cultural and lifestyle balance of the region.

Therefore, I propose that the electorate follows the natural boundary of the Mooloolah river.

Yours faithfully

Alan Carey
Dear Sir/Madam,

I wish to lodge my objection to the proposed boundary changes to the Kawana Electorate.

The region of Kawana encompasses the suburbs of Minyama, Buddina, and Parrearra. The proposed changes will remove these suburbs from Kawana and into Buderim. This will disconnect the intended grouping of this coastal stretch of suburbs. It will disadvantage the residents of Minyama, Buddina, and Parrearra.

I am also concerned that by grouping the above suburbs into the Buderim Electorate the local residents will lose true local representation. The Buderim electorate office is located on the top of Mt Buderim. This will also result in less engagement between the community and the elected representative.

Furthermore there is no connection between the coastal suburbs of Minyama, Buddina, and Parrearra and the current Buderim Electorate. These coastal communities are not aligned with the Buderim communities economically, culturally or socially. This alienation caused by the redistribution will disadvantage residents, businesses and the general communities that currently rely on the Kawana region for strong local representation. I do not believe that the Buderim Electorate is attuned to the needs of the coastal areas of Minyama, Buddina, and Parrearra.

I urge the commission to reconsider the proposed changes as it will disadvantage residents of the Minyama, Buddina, and Parrearra suburbs.

Kind regards,
Guy Montgomery
10 Mooloolah Island
Minyama
Without Prejudice

The Secretary
Queensland Redistribution Commission

Dear Sir/Madam/Ms

I am writing to object to the proposal that Minyama, Buddina, and Parrearra (Kawana Island) are to be transferred to the Buderim electorate.

Clearly whoever came up with this idea does not know the constituents of the surf side suburbs very well. They are 'sea changers' whilst the present Buderim constituents are 'Tree Changes'. These two bodies of people are night and day. What will appeal to the Buderim set will certainly not appeal to the Kawana set, and it is ridiculous to imagine for even a nano second that a minister based in Buderim would be able to satisfy the needs of two completely different styles of community. It's like trying to mix oil and water, it simply can't be done.

Buderim is already a huge sprawling region. In fact, it sprawls so far and wide that it's getting to the stage where much of the Sunshine Coast is just Buderim! Buderim meanders from areas near Sippy Downs, as far as Wises Road in Maroochydore, to Buderim Meadows below 'Buderim on Top'. How anyone in their right mind could possibly imagine that it's boundaries need to be larger is anyone's guess.

I can just imagine what our interstate visitors will think should Kawana Waters Surf Life Saving Club which is right on the beach, should suddenly come under the Buderim electorate. The Southerner's already imagine they are far more informed than Queenslanders. Queenslanders are often the butt of people's jokes down south with comments like (it could only happen in Queensland), and a move like this would only confirm we have a bunch of drongos at the helm! I for one don't want that.

We retired up here from Sydney, and every time this type of comment was made I was infuriated. However, after reading about this ridiculous proposal, I fear they may well have had a point. I urge you not
to make any rash moves, which can only end badly. Look what happened when attempts were made to prevent Noosa from having it's own council. Didn't go too well, did it?

Yours sincerely

Susan Radford
27 Bahamas Circuit
Kawana Island  4575

We of the waterside suburbs have thriving social communities, all supported and funded by the residents of the Kawana region. None of us are going to want to support the boring old arts & craft centre in Buderim or anything else up that way when we can be supporting other fund raisers in our OWN area.

As I walked along the Kawana cycle path this afternoon, I spoke to other residents, and not one was in favour of this outlandish proposal.
Queensland Redistribution Commission

Dear Sir

I strongly object to the proposed boundary change which will effect the Kawana Electorate.

I have lived in the Kawana Waters area since 1993, this area extends from Currimundi to the Bridge just passed Kawana Shoppingworld. We are serviced by wonderful community organisations, most bearing the name Kawana or Kawana Waters. These include the Kawana Waters RSL Sub-Branch, Kawana Waters Surf Club, Kawana Library, Kawana Scouts and the list goes on, we have the Kawana Shoppingworld, and the Kawana Waters Hotel, if the redistribution goes ahead all these places will now be in the Buderim Electorate, How Crazy is that.?

Please do not move our respected and much loved community organisations to the Buderim electorate, we are a costal community enjoying our white beaches, and most people in the area have no links to the Buderim area.

I trust you will rethink this proposed boundary change and leave the Kawana Waters electorate intact.

Yours Faithfully

Violet Armstrong
54/10 Marco Way
Parrearra Qld 4575
My name is Wendy Goldshaft and I reside in Parrearra, a suburb of Kawana. I object to removing the suburbs of Minyama, Buddina and Parrearra from Kawana.

The electorate of Kawana is a coastal urban electorate. Buderim falls between the coastal urban suburbs of Minyama, Buddina and Parrearra and the Buderim electorate. Redistributing these Kawana suburbs to Buderim will alienate the residents who strongly rely on Kawana for their work, business, social, educational, medical, community, sport, cultural and shopping needs.
Online submission for **Kawana**

**Name:** Leanne Downs  
**Address:** 1/124 Pacific Blvd, Buddina 4575

**File Upload:** Reasons against removing the suburb of BUDDINA from KAWANA.docx, type application/vnd.openxmlformats-officedocument.wordprocessingml.document, 105.4 KB

**Text:**  
Reasons against removing Buddina from Kawana - document is attached

---

Submission ID: 67099  
Time of Submission: 20 Mar 2017 9:23am  
Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.213
Reasons against removing the suburb of BUDDINA, MINYAMA and PARREARRA from KAWANA

1. Kawana Waters is an unofficial place name for a coastal stretch of land between Mooloolaba and Caloundra. Our area has been known as Kawana Waters since the early 1960's and is used by everyone as the commonly referred to name of our area. I don't believe the QRC has properly taken into consideration the strong communities of interest that are contained in the Kawana Waters region.

2. Within the Kawana Waters area lie the suburbs of Birtinya, Bokarina, Buddina, Minyama, Parrearra, Warana and Wurtulla. Removing Minyama, Buddina and Parrearra from Kawana disconnects the intended grouping of this coastal stretch of suburbs that make up Kawana Waters.

3. One of the connecting features of our community is Kawana Beach, from Point Cartwright to Currimundi Lake, 10 Kilometres of white sand.

4. Since 1959, Kawana has developed into a thriving community. We are serviced by wonderful community organisations including the Kawana Waters RSJ Sub-Branch, Kawana Waters Surf Lifesaving Club, Kawana Library, Kawana Scouts, Kawana Community Centre, Kawana Rotary Club, Lake Currimundi-Kawana Lions Club, Kawana Waters Chamber of Commerce, Buddina State School, Minyama Neighbourhood Watch, Kawana Companions, Kawana Seniors and the Kawana Island Residents Association to name but a few. These community organisations have no connection with the Buderim community and may be forced to compete with existing Buderim-based organisations for funding, membership and volunteers. Please don't move our respected, well used and much loved community organisations to Buderim.

5. I am concerned that by moving Minyama, Buddina and Parrearra into the Buderim electorate, the suburbs and residents will lose true local representation and be forced into an electorate they have no cultural or business connection to. Residents will be forced to drive to the top of Buderim to see their local MP which will result in less engagement between the community and its representative.

6. The electorate of Kawana is predominantly a coastal urban electorate. Buderim falls between the business districts of Maroochydore and Nambour. There is no connection between the coastal urban suburbs of Minyama, Buddina and Parrearra and the Buderim electorate. These coastal-based urban communities are culturally and socially connected with Kawana. Redistributing these Kawana suburbs to Buderim will alienate the residents who strongly rely on Kawana for their work, business, social, educational, medical, community, sport, cultural and shopping needs.

7. Our major shopping and entertainment district is located at the Kawana Shoppingworld and Kawana Waters Hotel which should naturally remain in the Kawana electorate. Kawana Shoppingworld also acts as a major public transport hub, linking directly with the Sunshine Coast University Hospital as well as all other bus routes operating throughout the Kawana electorate.
Online submission for All Districts

Name: SUZANNE LAWSON
Address: 6 BALEARA STREET BUDDINA 4575

File Upload: No file uploaded

Text:
I THINK THIS IS RIDICULOUS THAT WE JOIN THE BUDERIM ELECTORATE. WE ARE KAWANA AND LIVE IN THE KAWANA ELECTORATE. WE WILL LOSE OUR LOCAL REPRESENTATION WHICH HAS NO CONNECTION TO US. OUR MAJOR SHOPPING CENTRE IS KAWANA SHOPPING WORLD, WHICH ALSO OUR MAJOR PUBLIC TRANSPORT HUB. WHY DON'T YOU JUST LEAVE THINGS THE WAY THEY ARE. YOU KNOW THE SAYING - IF IT AIN'T BROKE DON'T FIX IT

Submission ID: 67104

Time of Submission: 20 Mar 2017 9:34am

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.214
Hi,

My name is Leanne Donelly and I live at Buddina and would like to object to the boundary changes.

I am concerned that by moving Minyama, Buddina and Parrearrra into Buderim electorate, the suburbs and residents will lose true local Representation and be forced into an electorate they have no cultural or business connection to. Residents will be forced to drive to the top of Buderim to see their local MP which will result in less engagement between the community and its representative.

Yours Sincerely

Leanne Donelly
Online submission for Kawana

Name: Colleen Russ
Address: 18 Majorca Place Parrearra. Qld. 4575

File Upload: No file uploaded

Text:
I strongly object to the proposed redistribution outlined for Kawana. The Kawana electorate is mostly a coastal urban electorate. Buderim falls between the business districts of Maroochydore and Nambour. There is no connection between the coastal urban suburbs of Minyama, Buddina and Parrearra and the Buderim electorate. These coastal based urban communities are culturally and socially connected with Kawana. Redistributing these Kawana suburbs to Buderim will alienate the residents who strongly rely on Kawana for the work, business social, educational, medical, community, sport, cultural and shopping needs. Perhaps the QRC and the labor party could focus on much more important issues confronting our economy rather than wasting money on issues such as redistribution!

Submission ID: 67105
Time of Submission: 20 Mar 2017 9:46am
Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.214
Online submission for **Kawana**

**Name:** Anne Ingram  
**Address:** 10 Bimini Street Parrearra 4575

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**  
I am concerned that by moving Minyama, Buddina and Parrearra into the Buderim electorate, the suburbs and residents will lose true local representation and be forced into an electorate they have no cultural or business connection to. Residents will be forced to drive to the top of Buderim to see their local MP which will result in less engagement between the community and its representative.

Submission ID: 67107
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Dear Sir,

Thank you for the prompt reply.

I would like to further expound the credit of a boundary for the electorate of Hill.

Richmond Traeger would still have Mt Isa, Cloncurry, Karumba and roamaton and also Croydon and Georgetown if the boundary was on the Kennedy Development Road.

However, if the western boundary was the Sandborough-Burke Development Road, then Hill would be oversized taking in the towns along the Flinders Highway.

Appearing to be the best option the Hill western boundary on the Kennedy Development Road would unload Traeger, while giving Hill a rural and agricultural perspective.

Also our very good Minister Shane Knuth M.P. could recontest his seat from his hometown of Charters Towers.

Sir, would a consideration to Hill taking in Charters Towers, lend more credit and dignity to the new electoral boundary shakeup authorised by the State Government and the Opposition? Yours sincerely

George Smith
P.O. Box 327
Herberton
4857
Online submission for **Kawana**

**Name:** David REID  
**Address:** 138/10 Marco Way, Parrearra 4575  

**File Upload:** No file uploaded  

**Text:**  
The proposal excludes some of the traditional areas of the locality of Kawana into the Buderim electorate. This, illogically removes Kawana Island and many other areas and facilities which are traditionally associated with the name Kawana from the Kawana Electorate. How strange to find things like "Kawana Shopping World, Kawana Community Centre, Kawana Surf Life Saving Club, Kawana Scouts, Kawana Ambulance" and a number off other geographically named entities, shunted off into the Buderim electorate. The Buderim area has its own unique characteristics, as does the Kawana area. These should be preserved as part of the character of the Sunshine Coast. Currently, residents of the Kawana electorate have access to their MP in the centre of the electorate. Under the proposal, these residents would have to travel outside their geographical area to access their MP. Preserve the identity and character of the Kawana locality and electorate, by retaining the current boundaries.

---

**Submission ID:** 67108  
**Time of Submission:** 20 Mar 2017 9:55am  
**Submission IP Address:** 43.245.40.214
Online submission for **Kawana**

**Name:** Leah  
**Address:** 10 Arunta street Buddina 4575 qld

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**
Am happy with the boundary selection as it is.. I've been a member of kawana precinct for 10 years and love the way our local MPs look after us....NO TO BUDERIM, NO TO BUDERIM, NO TO BUDERIM..... THANK YOU.
Online submission for **D'Aguilar , Tibrogargan**

**Name:** Alison Taylor  
**Address:** 41 Kobble Creek Road, Kobble Creek. Q. 4520

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**  
I strongly request that the Electoral Commission re-think the naming of 'Pine Rivers' electorate to D'Aguilar for the upcoming elections.Whilst the D'Aguilar Range does embrace some of the areas within the proposed boundaries, there is more area that it doesn't. When D'Aguilar is mentioned I immediately think of the township on the 'other' side of the range - definitely not the side that encompasses us. Pine Rivers - both North and South run through the electorate and is a much more fitting name for this area. South Pine River begins in the foothills of Mt Glorious and the North Pine River begins in the very top end of the mountains at Laceys Creek. Both rivers run all the way to Moreton Bay through the electorate, joining up to become one powerful water outlet. And as for changing Glasshouse to Tibrogargan (which I know is one of the Glasshouse Mountains) most of the districts/areas in this electorate are able to see the Glasshouse Mountains, and again most other people know where these mountains are located. Tibrogargan will only confuse the issue once again. I believe that the ongoing changing of electorate names is very confusing for many. My own electorate has changed names at least three times in the 35 years I have lived here, the federal electorate names have also changed, as has the local government name. I know that this is keeping someone employed in the electoral office, however it is certainly of no benefit to the general voters - I am sure many are so confused that they do not know for which level of government they are voting for and this is a serious issue that I believe needs to be considered by ALL parties that are vying to represent their said areas. I thank you for giving me the opportunity to 'Have my say' with regards to this matter.

Submission ID: 67110

Time of Submission: 20 Mar 2017 10:04am

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.213
To: QUEENSLAND REDISTRIBUTION COMMISSION
Level 6, 160 Mary Street Brisbane Q 4000

Re: Name change for proposed electorate of McMaster:

As a former Member for Burdekin (15 years 1983-98) and six decade resident of North Queensland, I am disturbed to read that the Commission is proposing to re-name the enlarged seat, McMaster. With respect I suggest that this is not only inappropriate, but flies in the face of reality, relevance and history.

In the first instance, Sir Fergus McMaster, while being the founding Chairman of Qantas, never had - to my knowledge - any connection with this region. He was born in Rockhampton but was most associated with the Longreach-Winton area, and more particularly, Moscow Station which he managed and was used as a beacon signal for early Qantas flights. He was intimately connected, via family, as well with a number of other properties in that region.

The vast majority of people within the new proposed electorate would not have any idea of who McMaster was or why this name was, seemingly, plucked out of obscurity; perhaps it would be more appropriate to name one of the new electorates in the Brisbane region 'McMaster' - at least there are both international and domestic facilities used daily by many Qantas aircraft, in stark contrast to the lack of same in the proposed electorate.

I hasten to add I am a great admirer of the four main 'players' in the formation of Qantas and remain, after almost six decades, a continuing member of the Winton Club where Qantas was first formed. If any non- Brisbane electorate were to be named 'McMaster', the current seat of Gregory would be far more appropriate, but having lived in that electorate for many years, I suggest this would not be the way to go.

Secondly, the vast majority of the enlarged electorate has long identified geographically and economically with the name Burdekin; for instance-

a. the Burdekin River catchment extends right though the length and breadth of the majority of the electorate;

b. Similarly the formal resource name of the Burdekin Dry Tropics Region is the major landscape identifier. I note for most of the last decade I had chaired the Regional Natural Resource body for that area covering some 8% of Queensland;

c. The Burdekin Dam - Queensland's largest - provides a very significant water resource for the City of Townsville, the Lower Burdekin Irrigation area and mining operations to the south such as the Moranbah area - this will continue to be expanded into the Bowen and other farming and mining areas;
d. The Burdekin River is Australia's largest river by (peak) discharge volume and is at the central point of the Great Barrier Reef;

e. The Burdekin Shire is the most productive sugar producing region in the world.

f. By my reckoning and study, some two thirds of the electors in the 'new' seat are currently within the existing seat of Burdekin

Finally, and potentially most importantly, the proposed name would forever consign the electorate into bland obscurity by not having a regional/ location identifying name. This is particularly important in the face of the ever increasing concentration of electorates under the one vote-one value system in the south eastern corner of the State. I hasten to add this is not an argument for vote weightage change - that is another matter - but based on experience as an MP as well as many years community involvement prior to and following that period.

For instance: the proposal to change the long recognized seat of Mt. Isa to 'Traeger' will remove it as a location and community identifier for the vast majority of Queenslanders. Again I make the point I do very much understand the role Alf Traeger played in remote Australian communications and health services, given my wife and I educated our children through Schools of the Air in Charters Towers and Cairns as well as running RFDS clinics for years on our remote pastoral property.

The same applies to the proposed new seat of 'Hill'.

I very much implore the Commission to consider these points and maintain the naming structure applying to every other non SEQ electorate in Queensland.

In anticipation,

Mark Stoneman AM
Online submission for **All Districts**

**Name:** Brian & Anne Smith  
**Address:** 130/2 Grand Parade Parrearra 4575 Qld

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**

We are not in agreement to a boundary change moving the Kawana electorate to Buderim. Kawana has a very strong community which has developed over the last two decades and is predominantly a coastal urban electorate. There is no connection between the coastal urban suburbs of Parrearra, Buddina and Minyama and the Buderim electorate and these suburbs form part of a strong social tie to Kawana. Over time Kawana has grown into a thriving community with many organisations such as Kawana Waters RSL, Kawana Waters Surf Lifesaving Club, Kawana Library, Kawana Scouts, Kawana Community Centre, Kawana Rotary Club and Lions Club to name a few. These community organisations have no connection with the Buderim community. Please don't move our respected, well used and much loved community organisations to Buderim.

Submission ID: 67112

Time of Submission: 20 Mar 2017 10:44am

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.214
Online submission for **Kawana**

**Name:** sylvia gibbs  
**Address:** 55 chelsea cresent minima

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**
Kawana waters is an unofficial place name for a coastal stretch of land between Mooloolaba and Caloundra. Our area has been known as Kawana Waters since the early 1960's and is used by everyone as the commonly referred to name of our area. I don't believe the ARC has properly taken into consideration the strong communities of interest that are contained in Kawana Waters region.

Submission ID: 67113

Time of Submission: 20 Mar 2017 11:42am

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.213
The Secretary, Queensland Redistribution Commission,
GPO Box 1393
Brisbane OLD 4001

Dear Sir,

We are sending this email to object to the proposed electoral boundary changes to the Kawana area. Anyone who lives in this area knows where Jarrod Bleijie MP office is located. It is in a convenient area close to the main shopping hub of the Kawana Shopping Centre, with plenty of parking and bus services.

Many of the residents in Kawana are elderly and to be required to travel to Buderim to visit the local member would be impossible as many are unable to travel by public transport. They know their local shopping area with Library, Centrelink, Doctors, Banks and all other requirements they may need in close proximity. There are also many families with very young children who would be inconvenienced travelling to Buderim to discuss their problems requiring their local MP’s advice.

You may not be aware that our local MP Jarrod Bleijie is extremely obliging to lend his help to all local community organisations and is kept busy in his own time offering help to many (eg) Kawana Surf and Lifesaving Club, Kawana Scouts, Rotary Club, Buddina State School, Kawana Seniors to name a few. Also many Charity Organisations who call on his help during the year are always listened to and the problem resolved if possible. If the Proposed Kawana Boundary goes ahead and part of the current area transferred to Buderim the heart of Buddina is gone with the Shopping Centre Surf Club and all clubs situated around including the Library, Buddina School, Child Care, scouts etc will be included in the Buderim Electorate.

Because we belong to a charitable organisation who help the needy and disadvantaged in this area, We know that if families or elderly are required to call to an office at Buderim to talk to someone they will put it in the too hard basket. Their problems are foremost in their mind and to travel further to find another office where parking and finding where the office is situated, would be just too much.

Why do you need to transfer Buddina, Minyama and Parrearra to the Buderim Electorate?

Please reconsider your proposal and keep us in the current Kawana Boundary where our address is and we belong.

Yours faithfully,

Bernie and Margaret Murphy
37 Yaringa Ave
Buddina 4575
Online submission for **All Districts**

**Name:** Miss S Tobin  
**Address:** 92 Vale St Moorooka 4103  

**File Upload:** No file uploaded  

**Text:**
Adding new electorates means realigning boundaries of some existing ones. My objection is to naming geographically recognisable electorates to the name of dead people who had no connection to an area. Everyone knows where Brisbane City is, but who's McConnel? Ditto for Yeerongpilly and Miller or Indooroopilly and Mewa. I've lived in the Yeerongpilly electorate since forever, but who/what is a Miller? I recognise Miller as part of the name of a boat that nearly blocked the Brisbane River in the 1974 floods. What's the relevance and link to the Yeerongpilly area of Brisbane? As has been said by many before me, state electoral areas need to be defined by geographical areas, preferably with some community linkage. Federal electorates are different in that they cover larger areas and need a more general name, so often that of a dead unremembered politician. Finally I repeat my original statement, I strongly object to this move of renaming perfectly recognisable electorates to who knows what on a whim from some totally forgettable committee.
15 March 2017

The Secretary
Queensland Redistribution Commission
GPO Box 1393
BRISBANE QLD 4001

My Dear Secretary

I am writing to you on behalf of many residents who have approached me regarding the renaming of the Albert electorate to Theodore.

In particular, residents are asking for the name to remain (Albert) as such a radical change will cause confusion for residents.

As you will no doubt know, the Albert electorate name has had a long history in the region and has been in use since approximately 1888 and is based primarily on the former Albert Shire Council, not the river. Many long term residents have told me they do not associate the name with the river - only the former Shire Council.

Additionally, the name Theodore is immediately associated with an American cartoon character (Alvin and the Chipmunks – Alvin, Simon and Theodore) not a former Queensland Premier. If I could only share some of the amusement and humour which residents have taken great delight in revelling in, you could appreciate the total lack of association to the former Premier.

Furthermore, many residents keep asking, what connection does Edward Granville Theodore have with the Gold Coast? His history is more firmly based in North Queensland, so perhaps this name should be used in that vicinity.

In conclusion, I therefore ask the name remain as Albert.

Yours sincerely

Mark Boothman MP
Member for Albert
Online submission for **Kawana**

**Name:** craig shepherd  
**Address:** 6A Tivoli Crescent Minyama 4575

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**  
i am writing to object to the proposed electoral boundary changes the Kawana electorate which would move Minyama, Buddina and Parrearra into the Buderim electorate. The existing boundary of the Mooloolah river provides a sensible physical and theoretical boundary for the electorate. The change would move such features as Kawana Shoppingtown and Kawana Waters Hotel into another electorate and potentially cause disruption to the community. Some community groups/organisations would be represented by one MP while another group one street away would be represented by another. These suburbs receive good representation in the current format and a change may jeopardise that as the new representative tries to assimilate the new areas into their portfolio. Kawana is coastal, do not wreck the collection of similar suburbs and the community that they share.

Submission ID: 67116

Time of Submission: 20 Mar 2017 11:51am

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.213
The Coordinator

I do not think that changing the Electoral Boundaries will benefit Rainbow Beach at all, the new representative would concentrate on the Noosa as this is where his largest number of voters live and would actively represent them. There is no sealed road between Rainbow Beach and Noosa with all traffic having to go through Gympie to reach both destinations with a few only utilising the beach tidal route. It would appear that now that Noosa has broken off from the Sunshine Coast Regional Council every effort is now being made to assist this small group of business people to set up their own area to the detriment of everyone else. The decision to change the boundaries would appear to be the first part of a group of hidden agendas to assist them.

Ron Organ
Online submission for **Kawana**

**Name:** Ashley and Zena Reid  
**Address:** 25/241 St Vincents Court, Minyama, Qld, 4575

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**
We chose to live in the Kawana district many years ago after shifting down from Hervey Bay. We did not want to live in a Buderim area and today still stand with this valve. Our major health, shopping, entertainment and close transport (being elderly) and should naturally remain in the Kawana electorate. We do not wish to be forced into an electorate that we have no connection with. All our memberships with certain clubs are in the Kawana electorate and we do not wish these organisations shifted to Buderim. We love our long stretch of beach from Point Cartwright down to Currimundi Lake and any removal of suburbs would disconnect our coastal stretch called Kawana Waters. Please do not separate Minyama as well as the other suburbs named (Buddina and Parrearra) from Kawana.

---

**Submission ID:** 67117  
**Time of Submission:** 20 Mar 2017 12:11pm  
**Submission IP Address:** 43.245.40.213
Online submission for All Districts

Name: David costello  
Address: 25 paramount Dr Warner. 4500

File Upload: No file uploaded

Text:
We should stay as Pine Rivers.

Submission ID: 67118  
Time of Submission: 20 Mar 2017 12:22pm  
Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.213
Online submission for **Kawana**

**Name:** Peter Dick  
**Address:** 1/11 Grand Pde Parrearra

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**
Congratulations on working the best solution to make our democracy work as fairly as possible. If Kawana Island is now in Buderim so be it. Thanks. Keep up the good work and only listen to factual evidence when objecting submissions appear before you. Best wishes, Peter Dick

Submission ID: 67119

Time of Submission: 20 Mar 2017 12:27pm

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.214
Online submission for **Kawana**

**Name:** Glenyce V Dickinson  
**Address:** 33/239 Kawana Way, 4575 Parrearra  

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**
I wish to complain in relation to the intended boundaries and certainly cannot see the point in taking Kawana and her close suburbs out of the Kawana Electorate. I live in an aged community where there is an ease in getting almost anywhere we want to in our driving "comfort zone" and I can assure you that Buderim is not one of them with the hills and dales and poor parking options in a lot of areas. You will make it extremely difficult for a large number of elderly if you do not change these boundaries. Please consider my argument. Yours, Glenyce Dickinson

**Submission ID:** 67120  
**Time of Submission:** 20 Mar 2017 12:32pm  
**Submission IP Address:** 43.245.40.214
Online submission for Buderim

Name: Belinda Watman
Address: [redacted]

File Upload: No file uploaded

Text:
I am writing to object to the electoral boundary changes proposed for the Kawana electorate by the Queensland Redistribution Commission. I live in Buddina, one of the suburbs affected. We are a coastal suburb which contains Kawana shopping centre, Kawana library, Kawana community centre, Kawana scots groups, Kawana surf life saving club, and more. The Kawana area is a coastal group of suburbs running along Kawana Beach from Point Cartwright to Currimundi Lake. Moving Minyama, Buddina and Parrearra suburbs to the Buderim electorate will results in residents losing true local representation and being forced into an electorate they have no cultural or business connection to. Residents will be forced to drive to the top of Buderim to see their local MP which will result in less engagement between the community and its representative. Kawana is predominately a coastal urban electorate and has different needs and priorities to that of Buderim. Please do not move our coastal suburbs up the hill to the buderim electorate. It will not be in the best interest for the community.

Submission ID: 67121

Time of Submission: 20 Mar 2017 12:59pm

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.213
I would like to lodge our objection to the proposed boundary changes for the Kawana electorate proposing to remove Minyama, Buddina and Parrrearra out of the Kawana electorate and transferring them to the Buderim electorate.

We have lived in Minyama for over twenty years and are within walking distance to Kawana Shoppingworld, Kawana library and a short 5 min drive to Point Cartwright. Our children attended Buddina State School and we were heavily involved with the Kawana Juniors Football Club as our son was growing up.

We regularly picnic at Currumundi Lake and indeed our eldest son and his family live in Currimundi. Our dentist is at Caloundra and our doctor is at Birtinya.

My point is at no time have I mentioned Buderim and in fact we never go to the Buderim shopping centre of anywhere else in Buderim.

Therefore it is ridiculous to move these suburbs to an area that has no links with our area which is predominantly coastal to an area that would be classified as hinterland.

Our electorate needs to remain as it has always been as its own electorate with its own representation able to deal with the issues that affect us and not be grouped with an area that would undoubtedly have very different issues.

Your attention to this matter would be appreciated.

Regards

John and Wendy Clarke

Sent from Samsung tablet
Being a resident of Buddina, I find the proposed changes for Kawana Electorate, ie transferring BUDDINA, MINYAMA and PARREARRA, TO Buderim Electorate a retrograde proposal and can see no reason for this proposed change.

Why, I just cannot understand why the QRC would even contemplate changing a coastal electorate into an inland electorate, it is too inconsistent even to think about. Then does anyone ever know why Government Departments make such decisions.

Enid Doherty
U19 North, Surfside Apartments
143 Lowanna Dr.
BUDDINA. 4575
Online submission for **Kawana**

**Name:** Digby Milne  
**Address:** 72/10 Marco Way PARREARRA QLD 4575

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**

I and my wife are strongly against the proposed redistribution of boundaries for the following reasons: 1. It appears that this is a political ploy to gain votes and seats for the government. 2. It does not make sense to have the Kawana Shopping centre, Kawana Beach, Kawana Surf Club and many other of Kawana's icons in the Buderim electorate. 3. Our state representative, Jarrod Bleijie will have his office in the Buderim electorate. He is handy to us here and we wouldn't want him moved. 4. We on Kawana Island and my wife & myself in Living Choice Retirement Village want to remain Kawana residents and not Buderim residents. DON'T CHANGE THAT WHICH IS NOT BROKEN

**Submission ID:** 67122  
**Time of Submission:** 20 Mar 2017 1:20pm  
**Submission IP Address:** 43.245.40.214
Online submission for All Districts

**Name:** Wayne Lovell  
**Address:** 7 Point Cartwright DR  
**File Upload:** No file uploaded  

**Text:**  
I am a Kawana resident, The community my family and I are a part of is the Kawana community. I object to the possible re-designation of my community to an electorate that we do not associate with.

Submission ID: 67123  
Time of Submission: 20 Mar 2017 1:28pm  
Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.213
Online submission for Kawana

Name: Pauline Smith
Address: 9 Werona St, Buddina 4575

File Upload: No file uploaded

Text:
I write to object to the proposed changes to the electoral boundaries in our area of Kawana - we live in Buddina and are proud members of our Kawana community - it is a ridiculous suggestion that we would now be moved to the Buderim area - we have no geographical or personal association with Buderim - only venturing up there less than a couple of times a year. We, do, however, use the appropriately named Kawana Shoppingworld weekly; we frequent the Kawana Hotel regularly; and we are proud members of the Kawana Surf Club and Kawana Library - none of these have any association with Buderim. Our beach is named Kawana Beach and this stretches from Point Cartwright to Currimundi Lake - nothing to do with Buderim. We moved up from Brisbane 5 years ago to the Kawana Waters area as a life style choice - having always holidayed up here for decades! We live in Kawana Waters - not Buderim. We are a coastal area - not a mountain suburb. Our local MP's office is just around the corner - not 20 - 30 minutes away, up the hill. To vote in my local area, I can walk to the polling booth - if I am in Buderim electorate, I will be forced to get into my car and drive up the hill. Kawana Waters may be an unofficial place name but everyone knows where it is. Please reconsider this silly decision.

Submission ID: 67125
Time of Submission: 20 Mar 2017 1:32pm
Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.214
Dear Commission

My husband Brad Heath, my daughter Naomi Heath and myself are objecting to the electoral boundary changes proposed.

We would like to keep our Kawana as Kawana, as how it should be, not Buderim!

We don't want to be forced to travel to the top of Buderim that is far away from our place because I couldn't drive, to meet our local MP.

So please keep Kawana as how it is.

Warm regards

Lucia Heath

Sent from my iPhone
Online submission for **Kawana**

**Name:** Steven Jones  
**Address:** 47 Coomaroo Crs Minyama

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**
I would like to put forward my objection to the proposed change of boundaries that would result in Minyama transferred to the Buderim electorate. It seems puzzling to remove Minyama and the other surrounding suburbs that are very much connected to the current coastal suburbs. With the Mooloolah river acting as a boundary and the areas unique coastal enviroment. The Kawana area is very unique and to have key groups and and landmarks not contained within the Kawana boundary seems puzzling. I strongly object to the proposal and look forward to a positive outcome. Regards Steve Jones.

---

Submission ID: 67126  
Time of Submission: 20 Mar 2017 1:38pm  
Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.214
Online submission for **Kawana**

**Name:** Kylie Leach  
**Address:** 15 Pacific Boulevard Buddina QLD 4575

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**
I strongly object to the QRC boundary changes removing Buddina, Minyama and Parrearra from the existing Kawana electorate into the Buderim electorate. Our Kawana electorate is a coastal region and has no connection to the Buderim electorate for all our business and community needs. As residents of Kawana, we rely on services in our own area as far as shopping, sporting facilities, business, social and local environment of beaches. They maybe within driving distance geographically, but the wants and needs between the Buderim and Kawana electorate are vastly different. "Kawana" has always and should continue to be the umbrella for the coastal strip from Wurtulla to Buddina and Minyama.

Submission ID: 67127

Time of Submission: 20 Mar 2017 2:04pm

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.214
Dear Sir,
I want to make a strong objection to the Boundary of Kawana change.

This has been my electorate for more than twenty years, just because Steve Dickson has reneged on his party is no reason to change our boundary!
I totally object to going all the way to Buderim, that is a totally different area.

I also object to the change which somehow looks political.
Please now reconsider, I am most unhappy!
Yours faithfully, Nanette Black

--
Nanette Black

Apt 168, Kawana Island Retirement Village
10 Marco way
PARREARRA
QLD   4575
As a resident of Parrearra, we love being a part of the Kawana electoral, we do not feel a part of Buderim, on the map we are in the Kawana area, to become a part of Buderim is ridiculous doesn't add up, we left Buderim 14 months ago, this certainly has a different feel to Buderim, different atmosphere. we are Kawanaites and we love it. why change something that works.

Yours Sincerely

Terry and Kathy Peterson
From: dorothy
To: Boundaries
Subject: Re: boundaries
Date: Monday, 20 March 2017 2:15:24 PM
Attachments: image001.png

From: Sent: Monday, March 20, 2017 1:49 PM
To: Subject: boundaries

AS A LONG TERM RESIDENT OF KAWANA (SOME 38 YEARS) I OBJECT STRONGLY TO THE PROPOSED BOUNDARY CHANGES. IT IS ABSOLUTELY RIDICULOUS TO LINK US WITH BUDERIM. KAWANA (BUDINA) IS A COASTAL ELECTORATE. OUR WONDERFUL LIBRARY, COMMUNITY CENTRE WHICH USED WEEKLY AND ENJOYED BY LOCAL SENIORS OF KAWANA, BUDDINA SCHOOL TO NAME BUT A FEW HAVE NO REAL CONNECTION TO BUDERIM UP THE HILL.

THANK YOU
MRS DOROTHY MACMICHAEL

Assistant Electorate Officer

Office of Jarrod Bleijie MP | Member for Kawana
Shadow Minister for Employment, Industrial Relations, Skills and Training
Shadow Minister for Fair Trading

Electorate Office 4/3 Nicklin Way, Minyama Qld 4575
Postal PO Box 1200, Buddina Qld 4575
P 07 5406 3100  F 07 5406 3109
W jarrodbleijie.com

Consider the environment before you print this email.
NOTICE - This e-mail and any attachments are confidential and only for the use of the addressee. If you have received this e-mail in error, you are strictly prohibited from using, forwarding, printing, copying or dealing in anyway whatsoever with it, and are requested to reply immediately by e-mail to the sender or by telephone to the Parliamentary Service on +61 7 3553 6000.
Any views expressed in this e-mail are the author's, except where the e-mail makes it clear otherwise. The unauthorised publication of an e-mail and any attachments generated for the official functions of the Parliamentary Service, the Legislative Assembly, its Committees or Members may constitute a contempt of the Queensland Parliament. If the information contained in this e-mail and any attachments becomes the subject of any request under Right to Information legislation, the author or the Parliamentary Service should be notified.
It is the addressee's responsibility to scan this message for viruses. The Parliamentary Service does not warrant that the information is free from any virus, defect or error.
Online submission for **Buderim**

**Name:** Barbara Cullen  
**Address:** 158/10 Marco Way

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**
I have lived in Parrearra, for almost nine years, on Kawana Island, I am a member at the the Kawana Library, I shop at Kawana Shoppingworld, I belong to Kawana Surf Club and Seniors club, and I want my local member to actually represent my interests, in the community that I live in. I very rarely go to Buderim, and it would be a huge inconvenience, if I had to travel there to attend political meetings, or meet with my local member. I do NOT wish to have my electoral boundary changed. Thank you

---

Submission ID: 67128

Time of Submission: 20 Mar 2017 2:18pm

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.213
D.T. & H.N. Cronin,
M/S 118,
Jandowae, Qld. 4410.

The Electoral Commissioner Queensland,

Dear Sir/Madam,

We wish to strongly object to being transferred from the Warrego Electorate to the Callide Electorate.

We note that the Nanango Electorate now extends to include the Northern section of the Burnett Regional Council, which unites this area.

The Northern Downs section of Nanango has now been included in the Condamine Electorate, again showing unity of regions and interests, both being part of the Toowoomba Regional Council.

On the negative side, you have completely split the Western Downs Regional Council in half, east to west, with the northern section dumped into Callide, which bears absolutely no relation to this area of Bell, Jandowae, Chinchilla and Miles.

Bell and Jandowae in particular, are naturally aligned to Dalby which is our major shopping centre, business and industry hub, and education facility for our senior secondary school students, most of whom then progress to Toowoomba for Tertiary Studies.

We are therefore appealing to you to reconsider this boundary decision, and allow the Western Downs Regional Council to remain in the Warrego Electorate.

Yours Sincerely,
D. Cronin, H. Cronin.
Dear Sir/Madam,

I refer to these proposed changes and wish to object to these and make the following comments. My name is Peter Douglas Turton, my date of birth <removed for privacy> and I live at 12 Aroona Avenue Buddina where I have lived with my wife for 37 years. Previous to that we lived in Adelong Crescent Buddina for seven years. Two of our sons have made their home in Buddina at Palkana Crescent and Yaringa Avenue Buddina as well. As you can see our children, three sons, grew up in this area and we watched it grow from black coffee rock and a scattering of paper bark trees to what it is now. It was an ideal place for our boys to grow up in as they were able to develop and nurture their love for the environment, fishing, surfing, life saving and sailing.

My family and I moved into the Kawana area from the Sydney area in June, 1973. At that time my children were four and two (another son was born in Nambour Hospital in 1975) and there were no services at all on Kawana except the corner shop at the end of Point Cartwright Drive Buddina and the milkman who delivered our milk daily. At that time we had to leave the area for all services, including shopping, kindergartens, churches, lifesaving, soccer, schooling and in fact any activity we wished to be involved in. We were instrumental and active in the development of the Kawana Waters Sports and Recreation Council as it fostered and developed tennis, soccer, and softball and are very proud of the thriving sports network that has turned into. We are also very proud and pleased with the fact, that as my wife and I move into our advanced years, we no longer have to travel to services and can access most things we want, not yet the cinema but that is coming. In fact all things that were promised when we purchased our first home here from Kawana Estates have been delivered.

It does not make sense to remove such a vital part of Kawana from the Kawana electorate. This area has no affiliation at all with Buderim, not topographically, or in services. Each has its one valuable characteristics, is unique in its own way and does not need to me amalgagated or blended to make a miss match of an electorate. I implore you to please reconsider this proposal and leave Minyaya, Buddina and Parrearra where they belong, in the Kawana electorate.

Again, I implore you not to make this change.

Yours sincerely

Peter D Turton
Online submission for **Kawana**

**Name:** Murray lloyd  
**Address:** 3 Easter street Parrearra Qld 4575

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**  
Currently the name Kawana is included in many and varied businesses and sporting and community groups and being in a different electorate dis associates it completely. It would be very confusing for all concerned in what is known as Kawana Waters area and has been since 1959 from the start of the development to now. It would be a utter shame to destroy the history of our significant area.

Submission ID: 67129  
Time of Submission: 20 Mar 2017 2:28pm  
Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.214
Online submission for **Kawana**

**Name:** Sandra Wheat  
**Address:** 48 Coomaroo Crescent, Minyama QLD 4575

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**  
I object to the electoral boundary changes proposed for the Kawana Electorate by the QRC. I wish the boundary to stay as is. I am concerned that by moving Minyama, Buddina and Parrearra into the Buderim electorate, the suburbs and residents will lose true local representation. I wish to have representation in the Kawana area.

Submission ID: 67130  
Time of Submission: 20 Mar 2017 2:35pm  
Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.214
Online submission for **Kawana**

**Name:** Marion Sally Jenkins  
**Address:** 69 Chelsea Cres, Minyama Qld 4575

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**  
I have been living on the Sunshine Coast since May 1989 & have always resided & voted in Kawana Electorate. I am shocked you are now contemplating removing Minyama from this Electoral Region & put us under the Buderim electorate. As an aging member of the community it is far easier for me to take a 5 minute walk to our local member for Kawana's office than to find a way up to the top of Buderim to see a new Member. This would involve a complicated bus trip or an expensive taxi fare. I would like you to reconsider this ludicrous proposition to change electorate areas & to leave Minyama area under the Kawana banner. As the Aussies say 'if it isn't broken, then don't fix it' !!
Online submission for **Kawana**

**Name:** Monika Sprott  
**Address:** 4 Mankina Crt Buddina 4575

**File Upload:** Buddina Community Garden.jpg, type image/jpeg, 2.5 MB

**Text:**
I am a member of the Kawana Electorate. The Buddina Community Garden where I am Vice President and Community Engagement Officer and the Kawana Waters Toastmasters Group where I am Vice President of PR are both in this electorate. The proposed boundary changes taking these groups and numerous other Kawana groups and putting them in the Buderim Electorate are ludicrous. There is a strong sense of Community in the Kawana area and the area that the community garden, Kawana Guides, scouts, Kawana Surf Club, Kawana Shopping centre, Kawana Library and Kawana Community Hall is a hub of local activity and cohesion. Our Member Jarrod Bleijie has his office in this area and is involved in all of these groups. He is a sponsor of the Buddina Community Garden and the Kawana Toastmasters and a very welcome visitor to both these groups. If we are in the Buderim electorate I feel we will lose our local representation and a very valued supporter. We have no connection with the Buderim Electorate and will not be driving up the mountain to check in with our member. We are coastal and beach suburbs and Buderim is a mountainous area with no connection to the beach. Our shopping, health care, transport and leisure all takes place in this area we lovingly call Kawana. PLEASE Keep Kawana in Kawana.... It makes no sense to change the boundary to an area that we are are not culturally, business and socially connected to.
Online submission for **Kawana**

**Name:** Maureenn Shaw  
**Address:** 13 Myoora Court Minyama, QLD 4575

**File Upload:** ECQkawanaproposal.docx, type application/vnd.openxmlformats-officedocument.wordprocessingml.document, 13.1 KB

**Text:** see attached

Submission ID: 67133

Time of Submission: 20 Mar 2017 2:59pm

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.213
The proposal to move Minyama, Kawana Shopping Center, Kawana Island, Buddina, Kawana Ambulance Service, Kawana Community Center, Kawana Library and more to Buderim is wrong. Our Kawana community deserves its own MP – our local MP.

This change could result in our community becoming less cohesive. I don’t go to Buderim often and have no affiliation with it. Our community is called Kawana and that’s the electorate we want to live in.

Sippy Downs is in Buderim but it’s in the Kawana electorate, why not move that community instead of the true Kawana communities.

This change affects me personally and I urge you to reconsider this change.

Best regards,

Maureen Shaw
Minyama
Hi, I am most unhappy with the pending change of boundaries for the above areas and DO NOT support these changes by the Qld Redistribution Commission. How many members of this commission actually live in the Minyama, Buddina or Parrearra area?? Have they bothered to ask us residents if we want or need these changes? I hope the commission will see sense and NOT go ahead with this ridiculous idea. We want our local man Jarrod to continue to represent us and NOT someone who lives in Buderim, miles away. Do not allow this to become another Qld botch up. Rosalie Hodge
Online submission for All Districts

Name: Marilyn Schofield
Address: 107/11 Nicklin Way Minyama

File Upload: No file uploaded

Text:
Please don't move boundaries. I live in Kawana not Buderim!

Submission ID: 67134
Time of Submission: 20 Mar 2017 3:05pm
Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.214
Good afternoon

Our office has been asked by Kevin & Kathie Yates to forward to you their Boundary Objection.

Regards

Office of Jarrod Bleijie MP | Member for Kawana
Shadow Minister for Employment, Industrial Relations, Skills and Training
Shadow Minister for Fair Trading

Electorate Office 4/3 Nicklin Way, Minyama Qld 4575
Postal PO Box 1200, Buddina Qld 4575
P 07 5406 3100 F 07 5406 3109
E kawana@parliament.qld.gov.au W jarrodbleijie.com

Consider the environment before you print this email.

NOTICE - This e-mail and any attachments are confidential and only for the use of the addressee. If you have received this e-mail in error, you are strictly prohibited from using, forwarding, printing, copying or dealing in anyway whatsoever with it, and are requested to reply immediately by e-mail to the sender or by telephone to the Parliamentary Service on +61 7 3553 6000.

Any views expressed in this e-mail are the author's, except where the e-mail makes it clear otherwise. The unauthorised publication of an e-mail and any attachments generated for the official functions of the Parliamentary Service, the Legislative Assembly, its Committees or Members may constitute a contempt of the Queensland Parliament. If the information contained in this e-mail and any attachments becomes the subject of any request under Right to Information legislation, the author or the Parliamentary Service should be notified.

It is the addressee's responsibility to scan this message for viruses. The Parliamentary Service does not warrant that the information is free from any virus, defect or error.
The Secretary, Queensland Redistribution Commission,

GPO Box 1393, Brisbane Qld.

Dear Sir,

We are writing to you in response to the proposed electoral boundary change to the Kawana confronted area. We are and have been active members of the local St Vincent De Paul for over twenty years and our area is bounded by the Mooloolah River at the northern end and the Currimundi Creek at the southern end.

Jarrod Bleijie and his electoral office are located in an ideal location, we often refer clients to his office when the situation requires his knowledge, expertise, and ability to support and guide these people. Many of these people are struggling on low incomes and many are young mothers with large families, to remove the suburbs of Buddina, Minyama, and Parrearra will impact heavily on a large number of people who then will need to travel to Buderim to seek support and help, this will involve a new location, new member who will be unknown to these people. This will be incredibly inconvenient to these people and an unfair proposal which will make our job even harder.

Jarrod Bleijie in his capacity as our local member has devoted many hours and expertise to assist and develop our local clubs and junior sporting clubs, he gives generously of his own time and his involvement has helped develop our young people who participate in sport and local items.

One area which seems to have been overlooked in this situation is the welfare and ability of our elderly local community. Most of these people no longer hold drivers licences and depend on public transport, to suddenly be expected to travel to Buderim by bus or alternative is unrealistic.

Why do you need to transfer these suburbs to Buderim electorate?

Please reconsider your proposal and keep us in the current Kawana. Electorate where we live and belong.

Regards,

Kevin and Kathie Yates

30 Mawarra Street, Buddina Qld.
Online submission for **Noosa**

**Name:** Darren Timms  
**Address:** 104 cooloola drive Rainbow Beach

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**
Rainbow Beach is not part of the Noosa electorate and with justice and common sense prevailing never will be. My opposition to the proposed changes comes from having lived in the Rainbow Beach area for many years and working in the tourism sector for over ten years. In this time I have seen many changes to Rainbow Beach, primarily needless construction that does not fit with the aesthetic quality of the surroundings and the growth of "4wd adventure" visitation that leaves behind rubbish, human waste and devastating damage to the natural landscape from primarily urban dwelling Australian's. To justify the proposed boundaries with quota number's is a reckless gerrymandering tactic and does not add up. It also stinks of closed door negotiations that seek to allow developers into this pristine area. The track record of Noosa council shows that development does not always mean prosperity where the Hastings street precinct area has high vacancies rates are only the wealthy and elite can reside. This is not what I want to see happen to Rainbow Beach nor do I want it to become part of the urban sprawl from Noosa or a short lived tourist hot spot. Many residents regard the regional area's of Cooloola, Tin Can Bay and Gympie as our local area's rather than Noosa. Voting in the Noosa electorate seems pointless as many of the issue's facing Noosa are very different from those here in Rainbow Beach. A decentralised government in Noosa would marginalise our community and negatively affect local residents. So I say NO, to the boundary changes.

Submission ID: 67135

Time of Submission: 20 Mar 2017 3:43pm

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.213
I have recently seen the redistribution of the electorate’s for Minyama, Birtinya, Bokarina, Buddina and Parrearra and am utterly amazed that the commission has no idea of the community spirit that is associated with the area known as KAWANA ISLAND. It has been a thriving community since its inception in 1959, and I might add been rigorously supported by Jarrod Bleijie since his election because he makes numerous efforts to support many initiatives as well as the local communities.

The electorates you wish to relocate to Buderim include:- ALL WITH THE NAME OF KAWANA IN THEIR TITLE:- RSL Sub-Branch, Surf lifesaving Club, Library, Scouts, Community Centre, Rotary Club, Lions Club, Chamber of Commerce, Shopping Centre, If these electorates are transferred to Buderim there is a strong possibility that some of the duplicated facilities will be cancelled and also Buderim, being an “OF THE COAST ELECTORATE” would have little idea of the special needs of coastal administration requirements.

The electorate of Kawana is a coastal urban electorate. There is no connection between the coastal urban suburbs under redistribution and Buderim. These coastal based urban communities and businesses are closely aligned to Kawana and they rely on the area for work, business, educational, medical, cultural and shopping needs.

The major entertainment district is located at the KAWANA SHOPPING WORLD and KAWANA WATERS HOTEL, as well as being a major transport hub for public transport.

HOW ON EARTH CAN ALL THESE FACILITIES WITH THE NAME OF KAWANA IN THEIR TITLE BE REALLOCATED TO THE ELECTORATE OF BUDERIM. THE WHOLE IDEA IS SO LUDICROUS THAT IT ‘ BEGGARS BELIEF ’. KAWANA WATERS IS KAWANA BEACH [10Km ] and KAWANA WATERS and all the wonderful local facilities that come with that name AND THE WONDERFUL PEOPLE,

I trust that you will give this letter due consideration in you deliberations.

Yours Sincerely

Peter W Cutting
142/10 Marco Way
Parrearra
Qld 4575.

Sent from Windows Mail
Hi,

I am writing to express my opposition to the proposed boundary changes to the Kawana electorate.

I live in Buddina and am opposed to being part of the Buderim electorate. I live across the road from Kawana shopping centre, frequent the beach, frequent the local parks and community centres all of which will not fall under the local electorate, who will understand the needs of this community, or have access to local representation.

With this proposal I will have to drive pass the offices of my current local members to drive some distance to a representative that is far removed from this area.

Please feel free to contact me further on <withheld for privacy>.

Kind regards

Kate Harvey

Sent from my iPad
Online submission for All Districts

Name: Don Johnson  
Address: 2 gull pl parrearra

File Upload: No file uploaded

Text:  
I would like to have my objection against the changes to the kawana boundaries re the election in Queensland.

Submission ID: 67136

Time of Submission: 20 Mar 2017 4:10pm

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.214
Online submission for **Kawana**

**Name:** Elizabeth Hall  
**Address:** 15 Barellan Avenue Buddina 4575

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**
I strongly object to the proposed alteration of the Kawana Electoral Boundary. There is no reasonable logical point in transferring Minyama, Buddina and Kawana Island into the Buderim electorate. These suburbs are the heart of Kawana and losing them would destroy the soul of our coastal community. The majority of our community groups, organisations have the word Kawana in their title and we stand with them as volunteers and members contributing time and funds locally here. Kawana businesses big and small service these suburbs and our customers do not travel to Buderim for business. Our elected representatives know our local coastal needs and concerns which differ greatly to those inland on top of Buderim hill. We are proud of our electorate on the southern side of Mooloolah River Notice the large silver sign on the edge of Jessica Park Nicklin Way stating Kawana's population Leave the boundary where it is

Submission ID: 67137

Time of Submission: 20 Mar 2017 4:14pm

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.214
Online submission for **Ninderry, Noosa**

**Name**: M Megan Breid  
**Address**: 14 Barbara Road Peregian Beach QLD 4573

**File Upload**: No file uploaded

**Text:**
It is my belief that excluding Peregian Beach West and Weyba Downs from the district of Noosa is a disservice to the residents of those areas. Our community of interest clearly lies in the Noosa Shire CBD; it is closer to us and we share environmental attitudes, many of our children attend schools in Noosa Shire and it is the place we go to volunteer. Shifting us into a 'new' district that is so far flung will have the effect of silencing our voices. This argument can be applied to Rainbow Beach also. There is absolutely no attachment between the Noosa and Rainbow Beach communities. It is clear to residents of these neighborhoods that their electorate belongs within the Noosa electorate and not farther south. Sincerely, M Breid

Submission ID: 67138

Time of Submission: 20 Mar 2017 4:26pm

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.213
Hello,

I have been notified that the boundary for Kawana is proposed to be altered. After viewing the plan, I can only say that I feel it a complete waste of time and tax payers’ money. It doesn’t make sense to remove that part which contains so much of what the area of Kawana is about.

This electorate is largely known as a coastal area and Buderim is known as the mountain area. We feel it would confuse a lot of people in the area especially the elderly.

 Regards

Dale Funston and  
Rhonda Hannaford  
8 St Lucia Cres  
Kawana Island  
Parrearra 4575
Online submission for Kawana

Name: Peta Read
Address: 4 Hayman St Parrearra QLD 4575

File Upload: No file uploaded

Text:
The Secretary, Queensland Redistribution Commission Kawana Electorate I am writing to raise my objection of the change in boundary for the Kawana electorate. As a resident of Kawana for the last 12 years I feel very strongly about this proposed change. The Kawana community is a thriving community with many very active community groups many of which are all identified under the name of Kawana. We live in a suburb that everyone refers to as Kawana Island and we are serviced by a major shopping and entertainment district located at Kawana Shoppingworld which should remain in the Kawana electorate. We have no association with the Buderim district which is a region which has different needs and priorities to a beachside suburb and will not have our communities best interest at heart. This decision will have no benefit to the residents of the area and will instead destroy our identity as we are removed from our electorate. Very unhappy about this proposal where the needs of the residents have not been considered. We would really like you to reconsider this proposal. Your sincerely Peta Read

Submission ID: 67139
Time of Submission: 20 Mar 2017 4:30pm
Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.213
Online submission for **All Districts**

**Name:** Carol Egan  
**Address:** 61 Sunrise Drive, Ocean View, 4521

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**
I want to protest about the change of name from D'Aguilar to Pine Rivers. It is so inappropriate! D'Aguilar ..... it's a dreadful sounding word and most people don't know how to pronounce it or spell it. Pine Rivers ..... no problems, and it sounds picturesque and conjures up visions of lovely scenery. It is home to the magnificent hoop pine, of which there are many in the area. The name D'Aguilar is not at all pertinent to the area. George D'Aguilar was a millitary man, and not from these parts. Whereas the mighty Hoop Pine was here before Captain Cook. Please reconsider ..... I honestly don't know anyone who likes the name D'Aguilar.

Submission ID: 67140

Time of Submission: 20 Mar 2017 4:41pm

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.214
Online submission for **Kawana**

**Name:** Victoria Alicia Sosa  
**Address:** 5a Pannaroo St

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**
kawana Waters is an unofficial place name for a coastal stretch of land between Mooloolaba and Caloundra. Our area has been known as Kawana Waters since the early 1960's and is used by everyone as the commonly referred to name of our area. I don't believe the QRC has properly taken into consideration the strong communities of interest that are contained in the Kawana Waters region. One of the connecting features of our community is Kawana Beach, from Point Cartwright to Currimundi Lake, 10 kilometres of white sand. I am concerned that by moving Minyama, Buddina and Parrearra into the Buderim electorate, the suburbs and residents will lose true local representation and be forced into an electorate they have no cultural or business connection to. Residents will be forced to drive to the top of Buderim to see their local MP which will result in less engagement between the community and its representative.

Submission ID: 67141

Time of Submission: 20 Mar 2017 4:42pm

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.213
Online submission for Gympie

Name: Andrew Anthony Stewart
Address: 10 Tingira Close

File Upload: No file uploaded

Text:
As a constituent of this Electorate, I have worked closely with our Elected Representative over the last 25 yrs. This has often been as Secretary of our Commerce Association, 2 other Town Committees, Delegations to meet in Brisbane Parliament House & other areas with Federal & State Politicians. Over this time I have always found our Elected representative to have a sound Knowledge of our Community Environs, with most having lived or spent many Holidays amongst our Community. I have always found our Member approachable, even during Holiday times. We are joined by road, land & ocean & share the same Ports with our other Coastal communities in Tin Can Bay & Cooloola Cove. Many of our Committees work together as we share the same problems, why would we want to change this working relationship.. I don't only see the realignment of our Electoral Boundary rather Stupid, but serious from an Economic point of view. Unless the Commission has plans to build a Super Highway to Noosa our New elected Member will have a Time consuming job attending to our problems at Rainbow Beach if he at all cares about us.. Our present State Member controls our Roads, Bridges, Coast, & Environment. Why on earth would you pay another Member to travel an extra 1 & 1/2 hrs to inspect the last 15-20 kms of road, and our Coastal & Community concerns.? Do we also get rights to Sunshine Coast Television to see who our Member is because at present we are not classified as being part of there community. I am sorry but I do not see the Economic wisdom from the people making this decision. You will seriously divide a wonderful coastal Community. Any one working on Committees will need 2 sets of books.. I am sure I will not have any part in Executive committees if this decision goes ahead.
Online submission for **Ninderry**

**Name:** R V Mirams  
**Address:** Panavista Ct Doonan 4562  

**File Upload:** No file uploaded  

**Text:**  
Crazy idea to split suburbs -- Doonan and Peregian Beach. Leave them in Noosa Electorate which is the community of interest for the residents. Also ridiculous is to include Rainbow Beach in the Noosa Electorate -- doesn't anyone have a map or an idea of the area? To get to Rainbow Beach from Noosa you first have to take a ferry across Noosa River, drive to the beach in your 4WD, let the tyres down and drive at low tide along the foreshore. Or another way is to go via the inland on a rough partly-unsealed bush road or sillier still, go up the Bruce Highway through Gympie and out to Rainbow Beach. Leave it in the Gympie Electorate.

Submission ID: 67143  
Time of Submission: 20 Mar 2017 4:47pm  
Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.214
Online submission for **Kawana**

**Name:** Daniel Brilliant  
**Address:** 5 Martinique Court Parrearra Q 4575

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**  
It seems totally ridiculous to remove Kawana from the Kawana electorate. We live in Kawana. Shop & work in Kawana, NOT Buderim. The suburbs of Minyama, Buddina & Parrearra do not belong to Buderim. Kawana is a very different area from Buderim & should be left where it is in relation to the electorate. I live in Kawana not Buderim. Leave the electorate alone. It is absolute nonsense to change it. I can't help but feel that there is an underlying political agenda here that ought to be abandoned. Leave the district as it is. It makes sense.

Submission ID: 67144  
Time of Submission: 20 Mar 2017 4:56pm  
Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.214
This is a submission to the proposed boundary change to the Kawana electorate.
For over 50 years the residents of Buddina, Minyama and Parrearra have been part of the Kawana Waters area. Kawana and Maroochydore are beachside electorates whereas Buderim is an inland village area with different needs and attitudes.
The revised boundary means many Kawana facilities including the major Kawana Shoppingworld will not be in the Kawana electorate.
Buddina, Minyama and Parrearra are not village suburbs and will not be adequately represented by a Buderim based electorate.
The Mooloolah River forms a natural northern boundary for the Kawana electorate.
The current boundary change is ridiculous, alienates the residents of Buddina, Minyama and Parrearra and I strongly urge you to restore the northern boundary of Kawana to the Mooloolah River.
Yours Sincerely,
Trevor Watkins, 32 Mawarra Street, Buddina, Qld, 4575
Sent from our iPhone
Online submission for **Mcconnel**

**Name:** Judi Jabour  
**Address:** 4/115 Terrace St, New Farm, 4005.

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**
The seat of Brisbane Central should remain Brisbane Central, as it's a better reflection of our inner city location in the heart of Brisbane. This has nothing to do with the merits of naming the seat McConnel, but my view is that Queensland deserves a seat named after its capital city - Brisbane Central. I also believe the enormous and unnecessary costs associated with renaming this seat can be saved by keeping the electorate as Brisbane Central. If South Brisbane can remain South Brisbane, which is a very good reflection of its location, and Bulimba can remain Bulimba. It just doesn't make sense to rename the centre of Brisbane. The sensible approach would be for you to reconsider, and keep Brisbane Central as Brisbane Central.

**Submission ID:** 67145  
**Time of Submission:** 20 Mar 2017 5:01pm  
**Submission IP Address:** 43.245.40.214
Online submission for Mcconnel

Name: Linda Whatman  
Address: 1/115 Terrace St, New Farm, 4005.

File Upload: No file uploaded

Text: 
The seat of Brisbane Central should remain Brisbane Central, as it’s a better reflection of our inner city location in the heart of Brisbane. This has nothing to do with the merits of naming the seat McConnel, but my view is that Queensland deserves a seat named after its capital city - Brisbane Central. Any other name simply does not make sense. I also believe the enormous and unnecessary costs associated with renaming this seat can be saved by keeping the electorate as Brisbane Central. It just doesn't make sense to rename the centre of Brisbane. It especially doesn't make sense that there was no consultation. The sensible approach would be for you to reconsider, and keep Brisbane Central as Brisbane Central.

Submission ID: 67146  
Time of Submission: 20 Mar 2017 5:07pm  
Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.214
Stephanie Bond
16 Lombok Street
Parrearra Qld, 4575

To the secretary,

I am writing to object to the electoral boundary changes that are proposed for the Kawana electorate by the Queensland Redistribution Commission. I am of the understanding that if this goes ahead we will have to go to Buderim for our future electoral matters. This surely does not make sense! This will inconvenience many people, including myself. I can only hope this ridiculous change is reconsidered with us, the Kawana residence in mind.

Yours sincerely

S.C. Bond
Online submission for **Gympie**

**Name:** Colette Archibald and Coralie Leslie  
**Address:** 21 Green Valley Drive RAINBOW BEACH QLD 4581

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**

RE: PROPOSED ELECTORAL BOUNDARY CHANGE – RAINBOW BEACH INTO NOOSA  
As residents of Rainbow Beach we wish to submit our objection to the new proposed State Government Electoral Boundary changes to the Gympie region by now including Rainbow Beach in the Noosa Electorate. We are more connected as a community to Gympie, Tin Can Bay and Cooloola Cove than we do to a far distant Noosa region. Children in our township attend Gympie for high school. We shop, have sporting and community club affiliations, and business interests in Cooloola Cove, Tin Can Bay and Gympie. Our nearest local hospital is an hour away in Gympie where we also have many of our medical services located. Our nearest Centrelink and government services are located in Gympie. Our township is serviced by the Gympie Shire Council and as such we have no affiliation with Noosa and can’t see the sense of including our township and waterways into the Noosa Electorate. We fear the interests of our beautiful tourist attractions such as Double Island Point, the coloured sands and sand blow of Rainbow Beach, the beautiful camping area of Inskip Point and dolphin feeding at Tin Can Bay will come out of the control of the Cooloola Coast area as far as beach regulation and use of our waterways go. Our Cooloola Coast identity and affiliation will be lost. We are part of the Cooloola Coast and not of the Sunshine Coast! In comparison with our current situation, should we have the future necessity to meet with our new State representative for Noosa, the distance to Noosa is two hours plus by road but only one hour to Gympie where our present State Member is located. We have many visits and meetings (sometimes at short notice) in Rainbow Beach with our current State Member for Gympie – more of a close face to face level of connection - we cannot see our State Member located in Noosa just popping up to visit our township if we have pressing problems to discuss and solve. We will become the nuisance-value township at the remote top end of the Noosa Electorate. In conclusion, to change the Gympie Electoral boundary and place Rainbow Beach into the Noosa Electorate for the sake of 500 to 600 votes will split (and destroy) Rainbow Beach’s close community and historical bond with Gympie, Tin Can Bay and Cooloola Cove and makes no sense therefore we do not agree with the changes to our current State Electoral boundary. Yours sincerely Colette Archibald and Coralie Leslie

---

Submission ID: 67147
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Online submission for **Kawana**

**Name:** Ian Jacobsen  
**Address:** 121/10 Marco Way PARREARRA QLD 4575  

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**
I am writing to voice my objections to the proposed changes to the Kawana electorate boundaries. My wife and I live at KAWANA ISLAND Retirement Village in the suburb named Parrearra which is, in reality KAWANA Island. If the draft boundary change proceeds, our KAWANA electorate will change to the Buderim electorate. There can be no logic to that as businesses, medical, social and community groups in our immediate area have the word KAWANA attached. We are Kawana people, NOT those Buderim people up there on the hill who simply do not have any connection with us down on the coastal flats. We shop at the KAWANA Shopping Centre. We gather at the KAWANA Surf Club. We travel via the the KAWANA Bus Station. We frequent the KAWANA Community Centre. In trauma, we are attended by the KAWANA Ambulance Station. PLEASE, PLEASE reconsider the draft boundary changes to the KAWANA electorate and let good decisions prevail. Looking at the draft map, there appears to be no sense in cutting Minyama, Buddina and Parrearra from the KAWANA electorate. Those suburbs are an integral part of KAWANA and should remain that way. Regards Ian Jacobsen
Online submission for **All Districts**

**Name:** francoise baumeyer  
**Address:** 39 lakeshore place Peregian Beach 4573  
**File Upload:** No file uploaded  

**Text:**  
peregian beach west shouldnt be attached to the electorate of Ninderry as it doesnt make any sense!

Submission ID: 67149  
Time of Submission: 20 Mar 2017 5:33pm  
Submission IP Address: 119.9.78.31
Dear Queensland Redistribution Commission

I wish to lodge my objection to the communities of Miles and Chinchilla being placed in the Electorate of Callide. We simply do not have a community of interest to the north.

Miles and Chinchilla are in the Surat Basin and have little community of interest with so far to the north as we are situated in a different resource basin, catchment, local government area and state department regional boundaries, that run in east west from Brisbane, Toowoomba and Ipswich. To travel from Miles or Chinchilla to the north of Callide under the current proposal one has to drive through either Taraom or Dalby as there is no direct road connection.

I suggest that the 8728 voters that have been taken from the Condamine Electorate into Warrego be placed in the Callide Electorate. This would enable the Callide Electorate to have a north south community of interest along the Dalby Jandowea Mundubbera Durong Road. Miles and Chinchilla (7448 voters) and possibly areas from Wandoan and Taraom (to make up the numbers) then be located into Warrego where there is community of interest, transport and commerce links.

The clear community of interest on the Western Downs (ie Miles and Chinchilla) is in an east west direction and follows the Warrego Highway.

I strongly urge the Redistribution Commission to reconsider the draft boundaries and follow the east west transport routes for Warrego and the north south transport routes for Callide.

I acknowledge that my submission, including any personal and/or identifying information provided, will be published in its entirety and made available for public inspection.

Yours faithfully,

[Signature]
Online submission for **Kawana**

**Name:** Deb Holmes  
**Address:** 48 Jessica BLvd Minyama

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**  
I am writing to object to the current proposal to remove the suburbs of Minyama, Buddina and Parrearra from Kawana. Removing these suburbs from the electorate will disconnect the residents of these areas from a local representative and we will be forced into an electorate with which we have little connection, both in cultural and business respects. Please keep the above mentioned suburbs within the Kawana electorate. Kind regards Deb

Submission ID: 67150

Time of Submission: 20 Mar 2017 6:06pm

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.214
Online submission for **Kawana**

**Name:** Shane Alexander LAMBERT  
**Address:** 102 Mooloolah Drive Minyama Qld 4575  

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**
I wish to lodge my strong objection to the proposed boundary changes to the electorate of Kawana. As a resident of Minyama for the past 17 years, I identify with the coastal community of Kawana Waters, not the proposed alignment with Buderim. In moving Minyama, Buddina and Parrearra into the Buderim electorate, I am concerned at the loss of a truly local and representative voice at state level. Daily life revolves around Kawana Shoppingworld, its businesses, medical centres, eateries and entertainment venues and as nobody has yet seen fit to address the traffic issues that burden central Buderim, I avoid it like the plague.

Submission ID: 67151

Time of Submission: 20 Mar 2017 6:50pm

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.214
Online submission for **Buderim, Kawana**

**Name:** Annika Coutts  
**Address:** 60 Wrigley Street Maroochydore QLD 4558

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**
I am a member of the Buderim Electorate. The Buddina Community Garden where I am Treasurer is in the Kawana Electorate. The proposed boundary changes taking this group and numerous other Kawana groups and putting them in the Buderim Electorate are ludicrous. There is a strong sense of Community in the Kawana area and the area that the community garden, Kawana Guides, scouts, Kawana Surf Club, Kawana Shopping centre, Kawana Library and Kawana Community Hall is a hub of local activity and cohesion. Our Member Jarrod Bleijie has his office in this area and is involved in all of these groups. He is a sponsor of the Buddina Community Garden and a very welcome visitor. If we are in the Buderim electorate I feel we will lose our local representation and a very valued supporter. We have no connection with the Buderim Electorate and will not be driving up the mountain to check in with our member and we doubt he will drive down the mountain to do the same. We are coastal and beach suburbs and Buderim is a mountainous area with no connection to the beach. Our shopping, health care, transport and leisure all takes place in this area we lovingly call Kawana.

Submission ID: 67152

Time of Submission: 20 Mar 2017 6:58pm

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.214
Online submission for Callide

Name: Peter Roberts
Address: 18 Scouller Street

File Upload: No file uploaded

Text:
I wish to lodge by objection to the town of Chinchilla moving to the Callide electorate. Chinchilla is already on the wrong end of decisions from being forced to join with Dalby in the local council amalgamation stuff-up and now you expect the town to go off in another direction altogether. There would be no future for Chinchilla and Miles for that matter having decisions made at local government level way to the east and then state government decisions from somewhere up in the North. There is no alignment with the Callide District at all and obviously this is just a political decision to try and justify changing boundaries.

Submission ID: 67153

Time of Submission: 20 Mar 2017 7:21pm

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.213
Online submission for **Kawana**

**Name:** Amanda bird  
**Address:** point Cartwright drive Buddina

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**
Re new Kawana boundaries Just wanted to say that I have lived in Buddina for 10 years and strongly disagree on the proposal of removing Minyama, Buddina and Parrearra from Kawana. The proposal makes no sense and should remain as is.

Submission ID: 67154

Time of Submission: 20 Mar 2017 7:46pm

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.213
Online submission for **Kawana**

**Name:** Tracey Jackson  
**Address:** 9 Koolena Street Buddina 4575

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**  
I absolutely object to my suburb, and those around me (Minyama, Buddina and Parreara) being transferred out of the Kawana electorate and into the Buderim electorate. We are completely separate from Buderim, have completely different needs and would have no benefit from this transfer taking place. The member for Buderim does not and could not represent my views and this shift in boundaries would take away from the Kawana community more than it would give it.

---

Submission ID: 67155

Time of Submission: 20 Mar 2017 8:35pm

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.213
Online submission for **Kawana**

**Name:** Bruce Neuendorf  
**Address:** 13 Sweetbrush Place Mountain Creek

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**
I wish to express my concern regarding the redesignation of the boundaries for the Kawana electorate. By moving as proposed the heart of Kawana is being taken out of the Kawana electorate. Kawana Surf Club, Kawana Shopping Center, Kawana Scouts and Girl Guides, Kawana State Primary School to name a few will actually end up in the Buderim electorate. To me this defies logic. Not only that it will mean committee groups such as Scouts and Girl Guides will need to battle with already existing groups for funding and assistance. For example Kawana Scouts will need to complete with Maroochydore and Buderim for funding. Currently being the only Scout group in Kawana electorate this isn't the case. There is only so much funding available for these groups in each electorate so somebody is going to have to feel the pain and it is likely that is will be children that suffer. In this day and age to have groups like the scouts and girl guides that provide an affordable wholesome activity for children are too few and far between and with the new boundaries this is going to be less likely. I don't live in the Kawana electorate but to me for anyone to live in Kawana and be told that their electorate is Buderim is beyond reason. I can see all sorts of confusion next elections. So please leave Kawana as is. It has it's own identity and that isn't the identity of Buderim.

Submission ID: 67156

Time of Submission: 20 Mar 2017 8:49pm

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.214
The Secretary
Queensland Redistribution Commission.

Please note my objections to the proposed changes whereby Kawana Waters in its entirety is to be added to the Buderim electorate.
It was in 1972 that my husband and I bought land and built a house here. We are very attached to Kawana for several reasons and would like to see Kawana remain in the coastal division.

These I enumerate for your consideration.
1. Kawana was the first coastal suburb fronting a long stretch of beachfront from Point Cartwright to Currimundi. Other suburbs, over some 40 years, have become attached to Kawana and the predominately coastal environment. We live within walking distance of Point Cartwright and it remains an important place in my heart.
2. On this now thriving coastal strip there is a wonderful sense of community revolving around marine activities. Fronting the Kawana Beach there is a lively Surf Club where people from nippers to adults enjoy the benefits of living close to the ocean. Many communities associations use Kawana Waters to prefix their title, e.g. Seniors, Scouts, Library, RSL, Chamber of Commerce and more but I impress on you that this coastal area has its own very particular identity which is very different from Buderim.
3. Buderim is a very much older area with a quite different history to our coastal history. From my observations and I have friends in/on Buderim many folk who live there would rarely chooses Buderim if they were keen on fishing, surfing or water sports.
4. One significant establishment is our local shopping centre, Kawana Shopping World. I was here when that centre opened and it has grown to be a pivotal commercial and meeting place for locals. In the future extensions to this centre will include film theatres thus again only enhancing our community as the need to drive to the overcrowded Maroochydore Plaza can be avoided.
5. Within the proposed new changes many workers and professionals who would live and work in our area would be more or less bound by a representative whose interests would lie in/on Buderim and the more hinterland.
6. Importantly the Nicklin Way, a major thoroughfare of 4 lanes or more, links all our coastal communities from Currimundi. It is along this route that citizens travel to local schools, medical centres, shops and businesses. The new modern hospital is part of our community.
Note there is a long hill to drive to arrive in Buderim and as one approaches the centre of Buderim the change is noticeable. Buderim will never be part of the coastal community.
7. Finally I add that whoever has decided on the proposed changes has not lived, spoken to the coastal community or perhaps even visited our beautiful Kawana, my home.

Yours faithfully
Nell Horrolt
41 Pacific Boulevard
Buddina 4575
Dear Secretary

I am writing on behalf of my elderly parents who live in the Kawana Electorate who object to the proposed changes which would mean transferring their suburb of Buddina to the Buderim Electorate.

They are not on email and I act as their Power of Attorney. They have lived in the area permanently since 1997 and are strongly opposed to losing a ‘local’ MP to ensure proper representation on behalf of the constituents.

This is not my electorate, I write this email on behalf of my parents - Merle and Ernest Laydon.

Thank you.

Kind regards

Brenda Laydon
Online submission for **Kawana**

**Name:** Katherine Boyce  
**Address:** 15 Point Cartwright Drive Buddina Qld 4575

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**
It is ludicrous that the suburbs of Minyama, Buddina and Parrearra would be transferred to the Buderim electorate. For example community groups such as Kawana Scout Group, Kawana Community Centre and Kawana surf lifesaving would not be in the Kawana electorate and would be forced to travel to Buderim to meet their representative.

Submission ID: 67157

Time of Submission: 21 Mar 2017 5:49am

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.214
Online submission for All Districts

Name: Jennifer Hughes
Address: 3 Nabilla St, Buddina, 4575

File Upload: No file uploaded

Text:
I wish to express my objection to the State electorate of Kawana being subsumed into Buderim in electoral boundary changes. The two areas are quite distinct. The thought of being part of an electorate currently held by the One Nation Party is abhorrent to me. Hopefully, this situation will change next election. However, Kawana is not Buderim.

Sincerely Jenny Hughes

Submission ID: 67158
Time of Submission: 21 Mar 2017 7:10am
Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.214
Online submission for **Buderim**

**Name:** John Davis  
**Address:** 21 Mooloolah Drive Minyama 4575

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**  
I am concerned that by moving Minyama, Buddina and Parrearra into the Buderim electorate, the suburbs and residents will lose true local representation and be forced into an electorate we have no cultural or business connection to. Many of us have chosen to live in Kawana because of our interest in marine activities such as recreational fishing, sailing, surf skiing and surfing. Redistributing these Kawana suburbs to Buderim will alienate the residents who strongly rely on Kawana for their work, business, social, educational, medical, community, sport, cultural and shopping needs.

---

**Submission ID:** 67159  
**Time of Submission:** 21 Mar 2017 8:23am  
**Submission IP Address:** 43.245.40.214
Dear Sir/Madam,

I wish to object to the proposal to include Flagstone in the new electorate of Jordan. My objection is based on the following points:

- Community
- Transport
- Access
- Future proportionate representation
- Disenfranchisement
- A better alternative

Community

Our wider community and our most commonly used services and amenities are in the Logan electorate.

Our critical state issues such as the capacity and condition or the Mt Lindesay Highway, heavy truck traffic likely to be generated by the proposed Bromelton development, public transport, a rail link and health services are common Logan electorate issues

We are located in Logan City and share amenities provided by local government to our north and north east. We are closely linked to other residents within the Logan electorate but have no links to Jordan (Greater Springfield/Ipswich). At present we are part of Jimboomba and interact with our immediate community there. The development of major town infrastructure within ‘Greater Flagstone’ is unlikely before 2023.

Students from Jimboomba and other surrounding Logan electorate areas attend the Flagstone State Community College. Our hospital services are delivered at Beaudesert and Logan hospitals, our police and ambulance services are at Jimboomba.

Roads and Transport

Proposed new electoral boundaries whilst taking account of present and future populations fail to take account of our road links and transport corridors.

We have no public transport however if this is provided in the form of buses it is most likely to link to Jimboomba, Park Ridge or Browns Plains. A limited bus service operates from Jimboomba to Browns Plains for access to Brisbane and there is a ‘park-and ride’ at Park Ridge with limited bus services. Our school buses feed into Flagstone from areas within the Logan electorate.
We adjoin the main interstate rail line but this is unsuitable for normal Queensland Rail rolling stock due to guage and profile. Any prospects of rail transport are a long way off.

Our residents mainly commute in private cars (over 10,000 a day) along Teviot Road then predominantly cross to the Mt Lindesay Highway via Maclean (Scott Lane) or Boronia Heights.

Access

If feasible our residents should have convenient physical access to their representative in a location reasonably close to their regular activities.

The office for the Logan electorate is currently located near Browns Plains. This is logical and convenient to Flagstone residents. Locating our State representative to the west, possibly around Springfield in the Ipswich local government area, would make access less convenient because the majority of us have no everyday business there.

Our location, relatively remote and disconnected from Greater Springfield, would also create physical challenges for any elected member located there.

Disenfranchisement

We are a somewhat isolated but growing dormitory community of urban workers. The federal electoral balancing act has bundled us into Wright, a large mainly rural electorate separated by ranges and rivers with different concerns and priorities.

Inclusion in the proposed new electorate of Jordan would similarly disenfranchise us at a state level, as a physically separated minority group with significant developmental needs of no interest to a Greater Springfield majority who are either not affected or already have better infrastructure in place.

It is an unreasonable expectation that a parliamentary representative in Jordan should devote a disproportionately high amount of their time to a small number of voters in Flagstone, as required to address our compelling needs.

Proportionate Representation

2023 projections indicate that the proposed new boundaries will quickly fail to deliver proportionate representation to us (the lower income, working poor, fringe dwellers of south and west Brisbane?).

Ipswich, Logan and Jordan are predicted to grow to significantly exceed quota at +27.61%, +18.85% and +15.75% respectively and the commission has acknowledged inability to provide for this growth. They relied on a community argument which is invalid in respect of Flagstone. Unless the state parliament is prepared to add another seat or re-adjust south and south-west Brisbane/Ipswich boundaries in 5 years, the votes of a large group of us will be devalued.

I believe there is a question about legislative intent and whether it was intended to construct a situation where under the new boundary proposals our ratios of representation mean that the votes of 24123 electors in Gregory are worth 1.5 times those of 36259 electors in Hill and projected 2023 figures give 25580 electors in Gregory votes worth 1.84 times those of the 47038 electors in Ipswich.
I accept some compensation for the burden of representation for a large electorate however this
departs too far from one vote one value and I do not believe that the majority of Queenslanders
would accept that this is fair and reasonable if they were aware of the detail.

A Better Alternative

I propose that Flagstone remain in the Logan electorate, that some or all of eastern and north
eastern localities such as Kairabah, Yarrabilba, Logan Village, Wolfendene, Belivah, Buccan and Bahrs
Scrub be accommodated in Waterford, Macalister or Coomera electorates and that Jordan
electorate absorb part of Bundamba/Ipswich to compensate for the loss of Flagstone.

Electorates of Waterford and Macalister have and are projected to have less than average numbers
of electors, currently – 3.48% and – 6.7 % respectively and projected – 8.46 % and – 7.85 %
respectively. Coomera is currently – 9.23% and projected + 6.7 %.

Eastern and north-eastern localities are included in the proposed new Logan electorate however
they are not part of the same community. Residents are more likely to travel north, north east or
north west of the Logan electorate for work and commerce and would, I expect, have little other
business to transact within the proposed new Logan electorate. They are more likely to associate
with infrastructure, services and transport in or through Waterford, Macalister or Coomera
electorates. Allocating these electors to electorates north and or east will take some pressure off
the effects of growth to the west in Logan, Jordan and Ipswich, sharing the electoral impact.

Maps also appear to show a linking of Dairy Creek Rd and School Rd on the border of Logan and
Waterford electorates however these roads do not link and the Logan River flows between them,
splitting that area of the proposed electorate with residents to the east maintaining wider
community and transport links to their north, not in the Logan electorate.

Bundamba has and is projected to have more than average numbers of electors, currently + 8.37 and
projected + 1.73 %. Parts of Ipswich or Bundamba, with more common state issues, could be
allocated to Jordan as an alternative to Flagstone, potentially relieving future pressure on Ipswich.

In order to accommodate future growth, if allowable under the legislation, it may be better to have
some southern growth electorates under quota by greater than 10%. They include fragmented
smaller towns and localities separated by rough terrain and poor road links. The process of major
development will temporarily involve proportionately more work for elected state members and if
the predicted growth occurs within a 7 year period from August 2016 these electorates should very
quickly grow to be within the 10% compliant range. Some of the expected growth will already have
occurred when the proposal is considered by the parliament.

Yours faithfully,


Beverley Mortensen

16 March 2017
4. Since 1959, Kawana has developed into a thriving community. We are serviced by wonderful community organisations including the Kawana Waters RSL Sub-Branch, Kawana Waters Surf Lifesaving Club, Kawana Library, Kawana Scouts, Kawana Community Centre, Kawana Rotary Club, Lake Currimundi-Kawana Lions Club, Kawana Waters Chamber of Commerce, Buddina State School, Minyama Neighbourhood Watch, Kawana Companions, Kawana Seniors and the Kawana Island Residents Association to name but a few. These community organisations have no connection with the Buderim community and may be forced to compete with existing Buderim-based organisations for funding, membership and volunteers. Please don't move our respected, well used and much loved community organisations to Buderim.

5. I am concerned that by moving Minyama, Buddina and Parrearra into the Buderim electorate, the suburbs and residents will lose true local representation and be forced into an electorate they have no cultural or business connection to. Residents will be forced to drive to the top of Buderim to see their local MP which will result in less engagement between the community and its representative.

6. The electorate of Kawana is predominately a coastal urban electorate. Buderim falls between the business districts of Maroochydore and Nambour. There is no connection between the coastal urban suburbs of Minyama, Buddina and Parrearra and the Buderim electorate. These coastal-based urban communities are culturally and socially connected with Kawana. Redistributing these Kawana suburbs to Buderim will alienate the residents who strongly rely on Kawana for their work, business, social, educational, medical, community, sport, cultural and shopping needs.

7. Our major shopping and entertainment district is located at the Kawana Shoppingworld and Kawana Waters Hotel which should naturally remain in the Kawana electorate. Kawana Shoppingworld also acts as a major public transport hub, linking directly with the Sunshine Coast University Hospital as well as all other bus routes operating throughout the Kawana electorate.

Please I pray leave everything as it is. We elderly people would find it very difficult to have to travel to Buderim. We are happy here with all the facilities at close range including our members of parliament. I remain J. Stock.
Dear Queensland Redistribution Commission

I wish to lodge my objection to the communities of Miles and Chinchilla being placed in the Electorate of Callide. We simply do not have a community of interest to the north.

Miles and Chinchilla are in the Surat Basin and have little community of interest with so far to the north as we are situated in a different resource basin, catchment, local government area and state department regional boundaries, that run in an east west from Brisbane, Toowoomba and Ipswich. To travel from Miles or Chinchilla to the north of Callide under the current proposal one has to drive through either Taroom or Dalby as there is no direct road connection.

I suggest that the 8728 voters that have been taken from the Condamine Electorate into Warrego be placed in the Callide Electorate. This would enable the Callide Electorate to have a north south community of interest along the Dalby Jandowea Mundubbera Durong Road. Miles and Chinchilla (7448 voters) and possibly areas from Wandoan and Taroom (to make up the numbers) then be located into Warrego where there is community of interest, transport and commerce links.

The clear community of interest on the Western Downs (ie Miles and Chinchilla) is in an east west direction and follows the Warrego Highway.

I strongly urge the Redistribution Commission to reconsider the draft boundaries and follow the east west transport routes for Warrego and the north south transport routes for Callide.

I acknowledge that my submission, including any personal and/or identifying information provided, will be published in its entirety and made available for public inspection.

Yours faithfully

Signature
Dear Secretary,

Our Incorporated NFP Association operates with its geographic centre of influence centering on the Buderim/Sippy Downs/Maroochydore/Kawana electorate areas. Hence our members (who number close to 900) have an interest in the proposed changes to electoral boundaries.

At a committee meeting on Sunday 12 March it was resolved that we should support the submissions of two local Members of Parliament, Jarrod Bleijie and Steven Dickson whose electorates are currently Kawana and Buderim.

1) The removal of the beach/canal coastal suburbs of Minyama, Buddina and Parrearra from the Kawana electorate.

These suburbs are part of the coastal strip from Mooloolaba and Caloundra which is referred to locally as Kawana Waters, or just Kawana. The suburbs of Birtinya, Bokarina, Buddina, Minyama, Parrearra, Warana and Wurtulla, are all part of this coastal strip. Kawana Beach runs from Currimundi Lake to Point Cartwright, a feature adjacent to the suburbs along the coastal strip. It would be counter-intuitive to remove a portion of ‘Kawana’ and move it to Buderim, an area associated with a ‘mountain’ and its adjacent slopes, often referred to as ‘Buderim Mountain’ although topographically this is only high enough to be a ‘hill’. The village of Buderim is central to this area. It bears little resemblance to coastal/beach suburbs, having been a largely rural area from the late 1800’s.

There are a number of community organizations which operate along the coastal strip, which have little or no connection with Buderim. These include: Kawana Waters RSL Sub-Branch, Kawana Waters Surf Lifesaving Club, Kawana Library, Kawana Scouts, Kawana Community Centre, Kawana Rotary Club, Lake Currimundi-Kawana Lions Club, Kawana Waters Chamber of Commerce, Buddina State School, Minyama Neighbour Watch, Kawana Champions, Kawana Seniors and the Kawana Island Residents Association. These community organizations have no connection with the Buderim Community and could find themselves competing with Buderim Based organizations for funding, membership and volunteers.

Conversely organizations such as Buderim Lions, Buderim Scouts, Buderim War Memorial Community Association, Buderim Cricket Club are largely confined to the traditional (Pre 1959) area of Buderim, which existed prior to the coastal Kawana Waters development.

The Kawana community has little or no cultural or business connection to Buderim, and representation should be maintained as the later coastal/beach development. The Buderim MP logically does now, and is likely to remain in the village of Buderim, an older community which was traditionally rural, and centered around Buderim itself. An office in Buderim would remain out of context with Kawana Waters coastal strip constituents. Residents of all the Kawana...
suburbs rely on the Kawana strip for their work, business, social, educational, medical, community, sport, cultural and shopping needs. Kawana Shoppingworld is a major transport hub as well as a shopping centre, linking and with the Sunshine Coast University Hospital and other transport destinations throughout the Kawana area. The Kawana Waters Hotel should remain in the Kawana electorate as it serves as a social centre. Buderim’s social life centres on the village, where the Buderim Tavern has a major social presence.

2) **Proposed inclusion of Sippy Downs into the Buderim Electorate:**
If the three suburbs of Minyama, Buddina and Parrearra were to be returned to the electorate of Kawana, a suitable offset for Buderim would be the suburb of Sippy Downs, which is more closely associated with Buderim. Sippy Downs is a better fit by way of geography, road access and schools. In particular in the school catchments of Buderim State, Sienna, Chancellor Park and Mountain Creek Schools, the students frequently move from primary to secondary levels or between the schools. Our President Ron Hutchins, routinely walks the primary children to school as a ‘Lions’ community activity, in both suburbs, and vouches for this movement. The club itself is active in the traditional Buderim electorate and in Sippy Downs. It does not extend its activities to the Kawana area. The local newspaper distribution area coincides with the common postcode of 4556, shared by Buderim and Sippy Downs. Community activities spread from Buderim to Sippy Downs as the latter suburb grew. This was a logical progression, as both areas had a common rural background, and there was a considerable area of vegetation between the growing beach/coastal Kawana Waters area and Buderim/Sippy Downs suburbs.

3) **To summarise our Association is strongly in favour of:**
- Maintaining the suburbs of Minyama, Buddina and Parrearra in the existing Kawana electorate.
- This to be balanced by extending the Buderim electorate to include the suburb of Sippy Downs.

Yours Faithfully,

[Signature]
Raewyn Hutchins,
Secretary
Sunshine Coast Safe Communities Inc.
Dear Queensland Redistribution Commission

I wish to lodge my objection to the communities of Miles and Chinchilla being placed in the Electorate of Callide. We simply do not have a community of interest to the north.

Miles and Chinchilla are in the Surat Basin and have little community of interest with so far to the north as we are situated in a different resource basin, catchment, local government area and state department regional boundaries, that run in an east west from Brisbane, Toowoomba and Ipswich. To travel from Miles or Chinchilla to the north of Callide under the current proposal one has to drive through either Taroom or Dalby as there is no direct road connection.

I suggest that the 8728 voters that have been taken from the Condamine Electorate into Warrego be placed in the Callide Electorate. This would enable the Callide Electorate to have a north south community of interest along the Dalby Jandowea Mundubbera Durong Road. Miles and Chinchilla (7448 voters) and possibly areas from Wandoan and Taroom (to make up the numbers) then be located into Warrego where there is community of interest, transport and commerce links.

The clear community of interest on the Western Downs (ie Miles and Chinchilla) is in an east west direction and follows the Warrego Highway.

I strongly urge the Redistribution Commission to reconsider the draft boundaries and follow the east west transport routes for Warrego and the north south transport routes for Callide.

I acknowledge that my submission, including any personal and/or identifying information provided, will be published in its entirety and made available for public inspection.

Yours faithfully

M.E. Smith

Signature
Dear Queensland Redistribution Commission

I wish to lodge my objection to the communities of Miles and Chinchilla being placed in the Electorate of Callide. We simply do not have a community of interest to the north.

Miles and Chinchilla are in the Surat Basin and have little community of interest with so far to the north as we are situated in a different resource basin, catchment, local government area and state department regional boundaries, that run in an east west from Brisbane, Toowoomba and Ipswich. To travel from Miles or Chinchilla to the north of Callide under the current proposal one has to drive through either Taroom or Dalby as there is no direct road connection.

I suggest that the 8728 voters that have been taken from the Condamine Electorate into Warrego be placed in the Callide Electorate. This would enable the Callide Electorate to have a north south community of interest along the Dalby Jandowea Mundubbera Durong Road. Miles and Chinchilla (7448 voters) and possibly areas from Wandoan and Taroom (to make up the numbers) then be located into Warrego where there is community of interest, transport and commerce links.

The clear community of interest on the Western Downs (ie Miles and Chinchilla) is in an east west direction and follows the Warrego Highway.

I strongly urge the Redistribution Commission to reconsider the draft boundaries and follow the east west transport routes for Warrego and the north south transport routes for Callide.

I acknowledge that my submission, including any personal and/or identifying information provided, will be published in its entirety and made available for public inspection.

Yours faithfully

[Signature]

[Residential Address]

[Name]
THE SECRETARY
QUEENSLAND REDISTRIBUTION COMMISSION

DEAR SIR,

THIS IS UtTER SUIPIDITY To
MOVE CHINCHILLA 8 MILES TO THE ELECTORATE
OF CALMIE. DO YOU STUPID PEOPLE EVER
THINK LOGICALLY? IF YOU DO, YOU WOULD
REALIZE THAT COMMON SENSE MUST
PREVAIL IN THIS STUPID DECISION.

Yours Sincerely
JIM DUMER
35 CONDAMINE ST
CHINCHILLA 4363
Dear Queensland Redistribution Commission

I wish to lodge my objection to the communities of Miles and Chinchilla being placed in the Electorate of Callide. We simply do not have a community of interest to the north.

Miles and Chinchilla are in the Surat Basin and have little community of interest with so far to the north as we are situated in a different resource basin, catchment, local government area and state department regional boundaries, that run in an east west from Brisbane, Toowoomba and Ipswich. To travel from Miles or Chinchilla to the north of Callide under the current proposal one has to drive through either Taroom or Dalby as there is no direct road connection.

I suggest that the 8728 voters that have been taken from the Condamine Electorate into Warrego be placed in the Callide Electorate. This would enable the Callide Electorate to have a north south community of interest along the Dalby Jandowea Mundubbera Durong Road. Miles and Chinchilla (7448 voters) and possibly areas from Wandoan and Taroom (to make up the numbers) then be located into Warrego where there is community of interest, transport and commerce links.

The clear community of interest on the Western Downs (ie Miles and Chinchilla) is in an east west direction and follows the Warrego Highway.

I strongly urge the Redistribution Commission to reconsider the draft boundaries and follow the east west transport routes for Warrego and the north south transport routes for Callide.

I acknowledge that my submission, including any personal and/or identifying information provided, will be published in its entirety and made available for public inspection.

Yours faithfully

[Signature]

Name: Keith A. Smith
Residential Address: 63 Wambo St, Chinchilla 4413

Date: 21 MAR 2017
Submission regarding the draft boundaries of the State Electorate of Warrego

Wish to lodge my objection to the community of ______________________ being placed in the Electorate of Callide. There is little community of interest between Miles and Chinchilla to the north because of the east west transport corridor (Warrego Highway) that links these communities to the east and west.

Both Miles and Chinchilla are in the Surat Basin and have no community of interest with to the north as they are situated in a different resource basin, catchment, local government area and state department regional boundaries that run in an east west direction.

The clear community of interest on the Western Downs (ie Miles and Chinchilla) is in an east west direction and follows the Warrego Highway. It is disappointing to see the Western Downs Regional Council area split across so many electorates.

I strongly urge the Redistribution Commission to consider how they might enable the communities of Miles and Chinchilla to be included in the Warrego Electorate as the current draft proposal will diminish our ability to have access to state government representation due to the natural barriers and the lack of direct transport routes.

Our communities should not be disadvantaged and dislocated in this way.

I acknowledge that my submission, including any personal and/or identifying information provided, will be published in its entirety and made available for public inspection.

Yours faithfully

[Signature]
Submission regarding the draft boundaries of the State Electorate of Warrego

Wish to lodge my objection to the community of Drillham being placed in the Electorate of Callide. There is little community of interest between Miles and Chinchilla to the north because of the east-west transport corridor (Warrego Highway) that links these communities to the east and west.

Both Miles and Chinchilla are in the Surat Basin and have no community of interest with to the north as they are situated in a different resource basin, catchment, local government area and state department regional boundaries that run in an east-west direction.

The clear community of interest on the Western Downs (ie Miles and Chinchilla) is in an east-west direction and follows the Warrego Highway. It is disappointing to see the Western Downs Regional Council area split across so many electorates.

I strongly urge the Redistribution Commission to consider how they might enable the communities of Miles and Chinchilla to be included in the Warrego Electorate as the current draft proposal will diminish our ability to have access to state government representation due to the natural barriers and the lack of direct transport routes.

Our communities should not be disadvantaged and dislocated in this way.

I acknowledge that my submission, including any personal and/or identifying information provided, will be published in its entirety and made available for public inspection.

Yours faithfully

Signature
I have owned land in Pine Rivers since 1969 and lived there since 1973. 48 or 44 years involved in the spirit that makes Pine Rivers a wonderful thing before Peter Beattie sold out to Stockland and denied 86% of Pine Rivers their vote not to amalgamate.

Since amalgamation some of the head has gone out of Pine Rivers and now some young ferk in the public pay has driven a stake in the neck of the head. Change just for changes sake — just for the reason and that someone has to justify their job!

Leave Pine Rivers alone!!

— days — never! C. Young

Certainly the money has! The mayor spends it on the Peninsular
Obj-832

Queensland Redistribution Commission
21 Mar 2017
Received

4 Zita Place
Bray Park
Pine Rivers

To whom it may concern

On behalf of my wife, myself and many of our older frail residents of Pine Rivers we strongly object to any change in our suburb's name. It would also affect many businesses and organisations, including mail and our overseas friends.

Your lead proposal is not wanted and the use of changed to DMR would still be Pine Rivers in all respects.

Yours in anticipation
C.R.K Cousins
Dear Sir,

Buddina is part of the Kawana coastal strip, as is Minyaama & Farewaara. I strongly object to our suburbs being lumped in with hinterland Buddina - Stupid move I think.

Kawana has numerous great community organisations, including Kawana Waters Life Saving Club, Kawana Library, Kawana Waters RSL Club Branch, Kawana Rotary Club, Buddina State School - just to name a few -

By moving our suburbs into the Buddina electorate we will lose our local identity. Forced into an electorate we have no connection to.

Please leave us in Kawana where we belong. R. Reynolds
17-3-17.

William E. Sylvie
of Bray Park

object to the name:
change of Pine Rivers
to D’Aquilar and feel
it would have no
physical link to the area
if the name is changed
having lived in the
Pine River area over
40 yrs.

Yours sincerely,

J. C. Grant

QUEENSLAND REDISTRIBUTION
COMMISSION
21 MAR 2017
RECEIVED
Submission regarding the draft boundaries of the State Electorate of Warrego

Wish to lodge my objection to the community of Miles and Chinchilla being placed in the Electorate of Callide. There is little community of interest between Miles and Chinchilla to the north because of the east west transport corridor (Warrego Highway) that links these communities to the east and west.

Both Miles and Chinchilla are in the Surat Basin and have no community of interest with the north as they are situated in a different resource basin, catchment, local government area and state department regional boundaries that run in an east west direction.

The clear community of interest on the Western Downs (ie Miles and Chinchilla) is in an east west direction and follows the Warrego Highway. It is disappointing to see the Western Downs Regional Council area split across so many electorates.

I strongly urge the Redistribution Commission to consider how they might enable the communities of Miles and Chinchilla to be included in the Warrego Electorate as the current draft proposal will diminish our ability to have access to state government representation due to the natural barriers and the lack of direct transport routes.

Our communities should not be disadvantaged and dislocated in this way.

I acknowledge that my submission, including any personal and/or identifying information provided, will be published in its entirety and made available for public inspection.

Yours faithfully

[Signature]

Queensland Redistribution Commission
GPO Box 1393
BRISBANE QLD 4001

Or email to boundaries@eq.qld.gov.au

Name Samuel McDonald
Residential Address Bundaberg DR TULLHAM QLD 4624
Submission regarding the draft boundaries of the State Electorate of Warrego

Wish to lodge my objection to the community of Miles and Chinchilla being placed in the Electorate of Callide. There is little community of interest between Miles and Chinchilla to the north because of the east west transport corridor (Warrego Highway) that links these communities to the east and west.

Both Miles and Chinchilla are in the Surat Basin and have no community of interest with to the north as they are situated in a different resource basin, catchment, local government area and state department regional boundaries that run in an east west direction.

The clear community of interest on the Western Downs (ie Miles and Chinchilla) is in an east west direction and follows the Warrego Highway. It is disappointing to see the Western Downs Regional Council area split across so many electorates.

I strongly urge the Redistribution Commission to consider how they might enable the communities of Miles and Chinchilla to be included in the Warrego Electorate as the current draft proposal will diminish our ability to have access to state government representation due to the natural barriers and the lack of direct transport routes.

Our communities should not be disadvantaged and dislocated in this way.

I acknowledge that my submission, including any personal and/or identifying information provided, will be published in its entirety and made available for public inspection.

Yours faithfully

[Signature]

Post to The Secretary
Queensland Redistribution Commission
GPO Box 1393
BRISBANE QLD 4001

Or email to boundaries@ecq.qld.gov.au

Name Adriene L. McDole

Residential Address "Barrado" Drayham L 4074

Please reconsider this as the major towns on the Warrego Highway are Miles, Jutia, and Chinchilla and these are the main service areas for those of us who reside in the area. The Western Line Railway also follows this route and the Western Downs Council's major towns are Miles, Chinchilla, and Dally.
Dear Sir/Madam;

**Objection to proposed boundaries Kawana Electorate.**

Our association is most concerned at the proposed changes to the Kawana electorate boundaries.

We do not envy your difficult task however to exclude the Kawana Shopping Complex and Kawana CBD, removes the greatest single ‘Community of Interest’ in Kawana.

Should the boundaries be as proposed, (to which we strongly object) it is farcical to call the electorate “Kawana” and would need to be given a more appropriate name.

We would be grateful if serious re-consideration could be given to the boundaries.

Regards,

Peter Bryant OAM

secretary@residentsassocsouth.com.au

www.residentsassocsouth.com.au
Dear Queensland Redistribution Commission

I wish to lodge my objection to the communities of Miles and Chinchilla being placed in the Electorate of Callide. We simply do not have a community of interest to the north.

Miles and Chinchilla are in the Surat Basin and have little community of interest with so far to the north as we are situated in a different resource basin, catchment, local government area and state department regional boundaries, that run in an east west from Brisbane, Toowoomba and Ipswich. To travel from Miles or Chinchilla to the north of Callide under the current proposal one has to drive through either Taroom or Dalby as there is no direct road connection.

I suggest that the 8728 voters that have been taken from the Condamine Electorate into Warrego be placed in the Callide Electorate. This would enable the Callide Electorate to have a north south community of interest along the Dalby Jandowea Mundubbera Durong Road. Miles and Chinchilla (7448 voters) and possibly areas from Wandoan and Taroom (to make up the numbers) then be located into Warrego where there is community of interest, transport and commerce links.

The clear community of interest on the Western Downs (ie Miles and Chinchilla) is in an east west direction and follows the Warrego Highway.

I strongly urge the Redistribution Commission to reconsider the draft boundaries and follow the east west transport routes for Warrego and the north south transport routes for Callide.

I acknowledge that my submission, including any personal and/or identifying information provided, will be published in its entirety and made available for public inspection.

Yours faithfully

Signature
Dear Queensland Redistribution Commission

I wish to lodge my objection to the communities of Miles and Chinchilla being placed in the Electorate of Callide. We simply do not have a community of interest to the north.

Miles and Chinchilla are in the Surat Basin and have little community of interest with so far to the north as we are situated in a different resource basin, catchment, local government area and state department regional boundaries, that run in an east west from Brisbane, Toowoomba and Ipswich. To travel from Miles or Chinchilla to the north of Callide under the current proposal one has to drive through either Taroom or Dalby as there is no direct road connection.

I suggest that the 8728 voters that have been taken from the Condamine Electorate into Warrego be placed in the Callide Electorate. This would enable the Callide Electorate to have a north south community of interest along the Dalby Jandowea Mundubbera Durong Road. Miles and Chinchilla (7448 voters) and possibly areas from Wandoan and Taroom (to make up the numbers) then be located into Warrego where there is community of interest, transport and commerce links.

The clear community of interest on the Western Downs (ie Miles and Chinchilla) is in an east west direction and follows the Warrego Highway.

I strongly urge the Redistribution Commission to reconsider the draft boundaries and follow the east west transport routes for Warrego and the north south transport routes for Callide.

I acknowledge that my submission, including any personal and/or identifying information provided, will be published in its entirety and made available for public inspection.

Yours faithfully

[Signature]
Dear Queensland Redistribution Commission

I wish to lodge my objection to the communities of Miles and Chinchilla being placed in the Electorate of Callide. We simply do not have a community of interest to the north.

Miles and Chinchilla are in the Surat Basin and have little community of interest with so far to the north as we are situated in a different resource basin, catchment, local government area and state department regional boundaries, that run in an east west from Brisbane, Toowoomba and Ipswich. To travel from Miles or Chinchilla to the north of Callide under the current proposal one has to drive through either Taroom or Dalby as there is no direct road connection.

I suggest that the 8728 voters that have been taken from the Condamine Electorate into Warrego be placed in the Callide Electorate. This would enable the Callide Electorate to have a north south community of interest along the Dalby Jandowea Mundubbera Durong Road. Miles and Chinchilla (7448 voters) and possibly areas from Wandoan and Taroom (to make up the numbers) then be located into Warrego where there is community of interest, transport and commerce links.

The clear community of interest on the Western Downs (ie Miles and Chinchilla) is in an east west direction and follows the Warrego Highway.

I strongly urge the Redistribution Commission to reconsider the draft boundaries and follow the east west transport routes for Warrego and the north south transport routes for Callide.

I acknowledge that my submission, including any personal and/or identifying information provided, will be published in its entirety and made available for public inspection.

Yours faithfully

Signature

[Handwritten name]
Dear Queensland Redistribution Commission

I wish to lodge my objection to the communities of Miles and Chinchilla being placed in the Electorate of Callide. We simply do not have a community of interest to the north.

Miles and Chinchilla are in the Surat Basin and have little community of interest with so far to the north as we are situated in a different resource basin, catchment, local government area and state department regional boundaries, that run in an east west from Brisbane, Toowoomba and Ipswich. To travel from Miles or Chinchilla to the north of Callide under the current proposal one has to drive through either Taroom or Dalby as there is no direct road connection.

I suggest that the 8728 voters that have been taken from the Condamine Electorate into Warrego be placed in the Callide Electorate. This would enable the Callide Electorate to have a north south community of interest along the Dalby Jandowea Mundubbera Durong Road. Miles and Chinchilla (7448 voters) and possibly areas from Wandoan and Taroom (to make up the numbers) then be located into Warrego where there is community of interest, transport and commerce links.

The clear community of interest on the Western Downs (ie Miles and Chinchilla) is in an east west direction and follows the Warrego Highway.

I strongly urge the Redistribution Commission to reconsider the draft boundaries and follow the east west transport routes for Warrego and the north south transport routes for Callide.

I acknowledge that my submission, including any personal and/or identifying information provided, will be published in its entirety and made available for public inspection.

Yours faithfully

R. W. FORSTER
D. J. FORSTER

Signature

QUEENSLAND REDISTRIBUTION COMMISSION
22 MAR 2017
RECEIVED
Dear Queensland Redistribution Commission

I wish to lodge my objection to the communities of Miles and Chinchilla being placed in the Electorate of Callide. We simply do not have a community of interest to the north.

Miles and Chinchilla are in the Surat Basin and have little community of interest with so far to the north as we are situated in a different resource basin, catchment, local government area and state department regional boundaries, that run in an east west from Brisbane, Toowoomba and Ipswich. To travel from Miles or Chinchilla to the north of Callide under the current proposal one has to drive through either Taroom or Dalby as there is no direct road connection.

I suggest that the 8728 voters that have been taken from the Condamine Electorate into Warrego be placed in the Callide Electorate. This would enable the Callide Electorate to have a north south community of interest along the Dalby Jandowea Mundubbera Durong Road. Miles and Chinchilla (7448 voters) and possibly areas from Wandoan and Taroom (to make up the numbers) then be located into Warrego where there is community of interest, transport and commerce links.

The clear community of interest on the Western Downs (ie Miles and Chinchilla) is in an east west direction and follows the Warrego Highway.

I strongly urge the Redistribution Commission to reconsider the draft boundaries and follow the east west transport routes for Warrego and the north south transport routes for Callide.

I acknowledge that my submission, including any personal and/or identifying information provided, will be published in its entirety and made available for public inspection.

Yours faithfully

Signature

A. Taylor  17/3/2017
Dear Queensland Redistribution Commission

I wish to lodge my objection to the communities of Miles and Chinchilla being placed in the Electorate of Callide. We simply do not have a community of interest to the north.

Miles and Chinchilla are in the Surat Basin and have little community of interest with so far to the north as we are situated in a different resource basin, catchment, local government area and state department regional boundaries, that run in an east west from Brisbane, Toowoomba and Ipswich. To travel from Miles or Chinchilla to the north of Callide under the current proposal one has to drive through either Taroom or Dalby as there is no direct road connection.

I suggest that the 8728 voters that have been taken from the Condamine Electorate into Warrego be placed in the Callide Electorate. This would enable the Callide Electorate to have a north south community of interest along the Dalby Jandowea Mundubbera Durong Road. Miles and Chinchilla (7448 voters) and possibly areas from Wandoan and Taroom (to make up the numbers) then be located into Warrego where there is community of interest, transport and commerce links.

The clear community of interest on the Western Downs (ie Miles and Chinchilla) is in an east west direction and follows the Warrego Highway.

I strongly urge the Redistribution Commission to reconsider the draft boundaries and follow the east west transport routes for Warrego and the north south transport routes for Callide.

I acknowledge that my submission, including any personal and/or identifying information provided, will be published in its entirety and made available for public inspection.

Yours faithfully

Name

Residential Address

Signature

QUEENSLAND REDISTRIBUTION COMMISSION
22 MAR 2017
RECEIVED
Dear Queensland Redistribution Commission

I wish to lodge my objection to the communities of Miles and Chinchilla being placed in the Electorate of Callide. We simply do not have a community of interest to the north.

Miles and Chinchilla are in the Surat Basin and have little community of interest with so far to the north as we are situated in a different resource basin, catchment, local government area and state department regional boundaries, that run in an east west from Brisbane, Toowoomba and Ipswich. To travel from Miles or Chinchilla to the north of Callide under the current proposal one has to drive through either Taroom or Dalby as there is no direct road connection.

I suggest that the 8728 voters that have been taken from the Condamine Electorate into Warrego be placed in the Callide Electorate. This would enable the Callide Electorate to have a north south community of interest along the Dalby Jandowea Mundubbera Durong Road. Miles and Chinchilla (7448 voters) and possibly areas from Wandoan and Taroom (to make up the numbers) then be located into Warrego where there is community of interest, transport and commerce links.

The clear community of interest on the Western Downs (ie Miles and Chinchilla) is in an east west direction and follows the Warrego Highway.

I strongly urge the Redistribution Commission to reconsider the draft boundaries and follow the east west transport routes for Warrego and the north south transport routes for Callide.

I acknowledge that my submission, including any personal and/or identifying information provided, will be published in its entirety and made available for public inspection.

Yours faithfully

Signature

K. J. Mohr
22 MAR 2017

The Secretary
Queensland Redistribution Commission
GPO Box 1393
Brisbane

We write to strongly object to the removal of Musgrave (Buddina and Parraba) Island from the Kawana electorate. This area contains the Kawana Shopping World, Kawana Community Centre, Kawana Golf Club, plus other Kawana based organisations — none of these are regularly attended by Buderin residents.

We also strongly object to having to travel up to Buderin to meet with our "local" M.P. — Buderin residents have for different needs and objectives to our "local" Buddina and Musgrave residents living on the present KAWANA BEACH AREA.

In our view this projected change is quite ridiculous — we would have to get up to Buderin to see our representative.

We trust that this quite disruptive change to our electoral division can be re-considered.

Mr. Connor

[Signature]
The Secretary  
Queensland Redistribution Commission  
GPO Box 1393  
Queensland 4001

Dear Sir

This letter is our objection to the propose moving of Kawana suburbs from Kawana to Buderim. 

Since our retirement moval from Melbourne to this area, it has been our pleasure to travel around this predominantly coastal area, visiting for example Kawana Waters Surf Lifesaving Club, Kawana Library, and Kawana Shoppingworld, and many other places nearby.

We are aware of the strong communities of interest contained in the Kawana Waters region, and ask you to consider our objection to Kawana suburbs being moved from Kawana to Buderim

Yours faithfully

[Signature]

Clive and Nola Chaloner

29/7 Grand Parade  
Parrearrra  
Queensland 4575
15 Jillian St  
Kallangur  
18th March 2017  

Objection to the Pine Rivers name change.  

The reasons being: My Great Grandfather came here from St Clether (Wales) around 1860 to North Pine.  

My mother was born in the Dixon Home. She also was secretary to the chairman during the WWII. She was a plane spotter and had to report any planes coming in to the area. Also - she had to organise social nights for the American troops when they were here.  

Also - our three children were bought up in Strathpine and attended the Pine Rivers Infant School and the Pine Rivers High School.  

We have a long History and to change the name would be very disappointing to our heritage and all who have worked to make Pine Rivers such a vibrant living place to be.  

WE ALL SAY NO TO D’AGUILAR (which is not the heart of Pine Rivers!!)  

Yours faithfully  

Lyle Gregory
Dear Queensland Redistribution Commission

I wish to lodge my objection to the communities of Miles and Chinchilla being placed in the Electorate of Callide. We simply do not have a community of interest to the north.

Miles and Chinchilla are in the Surat Basin and have little community of interest with so far to the north as we are situated in a different resource basin, catchment, local government area and state department regional boundaries, that run in an east west from Brisbane, Toowoomba and Ipswich. To travel from Miles or Chinchilla to the north of Callide under the current proposal one has to drive through either Taroom or Dalby as there is no direct road connection.

I suggest that the 8728 voters that have been taken from the Condamine Electorate into Warrego be placed in the Callide Electorate. This would enable the Callide Electorate to have a north south community of interest along the Dalby Jandowea Mundubbera Durong Road. Miles and Chinchilla (7448 voters) and possibly areas from Wandoan and Taroom (to make up the numbers) then be located into Warrego where there is community of interest, transport and commerce links.

The clear community of interest on the Western Downs (ie Miles and Chinchilla) is in an east west direction and follows the Warrego Highway.

I strongly urge the Redistribution Commission to reconsider the draft boundaries and follow the east west transport routes for Warrego and the north south transport routes for Callide.

I acknowledge that my submission, including any personal and/or identifying information provided, will be published in its entirety and made available for public inspection.

Yours faithfully,

Signature
Dear Queensland Redistribution Commission

I wish to lodge my objection to the communities of Miles and Chinchilla being placed in the Electorate of Callide. We simply do not have a community of interest to the north.

Miles and Chinchilla are in the Surat Basin and have little community of interest with so far to the north as we are situated in a different resource basin, catchment, local government area and state department regional boundaries, that run in an east west from Brisbane, Toowoomba and Ipswich. To travel from Miles or Chinchilla to the north of Callide under the current proposal one has to drive through either Tiaroom or Dalby as there is no direct road connection.

I suggest that the 8728 voters that have been taken from the Condamine Electorate into Warrego be placed in the Callide Electorate. This would enable the Callide Electorate to have a north south community of interest along the Dalby Jandowea Mundubbera Durong Road. Miles and Chinchilla (7448 voters) and possibly areas from Wandoan and Tiaroom (to make up the numbers) then be located into Warrego where there is community of interest, transport and commerce links.

The clear community of interest on the Western Downs (ie Miles and Chinchilla) is in an east west direction and follows the Warrego Highway.

I strongly urge the Redistribution Commission to reconsider the draft boundaries and follow the east west transport routes for Warrego and the north south transport routes for Callide.

I acknowledge that my submission, including any personal and/or identifying information provided, will be published in its entirety and made available for public inspection.

Yours faithfully, Maria McKay.

Signature Maria McKay.
Dear Queensland Redistribution Commission

I wish to lodge my objection to the communities of Miles and Chinchilla being placed in the Electorate of Callide. We simply do not have a community of interest to the north.

Miles and Chinchilla are in the Surat Basin and have little community of interest with so far to the north as we are situated in a different resource basin, catchment, local government area and state department regional boundaries, that run in an east west from Brisbane, Toowoomba and Ipswich. To travel from Miles or Chinchilla to the north of Callide under the current proposal one has to drive through either Taroom or Dalby as there is no direct road connection.

I suggest that the 8728 voters that have been taken from the Condamine Electorate into Warrego be placed in the Callide Electorate. This would enable the Callide Electorate to have a north south community of interest along the Dalby Jandowea Mundubbera Durong Road. Miles and Chinchilla (7448 voters) and possibly areas from Wandoan and Taroom (to make up the numbers) then be located into Warrego where there is community of interest, transport and commerce links.

The clear community of interest on the Western Downs (ie Miles and Chinchilla) is in an east west direction and follows the Warrego Highway.

I strongly urge the Redistribution Commission to reconsider the draft boundaries and follow the east west transport routes for Warrego and the north south transport routes for Callide.

I acknowledge that my submission, including any personal and/or identifying information provided, will be published in its entirety and made available for public inspection.

Yours faithfully

[Signature]

QUEENSLAND REDISTRIBUTION COMMISSION
22 MAR 2017
RECEIVED
Dear Queensland Redistribution Commission

I wish to lodge my objection to the communities of Miles and Chinchilla being placed in the Electorate of Callide. We simply do not have a community of interest to the north.

Miles and Chinchilla are in the Surat Basin and have little community of interest with so far to the north as we are situated in a different resource basin, catchment, local government area and state department regional boundaries, that run in an east-west from Brisbane, Toowoomba and Ipswich. To travel from Miles or Chinchilla to the north of Callide under the current proposal one has to drive through either Taroom or Dalby as there is no direct road connection.

I suggest that the 8728 voters that have been taken from the Condamine Electorate into Warrego be placed in the Callide Electorate. This would enable the Callide Electorate to have a north south community of interest along the Dalby Jandowea Mundubbera Durong Road. Miles and Chinchilla (7448 voters) and possibly areas from Wandoan and Taroom (to make up the numbers) then be located into Warrego where there is community of interest, transport and commerce links.

The clear community of interest on the Western Downs (ie Miles and Chinchilla) is in an east west direction and follows the Warrego Highway.

I strongly urge the Redistribution Commission to reconsider the draft boundaries and follow the east west transport routes for Warrego and the north south transport routes for Callide.

I acknowledge that my submission, including any personal and/or identifying information provided, will be published in its entirety and made available for public inspection.

Yours faithfully,

Richard McKay

Signature

R. McKay
Dear Queensland Redistribution Commission

I wish to lodge my objection to the communities of Miles and Chinchilla being placed in the Electorate of Callide. We simply do not have a community of interest to the north.

Miles and Chinchilla are in the Surat Basin and have little community of interest with so far to the north as we are situated in a different resource basin, catchment, local government area and state department regional boundaries, that run in an east west from Brisbane, Toowoomba and Ipswich. To travel from Miles or Chinchilla to the north of Callide under the current proposal one has to drive through either Taroom or Dalby as there is no direct road connection.

I suggest that the 8728 voters that have been taken from the Condamine Electorate into Warrego be placed in the Callide Electorate. This would enable the Callide Electorate to have a north south community of interest along the Dalby Jandowea Mundubbera Durong Road. Miles and Chinchilla (7448 voters) and possibly areas from Wandoan and Taroom (to make up the numbers) then be located into Warrego where there is community of interest, transport and commerce links.

The clear community of interest on the Western Downs (ie Miles and Chinchilla) is in an east west direction and follows the Warrego Highway.

I strongly urge the Redistribution Commission to reconsider the draft boundaries and follow the east west transport routes for Warrego and the north south transport routes for Callide.

I acknowledge that my submission, including any personal and/or identifying information provided, will be published in its entirety and made available for public inspection.

Yours faithfully

Signature
Dear Queensland Redistribution Commission

I wish to lodge my objection to the communities of Miles and Chinchilla being placed in the Electorate of Callide. We simply do not have a community of interest to the north.

Miles and Chinchilla are in the Surat Basin and have little community of interest with so far to the north as we are situated in a different resource basin, catchment, local government area and state department regional boundaries, that run in an east west from Brisbane, Toowoomba and Ipswich. To travel from Miles or Chinchilla to the north of Callide under the current proposal one has to drive through either Taroom or Dalby as there is no direct road connection.

I suggest that the 8728 voters that have been taken from the Condamine Electorate into Warrego be placed in the Callide Electorate. This would enable the Callide Electorate to have a north south community of interest along the Dalby Jandowea Mundubbera Durong Road. Miles and Chinchilla (7448 voters) and possibly areas from Wandoan and Taroom (to make up the numbers) then be located into Warrego where there is community of interest, transport and commerce links.

The clear community of interest on the Western Downs (ie Miles and Chinchilla) is in an east west direction and follows the Warrego Highway.

I strongly urge the Redistribution Commission to reconsider the draft boundaries and follow the east west transport routes for Warrego and the north south transport routes for Callide.

I acknowledge that my submission, including any personal and/or identifying information provided, will be published in its entirety and made available for public inspection.

Yours faithfully

Valerie Mitchell

Signature

Valerie Mitchell
Dear Queensland Redistribution Commission

I wish to lodge my objection to the communities of Miles and Chinchilla being placed in the Electorate of Callide. We simply do not have a community of interest to the north.

Miles and Chinchilla are in the Surat Basin and have little community of interest with so far to the north as we are situated in a different resource basin, catchment, local government area and state department regional boundaries, that run in an east west from Brisbane, Toowoomba and Ipswich. To travel from Miles or Chinchilla to the north of Callide under the current proposal one has to drive through either Taroom or Dalby as there is no direct road connection.

I suggest that the 8728 voters that have been taken from the Condamine Electorate into Warrego be placed in the Callide Electorate. This would enable the Callide Electorate to have a north south community of interest along the Dalby Jandowea Mundubbera Durong Road. Miles and Chinchilla (7448 voters) and possibly areas from Wandoan and Taroom (to make up the numbers) then be located into Warrego where there is community of interest, transport and commerce links.

The clear community of interest on the Western Downs (ie Miles and Chinchilla) is in an east west direction and follows the Warrego Highway.

I strongly urge the Redistribution Commission to reconsider the draft boundaries and follow the east west transport routes for Warrego and the north south transport routes for Callide.

I acknowledge that my submission, including any personal and/or identifying information provided, will be published in its entirety and made available for public inspection.

Yours faithfully

Signature

QUEENSLAND REDISTRIBUTION COMMISSION
22 MAR 2017
RECEIVED
Dear Queensland Redistribution Commission

I wish to lodge my objection to the communities of Miles and Chinchilla being placed in the Electorate of Callide. We simply do not have a community of interest to the north.

Miles and Chinchilla are in the Sarat Basin and have little community of interest with so far to the north as we are situated in a different resource basin, catchment, local government area and state department regional boundaries, that run in an east west from Brisbane, Toowoomba and Ipswich. To travel from Miles or Chinchilla to the north of Callide under the current proposal one has to drive through either Tara or Dalby as there is no direct road connection.

I suggest that the 8728 voters that have been taken from the Condamine Electorate into Warrego be placed in the Callide Electorate. This would enable the Callide Electorate to have a north south community of interest along the Dalby Jandowea Mundubbera Durong Road. Miles and Chinchilla (7448 voters) and possibly areas from Wandoan and Tara (to make up the numbers) then be located into Warrego where there is community of interest, transport and commerce links.

The clear community of interest on the Western Downs (ie Miles and Chinchilla) is in an east west direction and follows the Warrego Highway.

I strongly urge the Redistribution Commission to reconsider the draft boundaries and follow the east west transport routes for Warrego and the north south transport routes for Callide.

I acknowledge that my submission, including any personal and/or identifying information provided, will be published in its entirety and made available for public inspection.

Yours faithfully

Signature
Dear Queensland Redistribution Commission

I wish to lodge my objection to the communities of Miles and Chinchilla being placed in the Electorate of Callide. We simply do not have a community of interest to the north.

Miles and Chinchilla are in the Surat Basin and have little community of interest with so far to the north as we are situated in a different resource basin, catchment, local government area and state department regional boundaries, that run in an east west direction from Brisbane, Toowoomba and Ipswich. To travel from Miles or Chinchilla to the north of Callide under the current proposal one has to drive through either Taroom or Dalby as there is no direct road connection.

I suggest that the 8728 voters that have been taken from the Condamine Electorate into Warrego be placed in the Callide Electorate. This would enable the Callide Electorate to have a north south community of interest along the Dalby Jandowea Mundubbera Durong Road. Miles and Chinchilla (7448 voters) and possibly areas from Wandoan and Taroom (to make up the numbers) then be located into Warrego where there is community of interest, transport and commerce links.

The clear community of interest on the Western Downs (ie Miles and Chinchilla) is in an east west direction and follows the Warrego Highway.

I strongly urge the Redistribution Commission to reconsider the draft boundaries and follow the east west transport routes for Warrego and the north south transport routes for Callide.

I acknowledge that my submission, including any personal and/or identifying information provided, will be published in its entirety and made available for public inspection.

Yours faithfully

Signature

[Stamp: QUEENSLAND REDISTRIBUTION COMMISSION
2.2 MAR 2017
RECEIVED]
Dear Queensland Redistribution Commission

I wish to lodge my objection to the communities of Miles and Chinchilla being placed in the Electorate of Callide. We simply do not have a community of interest to the north.

Miles and Chinchilla are in the Surat Basin and have little community of interest with so far to the north as we are situated in a different resource basin, catchment, local government area and state department regional boundaries, that run in an east west from Brisbane, Toowoomba and Ipswich. To travel from Miles or Chinchilla to the north of Callide under the current proposal one has to drive through either Taroom or Dalby as there is no direct road connection.

I suggest that the 8728 voters that have been taken from the Condamine Electorate into Warrego be placed in the Callide Electorate. This would enable the Callide Electorate to have a north south community of interest along the Dalby Jandowea Mundubbera Durong Road. Miles and Chinchilla (7448 voters) and possibly areas from Wandoan and Taroom (to make up the numbers) then be located into Warrego where there is community of interest, transport and commerce links.

The clear community of interest on the Western Downs (ie Miles and Chinchilla) is in an east west direction and follows the Warrego Highway.

I strongly urge the Redistribution Commission to reconsider the draft boundaries and follow the east west transport routes for Warrego and the north south transport routes for Callide.

I acknowledge that my submission, including any personal and/or identifying information provided, will be published in its entirety and made available for public inspection.

Yours faithfully

Signature

Queensland Redistribution Commission
22 Mar 2017
RECEIVED
Dear Queensland Redistribution Commission

I wish to lodge my objection to the communities of Miles and Chinchilla being placed in the Electorate of Callide. We simply do not have a community of interest to the north.

Miles and Chinchilla are in the Surat Basin and have little community of interest with so far to the north as we are situated in a different resource basin, catchment, local government area and state department regional boundaries, that run in an east west from Brisbane, Toowoomba and Ipswich. To travel from Miles or Chinchilla to the north of Callide under the current proposal one has to drive through either Taroom or Dalby as there is no direct road connection.

I suggest that the 8728 voters that have been taken from the Condamine Electorate into Warrego be placed in the Callide Electorate. This would enable the Callide Electorate to have a north south community of interest along the Dalby Jandowea Mundubbera Durong Road. Miles and Chinchilla (7448 voters) and possibly areas from Wandoan and Taroom (to make up the numbers) then be located into Warrego where there is community of interest, transport and commerce links.

The clear community of interest on the Western Downs (ie Miles and Chinchilla) is in an east west direction and follows the Warrego Highway.

I strongly urge the Redistribution Commission to reconsider the draft boundaries and follow the east west transport routes for Warrego and the north south transport routes for Callide.

I acknowledge that my submission, including any personal and/or identifying information provided, will be published in its entirety and made available for public inspection.

Yours faithfully

Signature

Queensland Redistribution Commission
22 MAR 2017
RECEIVED
We strongly object to a name change for the Pine Rivers electorate (region).

For myself this is my place of birth, and has huge significance.

November 1937

Sincerely,
E. W. & J. V. Johnston
To the Secretary

1/25 Arunta St.

Qld. R.E.

Buddina 4505

19.3.17

Dear Sir,

Buddina has been my home for 44 years, so I am writing to protest the proposed redistribution into the Karrana waters suburbs of Buddina, Minyama and Pnarra - my arguments are:

1. The lifestyles of these suburbs are very different - one is a coastal community, the other is semi-rural.

2. I am sure those living in Buddina are as dismayed as we are, they are very proud of their town and its history.

3. The three suburbs, together with those to the south, are enjoying much new development - we are moving at a different pace to Buddina. An example is the present expanding of the Karrana shopping world.

4. Karrana shopping world is the heart of this area and should not be excised!

5. Can the MP for the new electorate give his/her attention adequately to the needs of both communities?

6. Where will this MP locate the new electorate office? Karrana or Buddina? Can it be accessed easily by the elderly, as bus and taxi services are not readily available to connect both areas?

Hoping you will reconsider.

Mrs. Maureen Hairisi

QUEENSLAND REDISTRIBUTION COMMISSION

22 MAR 2017

RECEIVED
The Secretary
Queensland Redistribution Commission

Dear Sir or Madam,

We are very alarmed and greatly concerned about the proposed changes to the Kawana electorate. We cannot understand how these proposals came about as it makes no sense to take Kawana out of the Kawana electorate. We object strongly to these changes and ask that you give serious consideration before effecting these changes.

We have not ever had any involvement with Buderim and have no plans to do so in the future.

Please rescind these proposed changes and let common sense prevail.

Yours hopefully,
BARRY + HAZEL GUEST
for Dist. Guest.
10

THE SECRETARY,
QUEENSLAND
REDISTRIBUTION
COMMISSION,
GPO BOX 1293
BRISBANE Q4001.

FROM
LES KNUTH
P.O. BOX 42
RAVENSWOOD
Q 4816
RESIDENTIAL
ADDRESS
50 RAVENS ST
RAVENSWOOD
Q 4816

DEAR SIR/MADAM,

20-3-2017

AS A LONG TERM ELECTOR
OF THE SEAT OF DALRYMPLE
I HEREBY OBJECT TO THE
CHANGES TO THE SEAT
OF DALRYMPLE FOR THE
FOLLOWING REASONS.

1. I DO NOT BELIEVE THAT
THE ELECTORS OF THE SEAT OF
DALRYMPLE, WHO LIVE IN
CHARTERS TOWERS, RAVENSWOOD,
PENTLAND AND GREENVALE
AND THE SURROUNDING
COUNTRY SIDE WILL BE
FULLY REPRESENTED IN THE
2. Most democratic manner, due to the vast distances involved between Mt Isa, of the seat of Traeger, and the seat of Dalrymple, centred in Charters Towers, and that the elected member for Traeger will have considerable stress and excessive responsibility meeting the needs of such a vast electorate.

I believe that the sitting member for the seat of Dalrymple, who has been elected for five terms by the people of Tablelands, Charters Towers District and Moranbah, has performed in the most satisfactory manner in his duties to his electorate. To remove
3. George Elphingstone Dalrymple was an explorer in the early days of North Queensland, and this remarkable man was also a politician, senior public servant and cattle station manager, from which, in his honour, is named the electorate of Dalrymple. I consider it is a grave dishonour to his memory to remove his name from the electorate of Dalrymple.

I rest my case.

Yours faithfully,

Leslie Roy Hume.
TO THE SECRETARY,

QUEENSLAND REDISTRIBUTION COMMISSION.

DEAR SIR,

I HAVE JUST LEARNED THAT THE PROPOSED BOUNDARY CHANGES PEOPLE LIKE US.

I AM LEGALLY BLIND AND MY HUSBAND DOES NOT DRIVE ANY FURTHER THAN THE KAWANA SHOPPING WORLD ETC. ETC.

I THINK IT IS VERY SELFISH TO CHANGE THE BOUNDARIES FOR PEOPLE LIKE US AND MANY PEOPLE AROUND THE KAWANA AREA.

PLEASE RECONSIDER AND LEAVE THINGS AS THEY ARE. I ALSO HAVE A CARER WHO TAKES US.
TO THE DOCTOR & SHOPPING IN A WHEELCHAIR.  

HOPING TO HEAR GOOD NEWS.

KNISEST REGARDS,

CATHERINA MORRIS  

WILLIAM MORRIS

Catharina Morris  
6 Hayman St.  
Parrearra QLD 4575

QUEENSLAND REDISTRIBUTION COMMISSION  

22 MAR 2017
Dear Sir,

Please don't move our respected, well-used and much loved community organisations to Buderim.

I can only travel by bus to long distances. I don't drive a car. Buderim is a long distance from me. I am no longer young anymore.

I like Kawana and everything about it. I don't want anything to change.

regards,

M.S. N. Holton

7/34 Arundel St.
Budowna, QLD.

4575.

DATE 20/3/2017
53 Chelsea Cres.
Aringa 4575
18.3.17

To whom it may concern,

I am writing to tell you of my disappointment at the new boundary lines of the Kawana electorate. I feel I have been isolated by the changes. Any needs have no connection to Buderim, and will probably have no interaction with the Buderim member. At present Tancred Bleijie’s office is a 5 minute walk away.

I hope our concerns will be considered.

Yours Sincerely,

Elizabeth Harding
20 MARCH 2017

OBJECTION TO NAME CHANGE FROM PINERIVERS

MARGARET BARRETT  
70 TODDS RD  
LAUNTON 4501

GARRY BARRETT  
70 TODDS RD  
LAUNTON 4501

We both belong to Club Pinerivers Bowls & Probus Pinerivers Club so would not welcome a name change for our electorate. Thank you!
25 Chelsea Cres.
Minyama Q. 4575


Keep KALIKA IN KALIKA

To Whom it May Concern

Dear Sir/Madam

My Husband and I are lodging a complaint against the changing of the electoral boundary to Buderim. This is a ridiculous idea. We love KALIKA and do not want it moved to Somewhere Else. So we say REIN KALIKA IN KALIKA.

Yours Sincerely

Mrs P. McWilliams

Queensland Redistribution Commission

2 2 Mar 2017

Received
Dear Sir/Madam,

I wish to lodge a protest against you and your people for wiping out the name of KALLANGUR in your electoral distribution or “proposed” changes.

Kallangur has been around since 1850. In recent years it has been losing its identity to North Lakes & council amalgamation & now you are killing history.

Please reconsider & drop the name Murrumba & re-establish KALLANGUR.

Thank you
(mrs) Pauline Evans

QUEENSLAND REDISTRIBUTION
COMMISSION

2 MAR 2017

RECEIVED
Dear Sir,

I am against removing the suburbs of Musgrave, Bellingow, Parramatta from Kawana. I have lived at Parramatta for a number of years. I would hate to see any change in the electoral boundary.

Sincerely,

Molly Clark
Kawana Island Villas
Mitta St
2 Grand Parade
Parramatta

QUEENSLAND REDISTRIBUTION COMMISSION
22 MAR 2017
RECEIVED
Online submission for **Kawana**

**Name:** Terry and Margaret Weston  
**Address:** 11 Kooringal Cres Buddina

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**  
We wish to lodge an objection to the proposal to move the suburbs of Minyama, Buddina and Parrearra from the Kawana boundary to the Buderim electorate. The suburbs of Minyama, Buddina and Parrearra geographically lie within the district known as Kawana Waters. To move them to the Buderim electorate would create an artificial disconnect from the physical location of these suburbs. Our major shopping centre, tavern, state school, library and other facilities belong to the residents of Kawana, not the electorate of Buderim - they would be forced to compete for funding and resources with those services already available in Buderim. Residents of Minyama, Buddina and Parrearra would also need to travel to Buderim to see their local MP - not an easy undertaking for those that are reliant on public transport. The residents of Minyama, Buddina and Parrearra identify with a coastal based community, whereas there is no connection between the electorate of Buderim and the coast. We chose to live in this area because of our preference for the coastal lifestyle and the services provided to support and enrich the environment. Competing with the services needed to support the Buderim electorate would have a detrimental effect on Minyama, Buddina and Parrearra. We thank you for your consideration of this submission.

Margaret and Terry Weston

Submission ID: 67160

Time of Submission: 21 Mar 2017 8:46am

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.214
Online submission for **Kawana**

**Name:** Janice Gehl  
**Address:** 78/2 Grand Parade PARREARRA

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**  
I am totally against changing the electoral boundaries for numerous reasons. Mostly that we will lose many very important community organisations which have been part of this area for many years, and been supported by the residents of Kawana. Also, since there is a major problem with public transport from Parrearra to Buderim, it would be a great inconvenience to have to attend voting in Buderim.
From: Boundaries
To: qrcsubmissions
Subject: Submission ID: 67162
Date: Tuesday, 21 March 2017 9:19:20 AM

Online submission for Kawana

Name: R H Bennett  
Address: 8 Whitsunday St PARREARRA 4575  

File Upload: No file uploaded  

Text:  
I am greatly concerned at what I have read about the proposed boundary changes for Kawana making it Buderim. Buderim was originally the top of the mountain overlooking the coast, Maroochydore to Caloundra. It has slowly crept down each side of the slope towards Maroochydore and Kunda Park. Buderim in it's own right has a well established shopping centre and it's own Woolworths Precinct, Public Schools, Post Office, Banks etc. All of which are identified as Buderim in their names throughout the area. If this proposal goes ahead what will these be known as "Upper Buderim"? because it would mean that Kawana suburbs such as Kawana, Parrearra, Buddina, etc to name a few, would lose their well established identities and become Buderim too. Everyone knows Buderim is well off the coast line so why should the beaches along the coast from Point Cartwright to Currimundi, all of a sudden become the Buderim Beaches. The change would mean also that established Sporting Clubs and Associations, schools, Community Centres, Libraries, Police, Ambulance, Fire Stations, Shopping Centres, to name a few would have their names changed which is ridiculous. This would be quite costly for all concerned and could be life threatening when emergency organisations are included in the confusion. The two areas are different too in their environment. One is Coastal plain and the other Mountainous Tropical with rich red soil. This whole concept of this boundary change has obviously not been well thought through as the foregoing are just the tip of the iceberg as I see it and I am only one mere normal living resident in one of the possible effected suburbs and am strongly against these boundary changes.

Submission ID: 67162  
Time of Submission: 21 Mar 2017 9:19am  
Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.214
Online submission for **Kawana**

**Name:** Margaret Artini  
**Address:** 45/239 Kawana Way Parrearra 4575

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**
I object to the changes proposed for the electoral boundaries of Kawana. Jarrod Bleije has been a helpful and visible asset to our community. I do not want to disappear into the conglomerate of far away Buderim.

Submission ID: 67163

Time of Submission: 21 Mar 2017 9:32am

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.213
Dear Sir/Madam,

I am writing to you regarding the electoral boundary changes proposed to the Kawana electorate by the Queensland Redistribution Commission. I have lived in the Kawana district for many years. When I first arrived I lived at Wurtulla, when asked where I lived no one knew where Wurtulla was but, when I told them Kawana they knew exactly where that was.

The same has happened since I have move to Parrearra, people know where it is when I say Kawana Island. This indicates to me that the name Kawana is very relevant, and as such should be included in the Kawana Electorate.

At the North-East of this electorate we have many organisations and business called Kawana-they include Churches, Catholic Women’s League, library’s ,RSL, Lifesaving Club, Scouts, Community Centre, Rotary club, Lake Currimundi-Kawana Lions Club, Chamber of Commerce, Companions Club, Seniors, Hotel, and Kawana Island Residents Association. We have also the Buddina State School, unfortunately people are not sure where it is unless you refer it to- behind the Kawana Shopping Centre!. As you can see this area is unofficially known as Kawana.

These community organisation have no connection with the Buderim community and may be forced to compete with existing Buderim-based organisations for funding, membership and volunteers. Please don’t move our respected, well used and much loved community organisation to Buderim. We are a coastal urban community not hinterland which Buderim is referred to.

Regards
Moya Kettleton.
To Whom It May Concern:

Having lived at Buddina in the Beachside Electoral Division of Kawana for the last 33 years I am very disappointed that the boundary has been changed so that we will now be relocated to the Division of Buderim. Buderim as a mountain side electorate has very different needs to our beachside suburbs of Buddina, Warana, Minyama, Parrearra, Birtinya, Bokarina, Wurtulla.

The needs of Buderim residents are entirely different to the needs of our coastal urban suburbs as mentioned above. As Coastal suburbs it is paramount that our Councils, State and Federal Representatives take a special interest in the environmental needs of our beautiful coastal area. Protection against pollution insuring clean waterways, protecting our dunal areas is so important. It is much more likely that the emphasis for all of the above will be front and centre for the elected representative if divisions are determined with ‘like’ in mind rather than having more disparate needs.

As the health of our surrounding seas and foreshores is a National Concern I ask you to reassess the boundary changes you have made and keep the beachside suburbs together. This should ensure that the Environmental Needs as mentioned above are a focus and our established Community Organisations continue as Kawana Community Organisations and are not consumed by the Buderim Community whose historical, cultural and business needs are quite different to the Kawana Area.

Thank you for the opportunity to espouse our point of view.

Muriel & Barrie Johnson
116 Pacific Boulevard
Buddina Qld 4575
Online submission for **Kawana**

**Name:** Carmel Carnegie  
**Address:** Unit 25, 10 Grand Parade Parrearra QLD 4575  
**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**
I have lived in the Buderim electorate and now live in the Kawana electorate at Parrearra. I am totally against the proposed change in boundaries. The Kawana electorate is for the people that live in Kawana, that shop at Kawana and support their local community groups. If they wanted to be part of the Buderim electorate they would live in Buderim or the outlining suburbs. I decided to move from Buderim twelve years ago and am a very proud and supportive Kawana electorate resident. It is very strange that the suburbs chosen to be transferred are Minyama, Parrearra and Buddina which would be identified as the richer areas of the Kawana electorate. Could one of the reasons for the re-distribution of these proposed suburbs be their voting preference? Sippy Downs is part of Kawana electorate with the same postcode of Buderim, why is that suburb not being changed to Buderim. Makes sense to me as the proposed suburbs to be redistributed are not even close to Buderim. The bus service from Kawana to Buderim is inadequate and I would guess that not many people who live in Kawana ever go anywhere near Buderim. Please note that I am against this proposed change in boundaries.

Submission ID: 67164  
**Time of Submission:** 21 Mar 2017 10:04am  
**Submission IP Address:** 43.245.40.214
Online submission for **Kawana**

**Name:** Richard Watson  
**Address:** Pheasant St 16

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**  
I feel the new boundary changes for the Kawana district are not very well thought out as they really take Kawanas heart out. The boarder being Mountain Creek really makes more sense. Regards Richard Watson

 Submission ID: 67165
Time of Submission: 21 Mar 2017 10:13am
Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.214
Online submission for **Kawana**

**Name:** Wendy Frankland  
**Address:** 29 Mooloolah Island Minyama QLD 4575

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**
I object to the commission changing the Kawana electoral boundaries as I do not believe the following points have been taken into consideration. There is a strong connection between the coastal suburbs from Currimundi Lake to Point Cartwright, not only culturally but business, social and community interests are very strong. The residents of Minyama, Buddina and Parrearra will lose true local representation and be forced into an electorate that they do not have strong links to. They will not have a representative on the coastal strip where they reside. Our major Shopping Centre, Community organisations and major transport hubs are all located in the Kawana Waters area and the Minyama, Buddina and Parrearra residents do not have this connection to the Buderim area. The Kawana community has an array of great community organisations including the Kawana Surf Club, Kawana Library, Buddina State School, Minyama Neighbourhood Watch, Kawana Scouts, Kawana Lions Club to name a few. These organisations may be forced to compete with funding, membership and volunteers with existing Buderim organisations. We do not wish these organisations to be moved to Buderim who they have no connection to. I believe that proposed changes the current suburbs in the Kawana electorate would alienate the residents who strongly rely on Kawana for their work, business, social, educational, medical, community, sport, cultural and shopping needs.

**Submission ID:** 67169

**Time of Submission:** 21 Mar 2017 10:20am

**Submission IP Address:** 43.245.40.213
Queensland Redistribution Commission.

To whom it may concern.

I would like to voice my objection with regard to the proposed boundary change removing the suburbs of Minyama, Buddina and Parrearra (Kawana Island) out of the Kawana Electorate, transferring them into the Buderim Electorate.

1. “Parrearra is a suburb of the Sunshine Coast located within the Kawana Waters urban centre. It contains the locality of Kawana Island”. Therefore it does not make sense to redefine the boundaries to be part of Buderim.

2. Over many years the communities of Parrearra, Minyama and Buddina have made connections with various organisations in Kawana and would be most unhappy to disassociate themselves by moving to the Buderim electorate.

We believe that most people in the community are of the same opinion and totally oppose this change.

Thank you for taking time to consider our objection.

Sincerely

Massimo and Lana Mancini
10/239 Kawana Way, Parrearra, QLD 4575
Online submission for **D'aguilar**

**Name:** Carmel Ivy Bond  
**Address:** 276 Bond Road, King Scrub 4521

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**
I wish to object to the proposed change of name of our local electorate from Pine Rivers to D'aguilar. On looking at the map it would appear that the majority, if not all, of the electorate is contained in what was part of the Pine Rivers Shire Council area before the amalgamation of Pine Rivers Shire, Caboolture Shire and Redcliffe City into what became Moreton Bay Regional Council. The D'aguilar Range may bound part of the electorate but the localities of Bray Park, Strathpine, Brendale, Warner and Cashmere would not relate to the D'aguilar Range. Also the township of D'aguilar itself is located some 15/20km from the electoral boundary in the adjoining Glasshouse Electorate (proposed to be changed to Tibrogargan). This in itself would lead to confusion. We lost our identity with the Council amalgamation. It is hard to associate the Dayboro region with Moreton Bay. Now it appears as though our identity is to be further eroded by changing the name of our electorate from Pine Rivers to D'aguilar.
I am very concerned about the moving of Parrearra, Buddina and Minyama into the Buderim electorate. Buderim is a totally different area to the coastal areas of Parrearra, Minyama and Buddina. The Kawana is a totally different social and cultural area and has no bearing on the electoral area of Buderim. Kawana is the central area for all needs of the community. It should stay as it is now.

Ian Maurice
Hi Team,

I object to moving Kawana out of Kawana and would like to register my disapproval.

Kind Regards

Renee England

Total Install Pty Ltd
Mail: P.O. Box 1597
Mooloolaba
QLD 4557

This email is confidential. If you are not the intended recipient you must not disclose or use the information contained in it. If you have received this email in error please notify us immediately by return email and delete it from your system. It is your responsibility to scan this email for computer viruses and other defects. Total Install does not warrant or represent the accuracy or completeness of any information contained in this email and does not accept any liability for any loss or damage caused by this email.

Total Install asks that you please consider our environment before printing this email.
To whom it may concern.

I strongly object to the change of boundary from Kawana to Buderim on the following grounds.

1. We are a coastal community with vastly different outlook to the rural town of Buderim. Our community has its own surf club sporting facilities, medical centres, shopping centres just to name a few of the many clubs and fa cities which have evolved here and is a large community ranging from Currimundi to Mooloolaba.

2. Buderim is a rural community and the population in fact comes down to our medical facilities, sporting facilities, beaches and shopping facilities.

What I am insinuating is that Kawana is indeed the hub of this area whereas Buderim a much older but smaller centre is more aligned with Nambour and Maroochydore and NOT with Kawana?

I request that this area remains as Kawana electorate

Yours faithfully,
Georg Horrolt.

Georg and Nell Horrolt
41 Pacific Bvde
Buddina
4575
Online submission for Everton, Ferny Grove

Name: Stephen Moss
Address: 543 Bunya Road Bunya

File Upload: No file uploaded

Text:
To the Redistribution Commissioners Please consider our submission below We would like to put forward a submission in reference to the "proposed boundary for the new Qld Govt Seat of Everton". After studying the proposed map boundaries as it relates to the new boundary or changes in the semi-rural suburb of Bunya Qld 4055, We feel it is important to make the following comments for consideration by the Electoral Redistribution Commissioners, before submissions close late March 2017. I Stephen Moss am currently the elected President of the Bunya Resident Association and am representing this association for this submission. We have over 400 active residents in the association and I feel are reflective of the majority of residents in this area. We have strong ties within our community in Bunya. We work to preserve the Heritage and uphold the local environmental state of the area. We are a dedicated team of volunteers and hold a strong social fabric for the region. We feel if split into two Qld Govt seats could reduce the residents sustainability in retaining these values when it comes to Qld Govt legislation adversely impacting the lifestyle of Bunya. The Very reason everyone moves in to this area. Now to the matter of the 2017 proposed Qld Govt electorate boundaries as recently advertised. The new proposal shows or proposes that the Everton seat (also that for the Ferny Grove seat) the commission has suggested that Bunya Rd (a major corridor here) become the boundary line between Everton & Ferny Grove. The BRA do not support it as proposed to electorally split this low population suburb that has strong ties either side of Bunya Rd. If this were to become the final outcome, We fear splitting Bunya by the boundary of Bunya Rd, with its overall community of interest by then having two state representatives for such a small base population would we feel reduce our overall representation at State Level. Also, one of the senior Redistribution Commissioners His Honour "Judge Hugh Botting" actually lives in the suburb of Bunya, so I feel he may also know of the community values held greatly by many of the Bunya Locals. In Summary, We strongly oppose the use of Bunya Rd in Bunya as the "2017 proposed boundary line". We feel also strongly that all of Bunya would be best served by including it in the Everton Seat to retain its Community of Interest. As an alternative to the use of Bunya Rd, I propose that the Bunya suburb boundary be used to include to total Bunya area in the proposed 2017 map for Everton seat. We generally feel the low-density nature of Bunya, it may have little impact of elector balance numbers to guide any argument for the proposal not to be altered. May we please ask that you take our submission as a representation of the Bunya residents and give it the consideration it deserves. Thanks for the opportunity to have a say on this important aspect for the suburb and residents of Bunya. Yours Sincerely Stephen Moss President Bunya Residents Association
Online submission for **Kawana**

**Name:** Ronald & Ronda Shoemrk  
**Address:** unit 99/10 Marco Way Parrearra...Q...4575  
**File Upload:** No file uploaded  

**Text:**  
The suburbs of Minyama, Buddina and Parrearra have always been known as Kawana so it makes sense that these areas should stay in the Kawana Electorate. We wish to stay in the Kawana Electorate.

Submission ID: 67172  
Time of Submission: 21 Mar 2017 11:39am  
Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.213
Online submission for Kawana

**Name:** Tamara  
**Address:** 24 Tortola Place Parrearra 4575

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**  
Keep current Kawana Boundary as it is more relevant to the coastal areas that make up Kawana Waters. I am concerned that by moving Minyam, Buddina and Parrearra into the Buderim Electorate the suburbs and residents will lose true local representation.

Submission ID: 67173

Time of Submission: 21 Mar 2017 11:47am

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.214
Online submission for **Kawana**

**Name:** Darren  
**Address:** 24 Tortola Pl Parrearra, 4575

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**  
Keep current Kawana Boundary as it is more relevant to the coastal areas that make up Kawana Waters. I am concerned that by moving Minyam, Buddina and Parrearra into the Buderim Electorate the suburbs and residents will lose true local representation.

---

Submission ID: 67174

Time of Submission: 21 Mar 2017 11:48am

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.213
Reference: The proposed change to Electoral Boundaries in QLD.

To Whom It May Concern:

My wife and I reside in Parrearra, Sunshine Coast in the area known to all as Kawana. We have lived here for the past 15 years and are proud of our inclusion in the Kawana precinct.

We use all the facilities that are named as Kawana Shopping World, Kawana Surf Lifesaving, Kawana Community Centre, Kawana Beach to name a few.

We fully object to the proposal to move all our area, and include in the Buderim precinct.

Before living here, with lived in Buderim Meadows, and accepted that this was the Community for Buderim.

As an owner and resident of Kawana, I feel that our representative needs to be part of the Kawana electorate to understand our needs.
We should not have to travel to Buderim to see our local Member.

We have different concerns, being along the coastal strip, than those that reside in Buderim.

We trust that you can reconsider the new proposal as a bad idea for us and others, like Birtinya, Bokarina, Minyama, Warana, Wurtulla, Kawana and Parrearra.

Regards

Peter and Sharon Fletcher
24 Cayman Place,
Parrearra Qld 4575.
Online submission for **Kawana**

**Name:** Helen Jardine  
**Address:** 6 Myoora Crt Minyama

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**
I would like to lodge an objection to the proposed changes to the Kawana electorate on the basis that most of Kawana is being taken out of Kawana, the people that live in that area also use schools, dentists, doctors and shops also some work in that area. Attaching it to the Buderim area as proposed would leave the people with representation differing to needs of the people in Buderim electorate. We also need a local MP not one in Buderim. I think this is a ridiculous redistribution and should not happen.

Submission ID: 67175  
Time of Submission: 21 Mar 2017 12:00pm  
Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.214
Online submission for **Buderim, Kawana**

**Name:** Malcolm Elliott  
**Address:** 13B Antilles Street PARREARRA QLD 4575

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**

OBJECTION TO KAWANA ELECTORATE REDISTRIBUTION I have recently had notice from my local State Government representative that a considerable portion of the current Kawana electorate is planned to be added to the Buderim electorate. I wish to lodge my objection to this planned change as I would then be required to travel to Buderim to meet with my ‘local’ member, a journey of 10 kilometres or so, a substantial change from the current situation where my local member’s office is a few hundred metres from my local shopping centre – Kawana Shoppingworld which we frequent a few times a week. I also utilise many local facilities and medical centre, considering myself and my wife part of the broader Kawana community. To be excised and included in the Buderim electorate will disadvantage me and my neighbours because we don’t see the proposed ‘local’ member to have much interest in a small community some 10 Km away from his core community. The planned change makes no sense to me or any of my neighbours that I have discussed this matter with and we believe Parrearra should remain in the Kawana electorate boundary. There are other areas that make more sense to take out of Kawana than Parrearra. I request that serious consideration be taken to change the proposed change to the Kawana Boundary.

**Submission ID:** 67176

**Time of Submission:** 21 Mar 2017 12:13pm

**Submission IP Address:** 43.245.40.213
Online submission for **Kawana**

**Name:** Geoffrey Folder  
**Address:** 34/51 Grande Parade Parrearra

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**
I totally object to the proposed boundary change to the Kawana Electorate. This appears to be political payback by the Labour government to restrict the voting population against our very popular Local member. The proposal is ridiculous and will remove, the Kawana Shoppingworld, scouts, ambulance, life saving club, RSL Sub branch, Minima, Parrearra and Buddina from the Kawana electorate. The local member has been very supportive in all of these areas. It is a retrograde if not vindictive attempt at neutralize a popular local member.

Submission ID: 67177

Time of Submission: 21 Mar 2017 12:21pm

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.213
Online submission for Mcconnel

Name: Ignazia Grace
Address: 199 Arthur Street Fortitude Valley. Qld.

File Upload: No file uploaded

Text:
I believe that Brisbane Central should not be renamed McConnel and the State of Queensland should maintain at least one seat named after its capital of Brisbane. Being someone who has lived all their life in the inner city of Brisbane, I feel no connection with the renamed seat of McConnel and believe that voters will be confused by the new name. Whilst I do not want to debate the merits of the renaming of Brisbane Central to McConnel, I believe that the name Brisbane Central should remain as this reflects the seat perfectly and reflects its location in the State of Queensland. The many recent changes announced to the Queensland electoral seats will be confusing enough for residents and voters without unnecessarily changing the name of electorates to names that do not reflect their location, thus potentially creating a disconnect for current constituents of Brisbane Central. I respectfully submit that Brisbane Central should remain Brisbane Central and the decision to rename the seat to McConnel be rescinded. Thank you. Ignazia Grace

Submission ID: 67178

Time of Submission: 21 Mar 2017 12:29pm

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.214
We are writing to voice our objection to the proposed changes to electoral boundaries that effect Minyama, Buddina & Parrearra.

The 3 suburbs that you are proposing be transferred into the electorate of Buderim form the central section of the stretch known as Kawana Waters, these 3 suburbs are integral to this area and to contemplate moving them to the Buderim electorate makes no sense at all. Removing these 3 suburbs disconnects the intended grouping of the coastal stretch of suburbs that make up Kawana Waters, added to that is the fact that residents and business operators within these 3 suburbs will lose easy access to their local member and will have to travel to the top of Buderim to see their representative.

Change for the sake of change is not progress, this whole exercise smacks of just that “change for the sake of change” because no one who lives within these suburbs will gain anything at all, in fact they will simply lose contact with their surrounding neighbours, contact with their local member & a disconnect from local business.

We strongly object to these changes.

Yours truly
Greg & Dale Royall
Online submission for **Kawana**

**Name:** Ivan Joseph Maher  
**Address:** 43/7 Grand Parade Parrearra Qld 4575  

**File Upload:** No file uploaded  

**Text:**  
I write to object to the proposed changes to the Electoral boundaries of Kawana, which would see most of the heart of the Kawana community service clubs and volunteer organisations incorporated into the Buderim electorate. Buderim already has similar clubs and organisations, and I feel that the proposed redistribution could lead to overlap and loss of the heart of the Kawana community. Kawana sees itself (and is) a coastal community, while Buderim has a totally different aspect, and the two should be kept separate in electorate terms, so that we can retain our own identities.

---

Submission ID: 67179  

Time of Submission: 21 Mar 2017 1:14pm  

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.214
Our names are John & Cheryl Bannister and we are very active constituents in the Kawana area and believe passionately in our local member Jarrod Bleijie and are most unhappy to have our area (Kawana Islands) now part of Buderim.

We would ask that we have this decision reconsidered and restored to Kawana without delay.

Cheryl & John Bannister
Good afternoon. Following the release of the draft proposed Queensland electoral boundaries, we would like to express a formal objection to the proposed exclusion of the suburbs of Minyama, Buddina and Parrearra (Kawana Island) from the Kawana electorate.

What is planned will take the heart of the city region of Kawana to the Buderim electorate which geographically makes no sense given a closer cultural and locality option of moving Sippy Downs to Buderim exists. Our local member is based just 5 minutes away from our residence. Why would we want representation by a more distant member who would not be as fully aware of our immediate local issues?

Can the proposed changes please be reconsidered.

Graeme and Anne Marshallsea
Unit 55/7 Grand Parade
Parrearra. 4575
Online submission for All Districts

Name: Debra Lancelot  
Address: Brisbane City QLD 4000

File Upload: No file uploaded

Text:  
Please keep our area as Brisbane Central, not McConnell

Submission ID: 67180

Time of Submission: 21 Mar 2017 1:21pm

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.214
Queensland Redistribution Commission

My wife and I have lived in Minyama since 1981 and have been very pleased with the representation we have received from our representatives in our electoral area.

We are upset at the thought of anyone considering altering these boundaries. I have supported this electorate over the past 36 years and strongly object to any change of boundary. Why would anyone contemplate boundary changes when all the businesses are trading under the “Kawana” this or Kawana that business names?

Buderim is up on the hill and require different representation to Minyama, Buddina and Parrearra residents who live on a separate canal estate. (not on a hill)

Please leave all well alone and leave us in peace in our electorate.

Thank-you,
Ian and Daphne Morgan,
42 Coomaroo Crescent,
Minyama. 4575
Online submission for McConnell

Name: Paul Bini
Address: 8/102 Sydney St, New Farm

File Upload: No file uploaded

Text:
I'd prefer the name of the electorate to remain Brisbane Central; or indeed if there was to be a change for it to be simply named Brisbane - though I note this is the same as the Federal electorate. The name of the electorate is descriptive and provides a sense of ownership for the electors in the seat and so I think the name should not be changed.
Online submission for All Districts

Name: Renee Tendyke
Address: 103 Union St

File Upload: No file uploaded

Text:
Brisbane Central needs to remain as Brisbane Central incorporating the suburb of Spring Hill (of which I am a long standing resident). This electorate is also centrally relevant to Brisbane Central State School where every election I have ever attended has been held here. Our suburb is adjacent to the Brisbane CBD and therefore the relevance of this electorate remaining to be named so: BRISBANE CENTRAL. This is our identity and it needs to be upheld.

Submission ID: 67182
Time of Submission: 21 Mar 2017 1:26pm
Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.214
Online submission for All Districts

Name: James Anthony Delahunty  
Address: Unit six number nine Newstead Avenue Newstead Queensland 4006

File Upload: No file uploaded

Text:  
In agreeing that there has to be a distribution I do not see any reason why the commission needs to change flagship seat of Brisbane. 72 years of age and living in Springhill and Newstead and what I regard this is an historic part of Queensland in an effort should be made to retain some peg upon which the rest of Queensland can be based. I beg the commission to reconsider and retain the name of Brisbane for this Central electorate! Thank you for your attention James A Delahunty

Submission ID: 67183  
Time of Submission: 21 Mar 2017 1:27pm  
Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.213
Online submission for **All Districts**

Name: Helen Savill  
Address: 15 Market Street Newmarket Q 4051  

**File Upload:** No file uploaded  

**Text:**  
I've voted in the Brisbane Central electorate for 41 years and see absolutely no reason to change the name to McConnel. Brisbane Central should retain its current name to avoid confusion within the voting community.

Submission ID: 67184  
Time of Submission: 21 Mar 2017 1:29pm  
Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.213
Online submission for All Districts

Name: Janice Brunskill
Address: 4/122 Fortescue Street SPRING HILL QLD 4000

File Upload: No file uploaded

Text:
I WISH BRISBANE CENTRAL TO REMAIN AS BRISBANE CENTRAL.

Submission ID: 67185
Time of Submission: 21 Mar 2017 1:31pm
Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.213
Online submission for **All Districts**

**Name:** Peter Newman  
**Address:** 103 Union Street Spring Hill Qld 4000  

**File Upload:** No file uploaded  

**Text:**
I would prefer the electorate name be kept as Brisbane Central

Submission ID: 67186  
Time of Submission: 21 Mar 2017 1:33pm  
Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.214
Online submission for **All Districts**

**Name:** Janet Hammill  
**Address:** 1/9 Doggett St Fortitude Valley Q 4006

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**
It is important for me to retain the name "Brisbane" for my electorate rather than O'Connell which has no meaning form me. Brisbane has defined where I live and as such denotes my existence living within the body of the city which is a lifestyle and location I treasure.

Submission ID: 67187

Time of Submission: 21 Mar 2017 1:33pm

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.214
Online submission for **All Districts**

**Name:** Roxanne Martens  
**Address:** 197 Annie St New Farm Qld 4005

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**
I would prefer that Brisbane Central Ward retains that name. Thank you.

Submission ID: 67188

Time of Submission: 21 Mar 2017 1:33pm

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.214
Online submission for **All Districts, Mcconnel**

**Name:** Edmund lawrie  
**Address:** 8 Sixth ave Windsor 4030

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**  
Leave the name Brisbane central alone & cease this inane beaurocracy

Submission ID: 67189  
Time of Submission: 21 Mar 2017 1:35pm  
Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.214
Online submission for **Mcconnel**

**Name:** Graeme Kidd  
**Address:** 30 Merthyr Road, New Farm, Qld. 4005

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**
Brisbane Central is the correct name not Mcconnel! Why change when the existing name so well describes the seat so well? Why change a name that resonates with the residents of Brisbane Central? Mcconnel means nothing to the residents. I am against the change.

Submission ID: 67190

Time of Submission: 21 Mar 2017 1:36pm

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.213
Online submission for **All Districts**

**Name:** Michael Wyllie  
**Address:** 13 Teneriffe Drive Teneriffe QLD 4005

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**
Please keep our seat named Brisbane Central, and not change to McConnel. Brisbane Central refers clearly to the location of this electorate.

---

Submission ID: 67191

Time of Submission: 21 Mar 2017 1:39pm

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.214
Online submission for Mcconnel

**Name:** Lisa Paterson  
**Address:** 31 Beeston Street TENERIFFE Q 4005

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**
I believe our seat should remain Brisbane Central, as it's a better reflection of our inner city location in the heart of Brisbane.

Submission ID: 67192

Time of Submission: 21 Mar 2017 1:47pm

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.213
Online submission for **All Districts**

**Name:** Dr Christiaan Willems  
**Address:** 2690 Mt Mee Rd OCEAN VIEW QLD 4521

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**  
Dear AEC, The electoral system is confusing enough without renaming logical and sensible names such as 'Brisbane Central' to some obscure, meaningless and contextually irrelevant name. I respectfully request that you reconsider changing the name of Brisbane Central. We know immediately where it is, it makes common sense, and nothing positive is achieved by changing it. Thank you. Dr Christiaan Willems.

Submission ID: 67193

Time of Submission: 21 Mar 2017 1:52pm

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.214
Online submission for Mcconnel

Name: Gregory Moran
Address: 1103/132 Alice Street Brisbane 4000

File Upload: No file uploaded

Text:
I would like to oppose changing the name of Brisbane Central to MCConnel. The name Brisbane Central is historic and clearly geographically defines the area we live in. Changing the name to McConnel is confusing and a waste of money. Where possible seats should be named after a location. I accept in some instances this may be impractical, however that is not the case with the seat of Brisbane Central. Also it is unheard of for our capital city not to have a state seat named after it.

Submission ID: 67194

Time of Submission: 21 Mar 2017 1:52pm

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.214
Online submission for Mcconnel

Name: Shane Doidge
Address: 48 Tit Street Kelvin Grove Q 4059

File Upload: No file uploaded

Text:
I wish to register my objection to changing the name of the Brisbane Central electorate to McConnel. I believe that it is unnecessary and may lead to confusion among voters. I believe that Brisbane Central is a reflection of where we live and something that many in the electorate would identify with. Changing the name to McConnel will facilitate a loss of this identity. Thanks.

Submission ID: 67195
Time of Submission: 21 Mar 2017 1:53pm
Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.213
Online submission for **Traeger**

**Name:** Andrew Schebella  
**Address:** 117-119 Panguna Street (Lot 55) Trinity Beach QLD 4879

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**
The township of Mareeba should be included in the the proposed electorate of Hill, Agricultural ties make them more aligned and better represented. The coastal region from Babinda to Mission Beach should then be included in Hinchinbrook. Mount Garnet region be included in the electorate of Traeger. the reasons for these moves are the towns mentioned would be better represented agriculturally for the land holders and the towns that support the land.

Submission ID: 67196

Time of Submission: 21 Mar 2017 2:01pm

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.213
Good afternoon

Please attached a copy of a submission and map by Steve Dickson MP, Member for Buderim regarding proposed boundary redistribution.

Kind regards

Tony Crowley
Electorate Officer
Steve Dickson MP | Member for Buderim
Ph: 5406 2100

Sign up for Steve’s eNewsletter at www.stevedicksonmp.com.au
21 March 2017

The Secretary
Queensland Redistribution Commission
GPO Box 1393
BRISBANE   QLD   4001

Dear Secretary

I wish to raise an objection to the proposed changes to the boundaries of the State Electoral Division of Buderim.

I have been able to effectively and efficiently serve the electors of Buderim with the current boundaries, since my election as the inaugural Member in 2009. In the context of both geography and population, the current boundaries have worked well.

However I do recognize that the Queensland population has both grown in numbers and distribution and together with the decision to increase the number of electorates, I do understand the need for the redistribution of boundaries. That said I believe that the new boundaries of Buderim could be achieved in a slightly different manner than that proposed in the Draft, whilst still achieving a similar number of electors (ensuring that each person’s vote carries the same value as proposed) by a slight remix of suburbs included in the adjacent electorates of Buderim and Kawana.

The Electoral Commission Office was unable to disaggregate the elector numbers for suburbs in time for this submission, however the Queensland Parliamentary Library and Research Service (QPLRS) was able to provide an analysis of population and enrolment in Sunshine Coast suburbs. What I had asked for was a disaggregation of several adjoining suburbs in the Buderim and Kawana electorates so that I could examine alternative mixes of suburbs that would approximate the same numbers of electors but be a more logical geographic and community cohesion fit for both electorates.

The QPLRS was able to use Statistical Areas Level 2 (SA2s), which are the smallest area used for Australian Bureau of Statistics non-Census and intercensal statistics, which are intended to ‘represent a community that interacts together socially and economically’. It is their view that SA2s ‘more closely align to the boundaries of gazette suburbs and localities when compared with the previously used Statistical Local Areas (SLAs)’.

The QPLRS advised that ‘as several data releases are available for SA2s, an indication of the demographic or economic characteristics of a particular suburb or locality, including population, can often be obtained through accessing SA2 data’. They were able to provide population data for the relevant suburbs. They also applied the Queensland Globe to determine the location of the requested suburbs in relation to SA2s. Thus Buddina and Minyama were grouped together to form Buddina-Minyama SA2. Similarly Parrearra is grouped with Warana and comprises Parrearra-Warana SA2. Sippy Downs is a standalone SA2.

The QPLRS also used the Queensland Redistribution state electoral district (SED) boundaries and Statistical Areas Level 1 (SA1) (matched to SA2s) to estimate enrolment figures. I have used these to project the effects of adjusting the proposed new boundaries for the electorate of Buderim. The one difficulty was the aggregation of Parrearra-Warana SA2 and subsequent estimates of electors. For the purposes of this submission I have assumed half the aggregate Parrearra-Warana is Parrearra, in other words, 3461 electors.
I would like to recommend that the Commission consider:

1. Adjusting the existing southern border of the Buderim electorate to include the suburb of Sippy Downs. This would have the effect of adding 6333 electors to the electorate of Buderim from the electorate of Kawana (based on data supplied by QPLRS).
2. Adjusting the proposed eastern border of the Buderim electorate to exclude the suburbs of Parrearra (estimated 3461 electors), Minyama and Buddina (4511 electors). The effect of this would be to exclude (7972 electors) from the electorate of Buderim and add them to the electorate of Kawana.
3. Thus an estimated nett loss of 1639 electors to the electorate of Buderim would be the overall result. The estimated net loss could be accounted for by the re-inclusion of the areas of Mons, Forest Glen and Kunda Park from the proposed north western boundary Sawreys Road / Lindsay Road of the Buderim electorate through to Maroochydore Road, extending the boundary along the Bruce Highway in the west and extending the Jones Road section of the boundary 150 metres through to the Maroochydore Road overpass in the east. Maroochydore Road would form the northern boundary of the Buderim electorate between the Bruce Highway and Jones Road.

The additional electors in Kawana would be able to be accommodated by minor changes to that electorate’s boundaries or fall within the plus/minus allowance and I feel sure that the current local member would have an innovative solution if the number of electors in Kawana was not in Quota.

In support of my recommendations I would like to add:

- The logic of the suggested changes lies in the enhanced “boundary harmony” of the Buderim electorate with the addition of Sippy Downs reinforcing the community connectivity of the suburbs in the electorate.
- The proposed aggregate gain of Sippy Downs electors (from Kawana to Buderim) almost balances the proposed aggregate loss of electors from Parrearra, Minyama and Buddina (from Buderim to Kawana). It is likely that the projected growth of Buderim would replace any elector shortfall over a short period.
- Sippy Downs is a natural fit within the electorate of Buderim geographically, in a road connectivity sense, for that of local feeder schools (for example Buderim State School primary students progress to Chancellor State College at Sippy Downs and then potentially to the Sunshine Coast University at Sippy Downs) and for community organisations (rugby league, cricket and soccer clubs have assets distributed in both Buderim and Sippy Downs). The University is a community hub with assets such as the libraries, meeting venues, the Olympic pool and sporting facilities a natural magnet for Buderim electors and is close by. Kawana has a plethora of similar facilities so Kawana electors would not be likely to use University facilities.
- Incorporated community associations – The Sippy Downs and District Community Association is an affiliate group of the Buderim War Memorial Community Association.
- The established Sunshine Coast Council Kawana Library is situated at Buddina. Sippy Downs is included in council’s library management strategy plan and a future library located at the Sippy Downs Town Centre would service the Buderim community.
- The local Chamber of Commerce – the 4556 Chamber, derives its name from the postcode of the suburbs that it represents. This includes Buderim and Sippy Downs.
• Buderim village and Sippy Downs provide community amenity in the form of shopping, sporting and social venues and assets and compensate for the loss of those amenities from Kuluin and Forest Glen, should those areas no longer be a part of Buderim electorate.
• Local Government boundaries would be reinforced (the local area for the Sunshine Coast Regional Councillor for Division 6 takes in Sippy Downs and more than half of Buderim and none of Kawana).
• Parrearra, Minyama and Buddina are stable, established suburbs with limited growth potential, whereas the increase in unit dwellings and other infill developments means that Buderim is continuing to grow and these proposed boundaries would promote longevity of the electorate boundaries.
• The geographical physical features (Mooloolah River as a natural boundary) and topography (Buderim village on the mountain and surrounding parts of the electorate connected to the village) characteristics of the electorate create an entity that is not enhanced with the addition of Parrearra, Minyama and Buddina.

The main effect of my suggested changes to the boundaries would be to retain important aspects of the community the Buderim electorate and maintain the sense of cohesion that the electors of Buderim value highly. The additions to the electorate of Kawana are also logical and are a good fit for that electorate.

Yours Sincerely

Steve Dickson
Member for Buderim
Online submission for Mcconnel

Name: Francine Webb
Address: 80 Somerset St Windsor

File Upload: No file uploaded

Text:
please leave Brisbane Central as Brisbane Central...

Submission ID: 67197
Time of Submission: 21 Mar 2017 2:07pm
Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.213
Online submission for Ninderry, Noosa

Name: Steve Moon  
Address: 10 Marnie Cres Doonan 4562  

File Upload: No file uploaded

Text:
COMMUNITY OF INTEREST - Noosa’s community of interest clearly includes the areas in Eumundi, Verrierdale, Doonan, Weyba Downs and Pereigian Beach (West), while it clearly does NOT include the Rainbow Beach locality. COMMUNICATION & TRAVEL WITHIN PROPOSED ELECTORAL DISTRICTS - Travel will be onerous for Rainbow Beach locals if included in Noosa resulting in a sense of disconnect and segregation, and, a Noosa electorate that retains Eumundi, Verrierdale, Doonan Weyba Downs and Pereigian Beach (West) is an electorate with more efficient internal travel and relevant cohesive community connect and communication. PHYSICAL FEATURES OF EACH PROPOSED ELECTORAL DISTRICT - Lake Weyba and Emu Mountain Road through to the ocean are key physical features defining boundaries to the south east, whilst the Bruce Highway is key to the west and local roads such as Noosa-Eumundi Road, Deans Road, Verrierdale Road, Doonan Bridge East Road are key in defining the southern boundaries of the Noosa electorate. BOUNDARIES OF EXISTING ELECTORATES - The existing southern boundary of the Noosa electorate more effectively includes the great majority of the existing and future Noosa community. and then there's DEMOGRAPHIC TRENDS Retaining Eumundi, Verrierdale, Doonan Weyba Downs and Pereigian Beach (West) areas in Noosa which has a projected growth of just 4.3% to 2023 will improve demographic outcomes. Noosa is predicted to be 6.24% below electoral quota by 2023. Ninderry predicted to be 3.30% under quota by 2023 could be adjusted with Buderim which is projected to be 4.59% above quota by 2023. Makes sense! Such an adjustment to proposed boundaries would reduce the over quota starting position for Buderim and ensure that community of interest in both Noosa and Mons/Kunda Park area were maintained within the relevant electorates. and lastly, LOCAL GOVERNMENT AREAS v COMMUNITY OF INTEREST - Existing LGA boundary not relevant to Doonan, Verrierdale, Weyba Downs and Pereigian Beach (West) areas as the centre of Noosa is 5 minutes away, whilst the centre of SCC 45 minutes away. No community of interest with rest of proposed Ninderry electorate.

Submission ID: 67198

Time of Submission: 21 Mar 2017 2:07pm

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.214
Online submission for **All Districts**

**Name:** Susanne Green  
**Address:** Unit 116 420 Queen Street Brisbane CBD Qld 4000

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**
Why change a name that is self explanatory, e.g. Brisbane Central, to a name that causes discombobulation, e.g. McConnel?

Submission ID: 67199

Time of Submission: 21 Mar 2017 2:11pm

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.213
Online submission for **All Districts**

**Name:** Carole Marco  
**Address:** 2A/99 Gregory Terrace Spring Hill

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**
I do not support the pointless name change of Brisbane Central to something that I have never heard of and will find hard to remember. Brisbane Central makes sense! Thank you CM

Submission ID: 67200

Time of Submission: 21 Mar 2017 2:13pm

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.213
Online submission for **Kawana**

**Name:** Andrew Hines  
**Address:** 12 Antigua CRT Parrearra Qld 4575

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**  
The electoral boundary changes for Kawana involving Parrearra being positioned in the Buderim electorate is unacceptable, and needs to be taken off the agenda immediately. Residents in Parrearra have nothing in common with residents in Buderim, and remaining in the Kawana electorate is common sense. Parrearra is also known as Kawana Island and has a different demographic than Buderim. A local member in Buderim would have no interest in the people in Parrearra or the Kawana area.

Submission ID: 67201

Time of Submission: 21 Mar 2017 2:22pm

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.214
Online submission for **Mcconnel**

**Name:** Rebecca Robertson  
**Address:** 12/120 Langshaw Street NEW FARM QLD 4005  

**File Upload:** No file uploaded  

**Text:**  
Good afternoon, I'd like to submit my wish to keep our seat named Brisbane Central. Thanks, Rebecca.

---

Submission ID: 67202  

Time of Submission: 21 Mar 2017 2:23pm  

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.214
Online submission for **Kawana**

**Name:** Jack Wallis  
**Address:** Villa 88 Island Point Villas 239 Kawana Way Parrearra 4575  

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**
I object to the proposed change as I cannot see any benefit to the residents of Kawana. The boundaries of any electorate should reflect the customs and lifestyle of the local residents. It is self evident this aspect has been ignored in this case. The proposal to excise the hub of the coastal community of Kawana from Kawana is ridiculous. The proposal to transfer this area to the inland electorate of Buderim makes it twice ridiculous and beggars belief. It calls into question the independence of the commission and the motives behind this proposal. If it is considered imperative to re-balance electoral numbers, it would make better sense to use the natural boundaries formed by the Mooloolah River and Currimundi Creek. If further adjustment was considered necessary part of Caloundra could be excised to form a new electorate possibly called Kawana Forest. Submitted for your serious consideration. Jack Wallis.

Submission ID: 67203  
**Time of Submission:** 21 Mar 2017 2:34pm  
**Submission IP Address:** 43.245.40.214
Online submission for **All Districts**

**Name:** Gillian Pincus  
**Address:** 59 Hill St Spring Hill Q 4000

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**  
I would like the name Brisbane Central to be retained. It describes just where it is unlike many electorates.

Submission ID: 67205  
Time of Submission: 21 Mar 2017 2:39pm  
Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.214
Online submission for **All Districts**

**Name:** Virginia Russell  
**Address:** U63,36 Vernon Terrace Teneriffe QLD 4005

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**  
I am in favour of keeping our seat named Brisbane Central, and NOT to change to McConnel. I can see no reason for the change, Brisbane Central is much simpler and easy to identify.

---

Submission ID: 67204

Time of Submission: 21 Mar 2017 2:39pm

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.213
Online submission for **Mcconnel**

**Name:** Shelley Heath  
**Address:** 2601/59 Blamey St, Kelvin Grove, Q 4059

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**  
I have heard that the electoral commission is wanting to change the name of my electorate which is Brisbane Central. I am against the proposed change of name as Brisbane Central is meaningful to the geographical location of the seat, whereas I find that McConnel has no meaning to the geographical area. Voters these days get confused enough as to what electorate they are in without changing names. New names make sense when it is totally new electorates but the name Brisbane Central is actually more relevant given the proposed boundary changes, where the seat's boundary shrinks closer to the CBD and valley.

Submission ID: 67206

Time of Submission: 21 Mar 2017 2:46pm

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.213
Online submission for **All Districts**

**Name:** Peter Hermann  
**Address:** 157/501 Queen St. Brisbane Q 4000  

**File Upload:** No file uploaded  

**Text:**
I request our ward remains at Brisbane Central which denotes what it actually is Brisbane Central. Thanks, Peter t Hermann

Submission ID: 67207  
Time of Submission: 21 Mar 2017 2:49pm  
Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.214
Online submission for **All Districts**

**Name:** Terry Hudson  
**Address:** 6/120 Langshaw Street, new Farm Qld 4005

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**
Keep Brisbane as brisbane. why change. reason?????Brisbane needs to stay as brisbane

Submission ID: 67208

Time of Submission: 21 Mar 2017 2:50pm

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.213
Online submission for **All Districts**

**Name:** Grant Friedlander  
**Address:** Unit 8, 4 Tilbrook Street Teneriffe Queensland 4005

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**  
Keep the name "Brisbane Central"

Submission ID: 67209  
Time of Submission: 21 Mar 2017 2:54pm  
Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.214
Online submission for All Districts, D'Aguilar

Name: Charles Esteban
Address: Bald Hills, Queensland

File Upload: Electorate Notes.docx, type application/vnd.openxmlformats-officedocument.wordprocessingml.document, 16.7 KB

Text:
Please find attached an objection to the Commission's proposed electorate names.

Submission ID: 67210

Time of Submission: 21 Mar 2017 2:54pm

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.213
This submission is an objection to some electorate names proposed by the Commission in the proposed boundaries. It has not sought out to review the merits of the boundaries themselves, which I shall leave to those more experienced than myself.

**Principles Behind Suggestions**

Given the vast majority of the electorate has minimal engagement with the electoral system, one of the principal factors the commission should take into account when naming electoral districts is to make it as easy as possible for members of the public to quickly identify the electoral district in which they are located.

Unfortunately, the Commission’s proposal does not achieve this. The proposal contains several instances of both new and existing electorates being renamed superfluously. I have identified at least six proposed electorates in the Commission’s proposal that have been renamed despite their existing names remaining appropriate to their new boundaries.

The Commission appears to have taken naming suggestions for the redistribution from those people who responded to the first round of consultation. This has led to the proposed names of multiple electorates after prominent Queenslanders, rather than on a geographic basis.

One consequence of this will be mass confusion amongst the public as to which electorate they are located. This could lead to greater workloads on ECQ staff at election day, and perhaps even more worryingly, people voting in the incorrect district or even electors failing to turn up to vote.

Using the above principles, I have used the following criteria for determining my proposed changes to electorate names;

1. Existing names for electorates should be retained wherever possible;
2. Where existing names are unable to be retained, names of previous state electorates should be utilised;
3. Where both points above are unable to be identified in the circumstances, electorates (in urban areas) should be named after either;
   a. The focal suburb, whether geographically or by way of provision of community services, commercial activity or other prevailing feature, or;
   b. The name of a community which has been unified by the proposed constituency.

The positive outcomes of this simplification of naming conventions are broad and substantial;

1. Vastly reduces the number of electors who will find themselves in a new electorate compared to the commission’s current proposal;
2. Reduces the strain on ECQ resources on election day by increasing elector recognition of their own electoral district, and;
3. Improves access to members of parliament as the name of the proposed electorates are much more likely to coincide with the location of the MLA’s electoral office. (For example, the electorate office of the new member for Jordan under the Commission’s proposal is likely to be in North Lakes, so if the electorate were to also be named North Lakes, electors would be guided as to where to find a member of parliament in their community.

**Electorates Without Sufficient Changes to Justify a Change of Name**
The following electorates are still adequately served by their current names and should not be renamed:

1. Ashgrove - The proposed electorate of Cooper should retain its current name of Ashgrove;
2. Brisbane Central – McConnel proposal should retain its current name of Brisbane Central;
3. Cleveland – Oodergeroo proposal should retain its current name of Cleveland;
4. Pine Rivers – D’Aguilar proposal should retain its current name of Pine Rivers;
5. Sunnybank – Toohey proposal should retain its current name of Sunnybank, and;
6. Yeerongpilly – Miller proposal should retain its current name of Yeerongpilly.

In all of the above proposals, electorates have not been abolished or created, and still contain all (or the vast majority) of their namesake suburbs. Renaming these electorates simply create confusion amongst electors and provide additional costs for the ECQ in advising entire electorates that their divisions have changed.

Specific Comments relating to the proposed electorate of Pine Rivers/D’Aguilar

The name D’Aguilar is not appropriate for the electorate proposed by the commission to replace Pine Rivers for the following reasons:

1. The town of D’Aguilar is not located in the proposed electorate;
2. The D’Aguilar Highway does not pass through the proposed electorate;
3. Both the town and highway of D’Aguilar have significant connections to localities in the electorates of Pumistone (Caboolture) and Nanango (Kilcoy), and not with communities in this proposed electorate.
4. The major urban area of the electorate (Strathpine, Bray Park and Warner) has no connections to D’Aguilar, and very few if any community connections to the D’Aguilar range.
5. The D’Aguilar range forms the western boundary of the electorate, and is thus not a ‘significant geographical feature’ of the predominately urban electorate.

The history of this electorate was that it was initially called Pine Rivers before being renamed Kurwongbah in the 1992 redistribution. The name was proposed to be changed at the 2008 Redistribution to ‘Samsonvale’ as the namesake Lake Kurwongbah was moved to the electorate of Kallangur.

The community successfully objected to the proposed name of Samsonvale on the basis that the final name of ‘Pine Rivers’ better reflected the community which was unified by the electorate.

This redistribution does further contract the urban parts of the electorate, as parts of Lawnton and Bray Park will be moved to the new electorate of Kurwongbah. However, the electorate is still a predominately urban electorate, and Strathpine, the seat of the former Shire of Pine Rivers (which is the electorate’s namesake), remains the major activity centre of the electorate, thus the name ‘Pine Rivers’ should be retained.

In the alternative, if the commission determines that Pine Rivers is no longer a suitable name for the electorate (despite significant community pressure in 2008 for the Commission to adopt that name), the preferred to be adopted would be the Division of Strathpine.

New Electorates to be Named After Communities of Interest
In order to assist in the transition to new electorates, the following proposed new constituencies should be named after communities of interest:

1. Beenleigh – Macalister proposal should be named after the Beenleigh activity centre;
2. Labrador – Bonney proposal should be named after the Labrador activity centre, noting that Labrador is the seat of the Gold Coast City Council;
3. North Lakes – Bancroft proposal should be named after the North Lakes activity centre;
4. Springfield – Jordan proposal should be named after the Springfield activity centre;
5. Toowong – Maiwar proposal should be named after the former electorate (for 104 years between 1888 and 1992) of Toowong.

**Supported Name Changes**

**Kurwongbah (Former electorate of Kallangur)**

As Kallangur itself has largely been relocated to the electorate of Murrumba in the Commission’s proposed boundaries, the name Kallangur is no longer appropriate for this electoral district. Given the geographic features of the division, ‘Petrie’ would have been an ideal name. However, given Petrie is a longstanding name of a Federal electorate (which unfortunately does not cover the suburb of Petrie), I accept that the name Petrie should not be given to this proposed constituency.

Kurwongbah was the name of a former seat focused around Lawnton and Petrie from 1992 until 2008. As both of these areas are contained in the proposed electorate, as is the namesake Lake Kurwongbah, I accept the commission’s proposal to rename the electorate of Kallangur to Kurwongbah.

**Ninderry (New electorate in the Sunshine Coast region)**

As per Kurwongbah, the commission’s proposed Ninderry electorate is named after a significant geographic feature of the district; Mount Ninderry. Given the lack of continuity between the communities located within the proposed electoral district (as it appears to be a combination of hinterland towns and part of coastal Coolum and urban areas in the outskirts of Maroochydore), the name proposed by the Commission is appropriate in the circumstances.
Online submission for **Mcconnel**

**Name:** DONALD  
**Address:** 2806/59 Blamey Street Brisbane 4059

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**
Changing the name of Brisbane Central to another name is ludicrous. No one can readily identify with the name Mcconnel. The other seats with 'obscure' names like this are very difficult to associate with their electorate area at an election when trying to understand the results. Please consider the community of people who will live in the area concerned and allow them to at least have a pride in a recognisable name for their electorate.

Submission ID: 67211

Time of Submission: 21 Mar 2017 2:57pm

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.214
Online submission for All Districts

Name: Cynthia Tacey
Address: 155 Eildon Road, WINDSOR Qld. 4030

File Upload: No file uploaded

Text:
I have been a resident of Windsor since 1976. I would prefer to keep the name of BRISBANE for this area.

Submission ID: 67212
Time of Submission: 21 Mar 2017 3:15pm
Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.214
Online submission for **All Districts**

**Name:** A.P.PASSALARIS  
**Address:** 96 Sunbird Chase, Parrearra, Sunshine Coast 4575 QLD

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**
KAUNA NEEDS TO STAY IN the KAWANA boundary. PARREARRA is PART AND PARCEL OF THIS COMMUNITY CALLED KAWANA WHICH INCLUDES: THE KAWANA SHOPPINGWORLD, KAWANA SCOUTS GROUP, KAWANA AMBULANCE STATION, KAWANA COMMUNITY CENTRE, KAWANA SURF LIFE SAVING CLUB, KAWANA RSL and much much more. We have NOTHING to do with Buderim or what happens up there. I totally reject this ridiculous decision to cut Parrearra out which includes all the above I have just mentioned. The sensible thing to do would be to change the name PARREARRA TO KAWANA and leave it and everything mentioned above in KAWANA

---

Submission ID: 67213

Time of Submission: 21 Mar 2017 3:29pm

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.214
Online submission for Gympie

Name: Rainbow Beach Community Information and Resource Service Association Incorporated
Address: 3/32 Rainbow Beach Road, RAINBOW BEACH QLD 4581

File Upload: No file uploaded

Text:
RE: PROPOSED ELECTORAL BOUNDARY CHANGE – RAINBOW BEACH INTO NOOSA
As members of Rainbow Beach Community Information and Resource Service Association Incorporated we object to the new proposed electoral boundary changes by placing Rainbow Beach into the Noosa Electorate. Rainbow Beach has always been known as the gateway to Fraser Island not as a part of the Noosa Area. As a community we have always been a part of the Gympie Electorate – the hub for the Cooloola Coast area. Being less than an hour away we are able to visit our electoral member without difficulties and he conducts frequent visits to this Centre to meet with his constituents of Rainbow Beach. On the other hand to travel to Noosa to meet with our new proposed state member we feel this would be a great handicap to our community as distance involved approximately three and a half hours round trip through Gympie would place us at a major disadvantage. Not all residents of Rainbow Beach have four wheel drive cars to drive down the beach and Cooloola Way road to access Noosa in less time. Would the Noosa Representative be willing to commit to a regular visit to discuss our community concerns? (Highly unlikely!)

This decision by the Electoral Commission to change our boundaries will in particular fracture Rainbow Beach’s relationship with our close neighbours Cooloola Cove and Tin Can Bay. This will create an “us versus them” situation when it comes to State concerns and decisions made. The Community Centre is the hub for the Rainbow Beach community. The majority of our community are retirees who have expressed to us their concerns about the ability to communicate easily and successfully with a State member based in Noosa. In conclusion it is hard to fathom how the Electoral Commission in their infinite wisdom could make these strange changes – Rainbow Beach to Noosa Electorate, Tiaro to Gympie Electorate from Maryborough- splitting community bonds. Yours sincerely on behalf of our members, Ross Brown President Rainbow Beach Community Information and Resource Service Association Incorporated

Submission ID: 67214

Time of Submission: 21 Mar 2017 3:32pm

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.213
Online submission for **Mcconnel**

**Name:** Ann Carroll  
**Address:** 24 Whish St Windsor Brisbane QLD 4030  
**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**  
I believe we need to retain an inner city Brisbane electorate containing the word 'Brisbane'. It could be Brisbane City or retain the previous Brisbane Central. 'Mcconnel' is a name that is associated strongly with the country town of Toogoolawah and with the upper reaches of the Brisbane River valley.

Submission ID: 67215  
Time of Submission: 21 Mar 2017 3:35pm  
Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.213
Online submission for **Kawana**

**Name:** Elfie Rabbidge  
**Address:** P.O, Box 523 buddina 4575

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**  
I object to the new boundary which removes the top end of Kawana across the Mooloola River and into Buderim which has no bearing on our locality. I understand that the Kawana shopping centre, the Post Office, library, Community Centre and Buddina primary school will now become part of the Buderim electorate. To me, not a wise move.

Submission ID: 67217

Time of Submission: 21 Mar 2017 3:44pm

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.213
Online submission for **Gympie, Noosa**

**Name:** Garie Slade  
**Address:** 26 Goondi st Rainbow Beach Qld 4581

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**
I am & have been resident of Rainbow Beach for the last 7yrs. The connection that Rainbow/ Cooloola Cove & Tin Can Bay have with Gympie is & has been very obviously an excellent symbiotic association. We all vote for local people who have more often than not lived in the greater area, have huge interest in the betterment of the area without wanting to over commercialise the area & keep it as a natural tourism attraction rather than another Noosa. Why would i want to vote for any candidate in the Noosa area? Answer:- Absolutely none. This is only a couple of reasons why I want Rainbow Beach to stay in the Cooloola electorate, though very important ones. Why would we want to vote for someone in another electorate at least 60klms to the south, across the Noosa river? I have witnessed & been devestated by the gradual destruction of the wetlands & beauty filled nature of the Noosa area to make way for an over population of holiday houses & big business over the last 30 yrs or so. I never want Rainbow Beach to become another Noosa/Byron Bay/ Airlie Beach, it is a natural wonder & i know if we were to become a part of the Noosa electorate this would be the first stepping stone to Rainbow Beach's decline. The Cooloola area is a delicate ecological natural resource which must stay this way & with Rainbow Beach being it's coastal masterpeice it is perfect. Being part of the Noosa Electorate? I say No! No thank you.

**Submission ID:** 67218

**Time of Submission:** 21 Mar 2017 3:51pm

**Submission IP Address:** 43.245.40.214
Online submission for All Districts

Name: WAIter D Marshall
Address: 6/38 Robertson St Fortitude Valley 4006

File Upload: No file uploaded

Text:
I see no reason for change, which will only lead to confusion.

Submission ID: 67219
Time of Submission: 21 Mar 2017 3:55pm
Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.214
Online submission for All Districts

Name: Robyn Hogan
Address: 11/93 Leichhardt Street Spring Hill 4000

File Upload: No file uploaded

Text:
I believe the name "Brisbane Central" should remain as the name of our state electoral seat. It is where we live and what local people identify with. I realise the boundaries have changed but Brisbane Central identifies an area of Brisbane, whereas McConnel is very unknown to me as a name or the area where I live.

Submission ID: 67220
Time of Submission: 21 Mar 2017 4:02pm
Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.214
21 March 2017

The Secretary
Queensland Redistribution Commission
GPO Box 1393
BRISBANE.  4001.

Re: Proposed changes to Electoral Boundary which would make Rainbow Beach part of Noosa.

We were both gobsmacked when we heard of the idea of splitting Rainbow Beach away from the Gympie electorate.

What a crazy idea that is!

The person/persons who came up with this idea obviously have no local knowledge of the area as Rainbow Beach is miles away to the north in the proposed new Noosa electorate separated from the rest of the electorate by National Park. Does the Electoral Commission realise there is no direct roadway linking Noosa and Rainbow Beach?

The sitting member of Parliament for the seat of Noosa would need to travel (by bitumen road) for kilometres T-H-R-O-U-G-H the Gympie electorate to service the needs of the people of Rainbow Beach. How stupid is this?

Unless, of course, the member of Parliament travelled to Rainbow Beach in a 4WD at low tide! or flew via a light plane! We doubt this situation would be repeated in any other electorate in Queensland.

Please leave the Cooloola Coast (Tin Can Bay, Cooloola Cove, and Rainbow Beach) intact in the same electorate of Gympie so that this area can be serviced by the same member of Parliament. This makes sense.

If this is not possible, the electoral boundary should follow the EASTERN coastline of Tin Can Inlet and not the WESTERN coastline so as to not affect the businesses which are both land and water based, eg. Lees Fisheries, Tourism (Ferry services, Charter boats, Deep sea fishing, Dolphin feeding enterprises), Trawler operators, Slipway, Coastguard, Tin Can Bay marinas, boat ramps, Tin Can Bay yacht club, etc.

KEEP THE COOLOOLA COAST IN THE SAME ELECTORATE.

Concerned voters

John Duggan and Gwen Duggan
11 Tom Thumb Court
COOLOOLA COVE.  QLD.  4580
Online submission for **Mcconnel**

**Name:** Nola Warrick  
**Address:** 101/420 Queen Street Brisbane 4000

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**  
I feel the name Brisbane Central is apt and descriptive of where I live and what is important to me.

Submission ID: 67221  
Time of Submission: 21 Mar 2017 4:04pm  
Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.213
Online submission for **Gympie, Noosa**

**Name:** Heather Robertson  
**Address:** 4 Ilmenite Ave Rainbow Beach. QLD. 4581

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**
I strongly disagree with the Electoral Commissions changes to the Electoral boundaries of Rainbow Beach. The demographic position of Rainbow Beach calls for our affiliation with Cooloola Coast and Gympie regions. Taking Rainbow Beach from the Gympie electorate splits the unity that our Communities have established over the years particularly with our Cooloola partners whom have similar interest and concerns with our community. At present our State Representive visits our area to meet with his constituents and if we call meetings to voice our concerns always makes an effort to attend. This will certainly change if we move to the Noosa Electorate possibly due to: * Distance to travel to Noosa to meet our representative * Distance for the Representive to meet the Rainbow Community * It is a 3.5 hour round trip. Travelling the beach or Cooloola Way is limited to a few due to the necessity of a 4WD. * No direct public transport. Noosa is a distant dream from our Cooloola Coast Region. Even down to our Television broadcasts where the Sunshine Coast does not factor in our local news reports. Viewing the proposed new electoral boundaries leaves me with unresolved understanding how something that works perfectly has to become a complicated mess. Rainbow to Noosa and Tiaro to Gympie!! Our populations are similar Rainbows growth is restricted by surrounding National Park and Native title. Rainbow Beach residents are mystified with these changes leaving us in no-mans land with absolutely no affiliation with Noosa what so ever except Double Island Point where Noosaites travel to on weekend surf. It would seem the decision being considered really defies sensibility. Gympie is our Electorate and where we should remain.

Submission ID: 67222

Time of Submission: 21 Mar 2017 4:05pm

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.214
Online submission for **Kawana**

**Name:** Steven Nousala  
**Address:** 1 Ketch St, Wurtulla

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**
Hi, I object to the relocation of the Kawana electorate boundaries. As I read it the removal of areas such as the Kawana shopping precinct takes away the heart and soul of the Kawana electorate. Surely, this is not the best option available. This option will split areas that are integral to the Kawana community. I strongly object to this proposed redistribution of boundaries. Regards Steven

Submission ID: 67224

Time of Submission: 21 Mar 2017 4:09pm

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.213
Online submission for **Kawana**

**Name:** Bill McDonald  
**Address:** 407 Oceanic Drive South, Wurtulla

**File Upload:** No file uploaded  

**Text:**  
The suburb of Buddina is an integral part of the area long known as "Kawana" and it makes no sense to excise it from the Kawana electorate when there is a practical alternative. The desired rebalancing of the number of electors could largely be achieved by instead transferring Sippy Downs to the Buderim electorate. The Sippy Downs residential area is relatively remote from the rest of the Kawana electorate and is a more natural fit with the adjoining Buderim electorate.

Submission ID: 67225  
Time of Submission: 21 Mar 2017 4:13pm  
Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.213
Online submission for Mcconnel

Name: William ('Bill') McCulloch
Address: 3C/172 Oxlade Drive, New Farm QLD 4005

File Upload: No file uploaded

Text:
As an elector living in Brisbane Central for many years, I believe the name McConnel has no resonance at all for local residents, let alone any meaning. On the other hand, Brisbane Central echoes the history and location of the area, simply and understandably for all, residents or not. I see no benefit in the imposition of a new name foreign to the electors, which will only result in derision by them for such a 'change for the sake of change'. Please don't take this gratuitously backward step.

Submission ID: 67226

Time of Submission: 21 Mar 2017 4:35pm

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.213
Keep Kawana in Kawana.

Sent from my iPad
Online submission for **All Districts**

**Name:** K W Grut  
**Address:** 22 Barbuda Circuit Parrearra. Qld. 4575

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**  
I cannot see any sense it changing the Kawana Electorate to Buderim, it is a totally different area with a different demographic.

Submission ID: 67227  
Time of Submission: 21 Mar 2017 4:41pm  
Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.213
Online submission for **Ninderry, Noosa**

**Name:** Lyn Cooper  
**Address:** 11 Regency Road DOONAN Qld 4562

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**
Yet again we appear to have been victimised for living in a delightful part of the Noosa Hinterland. Not only are we denied being in the Noosa Shire where we truly belong and it is most certainly our community of interest, but are in the forgotten northern reaches of Sunshine Coast. Now we learn that it is proposed that we be moved from the Fairfax Electorate into Ninderry, an area with which we have no connection. And most strangely, the Noosa Electorate has been stretched way North to include Rainbow Beach, which clearly the residents of that area do not want. It is not even easily reached from Noosa, one must travel out to The Bruce Highway, up to Gympie, and then head east to Rainbow Beach. This suggestion could only have been made by those unfamiliar with the area. Obviously the boundaries of Noosa, both the Northern and Southern, should be moved further south, giving the district some logic!

Submission ID: 67228

Time of Submission: 21 Mar 2017 4:41pm

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.214
Online submission for All Districts

**Name:** Maree Ainsworth  
**Address:** 109 Villiers Street New Farm. 4005

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**
I live in Brisbane Central electorate. My federal and council seats also contain the name Brisbane. The name Brisbane Central is particularly sensible and descriptive. McConnel is not recognisable or descriptive of the area. It is difficult enough for the average voter to cope with variations on the system (eg optional preferential, compulsory preferential, Senate voting system etc) without making it even more confusing by naming an electorate with no reference to physical landmarks or districts. Please keep electorate as Brisbane Central.
Online submission for **Kawana**

**Name:** John Greenhalgh  
**Address:** Five Iluka Avenue Buddina

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**
One has been the unofficial plays name for the coastal stretch between Mooloolaba and Caloundra. The area has bore that name since the early 1960s and it is the commonly referred name for the area. Even though there are a number of suburbs in the area they collectively known as Kawana. There are a number of organisations in the area that bear the name. It includes the RSL surf club library shopping centres et cetera. I don't believe that Buderim is the correct name for this location.
Online submission for **Kawana**

**Name:** Saltwater (Qld) Pty Ltd atf The Saltwater Trust  
**Address:** 13 Birubi Street Minyama Q 4575

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**  
I do not support the redistribution. I have numerous reason but in particular i do not support the loss to identity and culture.

Submission ID: 67231

Time of Submission: 21 Mar 2017 4:51pm

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.213
Online submission for **All Districts, Kawana**

**Name:** Ann Stringer  
**Address:** 17 Yaringa Ave, Buddina 475

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**
Since 1959, Kawana has developed into a thriving community. We are serviced by wonderful community organisations including the Kawana Waters RSL Sub-Branch, Kawana Waters Surf Lifesaving Club, Kawana Library, Kawana Scouts, Kawana Community Centre, Kawana Rotary Club, Lake Currimundi-Kawana Lions Club, Kawana Waters Chamber of Commerce, Buddina State School, Minyama Neighbourhood Watch, Kawana Companions, Kawana Seniors and the Kawana Island Residents Association to name but a few. If the draft boundary is finalised in its current form, Kawana will NOT contain the Kawana Shoppingworld, Kawana Scout Group, Kawana Ambulance Station, Kawana Community Centre, Kawana Surf Lifesaving Club and Kawana Waters RSL Sub-Branch, to name but a few. This is ridiculous!

Submission ID: 67232

Time of Submission: 21 Mar 2017 4:52pm

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.214
Online submission for **All Districts**

**Name:** Marilyn Beale  
**Address:** 136/8 Starling St Buderim 4556

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**  
We need to keep our boundaries as we need politicians who have the best interests in our community.

Submission ID: 67233  
Time of Submission: 21 Mar 2017 4:52pm  
Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.213
From: Boundaries
To: qrcsubmissions
Subject: Submission ID: 67234
Date: Tuesday, 21 March 2017 4:53:02 PM

Online submission for Kawana

Name: David Wright
Address: 5 Antigua Ct Parrearra QLD 4575

File Upload: No file uploaded

Text:
I am informed that the QRC plans that a large section of what is known widely on the Sunshine Coast as Kawana be removed out of Kawana electorate as part of a boundary change. I strongly object to this move and hope that it will be re-thought - it seems ridiculous and very unintuitive that so much of Kawana will no longer be part of Kawana Electorate - our residence in Parrearra is thought of by most tradespeople and delivery services as Kawana Island - I think in many ways Parrearra is only known as such to Australia Post. We live in Kawana-wish to remain so and to re-arrange boundaries to remove so much of Kawana makes no sense. I am sure there must be another way for this to be dealt with - and a more logical way please.

Submission ID: 67234
Time of Submission: 21 Mar 2017 4:53pm
Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.214
Online submission for **Kawana**

**Name:** G4 Aust Pty Ltd atf  
**Address:** 13 Birubi Street Minyama Q 4575

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**  
I do not support the redistribution. It will destroy Kawana sense of place, identity and culture.

---

Submission ID: 67235  
Time of Submission: 21 Mar 2017 4:54pm  
Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.214
Online submission for **Kawana**

**Name:** Graham kirk  
**Address:** 23 rosella st parrearra qld 4575  

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**
Kawana suburbs are in the main identified by costal area from Mooloolaba to Caloundra. I don't believe the qrc has taken into consideration of the community ties and interests of the area. I am concerned that moving into the Buderim electorate where they have no cultural or business connection to the area our identity and representation will be lost

Submission ID: 67236  
Time of Submission: 21 Mar 2017 4:54pm  
Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.213
Online submission for **All Districts**

**Name:** Andrew Mason  
**Address:** 11/501 Queen Street Brisbane 4000

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**  
I do not see why the seat of seat of Brisbane Central needs to be changed to McConnell.  
Brisbane Central says exactly where it is right in the middle of Brisbane. What is the rational for suggesting this change, I do not always see eye to eye with Grace Grace but on this matter she deserves the people of Brisbane Central to give her their 100% support.  
Andrew Mason

Submission ID: 67237  
Time of Submission: 21 Mar 2017 4:54pm  
Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.214
Online submission for **Kawana**

**Name:** Tracee Livingstone  
**Address:** 13 Birubi Street Minyama Q 4575  

**File Upload:** No file uploaded  

**Text:**  
I do not support the redistribution. It will destroy the identity and culture of Kawana.

Submission ID: 67238  
Time of Submission: 21 Mar 2017 4:55pm  
Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.213
Online submission for All Districts

Name: Barbara & Edward Wilkes
Address: 7 Curbarra Street Buddina 4575

File Upload: No file uploaded

Text:
We have lived in Kawana Waters since January 1980. I do not like the idea of being in the Buderim electorate as I never goi anywhere near Buderim. My husband and I have been member of Kawana Surf Club for many years. I do not understand why we would need to move into an electorate that is no where near where we live, shop, socialize etc. We do not support the electoral boundary change at all. Barbara and Edward Wilkes

Submission ID: 67240

Time of Submission: 21 Mar 2017 4:55pm

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.214
Online submission for **Kawana**

**Name:** Louise Thomas  
**Address:** 33 Jarrah Street, Minyama Qld 4575  

**File Upload:** No file uploaded  

**Text:**  
We moved to Minyama two years ago to be part of the Kawana community not the Buderim. We hardly ever go north of where we live or use any facilities in the Buderim area. We as a family have no connection with Buderim. We support local business in Kawana. So would like boundaries to stay the same. Yours sincerely Louise and Simon Thomas  

Submission ID: 67241  

Time of Submission: 21 Mar 2017 4:56pm  

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.214
Online submission for **All Districts, Kawana**

**Name:** David Holzgefe  
**Address:** 2 harbour parade. Buddina

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**  
I do not want Buddina as part of buderim. It should remain part of Kawana

---

Submission ID: 67242

Time of Submission: 21 Mar 2017 4:58pm

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.214
Online submission for **Kawana**

**Name:** Lynn Schifilliti  
**Address:** 32 Harbour Pde, Buddina

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**  
I strongly object to electoral boundary changes proposed for the Kawana electorate by the Queensland Redistribution Commission. The community of the close suburbs of Minyama, Buddina and Parrearra (Kawana Island) are far too dynamic, established and different from that of the Buderim electorate. The Kawana and Buderim deserve their own separate electoral representation. The possible merge of the electorates would have catastrophic effects on these two established electorates and will not be tolerated.

Submission ID: 67243

Time of Submission: 21 Mar 2017 4:59pm

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.213
Online submission for All Districts

Name: Anna Wareham
Address: 12 Dunsmore St Kelvin Grove

File Upload: No file uploaded

Text:
Please keep the name of my area to Brisbane Central. I can't even remember what the other name was as it has no relevance at all to where I live. Thank you.

Submission ID: 67244
Time of Submission: 21 Mar 2017 5:06pm
Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.214
Online submission for **Mcconnel**

**Name:** April Lauer  
**Address:** Unit 3104 79 Albert Street Brisbane City 4000

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**
To Whom It May Concern  
Keep Brisbane Central named Brisbane Central. I'm Brisbane born and bred, and the pride I feel about this name was further instilled when I recently spent 14 months living in Canada. Brisbane Central says exactly where the location is - no confusion. Save McConnel for another region in the future. Kind regards April Lauer  
Brisbane Central resident

Submission ID: 67245

Time of Submission: 21 Mar 2017 5:08pm

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.214
Online submission for Kawana

Name: Catherine Mac Millan
Address: 2 Lalwinya St Buddina, Q 4575

File Upload: No file uploaded

Text:
I am amazed that I reside in the first settled areas of Kawana Waters and our home will no longer be in the electorate of Kawana. Please reconsider based on the following. 1. Kawana Waters is an unofficial place name for a coastal stretch of land between Mooloolaba and Caloundra. Our area has been known as Kawana Waters since the early 1960’s and is used by everyone as the commonly referred to name of our area. I don’t believe the QRC has properly taken into consideration the strong communities of interest that are contained in the Kawana Waters region.

2. Within the Kawana Waters area lie the suburbs of Birtinya, Bokarina, Buddina, Minyama, Parrearra, Warana and Wurtulla. Removing Minyama, Buddina and Parrearra from Kawana disconnects the intended grouping of this coastal stretch of suburbs that make up Kawana Waters.

3. One of the connecting features of our community is Kawana Beach, from Point Cartwright to Currimundi Lake, 10 Kilometres of white sand. Since 1959, Kawana has developed into a thriving community. We are serviced by wonderful community organisations including the Kawana Waters RSL Sub-Branch, Kawana Waters Surf Lifesaving Club, Kawana Library, Kawana Scouts, Kawana Community Centre, Kawana Rotary Club, Lake Currimundi-Kawana Lions Club, Kawana Waters Chamber of Commerce, Buddina State School, Minyama Neighbourhood Watch, Kawana Companions, Kawana Seniors and the Kawana Island Residents Association to name but a few. These community organisations have no connection with the Buderim community and may be forced to compete with existing Buderim-based organisations for funding, membership and volunteers. Please don’t move our respected, well used and much loved community organisations to Buderim.

5. I am concerned that by moving Minyama, Buddina and Parrearra into the Buderim electorate, the suburbs and residents will lose true local representation and be forced into an electorate they have no cultural or business connection to. Residents will be forced to drive to the top of Buderim to see their local MP which will result in less engagement between the community and its representative.

6. The electorate of Kawana is predominantly a coastal urban electorate. Buderim falls between the business districts of Maroochydore and Nambour. There is no connection between the coastal urban suburbs of Minyama, Buddina and Parrearra and the Buderim electorate. These coastal-based urban communities are culturally and socially connected with Kawana. Redistributing these Kawana suburbs to Buderim will alienate the residents who strongly rely on Kawana for their work, business, social, educational, medical, community, sport, cultural and shopping needs.

7. Our major shopping and entertainment district is located at the Kawana Shoppingworld and Kawana Waters Hotel which should naturally remain in the Kawana electorate. Kawana Shoppingworld also acts as a major public transport hub, linking directly with the Sunshine Coast University Hospital as well as all other bus routes operating throughout the Kawana electorate.

Submission ID: 67246

Time of Submission: 21 Mar 2017 5:16pm

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.214
Hi there

I have lived in the Kawana electorate for the last 22 years and do not want to be in the proposed Buderim electorate. I don't even go to Buderim.

Please register my desire that the boundary stays as is, and I remain in the Kawana electorate.

Cherrell Picton
410/13 Nicklin Way
Minyama
Online submission for **Kawana**

**Name:** Michael Greeff  
**Address:** 107 Mooloolah drive, Minyama, QLD, 4575

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**
If the draft boundary is finalised in its current form, Kawana will NOT contain the Kawana Shoppingworld, Kawana Scout Group, Kawana Ambulance Station, Kawana Community Centre, Kawana Surf Lifesaving Club and Kawana Waters RSL Sub-Branch, to name but a few. We as a family much prefer having our local Member just down the road, and not up in Buderim. Buderim does not associate at all with our areas in Kawana. As a result, I object to the proposal by the Queensland Redistribution Commission to transfer the suburbs of Minyama, Buddina and Parrearra (Kawana Island) out of Kawana and into the Buderim electorate.

Submission ID: 67247

Time of Submission: 21 Mar 2017 5:21pm

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.213
Online submission for **Kawana**

**Name:** Peter Spooner  
**Address:** 12 Mooloolaba Drive Minyama 4575

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**
We enjoy our local representation in Kawana and the progressive attitude for the area. We feel we would lose this representation if we became part of Buderim as we believe Buderim is not a progressive area for areas outside Buderim.

Submission ID: 67248

Time of Submission: 21 Mar 2017 5:26pm

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.213
We object to the proposed boundary changes that will place Kawana into the Buderim electorate.

Rod and Judy Ashlin
From: Ronald McCallum
To: Boundaries
Subject: New boundaries
Date: Tuesday, 21 March 2017 5:27:11 PM

Not happy with the proposal do not change the boundaries
Regards

Sent from George McCallum's iPhone.

A.D. McCallum & Son
1A Ti-Tree Place
Wilberforce 2756
NSW Australia

This email is confidential. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify us immediately and be aware that any disclosure, copying, distribution or use of the contents of this information is prohibited.
Online submission for **Kawana**

**Name:** Belinda McCulloch  
**Address:** 9 Arunta Street, Buddina 4575

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**
This is ridiculous, not to have Kawana shopping world and Kawana scouts or the Kawana surf club in the Kawana zone. We live in Kawana and DO NOT want to go to Buderim to talk to our local member.
Online submission for **Kawana**

**Name:** Raymond Reid  
**Address:** 407/13 Nicklin Way Minyama. 4575 Queensland

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**
Dear Commission, We, (my wife and I) wish to lodge our objection to the proposed changes to the State Electoral Boundary. WE are very happy with the way it is. Regards, Raymond and RoseMarie Reid

Submission ID: 67250

Time of Submission: 21 Mar 2017 5:37pm

Submission IP Address: 52.59.253.145
Online submission for **All Districts**

**Name:** John Marc  
**Address:** 3 Point Cartwright Drive Buddina Qld

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**  
I strongly disagree with the proposed changes to the electoral boundary. Just leave it as it currently is.

Submission ID: 67251

Time of Submission: 21 Mar 2017 5:39pm

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.213
Online submission for **Kawana**

**Name:** Julie Fitzsimmons  
**Address:** 13 Adaluma Avenue Buddina Q 4575

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**

I am lodging a personal submission to OBJECT to the electoral boundary changes proposed for the Kawana electorate by the Queensland Redistribution Commission. Minyama, Buddina and Kawana Island share very few commonalities with Buderim. At the simplest level...we are beach suburbs and Buderim is a garden suburb. Beyond that Kawana is a community with a shared culture which has existed and grown for many years. As a resident of Buddina, I see myself as part of that Kawana community and live my life as a member of the Kawana community. I rarely visit Buderim, and if so it is usually for the purpose of the private hospital. Now that we have our own hospital, that will become irrelevant. I don't believe that any politician can ride "two circus ponies at once" and Buderim and Kawana are definitely two different communities. The local Kawana politician has an understanding of our community and culture.....I would not expect that someone with responsibilities would have that understanding or would easily adapt to managing the issues of the two well. Ask any Kawana resident whether they think of themselves as same or different from Buderim, and the answer will overwhelmingly be "different". I do not know the reasons for the proposed change, but looking at the map of the existing and proposed boundaries, shifting the three affected suburbs looks like an "afterthought ....probably about resident numbers", but look at our location, it looks like you want to take one piece of the jigsaw puzzle and hide it. I am sure our needs will be neglected if you proceed with this plan! No changes please.
Online submission for **Kawana**

**Name:** Cheryl Sipthorp  
**Address:** 18 Harbour Pde Buddina 4575  

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**
I object to Kawana suburbs being moved to Buderim!! By moving Buddina up the hill to Buderim electorate we will lose our wonderful community spirit. Kawana is identified by its fabulous beaches and thriving population we have no connection to Buderim ad therefore do not want the electorate to be moved. Please leave well alone we are a growing happy community and do not want to drive up the hill to Buderim to speak to our local MP!! Most in convenient. Leave our boundary where it is and has been for many years.
Online submission for **Kawana**

**Name:** Anthony Edwards  
**Address:** 13 Cayman Place, Parrearra, 4575

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**  
I do not agree with the Redistribution Commission to transfer the suburbs of Minyama, Buddina and Parrearra (Kawana Island) out of Kawana and into the Buderim electorate. Due to reduced representation. Best Regards Anthony Edwards

Submission ID: 67254

Time of Submission: 21 Mar 2017 5:46pm

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.213
Online submission for **Ninderry, Noosa**

**Name:** Barry and Wendy Meek  
**Address:** 2 Charlotte Drive

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**
We live Weyba Downs on Lake Weyba, a part of the Noosa river system. We strongly oppose the new State electoral boundaries. Geographically, economically and socially we identify with Noosa and conduct all our business and lifestyle needs within this region. It is totally our logical community of interest. Over 90% of Weyba Downs residents wish to be associated with the Noosa council, this has been documented. (see EDV survey) We have absolutely no identification with the Sunshine Coast council or any of the new proposed Ninderry electorate. Please amend these borders according to our regional interest and needs.

Submission ID: 67255

Time of Submission: 21 Mar 2017 6:05pm

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.214
Online submission for **Kawana**

**Name:** LEIGH SLADE  
**Address:** 26 AROONA AVE BUDDINA

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**
Kawana Waters is an unofficial place name for a coastal stretch of land between Mooloolaba and Caloundra. Our area has been known as Kawana Waters since the early 1960’s and is used by everyone as the commonly referred to name of our area. I don’t believe the QRC has properly taken into consideration the strong communities of interest that are contained in the Kawana Waters region. 2. Within the Kawana Waters area lie the suburbs of Birtinya, Bokarina, Buddina, Minyama, Parrearra, Warana and Wurtulla. Removing Minyama, Buddina and Parrearra from Kawana disconnects the intended grouping of this coastal stretch of suburbs that make up Kawana Waters. 3. One of the connecting features of our community is Kawana Beach, from Point Cartwright to Currimundi Lake, 10 Kilometres of white sand 4. Since 1959, Kawana has developed into a thriving community. We are serviced by wonderful community organisations including the Kawana Waters RSL Sub-Branch, Kawana Waters Surf Lifesaving Club, Kawana Library, Kawana Scouts, Kawana Community Centre, Kawana Rotary Club, Lake Currimundi-Kawana Lions Club, Kawana Waters Chamber of Commerce, Buddina State School, Minyama Neighbourhood Watch, Kawana Companions, Kawana Seniors and the Kawana Island Residents Association to name but a few. These community organisations have no connection with the Buderim community and may be forced to compete with existing Buderim-based organisations for funding, membership and volunteers. Please don’t move our respected, well used and much loved community organisations to Buderim. 5. I am concerned that by moving Minyama, Buddina and Parrearra into the Buderim electorate, the suburbs and residents will lose true local representation and be forced into an electorate they have no cultural or business connection to. Residents will be forced to drive to the top of Buderim to see their local MP which will result in less engagement between the community and its representative. 6. The electorate of Kawana is predominantly a coastal urban electorate. Buderim falls between the business districts of Maroochydore and Nambour. There is no connection between the coastal urban suburbs of Minyama, Buddina and Parrearra and the Buderim electorate. These coastal-based urban communities are culturally and socially connected with Kawana. Redistributing these Kawana suburbs to Buderim will alienate the residents who strongly rely on Kawana for their work, business, social, educational, medical, community, sport, cultural and shopping needs. 7. Our major shopping and entertainment district is located at the Kawana Shoppingworld and Kawana Waters Hotel which should naturally remain in the Kawana electorate. Kawana Shoppingworld also acts as a major public transport hub, linking directly with the Sunshine Coast University Hospital as well as all other bus routes operating throughout the Kawana electorate.

Submission ID: 67256

Time of Submission: 21 Mar 2017 6:05pm

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.213
Online submission for Mcconnel

**Name:** Irina Kahler  
**Address:** 347/26 Felix Street Brisbane 4000

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**  
I would like our district name to remain Brisbane Central as it better reflects geographical area of the electoral district. The current name signifies the central electorate of our capital city and our state. It also emphasises that the area is the central business district and entertainment area. Even though Mcconnel is a worthy name, Brisbane is just as worthy a name derived from a person linked to our cities history.

Submission ID: 67257  
Time of Submission: 21 Mar 2017 6:11pm  
Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.214
Dear Sir/Madam,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the proposed changes to the Kawana electorate boundary. Of particular concern to me is your decision to remove the suburbs of Minyama, Buddina an Parrearra from the Kawana Electorate and transfer these communities into the Buderim Electorate.

May I respectfully draw to your attention the following points of concern:

1) There are thousands of retirees living in private accommodation, units or retirement villages, in the suburbs due for placement in Buderim Electorate.
2) Your proposed changes to Kawana Electorate will permanently oblige these constituents to travel to Buderim to see their State representative.
3) Already the road infrastructure is carrying its capacity of traffic.
4) Within the next eight weeks the much anticipated Kawana hospitality is due to open. This increased aggregation of thousands of motor vehicles, is going to impact adversely on already crowded roads.
5) The elderly, the infirm, the vulnerable are going to have to battle this melee of metal in order to travel to Buderim to see their State Member.
6) Sir/Madam I am sure that your mathematical calculations, follow the directives of this redistribution, but in their present form they ignore, exploit, and with pain and uncertainty travail upon the most vulnerable members of our coastal community.

I ask you to further exercise your discretion as to where the electorate of Kawana should be excised. The further away from the Kawana Hospital and, our vulnerable aged, the better.

I thank you for your consideration of my request,
I remain, most respectfully,
Yours faithfully,
Robin Priebe
Online submission for **All Districts, Mcconnel**

**Name:** Yvonne Li  
**Address:** 42 Mornington St Red hill 4059

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**  
Please keep Brisbane Central's name. Do not change it to an obscure name with little relevance. The name Brisbane Central is rich with culture and meaning.

Submission ID: 67258

Time of Submission: 21 Mar 2017 6:22pm

Submission IP Address: 185.64.253.3
Online submission for **Kawana**

**Name:** Warren Blee  
**Address:** "Moorea" 5 Bangalow Street, MINYAMA 4575

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**  
The proposal to remove the north eastern suburbs of the Kawana electorate ignores the strong community of interest of the region which is historically and geographically linked to the rest of the coastal strip. Buderim is not only culturally different from the coastal strip, but is also separated by both geography and transport from the northern end of Kawana, and has few areas of interest in common with the coastal strip. I cannot understand what benefit the residents of Kawana will receive from being attached to Buderim. If Kawana has grown too large it would be better to shave some population from the western or southern part of the electorate. I therefore object strongly to the north eastern Kawana electorate being attached to the Buderim electorate.

---

Submission ID: 67259

Time of Submission: 21 Mar 2017 6:25pm

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.214
Dear Sir or Madam,

I would like to express my opposition to the proposed change to my electoral bounday.

I live in Kawana Island and consider it ridiculous that my local member will be working out of Buderim. Someone really needs to have a rethink. It makes no sense.

Regards,

Neale and Terri Johnson

13 Easter St, Parrearra, QLD 4575
To The Queensland Redistribution Commission,

I am a resident at 6 Antigua Court, Parrearra, Q 4575. Please accept my submissions to the Queensland Redistribution Commission – I DO NOT think it is fair to extract Buddina and Parrearra (Kawana Island) out of Kawana electorate.

It is not fair, not reasonable and simply does not make sense to annex off the Kawana Shoppingworld, Kawana Scout Group, Kawana Ambulance Station, Kawana Community Centre, Kawana Surf Lifesaving Club from the electorate.

Please accept my strong objection.

Regards,

Mark Paddenburg - CEO
Innovation Centre Sunshine Coast
An Ideal Place To Build A Smart Business

e: mark@innovationcentre.com.au  t: +61 7 5450 2600  w: www.innovationcentre.com.au
twitter: @innovationcentre  facebook: InnovationCentreSunshineCoast  Skype:mark.paddenburg
a: Innovation Centre Sunshine Coast, 90 Sippy Downs Drive, Sippy Downs Qld 4556

Innovation Centre Sunshine Coast Pty Ltd is a wholly owned company of the University of the Sunshine Coast. This email and any attachments to it may be confidential and are intended solely for the use of the individual to whom it is addressed. Any views or opinions expressed are solely those of the author and do not necessarily represent those of Innovation Centre Sunshine Coast Pty Ltd. If you are not the intended recipient of this email, you must neither take any action based upon its contents, nor copy or show it to anyone. Please contact the sender if you believe you have received this email in error.
**KEEP KAWANA IN KAWANA**

**WILL MY OBJECTION BE LISTENED TO?**
The commission is obligated by law to consider specific matters when making a decision about electoral boundaries. Those matters effectively guide the grounds on which you can object or lend support to a boundary change.

**WHAT DO I SAY?**
Below is a list of points you may like to use in your submission to the Commission objecting to Kawana suburbs being moved from Kawana to Buderim. Whilst these are some examples, you are encouraged to use your own words and your own combination of these or other points to articulate your thoughts.

**LIST OF POSSIBLE ARGUMENTS AGAINST REMOVING THE SUBURBS OF MINYAMA, BUDDINA & PARREARRA FROM KAWANA**

1. Kawana Waters is an unofficial place name for a coastal stretch of land between Mooloolaba and Caloundra. Our area has been known as Kawana Waters since the early 1960’s and is used by everyone as the commonly referred to name of our area. I don’t believe the QRC has properly taken into consideration the strong communities of interest that are contained in the Kawana Waters region.

2. Within the Kawana Waters area lie the suburbs of Birtinya, Bokarina, Buddina, Minyama, Parrearra, Warana and Wurtulla. Removing Minyama, Buddina and Parrearra from Kawana disconnects the intended grouping of this coastal stretch of suburbs that make up Kawana Waters.

3. One of the connecting features of our community is Kawana Beach, from Point Cartwright to Currimundi Lake, 10 Kilometres of white sand.

4. Since 1959, Kawana has developed into a thriving community. We are serviced by wonderful community organisations including the Kawana Waters RSL Sub-Branch, Kawana Waters Surf Lifesaving Club, Kawana Library, Kawana Scouts, Kawana Community Centre, Kawana Rotary Club, Lake Currimundi-Kawana Lions Club, Kawana Waters Chamber of Commerce, Buddina State School, Minyama Neighbourhood Watch, Kawana Companions, Kawana Seniors and the Kawana Island Residents Association to name but a few. These community organisations have no connection with the Buderim community and may be forced to compete with existing Buderim-based organisations for funding, membership and volunteers. Please don’t move our respected, well used and much loved community organisations to Buderim.

5. I am concerned that by moving Minyama, Buddina and Parrearra into the Buderim electorate, the suburbs and residents will lose true local representation and be forced into an electorate they have no cultural or business connection to. Residents will be forced to drive to the top of Buderim to see their local MP which will result in less engagement between the community and its representative.

6. The electorate of Kawana is predominantly a coastal urban electorate. Buderim falls between the business districts of Maroochydore and Nambour. There is no connection between the coastal urban suburbs of Minyama, Buddina and Parrearra and the Buderim electorate. These coastal-based urban communities are culturally and socially connected with Kawana. Redistributing these Kawana suburbs to Buderim will alienate the residents who strongly rely on Kawana for their work, business, social, educational, medical, community, sport, cultural and shopping needs.

7. Our major shopping and entertainment district is located at the Kawana Shoppingworld and Kawana Waters Hotel which should naturally remain in the Kawana electorate. Kawana Shoppingworld also acts as a major public transport hub, linking directly with the Sunshine Coast University Hospital as well as all other bus routes operating throughout the Kawana electorate.
CURRENT KAWANA BOUNDARY

Includes Minyama, Buddina and Parrearra suburbs in the north-east of the electorate.

PROPOSED KAWANA BOUNDARY

Transfers Minyama, Buddina and Parrearra suburbs to the Buderim electorate.
Online submission for **Kawana**

**Name:** Barbara Horton  
**Address:** 9 Zanzibar Court Parrearra Qld 4575

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**  
Please do not take Parrearra or Buddina from the Kawana electorate because our major shopping & entertainment district is located at Kawana Shoppingworld & Kawana Waters Hotel which should naturally remain in Kawana electorate. Kawana Shoppingworld also acts as a major Public Transport Hub, linking directly with The Sunshine Coast University Hospital as well as other bus routes operating throughout the Kawana Electorate.

---

Submission ID: 67260

Time of Submission: 21 Mar 2017 6:35pm

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.213
Online submission for **Mcconnel**

**Name:** Michelle Doidge  
**Address:** 48 Tait Street Kelvin Grove QLD 4059

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**
I would like to register my objection to the name change of Brisbane Central electorate to Mcconnel. The name Brisbane Central is descriptive of the area and the constituents are proud of living in the Brisbane central area. Changing of the name is unnecessary and confusing due to the small change that is occurring to the boundary.

Submission ID: 67261

Time of Submission: 21 Mar 2017 6:35pm

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.213
Online submission for Mcconnel

Name: Donald Harrison
Address: 76/35 Howard street Brisbane City 4000

File Upload: No file uploaded

Text:
My wife and I have been living in Brisbane central, for many years, and we object to the name change to Mcconnel. Brisbane central is the perfect name as we are in Brisbane central, and can see no logical reason to change it now. Therefore we object to the change

Don Harrison Florence Sung Brisbane City

Submission ID: 67262
Time of Submission: 21 Mar 2017 6:47pm
Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.214
Online submission for **Kawana**

**Name:** Dympna Hurley  
**Address:** 11 Harbour Parade Buddina 4575

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**
Please reconsider the electoral boundaries moving Buddina from the Kawana electorate to Buderim. The Kawana member's office has been @ Minyama for years, where he is easily accessible + Buderim has different needs to the coast areas

---

Submission ID: 67263  
Time of Submission: 21 Mar 2017 7:01pm  
Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.214
Online submission for Noosa

Name: Adam Hertelendy
Address: 105 Marnie Crescent Doonan

File Upload: No file uploaded

Text:
The boundaries for Noosa and Maroochydore (Sunshine Coast Council) are ill-conceived, impractical and unrealistic. The lives and interests of the people living in Doonan, Eumundi and Verrierdale are completely directed to Noosa. It is therefore quite obvious that these communities should belong to Noosa Council, NOT to the Sunshine Coast Council in Maroochydore/Nambour. May a minimum of common sense prevail!

Submission ID: 67264
Time of Submission: 21 Mar 2017 7:26pm
Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.213
Online submission for **Kawana**

**Name:** Beverley Harrison  
**Address:** 15 Pandanus Crt Minyama 4575

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**
I wish to lodge an objection to the proposed northern boundary realignment of our Kawana electorate. When we moved to Kawana over 20 yrs ago, it was a new region without a heart. Since then our community has developed mainly around the Kawana Shopping town and the Council Community Centre incorporating the library but also including many other local community facilities. We have seen the Kawana Surf Life Saving Club, Kawana Ambulance Station, Kawana Scouts, Kawana RSL all grow and centre around the Kawana top end and develop our area into a close knit community to live in. The proposal to cut this Kawana heart out of our electorate and attach it to the Buderim electorate is ridiculous. We are a new beach side/canal side community with most of the local community activities centred around the water and we have little or no connection with the Buderim area. Take a look at the Buderim Community groups which bear no resemblance to that of Kawana, Warana, Parrearra or Bokarina. Our lifestyles are completely different and we would be expected to try and form a common interest if we were amalgamated with Buderim. I often have cause to visit my local Member about local issues and don't have any confidence in solving local issues with a politician out side of my community of interest. Thank You

Submission ID: 67265

Time of Submission: 21 Mar 2017 7:28pm

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.214
Online submission for **Kawana**

**Name:** Peter John Harvey  
**Address:** 27 Coonawa Street, Buddina QLD 4575

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**
We are business owners in Buddina. Our business name is Boss Kawana. Are we expected to change our business name to Boss Buderim. That is wrong. That is not our only problem we live in Kawana so we should stay within the Kawana boundaries. In our opinion the change would be one of the most senile decisions that anyone could make. KEEP KAWANA ELECTORATE IN KAWANA ANYTHING ELSE WOULD BE OUTRAGEOUS. Concerned Kawana residences, Peter and Janice Harvey.

Submission ID: 67266

Time of Submission: 21 Mar 2017 7:30pm

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.213
Online submission for **Kawana**

**Name:** Murray Hayes  
**Address:** 29 Harbour Pde BUDDINA 4575

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**
What madness is this to move the beach side suburbs of Northern Kawana to Buderim? Why would you break up a continuous stretch of beach in to different electorates? The beach side communities are greatly different from those up the hill and I certainly do not want to have to travel up the hill to visit my local member. I am a member of Kawana Surf Club which would no longer be in the electorate of Kawana, nor would Kawana Shopping World, the Kawana Pub, Kawana Library, etc. Madness I say....

Submission ID: 67267

Time of Submission: 21 Mar 2017 7:33pm

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.213
Online submission for **Kawana**

**Name:** Jacinta Sullivan  
**Address:** 2 Madagascar Court, Parrearra QLD 4575  
**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**  
Kawana has developed into a thriving community. We have many great community organisations, many of which have no connection with the Buderim community. As a resident of Kawana Island (Parrearra) I am concerned that if we are moved into the Buderim electorate that our Kawana Island Residents Association, Kawana Rotary and Lions Clubs may be forced to compete with existing Buderim based organisations for funding, membership and volunteers. Our electorate of Kawana is predominately a coastal urban electorate. I feel there is no connection between our coastal suburbs and the Buderim electorate. Our coastal based urban communities are culturally and socially connected with Kawana. As a resident I don't want to be alienated. I strongly rely on Kawana for medical, community, sport and shopping needs.

Submission ID: 67268  
Time of Submission: 21 Mar 2017 7:36pm  
Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.213
Online submission for Mcconnel

Name: Andrew Curthoys
Address: 21 Mark Street, Newmarket, QLD 4051

File Upload: No file uploaded

Text:
Dear Commissioners, I believe that the proposed name for the existing State electorate of Brisbane Central - Mcconnel - should not be progressed. I believe that Brisbane Central is an appropriate name and is well recognised across Queensland. I would urge you to reconsider the renaming of Brisbane Central to Mcconnel. It has served the community well and there is no need to change the electorate name. Regards Andrew Curthoys

Submission ID: 67269

Time of Submission: 21 Mar 2017 8:01pm

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.214
Online submission for Kawana

Name: Anthony Bansemer
Address: 12 St Lucia Cres Parrearra Old

File Upload: No file uploaded

Text:
Myself and wife moved to purchased property in Minyama in 1976 and have lived on the coastal strip ever since. We have owned/resided in Buddina and presently reside at Parrearra. We came to the Sunshine Coast to live on the coastal strip, we have no association or interest in Budderim and the rural hinterland and feel that the change to the electoral boundaries, we will no longer be represented, or have the ability to have our interest heard, as we will be in a minority situation with our concerns compared to mainstream. The Coastal strip/Waterfront properties have unique situations that would not be addressed by a rural electorate. We Strongly dissagree with the realignment, we are Kawana residents and wish to remain in the Kawana Electorate. regards Anthony & Sandra Bansemer

Submission ID: 67270

Time of Submission: 21 Mar 2017 8:04pm

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.213
Online submission for **Kawana**

**Name:** JACQUI Wilson  
**Address:** 3/10 Alkira St, Buddina

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**
To whom it may concern, I am writing to you in regards to the alarming proposition to change the electoral boundary of Minyama, Buddina and Parrearra. As a resident of Buddina, I find this alarming because the community links I have and local resources that I use are in no way connected to the Buderim community. I live in Kawana, I work in Kawana and I am an active community member of Kawana. I utilise services such as the Surf Lifesaving Club, the Kawana library, Kawana parkrun, the Kawana community garden and Kawana Shopping World, to name but a few. I am concerned for these services and others as they may be likely to compete with similar services in Buderim for funding, membership and recognition. I find it completely nonsensical to remove these suburbs from an area they are strongly connected. Forcing Minyama, Buddina and Parrearra into the Buderim electorate will certainly create disengagement, dissatisfaction and inadequate community representation. I strongly urge you to reconsider this unreasonable and absurd boundary change. Your Sincerely, Jacqui Wilson

Submission ID: 67271  
Time of Submission: 21 Mar 2017 8:07pm  
Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.214
Online submission for Mcconnel

Name: Graeme Mackenzie  
Address: 6 merthyr rd New farm q 4005

File Upload: No file uploaded

Text:
Please leave Brisbane Central electoral district as is.

Submission ID: 67272
Time of Submission: 21 Mar 2017 8:07pm
Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.213
Online submission for Mcconnel

Name: John Healy
Address: 1403/30 Festival Place Newstead 4006

File Upload: No file uploaded

Text:
I live in Brisbane Central because that is what it is - Brisbane Central. An arbitrary change name to something that no-one recognises is an insult. Shouldn't there at least be some sort of consultative process before this change is inflicted on the unsuspecting residents of this area? I don't think that the people responsible have the courage to do so!
Online submission for **Mcconnel**

**Name:** Dr David Pyle  
**Address:** 47 Normanby Terrace Kelvin Grove  

**File Upload:** No file uploaded  

**Text:**
Hi. Can you please leave the name Brisbane Central as is. It's quite descriptive, and has a sense of place about it... whereas McConnel means nothing to us residents. Thanks!

---

Submission ID: 67274  
Time of Submission: 21 Mar 2017 8:13pm  
Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.214
Online submission for All Districts

Name: Arvind Chovatia
Address: 14/454 upper edward st Spring Hill Qld 4000

File Upload: No file uploaded

Text:
Brisbane central makes sense. Keep it as is.

Submission ID: 67275
Time of Submission: 21 Mar 2017 8:13pm
Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.213
Online submission for **Kawana**

**Name:** ANastasia kollis  
**Address:** 3 mungala street, Buddina

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**
I can not see why or how this split would benenfit, leave Buddina within Kawana that way it is! Kawana shopping world should obviously be in the Kawana precinct! How about save your pennies for infrastructure and updating our park like Kevin Asmus!!!
Please note that I live in Minyma about 200 metres from the elected Kawana state representative. I note that I would be placed in a new electorate of Buderim under the redistribution. I don't know how this can be right since I am not living in Buderim so there must be some mistake.

Can you please advise?

Kind regards,
Adrian Welbourne
13 Nicklin Way Minyama
Online submission for **All Districts**

**Name:** Geoffrey Crittenden  
**Address:** 1 Hetherington St Herston 4006

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**  
Re proposed change of electorate name **Do not change Brisbane Central**

Submission ID: 67277  
Time of Submission: 21 Mar 2017 8:47pm  
Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.213
Online submission for **Kawana**

**Name:** Pamela Coogan  
**Address:** 90/239 Kawana Way, Parrearra.4575  

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**  
Removal of Parrearra, Minyama and Buddina from Kawana to Buderim electorate is a bad idea and makes no sense. There will be disruption of the strong communities of interest in the area e.g. Kawana Waters RSL Sub-Branch, Kawana Waters Surf Lifesaving Club, Kawana Library, Kawana Scouts, Kawana Community Centre to name just a few. Funding, volunteers and membership of these may be affected due to competition from organizations already based in Buderim. Kawana Shoppingworld and Kawana Waters Hotel are our major shopping and entertainment centres and also a major transport centre and removal of those to the Buderim electorate is something Buderim does not need nor is there any connection between the mostly coastal suburbs of Minyama, Buddina and Parrearra and the Buderim electorate, either culturally or socially. Residents of the aforementioned suburbs will have to drive to the top of Buderim to see their local MP and this may result in less connection between the community and its representative.

Submission ID: 67278  
Time of Submission: 21 Mar 2017 9:00pm  
Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.214
Online submission for **Scenic Rim**

**Name:** Brendan Dever  
**Address:** 13 Albert St Beaudesert Q 4285

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**
To the Electoral Commissioner of Queensland Dear Mr van der Merwe, I wish to lodge an objection to the name proposed for the redistributed electorate - Scenic Rim. The reasons for my objection are:  - There is an ongoing potential for confusion of a State Electorate named Scenic Rim along with its associated boundaries with the existing Local Government area - the Scenic Rim Regional Council.  - The name denies we locals the opportunity to honour the memory of an individual or family who has made a significant contribution to this part of Queensland. There must be many individuals and families worthy of such an honour. Following is my nomination: Westray To recognize Jim G Westray whose story is well known to many in the electorate. He was a young 25 year old Englishman travelling from Brisbane to Sydney on the Airlines of Australia Stinson airliner which crashed high in the Lamington Plateau rainforest in the southern part of the Electorate 80 years ago on Friday 19 February 1937. The two pilots and two of the five passengers died in the crash. Westray survived along with passengers Joseph Binstead and John Proud who had suffered a broken leg. After reviewing the situation with his fellow survivors, Westray volunteered to set off down the mountain on the morning after the crash to find help, leaving Binstead to care for Proud. They had no food - Binstead made daily excursions down the Christmas Creek to collect water in a small thermos flask. The search for the doomed plane had concentrated in New South Wales following erroneous reports of sightings to the south of Lismore. Proud and Binstead were not found until 10 days after the crash, on Sunday 1 March 1937, by Bernard O'Reilly, another local legend. Westray did not survive his attempt to summon help. His body was found on the banks of Christmas Creek within a few kilometres of the crash site. He died from injuries sustained in a fall over a nearby cliff. I believe that naming the electorate in his memory would be a fitting tribute to the man and his deeds. Yours faithfully, Brendan Dever Beaudesert 21 March 2017 For the record - I was born in Beaudesert in 1953. I have visited Westray's Grave and the Stinson crash site.

Submission ID: 67279  
Time of Submission: 21 Mar 2017 9:23pm

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.213
Online submission for **Kawana**

**Name:** Louise Nousala  
**Address:** 1 Ketch Street Wurtulla 4575

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**
The electorate of Kawana is predominantly a coastal urban electorate. Buderim falls between the business districts of Maroochydore and Nambour. There is no connection between the coastal urban suburbs of Minyama, Buddina and Parrearra and the Buderim electorate. These coastal-based urban communities are culturally and socially connected with Kawana. Redistributing these Kawana suburbs to Buderim will alienate the residents who strongly rely on Kawana for their work, business, social, educational, medical, community, sport, cultural and shopping needs. Kawana Waters is an unofficial place name for a coastal stretch of land between Mooloolaba and Caloundra. Our area has been known as Kawana Waters since the early 1960’s and is used by everyone as the commonly referred to name of our area. I don’t believe the QRC has properly taken into consideration the strong communities of interest that are contained in the Kawana Waters region.

Submission ID: 67280

Time of Submission: 21 Mar 2017 10:02pm

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.214
Online submission for Mcconnel

Name: Brian Mangham
Address: 6403/501 Adelaide Street

File Upload: No file uploaded

Text:
It really is hard to know where to start with objecting to such stupid proposals as changing the name of Brisbane Central to Mcconnel. Honestly, it's as though the people involved in this have nothing better to do with their time or the rate payer's money. I guess that the time being spent on the whole thing is excessive and even that additional people have had to be recruited to handle the changes. Perhaps these time resources could have been directed towards helping the homeless around the Central Brisbane area, family violence problems etc. The financial costs involved in this are no doubt enormous as well. Changes to web-sites, new stationary and printing, new business cards for all those who work for the council in this area, publicity to tell everyone about it, no doubt some launch parties and so on... I can't believe that this is so important to the people that are promoting this whole thing. If a new area is being created in the restructuring and a name has to be given to it then yes, it has to have a name, so choose one. But, perhaps for those areas that a name needs to be found for, the choice could relate to where they actually are located, than named after people who may have served the community in the past and no doubt been paid for it. Brisbane Central is Brisbane Central. Brisbane East is Brisbane East and so on and every attempt should be made to keep the name relevant to the locality to make life easier for the people who actually live there. This nonsense of giving glory to past servants of the State or City is ridiculous. Where will it end? This whole thing shows a considerable lack of judgement by decision makers as to what is actually important to local people. This is not some sort of game, but a serious move forward in extending the democratic rights of the people in the restructuring of the voting areas. The process is being spoiled by the childishness of those who actually want to do something as unnecessary as changing the name of an existing area from what it is, Brisbane Central, to something different Please show some common sense and scrap this whole name changing proposal Brian Mangham

Submission ID: 67281

Time of Submission: 21 Mar 2017 10:03pm

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.213
The purposed new electoral boundaries are going to split our community and make for a little more angst among our residents. Please keep Kawana united.

XBev
Online submission for Springwood

Name: Kerry Anderson  
Address: 21 Boscawen Street Rochedale South

File Upload: No file uploaded

Text:
I have been advised that the Queensland Redistribution Commission's proposed boundaries has moved a small part of Rochedale South into the Waterford Electorate. I have been advised that these boundaries are just proposals at this stage. I am highly concerned about being annexed out of Rochedale South and leaving the Springwood community with its premium schools, services and facilities. I am a staunch supporter of Mick De Brenni in his campaign for the Springwood Electorate and I want to enjoy the benefit of the party's hard work and plans for the electorate. I live in the area of Rochedale South where the electoral boundary changes are proposed. I believe cutting my home out of the (State) Springwood electorate and including me into the Waterford Electorate with suburbs west of the Pacific Motorway with much lower valuations, would raise insurance premiums and heavily impact on the value of my home. I highly object to cutting this small area of Rochedale South from the rest of the Springwood Electorate.

Submission ID: 67282

Time of Submission: 21 Mar 2017 10:19pm

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.214
Online submission for Kawana

**Name:** Debra stephens  
**Address:** 18/8 Pacific Boulevard BUDDINA QLD 4575

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**  
Do not want to change.

Submission ID: 67283  
Time of Submission: 21 Mar 2017 11:01pm  
Submission IP Address: 119.9.75.229
Online submission for **Kawana**

**Name:** Darren Wallis  
**Address:** 5 Cypress Court, Minyama

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**  
The proposed redistribution of Kawana is crazy - it means half of kawana is no longer in the kawana district.

Submission ID: 67284

Time of Submission: 21 Mar 2017 11:09pm

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.214
Online submission for **Kawana**

**Name:** Helen Andrews  
**Address:** 1/89 Iluka ave Buddina Q 4575

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**
I would like to oppose redistribution of Buddina, Minyama and Parreara to the Buderim electorate. We are a coastal community we should not be influenced by a representative who covers the area up the hill at Buderim which is a totally different demographic and culture. It is ludicrous to think that we would want to separate our issues from the coastal strip that has always been known as Kawana. why cause this confusion and misrepresentation to the public . I would not want to listen to a representative that governs such diverse areas this does not have the voting publics best interest at heart ! Wise up to this please don't ruin our area with poor decision making
Online submission for All Districts

Name: Judy McKenzie
Address: 20/42 Chermside Street, Teneriffe

File Upload: No file uploaded

Text:
I submit that the name of Brisbane Central Ward not be changed, ie, leave the name as Brisbane Central.

Submission ID: 67286
Time of Submission: 21 Mar 2017 11:43pm
Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.213
Dear Queensland Redistribution Commission

I wish to lodge my objection to the communities of Miles and Chinchilla being placed in the Electorate of Callide. We simply do not have a community of interest to the north.

Miles and Chinchilla are in the Surat Basin and have little community of interest with so far to the north as we are situated in a different resource basin, catchment, local government area and state department regional boundaries, that run in an east west from Brisbane, Toowoomba and Ipswich. To travel from Miles or Chinchilla to the north of Callide under the current proposal one has to drive through either Taraoom or Dalby as there is no direct road connection.

I suggest that the 8728 voters that have been taken from the Condamine Electorate into Warrego be placed in the Callide Electorate. This would enable the Callide Electorate to have a north south community of interest along the Dalby Jandowea Mundubbera Durong Road. Miles and Chinchilla (7448 voters) and possibly areas from Wandoan and Taraoom (to make up the numbers) then be located into Warrego where there is community of interest, transport and commerce links.

The clear community of interest on the Western Downs (ie Miles and Chinchilla) is in an east west direction and follows the Warrego Highway.

I strongly urge the Redistribution Commission to reconsider the draft boundaries and follow the east west transport routes for Warrego and the north south transport routes for Callide.

I acknowledge that my submission, including any personal and/or identifying information provided, will be published in its entirety and made available for public inspection.

Yours faithfully

Signature
Dear Queensland Redistribution Commission

I wish to lodge my objection to the communities of Miles and Chinchilla being placed in the Electorate of Callide. We simply do not have a community of interest to the north.

Miles and Chinchilla are in the Surat Basin and have little community of interest with so far to the north as we are situated in a different resource basin, catchment, local government area and state department regional boundaries, that run in an east west from Brisbane, Toowoomba and Ipswich. To travel from Miles or Chinchilla to the north of Callide under the current proposal one has to drive through either Taroom or Dalby as there is no direct road connection.

I suggest that the 8728 voters that have been taken from the Condamine Electorate into Warrego be placed in the Callide Electorate. This would enable the Callide Electorate to have a north south community of interest along the Dalby Jandowea Mundubbera Durong Road. Miles and Chinchilla (7448 voters) and possibly areas from Wandoan and Taroom (to make up the numbers) then be located into Warrego where there is community of interest, transport and commerce links.

The clear community of interest on the Western Downs (ie Miles and Chinchilla) is in an east west direction and follows the Warrego Highway.

I strongly urge the Redistribution Commission to reconsider the draft boundaries and follow the east west transport routes for Warrego and the north south transport routes for Callide.

I acknowledge that my submission, including any personal and/or identifying information provided, will be published in its entirety and made available for public inspection.

Yours faithfully

[Signature]
Online submission for **Kawana**

**Name:** Benjamin Waite  
**Address:** U 2 / 99 Lowanna Drive

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**
Do not move Minyama, Buddina & Parrearra out of the Kawana electorate. It is the most asinine idea I have ever heard and that is saying a lot. These areas are Kawana, Buddina host the Kawana Shopping world, Kawana Waters Hotel etc. They are called Kawana because they are in Kawana. I work and live in/around the "Kawana" Shopping World, I almost never go to Buderim because it is not local. When I lived and Minyama and worked at Kawana Shopping World, I would walk past my MP's office everyday, I could stop in if I need to, that local connection would not be available to me if you move the electorate. I hope you make the logical decision. Regards Benjamin Waite

Submission ID: 67287

Time of Submission: 22 Mar 2017 12:19am

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.214
Online submission for **Kawana, Maroochydore**

**Name:** Sonia leigh sanderson  
**Address:** 2 Magnetic street Parrearra qld 4575  
**File Upload:** No file uploaded  
**Text:**  
To have sn MP in Kawana for the peopke $ yo savd travelling...

Submission ID: 67288  
Time of Submission: 22 Mar 2017 12:37am  
Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.214
Online submission for All Districts

Name: Sylvia Nowlan
Address: 39 Bahamas Circuit Parrearra QLD 4575

File Upload: No file uploaded

Text:
I am writing to object to the moving of the boundary in the Kawana Waters electorate. The removal of Parrearra, Minyama and Buddina from its current electorate of Kawana Waters detaches us from local representation. We are a coastal electorate with beaches, and infrastructure with Kawana Shopping world, Library, hotel and local businesses all in harmony with each other. To link is with the mountain electorate of Buderim puts us at a disadvantage.

Submission ID: 67289
Time of Submission: 22 Mar 2017 6:20am
Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.214
To the Commissioner,

As a resident in Buddina, I am appalled at the proposed relocation of boundaries to move Buddina from Kawana to Buderim. I realise that the proposed changes also affect Minyama and Parrearra. Kawana is the name that I know this area from ever since I started holidaying here nearly 20 years ago. We moved as a family 5 years ago. It is Kawana that I live in, not Buderim. Buderim is not a beach suburb, nor does it have any Surf Club. I have never seen the local member for Buderim in our Kawana Surf club - but I have seen our local member (Jarrod Blejie) there many times supporting their fundraising activities. This is just one of the more obvious strong community ties and activities that our area has. All the beach suburb’s - Birtinya, Bokarina, Buddina, Minyama, Parrearra, Warana and Wurtulla - identify with the Kawana Waters area and that is typified by the geographical location near the beach. This is from Point Cartwright down to Currimundi Lake. How can this be seen to be related to the hills of Buderim? It is geographical nonsense! What do the residents of Buderim have in common with this? They live on the hill in tree country! They literally look down on Kawana suburbs, and I fear this will tend to allow them to feel superior and most certainly removed from the local concerns Kawana has a thriving community, serviced by wonderful community organisations, all of which have been fought for by the Kawana area residents - not Buderim. If Buderim has control, our area organisation will most certainly lose control of desperately needed funding. Why are they being made to suffer after years of hard dedication and work to build themselves up to the current levels? I know that we will lose representation if we are moved from Kawana to Buderim and will lose representation for all the local business as well. We have Kawana Shopping World which continues to grow to meet the growing population of this area. We do not go up to Buderim for our shopping, it is the local area, including all the businesses on the Nicklin Way that get our support. In summary, Kawana has fought over the years to develop itself as a community and as a strong suburb where people identify themselves with. Why should this be destroyed by the stroke of a pen. It looks as thought the strong identity of Kawana is being stripped to support other areas. My vote - and yes, we are still a democracy - is for the regions to remain as they are So why not have a vote? Let the people decide!! Best Regards Ted Sharpe

Submission ID: 67290

Time of Submission: 22 Mar 2017 6:27am

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.213
Online submission for **Kawana**

**Name:** Bradley and Bernadette Wilson  
**Address:** 9 Koorin Drive BUDDINA

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**  
Topographically and culturally Kawana operates as a working unit and should retain that integrity.

Submission ID: 67291  
Time of Submission: 22 Mar 2017 6:44am  
Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.213
Online submission for **Kawana**

**Name:** Kenneth Phelan  
**Address:** Unit 110 "Deepwater" 11 Nicklin Way Minyama ....4575

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**  
I am totally against the movement of my existing Kawana boundary and put my residence in the Buderim boundary. If the boundaries need changing I would suggest that it would make more sense to move Sippy Downs into the Buderim boundary. Everyone in my vicinity can relate that we live in a Kawana environment as we are but 500 meters from the Kawana shopping centre. Also nearby are all the businesses that have Kawana in front of there vocation. Why would we spend time travelling to congested Buderim to visit the elected politician, I am sure we won't see much of him down here in Kawana. Once again please rethink the boundaries before its to late and have to change them again in the future.  
Yours sincerely Kenneth Phelan

Submission ID: 67292

Time of Submission: 22 Mar 2017 6:56am

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.214
Online submission for **Kawana**

**Name:** Greera  
**Address:** 19 Baleara Street, Buddina

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**  
Say no to the Boundary Change of Kawana to Buderim. It is unnecessary.

---

Submission ID: 67293  
Time of Submission: 22 Mar 2017 7:04am  
Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.213
Online submission for **Kawana**

**Name:** David Goodall  
**Address:** 8 Birubi St

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**  
I am concerned that by moving Minyama, Buddina and Parrearra into the Buderim electorate, the suburbs and residents will lose true local representation and be forced into an electorate they have no cultural or business connection to. Residents will be forced to drive to the top of Buderim to see their local MP which will result in less engagement between the community and its representative.

Submission ID: 67294

Time of Submission: 22 Mar 2017 7:27am

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.213
Online submission for All Districts, Kawana

Name: John Horrigan  
Address: 44 Oomoo St. Buddina 4575

File Upload: No file uploaded

Text:  
I feel it is ridiculous for our area, Buddina, to be included in Buderim electorate due mainly to the distance between the two suburbs. I realise a line has to drawn somewhere but to take Kawana Shopping Centre, Kawana Surf Club and the Fire Station (to name a few) out of the Kawana electorate is not good planning and makes no sense to me at all. Many people in the Kawana area are elderly and would have to travel to Buderim to visit their Member. This is a bad change and should not be implemented. The existing boundaries should be maintained. Regards John Horrigan.
Online submission for Mcconnel

Name: Penelope Pinkham
Address: 7/306 Kent St Teneriffe 4005

File Upload: No file uploaded

Text:
I have lived at Teneriffe for approximately 10 years and been a Brisbane resident for 50 years and would like to see the name Brisbane Central retained after the redistribution rather than change it to Mc Connel. I believe "Brisbane Central" not only is well known by most people, but it is a descriptive name and therefore enables people to readily understand where the locality is when used in the media, advertisements and government announcements etc. Allocating names that have no relevance to the general public and average resident eg McConnell I believe leads to residents taking less ownership, responsibility and pride in their local community. Encouraging them to think that the government/ bureaucracy is not serving the residents in a meaningful way. 'Brisbane Central' makes a statement...McConnel could be out in the middle of Queensland. Please think of the average person, we like simple, descriptive, concise language in our world to enable us to easily sift information relevant to us from the enormous volume assaulting us every day. Thank you for your consideration of my submission.

Submission ID: 67297
Time of Submission: 22 Mar 2017 8:08am
Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.213
From: Lynn Parker
To: Boundaries
Subject: KEEP KAWANA IN KAWANA
Date: Wednesday, 22 March 2017 8:09:12 AM

I AM LODGING AN OBJECTING TO THE PROPOSED CHANGING OF THE KAWANA ELECTORATE. KEEP IT AS IT HAS BEEN FOR YEARS.

LYNN PARKER
PARREARRA
(KAWANA ISLAND)
Online submission for **Kawana**

**Name:** Julie O'sullivan  
**Address:** 2 adina court buddina

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**  
I object to the redistribution of electorates to merge my suburb with Buderim

Submission ID: 67298

Time of Submission: 22 Mar 2017 8:12am

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.213
Online submission for **Kawana**

**Name:** Sheridan Wilson  
**Address:** 6 Bega Place PARREARRA QLD 4575

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**
I am objecting Kawana becoming part of the Buderim electorate. For one the major attraction like the Kawana Shoppingworld and the Kawana High School and Kawana Bowls club are all named in Kawana not Buderim. They have no connections to Buderim and may be forced to compete with Buderim businesses of like which would be unfair the both areas. Everyone is already familiar with all of the suburbs of Birtinya, Bokarina, Buddina, Minyama, Parrearra, Warana and Wurtulla all make up Kawana. If I was going to any one of those suburbs i dont distinguish between them all I, and everyone I know, say we are going to Kawana or we live in Kawana. Not Buderim. I live in Kawana, if I wished to live in Buderim I would have moved there. However I did not, I CHOSE Kawana.

Submission ID: 67299

Time of Submission: 22 Mar 2017 8:15am

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.213
We thank the Queensland Redistribution Commission for the opportunity to comment on its proposal for the Redistribution of the State’s Electoral Districts. We concur that with the changing demographic of the State it is timely to revisit Electoral District Boundaries. However, we do not believe that population, even with a 2% variation of the total Electorate area, is the appropriate determinant for electoral boundaries in the State’s extensive remote areas. The use of population creates areas on parity by population but with significant disparity in the ability of the Elected Member to appropriately service that area and their constituents and the opportunity for constituents to engage with their Elected member. Whilst size of an electorate cannot be the primary consideration when determining boundaries, the extensive size of Traeger makes it very difficult for the Elected Member to effectively engage and garner the opinions of his/her constituents, ascertain and maintain knowledge as to the relevance of issues in the Electorate and represent those in Parliament. Conversely, it also makes it difficult for the Elected Member to effectively convey back to his electorate information and messaging from the Queensland Government. The power of effective representation to and from Parliament is radically decreased. If subjected to the “BBQ Test” i.e. how many functions enabling constituent engagement could an urban MP attend over the course of a weekend in comparison to a rural/remote MP, the number would clearly show the inability of the latter to realistically engage once, let alone repeatedly, with his constituents despite having approximately the same number of constituents. We firmly believe such remote areas would be better distributed if electorates were aligned along common East-West Boundaries. The East-West polarity reflects connectedness between road infrastructure and, frequently, commonalities in issues, challenges and regional development priorities and opportunities. The redistribution, as proposed, would see four (4) Outback electorates – Warrego, Cook, Gregory and the newly proposed Traeger. Cook, due to its geography, cannot accurately reflect East-West polarity but does contain areas with similar interests linked by a predominant transport corridor. The remote electorates of Gregory and Warrego reflect this East-West polarity and the joining of areas with similar interests along a common transit corridor. However, the massive Traeger electorate, as proposed, does not, and cannot, do so effectively. With the inclusion of Charters Towers into this Electorate there will be an increased pull on the elected MP to service two major centres at each extremity of the Electorate. This has the very real potential to further reduce the capacity of the elected Member to service areas north and south of the Flinders Highway which links Mount Isa and Charters Towers and potentially decreases the ability of these “fringe areas” to engage with their elected member and thus the effectiveness of that engagement. The Flinders Highway provides the East-West polarity effectively demonstrated by the Shires of Warrego and Gregory. As Shire boundaries are the foundation for electorate boundaries the Shires of Mount Isa, Cloncurry, McKInlay, Richmond, Flinders and the newly included portion of Charters Towers reflect the East-West polarity successfully utilised in the Gregory/Warrego electorates. We would recommend that these Shires would be best placed geographically, economically and socially to form the basis of the newly created Traeger electorate. We would propose the Gulf Shires of Doomadgee, Mornington, Burke, Carpentaria (excluding the portion contained in Cook), Croydon and Etheridge would be better served by becoming a part of the newly formed Electorate of Hill.
We understand the addition of these Shires may alter population quotas for Hill thus requiring a slight reconfiguration of its boundaries. This configuration would follow the East-West polarity afforded by the Savannah Way and a link via the Palmerston Highway to the coastal communities located in the Hill electorate. Our recommendation is based upon the following:

- Regionally, the Gulf Shires utilise the Tablelands/Cairns region as the default centre for freight, goods/services, public transport. Fresh food supplies from the salad bowl of the Atherton Tablelands are delivered regularly to communities along the Savannah Way.
- All Shires utilise this region for a variety of professional support services eg legal, state development, regional development organisations with some Shires basing core services on the East Coast rather than in their community. The majority of the Shires are members of organisations with links to the FNQ region rather than the NW region including, but not limited to, tourism organisations, Regional Development Australia, Regional Organisation of Councils. In recent times, Burke/ Carpentaria Shires have determined to reposition themselves as active members of FNQ ROC as it better aligns with their key priorities/aims and will join Croydon/Etheridge Shires who are already members. Gulf Savannah Development (GSD) a regional development body for Southern Gulf Shires began life over thirty years ago, to unite and develop the region while at the same time developing strong links and support with similar organisations on the East Coast and Atherton Tablelands – links and support that continue today as evidenced by reciprocal memberships and delivery of small business support by GSD to the Atherton Tableland region as well as the Southern Gulf.
- Socially, there are strong ties with the Atherton Tablelands and the FNQ coastal communities. Most residents of Gulf Shires head to the FNQ coast for shopping, holidays etc. rather than heading South to Mt Isa or Charters Towers.
- Economically, with a focus on agriculture – extensive beef cattle grazing, fishing – there are strong supply links with the Far North coast both in terms of trading of product and where goods/services essential to these industries are purchased. Several cattle producers have property interests on the Tablelands/Innisfail/Tully areas. There are strong links between the Gulf and East Coast fisheries. These links are not as evidenced between these Gulf Shires and the NW Shires. Tourism, an important and growing industry in the Gulf region, is intrinsically linked to the Savannah Way route beginning on the Far North Queensland Coast and connecting with the Northern Territory border and beyond.
- Transport logistics, freight services for the majority of Gulf Shires originate from Cairns via the Savannah Way rather than Townsville. Mail also originates from this same direction. A bus service runs to/from the East Coast to Carpentaria Shire with no road based public transport linking the Gulf Shires to the NW Region. Flights for some Gulf Shires originate from both Cairns and Mt Isa however, arguably, a significant amount of travel is towards the coast rather than inland. In line with major transit/transport network polarity as a determinant of inclusion in a electorate, we propose that Boulia Shire with significant ties to the Central West area would be better served by inclusion with the Gregory Electorate rather than remaining in the newly formed Traegar. In closing we wish to reiterate my proposal that, by and large, the Gulf Local Government Areas of Burke, Mornington, Doomadgee, Carpentaria (excluding the portion in Cook), Croydon and Etheridge are best served by inclusion in an electorate that follows an East-West polarity aligned with the Savannah Way. The Gulf Local Government areas of Burke, Doomadgee, Mornington, Carpentaria, Croydon and Etheridge have that vital connection with the Tablelands and Far North Coast via the Savannah Way and have a stronger, more cohesive social, economic, administrative and service delivery linkage with the communities within the newly created Hill electorate rather with those along the Flinders Highway in the Traegar Electorate.
Online submission for **All Districts**

**Name:** Suzanne Royle  
**Address:** 36 Constitution Road Windsor Qld 4030

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**  
Please give strong consideration to retaining the name Brisbane Central for the electorate so named rather than changing to an eponymous name. The case for changing to McConnell, whose relevance to the local community of our State's capital is not widely appreciated, known or necessarily accepted, has not been made.

Submission ID: 67301

Time of Submission: 22 Mar 2017 8:24am

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.213
Online submission for Mcconnel

Name: Kevinn Seymour, AM
Address: Unit 33, One Macquarie Street TENERIFFE QLD 4005

File Upload: No file uploaded

Text:
I write to support the retention of the name of our electorate as Brisbane Central. The name, Brisbane Central, properly and clearly describes our electorate and we are proud to be part of an electorate represented by the name. We are clearly of the view that an electorate has to appropriately describe where we live and work, and there is no better way than the name Brisbane to identify the electorate where we live! Thank you Kevin Seymour, AM

Submission ID: 67302

Time of Submission: 22 Mar 2017 8:28am

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.213
Online submission for Buderim, Kawana

Name: Tracey Goodwin-McDonald
Address: 94 Point Cartwright Drive Buddina 4575

File Upload: No file uploaded

Text:
I am strongly opposed to the proposed change to remove Buddina from the Kawana electorate and include it into the Buderim electorate! We have lived here for over 10 years and rarely have anything to do with Buderim for two reasons 1) There is no reason to go there as this area is serviced well by all the Kawana resources. The Kawana resources are closer than the Buderim resources 2) Buderim is a completely different culture and mindset to the Buddina area. Buddina is beach and families. Buderim is retires and "inland" living with a very different mind set and social values. In essence the areas of Buddina, Minyama, and Parrearra have nothing in common with Buderim and are closer to the Kawana resources and lifestyle values and therefore I feel it makes no sense and would be detrimental to our current amenity to merge us into the Buderim electorate.

Submission ID: 67303

Time of Submission: 22 Mar 2017 8:29am

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.213
Online submission for **Buderim, Kawana**

**Name:** Tracey Goodwin-McDonald  
**Address:** 94 Point Cartwright Dr Buddina 4575  

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**
I am against the proposed change to move Buddina, Minyama and Parrearra from the Kawana electorate to the Buderim electorate. These areas are predominately coastal, urban areas whilst Buderim is rural residential. Both areas have very different management requirements. There is no connection between the coast area I live in and the Buderim electorate. (I never go to Buderim because it is so different) The current Kawana electorate does have the same social, and cultural management issues as Buddina, Minyama and Parrearra so it makes no sense to remove us and I consider myself and my fellow neighbours would be disadvantaged if this change was to go ahead.

Submission ID: 67309  
Time of Submission: 22 Mar 2017 8:45am  
Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.214
Online submission for **Kawana**

**Name:** Elli Hentunen  
**Address:** 108 Sunbird Chase, Parrearra 4575

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**
We are serviced by wonderful community organizations including The Kawana Waters RSL Sub-Branch, Kawana Waters Surf Club, Kawana Library, Kawana Scouts, Kawana Community Centre, Kawana Rotary Club, Kawana Waters Chamber of Commerce, Buddina State School, Minyama Neighbourhood Watch, Kawana Companions, Kawana Seniors, Kawana Island Residents Association to name but a few. These community organizations have no connection with Buderim community and may be forced to compete with existing Buderim-based organisations for funding, memberships and volunteers. Please move our respected, well used and much loved community organisations to Buderim

Submission ID: 67304

Time of Submission: 22 Mar 2017 8:34am

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.214
Online submission for **Buderim, Kawana**

**Name:** Graham McDonald  
**Address:** 94 Point Cartwright Drive Buddina

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**  
I am strongly opposed to removing Buddina from the Kawana electorate and placing it into the Buderim electorate. I have lived here for over 10 years and all the business and recreational services I use are located (and called) Kawana!! This includes Kawana Waters RSL, Kawana Waters Surf Lifesaving Club, Kawana Library (which is at the end of my street) Kawana Community Centre, Kawana World Shopping Centre (also at the end of my street). The proposed change would mean all these "Kawana" services would no longer be in Kawana!! This is ludicrous. What would we call it going forward as Buderim already has a library, shopping centre etc. It will cause nothing but confusion and be of no benefit to the residents. Please leave Buddina, Minyama and Parrearra in the Kawana electorate where they belong.

Submission ID: 67305

Time of Submission: 22 Mar 2017 8:37am

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.214
Online submission for **Buderim, Kawana**

**Name:** Graham McDonald  
**Address:** 94 Point Cartwright Drive Buddina  
**File Upload:** No file uploaded  

**Text:**
I am against the proposed change to move Buddina, Minyama and Parrearra from the Kawana electorate to the Buderim electorate. These areas are predominately coastal, urban areas whilst Buderim is rural residential. Both areas have very different management requirements. There is no connection between the coast area I live in and the Buderim electorate. (I never go to Buderim because it is so different) The current Kawana electorate does have the same social, and cultural management issues as Buddina, Minyama and Parrearra so it makes no sense to remove us and I consider myself and my fellow neighbours would be disadvantaged if this change was to go ahead.

Submission ID: 67308  
Time of Submission: 22 Mar 2017 8:43am  
Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.214
Online submission for **Kawana**

**Name:** Pertti Hentunen  
**Address:** 108 Sunbird Chase Parrearra QLd 4575

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**  
We are serviced by wonderful community organizations including The Kawana Waters RSL Sub-Branch, Kawana Waters Surf Club, Kawana Library, Kawana Scouts, Kawana Community Centre, Kawana Rotary Club, Kawana Waters Chamber of Commers, Buddina State School, Minyama Neighbourhood Watch, Kawana Companions, Kawana Seniors, Kawana Island Residents Association to name but a few. These community organisations have no connection with Buderim community and may be forced to compete with existing Buderim-based organisations for funding, memberships and volunteers. Please move our respected, well used and much loved community organisations to Buderim

Submission ID: 67306

Time of Submission: 22 Mar 2017 8:37am

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.213
From: Pete Cowell
To: Boundaries
Subject: Objection
Date: Wednesday, 22 March 2017 8:38:36 AM

This email is to register my objection to changing the name of Pine Rivers to D'Aguilar. Pine Rivers is well known and has represented the area for a long time.
Please b
Do not change it.

Thank you
Peter Cowell (Pine Rivers Resident)
From the iPad
Online submission for **Kawana**

**Name:** Milja Meskanen  
**Address:** 89 Sunbird Chase Buddina 4575

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**
We are serviced by wonderful community organizations including The Kawana Waters RSL Sub-Branch, Kawana Waters Surf Club, Kawana Library, Kawana Scouts, Kawana Community Centre, Kawana Rotary Club, Kawana Waters Chamber of Commerce, Buddina State School, Minyama Neighbourhood Watch, Kawana Companions, Kawana Seniors, Kawana Island Residents Association to name but a few. These community organisations have no connection with Buderim community and may be forced to compete with existing Buderim-based organizations for funding, memberships and volunteers. Please move our respected, well used and much loved community organisations to Buderim
Online submission for **All Districts**

**Name:** ute woods  
**Address:** 11 Zante lane. Parrearra. 4575

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**
I have only been a resident of this area since Nov 2016. I chose to live here for the reasons being that everything is close and handy. As I get older I will need to rely more on community facilities and wish for my lifestyle to stay as it is now. The coastline, being the Kawana Waters area will be divided if the boundary changes and so will the people of the community. e.g. members of community help and organisations. Please leave the boundaries as they are now where we have a member of Kawana already working for our needs close by. It's preferable to have a local member to deal with our local community. Thankyou for listening! Regards Ute Woods
Online submission for Mcconnel

Name: Sam Jones
Address: 5/21 Sundridge St, Taringa QLD 4068

File Upload: No file uploaded

Text:
I do not agree with the proposed change in name for the electorate of "Brisbane Central" to "McConnel". While Mary McConnel's legacy is valuable, it has little bearing on the contemporary electorate, while Brisbane Central does a much better job of conveying the location and feel of the electorate. In an age where people are increasingly disengaged from politics and the political process, any proposal which would increase confusion, such as renaming "Brisbane Central" to "McConnel", should not be implemented.
Online submission for Kawana

Name: Clifford and Karen Glover  
Address: 24 Mooloolah Drive Minyama  

File Upload: No file uploaded  

Text:  
We have lived in the Kawana electorate for the last 20 years as well as holidaying here since the early 1960's and we feel shifting the boundary of our electorate will disadvantage all of us in the electorate. If we need to see our Government representative we will have to drive 10 kls on a very busy narrow road that is always congested and if there is an accident the road becomes completely blocked as it is only a 2 lane road. We have services such as our Kawana Library, Kawana Ambulance Station, Kawana Community Centre, Kawana Surf Lifesaving Club, Kawana RSL SUB Branch, Kawana Shoppingworld to name a few which are all places that would not be in the Kawana Electorate if the boundaries were changed. The Kawana electorate is predominately a coastal urban electorate. Buderim falls between the business districts of Maroochydore and Nambour. There is no connection between the coastal urban suburbs of Minyama, Buddina and Parrearra and the Buderim electorate. These coastal-based urban communities are culturally and socially connected with Kawana. Redistributing these Kawana suburbs to Buderim will alienate the residents who strongly rely on Kawana for their work, business, social, educational, medical, community, sport, cultural and shopping needs. Our major shopping and entertainment district is located at the Kawana Shoppingworld and Kawana Water Hotel which should naturally remain in the Kawana electorate. It acts our major hub for transport linking directly with the Sunshine Coast University Hospital as well as other business and services throughout the Kawana Electorate. One of our main connecting features of our community is Kawana Beach, from Point Cartwright to Currimundi Lake, 10 kilometers of white sand. We both feel very strongly that the boundary of the Kawana Electorate should remain as it is.

Submission ID: 67313  
Time of Submission: 22 Mar 2017 9:07am  
Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.213
Online submission for **Kawana**

**Name:** Cyril Gaudart  
**Address:** 145/10 Marco Way, PARREARRA 4575

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**
Please do not move our electorate from KAWANA to BUDERIM Our locality is known as "Kawana". We live on "Kawana Island". Road signs from the Sunshine Motorway directing to where we live point to "Kawana Waters". The major shopping center we go to is "Kawana Shopping World". The name "Kawana" is part of where we live. This is our Community. Buderim while a great place is not where we live and we have no physical or emotional attachment to Buderim. To move us to the Buderim electorate artificially shifts us to an electorate we do not relate to. We consider the electoral process as basic and we wish to remain in "KAWANA" and not be moved to another electorate. Please leave us in Kawana. Cyril Gaudart

Submission ID: 67314

Time of Submission: 22 Mar 2017 9:14am

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.214
I feel that I must add my name to the list of objections to the proposed changes to the Kawana Electorate. My wife and I live in Parrearra, shop at Kawana and cannot believe that our shopping centre could become a part of Buderim. I am also a member of the Kawana Waters RSL Sub Branch this must remain in the Electorate of Kawana

Ken and Shirley Jones

Sent from my iPad
To whom it may Concern

I am a resident of Buddina and will be affected by proposed changes to our Kawana Electoral Boundaries and strongly object to the proposed redistribution.

Please bear with me as despite my age (68 years old) I have never put pen to paper to object to beauracratic interference in our daily lives, however the proposed alterations to our boundaries are ridiculous and affect a number of residents who feel strongly on this matter consequently I am FORCED to submit this.

My grandparents lived in Mooloolaba behind the old picture theatre, a relative had two terms as mayor of the council, my uncle was in charge of selling the Minyama canal development, another relative was a leading solicitor on the coast, I and family used to camp and fish at "Kawana Beach" from Pt Cartwright, the Pocket to south of the existing site of the Kawana Surf Club travelling there by the only means possible at that time a barge from the old cod hole to Pt Cartwright, (pre development, pre bridge, pre canals, pre surf club, etc etc)

My children have lived in Buddina with my grandchildren attending the Buddina State School I live in Buddina and can walk to our local MP office, to the Kawana Westpac, to the Kawana Shopping centre, to the Kawana Library, to the Kawana bus station, to the Kawana Surf club, to the Kawana Community centre etc etc.

I purchased my first block of land in Buderim at the age of 18 years old, sold in 1975 and can categorically (from experience) tell you that the difference between Buddina and Kawana is like chalk and cheese, to alter the electoral boundaries is ludicrous in the extreme.

I only detail these facts to show I am NOT a newcomer, a person who is (I believe) qualified to submit an objection based on experience.

Kawana is a living breathing entity with its own identity a strong sense of community and must remain so, to have a submission to alter the boundaries smacks of interference by a group with their own agenda with a total lack of consideration of the people, business's and community affected. To be integrated with Buderim is mind boggling and totally incomprehensible.

I could write pages on why these change should NOT proceed but respectfully request my submission be considered and this redistribution NOT proceed.

Thank You

Yours Truly

Don Allinson
JP (Qual) FIBF
Online submission for Maryborough

Name: Darryl Stewart
Address: 600 Antigua Road MUNGA QLD 4650

File Upload: No file uploaded

Text:
I object to the proposed redistribution of the southern areas of the present State electorate of Maryborough to the electorate of Gympie. There is absolutely no community of interest that exists for the electors of Maryborough to be included in Gympie in the following areas: social, commerce, educational, health and local government. It is realised that the names of electorates are regional in nature, but distance would also be a potential problem in servicing the proposed new areas of the Gympie electorate. The northern boundary would be only approximately 14 km from the regional city of Maryborough and nearly 80 km from the city of Gympie. The towns of Tiaro and Bauple are about 20 and 30 km respectively from Maryborough city, while being about 60 and 50 km from Gympie, also respectively. Therefore it can be readily seen that there is little or no "community of interest" for most of the residents to be attached to the Gympie electorate and certainly in the above mentioned areas. While local government boundaries are not required to be aligned with State electorate boundaries, it is clear that historical commonality would be preferable to different regional focal points in relation to co-operative dealings between the two levels of government.
Online submission for **Kawana**

**Name:** Rebecca Gill  
**Address:** 38 Iluka Ave, Buddina, QLD 4575

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**
Kawana Waters is an unofficial place name for a coastal stretch of land between Mooloolaba and Caloundra. Our area has been known as Kawana Waters since the early 1960's and is used by everyone as the commonly referred to name of our area. I don't believe the QRC has properly taken into consideration the strong communities of interest that are contained in the Kawana Waters region. Within the Kawana Waters area lie the suburbs of Birtinya, Bokarina, Buddina, Minyama, Parrearra, Warana and Wurtulla. Removing these suburbs from Kawana disconnects the intended grouping of this coastal stretch of suburbs that make up Kawana Waters. I am concerned that by moving these suburbs residents will lose true local representation and be forced into an electorate they have no cultural or business connection to.

Submission ID: 67316  
Time of Submission: 22 Mar 2017 9:54am  
Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.213
Online submission for Mcconnel

Name: Deb Moran
Address: 40 Newmarket Road Windsor Q4030

File Upload: No file uploaded

Text:
I hereby lodge my objection to changing the name of Brisbane Central to McConnel. This change will cost the taxpayers money and is not required.

Submission ID: 67317
Time of Submission: 22 Mar 2017 10:03am
Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.213
Dear Sir/Madam,

We the undersigned object to the new changers in the Electoral Boundaries – stay with has it is now under Gympie Electoral Boundaries.

Regards

Ben Dunstan
Lifestyle Holidays (Q) Pty. Ltd
T/As Luxury Afloat Tin Can Bay
www.luxuryafloat.com.au
ph: (07) 5486 4864
101 Norman Point, Tin Can Bay, Qld 4580
My name is Cheryl Bannister and I am an active member of the LNP and work vigorously for our local member to assist in Queensland’s economy.

I reside on the Kawana Way side of Kawana Island which has now been severed from the Kawana boundary to now be part of Buderim and I protest vigorously not being included in this electorate.

I say NO, NO NO

Please reconsider, Cheryl
I wish to put in an objection for the Proposed Kawana Boundary. I have just moved into this electorate from an adjoining one and find this electorate is great. A lot more communication with electorate and facilities really great.

Thanking you
Maureen Brown
Online submission for **Kawana**

**Name:** LEAH WILLIAMS  
**Address:** 107 Pacific Boulevard, Buddina, Queensland, Australia

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**
I am contacting you about my serious concern for the proposed boundary change to Kawana. I cannot understand how the QRC could possibly consider removing Minyama, Buddina and Parrearra from Kawana. Having lived on and off in the area for over 30 years and knowing that the oldest suburbs of Kawana are not only Minyama and Buddina but that is where all of our major facilities are. A few to mention Kawana Shopping World, which has a largest bus terminal, Kawana SLSC where our children do Niippers/Patrol and Kawana RSL Sub-Branch. I cannot for the life of me understand how it is of any interest in these areas to fall into Buderim more than 10Km away. I strongly suggest you re-consider this ridiculous decision and I look forward to seeing common sense prevail. Your sincerely, Leah Williams 106-108 Pacific Blvd, Buddina

Submission ID: 67318

Time of Submission: 22 Mar 2017 10:44am

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.213
Online submission for **D'Aguilar , Ferny Grove**

**Name:** Michael O'Sullivan  
**Address:** 24 Chalmers Court Samford Village 4520

**File Upload:** Camp Mountain Watershed.PNG, type image/png, 955.6 KB

**Text:**
I am requesting that the D'Aguilar district be expanded to include the locality of Camp Mountain and the subsequent removal of this locality from the Ferny Grove electorate for the following reasons. The residents of Camp Mountain are on rural or semi-rural acreage properties that share more in common with the people in Samford Valley than they do the people of Ferny Grove, including sharing the LGA of Moreton Bay. These residents also use the services (both government and community) provided to them from with the township of Samford Village. Samford Village is the 'hub' of the southern end of the D'Aguilar electorate and most of the people in Camp Mountain would consider Samford Village as their local town centre. Anything that impacts on Samford has a direct effect on the people of Camp Mountain yet their local MP under the current proposal would have little to do with Samford. Camp Mountain itself forms part of the natural boundary of Samford Valley that the bulk of the Camp mountain locality sits in. I feel the people of Camp Mountain would be tucked away "over the hill" from Ferny Grove and be unintentionally forgotten or have less attention from the local MP as his/her time is taken up by the larger, high density population centre of Brisbane. I'd propose that the boundary be moved to include either the locality of Camp Mountain or have the boundary moved to follow the watershed between Mt Nebo Rd and Samford Rd with those people in to the West of this line added to the D'Aguilar electorate and those to the East be added to Ferny Grove.

Submission ID: 67319  
Time of Submission: 22 Mar 2017 10:47am  
Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.214
Online submission for **All Districts**

**Name:** peter von blanckensee  
**Address:** 17 barramundi street tin can bay

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**
I am a resident of Tin Can Bay in the GYMPIE Regional Council shire and as such i have great concerns that my land and house are going to be in the Gympie regional Shire, and the waters i fish and crab in, are going to be in the Noosa Shire. I object strongly to the concept of changes to the boundaries for these regions that have been proposed. Peter Charles Von Blanckensee

Submission ID: 67320

Time of Submission: 22 Mar 2017 11:25am

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.214
Online submission for **Kawana**

**Name:** John Townsend  
**Address:** 13 Aroona Avenue Buddina 4575 QLD  

**File Upload:** No file uploaded  

**Text:**  
I do not agree with the current proposal to include Buddina into the area of Buderim, as it has no connection to this area. This is a coastal area compromising of suburbs that are connected to the beachside and Kawana is at the heart of this area both in name and spirit. My local member is close by, along with important groups such as Kawana surf club, schools and library.

Submission ID: 67321  
Time of Submission: 22 Mar 2017 11:26am  
Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.214
Online submission for **Kawana**

**Name:** Jane Flintoft  
**Address:** 11 Barbuda Cct Parrearra Qld 4575

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**  
I object to the proposed Kawana Boundary changes which would include Kawana Island, Kawana Shopping world, Kawana surf life club to be removed from the Kawana electorate and enter the Buderim electorate. I am very proud to live in Kawana, and have no affiliation with Buderim what so ever.

Submission ID: 67322  
Time of Submission: 22 Mar 2017 11:26am  
Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.213
I am writing to strongly disagree with the proposed change in boundaries to move my vote to the Buderim electorate!

I live on Kawana Island (Parrearra) and can't understand why our government would contemplate moving my vote out of Kawana to Buderim. Just another example of spending our precious money frivolously and if this happens I will change my vote at the ballot box!

Hopefully my common sense opinion and that of many others in our community will prevail!

Paul Nichols
Kawana Island (Parrearra)
Dear Commissioner

I am writing to express my objection to the proposed Kawana electorate boundary change.

I would just like to say KEEP KAWANA...KAWANA! Makes sense to me.

All of the local and longstanding community groups for example Kawana Surf Club should remain in the Kawana electorate.

It also seems logical that the current boundary maintains a coastal strip clearly defined by the nature of Kawana's 'Waters' and beachfront eg Pt Cartwright to Currimundi creek. When you start chopping and changing boundaries by a street it is not clear and easily recognised.

Why wouldn't you keep a coastal community with similar interests and needs together? Why mix coastal area like Buddina with Buderim?

I could keep going, but like many others who would disagree with this change finding time to object is the challenge...

Why is the proposal not 'out there' in the community? The first I have heard of it is from our local member!!

KEEP KAWANA...KAWANA!

Regards

Neva Welch

50 Undara Ave
Buddina Q 45475

Sent from my iPad
Hi Boundries Commission,

1. This Changing of boundries really gives the argument for both the cost of State Government and why it exists at all or just in an administrative functionality.
2. Why we have 3 year terms instead of 4 years.
3. Kawana Waters is an unofficial place name for a coastal stretch of land between Mooloolaba and Caloundra. Our area has been known as Kawana Waters since the early 1960’s and is used by everyone as the commonly referred to name of our area. I don’t believe the QRC has properly taken into consideration the strong communities of interest that are contained in the Kawana Waters region.
4. Within the Kawana Waters area lie the suburbs of Birtinya, Bokarina, Buddina, Minyama, Parrearra, Warana and Wurtulla. Removing Minyama, Buddina and Parrearra from Kawana disconnects the intended grouping of this coastal stretch of suburbs that make up Kawana Waters.
5. One of the connecting features of our community is Kawana Beach, from Point Cartwright to Currimundi Lake, 10 Kilometres of white sand.
6. Since 1959, Kawana has developed into a thriving community. We are serviced by wonderful community organisations including the Kawana Waters RSL Sub-Branch, Kawana Waters Surf Lifesaving Club, Kawana Library, Kawana Scouts, Kawana Community Centre, Kawana Rotary Club, Lake Currimundi-Kawana Lions Club, Kawana Waters Chamber of Commerce, Buddina State School, Minyama Neighbourhood Watch, Kawana Companions, Kawana Seniors and the Kawana Island Residents Association to name but a few. These community organisations have no connection with the Buderim community and may be forced to compete with existing Buderim-based organisations for funding, membership and volunteers. Please don’t move our respected, well used and much loved community organisations to Buderim.
6. I am concerned that by moving Minyama, Buddina and Parrearra into the Buderim electorate, the suburbs and residents will lose true local representation and be forced into an electorate they have no cultural or business connection to. Residents will be forced to drive to the top of Buderim to see their local MP which will result in less engagement between the community and its representative. 7. The electorate of Kawana is predominantly a coastal urban electorate. Buderim falls between the business districts of Maroochydore and Nambour. There is no connection between the coastal urban suburbs of Minyama, Buddina and Parrearra and the Buderim electorate. These coastal-based urban communities are culturally and socially connected with Kawana. Redistributing these Kawana suburbs to Buderim will alienate the residents who strongly rely on Kawana for their work, business, social, educational, medical, community, sport, cultural and shopping needs. 7. Our major shopping and entertainment district is located at the Kawana Shoppingworld and Kawana Waters Hotel which should naturally remain in the Kawana electorate. Kawana Shoppingworld also acts as a major public transport hub, linking directly with the Sunshine Coast University Hospital as well as all other bus routes operating throughout the Kawana electorate.

Kind regards

Keith & Pauline Gargan
Online submission for **Gympie, Noosa**

**Name:** Barbara Schrefler  
**Address:** 1/27, Manooka Drive RAINBOW BEACH, QLD 4581

**File Upload:** Submission regarding Electoral Boundaries concerning RAINBOW BEACH (2).docx, type application/vnd.openxmlformats-officedocument.wordprocessingml.document, 13.3 KB

**Text:**
Submission regarding Electoral Boundaries concerning RAINBOW BEACH I respectfully, gratefully and humbly acknowledge the Traditional Owners of this Area. This submission aims to explain my disagreement with the proposed Electoral Boundary changes. Please consider the following guidelines (your guidelines!), when arriving at a final decision regarding the Electoral Boundaries concerning Rainbow Beach.

Demographic tends: Rainbow Beach has a population of about 1000 people for the last 20 years, resulting in about 500-600 votes. This is unlikely to change in the foreseeable future, because of its surroundings (ocean and National Parks; 50-70 kilometres to the nearest significant highway (the Bruce Highway). By comparison the population growth of Noosa/Tewantin and its council areas has been considerable within the last 10-20 years and there are no relevant indicators that would suggest any stopping of the effective and rampant population growth all along the sunshine coast. Does Noosa need a vast stretch of coast to redefine its population-per-square-mile-ratio? Community Interest: Rainbow Beach is intrinsically connected to Tin Can Bay and Cooloola Cove, known in its entirety as Cooloola Coast. All Community interest are mainly related to Gympie; Rainbow Beach was named in 1969; the area now known as Rainbow Beach is and always has been part of the Gympie State Electorate (located in the state electorate of Nash in 1949,which was renamed Gympie in 1959). There seems to be no political and little community affinity with Noosa. Communication & Travel: All major services concerning Rainbow Beach are in Gympie, which is 70 kilometres to the south-west of Rainbow Beach and connected via a sealed road. These services include all aspects of state and local government, business and community services (hospitals, local disaster management, emergency services, schools etc.). By comparison, Noosa is about 150 kilometres from Rainbow Beach on a sealed road, which paradoxically runs through Gympie (or Curra, once the new Highway section is finalised), requiring a one-way journey of about 2 hours. There is no direct public transport between Rainbow Beach and Noosa, which would allow a reasonable return-trip on the same day.

Submission ID: 67323  
Time of Submission: 22 Mar 2017 1:14pm  
Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.214
**Submission regarding Electoral Boundaries concerning RAINBOW BEACH**

I respectfully, gratefully and humbly acknowledge the Traditional Owners of this Area.

This submission aims to explain my disagreement with the proposed Electoral Boundary changes.

Please consider the following guidelines (your guidelines!), when arriving at a final decision regarding the Electoral Boundaries concerning Rainbow Beach:

**Demographic trends:** Rainbow Beach has a population of about 1000 people for the last 20 years, resulting in about 500-600 votes. This is unlikely to change in the foreseeable future, because of its surroundings (ocean and National Parks, 50-70 kilometres to the next Highway (the Bruce Highway).

By comparison the population growth of Noosa/Tewantin and its council areas has been significant within the last 10-20 years and there are no relevant indicators that would suggest any stopping of the effective and rampant population growth all along the sunshine coast.

Does Noosa need a vast stretch of coast to redefine its population-per-square-mile-ratio?

**Community Interest:** Rainbow Beach is intrinsically connected to Tin Can Bay and Cooloola Cove, known in its entirety as Cooloola Coast.

All community interests are mainly related to Gympie; Rainbow Beach was named in 1969, the area now known as Rainbow Beach is and always has been part of the Gympie State Electorate (located in the state electorate of Nash in 1949, renamed Gympie in 1959).

There seems to be no political and little community affinity with Noosa.

**Communication & Travel:** All major services concerning Rainbow Beach are in Gympie, which is 70 kilometres to the south-west of Rainbow Beach and connected via a sealed road. These services include all aspects of state and local government, business and community services (hospitals, local disaster management, emergency services, schools etc.).

By comparison, Noosa is about 150 kilometres from Rainbow Beach on a sealed road, which paradoxically runs through Gympie (or Curra, once the new Highway section is finalised), requiring a one-way journey of about 2 hours.

There is no direct public transport between Rainbow Beach and Noosa, which would allow a reasonable return-trip on the same day.

**Physical features of proposed district:** Due to its physical features – as mentioned above, Rainbow Beach is surrounded by ocean and National Parks – there will be no significant growth of population in Rainbow Beach within the near and medium future.

Direct access from Rainbow Beach to Noosa consists of: the beach at low tide; old logging tracks; a Coastal Link Road, which is marked on maps, but does really exist and is not sealed.

**Existing Boundaries of State Districts:** I assume the Queensland Redistribution Commission has sufficient access to maps and related information, which is also my only limited source of information in this regard.
Existing Boundaries of Local Government Areas: - as above –

Please do not mistake the map for the landscape!

As a permanent resident of Rainbow Beach, I certainly disagree with the proposed electoral changes, because I have not found any convincing reason to warrant such a change, due to a significant lack of community consultation within the last year.

Whatever your decision may be, I wish you all very happy voting-results.

Thank you for your attention.

Sincerely,

Barbara Schrefler
Online submission for **Kawana**

**Name:** Rosalind Walters  
**Address:** Unit 2, 4 Barbuda Circuit, Parrearra 4575

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**  
I wish to register my displeasure and your intention to move the electoral boundary for Kawana. I would like the area that I live to stay in the Kawana Electorate.

---

Submission ID: 67324  
Time of Submission: 22 Mar 2017 1:19pm  
Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.214
Online submission for **All Districts**

**Name:** Dean Bygrave  
**Address:** 195 / 139 Commercial Road Teneriffe 4005

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**
Please keep Brisbane Central

Submission ID: 67325  
Time of Submission: 22 Mar 2017 1:20pm  
Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.213
Online submission for Kawana

Name: Belinda Ann Meier
Address: Unit 1, 4 Barbuda Circuit Parrearra 4575

File Upload: No file uploaded

Text:
I register my response to your proposed realignment of the Kawana Electoral boundary. I wish to state that I (would not) like to see the boundary re-aligned. I am happy living in the electorate of Kawana.

Submission ID: 67326
Time of Submission: 22 Mar 2017 1:24pm
Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.214
Online submission for **Mcconnel**

**Name:** Douglas Ritchie  
**Address:** 61 Teneriffe Drive Teneriffe 4005

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**  
Hi, I would much prefer that Brisbane Central is retained as the name for the electorate and that it not be changed to McConnel. Thank you Doug

Submission ID: 67327

Time of Submission: 22 Mar 2017 1:27pm

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.213
Online submission for All Districts

Name: Carmen Douglas  
Address: 3 Nentoura Court

File Upload: Keep Kawana in Kawana.docx, type application/vnd.openxmlformats-officedocument.wordprocessingml.document, 11.9 KB

Text:
Dear Sir/Madam, My Submission is AGAINST REMOVING THE SUBURBS OF MINYAMA, BUDDINA & PARREARRA FROM KAWANA. I have never heard of anything more ridiculous. Please take the time to read this submission Kind Regards, Carmen Douglas

Submission ID: 67328

Time of Submission: 22 Mar 2017 1:27pm

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.214
Dear Sir/Madam,

My Submission is against AGAINST REMOVING THE SUBURBS OF MINYAMA, BUDDINA & PARREARRA FROM KAWANA.

I am a Resident of Buddina and hence Kawana Waters and I have been for 16 years. This area between Mooloolaba and Caloundra have been known as Kawana Waters since the early 1960’s and all local residents and Holiday makers know it by this name.

I don’t believe the QRC has properly taken into consideration the strong communities of interest that are contained in the Kawana Waters region.

If the QRC were to Remove Minyama, Buddina and Parrearra from Kawana, this will disconnect the intended grouping of this coastal stretch of suburbs which make up Kawana Waters.

A significant feature of our community is Kawana Beach, which stretches from Point Cartwright to Currimundi Lake. This 10Km stretch includes Minyama, Buddina and Parrearra.

Kawana is serviced by wonderful community organisations including the Kawana Waters RSL Sub-Branch, Kawana Waters Surf Lifesaving Club, Kawana Library, Kawana Scouts, Kawana Community Centre, Kawana Rotary Club, Lake Currimund-Kawana Lions Club, Kawana Waters Chamber of Commerce, Buddina State School, Minyama Neighbourhood Watch, Kawana Companions, Kawana Seniors and the Kawana Island Residents Association to name but a few. These community organisations have been servicing Kawana since 1959 and they have absolutely no connection with the Buderim community. If the QRC removes Minyama, Buddina and Parrearra from Kawana, many of these organisations may be forced to compete with existing Buderim-based organisations for funding, membership and volunteers. Please don’t move our respected, well used and much loved community organisations to Buderim.

My concern is that by moving Minyama, Buddina and Parrearra into the Buderim electorate, the suburbs and residents will lose true local representation and be forced into an electorate they have no cultural or business connection to. Residents will be forced to drive to the top of Buderim to see their local MP which will result in less engagement between the community and its representative.

Kawana is predominantly a coastal urban electorate. Buderim falls between the business districts of Maroochydore and Nambour. There is no connection between the coastal urban suburbs of Minyama, Buddina and Parrearra and the Buderim electorate. These coastal-based urban communities are culturally and socially connected with Kawana. Redistributing these Kawana suburbs to Buderim will alienate the residents who strongly rely on Kawana for their work, business, social, educational, medical, community, sport, cultural and shopping needs. Furthermore our major shopping and entertainment district is located at the Kawana Shoppingworld and Kawana Waters Hotel which should naturally remain in the Kawana electorate. Kawana Shoppingworld also acts as a major public transport hub, linking directly with the Sunshine Coast University Hospital as well as all other bus routes operating throughout the Kawana electorate.

Please keep Kawana in Kawana.

Thank you for taking the time to consider this submission thoughtfully with the Kawana residents in mind.

Kind Regards,

Carmen Douglas
To the Queensland Electoral Commission

To The Secretary, Queensland Redistribution Commission

We cannot support the new proposed changes to the electorate of Gympie which removes all of Tin Can Inlet waterways, Rainbow Beach Township, Inskip Point and beachers out of the current Gympie 2016 electorate area and placed them into the new proposed Noosa Electorate.

We find detaching Tin Can Inlet and the water ways of which the town of Tin Can Bay was named after, YES its name sake is an insult to this township of Tin Can Bay, and find after reviewing this high water mark boundary and can find no logical reason for this change by allocating this region and waterways to this new proposed detached Noosa Electorate of which we have no identity with, currently or in our past history. The populations of Noosa and Cooloola Coast are divided and bordered by vast areas of Cooloola National Park which will never be built on. Even when the issues relating to the Great Sandy Marine Park the identity to this area is with these northern coastal towns and kin to the shores of the Great Sandy Straights. We be live this region would have less and confused representation dealing with two electorates one detached from the area being Noosa and then the another the one Tin Can would be tied to being Gympie with no electorate boundary over Tin Can Inlet or this towns former waterways even though many of the local businesses hold mooring or jetties, vessels or costly infrastructure and businesses over this waterway which even by viewing the maps includes the Snapper Creek Boat Harbour area it will be confusing. These new proposed boundaries even split of our traditional shallow water foreshore beachers at high watermark from the township of Tin Can Bay which has incensed many locals, given the new boundaries these foreshore areas would be placed in the new electorate of Noosa WHY? Well we do NOT AGREE TO THESE NEW PROPOSED BOUNDARY CHANGES THAT GIVE OUR WATER WAYS TO THE NEW NOOSA ELECTORATE. We find these changers would have a negative impact on many marine and fisheries related business be they commercial or recreational based or even when it comes to decision making on our Tin Can Bay dolphin feeding program at Tin Can Bay given the dolphins movement area in Tin Can Inlet and feeding site would be in the new electorate of Noosa this is unforgivable to the town of Tin Can Bay and Cooloola coast, once again we cannot support the new proposed boundaries and we want the coastal boundaries to remain as is.

We are requesting that the Electoral Commission leave the current Cooloola coastal areas affecting Tin Can Bay, Tin Can Inlet and the Township of Rainbow Beach, Inskip Point and the ajoining beachers as is to the current 2016 Gympie state Electorate boundary area for our Cooloola coast region.

Kind Regards
Lynda & Joe McLeod
118 Emperor St Tin Can Bay 4580 QLD
The Secretary  
Queensland Redistribution Commission

Please find attached 3 x objections to the communities of Miles and Chinchilla being placed in the electorate of Callide.

These objections are made on the ground that this decision is luderick, and as a resident of this area this decision makes no sense at all.

We believe that this decision will have an ongoing devastating effect on our economy, and we will basically be living in 'no mans land'.

Carolyn Porter  
Administrator  
SunWater Limited | Chinchilla  
www.sunwater.com.au
Dear Queensland Redistribution Commission

I wish to lodge my objection to the communities of Miles and Chinchilla being placed in the Electorate of Callide. We simply do not have a community of interest to the north.

Miles and Chinchilla are in the Surat Basin and have little community of interest with so far to the north as we are situated in a different resource basin, catchment, local government area and state department regional boundaries, that run in an east west from Brisbane, Toowoomba and Ipswich. To travel from Miles or Chinchilla to the north of Callide under the current proposal one has to drive through either Taroom or Dalby as there is no direct road connection.

I suggest that the 8728 voters that have been taken from the Condamine Electorate into Warrego be placed in the Callide Electorate. This would enable the Callide Electorate to have a north south community of interest along the Dalby Jandowea Mundubbera Durong Road. Miles and Chinchilla (7448 voters) and possibly areas from Wandoan and Taroom (to make up the numbers) then be located into Warrego where there is community of interest, transport and commerce links.

The clear community of interest on the Western Downs (ie Miles and Chinchilla) is in an east west direction and follows the Warrego Highway.

I strongly urge the Redistribution Commission to reconsider the draft boundaries and follow the east west transport routes for Warrego and the north south transport routes for Callide.

I acknowledge that my submission, including any personal and/or identifying information provided, will be published in its entirety and made available for public inspection.

Yours Faithfully

Signature
Dear Queensland Redistribution Commission

I wish to lodge my objection to the communities of Miles and Chinchilla being placed in the Electorate of Callide. We simply do not have a community of interest to the north.

Miles and Chinchilla are in the Surat Basin and have little community of interest with so far to the north as we are situated in a different resource basin, catchment, local government area and state department regional boundaries, that run in an east west from Brisbane, Toowoomba and Ipswich. To travel from Miles or Chinchilla to the north of Callide under the current proposal one has to drive through either Taroom or Dalby as there is no direct road connection.

I suggest that the 8728 voters that have been taken from the Condamine Electorate into Warrego be placed in the Callide Electorate. This would enable the Callide Electorate to have a north south community of interest along the Dalby Jandowea Mundubbera Durong Road. Miles and Chinchilla (7448 voters) and possibly areas from Wandoan and Taroom (to make up the numbers) then be located into Warrego where there is community of interest, transport and commerce links.

The clear community of interest on the Western Downs (ie Miles and Chinchilla) is in an east west direction and follows the Warrego Highway.

I strongly urge the Redistribution Commission to reconsider the draft boundaries and follow the east west transport routes for Warrego and the north south transport routes for Callide.

I acknowledge that my submission, including any personal and/or identifying information provided, will be published in its entirety and made available for public inspection.

Yours faithfully

[Signature]
Dear Queensland Redistribution Commission

I wish to lodge my objection to the communities of Miles and Chinchilla being placed in the Electorate of Callide. We simply do not have a community of interest to the north.

Miles and Chinchilla are in the Surat Basin and have little community of interest with so far to the north as we are situated in a different resource basin, catchment, local government area and state department regional boundaries, that run in an east west from Brisbane, Toowoomba and Ipswich. To travel from Miles or Chinchilla to the north of Callide under the current proposal one has to drive through either Taroom or Dalby as there is no direct road connection.

I suggest that the 8728 voters that have been taken from the Condamine Electorate into Warrego be placed in the Callide Electorate. This would enable the Callide Electorate to have a north south community of interest along the Dalby Jandowea Mundubbera Durong Road. Miles and Chinchilla (7448 voters) and possibly areas from Wandoan and Taroom (to make up the numbers) then be located into Warrego where there is community of interest, transport and commerce links.

The clear community of interest on the Western Downs (ie Miles and Chinchilla) is in an east west direction and follows the Warrego Highway.

I strongly urge the Redistribution Commission to reconsider the draft boundaries and follow the east west transport routes for Warrego and the north south transport routes for Callide.

I acknowledge that my submission, including any personal and/or identifying information provided, will be published in its entirety and made available for public inspection.

Yours faithfully

Signature
Dear Sir,

We have been made aware of a campaign by the Member for Hinchinbrook, Andrew Cripps, attempting to discredit the Commission in its attempts to alter electoral boundaries to primarily entertain the creation of new electorates and balance all electorates on a per capita basis.

Not only has this campaign called upon constituents of the electorate to protest to the Commission about the township of Tully being removed from the “New” electorate, the campaign has also involved an attempt to muster the Cassowary Coast Regional Council as an ally.

A meeting between some current councillors and Mr Cripps eventuated with an attempt at the next council general meeting on Thursday, March 9, 2017, to pass a resolution criticising the Commission’s work.

In moving the resolution one councillor told the meeting: “.....they have cut off Andrew (Cripps) at the knees....” The resolution failed because of an inability – or lack of understanding – of what boundaries council would, indeed, prefer.

However, today’s edition of the Innisfail Advocate (Wednesday, March 22) calls for comment upon the boundary changes and claims the Cassowary Coast Regional Council has made a submission objecting to the alterations.

To our knowledge council has not done so. The agenda for tomorrow’s council meeting does not indicate such a resolution will be advanced. It is possible it could emerge in general business but the pre-empting of council passing such a motion could possibly be described as an attempt to garner further support for Mr Cripps before the closure of submissions.

We believe the changes to boundaries are necessary for fairness to all and are truthfully based on population demographics rather than a rumoured and inaccurate political bias.

Yours sincerely,

Anne and Lawrie Martin
Online submission for **Gympie**

**Name:** Kathy Baxter  
**Address:** 17 Barramundi St. Tin Can Bay

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**
I am a resident of Tin Can Bay which is in the Gympie Regional Council Shire and I wish to state my objection to the proposed changes to council boundaries. I suspect that the boundary realignment is a lead in to future banning of fishing and crabbing rights in the Tin Can Bay waters area. The proposed realignment will effect me, my family, and will devalue our property enormously, as my house will be in Gympie Regional Council and the waters that I and my family, swim, crab, fish, and boat in, will be subject to different laws. I believe this will depreciate the value of my property, and as such destroy my future that I have worked hard to create over the years. I believe no government will compensate me for the true value of my property or the destruction of my lifestyle and birthright, as I am of aboriginal descent, I object to yet another government grab of my rights.

Submission ID: 67329

Time of Submission: 22 Mar 2017 1:50pm

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.213
Online submission for **All Districts**

**Name:** Margaret Keane  
**Address:** 17 Coomaroo Crescent

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**  
As I have lived in Minyama for nearly 30 years I would like to object to moving electoral boundaries and taking Minyama from the Kawana electorate and placing it in Buderim. We have always been Kawana and would like to stay that way. We have no connection to Buderim at all.

Submission ID: 67330

Time of Submission: 22 Mar 2017 1:58pm

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.213
Online submission for All Districts

Name: Cheryl Phillips  
Address: 20 Maxwell Street New Farm QLD 4005

File Upload: No file uploaded

Text:  
I wish to keep the name as Brisbane Central

Submission ID: 67331
Time of Submission: 22 Mar 2017 2:43pm
Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.213
Online submission for **Kawana**

**Name:** Jessica McKenna  
**Address:** 28 Iluka Avenue, Buddina 4575

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**
I'm writing to express my disbelief and absolute disapproval after being informed of the proposed boundary change which will remove the suburbs of Buddina, Minyama and Parrearra out of the Kawana electorate and transfers them into the Buderim electorate. Kawana Waters, a thriving community of suburbs stretching along the coast, has radically different views and priorities than mountain high urban centre, Buderim. There is no cultural, business or social connections between the suburbs of Kawana and Buderim. Residents who rely on Kawana for medical, educational, cultural and business needs will be alienated if the Kawana suburbs are redistributed to Buderim. Furthermore, it is not fair that our 'local' representative will no longer be local as they will not be from Kawana but instead from Buderim. This will cause issues for funding, membership and volunteers on Kawana's well respected and loved community organisations (such as; Kawana Waters Surf Lifesaving Club, Kawana Scouts, Kawana Community Centre and Kawana Library just to name a few). This is because these organisations will have to compete against the pre-existing Buderim based organisations. I strongly believe the people, community, beliefs and priorities of Kawana are not the same as those shared in Buderim and consequently should not be joined together. Kind regards, Proud member of the community and resident of Buddina, Jessica McKenna

---
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Online submission for Mcconnel

Name: James Cowan
Address: 37 Bess St Windsor Qld 4030

File Upload: No file uploaded

Text:
There are better ways to honour Mary Mcconnel, the founder of Brisbanes first childrens hospital. Keep Brisbane Ventral as the name.

Submission ID: 67333
Time of Submission: 22 Mar 2017 2:58pm
Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.214
22 March, 2017

21 Bahamas Circuit

Kawana Island 4575

To whom it may concern:

As a resident of Parrearra or, as it is known locally, Kawana Island, I wish to lodge my strenuous objection to the mooted boundary redistribution that will see my home taken from the Kawana electorate and placed in the electorate of Buderim.

Living at Kawana Island with my wife and three children, I have come to appreciate the unique sense of community and neighbourhood that comes from living in a coastal environment.

It was specifically this lifestyle, just a few minutes’ drive from Kawana Surf Club, that encouraged my wife and I to move to the area from our previous home in the Brisbane suburbs.

If we had wanted to enjoy the experience of living in a bushy, mountain setting, we would have happily settled in Buderim.

We had that choice, and decided against it.

Therefore, I am stunned and concerned that under a planned redistribution - the necessity for which I cannot fathom - our suburb of Kawana Island would be taken out of Kawana.

While I have nothing against the area of Buderim or the people who choose to enjoy the lifestyle it offers, neither do we have anything in common in terms of shared interests of community concern.

I have serious doubts that a local representative who does not
live near my home, nor even in a similar environment, could possibly share, or understand, local issues that affect me and my family here on the coast.

As it is now, I can walk to the office of my local member and be confident that he lives nearby and probably has first-hand experience of any matter I wish to discuss.

This would not be the case if I had to drive to Buderim.

I live in Kawana, I want to vote in Kawana.

My wife Linda supports me fully in this submission.

Regards,

Michael Steven Colman
Name: Yvonne Cooper  
Address: 20 Rodds Bay Road, Iveragh, QLD 4680

File Upload: No file uploaded

Text:
I object very strongly to the proposed boundary change to the Gladstone Electorate which places me in the Callide Electorate. I live at Iveragh, 35 kilometres from Gladstone. I feel our electoral rolls are probably very much out of date and I hope the decision was made on the current population figures for the Gladstone area and not on the inflated figures which occurred during the last few years of the construction boom. In 1929 the Gladstone Observer newspaper serviced all these districts in the Gladstone Region. Beecher, Benaraby, Bororen, Boyne Valley, Burua, Calliope, Glassford Creek, Iveragh, Littlemore, Many Peaks, Miriam Vale, Mount Jacob, Mount Larcom, Nagoorin, Norton, Raglan, Targinnie, Ubobo, Yarwun. Most of these districts still interact with Gladstone, and have nothing in common with Callide. We go to Gladstone to attend schools, hospital and other health services, childcare, churches, shopping centres and entertainment facilities (the wonderful Gladstone Entertainment and Convention centre) and are part of all the sporting groups and other community clubs all in the Gladstone area. We are all represented by the Gladstone Regional Council. Removing so many people and areas from the Gladstone Electorate seems like a divisive and backward step for our community. Our council should not have to deal with two different members of Parliament. Many of the people being removed from the Gladstone Electorate probably work or travel in the Gladstone area and they would have to deal with the Callide member for transport or other problems in Gladstone. The future of the school bus services are another source of concern for me. I am also very worried about the future of the promised new State High School for Calliope as I doubt it will be a priority for the Callide member. I have lived here for 35 years and I don't want to be represented by the member for Callide. I want to be able to call the Gladstone member and have them deal with my concerns promptly. I believe voting is a responsibility and a privilege and it should not be discarded lightly or nullified by ill-considered decisions. I have always made my vote count. This electorate change could disenfranchise me as I feel my vote will count for nothing in the Callide electorate.
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Online submission for All Districts

Name: Margaret King
Address: 14 NATURI STREET NOOSA HEADS\4567 QUEENSLAND

File Upload: No file uploaded

Text:
Regarding the current proposal for Weyba Downs, and Peregian Beach (West) and other hinterland towns to be removed from Noosa electorate and to include township of Rainbow Beach I feel for many reasons is NOT a suitable decisions for the following:-
People and businesses concerned. They have no community interests with the densely populated urban and industrial area of the proposed Ninderry electorate to the south. Noosa's community of interest clearly includes the areas in Weyba Downs and Peregian Beach West),while it clearly does NOT include the Rainbow Beach locality. It will create a sense of disconnect and segregation. It will detrimental to effective environmental issues and Management of lake Weyba. The existing southern boundary of the Noosa electorate more effectively includes the great majority of existing and future Noosa community.

Submission ID: 67335
Time of Submission: 22 Mar 2017 3:31pm
Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.214
The QRC

I object and **think this is ridiculous** that changes are proposal by the Queensland Redistribution Commission to transfer the suburbs of Minyama, Buddina and Parrearra (Kawana Island) out of Kawana and into the Buderim electorate. Our electorate is a coastal stretch and Buderim is a village on a hilltop. It reminds me of the Noosa Council amalgamation which cost millions and then had to be put back to where it originally was. We will lose are true local identity and I have no desire to travel to Buderim to have my point of view heard or see my local MP who I support. I am a local businessman who employs 12 local staff and I am heavily involved local charities and in the local community and not the Buderim community and have no desire to be part of the Buderim community. Like other areas our major shopping and entertainment is located here and should all naturally remain in the Kawana electorate. Why do you have to interfere? if it’s not broken don’t fix it. I strongly object to any changes.

The QRC have got it wrong.

Kind Regards
Steve Turner
Director

Proud Sponsors of:-

**SunnyKids**
TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN

I am writing to object strongly to the proposed changes to the Kawana electorate to increase the Buderim electoral area!
My reasons for objection are as follows:

1. The areas suggested for change contain many Kawana icons, which would be in competition with Buderim icons for money
2. The Buderim electorate is not a coastal electorate, whereas Kawana is
3. The current member for Buderim shares none of my political ideology after his recent change in political parties.

Leave everything as it is. There is an old adage that states; if it ain’t broke, don’t fix it!!!!!!!

Yours faithfully
Brian Stafford

214/26 St Vincents Court
MINYAMA QLD 4575

Virus-free. www.avast.com
Hi,

We as business owner object to the boundary changes. As from the attached you will see our business will be half in Gympie and half in Noosa.

We object strongly.

Barnacles Dolphin Centre/Cafe
Tin Can Bay
Dolphins left high and dry

TIN Can Bay’s famous dolphin feeding institution may soon be dead in the water, if a redistribution of State electoral boundaries goes ahead as now planned.

The proposed redistribution will cut Tin Can Bay township off from the bay it is named after – and from its dolphins.

The boundary between Gympie and Noosa electorates would run along the waterline, according to maps published recently.

Dolphin feeding advocates fear the town’s oldest tradition, dating back to 1969, will be lost.

All Tin Can Bay and Cooloola Cove residents should be concerned.

environment is represented from Noosa.

Fisherman Joe McLeod, who helped convince the State Government to legislate in favour of dolphin feeding at Norman Point, said the change would also

He said it had been hard enough dealing with the State Government via one elected MP, let alone two.

That would be especially so if one of those representatives, based in Noosa, had no identification with Tin Can Bay.

That representative might effectively be representing issues that hugely affect the area’s environment and economy.

“All Tin Can Bay and Cooloola Cove residents should be concerned,” he said.

“The situation is ludicrous and unbelievable, but very serious, leaving most Cooloola Coast residents
Online submission for All Districts

Name: alan lyle
Address: 14/ 263 gregory terrace spring hill

File Upload: No file uploaded

Text:
Please leave Brisbane Central as Brisbane Central not McConnel.
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Online submission for **All Districts**

**Name:** Junjie Sha  
**Address:** 2103 / 151 George St, Brisbane, QLD 4000

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**  
Dear Sir/Madam, How are you? I am Australian citizen who is currently living in Brisbane Central. I am lodging my submission to keep our seat named Brisbane Central, and not change to McConnel. The Brisbane Central is easy for people to remember and identify it as a CBD. If it is changed to McConnel, it is quite confusing and people may not understand where it is which will cause unnecessary extra cost. And people may also think we don't have CBD here. This is not good for Brisbane as we are the 3rd biggest city in Australia and want to attract more people for business and residence. Moreover, if you are changing the name to celebrate or remember something, it is not a proper way because the name McConnel is also used by private companies such as McConnel Ltd. From my point of view, if we have time and money, it is better to use it for actual work to make our city better or do something for people in need instead of wasting on such a ridiculous thing. Thank you very much! Warm regards, Junjie Sha
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Online submission for **Kawana**

**Name:** Ian Foden  
**Address:** 4 Barellan Avenue Buddina Q 4575

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**

I strongly object to the changing of Kawana's boundaries. Buddina was the first suburb created in Kawana more than 40 years ago. The Mooloolah River has always been the natural boundary separating us from Mooloolaba, Maroochydore, Alexandra Headland, Buderim etc. This also applies to Minyama and Parrearra as well. Your proposed transfer of Buddina, Minyama and Parrearra into the Buderim electorate is illogical and ridiculous. It would remove Kawana Shopping World, Kawana Ambulance Station, Kawana Community Centre, Kawana Scout Group, Kawana Surf Lifesaving, Kawana Waters RSL Sub Branch etc from our existing electorate. We currently vote in all elections at the Kawana Community Centre. I for one would not vote in the Buderim electorate because I have nothing to do with Buderim at any time & wouldn't waste my petrol going up there. There is no valid reason to change our existing boundaries.

Submission ID: 67338

Time of Submission: 22 Mar 2017 4:11pm

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.213
I am writing with regards to the Noosa and Gympie electoral boundary overhaul. I am worried that once Noosa has Rainbow Beach in it's Electorate it won't be long until it's being moved into it's Council. Taking away the beach access for Cooloola/Gympie residence. Rainbow Beach has no connection with Noosa, no affiliation, no history. Noosa has already taken over Double Island Point and part of the access to the beach by Parks and Wildlife now charging us to use our beach. All schooling, shopping, council issues, hospital for Rainbow are addressed in Gympie. Even if there was a connection road, or even if there will be one it'll still be just as quick if not quicker to drive to Gympie. Also how much environmental damage is that connection road going to make. Or will it be ok to destroy another National Park for a road, because a rich community says it is to their benefit. There are already well off people who are trying to make Rainbow into another Noosa. Our beautiful beach pub is gone, instead we now have an ugly, already deteriorating concrete monstrosity, and a Pub so high brow you are worried to enter it in anything else but brand name, upper class outfits. Why can't we just have a sleepy beach town, why does everything have to be destroyed. Leave Rainbow as it is, charming, with Gympie, so Gympie still has it's rights to access the beach without a toll or other limitations. Tiaro and Bauple were always part of Maryborough, leave it that way and maybe give southern parts of the Gympie region to Noosa, like Touchikoi, Carters Ridge and Belli Park. Please consider your money influenced decision before destroying another part of Australia, that is visited because of that exact reason, that it is still unique and simple Australia.
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Online submission for **All Districts, Gympie, Noosa**

**Name:** Mark Lawler  
**Address:** 11 Prospect place Rainbow Beach 4581

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**
Sirs I wish to object to the proposal to move Rainbow Beach, Inskip, and surrounding areas from Gympie district to Noosa district. Rainbow Beach has numerous strong connections with the town of Gympie. To suggest that the areas are well-connected to Noosa (as the proposal does) just ignores reality. To drive to Noosa on a paved road, I have to pass through Gympie! I ask that Rainbow Beach and surrounds be retained in the district of Gympie. Yours faithfully Mark Lawler

Submission ID: 67340  
Time of Submission: 22 Mar 2017 4:22pm  
Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.213
To Whom It May Concern
We are residents of Minyama and wish to object strongly to the redistribution of the boundary to the Kawana electorate.
We moved here nearly 10 years ago and have become very involved in the local electorate of Kawana. Can't believe that it could be considered to remove the Kawana CBD from the Kawana electorate. Not only the CBD but the Kawana Waters RSL Sub-Branch, Kawana Waters Surf Club Lifesaving Club, Kawana Library, Kawana Scouts, Kawana Community Centre, Kawana Rotary Club, Lake Currimundi-Kawana Lions Club, Kawana Waters Chamber of Commerce, Buddina State School, Minyama Neighbourhood Watch, Kawana Companions, Kawana Seniors, Kawana Island Residents Association - and so the list goes on. These community organisations have no connection with the Buderim community and may be forced to compete with existing Buderim-based organisations for funding, membership and volunteers.
Buderim is a community of its own and most residents appear to have little interest in what happens in our area. We seldom visit Buderim and we have no interest - sporting charity social etc. in that area. How are we suppose to suddenly change and know what is happening in this new electorate?
Would Buderim with its own CBD be interested in taking on a new and expanding CBD in Kawana? I don't think so.
Could you please reconsider this redistribution of boundaries - please don't move our respected, well used and much loved community organisations to Buderim.
Stan & Lorraine Hartill-Law
Sent from my iPad
Dear Secretary,

Queensland Redistribution Commission.

We wish to lodge a strong objection to the proposed State Electorates Boundary changes which removes the suburbs of Minyama, Buddina and Parrearra from the Kawana Electorate and transfers them into the Buderim Electorate. Nobody in their right mind will automatically assume that they fall within an electorate titled BUDERIM.

We have built and lived at Warana since moving to the Coast in 1993 and we have strong ties to Kawana Shopping World (with our activities with the Guide Dogs Queensland) and with the Kawana Surf Club (being past Surf Patrol Members) and meeting regularly at Kawana Surf Club (as member of the Kawana Waters RSL Sub-Branch), which holds its meetings there.

We consider it extremely important that we retain our Kawana identity and strongly object to the proposal to include these Kawana icons within the Buderim Electorate Boundary as proposed. We cannot see the need to go to the expense and obvious disappointment to long time Kawana residents to alter this long standing Boundary.

Yours Sincerely
Mr Leonard Moore
Mrs Joan Moore
Ms Christine Moore
121 Oceanic Drive, Warana, QLD 4575
Online submission for **Kawana**

**Name:** Richard Jack Brittain  
**Address:** 6 Richmond Place Minyama QLD 4575k

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**

I strongly object to the proposed move of Minyama, Buddina and Parrearra from the electorate of Kawana to the electorate of Buderim. The township of Buderim sits on a range of hills that is landlocked and comparatively isolated from the beach side strip that is Kawana. Over time the town has extended to the foothills and low lying areas that surround it and this has created a number of 'sub villages' that often include a small shopping area for local residents. Minyama and Buddina offer a wide range of local services such as medical and dental centres, petrol station, Kawana Shopping Centre supermarket and large stores such as Big W and Woolworths etc, local surf club and RSL, a library, restaurants, hotel, beaches, fishing areas, boat ramps and fast food outlets. Many of these facilities are in walking, short driving or public transport distance. These services are also well used by residents of Warana and Bokarina. and as a former resident of Warana, I can attest. My state member is located close by to all of the Kawana suburbs mentioned above and as a resident of the 'Kawana beach strip' there has never been any reason for me to travel within the existing Buderim electorate. However, I do travel within the existing Kawana electorate to visit friends, attend the gym, visit beaches and a range of other activities. Areas such as Minyama, Buddina and Parrearra have similar natural environments to the other beachside areas in Kawana and this differs significantly from Buderim. Local residents and locally based politicians of all persuasions have a vested interest in protecting this environment and the removal of political influence to Buderim is a major concern. While not suggesting this option, it would appear to be more appropriate to move the three areas to the Maroochydore electorate as part of Mooloolaba where there is much more in common. Australia wide, Buderim is associated with, 'Buderim Mountain' not beaches while Kawana and Kawana Waters is associated with the seaside. By extending the electorate of Buderim to include Minyama, Buddina and Parrearra this association may well benefit Buderim but I see absolutely no benefit for Minyama, Buddina and Parrearra. Our area is part and parcel of the Kawana community and electorate and has served us well.
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Online submission for **Kawana**

**Name:** Seamus Rodden  
**Address:** 40 SUNBIRD CHASE PARREARRA 4575

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**

We would like to raise our concerns about the pending changes to state government boundaries and the impact that it will have on the Kawana business community. It is very concerning that the proposed boundary change remove the suburbs of Minyama, Buddina and Parrearra (Kawana Island) out of the Kawana electorate and transfers them into the Buderim electorate. If the draft boundary is finalised in its current form, Kawana will not contain the Kawana Shoppingworld, Kawana Scout Group, Kawana Ambulance Station, Kawana Community Centre, Kawana Surf Lifesaving Club and Kawana Waters RSL Sub-Branch, to name but a few. As one of the long standing community groups in Kawana who has been supporting local business for over 30 years, the Kawana Chamber of Commerce has serious concerns over the pending changes and the impact they will have. We urge you to reconsider these changes and refrain from dividing our community.

Submission ID: 67342

Time of Submission: 22 Mar 2017 5:49pm

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.213
To The Secretary,

I am a member of KAWANA SENIOR CITIZENS, a club which has been in existence for 25 years and has over 100 members. We meet each Thursday in the KAWANA COMMUNITY HALL, which is situated adjacent to the KAWANA LIBRARY. Nearby is KAWANA SHOPPING CENTRE which is where our club does its banking, and the majority of our members shop.

We are very disturbed by the proposal to change the electoral boundary of this area; now Kawana; which will mean it will be included in the Buderim electorate.

How can KAWANA Community Hall, KAWANA Library, KAWANA Shopping Centre, KAWANA Surf Club, KAWANA Senior Citizens, etc, be in the Buderim electorate? We have no association with Buderim and feel it is unjust that we will be expected to vote on matters concerning Buderim at elections.

The members of Kawana Senior Citizens ask that this proposed electoral boundary be reviewed, and left as it is at present time.

Yours Sincerely . . .

Selima Taylor

(President. Kawana Senior Citizens).
22 March 2017 We would like to raise our concerns about the pending changes to state
government boundaries and the impact that it will have on the Kawana business
community. It is very concerning that the proposed boundary change remove the suburbs
of Minyama, Buddina and Parrearra (Kawana Island) out of the Kawana electorate and
transfers them into the Buderim electorate. If the draft boundary is finalised in its current
form, Kawana will not contain the Kawana Shoppingworld, Kawana Scout Group, Kawana
Ambulance Station, Kawana Community Centre, Kawana Surf Lifesaving Club and
Kawana Waters RSL Sub-Branch, to name but a few. As one of the long standing
community groups in Kawana who has been supporting local business for over 30 years,
the Kawana Chamber of Commerce has serious concerns over the pending changes and the
impact they will have. We urge you to reconsider these changes and refrain from dividing
our community.
Submission regarding the draft boundaries of the State Electorate of Warrego

Wish to lodge my objection to the community of Miles being placed in the Electorate of Callide. There is little community of interest between Miles and Chinchilla to the north because of the east west transport corridor (Warrego Highway) that links these communities to the east and west.

Both Miles and Chinchilla are in the Surat Basin and have no community of interest with to the north as they are situated in a different resource basin, catchment, local government area and state department regional boundaries that run in an east west direction.

The clear community of interest on the Western Downs (ie Miles and Chinchilla) is in an east west direction and follows the Warrego Highway. It is disappointing to see the Western Downs Regional Council area split across so many electorates.

I strongly urge the Redistribution Commission to consider how they might enable the communities of Miles and Chinchilla to be included in the Warrego Electorate as the current draft proposal will diminish our ability to have access to state government representation due to the natural barriers and the lack of direct transport routes.

Our communities should not be disadvantaged and dislocated in this way.

I acknowledge that my submission, including any personal and/or identifying information provided, will be published in its entirety and made available for public inspection.

Yours faithfully,

Rodney Stapleton

Signature
Post to The Secretary  
Queensland Redistribution Commission  
GPO Box 1393  
BRISBANE QLD 4001

Or email to boundaries@ecq.qld.gov.au

Name  
Deanna Sesia

Residential Address  
4 37-39 Daisy St Miles

Submission regarding the draft boundaries of the State Electorate of Warrego

Wish to lodge my objection to the community of Miles being placed in the Electorate of Callide. There is little community of interest between Miles and Chinchilla to the north because of the east west transport corridor (Warrego Highway) that links these communities to the east and west.

Both Miles and Chinchilla are in the Surat Basin and have no community of interest with to the north as they are situated in a different resource basin, catchment, local government area and state department regional boundaries that run in an east west direction.

The clear community of interest on the Western Downs (ie Miles and Chinchilla) is in an east west direction and follows the Warrego Highway. It is disappointing to see the Western Downs Regional Council area split across so many electorates.

I strongly urge the Redistribution Commission to consider how they might enable the communities of Miles and Chinchilla to be included in the Warrego Electorate as the current draft proposal will diminish our ability to have access to state government representation due to the natural barriers and the lack of direct transport routes.

Our communities should not be disadvantaged and dislocated in this way.

I acknowledge that my submission, including any personal and/or identifying information provided, will be published in its entirety and made available for public inspection.

Yours faithfully  
Deanna Sesia

Signature
Post to The Secretary
Queensland Redistribution Commission
GPO Box 1393
BRISBANE QLD 4001

Or email to boundaries@ecq.qld.gov.au

Name  Daryl Bein
Residential Address  Hawken Place Miles

Submission regarding the draft boundaries of the State Electorate of Warrego

Wish to lodge my objection to the community of State of Warrego being placed in the Electorate of Callide. There is little community of interest between Miles and Chinchilla to the north because of the east west transport corridor (Warrego Highway) that links these communities to the east and west.

Both Miles and Chinchilla are in the Surat Basin and have no community of interest with to the north as they are situated in a different resource basin, catchment, local government area and state department regional boundaries that run in an east west direction.

The clear community of interest on the Western Downs (ie Miles and Chinchilla) is in an east west direction and follows the Warrego Highway. It is disappointing to see the Western Downs Regional Council area split across so many electorates.

I strongly urge the Redistribution Commission to consider how they might enable the communities of Miles and Chinchilla to be included in the Warrego Electorate as the current draft proposal will diminish our ability to have access to state government representation due to the natural barriers and the lack of direct transport routes.

Our communities should not be disadvantaged and dislocated in this way.

I acknowledge that my submission, including any personal and/or identifying information provided, will be published in its entirety and made available for public inspection.

Yours faithfully

[Signature]
Submission regarding the draft boundaries of the State Electorate of Warrego

Wish to lodge my objection to the community of State of Warrego being placed in the Electorate of Callide. There is little community of interest between Miles and Chinchilla to the north because of the east west transport corridor (Warrego Highway) that links these communities to the east and west.

Both Miles and Chinchilla are in the Surat Basin and have no community of interest with to the north as they are situated in a different resource basin, catchment, local government area and state department regional boundaries that run in an east west direction.

The clear community of interest on the Western Downs (ie Miles and Chinchilla) is in an east west direction and follows the Warrego Highway. It is disappointing to see the Western Downs Regional Council area split across so many electorates.

I strongly urge the Redistribution Commission to consider how they might enable the communities of Miles and Chinchilla to be included in the Warrego Electorate as the current draft proposal will diminish our ability to have access to state government representation due to the natural barriers and the lack of direct transport routes.

Our communities should not be disadvantaged and dislocated in this way.

I acknowledge that my submission, including any personal and/or identifying information provided, will be published in its entirety and made available for public inspection.

Yours faithfully

Signature

lyn Bein
Submission regarding the draft boundaries of the State Electorate of Warrego

Wish to lodge my objection to the community of Miles being placed in the Electorate of Callide. There is little community of interest between Miles and Chinchilla to the north because of the east west transport corridor (Warrego Highway) that links these communities to the east and west.

Both Miles and Chinchilla are in the Surat Basin and have no community of interest with to the north as they are situated in a different resource basin, catchment, local government area and state department regional boundaries that run in an east west direction.

The clear community of interest on the Western Downs (ie Miles and Chinchilla) is in an east west direction and follows the Warrego Highway. It is disappointing to see the Western Downs Regional Council area split across so many electorates.

I strongly urge the Redistribution Commission to consider how they might enable the communities of Miles and Chinchilla to be included in the Warrego Electorate as the current draft proposal will diminish our ability to have access to state government representation due to the natural barriers and the lack of direct transport routes.

Our communities should not be disadvantaged and dislocated in this way.

I acknowledge that my submission, including any personal and/or identifying information provided, will be published in its entirety and made available for public inspection.

Yours faithfully

Signature
Submission regarding the draft boundaries of the State Electorate of Warrego

Wish to lodge my objection to the community of __________________________
being placed in the Electorate of Callide. There is little community of interest between
Miles and Chinchilla to the north because of the east west transport corridor (Warrego
Highway) that links these communities to the east and west.

Both Miles and Chinchilla are in the Surat Basin and have no community of interest
with to the north as they are situated in a different resource basin, catchment, local
government area and state department regional boundaries that run in an east west
direction.

The clear community of interest on the Western Downs (ie Miles and Chinchilla) is in
an east west direction and follows the Warrego Highway. It is disappointing to see the
Western Downs Regional Council area split across so many electorates.

I strongly urge the Redistribution Commission to consider how they might enable the
communities of Miles and Chinchilla to be included in the Warrego Electorate as the
current draft proposal will diminish our ability to have access to state government
representation due to the natural barriers and the lack of direct transport routes.

Our communities should not be disadvantaged and dislocated in this way.

I acknowledge that my submission, including any personal and/or identifying
information provided, will be published in its entirety and made available for public
inspection.

Yours faithfully

Signature __________________________
Post to The Secretary
Queensland Redistribution Commission
GPO Box 1393
BRISBANE QLD 4001

Or email to boundaries@ecq.qld.gov.au

Name Jo Robertson

Residential Address 190 Myall Park Rd, MILES

Submission regarding the draft boundaries of the State Electorate of Warrego

Wish to lodge my objection to the community of Miles being placed in the Electorate of Callide. There is little community of interest between Miles and Chinchilla to the north because of the east west transport corridor (Warrego Highway) that links these communities to the east and west.

Both Miles and Chinchilla are in the Surat Basin and have no community of interest with to the north as they are situated in a different resource basin, catchment, local government area and state department regional boundaries that run in an east west direction.

The clear community of interest on the Western Downs (ie Miles and Chinchilla) is in an east west direction and follows the Warrego Highway. It is disappointing to see the Western Downs Regional Council area split across so many electorates.

I strongly urge the Redistribution Commission to consider how they might enable the communities of Miles and Chinchilla to be included in the Warrego Electorate as the current draft proposal will diminish our ability to have access to state government representation due to the natural barriers and the lack of direct transport routes.

Our communities should not be disadvantaged and dislocated in this way.

I acknowledge that my submission, including any personal and/or identifying information provided, will be published in its entirety and made available for public inspection.

Yours faithfully

Signature
Post to The Secretary
Queensland Redistribution Commission
GPO Box 1393
BRISBANE QLD 4001

Or email to boundaries@ecq.qld.gov.au

Name Valmae Jennings

Residential Address 2/47 Eighth St Miles QLD 4410

Submission regarding the draft boundaries of the State Electorate of Warrego

Wish to lodge my objection to the community of Miles being placed in the Electorate of Callide. There is little community of interest between Miles and Chinchilla to the north because of the east west transport corridor (Warrego Highway) that links these communities to the east and west.

Both Miles and Chinchilla are in the Surat Basin and have no community of interest with to the north as they are situated in a different resource basin, catchment, local government area and state department regional boundaries that run in an east west direction.

The clear community of interest on the Western Downs (ie Miles and Chinchilla) is in an east west direction and follows the Warrego Highway. It is disappointing to see the Western Downs Regional Council area split across so many electorates.

I strongly urge the Redistribution Commission to consider how they might enable the communities of Miles and Chinchilla to be included in the Warrego Electorate as the current draft proposal will diminish our ability to have access to state government representation due to the natural barriers and the lack of direct transport routes.

Our communities should not be disadvantaged and dislocated in this way.

I acknowledge that my submission, including any personal and/or identifying information provided, will be published in its entirety and made available for public inspection.

Yours faithfully

Signature
Submission regarding the draft boundaries of the State Electorate of Warrego

Wish to lodge my objection to the community of Miles being placed in the Electorate of Callide. There is little community of interest between Miles and Chinchilla to the north because of the east west transport corridor (Warrego Highway) that links these communities to the east and west.

Both Miles and Chinchilla are in the Surat Basin and have no community of interest with to the north as they are situated in a different resource basin, catchment, local government area and state department regional boundaries that run in an east west direction.

The clear community of interest on the Western Downs (ie Miles and Chinchilla) is in an east west direction and follows the Warrego Highway. It is disappointing to see the Western Downs Regional Council area split across so many electorates.

I strongly urge the Redistribution Commission to consider how they might enable the communities of Miles and Chinchilla to be included in the Warrego Electorate as the current draft proposal will diminish our ability to have access to state government representation due to the natural barriers and the lack of direct transport routes.

Our communities should not be disadvantaged and dislocated in this way.

I acknowledge that my submission, including any personal and/or identifying information provided, will be published in its entirety and made available for public inspection.

Yours faithfully

[Signature]
Post to The Secretary  
Queensland Redistribution Commission  
GPO Box 1393  
BRISBANE QLD 4001

Or email to boundaries@ecq.qld.gov.au

Name: Dorothy Upton

Residential Address: 78 Edith St, Miles 4415

Submission regarding the draft boundaries of the State Electorate of Warrego

Wish to lodge my objection to the community of Miles being placed in the Electorate of Callide. There is little community of interest between Miles and Chinchilla to the north because of the east west transport corridor (Warrego Highway) that links these communities to the east and west.

Both Miles and Chinchilla are in the Surat Basin and have no community of interest with to the north as they are situated in a different resource basin, catchment, local government area and state department regional boundaries that run in an east west direction.

The clear community of interest on the Western Downs (ie Miles and Chinchilla) is in an east west direction and follows the Warrego Highway. It is disappointing to see the Western Downs Regional Council area split across so many electorates.

I strongly urge the Redistribution Commission to consider how they might enable the communities of Miles and Chinchilla to be included in the Warrego Electorate as the current draft proposal will diminish our ability to have access to state government representation due to the natural barriers and the lack of direct transport routes.

Our communities should not be disadvantaged and dislocated in this way.

I acknowledge that my submission, including any personal and/or identifying information provided, will be published in its entirety and made available for public inspection.

Yours faithfully

Signature: Dorothy Upton
Post to The Secretary
Queensland Redistribution Commission
GPO Box 1393
BRISBANE QLD 4001

Or email to boundaries@ecq.qld.gov.au

Name David L. Dawson

Residential Address 52 Dawson St Miles Q 4415

Submission regarding the draft boundaries of the State Electorate of Warrego

Wish to lodge my objection to the community of being placed in the Electorate of Callide. There is little community of interest between Miles and Chinchilla to the north because of the east west transport corridor (Warrego Highway) that links these communities to the east and west.

Both Miles and Chinchilla are in the Surat Basin and have no community of interest with to the north as they are situated in a different resource basin, catchment, local government area and state department regional boundaries that run in an east west direction.

The clear community of interest on the Western Downs (ie Miles and Chinchilla) is in an east west direction and follows the Warrego Highway. It is disappointing to see the Western Downs Regional Council area split across so many electorates.

I strongly urge the Redistribution Commission to consider how they might enable the communities of Miles and Chinchilla to be included in the Warrego Electorate as the current draft proposal will diminish our ability to have access to state government representation due to the natural barriers and the lack of direct transport routes.

Our communities should not be disadvantaged and dislocated in this way.

I acknowledge that my submission, including any personal and/or identifying information provided, will be published in its entirety and made available for public inspection.

Yours faithfully

Signature D. L. Dawson
Submission regarding the draft boundaries of the State Electorate of Warrego

Wish to lodge my objection to the community of Miles being placed in the Electorate of Callide. There is little community of interest between Miles and Chinchilla to the north because of the east west transport corridor (Warrego Highway) that links these communities to the east and west.

Both Miles and Chinchilla are in the Surat Basin and have no community of interest with to the north as they are situated in a different resource basin, catchment, local government area and state department regional boundaries that run in an east west direction.

The clear community of interest on the Western Downs (ie Miles and Chinchilla) is in an east west direction and follows the Warrego Highway. It is disappointing to see the Western Downs Regional Council area split across so many electorates.

I strongly urge the Redistribution Commission to consider how they might enable the communities of Miles and Chinchilla to be included in the Warrego Electorate as the current draft proposal will diminish our ability to have access to state government representation due to the natural barriers and the lack of direct transport routes.

Our communities should not be disadvantaged and dislocated in this way.

I acknowledge that my submission, including any personal and/or identifying information provided, will be published in its entirety and made available for public inspection.

Yours faithfully

Signature

J. Davidson
Submission regarding the draft boundaries of the State Electorate of Warrego

Wish to lodge my objection to the community of Miles being placed in the Electorate of Callide. There is little community of interest between Miles and Chinchilla to the north because of the east west transport corridor (Warrego Highway) that links these communities to the east and west.

Both Miles and Chinchilla are in the Surat Basin and have no community of interest with to the north as they are situated in a different resource basin, catchment, local government area and state department regional boundaries that run in an east west direction.

The clear community of interest on the Western Downs (ie Miles and Chinchilla) is in an east west direction and follows the Warrego Highway. It is disappointing to see the Western Downs Regional Council area split across so many electorates.

I strongly urge the Redistribution Commission to consider how they might enable the communities of Miles and Chinchilla to be included in the Warrego Electorate as the current draft proposal will diminish our ability to have access to state government representation due to the natural barriers and the lack of direct transport routes.

Our communities should not be disadvantaged and dislocated in this way.

I acknowledge that my submission, including any personal and/or identifying information provided, will be published in its entirety and made available for public inspection.

Yours faithfully

Signature
Post to The Secretary
Queensland Redistribution Commission
GPO Box 1393
BRISBANE QLD 4001

Or email to boundaries@ecq.qld.gov.au

Name: Lee Mcclean

Residential Address: 61 Warran Street, Miles

Submission regarding the draft boundaries of the State Electorate of Warrego

Wish to lodge my objection to the community of Miles and Chinchilla being placed in the Electorate of Callide. There is little community of interest between Miles and Chinchilla to the north because of the east west transport corridor (Warrego Highway) that links these communities to the east and west.

Both Miles and Chinchilla are in the Sarat Basin and have no community of interest with to the north as they are situated in a different resource basin, catchment, local government area and state department regional boundaries that run in an east west direction.

The clear community of interest on the Western Downs (ie Miles and Chinchilla) is in an east west direction and follows the Warrego Highway. It is disappointing to see the Western Downs Regional Council area split across so many electorates.

I strongly urge the Redistribution Commission to consider how they might enable the communities of Miles and Chinchilla to be included in the Warrego Electorate as the current draft proposal will diminish our ability to have access to state government representation due to the natural barriers and the lack of direct transport routes.

Our communities should not be disadvantaged and dislocated in this way.

I acknowledge that my submission, including any personal and/or identifying information provided, will be published in its entirety and made available for public inspection.

Yours faithfully

Signature: Lee Mcclean
Submission regarding the draft boundaries of the State Electorate of Warrego

Wish to lodge my objection to the community of Miles and Chinchilla being placed in the Electorate of Callide. There is little community of interest between Miles and Chinchilla to the north because of the east west transport corridor (Warrego Highway) that links these communities to the east and west.

Both Miles and Chinchilla are in the Surat Basin and have no community of interest with to the north as they are situated in a different resource basin, catchment, local government area and state department regional boundaries that run in an east west direction.

The clear community of interest on the Western Downs (ie Miles and Chinchilla) is in an east west direction and follows the Warrego Highway. It is disappointing to see the Western Downs Regional Council area split across so many electorates.

I strongly urge the Redistribution Commission to consider how they might enable the communities of Miles and Chinchilla to be included in the Warrego Electorate as the current draft proposal will diminish our ability to have access to state government representation due to the natural barriers and the lack of direct transport routes.

Our communities should not be disadvantaged and dislocated in this way.

I acknowledge that my submission, including any personal and/or identifying information provided, will be published in its entirety and made available for public inspection.

Yours faithfully

[Signature]

Name (Mrs) Laurie Hanbirt
Residential Address 2/51 Daisy St Miles 4415
Submission regarding the draft boundaries of the State Electorate of Warrego

Wish to lodge my objection to the community of Miles being placed in the Electorate of Callide. There is little community of interest between Miles and Chinchilla to the north because of the east west transport corridor (Warrego Highway) that links these communities to the east and west.

Both Miles and Chinchilla are in the Surat Basin and have no community of interest with to the north as they are situated in a different resource basin, catchment, local government area and state department regional boundaries that run in an east west direction.

The clear community of interest on the Western Downs (ie Miles and Chinchilla) is in an east west direction and follows the Warrego Highway. It is disappointing to see the Western Downs Regional Council area split across so many electorates.

I strongly urge the Redistribution Commission to consider how they might enable the communities of Miles and Chinchilla to be included in the Warrego Electorate as the current draft proposal will diminish our ability to have access to state government representation due to the natural barriers and the lack of direct transport routes.

Our communities should not be disadvantaged and dislocated in this way.

I acknowledge that my submission, including any personal and/or identifying information provided, will be published in its entirety and made available for public inspection.

Yours faithfully

Signature

ANDREA WOTTON
Post to The Secretary
Queensland Redistribution Commission
GPO Box 1393
BRISBANE  QLD  4001

Or email to boundaries@ecq.qld.gov.au

Name  Jan  Mullenland

Residential Address  233  HOOKSWOOD  Rd, Miles

Submission regarding the draft boundaries of the State Electorate of Warrego

Wish to lodge my objection to the community of  ____miles____ being placed in the Electorate of Callide. There is little community of interest between Miles and Chinchilla to the north because of the east west transport corridor (Warrego Highway) that links these communities to the east and west.

Both Miles and Chinchilla are in the Surat Basin and have no community of interest with to the north as they are situated in a different resource basin, catchment, local government area and state department regional boundaries that run in an east west direction.

The clear community of interest on the Western Downs (ie Miles and Chinchilla) is in an east west direction and follows the Warrego Highway. It is disappointing to see the Western Downs Regional Council area split across so many electorates.

I strongly urge the Redistribution Commission to consider how they might enable the communities of Miles and Chinchilla to be included in the Warrego Electorate as the current draft proposal will diminish our ability to have access to state government representation due to the natural barriers and the lack of direct transport routes.

Our communities should not be disadvantaged and dislocated in this way.

I acknowledge that my submission, including any personal and/or identifying information provided, will be published in its entirety and made available for public inspection.

Yours faithfully

Signature  Jan  Mullalnd
2EM

R.A. & T. M. Nauschutz
62 John Street,
Jandowae 4410.


The Electoral Commissioner Queensland,

Dear Sir/Madam,

We wish to strongly object to being transferred to the Callide Electorate.

We note that Nanango Electorate now extends to include the Northern section of the Burnett Regional Council, which unites this area.

The Northern Downs section of Nanango has now been included in Condamine Electorate, again showing unity of regions and interests, both being part of Toowoomba Regional Council.

On the negative side, you have completely split the Western Downs Regional Council in half, east to west, with the northern section dumped into Callide, which bears absolutely no relation to this area of Bell, Jandowae, Chinchilla and Miles.

Bell and Jandowae in particular, are naturally aligned to Dalby which is our major shopping centre, business and industry hub, and education facility for our Senior Secondary school students, most of whom then progress to Toowoomba for Tertiary Studies.

We are therefore appealing to you, to reconsider this boundary decision, and allow the Western Downs Regional Council to remain as a whole in the Warrego Electorate.

Yours Sincerely,

R.A. & T.M. Nauschutz.
P.O. Box 111
CHINCHILLA Q143
15th March, 2017
Silent Ph. Number

The Secretary
Queensland Redistribution Commission
G.P.O. Box 1393,
BRISBANE, Q'D 4001.

Dear Sir,

I have to objection to your proposed Redistribution laws regarding the Electorate of Warrego and Callide.

Chinchilla should definitely remain in Warrego because it is situated between Roma and Dalby. The most direct route to travel to Dalby is via the Warrego Highway through the town of Chinchilla from Roma where the State Member's office is — 2 Hour Drive approximately.

It is a 5 Hour Drive Express or a 6 Hour Rest Time Drive to Biloela where the State Member's Office is situated. Biloela is the

.../2
Only major town for the proposed boundary of Collie that Chinchilla voters would have to travel to—in a direct route. Towns in between are Miles, Wandoan, Taroom, Theodore and "Hamlet" of Banana—no major business towns.

The boundary proposed will definitely split the rural town of Chinchilla and our community. I which really means—One person will be speaking what is happening in their electorate while the other will thinking they are talking about their electorate—how confusing for the voters.

Please include Chinchilla and surrounds and redefine the boundary to just outcome for the voters—keeping it in Warrego.

Elector of Warrego
Turner, Eunice Annette, Thistleholme, 54 Cemetery Rd, Chinchilla

F. A. Turner

Elector of Warrego
To

25 COONAWRA ST
BUDDINA 4575

19.3.77

DEAR SIR,

BUDDINA HAS BEEN MY HOME FOR 28 YEARS, SO I AM WRITING TO PROTEST THE PROPOSED REDISTRIBUTION OF THE KALANA WATERS SUBURBS OF BUDDINA, MINYAMA AND PARROANNA—MY ARGUMENTS—

1) THE LIFE STYLES OF THESE SUBURBS ARE VERY DIFFERENT—ONE IS A BOUTIQUE COMMUNITY, THE OTHER IS SEMI-RURAL
2) I AM SURE THOSE LIVING IN BUDDINA ARE AS DISMAYED AS WE ARE—THEY ARE VERY PROUD OF THEIR TOWN AND ITS HISTORY.
3) THE THREE SUBURBS, TOGETHER WITH THOSE TO THE SOUTH, ARE ENJOYING MUCH NEW DEVELOPMENT AND WE ARE MOVING AT A DIFFERENT PACE TO BUDDINA—AN EXAMPLE IS THE PRESENT EXTENSION TO THE "SHOPPING WORLD''.
4) THE "KALANA SHOPPING WORLD' IS THE HEART OF THIS AREA AND SHOULD NOT BE AxESSED!
5) CAN THE M.P. FOR THE NEW ELECTORATE GIVE ADEQUATE ATTENTION TO THE NEEDS OF BOTH COMMUNITIES?
6) WHERE WILL THIS M.P. LOCATE HIS ELECTORAL OFFICE? KALANA OR BUDDINA? CAN IT BE ACESSED EASILY BY THE ELDERLY, AS BUS AND TAXI SERVICES ARE NOT READILY AVAILABLE TO CONNECT BOTH AREAS.

Hoping you will recognise

Miss Valerie Manton,

[Signature]
MESSAGE:

40 Pinnacle St
Lawton 04501
26th February 2007

Queensland Registrar of Titles Commission
P.O. Box 1293, Brisbane

To Whom It May Concern

The people of Pine Rivers are proud
to be in the Pine Rivers area.
Please do not change our old reliable
established name. It will always
be Pine Rivers.
The new university at Pete will
be identified as Pine Rivers.
Arrange the Council Charters to indicate
was good enough.
Please leave well alone.

Thank you, Valma Pugh.

If this facsimile transmission was not received in full, please contact Valma Pugh Interiors on the above phone number.
Ann and Roger GRIGGS
Villa 85-239 Kawana Way
"Island Point Villas"
Parrearra QLD 4575
20-3-17

Queensland
Redistribuion Commission

Dear Sir/Madam

We wish to lodge an objection to the proposed electoral boundary changes as they concern the "Kawana Electorate."

As we are Parrearra "Kawana Island" residents we feel we need to keep "Kawana in Kawana."

The proposed changes make us feel isolated in particular to the "Kawana Shopping World" and more importantly having to go to Buddina to meet with our "Conrad MP."

Hope you can consider this request please.

Yours faithfully

[Signature]
To

THE SECRETARY Q’LBA R.D

BUNDINA 4575

19.3.17

DEAR SIR

BUNDINA HAS BEEN MY HOME FOR 20 YEARS, SO I AM WRITING TO PROTEST THE PROPOSED RE-DISTRIBUTION FOR THE KAWANA WATERS SUBURBS OF BUNDINA, MINYAMA AND PARKERS RN - MY ARGUMENTS ARE

1. THE LIFE STYLES IN THESE SUBURBS ARE VERY DIFFERENT - ONE IS A COSTAL COMMUNITY, THE OTHER IS SEMI RURAL

2. I’M SURE THOSE LIVING IN BUDERIM ARE AS DISMAYED AS WE ARE - THEY TAKE GREAT PRIDE IN THEIR TOWN AND ITS HISTORY.

3. THE THREE SUBURBS TOGETHER WITH THOSE TO THE SOUTH ARE ENJOYING MUCH NEW DEVELOPMENT AND WE ARE MOVING AT A DIFFERENT PACE TO BUDERIM - AN EXAMPLE IS THE PRESENT EXTENSION TO “THE SHOPPING WORLD’.

4. ‘THE KAWANA SHOPPING WORLD’ IS THE HEART OF THIS AREA, AND DEFINITELY SHOULD NOT BE EXCISED!

5. CAN THE M.P FOR THE NEW ELECTORATE GIVE ADEQUATE ATTENTION TO THE NEEDS OF BOTH COMMUNITIES?

6. WHERE WILL THE M.P PLACE HIS ELECTORAL OFFICE? NEAR TO OR BUDERIM? CAN IT BE ACCESSIBLE EASILY BY OTHER PEOPLE? THE BUS AND TAXI SERVICE AT PRESENT IS NOT READILY AVAILABLE TO CONNECT BOTH AREAS - HOPING YOU WILL RE-CONSIDER

LILA M. MONTGOMERY

(L. M. MONTGOMERY)
Dear Queensland Redistribution Commission

I wish to lodge my objection to the communities of Miles and Chinchilla being placed in the Electorate of Callide. We simply do not have a community of interest to the north.

Miles and Chinchilla are in the Surat Basin and have little community of interest with far to the north as we are situated in a different resource basin, catchment, local government area and state department regional boundaries, that run in an east west from Brisbane, Toowoomba and Ipswich. To travel from Miles or Chinchilla to the north of Callide under the current proposal one has to drive through either Tara or Roma as there is no direct road connection.

I suggest that the 8728 voters that have been taken from the Condamine Electorate into Callide be placed in the Callide Electorate. This would enable the Callide Electorate to have a north south community of interest along the Dalby Jandowea Mundubbera Long Road. Miles and Chinchilla (7448 voters) and possibly areas from Wandoan to Tara (to make up the numbers) then be located into Warrego where there is a community of interest, transport and commerce links.

The clear community of interest on the Western Downs (ie Miles and Chinchilla) is in the west direction and follows the Warrego Highway.

I strongly urge the Redistribution Commission to reconsider the draft boundaries and see the east west transport routes for Warrego and the north south transport routes for Callide.

I acknowledge that my submission, including any personal and/or identifying information provided, will be published in its entirety and made available for public inspection.

Yours faithfully,

[Signature]

[Address]

Queensland Redistribution Commission

23 Mar 2017

RECEIVED
Dear Commissioners,

Submission on Redistribution of Queensland State Electoral Boundaries

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the proposed redistribution of the State electoral boundaries. I should like to comment on 2 aspects of the proposed boundary redistribution for the electorate of Noosa.

RAINBOW BEACH
I note that there will be submissions made, by the Liberal National Party and Rainbow Beach community groups, to the Redistribution Commission on the impracticalities of including the township of Rainbow Beach within the electorate of Noosa.

FRIENDS OF LAKE WEYBA
I refer to the submission made by the Friends of Lake Weyba on Monday 20th March 2017, and note that the points made in this submission are sensible and relevant.

If the Commission decides that Rainbow Beach will remain within their own community of interest (Gympie) and there is a subsequent requirement to balance the quota for Noosa, I should like to request that the Commission studies and approves the submission made by the Friends of Lake Weyba.

Yours sincerely,

Glen Elmes MP
Member for Noosa
ARGUMENTS AGAINST REMOVING THE SUBURBS OF MINYAMA, BUDDINA & PARREARRA FROM KAWANA

1. Kawana Waters is an unofficial place name for a coastal stretch of land between Mooloolaba and Caloundra. Our area has been known as Kawana Waters since the early 1960’s and is used by everyone as the commonly referred to name of our area. I don’t believe the QRC has properly taken into consideration the strong communities of interest that are contained in the Kawana Waters region.

2. Within the Kawana Waters area lie the suburbs of Birtinya, Bokarina, Buddina, Minyama, Parrearra, Warana and Wurtulla. Removing Minyama, Buddina and Parrearra from Kawana disconnects the intended grouping of this coastal stretch of suburbs that make up Kawana Waters.

3. One of the connecting features of our community is Kawana Beach, from Point Cartwright to Currimundi Lake, 10 Kilometres of white sand.

4. Since 1959, Kawana has developed into a thriving community. We are serviced by wonderful community organisations including the Kawana Waters RSL Sub-Branch, Kawana Waters Surf Lifesaving Club, Kawana Library, Kawana Scouts, Kawana Community Centre, Kawana Rotary Club, Lake Currimundi-Kawana Lions Club, Kawana Waters Chamber of Commerce, Buddina State School, Minyama Neighbourhood Watch, Kawana Companions, Kawana Seniors and the Kawana Island Residents Association to name but a few. These community organisations have no connection with the Buderim community and may be forced to compete with existing Buderim-based organisations for funding, membership and volunteers. Please don’t move our respected, well used and much loved community organisations to Buderim.

5. I am concerned that by moving Minyama, Buddina and Parrearra into the Buderim electorate, the suburbs and residents will lose true local representation and be forced into an electorate they have no cultural or business connection to. Residents will be forced to drive to the top of Buderim to see their local MP which will result in less engagement between the community and its representative.

6. The electorate of Kawana is predominantly a coastal urban electorate. Buderim falls between the business districts of Maroochydore and Nambour. There is no connection between the coastal urban suburbs of Minyama, Buddina and Parrearra and the Buderim electorate. These coastal-based urban communities are culturally and socially connected with Kawana. Redistributing these Kawana suburbs to Buderim will alienate the residents who strongly rely on Kawana for their work, business, social, educational, medical, community, sport, cultural and shopping needs.

7. Our major shopping and entertainment district is located at the Kawana Shoppingworld and Kawana Waters Hotel which should naturally remain in the Kawana electorate. Kawana Shoppingworld also acts as a major public transport hub, linking directly with the Sunshine Coast University Hospital as well as all other bus routes operating throughout the Kawana electorate.

[Signatures]

FRANCO AMBROSO
1 MOOLOOLAH ISLAND
MINYAMA. Q. 4575.

SEYMOUR GOBLE
1 MOOLOOLAH ISLAND
MINYAMA. Q. 4575.
Dear Queensland Redistribution Commission

I wish to lodge my objection to the communities of Miles and Chinchilla being placed in the Electorate of Callide. We simply do not have a community of interest to the north.

Miles and Chinchilla are in the Sarat Basin and have little community of interest with so far to the north as we are situated in a different resource basin, catchment, local government area and state department regional boundaries, that run in an east west from Brisbane, Toowoomba and Ipswich. To travel from Miles or Chinchilla to the north of Callide under the current proposal one has to drive through either Taroom or Dalby as there is no direct road connection.

I suggest that the 8728 voters that have been taken from the Condamine Electorate into Warrego be placed in the Callide Electorate. This would enable the Callide Electorate to have a north south community of interest along the Dalby Jandowea Mundubbera Durong Road. Miles and Chinchilla (7448 voters) and possibly areas from Wandoan and Taroom (to make up the numbers) then be located into Warrego where there is community of interest, transport and commerce links.

The clear community of interest on the Western Downs (ie Miles and Chinchilla) is in an east west direction and follows the Warrego Highway.

I strongly urge the Redistribution Commission to reconsider the draft boundaries and follow the east west transport routes for Warrego and the north south transport routes for Callide.

I acknowledge that my submission, including any personal and/or identifying information provided, will be published in its entirety and made available for public inspection.

Yours faithfully

CECIL WEIR

Signature
Dear Sir: Shortening in the new 93 seats

I suggest that you review the new seats as indicated below.

Metro Central is the best name fairly absurd, below that mostly named "McConnel" for North Brisbane. The whole State needs an ultra-representative name like Metro Central. The BCC has been outdated since great "Post-Expo 88" growth, so Brisbane is not with local level usage &A's &C's as &D's. A replacement would find PALMERTON for Chesterd

Palmerton is exploring plus the Palmerton Highway a better name than the obsolete, unrepresentative "H15". There would be better than Cooper, Miller, Toole, Maurice & etc.

maybe QRAV as Ted Theodore was a top Premier from his Brisbane Offices, and had nothing to mainly deal with the Gold Coast. Has he at one part of life, what's Barcaldine? While reducing Distinct seats from 5 to 4 was well worthwhile, four (or three or four) new seats, Maraloo still looks too big with Goodwood, etc., Dalby, Marburg and Redbank possibly for better use of "Phantom Votes."

Various commentators think Queensland's fairly new seven regions each with the new DROPS (Proportional Representation) Party in a 120 MP's Party. Offers some examples for two-bear each with Zones of 150 Ks. MP's is a better prospect, more south now 93 seats on increase. If you make recommendations, please consider something like that.

For alterations, further needs Noosa, Gympie needs to induce & South Moreton-Lamb is the need for more inland areas & Callide needs better coastal links, to smaller "H"U's may be better distributed?

The use of "Braun" (mostly) assists names go against the growing trend for integration and claims for community. Metro South and the more will emphasise worthy personalities with integration leading to better integrity.

Yours sincerely, Bruce Stewart M.R.C.S.
Dear Sir or Madam,

Re: Proposal to include Flagstone in the new electorate of Jordan

I strongly object to the above proposal because I do not know anything about the rest of the area that would be in the electorate of Jordan. I never go that way; I don’t know how to get there; I do know there is a lot of bush between Flagstone and the direction of Springfield thus isolating Flagstone from the rest of the proposed electorate of Jordan. There is no transport to get there and it would cost a lot more by taxi to get there then it does to get to the Jimboomba shops. It takes 9 minutes from my house to the Jimboomba shops which is where I currently shop and where my doctor is and I am familiar with. My son tells me that it would probably take more than 30 minutes to get to the Springfield shops and I would have to be driven outside the electorate of Jordan and back in again; therefore I cannot see any reason to relate to that area which I presume is where the new State Electorate Office would be located. Currently if I want to get to the State Electoral Office of Logan I simply pop in when I am up that way e.g. Browns Plains which I frequent regularly.

The most direct access to Flagstone which is currently part of Jimboomba is via the Mt Lindsay Highway. All my family that live in Flagstone regularly go via the Mt Lindsay Highway when leaving and returning to Flagstone. If the Flagstone area is relocated to Jordan the residence in Flagstone which includes me and other family members would no longer be involved in any upgrade of any State roads and infrastructure - which we rely on.

I feel Flagstone would be a forgotten area and the residence would not have any say in who is elected to represent the infrastructure which our area relies on.

When asked where I live I reply Jimboomba. I relate to Jimboomba and the community in it. Springfield, to me is part of Ipswich which is another town and miles/kilometers away.

Please consider the residence of Flagstone when making your decision.

Thanking you in anticipation,

Yours faithfully,

Tricia McLoughlin
Resident of Jimboomba
Dear Queensland Redistribution Commission

I wish to lodge my objection to the communities of Miles and Chinchilla being placed in the Electorate of Callide. We simply do not have a community of interest to the north.

Miles and Chinchilla are in the Surat Basin and have little community of interest with so far to the north as we are situated in a different resource basin, catchment, local government area and state department regional boundaries, that run in an east west from Brisbane, Toowoomba and Ipswich. To travel from Miles or Chinchilla to the north of Callide under the current proposal one has to drive through either Taroom or Dalby as there is no direct road connection.

I suggest that the 8728 voters that have been taken from the Condamine Electorate into Warrego be placed in the Callide Electorate. This would enable the Callide Electorate to have a north south community of interest along the Dalby Jandowea Mundubbera Durong Road. Miles and Chinchilla (7448 voters) and possibly areas from Wandoan and Taroom (to make up the numbers) then be located into Warrego where there is community of interest, transport and commerce links.

The clear community of interest on the Western Downs (ie Miles and Chinchilla) is in an east west direction and follows the Warrego Highway.

I strongly urge the Redistribution Commission to reconsider the draft boundaries and follow the east west transport routes for Warrego and the north south transport routes for Callide.

I acknowledge that my submission, including any personal and/or identifying information provided, will be published in its entirety and made available for public inspection.

Yours faithfully

[Signature]

Obj-1119
Dear Queensland Redistribution Commission

I wish to lodge my objection to the communities of Miles and Chinchilla being placed in the Electorate of Callide. We simply do not have a community of interest to the north.

Miles and Chinchilla are in the Surat Basin and have little community of interest with so far to the north as we are situated in a different resource basin, catchment, local government area and state department regional boundaries, that run in an east west from Brisbane, Toowoomba and Ipswich. To travel from Miles or Chinchilla to the north of Callide under the current proposal one has to drive through either Taroom or Dalby as there is no direct road connection.

I suggest that the 8728 voters that have been taken from the Condamine Electorate into Warrego be placed in the Callide Electorate. This would enable the Callide Electorate to have a north south community of interest along the Dalby Jandowea Mundubbera Durong Road. Miles and Chinchilla (7448 voters) and possibly areas from Wandoan and Taroom (to make up the numbers) then be located into Warrego where there is community of interest, transport and commerce links.

The clear community of interest on the Western Downs (ie Miles and Chinchilla) is in an east west direction and follows the Warrego Highway.

I strongly urge the Redistribution Commission to reconsider the draft boundaries and follow the east west transport routes for Warrego and the north south transport routes for Callide.

I acknowledge that my submission, including any personal and/or identifying information provided, will be published in its entirety and made available for public inspection.

Yours faithfully

[Signature]

QUEENSLAND REDISTRIBUTION COMMISSION
23 MAR 2017
RECEIVED
Dear Queensland Redistribution Commission

I wish to lodge my objection to the communities of Miles and Chinchilla being placed in the Electorate of Callide. We simply do not have a community of interest to the north.

Miles and Chinchilla are in the Surat Basin and have little community of interest with so far to the north as we are situated in a different resource basin, catchment, local government area and state department regional boundaries, that run in an east west from Brisbane, Toowoomba and Ipswich. To travel from Miles or Chinchilla to the north of Callide under the current proposal one has to drive through either Taroom or Dalby as there is no direct road connection.

I suggest that the 8728 voters that have been taken from the Condamine Electorate into Warrego be placed in the Callide Electorate. This would enable the Callide Electorate to have a north south community of interest along the Dalby Jandowea Mundubbera Durong Road. Miles and Chinchilla (7448 voters) and possibly areas from Wandoan and Taroom (to make up the numbers) then be located into Warrego where there is community of interest, transport and commerce links.

The clear community of interest on the Western Downs (ie Miles and Chinchilla) is in an east west direction and follows the Warrego Highway.

I strongly urge the Redistribution Commission to reconsider the draft boundaries and follow the east west transport routes for Warrego and the north south transport routes for Callide.

I acknowledge that my submission, including any personal and/or identifying information provided, will be published in its entirety and made available for public inspection.

Yours faithfully

Signature
Dear Queensland Redistribution Commission

I wish to lodge my objection to the communities of Miles and Chinchilla being placed in the Electorate of Callide. We simply do not have a community of interest to the north.

Miles and Chinchilla are in the Surat Basin and have little community of interest with so far to the north as we are situated in a different resource basin, catchment, local government area and state department regional boundaries, that run in an east west from Brisbane, Toowoomba and Ipswich. To travel from Miles or Chinchilla to the north of Callide under the current proposal one has to drive through either Taroom or Dalby as there is no direct road connection.

I suggest that the 8728 voters that have been taken from the Condamine Electorate into Warrego be placed in the Callide Electorate. This would enable the Callide Electorate to have a north south community of interest along the Dalby Jandowea Mundubbera Durong Road. Miles and Chinchilla (7448 voters) and possibly areas from Wandoan and Taroom (to make up the numbers) then be located into Warrego where there is community of interest, transport and commerce links.

The clear community of interest on the Western Downs (ie Miles and Chinchilla) is in an east west direction and follows the Warrego Highway.

I strongly urge the Redistribution Commission to reconsider the draft boundaries and follow the east west transport routes for Warrego and the north south transport routes for Callide.

I acknowledge that my submission, including any personal and/or identifying information provided, will be published in its entirety and made available for public inspection.

Yours faithfully

Signature
Dear Queensland Redistribution Commission

I wish to lodge my objection to the communities of Miles and Chinchilla being placed in the Electorate of Callide. We simply do not have a community of interest to the north.

Miles and Chinchilla are in the Surat Basin and have little community of interest with so far to the north as we are situated in a different resource basin, catchment, local government area and state department regional boundaries, that run in an east west from Brisbane, Toowoomba and Ipswich. To travel from Miles or Chinchilla to the north of Callide under the current proposal one has to drive through either Taroom or Dalby as there is no direct road connection.

I suggest that the 8728 voters that have been taken from the Condamine Electorate into Warrego be placed in the Callide Electorate. This would enable the Callide Electorate to have a north south community of interest along the Dalby Jandowea Mundubbera Durong Road. Miles and Chinchilla (7448 voters) and possibly areas from Wandoan and Taroom (to make up the numbers) then be located into Warrego where there is community of interest, transport and commerce links.

The clear community of interest on the Western Downs (ie Miles and Chinchilla) is in an east west direction and follows the Warrego Highway.

I strongly urge the Redistribution Commission to reconsider the draft boundaries and follow the east west transport routes for Warrego and the north south transport routes for Callide.

I acknowledge that my submission, including any personal and/or identifying information provided, will be published in its entirety and made available for public inspection.

Yours faithfully

[Signature]
Dear Queensland Redistribution Commission

I wish to lodge my objection to the communities of Miles and Chinchilla being placed in the Electorate of Callide. We simply do not have a community of interest to the north.

Miles and Chinchilla are in the Surat Basin and have little community of interest with so far to the north as we are situated in a different resource basin, catchment, local government area and state department regional boundaries, that run in an east west from Brisbane, Toowoomba and Ipswich. To travel from Miles or Chinchilla to the north of Callide under the current proposal one has to drive through either Taroom or Dalby as there is no direct road connection.

I suggest that the 8728 voters that have been taken from the Condamine Electorate into Warrego be placed in the Callide Electorate. This would enable the Callide Electorate to have a north south community of interest along the Dalby Jandowea Mundubbera Durong Road. Miles and Chinchilla (7448 voters) and possibly areas from Wandoan and Taroom (to make up the numbers) then be located into Warrego where there is community of interest, transport and commerce links.

The clear community of interest on the Western Downs (ie Miles and Chinchilla) is in an east west direction and follows the Warrego Highway.

I strongly urge the Redistribution Commission to reconsider the draft boundaries and follow the east west transport routes for Warrego and the north south transport routes for Callide.

I acknowledge that my submission, including any personal and/or identifying information provided, will be published in its entirety and made available for public inspection.

Yours faithfully

Signature

Queensland Redistribution Commission
23 Mar 2017
RECEIVED
Dear Queensland Redistribution Commission

I wish to lodge my objection to the communities of Miles and Chinchilla being placed in the Electorate of Callide. We simply do not have a community of interest to the north.

Miles and Chinchilla are in the Surat Basin and have little community of interest with so far to the north as we are situated in a different resource basin, catchment, local government area and state department regional boundaries, that run in an east west from Brisbane, Toowoomba and Ipswich. To travel from Miles or Chinchilla to the north of Callide under the current proposal one has to drive through either Taroom or Dalby as there is no direct road connection.

I suggest that the 8728 voters that have been taken from the Condamine Electorate into Warrego be placed in the Callide Electorate. This would enable the Callide Electorate to have a north south community of interest along the Dalby Jandowea Mundubbera Durong Road. Miles and Chinchilla (7448 voters) and possibly areas from Wandoan and Taroom (to make up the numbers) then be located into Warrego where there is community of interest, transport and commerce links.

The clear community of interest on the Western Downs (ie Miles and Chinchilla) is in an east west direction and follows the Warrego Highway.

I strongly urge the Redistribution Commission to reconsider the draft boundaries and follow the east west transport routes for Warrego and the north south transport routes for Callide.

I acknowledge that my submission, including any personal and/or identifying information provided, will be published in its entirety and made available for public inspection.

Yours faithfully

[Signature]
Dear Queensland Redistribution Commission

I wish to lodge my objection to the communities of Miles and Chinchilla being placed in the Electorate of Callide. We simply do not have a community of interest to the north.

Miles and Chinchilla are in the Surat Basin and have little community of interest with so far to the north as we are situated in a different resource basin, catchment, local government area and state department regional boundaries, that run in an east west from Brisbane, Toowoomba and Ipswich. To travel from Miles or Chinchilla to the north of Callide under the current proposal one has to drive through either Taroom or Dalby as there is no direct road connection.

I suggest that the 8728 voters that have been taken from the Condamine Electorate into Warrego be placed in the Callide Electorate. This would enable the Callide Electorate to have a north south community of interest along the Dalby Jandowea Mundubbera Durong Road. Miles and Chinchilla (7448 voters) and possibly areas from Wandoan and Taroom (to make up the numbers) then be located into Warrego where there is community of interest, transport and commerce links.

The clear community of interest on the Western Downs (ie Miles and Chinchilla) is in an east west direction and follows the Warrego Highway.

I strongly urge the Redistribution Commission to reconsider the draft boundaries and follow the east west transport routes for Warrego and the north south transport routes for Callide.

I acknowledge that my submission, including any personal and/or identifying information provided, will be published in its entirety and made available for public inspection.

Yours faithfully

[Signature]
Dear Queensland Redistribution Commission

I wish to lodge my objection to the communities of Miles and Chinchilla being placed in the Electorate of Callide. We simply do not have a community of interest to the north.

Miles and Chinchilla are in the Surat Basin and have little community of interest with so far to the north as we are situated in a different resource basin, catchment, local government area and state department regional boundaries, that run in an east west from Brisbane, Toowoomba and Ipswich. To travel from Miles or Chinchilla to the north of Callide under the current proposal one has to drive through either Taroom or Dalby as there is no direct road connection.

I suggest that the 8728 voters that have been taken from the Condamine Electorate into Warrego be placed in the Callide Electorate. This would enable the Callide Electorate to have a north south community of interest along the Dalby Jandowea Mundubbera Durong Road. Miles and Chinchilla (7448 voters) and possibly areas from Wandoan and Taroom (to make up the numbers) then be located into Warrego where there is community of interest, transport and commerce links.

The clear community of interest on the Western Downs (ie Miles and Chinchilla) is in an east west direction and follows the Warrego Highway.

I strongly urge the Redistribution Commission to reconsider the draft boundaries and follow the east west transport routes for Warrego and the north south transport routes for Callide.

I acknowledge that my submission, including any personal and/or identifying information provided, will be published in its entirety and made available for public inspection.

Yours faithfully

Signature  
O. A. Smith
20 March 2017

The Secretary
Queensland Redistribution Commission
GPO Box 1393
BRISBANE QLD 4001

Dear Sir or Madam

I wish to make a submission regarding the proposed changes to include Rainbow Beach locality in the Noosa Local Council area.

SUBMISSION COUNCIL BOUNDARIES

OBJECTION:
Proposed change of boundaries divides traditional localities and communities.
Proposed change of boundaries would fracture traditional residential local communities and their identity.
Why divide a local area which has a traditional and historical community?
Proposed change excluding Rainbow Beach from the local community would be a detrimental change and would alienate Tin Can Bay and Cooloola Cove as insignificant small coastal areas at the extreme edge of Gympie Council boundary

SUPPORT FOR OBJECTION:
Tin Can Bay Cooloola Cove and Rainbow Beach are a common supportive community of residents, services and businesses.
Originally Queensland’s areas of Counties and Parishes were cared for by community groups.
Councils in Queensland were originally formed to support and represent communities and localities which up till that time only had self help like Progress Associations which provided basic services not governance. Rates (taxes) were like mutual provident or co op type situation everyone put in a little bit and the community gained as a whole. Modern “progress” has seen Councils develop as an authority but many councillors and mayors originally did “serve” with no salary as a service to the community.

Tin Can Bay, Cooloola Cove and Rainbow Beach areas have a history of numerous changes and amalgamations in local authority.

SUGGESTION, ALTERNATIVE BOUNDARY DIVISION AND REQUEST

If State Government insists in dictating a boundary change the change should include the communities and localities of Tin Can Bay, Cooloola Cove as well as Rainbow Beach in Noosa Council. It seems more like common sense to me.
The northern Noosa Boundary could be the waterway adjacent to the Army Range along Tin Can Bay Gympie Road and on to Counter Road. Thereby including all the Waterways, Coastal, Beach and existing National Park Areas

SUPPORT FOR SUGGESTION, ALTERNATIVE BOUNDARY AND REQUEST:

Gympie Council seeming has limited beach coastal or waterway experience.
Gympie Council seems more capable with traditional town and some rural management and “copy cat” tourism.
Gympie Council seems unsuccessful managing safety, beach and environment matters.
It seems to me Gympie Council have divisional disputes with National Parks regarding management, safety, beach and environmental matters at Rainbow Beach.
The current Council depot facilities in Tin Can Bay would be more encompassing, facilitating and economical for Noosa Shire in an area which would be at the remote northern boundary

My understanding as a long term Queensland resident is that:
Noosa Council has long traditional coastal experience.
Noosa Council has a reputation for better responsibility, integrity and independence regarding environment concerns and residents?
Noosa area objected to amalgamation.
Noosa Council seems the envy of communities in other areas
It seems to me Noosa has not travelled the same “road” as Gold Coast and Sunshine Coast areas such as Caloundra. That “road” resulting in safety issues, antisocial behaviour and alienation of many Queensland residents from the areas.
Tin Can Bay Cooloola Cove and Rainbow Beach collectively are unusual and unique. This uniqueness should be valued and protected

Please accept this submission with the sincerity intended

Kind regards

Laurie Martyn
RE Proposed Kawana Electorate Boundaries.
I am writing to you to lodge my objection to your proposed new Kawana Electorate boundaries which remove the suburbs of Minyama, Parrearra and Buddina from the proposed Kawana electorate.

Kooringal Crescent was one of the first streets developed in the then Kawana Waters Estate, which ultimately gave its name to the electorate. The whole area, from Pt Cartwright in the north to Currimundi Lake in the south, was commonly known as Kawana Waters when I first visited the area. We purchased our land in 1969, soon after development began and Kawana Waters certainly was the unofficial place name for the whole area then. It was only after the post office finally arrived at Kawana Shoppingworld that the name Buddina was introduced.

Therefore it is unthinkable to me that the locale in which I live will be removed from the Kawana Electorate.

Kawana Waters is proud of its 10 kilometre stretch of fine white sand beach which stretches unbroken from Pt Cartwright to Currimundi Lake thereby providing connectivity for our coastal urban community.

There is no connectivity between Kawana Waters (that is the suburbs of Buddina, Parrearra and Minyama) and Buderim, which falls between the business districts of Maroochydore and Nambour. Residents generally do not go to Buderim for any social, transport or business reasons. Most prefer our local area where we can access:

- A major shopping and entertainment precinct at Kawana Shoppingworld.
- A major transport hub also at Kawana Shoppingworld.
- A major Health precinct.
- A major Sporting precinct.

I am aware that you have a difficult job to perform and so I offer the following alternative:

- Leave the Kawana Electorate’s present northern and western boundaries provided by the Mooloolah River and Sunshine Coast Motorway in the north and the Bruce Highway in the west in place. These provide natural boundaries.
- Realign the electorate’s southern boundary so that it is formed by a line joining the present boundary at Currimundi Creek to Caloundra Road traversing Saffron Drive and Parklands Boulevard.
Once again, I state my objection to your proposed change to the Kawana Electorate Boundaries which excises Parrearra, Minyama and Buddina from the electorate.

Yours sincerely

Margaret Crevola
CURRENT KAWANA BOUNDARY

Includes Minyama, Buddina and Parrearra suburbs in the north-east of the electorate.

PROPOSED KAWANA BOUNDARY

Transfers Minyama, Buddina and Parrearra suburbs to the Buderim electorate.
26 KOORINGAL CRESCENT
BUDDINA
QLD 4575
PO BOX 941 BUDDINA
19 MAR 2017.

The Secretary
Queensland Boundaries Commission
GPO Box 1393
Brisbane
Qld 4001
Dear Sir/ Madam

RE Proposed Kawana Electorate Boundaries.
I am writing to you to lodge my objection to your proposed new Kawana Electorate boundaries which remove the suburbs of Minyama, Parrearra and Buddina from the proposed Kawana electorate.

Kooringal Crescent was one of the first streets developed in the then Kawana Waters Estate, which ultimately gave its name to the electorate. The whole area, from Pt Cartwright in the north to Currimundi Lake in the south, was commonly known as Kawana Waters when I first visited the area. We purchased our land in 1969, soon after development began and Kawana Waters certainly was the unofficial place name for the whole area then. It was only after the post office finally arrived at Kawana Shoppingworld that the name Buddina was introduced.

Therefore it is unthinkable to me that the locale in which I live will be removed from the Kawana Electorate.

Kawana Waters is proud of its 10 kilometre stretch of fine white sand beach which stretches unbroken from Pt Cartwright to Currimundi Lake thereby providing connectivity for our coastal urban community. The Kawana Waters Surf Lifesaving Club, of which I have been a member for many years, services this coastal urban strip.

There is no connectivity between Kawana Waters (that is the suburbs of Buddina, Parrearra and Minyama) and Buderim, which falls between the business districts of Maroochydore and Nambour. Residents generally do not go to Buderim for any social, transport or business reasons. Most prefer our local area where we can access;

- A major shopping and entertainment precinct at Kawana Shoppingworld.
- A major transport hub also at Kawana Shoppingworld.
- A major Health precinct.
- A major Sporting precinct.

I am aware that you have a difficult job to perform and so I offer the following alternative;

- Leave the Kawana Electorate's present northern and western boundaries provided by the Mooloolah River and Sunshine Coast Motorway in the north and the Bruce Highway in the west, in place. These provide natural boundaries.
- Realign the electorate’s southern boundary so that it is formed by a line joining the present boundary at Currimundi Creek to Caloundra Road traversing Saffron Drive and Parklands Boulevard.

Once again, I state my objection to your proposed change to the Kawana Electorate Boundaries which excises Parrearra, Minyama and Buddina from the electorate.

Yours sincerely,

[Signature]

David Crevola
CURRENT KAWANA BOUNDARY

Includes Minyama, Buddina and Parrearra suburbs in the north-east of the electorate.

PROPOSED KAWANA BOUNDARY

Transfers Minyama, Buddina and Parrearra suburbs to the Buderim electorate.
Dear Queensland Redistribution Commission

I wish to lodge my objection to the communities of Miles and Chinchilla being placed in the Electorate of Callide. We simply do not have a community of interest to the north.

Miles and Chinchilla are in the Surat Basin and have little community of interest with so far to the north as we are situated in a different resource basin, catchment, local government area and state department regional boundaries, that run in an east west from Brisbane, Toowoomba and Ipswich. To travel from Miles or Chinchilla to the north of Callide under the current proposal one has to drive through either Targoom or Dalby as there is no direct road connection.

I suggest that the 5728 voters that have been taken from the Condamine Electorate into Warrego be placed in the Callide Electorate. This would enable the Callide Electorate to have a north south community of interest along the Dalby Jandowea Mundubbera Durong Road. Miles and Chinchilla (7448 voters) and possibly areas from Wandoan and Targoom (to make up the numbers) then be located into Warrego where there is community of interest, transport and commerce links.

The clear community of interest on the Western Downs (ie Miles and Chinchilla) is in an east west direction and follows the Warrego Highway.

I strongly urge the Redistribution Commission to reconsider the draft boundaries and follow the east west transport routes for Warrego and the north south transport routes for Callide.

I acknowledge that my submission, including any personal and/or identifying information provided, will be published in its entirety and made available for public inspection.

Yours faithfully

[Signature]
Dear Queensland Redistribution Commission

I wish to lodge my objection to the communities of Miles and Chinchilla being placed in the Electorate of Callide. We simply do not have a community of interest to the north.

Miles and Chinchilla are in the Surat Basin and have little community of interest with so far to the north as we are situated in a different resource basin, catchment, local government area and state department regional boundaries, that run in an east west from Brisbane, Toowoomba and Ipswich. To travel from Miles or Chinchilla to the north of Callide under the current proposal one has to drive through either Taraum or Dalby as there is no direct road connection.

I suggest that the 8728 voters that have been taken from the Condamine Electorate into Warrego be placed in the Callide Electorate. This would enable the Callide Electorate to have a north south community of interest along the Dalby Jandowea Mundubbera Durong Road. Miles and Chinchilla (7448 voters) and possibly areas from Wandoan and Taraum (to make up the numbers) then be located into Warrego where there is community of interest, transport and commerce links.

The clear community of interest on the Western Downs (ie Miles and Chinchilla) is in an east west direction and follows the Warrego Highway.

I strongly urge the Redistribution Commission to reconsider the draft boundaries and follow the east west transport routes for Warrego and the north south transport routes for Callide.

I acknowledge that my submission, including any personal and/or identifying information provided, will be published in its entirety and made available for public inspection.

Yours faithfully

F.H. Turner

Signature
MS Pauline Matthews,
133/2 Grand Parade,
Parrearra Qld. 4575.
20th March 2017.

The Secretary,
Queensland Redistribution Commission.

I object to the proposed electoral boundary change to move Kawana to Buderim.

Kawana is predominately a coastal electorate and over the years has developed into a thriving

community with many organisations which have no connection with the Buderim community

whatsoever.

I for one would be very upset to see our much loved community change and therefore think

Kawana should stay as is, to continue with our local representation which is serving us very well

indeed.

So please keep KAWANA IN KAWANA for us all to continue enjoying our wonderful community

and many innumerable services.

Regards,

P.G. Matthews
Dear Queensland Redistribution Commission

I wish to lodge my objection to the communities of Miles and Chinchilla being placed in the Electorate of Callide. We simply do not have a community of interest to the north.

Miles and Chinchilla are in the Sarat Basin and have little community of interest with so far to the north as we are situated in a different resource basin, catchment, local government area and state department regional boundaries, that run in an east west from Brisbane, Toowoomba and Ipswich. To travel from Miles or Chinchilla to the north of Callide under the current proposal one has to drive through either Taroom or Dalby as there is no direct road connection.

I suggest that the 8728 voters that have been taken from the Condamine Electorate into Warrego be placed in the Callide Electorate. This would enable the Callide Electorate to have a north south community of interest along the Dalby Jandowea Mundubbera Durong Road. Miles and Chinchilla (7448 voters) and possibly areas from Wandoan and Taroom (to make up the numbers) then be located into Warrego where there is community of interest, transport and commerce links.

The clear community of interest on the Western Downs (ie Miles and Chinchilla) is in an east west direction and follows the Warrego Highway.

I strongly urge the Redistribution Commission to reconsider the draft boundaries and follow the east west transport routes for Warrego and the north south transport routes for Callide.

I acknowledge that my submission, including any personal and/or identifying information provided, will be published in its entirety and made available for public inspection.

Yours faithfully

Signature
Dear Queensland Redistribution Commission

I wish to lodge my objection to the communities of Miles and Chinchilla being placed in the Electorate of Callide. We simply do not have a community of interest to the north.

Miles and Chinchilla are in the Surat Basin and have little community of interest with so far to the north as we are situated in a different resource basin, catchment, local government area and state department regional boundaries, that run in an east west from Brisbane, Toowoomba and Ipswich. To travel from Miles or Chinchilla to the north of Callide under the current proposal one has to drive through either Taroom or Dalby as there is no direct road connection.

I suggest that the 8728 voters that have been taken from the Condamine Electorate into Warrego be placed in the Callide Electorate. This would enable the Callide Electorate to have a north south community of interest along the Dalby Jandowea Mundubbera Durong Road. Miles and Chinchilla (7448 voters) and possibly areas from Wandoan and Taroom (to make up the numbers) then be located into Warrego where there is community of interest, transport and commerce links.

The clear community of interest on the Western Downs (ie Miles and Chinchilla) is in an east west direction and follows the Warrego Highway.

I strongly urge the Redistribution Commission to reconsider the draft boundaries and follow the east west transport routes for Warrego and the north south transport routes for Callide.

I acknowledge that my submission, including any personal and/or identifying information provided, will be published in its entirety and made available for public inspection.

Yours faithfully

[Signature]

Name: LAURETTA BUETTLE
Residential Address: 17 TARA RD, CHINCHILLA 4413

Before 27th March 2017
Dear Queensland Redistribution Commission

I wish to lodge my objection to the communities of Miles and Chinchilla being placed in the Electorate of Callide. We simply do not have a community of interest to the north. Miles and Chinchilla are in the Surat Basin and have little community of interest with so far to the north as we are situated in a different resource basin, catchment, local government area and state department regional boundaries, that run in an east west from Brisbane, Toowoomba and Ipswich. To travel from Miles or Chinchilla to the north of Callide under the current proposal one has to drive through either Taroom or Dalby as there is no direct road connection.

I suggest that the 8728 voters that have been taken from the Condamine Electorate into Warrego be placed in the Callide Electorate. This would enable the Callide Electorate to have a north south community of interest along the Dalby Jandowea Mundubbera Durong Road. Miles and Chinchilla (7448 voters) and possibly areas from Wandoan and Taroom (to make up the numbers) then be located into Warrego where there is community of interest, transport and commerce links.

The clear community of interest on the Western Downs (ie Miles and Chinchilla) is in an east west direction and follows the Warrego Highway.

I strongly urge the Redistribution Commission to reconsider the draft boundaries and follow the east west transport routes for Warrego and the north south transport routes for Callide.

I acknowledge that my submission, including any personal and/or identifying information provided, will be published in its entirety and made available for public inspection.

Yours faithfully

Signature
Dear Householder

There is currently a proposal by the Queensland Redistribution Commission to locate the communities of Miles and Chinchilla into the Calounda Electorate.


The only way to change this proposal is for members of the community to individually object against the current draft proposal to locate Miles and Chinchilla in the Calounda Electorate.

On the opposite page are some suggested objection comments you may wish to make. Please feel free to add your own personal comments and arguments should you wish however note that they will be published online.

Objections can be made by post to
The Secretary
Queensland Redistribution Commission
GPO Box 1393
BRISBANE QLD 4001

Or email to boundaries@ecq.qld.gov.au

Or online at [http://boundaries.ecq.qld.gov.au/have-your-say/make-a-submission](http://boundaries.ecq.qld.gov.au/have-your-say/make-a-submission)

Objections must be into the Commission by 27th March 2017.

If you have any questions be sure to contact us.

Kind Regards

Rodney Smith
Chinchilla

Julie Goring
Chinchilla

Please leave us alone – We don’t need to be more isolated & forgotten.
Dear Queensland Redistribution Commission

I wish to lodge my objection to the communities of Miles and Chinchilla being placed in the Electorate of Callide. We simply do not have a community of interest to the north.

Miles and Chinchilla are in the Surat Basin and have little community of interest with so far to the north as we are situated in a different resource basin, catchment, local government area and state department regional boundaries, that run in an east west from Brisbane, Toowoomba and Ipswich. To travel from Miles or Chinchilla to the north of Callide under the current proposal one has to drive through either Taroom or Dalby as there is no direct road connection.

I suggest that the 8728 voters that have been taken from the Condamine Electorate into Warrego be placed in the Callide Electorate. This would enable the Callide Electorate to have a north south community of interest along the Dalby Jandowea Mundubbera Durong Road. Miles and Chinchilla (7448 voters) and possibly areas from Wandoan and Taroom (to make up the numbers) then be located into Warrego where there is community of interest, transport and commerce links.

The clear community of interest on the Western Downs (ie Miles and Chinchilla) is in an east west direction and follows the Warrego Highway.

I strongly urge the Redistribution Commission to reconsider the draft boundaries and follow the east west transport routes for Warrego and the north south transport routes for Callide.

I acknowledge that my submission, including any personal and/or identifying information provided, will be published in its entirety and made available for public inspection.

Yours faithfully

Frank & Margaret Rigby

Signature

Frank & Margaret Rigby
Dear Queensland Redistribution Commission

I wish to lodge my objection to the communities of Miles and Chinchilla being placed in the Electorate of Callide. We simply do not have a community of interest to the north.

Miles and Chinchilla are in the Surat Basin and have little community of interest with so far to the north as we are situated in a different resource basin, catchment, local government area and state department regional boundaries, that run in an east west from Brisbane, Toowoomba and Ipswich. To travel from Miles or Chinchilla to the north of Callide under the current proposal one has to drive through either Taroom or Dalby as there is no direct road connection.

I suggest that the 8728 voters that have been taken from the Condamine Electorate into Warrego be placed in the Callide Electorate. This would enable the Callide Electorate to have a north south community of interest along the Dalby Jandowea Mundubbera Durong Road. Miles and Chinchilla (7448 voters) and possibly areas from Wandoan and Taroom (to make up the numbers) then be located into Warrego where there is community of interest, transport and commerce links.

The clear community of interest on the Western Downs (ie Miles and Chinchilla) is in an east west direction and follows the Warrego Highway.

I strongly urge the Redistribution Commission to reconsider the draft boundaries and follow the east west transport routes for Warrego and the north south transport routes for Callide.

I acknowledge that my submission, including any personal and/or identifying information provided, will be published in its entirety and made available for public inspection.

Yours faithfully

Signature

[Stamp: QUEENSLAND REDISTRIBUTION COMMISSION
23 MAR 2017
RECEIVED]
The Secretary,

Dear Sir| Madam,

I am against changing the boundaries from Kasnana to Bedirli.

I have lived here for over 30 years and I can't see any reason to change from here.

Hope you leave it as it is now.

all the best,

Graham & June KACCULKE,

NO 18 MRRINDI ST.

MINYAR A 4515.
19.3.17

To the Secretary

My wife Patricia and myself Armand Balaam living at The Groves in Illinyame are very upset at the proposed changes for the Kawana electorate. It is very unfair and hard to deal with such a stupid proposal. At our age we want peace and harmony.

Thanking you,

Armand & Patricia Balaam
The Groves 812 Loganwood Street
Illinyame

A. Balaam
13 March 2017

Mrs Isabella Blackstock
The Groves
82/8 Longwood Street
MINYAMA QLD 4575

4. Since 1959, Kawana has developed into a thriving community. We are serviced by wonderful community organisations including the Kawana Waters RSL Sub-Branch, Kawana Waters Surf Lifesaving Club, Kawana Library, Kawana Scouts, Kawana Community Centre, Kawana Rotary Club, Lake Currimundi-Kawana Lions Club, Kawana Waters Chamber of Commerce, Buddina State School, Minyama Neighbourhood Watch, Kawana Companions, Kawana Seniors and the Kawana Island Residents Association to name but a few. These community organisations have no connection with the Buderim community and may be forced to compete with existing Buderim-based organisations for funding, membership and volunteers. Please don’t move our respected, well used and much loved community organisations to Buderim.

5. I am concerned that by moving Minyama, Buddina and Parrearra into the Buderim electorate, the suburbs and residents will lose true local representation and be forced into an electorate they have no cultural or business connection to. Residents will be forced to drive to the top of Buderim to see their local MP which will result in less engagement between the community and its representative.

6. The electorate of Kawana is predominantly a coastal urban electorate. Buderim falls between the business districts of Maroochydore and Nambour. There is no connection between the coastal urban suburbs of Minyama, Buddina and Parrearra and the Buderim electorate. These coastal-based urban communities are culturally and socially connected with Kawana. Redistributing these Kawana suburbs to Buderim will alienate the residents who strongly rely on Kawana for their work, business, social, educational, medical, community, sport, cultural and shopping needs.

7. Our major shopping and entertainment district is located at the Kawana Shoppingworld and Kawana Waters Hotel which should naturally remain in the Kawana electorate. Kawana Shoppingworld also acts as a major public transport hub, linking directly with the Sunshine Coast University Hospital as well as all other bus routes operating throughout the Kawana electorate.
Ronald Graham Ross
Patricia Florance Ross
93 Pacific Blvde
Buddina, Qld. 4575

21.3.17

To Queensland Redistribution Commission

We have lived in the same house at 93 Pacific Blvde for 38 yrs and want the current Kawana boundary left as it is. This 10 kilometres from Point Cartwright to Currimundi Lake we have everything we need. We are connected to our Kawana Waters area we have no connection to Buderim. We have children, great grandchildren and grandchildren most living in this area. The thought of us being cut off from Kawana Waters boundary is devastating this is our home at Kawana Waters. Our community not just a boundary to be cut off with no feelings please leave us our current boundary. Not one person I have spoken to is happy with boundaries to be changed its not a line drawn on a map its peoples lives who built this area up over many years its our community. Thanking you

Patricia Ross.
Online submission for All Districts

Name: Barry Dunn
Address: Villa 111/2 Grand Parade, Kawana Island Villas, Parrearra 4575

File Upload: No file uploaded

Text:
I object to the electoral boundary changes being put forward to my area of Kawana. We are serviced by wonderful community groups- Kawana RSL, Kawana Surf lifesaving club, the Kawana, KIRA, the Kawana Community Centre, the local Kawana Lions Club (who do so much good for the community), and many more organisations. To remove Minyama, Buddina, and Parrearra from the existing Kawana Waters area to Buderim electorate will disrupt the tight beachside community that exists today and fracture that beach image of pristine coastal surf side from Pt. Cartwright to Currimundi Lake that the Sunshine Coast has developed over many years. It seems rediculus to group these surfside suburbs with Buderim as it will alienate the residence, who are now closely connected to Kawana for work, business, social, educational, medical, community sport, cultural, and shopping needs.

Submission ID: 67344
Time of Submission: 22 Mar 2017 6:31pm
Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.213
Online submission for All Districts

Name: Helen Oxenham
Address: 49 Mackay Street Windsor, 4030

File Upload: No file uploaded

Text:
I understand that the electoral seat of Brisbane Central is being renamed as McConnel. I wish to lodge my objection to this change. I think the name Brisbane Central is a better reflection of the areas covered within that seat. I am sure that by leaving the name of the seat unchanged there would be the added benefit of being able to reduce state expenditure.

Submission ID: 67345
Time of Submission: 22 Mar 2017 6:44pm
Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.214
From: Boundaries
To: qrcsubmissions
Subject: Submission ID: 67346
Date: Wednesday, 22 March 2017 7:02:34 PM

Online submission for All Districts

Name: Steve Sommerfeld
Address: 1 Mayne Street, Tiaro

File Upload: No file uploaded

Text:
It is with lunacy that a bureaucrat can suggest the proud and rich township of Tiaro be bundled up with the sinking ship of Gympie. You now have to blink twice before you miss Tiaro while driving up the Bruce Highway, compared to the single blink most would give us even only 5 years ago. Tiaro has blown up in recent years. We now have 2 coffee shops and even 2 machinery shops, while still holding onto our 2 pubs! When the rest of Australia is close to recession, Tiaro is booming. Is it the last chance for Gympie to claw back some council and tax revenues since the glory of the mining boom from the 1800s is well and truly over. The best that Gympie can do now is promote that it is one of the last towns in Australia that has a video rental store, pizza hut restaurant with all you can eat and a roller skating rink. But how much would it cost to reprint all the letterheads and billboards, redraw boundary lines, to say Tiaro has joined? I don't think Gympie can even afford this. Unless of course more gold has been found? Even then I don't think Tiaro would want to be considered Gympie, it's just not worth it.

Submission ID: 67346
Time of Submission: 22 Mar 2017 7:02pm
Submission IP Address: 52.59.253.145
Online submission for Kawana

Name: Julie-Anne Hyde
Address: 91 Pacific Boulevard, Buddina 4575

File Upload: No file uploaded

Text:
KEEP BUDDINA IN KAWANA /KAWANA WATERS. Why are you taking the heart of the Kawana community, BUDDINA, out of Kawana. Kawana /Kawana Waters dates back to 1960's when Buddina and Point Cartwright were the original developments of the first master planned community in Qld and were subject to a unique master planning process. Kawana Waters encompasses ocean frontage made up of 4 beaches - Buddina, Warana, Bokarina & Wurtulla (all with postcode 4575!!!!!) and in more recent years lakeside Parrearra and Minyama canals. It is a similar beachside community as Moloolaba and Maroochydore but with less tourists. It is bound by sea, waterways, lakes, canals and the Mooloolah River. Kawana Waters is as implied a seaside area, whereas Buderim is regarded as an urban suburb. Buddina has the Kawana shopping centre, Kawana Surf Club, Kawana Library, Kawana Turtle volunteer group, Kawana Community group, Kawana Tennis Club, Kawana 60's and over group, and soon to be Kawana cinema complex all located in Buddina, the hub of Kawana Waters. Does Buderim now have a claim to these?
DO we see the political representative coming down from the mountain to address concerns in our area. I think not! What you have done with this so called "MINOR CHANGE" is split community interests, and social and regional interests. You have disregarded natural boundaries that have existed for years, i.e. The Mooloolah River, just to get the right numbers!!!! If you have no regard to boundaries that make sense, then consider disregarding the highway that separates Sippy downs, another urban community, with Buderim. Sippy Downs has more in common with Buderim. DON'T MAKE THE MISTAKE OF TAKING THE HEART OUT OF OUR COMMUNITY BY MAKING THIS "minor change".

Submission ID: 67347
Time of Submission: 22 Mar 2017 7:28pm
Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.214
Online submission for **Kawana**

**Name:** Susan Penny  
**Address:** 5 Wingara St Buddina Qld 4575

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**
Since 1959, Kawana has developed into a thriving community. We are services by wonderful community organisations including the Kawana Waters RSL Sub-Branch, Kawana Waters Surf Lifesaving Club, Kawana Library, Kawana Scouts, Kawana Community Centre, Kawana Rotary Club, Lake Currimundi-Kawana Lions Club, Kawana Waters Chamber of Commerce, Buddina State School, Minyama Neighbourhood Watch, Kawana Companions, Kawana Seniors and Kawana Island Residents Association to name but a few. These community organisations have no connection with the Buderim Community and may be forced to compete with existing Buderim-based organisations for funding, membership and volunteers. Please don't move our respected, well used and much loved community organisations to Buderim. The electorate of Kawana is predominantly a coastal urban electorate. Buderim falls between the business districts of Maroochydore and Nambour. There is no connection between the coastal urban suburbs of Minyama, Buddina and Parrearra and the Buderim Electorate. These coastal-based urban communities are culturally and socially connected with Kawana. Redistributing these Kawana suburbs to Buderim will alienate the residents who strongly rely on Kawana for their work, business, social, educational, medical, community sport, cultural and shopping needs.

Submission ID: 67348

Time of Submission: 22 Mar 2017 7:32pm

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.214
Dear Queensland Redistribution Commission

I wish to lodge my objection to the communities of Miles and Chinchilla being placed in the Electorate of Callide. We simply do not have a community of interest to the north.

Miles and Chinchilla are in the Surat Basin and have little community of interest with so far to the north as we are situated in a different resource basin, catchment, local government area and state department regional boundaries, that run in an east west from Brisbane, Toowoomba and Ipswich. To travel from Miles or Chinchilla to the north of Callide under the current proposal one has to drive through either Taroom or Dalby as there is no direct road connection.

I suggest that the 8728 voters that have been taken from the Condamine Electorate into Warrego be placed in the Callide Electorate. This would enable the Callide Electorate to have a north south community of interest along the Dalby Jandowea Mundubbera Durong Road. Miles and Chinchilla (7448 voters) and possibly areas from Wandoan and Taroom (to make up the numbers) then be located into Warrego where there is community of interest, transport and commerce links.

The clear community of interest on the Western Downs (ie Miles and Chinchilla) is in an east west direction and follows the Warrego Highway.

I strongly urge the Redistribution Commission to reconsider the draft boundaries and follow the east west transport routes for Warrego and the north south transport routes for Callide.

I acknowledge that my submission, including any personal and/or identifying information provided, will be published in its entirety and made available for public inspection.

Yours faithfully

Signature
THE SECRETARY QUEENSLAND REDISTRIBUTION

RE GYMPIE NOOSA ELECTORATE BOUNDARIES

What a joke if it wasn't real. I live in Tin Can Bay and work on the water so now I will have to deal with two electorates instead of one. The three towns of the Cooloola coast have nothing in common with the Noosa area. Don't even have a fully sealed road to get to Noosa other than the highway. I am concerned persons who helped draw up these boundaries might of had a conflict of interest. It would good for all concerned if you could see fit to leave the boundaries as they are now not the new ones.

Thank you for your time

M W AND V A CAMPBELL

6 Halibut st TIN CAN BAY 4580
Online submission for **All Districts**

**Name:** JON SHINEBARGER  
**Address:** 3/8 Laisby Drive Spring Hill, Qld 4000

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**
Having lived in Spring Hill for the past 29 years, my neighbours and friends have been served in the best possible way by whatever party is in power through Brisbane Central and myself along with others feel their is no need to change the name, along with others I have come to the electoral commission asking that you don't change the name yours sincerely, Jon Marshall Shinebarger, a registered voter

Submission ID: 67349

Time of Submission: 22 Mar 2017 8:59pm

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.213
Online submission for All Districts

Name: John Haberecht
Address: 60A Victoria Street Kelvin Grove

File Upload: No file uploaded

Text:
I object to changing the name of Brisbane Central. The name describes for residents the nature of the area. The new proposed name has no meaning or connections for residents or anybody else. Furthermore, many other areas have not had their 'Central' name changed.

Submission ID: 67350

Time of Submission: 22 Mar 2017 9:05pm

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.214
Online submission for **All Districts, Kawana**

**Name:** Allan Glover  
**Address:** 332 Mooloolaba Road, Buderim

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**
The suburbs proposed to join Buderim make no sense. These suburbs are attached to Kawana and always considered part of Kawana. They have no relevance to the BUDERIM electorate. Kawana is beach and Buderim is hinterland. It would make more sense to have Sippy Downs attached to Buderim. They even have the same postcode.

---

Submission ID: 67351

Time of Submission: 22 Mar 2017 9:11pm

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.214
Online submission for **All Districts, Kawana**

**Name:** Bec  
**Address:** 14 Mariana Street, Warana 4575  

**File Upload:** No file uploaded  

**Text:**  
There's no need to merge Kawana into Buderim. Kawana is no where near Buderim, therefore, should be left as it is now.

Submission ID: 67352  
Time of Submission: 22 Mar 2017 10:13pm  
Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.213
Dear sirs

I and my wife wish to remain in Kawana and NOT be shifted to a new electorate based on current Buderim electorate.
We live on Kawana Island development
All of our activities are based on this coastal area.
We rarely travel to Buderim and that is to visit friends
Please review your current proposal

John Holme & Christine Holmes
16 Jersey Place Parrearra
Online submission for Maiwar, Miller

Name: Angela Hamilton
Address: 159 Honour Ave Chelmer

File Upload: No file uploaded

Text:
Submission on the removal of the Indooroopilly electorate and the separation of communities in “the Shires” South of the River. As a local resident of the Indooroopilly electorate for many years, I feel VERY strongly that the proposed redistribution of boundaries significantly disrupts the representation of communities in the southern area of the current Indooroopilly electorate. From the looks of your website [and the fact that I already had to select Miller as my electorate], if looks as though the decision has already been made. Hopefully this submission is not too late. Here are my reasons: 1. I live in Chelmer. I have the same POSTCODE as Indooroopilly. My children are in the SCHOOL CATCHMENT for Indooroopilly SHS - not Corinda SHS. My local post office (where parcels get sent if I am not home is Indooroopilly); my closest SUPERMARKET is Indooroopilly. Yes, we are separated by the river but that doesn't separate us in practical things. Whereas on the other hand, I cannot tell you the last time I ever set foot in Tarragindi!! How can a State Member who is interested in Tarragindi's needs have any concern for a place like Chelmer? It is different socio-economically. The political alignment of Chelmer (a Liberal voting area) is vastly different to places like Moorooka or Tarragindi or even Annerley. They are Southern Suburbs, not Western Suburbs. I have more in common with Mt Ommaney than I do Tarragindi! The person/team who did the realignment truly doesn't have an understanding of how Brisbane locals think. I am Brisbane born and bred (fewer & fewer of us around it seems these days) and I AM CONFIDENT my local needs have nothing to do with the needs of these other suburbs. I wouldn't hardly be able to name the main roads that go through Tarragindi! 2. Confusion of identity between Western Suburbs issues and Southern Suburbs issues/representation. The proposed redefined electorate of “Miller” includes the Chelmer, Graceville and parts of the Sherwood localities. These localities traditionally identify as the “Western Suburbs” of Brisbane. However, suburbs such as Tarragindi are a “Southern Suburb” of Brisbane. A good example of the way identities occur is to look at organisation and sporting club names. In the Graceville/Chelmer/Sherwood locale they identify with the “Western Suburbs” of Brisbane. In this area, clubs are named such as: Wests Cricket [Oxley Rd, Graceville], Western Districts Netball [Waratah Ave, Graceville], Western Magpies AFL [Chelmer]). In the Tarragindi area, sporting clubs identify more as “Southern Suburbs”. Examples include “Souths Junior Ruby Club” [Shaftesbury St, Tarragindi], “Souths Ruby Union Club” [Frederick St, Annerley]. The commission in attempting to improve enrolment “north of the river” (p68) and accommodating growth “south of the river” (p68), has failed to consider important considerations that occur in the “west” [Brisbane is not just north/south but there are different identities that exist in the eastern (bayside) suburbs and the west]. 2. Splitting of the “The Shires” In particular the separation of Corinda and I think part of Sherwood localities from the Chelmer-Graceville suburbs. See: http://www.livingintheshires.com.au/about/ (Note: I am not affiliated with this organisation or publication but provide it as additional evidence of the “the Shires” identity and community mindset of the region). Chelmer was originally part of the Sherwood Shire.
Council. Historically. Because Brisbane City Council existed. That is how far back our affiliation with these suburbs goes. And I understand we are not talking Council electorates here (at least Corinda is IN our Council electorate) but it makes sense for it to be in our STATE electorate too. THIS NOW MEANS THAT NEITHER INDOOROOPILLY SHS (THE SCHOOL CATCHMENT MY KIDS ARE IN) NOR CORINDA SHS (THE NEXT CLOSEST STATE HIGH SCHOOL) will be a part of the State Electorate that I am a part of! So much for government politicians being a part of P&C organisations etc. Because they won't even be the politician that I am voting for at the election! 3. Objection to the Name and association with the Labor movement I heard the name of "Miller" electorate for the first time tonight (at our school P&C meeting actually). I went home & googled it. Not only do I think it is completely WRONG that this electorate gets the name of someone who had NO CONNECTIONS with our district but I strongly object that my potential electorate be named after a pioneer trade union organiser, suffragist, who was a key figure in organisations which led to the founding of the Australian Labor Party in Brisbane, Queensland, Australia!! I am a Liberal voter and do not think it is right to name an entire electorate after someone responsible for the founding of the Labor Party! This is wrong and shows bias toward one party. I also do not believe in the trade union movement. They may have had their place at one time but these days they achieve very little but take a lot in union fees (in some industries like nursing I understand you don't get a job unless you are a union member and that is WRONG). So whilst women getting the vote in Miller's day was great the balance has gone too far. And I don't want to be represented by this suffragette trade unionist Labor Party supporter which this is not representative of me or my district. I hope you've read to the bottom of this document. And I hope that it makes a difference. Thank you for reading.

Submission ID: 67353

Time of Submission: 22 Mar 2017 10:52pm

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.213
Online submission for **Kawana**

**Name:** Carolyn Aldrich  
**Address:** 92 Point Cartwright Drive Buddina QLD 4575

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**  
I am most concerned about the proposed new boundaries that transfers my suburb of Buddina from Kawana to the Buderim electorate. The new boundaries mean that Buddina moves from being in an electorate with similar terrain and requirements, mainly the all important stretch of coastline from Point Cartwright to Dicky Beach, to Buderim where their needs and priorities are very much in contrast to ours. The Nicklin Way, our main connection road from Minyama to Caloundra, with the major transport hub at Kawana Shopping world connecting to the new hospital complex area, would then be split between two electorates which makes little sense. Surely it is totally illogical that our main shopping precinct at Kawana Shopping World should be moved from the Kawana electorate to Buderim. The essence of Kawana is it's beach lifestyle, surf culture, new young families and sporting priorities. Non of these lifestyles are connected to Buderim, and indeed the Buderim resident has chosen to distance themselves from the coastal strip. Changing the boundaries to "tack on" the relatively small area of Buddina, Minyama and Parrearra to Buderim makes no sense in either geographical location, residents needs nor harmonious community engagement. I fear that the change of boundaries will cause conflict within communities and will certainly not serve the residents of Buddina, Minyama and Parrearra. When the highest priority of any government is to try and reconnect with the voter and the voters needs, it seems totally counter intuitive to move communities with similar needs to another electorate with completely different requirements.

Submission ID: 67354

Time of Submission: 22 Mar 2017 11:16pm

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.213
Online submission for **All Districts**

**Name:** Rosane Blanco Smy  
**Address:** 1 Seychelles Place, Parrearra

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**  
Kawana is a great community and it belongs right here where we are. Buderim has no relationship with this area, in fact most people from Kawana rarely make it there. It's a bit of a drive anyway. Besides, great developments are happening in Kawana that Buderim will have very little to do with. Don't be ridiculous!

Submission ID: 67355  
Time of Submission: 22 Mar 2017 11:52pm  
Submission IP Address: 52.12.73.217
SUBMISSION TO REDISTRIBUTION COMMISSION

23/3/17

Jerry Franklin
cf 47 Shore st
Russell Is land 4184

The Secretary
Redistribution Commission
Electoral Commission
Queensland

Dear Sir,

I base my submission on common sense, or more appropriately lack of common sense resting on stupidity.

I refer to page 4 section a, b, c, d and f of your proposal (Im guidelines - copy enclosed). Your proposal to move Chenallla, Miles, Dullham, Jandowae, Biloela etc into Callide does not meet your guidelines by any stretch of the imagination. All economic and social interests in these towns evolve within the Maranoa Electorate not Callide. The only way to travel from Jandowae to Biloela is in a private car or an aircraft. This is not acceptable. You state that you wanted many towns. You had your reason Miles or Chenallla there is no way these communities would have been agreeable to your proposal to move them into Callide. Else on page 4 you refer to East-West direction. When I look at a map Callide appears to traverse north-south. Adding Miles and Chenallla etc is definitely
moving south.
Now I understand and accept that the electorate numbers need adjusting hence a redistribution is required. I feel the commission should abide by their own guidelines and above all respect the interest and concerns of people and their committees. This has not happened in your proposal.

I know I am not a learned person as you are (and I applaud your ability). But I am positive that if I had the same figures available to you that I could solve the concerns I have tried to explain in my submission.

Thank you for your indulgence

Terry Franklin

[Signature]
The Act makes it clear that there are a number of guidelines to be considered by the commissioners in making a redistribution, but that in the end it is a matter for the Commission as to what, if any weight, is given to any one of the guidelines (see s. 46(3), (4), and (5).)

The guidelines are set out in section 46 of the Act. They can be summarised as follows:

a. The extent to which there is a community of economic, social, regional or other interest within each proposed electoral district;

b. The ways of communication and travel within each proposed electoral district;

c. The physical features of each proposed electoral district;

d. The boundaries of existing electoral districts;

e. Demographic trends in the State, with a view to ensuring as far as practicable that, on the basis of the trends, the need for another electoral redistribution will not arise under section 39 before it does under section 38.

There is a further discretionary guideline:

f. The Commission may consider the boundaries of local government areas to the extent that it is satisfied that there is a community of economic, social, regional or other interests within each local government area.

The commissioners have in fact taken into account each of the above guidelines in respect of each proposed electoral district. In formulating its proposal the Commission has at times given greater or lesser weight to the various guidelines as the exigencies of each electoral district requires.

The Electoral Districts

The legislative requirement to increase the number of electorates from 89 to 93 made it apparent very early in the commissioner's deliberations, that much more would be required than minor trimming of, and adjustments to, the existing electorates.

The commissioners examined at some length the possibility of creating one of the new electorates in the north of the State, but the spread of the population would not permit this if the districts were to remain, as they must, within the statutory tolerance.

The information available to the Commission makes it clear there is a drift in population from the west to the coast, and more especially, to the south-east corner of the State. The predictions are that this trend will continue. There are areas, particularly south of the Brisbane River, where there is significant residential development. Conversely, there are some other regions of the State showing a significant decline in population.

In the circumstance, the commissioners concluded that the time was appropriate to adopt a fairly robust approach to the redistribution.

It seemed to the commissioners that so far as districts west of the Great Dividing Range were concerned, they should lie in an east-west direction. This conforms in general terms to the major means of communication - the roads and railways. Furthermore, as it happens, a number of government departments have based their regional organisation along these same east-west lines. One of the more significant consequences of this decision was the 'reorientation' of Traeger (currently Mount Isa) which in the past has extended from the Gulf of Carpentaria to the South Australian border.
Dear Sir,

Petition in relation to the proposed change of boundary of the Springwood/Waterford electorates – Rochedale South

I wish to present the attached petition signed by residents of the area of Rochedale South affected by the proposed electoral boundary change to the state electorates of Springwood and Rochedale South.

The reasons I wish to object to the change are as follows and as disclosed on the attached petition:

1. The proposal splits the suburb of Rochedale South between two electorates. We want to keep our suburb in one electorate;
2. We associate more closely with the electorate of Springwood because we use the shops in Springwood as well as other services such as schools, major roads and social clubs;
3. We feel more part of Springwood and the community to the east of the South East Motorway than we do on the other side of the highway; and
4. If we were to be put into the Waterford we believe that our issues would be lost to the large bulk of people in Waterford based a long way from us.

I respectfully request that you consider our objections.

Yours Sincerely,

Peter May

P.S. It was suggested that house and land values would be affected negatively by this change, which influenced people to sign this petition.
Petition to the Queensland Redistribution Commission

We the undersigned are residents of the area bounded by Underwood Road, The Pacific Motorway, and Rochedale Road. We are aware that the proposed redistribution places our area into Waterford, rather than Springwood where we currently reside. We seek to be re-included in the State Electoral District of Springwood for the following reasons:

- The proposal would split our suburb placing half in Springwood and half in Waterford. We want to keep our suburb in one electorate;
- We associate more closely with the electorate of Springwood because we use the shops in Springwood as well as other services such as schools, major roads, along with sporting and social clubs;
- We feel more part of Springwood and the community to the east of the South East Motorway than we do on the other side of the highway.
- If we were to be put into Waterford we believe that our issues would be lost to the large bulk of people in Waterford based a long way from us.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Email</th>
<th>Signature</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Deepak</td>
<td>42 Narrabund St, Rochedale</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ed Garon</td>
<td>56 Narrabund St, Rochedale</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phoenix</td>
<td>32 Narrabund</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jan Wright</td>
<td>28 Narrabund</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amanda</td>
<td>26 Narrabund</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M. Brown</td>
<td>1099 Rochedale Rd</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Andrew</td>
<td>15 Karana Rd</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rosemary</td>
<td>11 Karana Rd</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tony</td>
<td>7 Karana Rd</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diann Gee</td>
<td>7 Karana Rd</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. Moore</td>
<td>11 A Rosedale Ckt</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Petition to the Queensland Redistribution Commission

We the undersigned are residents of the area bounded by Underwood Road, The Pacific Motorway, and Rochedale Road. We are aware that the proposed redistribution places our area into Waterford, rather than Springwood where we currently reside. We seek to be re-included in the State Electoral District of Springwood for the following reasons:

- The proposal would split our suburb placing half in Springwood and half in Waterford. We want to keep our suburb in one electorate;
- We associate more closely with the electorate of Springwood because we use the shops in Springwood as well as other services such as schools, major roads, along with sporting and social clubs;
- We feel more part of Springwood and the community to the east of the South East Motorway than we do on the other side of the highway;
- If we were to be put into Waterford we believe that our issues would be lost to the large bulk of people in Waterford based a long way from us.

| Name           | Address                     | Email | Signature |
|----------------|                            |       |           |
| Lynn Cooper    | 31 Narrawong St RS         |       |           |
| Melissa McDow  | 37 Narrawong St RS         |       |           |
| Ian Grobbela   | 23 Narrawong St RS         |       |           |
| Jim Hindus     | 13 Narrawong St RS         |       |           |
| Ray Adams      | 7 Narrawong St RS          |       |           |
| Jan Hayward    | 3 Narrawong St RS          |       |           |
| John Francis   | 91 Rochedale Rd RS         |       |           |
| C Mcllili      | St Karoonas Cres           |       |           |
| Amanda Grear   | 14 Karoonda Cr             |       |           |
| Ann Wynn       | 23 Karoonda Crescent Cr    |       |           |
| Ray Foster     | 19 Narrawong Cres          |       |           |
| Betty Harris   | 38 Karoonda Cres           |       |           |
| David Jones    | 40 Karoonda Cres           |       |           |
| Heidi May      | 29 Narrawong St            |       |           |
| Peter May      | 26 Woorkaka St RS          |       |           |
| Rod May        | 26 Woorkaka St Rd          |       |           |
| Steve Fuller   |                            |       |           |
Petition to the Queensland Redistribution Commission

We the undersigned are residents of the area bounded by Underwood Road, The Pacific Motorway, and Rochedale Road. We are aware that the proposed redistribution places our area into Waterford, rather than Springwood where we currently reside. We seek to be re-included in the State Electoral District of Springwood for the following reasons:

- The proposal would split our suburb placing half in Springwood and half in Waterford. We want to keep our suburb in one electorate;
- We associate more closely with the electorate of Springwood because we use the shops in Springwood as well as other services such as schools, major roads, along with sporting and social clubs;
- We feel more part of Springwood and the community to the east of the South East Motorway than we do on the other side of the highway.
- If we were to be put into Waterford we believe that our issues would be lost to the large bulk of people in Waterford based a long way from us.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Email</th>
<th>Signature</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>John Smith</td>
<td>6/32 Wooraka St, Rochedale</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paul Jones</td>
<td>16/32 Wooraka St</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lynn</td>
<td>3/32 Wooraka St, Rochedale</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peter</td>
<td>37 Wooraka St, Rochedale</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daniel</td>
<td>51 Wooraka St, Rochedale</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gary Lam</td>
<td>39 Wooraka St, Rochedale</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Claire Lam</td>
<td>39 Wooraka St, Rochedale</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sydney</td>
<td>41 Wooraka St, Rochedale</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kazumi Beatty</td>
<td>35 Wooraka St, Rochedale South</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Samantha</td>
<td>24 Wooraka St, Rochedale</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moletia</td>
<td>22 Wooraka St, Rochedale</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. Duckworth</td>
<td>18 Wooraka St, Rochedale</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K. Hancock</td>
<td>10 Wooraka St, Rochedale</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. Hancock</td>
<td>10 Wooraka St, Rochedale</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R. Peters</td>
<td>4 Wooraka St, Rochedale</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Petition to the Queensland Redistribution Commission

We the undersigned are residents of the area bounded by Underwood Road, The Pacific Motorway, and Rochedale Road. We are aware that the proposed redistribution places our area into Waterford, rather than Springwood where we currently reside. We seek to be re-included in the State Electoral District of Springwood for the following reasons:

- The proposal would split our suburb placing half in Springwood and half in Waterford. We want to keep our suburb in one electorate;
- We associate more closely with the electorate of Springwood because we use the shops in Springwood as well as other services such as schools, major roads, along with sporting and social clubs;
- We feel more part of Springwood and the community to the east of the South East Motorway than we do on the other side of the highway.
- If we were to be put into Waterford we believe that our issues would be lost to the large bulk of people in Waterford based a long way from us.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Email</th>
<th>Signature</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>McKinnon</td>
<td>461 Underwood Rd</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. Czaplinski</td>
<td>3 Woorka St, Rockdale</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N. Viscopoli</td>
<td>5 Woorka St, Rockdale</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N. Viscopoli</td>
<td>5 Woorka St, Rockdale</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T. Maloney</td>
<td>47 Woorka St, Rockdale</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matthew</td>
<td>28 Woorka St</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adam Skoczylas</td>
<td>67 Woorka St, Rockdale</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. Coper</td>
<td>77 Woorka St, South</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. Gordon</td>
<td>31/7 Woorka St, Rockdale</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N. Brown</td>
<td>16 Woorka St</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V. Malica</td>
<td>25 Woorka St</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. Fuller</td>
<td>26 Woorka St, Rockdale</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. Zhang</td>
<td>31 Woorka St</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. Price</td>
<td>25 Woorka St</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. Amos</td>
<td>29 Woorka St</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. Squander</td>
<td>31 Woorka St</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. Fuller</td>
<td>26 Woorka St, Rockdale</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
We the undersigned are residents of the area bounded by Underwood Road, The Pacific Motorway, and Rochedale Road. We are aware that the proposed redistribution places our area into Waterford, rather than Springwood where we currently reside. We seek to be re-included in the State Electoral District of Springwood for the following reasons:

- The proposal would split our suburb placing half in Springwood and half in Waterford. We want to keep our suburb in one electorate;
- We associate more closely with the electorate of Springwood because we use the shops in Springwood as well as other services such as schools, major roads, along with sporting and social clubs;
- We feel more part of Springwood and the community to the east of the South East Motorway than we do on the other side of the highway.
- If we were to be put into Waterford we believe that our issues would be lost to the large bulk of people in Waterford based a long way from us.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Email</th>
<th>Signature</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>D. Brooks</td>
<td>3 Roseland Ave, RS SUD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. McDonald</td>
<td>14 Roseland Ave, RS SUD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. Buck</td>
<td>18 Roseland Ave, RS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. Walsh</td>
<td>22 Roseland Ave, RS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. Walsh</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M. Smith</td>
<td>24 Roseland Ave, RS SUD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K. McBride</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. Hetting</td>
<td>19 Roseland Ave</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. Chin</td>
<td>26 Roseland Ave</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. Chin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y. Liu</td>
<td>40 Rochedale, Roseland Ave</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. Fox</td>
<td>44 Roseland Ave</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. Demir</td>
<td>46 Roseland Ave</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V. Delvecchio</td>
<td>46 Roseland Ave</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. Hipwell</td>
<td>48 Roseland Ave</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R. Hipwell</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Petition to the Queensland Redistribution Commission

We the undersigned are residents of the area bounded by Underwood Road, The Pacific Motorway, and Rochedale Road. We are aware that the proposed redistribution places our area into Waterford, rather than Springwood where we currently reside. We seek to be re-included in the State Electoral District of Springwood for the following reasons:

- The proposal would split our suburb placing half in Springwood and half in Waterford. We want to keep our suburb in one electorate;
- We associate more closely with the electorate of Springwood because we use the shops in Springwood as well as other services such as schools, major roads, along with sporting and social clubs;
- We feel more part of Springwood and the community to the east of the South East Motorway than we do on the other side of the highway.
- If we were to be put into Waterford we believe that our issues would be lost to the large bulk of people in Waterford based a long way from us.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Email</th>
<th>Signature</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Matt Hudson</td>
<td>1069 Rochedale Rd</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May Kurnia</td>
<td>8 Tolverne Street</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coral Irmie</td>
<td>28 Tolverne Street</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hayley Wood</td>
<td>5 Roseland Ave Rochedale</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annette Dickson</td>
<td>7 Roseland Ave South</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Armstrong</td>
<td>11 Roseland Ave R/O</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Craig Sek</td>
<td>21 Roseland Ave Rochedale</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Nisbett</td>
<td>33 Roseland Ave</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angela Newman</td>
<td>Roseland South</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rob Byrnes</td>
<td>37 Anna Marie St/R/O</td>
<td><a href="mailto:robin@live.com">robin@live.com</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lee Seabrook</td>
<td>31 Anna Marie St</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matt Djurovic</td>
<td>27 Anna Marie St</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lori Djurovic</td>
<td>27 Anna Marie St</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kelsie Takay</td>
<td>3 Calowa Street</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Petition to the Queensland Redistribution Commission

We the undersigned are residents of the area bounded by Underwood Road, The Pacific Motorway, and Rochedale Road. We are aware that the proposed redistribution places our area into Waterford, rather than Springwood where we currently reside. We seek to be re-included in the State Electoral District of Springwood for the following reasons:

- The proposal would split our suburb placing half in Springwood and half in Waterford. We want to keep our suburb in one electorate;
- We associate more closely with the electorate of Springwood because we use the shops in Springwood as well as other services such as schools, major roads, along with sporting and social clubs;
- We feel more part of Springwood and the community to the east of the South East Motorway than we do on the other side of the highway.
- If we were to be put into Waterford we believe that our issues would be lost to the large bulk of people in Waterford based a long way from us.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Email</th>
<th>Signature</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Peter</td>
<td>34 Anna-Marie St. Locke</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ben</td>
<td>22 Anna-Marie St. Locke</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corina</td>
<td>32 Anna-Marie St. Locke</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sam</td>
<td>13 Anna-Marie St. Locke</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amy</td>
<td>Anna Marie St. Locke</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russell</td>
<td>6 Anna Marie R.S.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caroline</td>
<td>6 Anna Marie R.S.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michael</td>
<td>8 Anna Marie St. Locke</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terence</td>
<td>43 Rother Dr. Rochedale</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erika</td>
<td>41 Rother Dr. Rochedale</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maxine</td>
<td>19/43 Woodale St. Rochedale</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jessica</td>
<td>10/20/45 bannock st.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peter</td>
<td>24/43 Woora St. Rochedale</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rachel</td>
<td>7/40 Tolverne St. Rochedale</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John</td>
<td>8/40 Tolverne St. Rochedale</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lee</td>
<td>9/40 Tolverne St. Rochedale</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Petition to the Queensland Redistribution Commission

We the undersigned are residents of the area bounded by Underwood Road, The Pacific Motorway, and Rochedale Road. We are aware that the proposed redistribution places our area into Waterford, rather than Springwood where we currently reside. We seek to be re-included in the State Electoral District of Springwood for the following reasons:

- The proposal would split our suburb placing half in Springwood and half in Waterford. We want to keep our suburb in one electorate;
- We associate more closely with the electorate of Springwood because we use the shops in Springwood as well as other services such as schools, major roads, along with sporting and social clubs;
- We feel more part of Springwood and the community to the east of the South East Motorway than we do on the other side of the highway.
- If we were to be put into Waterford we believe that our issues would be lost to the large bulk of people in Waterford based a long way from us.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Email</th>
<th>Signature</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AMANTHEE</td>
<td>12/46 Tolurene St Rochedale South</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROJAN R M</td>
<td>217 Chamberlain Rd</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DON COVE</td>
<td>47 Chamberlain Rd</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YOUNG ROCH</td>
<td>43 Tolurene St Rochedale South</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KERRY MILLER</td>
<td>33 Tolurene St Rochedale South</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PETER SIMMONS</td>
<td>217 Tolurene St Rochedale South</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THOMAS PILLINGTON</td>
<td>18 Tolurene St Rochedale South</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROYAL POOL</td>
<td>9 Tolurene St Rochedale South</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAROL HODSON</td>
<td>14 Tolurene St Rochedale South</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DAVID MILLER</td>
<td>18 Tolurene St Rochedale South</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FELIX WALL</td>
<td>16 Tolurene St Rochedale South</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALLAN SANSFORD</td>
<td>13 Tolurene St Rochedale South</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALLAN PILLINGTON</td>
<td>19 Tolurene St Rochedale South</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NORMAN</td>
<td>30 Tolurene St Rochedale South</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NIMMO</td>
<td>32 Tolurene St Rochedale South</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROOL</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Petition to the Queensland Redistribution Commission

We the undersigned are residents of the area bounded by Underwood Road, The Pacific Motorway, and Rochedale Road. We are aware that the proposed redistribution places our area into Waterford, rather than Springwood where we currently reside. We seek to be re-included in the State Electoral District of Springwood for the following reasons:

- The proposal would split our suburb placing half in Springwood and half in Waterford. We want to keep our suburb in one electorate;
- We associate more closely with the electorate of Springwood because we use the shops in Springwood as well as other services such as schools, major roads, along with sporting and social clubs;
- We feel more part of Springwood and the community to the east of the South East Motorway than we do on the other side of the highway.
- If we were to be put into Waterford we believe that our issues would be lost to the large bulk of people in Waterford based a long way from us.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Email</th>
<th>Signature</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rossiter</td>
<td>31 Bligh St Rochedale</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trilly</td>
<td>27 Bligh St Rochedale</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. Sunny</td>
<td>12 Bligh St Rochedale</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M. Young</td>
<td>12 Bligh St Rochedale</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. Sunny</td>
<td>27 Bligh St Rochedale</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olmstede</td>
<td>13 Bligh St Rochedale</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K. Sorrell</td>
<td>11A Bligh St Rochedale</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. Sorrell</td>
<td>11A Bligh St Rochedale</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alex Parker</td>
<td>2 Bligh St Rochedale</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sue Dance</td>
<td>2 Bligh St Rochedale</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steve Maxwell</td>
<td>4 Bligh St Rochedale</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fadia</td>
<td>4 Bligh St Rochedale</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graham</td>
<td>6 Bligh St Rochedale South</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pia Brier</td>
<td>5 Bligh St Rochedale 5th</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Louise Brier</td>
<td>5 Bligh St Rochedale 5th</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Padma</td>
<td>10 Bligh St Rochedale St.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alan Coe</td>
<td>14 Bligh St Rochedale St.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Please note: Signatures are not legible in the image.)
We the undersigned are residents of the area bounded by Underwood Road, The Pacific Motorway, and Rochedale Road. We are aware that the proposed redistribution places our area into Waterford, rather than Springwood where we currently reside. We seek to be re-included in the State Electoral District of Springwood for the following reasons:

- The proposal would split our suburb placing half in Springwood and half in Waterford. We want to keep our suburb in one electorate;
- We associate more closely with the electorate of Springwood because we use the shops in Springwood as well as other services such as schools, major roads, along with sporting and social clubs;
- We feel more part of Springwood and the community to the east of the South East Motorway than we do on the other side of the highway.
- If we were to be put into Waterford we believe that our issues would be lost to the large bulk of people in Waterford based a long way from us.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Email</th>
<th>Signature</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DASA COE</td>
<td>14 BLIGH ST ROCHEDALE QLD 4123</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lewis Morgan</td>
<td>16 BLIGH ST ROCHEDALE QLD 4123</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lyn Morgan</td>
<td>18 BLIGH ST ROCHEDALE QLD 4123</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cary Morgan</td>
<td>18 BLIGH ST ROCHEDALE QLD 4123</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JAY Paskett</td>
<td>18 BLIGH ST ROCHEDALE QLD 4123</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JEFF Van</td>
<td>22 BLIGH ST ROCHEDALE QLD 4123</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JAC Chan</td>
<td>18 ROTHDALE DRIVE ROCHEDALE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANGELA Chan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANUJ Chan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anna Kopani</td>
<td>8 THOMAS ST, ROCHEDALE 4125</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ray Dan</td>
<td>12, ROTHDALE DRIVE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Petition to the Queensland Redistribution Commission

We the undersigned are residents of the area bounded by Underwood Road, The Pacific Motorway, and Rochedale Road. We are aware that the proposed redistribution places our area into Waterford, rather than Springwood where we currently reside. We seek to be re-included in the State Electoral District of Springwood for the following reasons:

- The proposal would split our suburb placing half in Springwood and half in Waterford. We want to keep our suburb in one electorate;
- We associate more closely with the electorate of Springwood because we use the shops in Springwood as well as other services such as schools, major roads, along with sporting and social clubs;
- We feel more part of Springwood and the community to the east of the South East Motorway than we do on the other side of the highway. If we were to be put into Waterford we believe that our issues would be lost to the large bulk of people in Waterford based a long way from us.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Email</th>
<th>Signature</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>D. Murray</td>
<td>5 Boscawen St, Rochdale</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. Gleadhill</td>
<td>4 Boscawen St, Rochdale</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. McLean</td>
<td>10 Boscawen St, Rochdale</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. Taffe</td>
<td>10 Boscawen St, Rochdale</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr. Gower</td>
<td>12 Boscawen St</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>George J.</td>
<td>11 Boscawen St</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. Giacomini</td>
<td>15 Boscawen St</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. Sosik</td>
<td>15 Boscawen St</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. Antonios</td>
<td>22 Boscawen St</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. Baxer</td>
<td>AS ABOVE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I. Hassan</td>
<td>24 Boscawen St</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K. Anderson</td>
<td>21 Boscawen St</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H. Torres</td>
<td>26 Boscawen St</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K. Williams</td>
<td>17 Boscawen St</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G. Ragnarsson</td>
<td>17 Boscawen St</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. Tengnag</td>
<td>26 Boscawen St</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M. Blaum</td>
<td>27 Boscawen St</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Petition to the Queensland Redistribution Commission

We the undersigned are residents of the area bounded by Underwood Road, The Pacific Motorway, and Rochedale Road. We are aware that the proposed redistribution places our area into Waterford, rather than Springwood where we currently reside. We seek to be re-included in the State Electoral District of Springwood for the following reasons:

- The proposal would split our suburb placing half in Springwood and half in Waterford. We want to keep our suburb in one electorate;
- We associate more closely with the electorate of Springwood because we use the shops in Springwood as well as other services such as schools, major roads, along with sporting and social clubs;
- We feel more part of Springwood and the community to the east of the South East Motorway than we do on the other side of the highway.
- If we were to be put into Waterford we believe that our issues would be lost to the large bulk of people in Waterford based a long way from us.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Email</th>
<th>Signature</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M. L. Bahn</td>
<td>27 Boseaun St.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. Collins</td>
<td>28 Boseaun St.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. Karpov</td>
<td>43 Carrick St.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K. Karpov</td>
<td>43 Carrick St.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. Demello</td>
<td>46 Carrick St. Rochedale</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. Demello</td>
<td>46 Carrick St. Rochedale</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M. Graham</td>
<td>42 Carrick St. Rochedale</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. Stanley</td>
<td>4 Pandora St. Rochedale Sth.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. Gun</td>
<td>10 Pandora St. Rochedale Sth.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. Nunne</td>
<td>12 Pandora St. Rochedale</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. McLeod</td>
<td>20 Pandora St. Rochedale Sth.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. Tooke</td>
<td>19 Pandora St. Rochedale</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. Fernando</td>
<td>31 Pandora St. Rochedale Sth.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. Fernando</td>
<td>31 Pandora St. Rochedale Sth.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H. Jenkins</td>
<td>21 Pandora St. Rochedale Sth.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Online submission for **Kawana**

**Name:** Gary Wilson  
**Address:** 16 Bahamas Circuit

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**
Kawana Waters is an unofficial place name for a coastal stretch of land between Mooloolaba and Caloundra. Our area has been known as Kawana Waters since the early 1960's and is used by everyone as the commonly referred name of our area. I don't believe the QRC has properly taken into consideration the strong communities of interest that are contained in the Kawana Waters region.

Submission ID: 67356

Time of Submission: 23 Mar 2017 6:53am

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.213
Online submission for Kawana

**Name:** Christine Nichols  
**Address:** 38/1 Grenada Way, Parrearra 4575

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**
I have lived in the Parrearra (Kawana Island) area for 5 years now and during this time have enjoyed what this area has to offer socially, culturally and commercially. I urge you to please keep our coastal strip, and Parrearra, as part of the Kawana Waters electorate where we can continue to support our local MP, together with our clubs and services that are so much a part of our day to day life. Thank you, Regards, Christine Nichols

Submission ID: 67357

Time of Submission: 23 Mar 2017 7:34am

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.213
Online submission for **Kawana**

**Name:** Lynette Hulm  
**Address:** 19 Chelsea Cres Minyama

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**
I believe the Kawana area should remain the same, particularly the suburbs of Minyama, Buddina and Warana where all the Kawana named clubs, charity groups, shopping centre, health centres, schools are positioned. To lump this area in with Buderim, it would make it more difficult for these clubs to be heard and get help in Buderim, whereas now we can access Our member Jarod Blejhe quite easily. The Kawana electorate, especially this area needs to remain the same. It would be silly for the Kawana Scouts or Kawana Surf club to have to ask for assistance from Buderim.
SECRETARY & RC
GPO BOX 1393
BRISBANE 4001

DEAR SIR/MADAM,

I write to object to the electoral boundary change of Kawana.

As a long time resident (27 years) of Buddina, I do not want to be placed in another electorate that has nothing in common with Kawana. The suburbs from Wurtulla to Buddina are all part of one continuous beach and part of the Kawana community. I do not want to be placed in an electorate that encompasses Buddina, a mountain suburb and which has little in common with us. Also, I do not want to have
ALL THE COMMUNITY CENTRES / SHOPS / LIBRARY BROKEN UP INTO DIFFERENT ELECTORARIES
I HAVE WATCHED KAHWA GROW & THRIVE OVER THE LAST 27 YEARS & HAVE BEEN A PART OF IT GROWING UP WITH CHILDREN AT LOCAL SCHOOL & MANY SPORTING ACTIVITIES.
I BELIEVE WE WILL LOSE OUR REPRESENTATION IF KAHWA IS SPLIT UP.
I TRUST YOU WILL RECONSIDER THIS CHANGE AS I AND MANY OF MY LOCAL FRIENDS WILL BE EXTREMELY DISAPPOINTED IF IT GOES THROUGH.
YOURS SINCERELY

[Signature]

QUEENSLAND ELECTIONS
COMMISSION
5 MAR 2015 RECEIVED
310, 13 NIGRUM WAY
MINYAMA 4575

For so or so KAWANA HAS BEEN A
THRIVING COMMUNITY. WE ARE LUCKY TO
HAVE MANY COMMUNITY ORGANISATIONS.
WE ARE A COMMUNITY WITH NO
CONNECTIONS TO BUDERIM AND MAY LOSE
OUT ON FUNDING ETC.

REMOVING MINYAMA, BUDDINA AND
PARAREMA WILL BE LIKE LOSING OUR
IDENTITY.

I HOPE THE LRC WILL CHANGE ITS
MIND AND LEAVE THINGS AS THEY WERE.

Sincerely,
Dougal

{}/{
I am concerned that by moving Minyama, Buddina and Narrara into the Buderim electorate, the suburbs and residents will lose true local representation and forced into an electorate they have no cultural or business connections to. Residents will be forced to drive to the top of Buderim to see their local MP which will result in less engagement between the community and its representative. Please re-think this matter.

E. K. Dougall
MARCH 2017
310/13 NICKLIN WAY
MINYAMA.

I am dismayed by the new proposed
ELECTORAL BOUNDARIES FOR MINYAMA - BUDDINA -
PARRAARRA BEING MOVED TO THE BUDERIM ELECTORATE.
KAWANA IS A COASTAL COMMUNITY WITH NO CONNECTION
TO BUDERIM. I AM CONCERNED THESE SUBURBS AND
RESIDENTS WILL LOSE LOCAL REPRESENTATION AND FUNDING.

As an aged pensioner I would be forced to TRAVEL BY
BUS TO BUDERIM IF I WISH TO SPEAK - SEE - TALK TO
THEIR LOCAL REPRESENTATIVE - HOW WOULD WE FIT INTO THEIR
ALIEN CULTURE.

PLEASE RECONSIDER AND DON'T MOVE OUR RESPECTED -
WELL USED COMMUNITY ORGANISATION TO

THE BUDERIM ELECTORATE.

SINCERELY,

MRS. AGNES ANDERSON.

Agnes Anderson
MARCH 2017
Barry v. Edna Fuller
3 Shearman St
Parreatta.

18.7.17

The Secretary,

we are writing
in response to your putting
part of Kawana into the
Buderim Electorate.

How badly thought to put
the heart of Kawana in
Buderim. We are totally
against it.

There is no connection
between the coastal urban
suburbs of Minyama, Buddina
and Parreatta and the
Buderim Electorate.

Hope this can be changed.

Yours sincerely
E. Fuller
B.C. Fuller
Dear Sir,

Indooroopilly Electorate - Change of Name to Maiwa

I wish to object to the change of name of the Indooroopilly electorate to Maiwa on the grounds that the new name is undistinguished, hard to pronounce and may even be considered ugly.

Maiwa is supposed to be an aboriginal name for the river but I’m sceptical about the truth of that statement. Some years ago, I went to Canberra to meet a federal Minister (Kim Beazley) to discuss a radio licence for Queensland Universities. An aboriginal group was also seeking a licence and I had the opportunity to talk with them on several occasions.

One of the topics we discussed several times was aboriginal place names and their comments were instructive. They were totally sceptical about the authenticity of several names I mentioned including Meanjin. I had long believed that Meanjin was the aboriginal name for an area in Brisbane City around Gardens Point. They were derisive about that name and I’ve been careful not to use it again even though the name belongs to a famous literary magazine.

After that experience I am now sceptical about Maiwa. It seems a foolish choice of name quite apart from doubtful authenticity. Few residents are likely to be able to pronounce it correctly assuming that there is a correct pronunciation.

I have listed four possible pronunciations and though I would have preferred to write them in phonetic symbols, I realise that not everybody is familiar with the symbols now that our schools have ceased to teach them in view of the inability of teachers to cope with them.

I would be willing, however, to come to the offices of the Commission to recite the four pronunciations of Maiwa to any audience you may like to assemble. Free of charge!

Why not retain to the five syllables of Indooroopilly? I would even plump for Meanjin as preferable to Maiwa even though I would not be able to justify it to my former aboriginal colleagues.

Yours sincerely,

(Donald Munro AM)
The Four Possible Pronunciations of MAIWA  [Formerly Indooroopilly]

1. ma [as in car] - ee [as in he] - wa [as in car] Stress on ee

2. ma [as in car] - ee [as in he] - wa [Final vowel in butter] Stress on ee

3. mai [as in my] - wa [final vowel in butter] Stress on 1st syllable

4. mai [as in my] - wa [as in car] Equal stress on both syllables.

D.J. Munro
20.3.17
To
The Secretary,
Queensland Redistribution Commission,
GPO Box 1393,
BRISBANE, Q 4001

From
MR M.E. & MRS E.J. BOLLAND,
103/2 Grand Parade,
PARREARRA, Q 4575.

18/03/2017

Sir,

I wish to lodge a protest in the strongest possible terms at the excision of the suburbs of MINYAMA, BUDDINA and PARREARRA from the electorate of KAWANA to the electorate of BUDERIM.

I/we have lived in the Kawana electorate for over 13 years and the greatest majority of our activities are concentrated in the coastal strip from Pt Cartwright south to Currumbin.

This zone contains our major shopping centre, the banks we use, the clubs we attend for entertainment, the beaches and shortly, the new University Hospital which will open in April and meet the health needs of our area for the foreseeable future.

I/we realise that it is necessary to juggle numbers to meet the demands of the new electoral boundaries, but to excise a large section of a contiguous coastal urban area and place it in the electorate of Buderim, to which we have little shared needs and fewer
easy access roads, bus routes etc is rather surreal.

Could I/we suggest that the area which does have better access to Buderim (where the new representative will be based) is the newly developing urban areas of Sippy Downs and also Palmview (if needed). These growing suburbs have at least three major connecting routes up the Southern flanks of Buderim and should balance the population in Minyama, Buddina and Parrearra either now or in the very near future. (See attached map)

Yours Faithfully

M. E. BOLLAND
M. E. BOLLAND

E. J. BOLLAND

[Signature]

[Stamp: Received]
CURRENT
KAWANA BOUNDARY

Includes Minyama, Buddina and Parrearra suburbs in the north-east of the electorate.

PROPOSED
KAWANA BOUNDARY

Transfers Minyama, Buddina and Parrearra suburbs to the Buderim electorate.
Dear The Secretary, Queensland Redistribution Commission

We would like to keep the current Kawana electorate boundary as it is. Because we feel it is unfair that we should have to travel to Buderim to vote when we have always voted at Kawana which is a quarter of the distance that Buderim is from where we live.

Yours Sincerely, Tony and Bernadett Calleja
KEEP KAWANA IN KAWANA

WILL MY OBJECTION BE LISTENED TO?
The commission is obligated by law to consider specific matters when making a decision about electoral boundaries. Those matters effectively guide the grounds on which you can object or lend support to a boundary change.

WHAT DO I SAY?
Below is a list of points you may like to use in your submission to the Commission objecting to Kawana suburbs being moved from Kawana to Buderim. Whilst these are some examples, you are encouraged to use your own words and your own combination of these or other points to articulate your thoughts.

LIST OF POSSIBLE ARGUMENTS AGAINST REMOVING THE SUBURBS OF MINYAMA, BUDDINA & PARREARRA FROM KAWANA

1. Kawana Waters is an unofficial place name for a coastal stretch of land between Mooloolaba and Caloundra. Our area has been known as Kawana Waters since the early 1960’s and is used by everyone as the commonly referred to name of our area. I don’t believe the QRC has properly taken into consideration the strong communities of interest that are contained in the Kawana Waters region.

2. Within the Kawana Waters area lie the suburbs of Birtinya, Bokarina, Buddina, Minyama, Parrearra, Warana and Wurtulla. Removing Minyama, Buddina and Parrearra from Kawana disconnects the intended grouping of this coastal stretch of suburbs that make up Kawana Waters.

3. One of the connecting features of our community is Kawana Beach, from Point Cartwright to Currimundi Lake, 10 Kilometres of white sand.

4. Since 1959, Kawana has developed into a thriving community. We are serviced by wonderful community organisations including the Kawana Waters RSL Sub-Branch, Kawana Waters Surf Lifesaving Club, Kawana Library, Kawana Scouts, Kawana Community Centre, Kawana Rotary Club, Lake Currimundi-Kawana Lions Club, Kawana Waters Chamber of Commerce, Buddina State School, Minyama Neighbourhood Watch, Kawana Companions, Kawana Seniors and the Kawana Island Residents Association to name but a few. These community organisations have no connection with the Buderim community and may be forced to compete with existing Buderim-based organisations for funding, membership and volunteers. Please don’t move our respected, well used and much loved community organisations to Buderim.

5. I am concerned that by moving Minyama, Buddina and Parrearra into the Buderim electorate, the suburbs and residents will lose true local representation and be forced into an electorate they have no cultural or business connection to. Residents will be forced to drive to the top of Buderim to see their local MP which will result in less engagement between the community and its representative.

6. The electorate of Kawana is predominantly a coastal urban electorate. Buderim falls between the business districts of Maroochydore and Nambour. There is no connection between the coastal urban suburbs of Minyama, Buddina and Parrearra and the Buderim electorate. These coastal-based urban communities are culturally and socially connected with Kawana. Redistributing these Kawana suburbs to Buderim will alienate the residents who strongly rely on Kawana for their work, business, social, educational, medical, community, sport, cultural and shopping needs.

7. Our major shopping and entertainment district is located at the Kawana Shoppingworld and Kawana Waters Hotel which should naturally remain in the Kawana electorate. Kawana Shoppingworld also acts as a major public transport hub, linking directly with the Sunshine Coast University Hospital as well as all other bus routes operating throughout the Kawana electorate.
Electoral Boundary Changes

John Sutton <johnandmaree@live.com.au>

Tue 21/03/2017 12:07 PM

To: boundaries@ecq.qld <boundaries@ecq.qld>

I have just discovered that there are proposed changes to electoral boundaries that will remove Parrearra (Kawana Island) and some other suburbs currently in the Kawana electorate and transfer them into the Buderim electorate.

My wife and I live on Kawana Island. Applying simple common sense and logic, can the QRC honestly say that the residents of Kawana Island shouldn't be in the Kawana electorate ???????

Is it intention of the QRC to rename Kawana Island as Buderim Island ????

If I want to see my current (Kawana) MP he is a very short drive away. If this ludicrous change goes ahead I would have to drive to the top of Buderim Hill to see my 'not so local' member.

As I said, I hope common sense prevails and Kawana Island is left in the Kawana electorate.

John and Maree Sutton
129/10 Marco Way
Kawana Island  Qld 4575

Obj-1171
Yes, the above changes to the Electoral Boundary for this area, to which I strongly object. I am an 87 year old divided pensioner, who has lived at the above address for the past 46 years, and many of which, this area has been known as Kawana Waters. In the local area we have Kawana Beach Surf Lifesaving Club, Kawana R.S.L. Club, Rotary Club, Surfing, Kawana Library and the Kawana Community Centre, Burdell State School among many great organisations abounding this area. The massive Kawana Shopping World is centrally situated in all the Kawana Waters communities, and is currently undergoing yet another redevelopment to include a Ranch Station and Cinema Complex.

I find it completely ABSURD for this region to be moved to Buderim, which Real Estate agents promote as a Village lifestyle. I would suggest Kawana remains Kawana, which has gone from strength to strength since the early days of development back in the 1960's, when I first looked at a block of land for $6,000—an adjacent to the present Shopping Centre and my uncle was Post Master at Buderim—a one room Post Office.

I do not wish to have to get a train to Buderim to contact my local member, who also is very central to everyone in this office at Warana.

Yours Faithfully,

Shirley M Hayes
Dear Sir/Madam,

Queensland Redistribution Commission

My name is Joan Baker-Winn and the WINN family have Pioneered and lived in the Pine Rivers District since 1858.

As far as I and my family are concerned the name D'Aguilar is for the Range and area on the Woodford side of same. It has NEVER been attached to any part of the region this side of Mt Mee. As for being named after a Governor of Hong Kong!!!!! What has he to do with someone of importance to the area in contention?
The area is known as Pine Rivers because of the geological significance as the fertile valley positioned between the North and South Pine rivers.
The name is already of significant importance to all the Pioneering Families, many of whom still have descendants living in the area. They are the ones who have provided the building stones to enable this region to be the vital, fast growing one that it has become.

This snippet in a newspaper will NOT make one scrap of difference, as with all things political, it is a done deal. But, if in my disheartened attitude to politics and politicians, by some chance my opinion makes any difference, I did want it known that D'Aguilar is NOT a correct choice for the Electorate. At least, if it MUST BE CHANGED, name it after someone: Explorer, Politician etc. who has contributed to the region.

Vote 1. Leave it as it is.

Vote 2. Name it after someone Prominent to the Pine Rivers name it is replacing.

Regards,

Joan Baker-Winn
Dear Sir/Madam,

The short time-frame for submissions, the lack of a community hub in Flagstone and delay in inclusion of our issue in the local newspaper (article published 22nd March) made it difficult to inform residents and ask their views. Most Flagstone residents travel outside of the area for work and use our small IGA convenience centre only occasionally.

Another Flagstone resident and I spent some short periods of time at the convenience centre with a map of the proposed new electoral boundaries. Of all the residents who examined the proposed new Logan boundaries, only one supported the removal of Flagstone from Logan because he did not agree with council amalgamations and did not want Flagstone to be in Logan City.

Our sampling indicates a very strong level of objection. Attached are the signatures of the Flagstone residents who spoke with us at the convenience centre and who object to being removed from the Logan electorate.

Please add these signatures to my letter dated 16 March as evidence of the views of our residents.

Yours faithfully,

Beverley Mortensen

23 March 2017
OUR STATE ISSUES ARE LOGAN ISSUES

If you object to Flagstone being removed from the Logan electorate and tagged onto the edge of the new electorate of Jordan (mainly Greater Springfield/Ipswich) to make up the numbers – sign this petition.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>ADDRESS</th>
<th>SIGNATURE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Craig Huntley</td>
<td>94-96 pastoral</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diane Keil</td>
<td>13Canelene Cres</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jason Murray</td>
<td>78 Red Cedar Cres</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dominic Lammeri</td>
<td>198 Bushman Cres</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michael Stirling</td>
<td>2-10 Darpur ct</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benno Reismann</td>
<td>49 Postal Cres</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Humne Reismann</td>
<td>49 Postal Cres</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Megan Briffa</td>
<td>54 Birdwing Cres</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bart Frisby</td>
<td>124 Bushman Dr</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shannon Godley</td>
<td>102 Coachwood</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aaron M'Lean</td>
<td>15-19 Tackeroo Dr</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leanne Harrison</td>
<td>99 Red Cedar Cres</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keith Smith</td>
<td>165 Drover Cres</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carmen Montesinos</td>
<td>171 Drover Cres</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cheryl Spruit</td>
<td>133 Red Cedar Cres</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paul Newcombe</td>
<td>34 Cassowary Place</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tony Baxter</td>
<td>51-33 Southtide Dr</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. Doug</td>
<td>31-33 Squallhorn</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clarence</td>
<td>87-91 Riverpark Dr</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. Ambrose</td>
<td>156 Drover Cres</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kendall McMillan</td>
<td>34-26 Sugar Cane Court</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maree Gow</td>
<td>36 Macadamia Cres</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
OUR STATE ISSUES ARE LOGAN ISSUES

If you object to Flagstone being removed from the Logan electorate and tagged onto the edge of the new electorate of Jordan (mainly Greater Springfield/Ipswich) to make up the numbers – sign this petition.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>ADDRESS</th>
<th>SIGNATURE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kyle Raymond</td>
<td>26 Pastoral A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nick Prout</td>
<td>50 Rose Almond St</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lauren Fryer</td>
<td>49 Sunview Cres</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jason Dennis</td>
<td>6 BrownBeach Rd</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Danielle Fletcher</td>
<td>10 Brandy Pine Ct Flagstone Rd</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kelli Wilkie</td>
<td>71 Dooool Ct</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brett Watson</td>
<td>Creekwood</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nikki Sweet</td>
<td>Sweet Myrtle</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nicole Stirling</td>
<td>Creekside Cres</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charlotte Edwards</td>
<td>MacKenzie Ave</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robert Piller</td>
<td>41 Queensland Cres</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daniel Lincoln</td>
<td>16 Wildwood Cres</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scott Sweeney</td>
<td>13 Ringer Court</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adrian Whitmore</td>
<td>12-14 Pinkalder</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lyle Smith</td>
<td>8-10 Talwood Pl</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Richard</td>
<td>21 Wippinthe Cres</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adam Heers</td>
<td>16-18 Pink MyrtLeCt</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rodney Chant</td>
<td>Buana Pine Cres</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terry Ryan</td>
<td>1171 Tewits Rd</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nicole Bancker</td>
<td>30 Jackaroo Court</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Darren Rileson</td>
<td>13 Teamster Court</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Craig Kennedy</td>
<td>21 Macedonma Cres</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**OUR STATE ISSUES ARE LOGAN ISSUES**

If you object to Flagstone being removed from the Logan electorate and tagged onto the edge of the new electorate of Jordan (mainly Greater Springfield/Ipwich) to make up the numbers – sign this petition.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>ADDRESS</th>
<th>SIGNATURE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Scott Marshall</td>
<td>10 Candle Nut Court</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chelsea Ardall</td>
<td>10 Candle Nut Court</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ted Tukure</td>
<td>24 Damper Court</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Julie O'Hora</td>
<td>1-Pastoral Court</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tommy Wallace</td>
<td>24 Silver Wattle Ave</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>James Collett</td>
<td>6 Timberline Lain</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shaun Manning</td>
<td>11 Squatter Court</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chris Parsons</td>
<td>192 Wild Piggeers Rd</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mel Eulev</td>
<td>2-8 Jackeroo Ct</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kayleen Wheeler</td>
<td>30-32 Jackeroo Court</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alex Duff</td>
<td>213 Bushman Drive</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shane Rayton</td>
<td>74 Reserve Oval</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sean Gratian</td>
<td>110 Red Cedar Crescent</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gail Moor</td>
<td>10 Pink Myrtle Court</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Karen Post</td>
<td>30 Cassoway Pl</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John McVicar</td>
<td>34-36 Sycamore Cat</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lorraine Perrini</td>
<td>18 Weeping Fig Ct</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monaliza Stewart</td>
<td>107 Postal Av Ct</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tony Lovell</td>
<td>331 Clifton Dr</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breake Toney</td>
<td>44 Cassoway Pl</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keriln Taylor</td>
<td>91 Holyman Ct</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kraig Bell</td>
<td>25 Jackeroo Dr</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
OUR STATE ISSUES ARE LOGAN ISSUES

If you object to Flagstone being removed from the Logan electorate and tagged onto the edge of the new electorate of Jordan (mainly Greater Springfield/Ipswich) to make up the numbers – sign this petition.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>ADDRESS</th>
<th>SIGNATURE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Andrew Harley</td>
<td>48 Pole Oak Court, Jimboomba, 4132</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David Orchard</td>
<td>7 Grove Place Flagstone</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belinda Latto</td>
<td>62-64 Woolooga Court</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sonia Tribe</td>
<td>19-23 Fraser St, Jimboomba</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kelvin Toase</td>
<td>14-23 Corner St, Sunny</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tony Bear</td>
<td>2/1 Tollwood Place Jimboomba</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jenny Thompson</td>
<td>43 Balmoral Dr, Jimboomba</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mavis Loneroy</td>
<td>5 Sunrise Pl, Jimboomba</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gemma Hunter</td>
<td>21 Weepingfig Ct, Jimboomba</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chris Johns</td>
<td>58 Reserve Dr, Jimboomba</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erin Harley</td>
<td>4/8 Pole Oak Court, Jimboomba, 4132</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kelly Craig</td>
<td>4/1 Sunnyside Dr, Jimboomba</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Andrea Gonzalez</td>
<td>87 Coachwood Pl, Jimboomba</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gene Thompson</td>
<td>25 Lingel Ct, Jimboomba</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nathan Toma</td>
<td>125 Red Cedar Cct</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desiree Gonzalez</td>
<td>87 Coachwood</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Candice Anderson</td>
<td>68 Cottonwood St</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tamaa Hannifey</td>
<td>20 Whitting Ct</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amy Enever</td>
<td>39 Sugar Gum Ct</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kael Frewer</td>
<td>72 Blacksmith Ct</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April Haynes</td>
<td>81 Red Ash Ct</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aden/ADN</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
OUR STATE ISSUES ARE LOGAN ISSUES

If you object to Flagstone being removed from the Logan electorate and tagged onto the edge of the new electorate of Jordan (mainly Greater Springfield/Ipswich) to make up the numbers – sign this petition.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>ADDRESS</th>
<th>SIGNATURE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Scott Layug</td>
<td>73 Reserve Rd</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gary Sandford</td>
<td>8 Honeyuckle CT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anthon Eagle</td>
<td>4-16 Whitlow Court Simmonds</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jane Latta</td>
<td>62-64 Woolshed Rd Flagstone</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mark Latta</td>
<td>62-64 Woolshed Rd Flagstone</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leuni Simpson</td>
<td>8 Batterend Ct</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sura Fraser</td>
<td>212 Bluff Rd Cedar Vale</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stroh Dryan</td>
<td>45 Reserve Drive</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jenny Forrester</td>
<td>47-49 Sugar Gum Ct</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marilyn Burney</td>
<td>13 Hasting Field Rd</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ken Woodhouse</td>
<td>22 Sycamore Rd</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cherri Weston</td>
<td>1210 Wild Fox Creek Rd</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Kirkland</td>
<td>104 Rushman Dr JThbooma Mba</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alex Smith</td>
<td>29 Songbird Crd</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patricia Cattell</td>
<td>41-47 Blacksmith Crd P Cattell</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roy Cattell</td>
<td>41-47 Blacksmith Crd R Cattell</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vera Cattell</td>
<td>M Cattell 41-47 Black Smith Crd</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jill Atwood</td>
<td>65 Five Oak Green Rd Jumboco</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rachael Bagley</td>
<td>28 Macadamia Ct Jumboco</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yvonne Atkins</td>
<td>22 Hilltop Cres Kings</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
OUR STATE ISSUES ARE LOGAN ISSUES

If you object to Flagstone being removed from the Logan electorate and tagged onto the edge of the new electorate of Jordan (mainly Greater Springfield/Ipswich) to make up the numbers – sign this petition.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>ADDRESS</th>
<th>SIGNATURE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>R. McLoone</td>
<td>14 Boree Beech Rd</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. Doiscoll</td>
<td>18 Pastoral Court</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H. Peterson</td>
<td>Jackson Court</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. Lees</td>
<td>178 Burchan Dr.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G. Lees</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. Simpson</td>
<td>Cannesview Cres.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. Owen</td>
<td>Droull CRT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. Ryers</td>
<td>32 Brown Beech Dr.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. Hoggart</td>
<td>46 Sugar Gum St.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R. Keily</td>
<td>14-16 Jacksons St.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
OUR STATE ISSUES ARE LOGAN ISSUES

If you object to Flagstone being removed from the Logan electorate and tagged onto the edge of the new electorate of Jordan (mainly Greater Springfield/Ipswich) to make up the numbers – sign this petition.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>ADDRESS</th>
<th>SIGNATURE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jim Gleece</td>
<td>75 Reserve Drive</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melissa Au</td>
<td>75 Reserve Drive</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maureen Wiley</td>
<td>Red Cedar Crescent Jimboomba</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bob Wiley</td>
<td>Red Cedar Crescent Jimboomba</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iva Carech</td>
<td>Cassowary A Jimboomba</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Donna Jordan</td>
<td>4-6 Butternut Court</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tricia McLoughin</td>
<td>14 Brown Heads Dr.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
OUR STATE ISSUES ARE LOGAN ISSUES

If you object to Flagstone being removed from the Logan electorate and tagged onto the edge of the new electorate of Jordan (mainly Greater Springfield/Ipswich) to make up the numbers – sign this petition.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>ADDRESS</th>
<th>SIGNATURE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Siobhan Tracey</td>
<td>26-30 River Oak Burst&lt;br&gt;Jimboomba</td>
<td>[Signature]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jenny Smith</td>
<td>108 Draper Cr&lt;br&gt;Jimboomba</td>
<td>[Signature]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monica Bear</td>
<td>7-11 Tallowood Place&lt;br&gt;Jimboomba</td>
<td>[Signature]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geoff Mortensen</td>
<td>29 River Oak Ave&lt;br&gt;Jimbomba</td>
<td>[Signature]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
OUR STATE ISSUES ARE LOGAN ISSUES

If you object to Flagstone being removed from the Logan electorate and tagged onto the edge of the new electorate of Jordan (mainly Greater Springfield/Ipswich) to make up the numbers – sign this petition.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>ADDRESS</th>
<th>SIGNATURE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Neil Smith</td>
<td>13-17 Silverdale Dr</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deborah Smith</td>
<td>Jimboomba</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
DEAR SIR/MADAM

How is it that we remain the only country on earth that demands all electorates be of equal population.

The redistribution commission is not allowing for distance or remoteness up here in the far north.

Our Shire or electorate of Dalrymple is bigger than most countries in Europe.

If Shane Knuth is going to continue to be our "elected" representative, he is going to have to make some drastic changes to his family life style.

Our biggest fear is losing him altogether.

He has given us wonderful representation over the past 8 years, as has Bob Katter, both are friends of many people in our area of Baachin including my wife & myself. Shane has always been very approachable on any matter & attends to the problem with results.

I can't stress strongly enough, our support for a man who stands on his principles, is honest, easy to talk to & gets the job done.

A good bloke. We don't want to lose him.

BETH BETH AUER
Dalyrmple to be divided into three

MEMBER for Dalyrmple Shane Knuth says he is bitterly disappointed and sad for his electorate’s residents as they prepare to be split up and absorbed into three separate seats.

The move to obliterate the Dalyrmple electorate has been laid out in the Queensland Redistribution Commission’s proposed seat redistribution released late last week.

Mr Knuth said abolishing the electorate would split the constituency into three seats – Traeger, Hill and McMaster – and lose vital representation of the region.

“This means residents will be disbanded and sucked into some big seats covering vast distances and demographics,” Mr Knuth said.

“Now on earth can you expect the sitting member in the new seat of Traeger, spanning from the Northern Territory border to the coast, to take on those regions and best represent all the constituents?”

This is the second time Mr Knuth has faced the abolition of his seat – the first being when the Charters Towers electorate was scrapped and Dalyrmple was created in 2009.

This change pitted Mr Knuth against popular MP Rosa Lee Long and he went on to win the seat three times.

But this latest overhaul poses a much greater challenge, leaving Mr Knuth with no seat to contest in his home town and forcing him to campaign in areas outside his traditional support base.

“The major parties’ submissions were hell-bent on getting rid of me and I’m very disappointed in the Queensland Redistribution Commission for backing those submissions,” Mr Knuth said.

“There was no need to make these drastic changes; only minor adjustments were needed but the Commission has agreed to completely overhaul rural and regional boundaries just to get rid of Dalyrmple.”

He pointed out that four new seats had been added in the south-east and while there were name changes and extensions to others seats, the Dalyrmple electorate was the only one to be abolished in rural and regional Queensland.

Looking ahead, Mr Knuth said he was still determined to serve the people and would consult with family and constituents about opportunities to continue representing the region.
Katter: Qld boundary fandangaling will break them

KAP Member for Kennedy, Bob Katter said the major parties “fandangaling” of the electoral distribution changes are intended to “break KAP” but, “may have opened the gate to the major parties own stupid, selfish destruction.”

The Queensland Electoral Commission’s changes have morphed Shane Knuth, KAP Member for Dalrymple’s electorate into a small part of three other electorates.

Mr Bob Katter said, “The heartbreak that Shane Knuth (his family have lived in Charters Towers since the 1890s) feels having his heartland brutally taken from him deserves all of our sympathies. But he is a fighter. He’ll come after the ALP/LNP. I wouldn’t like to be them.”

“Nth and Western Qld feel revelation. Robbie Katter has an electorate now bigger than more countries on earth. Charters Towers in 1000k from Mount Isa, Normanton is 1000km from Bouria.

“We will rally behind these two fighters [Rob Katter and Shane Knuth] whose only crime is they refuse to be puppets for the Brisbane-based majors.

“There is only one small but significant force in the State Parliament — the KAP.

“It has forced the major parties to restore arbitration in the sugar industry and deliver ethanol.

“Never in a billion years would either of those things happened if it wasn’t for KAP.

“We tenaciously oppose the foreign ownership that the major parties delightfully call foreign investment.”

“The suits in tapestry towers must eliminate us to earn their giant agency fees for selling Australia and importing of goods once produced by Australians.”

“If they can eliminate the voice of the people they can keep their cozy little two-party system forever.

“So take Shane Knuth’s seat out from under him, break it up into 3 other electorates, make Robbie Katter’s so big he’ll die of exhaustion.

“We remain the only country on earth that demands all electorates be of equal populations. All others allow for distance, remoteness, sparseness and racial equality. If it is Nunntisauk in Canada, Orkney Isles in Great Britain or even Porto Rico in the United States.

“In the seats of Cook and Mount Isa the voices of the First Australians are stifled, buried by sparseness and remoteness.

“The great commentators and founders of modern Democracy De Toqueville, John Stuart Mill talked constantly about the tyranny of the majority.

“How does Brisbane have 200 overspades and Nth Qld with the same population has only 8?

“How does Brisbane have 24 km of tunnels, (Sydney only 14kms) and Nth Qld has none?

“How come SE Qld has ‘all’ of the power stations and we have none?

“How come all our railways were sold off but Brisbane’s commuter system wasn’t?”

“How come Nth Qld has the highest unemployment rates in Australia and in Western Qld, nearly every single town is dying?”
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Dalrymple smashed

David Anthony

The state electorate of Dalrymple, which includes the southern Tablelands, has been "smashed" under the proposed new electoral boundaries.

The sitting Member for Dalrymple, Shane Knuth, said this was three times worse than he expected.

The electorate, according to maps released last week, has been divided up between three seats, Hill (based on the Tablelands), Treager (an extended version of the present seat of Mount Isa) and McMaster (which includes Charters Towers and Burdekin areas).

"I can't say whether the major parties have deliberately targeted Robbie Katter (Mount Isa MP) and me as members of Katter's Australian Party (KAP)," Mr Knuth said.

"But I am bitterly disappointed the Queensland Electoral Commission has smashed Dalrymple three ways."

If the new boundaries are accepted, this will be the second time Mr Knuth has had a seat redistributed out from under him.

Mr Knuth said abolishing the electorate and splitting the constituency into three seats meant losing vital representation of the region.

"This means residents will be disband and sucked into some big seats covering vast distances and demographics," Mr Knuth said.

Knuth vows to serve the people

From Page 1

"How on earth can you expect the sitting member in the new seat of Taengar, spanning from the Northern Territory border to the coast to take on those regions and best represent all the constituents?" Mr Knuth said.

The first time Mr Knuth experienced a redistribution of his seat was when the Charters Towers electorate was scrapped and Dalrymple was created in 2009.

This change pitted Mr Knuth, who was first elected to the Queensland Parliament in 2004, against popular Tablelands MP Rosa Lee Long.

Mr Knuth won that clash of the titans and went on to win Dalrymple two more times.

He believes this latest overhaul poses a much greater challenge as it leaves him with no seat to contest in his home town. "This forces me to campaign in areas outside my traditional support base," Mr Knuth said.

"The major parties' submissions were hellbent on getting rid of me and I'm disappointed the Queensland Redistribution Commission has backed those submissions.

"There was no need to make these drastic changes.

"Only minor adjustments were needed, but the commission has agreed to completely overhaul rural and regional boundaries just to get rid of Dalrymple."

He said four new seats had been added in the southeast.

While name changes and extensions occurred to other seats, Dalrymple was the only one to be abolished in rural and regional Queensland.

Mr Knuth said he was determined to serve the people and would consult with family and constituents about opportunities to continue representing the region.

If the proposed redistribution is accepted, the new Parliament will have 93 seats instead of 89.

To lodge an objection to the redistribution, people can visit http://boundaries.qld.gov.au or mail it to The Secretary, Queensland Redistribution Commission, GPO Box 1393, Brisbane Qld, 4001 by March 27.

To comment on this story:
@editorlade
tablelandnewspapers.com.au
facebook.com/thetablelander
Possible light on Hill for Knuth MP

David Anthony

THE sitting Member for Dalrymple, Shane Knuth, sees Hill on the horizon.

As he ponders his future political career, Mr Knuth is reluctant to give up on a region that has come close to his heart.

The sprawling inland electorate of Dalrymple extends from the Central Queensland coalfields to the southern Tablelands.

The proposed new seat of Hill places Mr Knuth’s hometown of Charters Towers into the new electorate of Traeger, which is based largely on his political colleague Robbie Katter’s seat of Mount Isa.

The central coalfields have been placed in the new electorate of McMaster which includes Bowen, Collinsville and the Burdekin.

“I have put a lot of work into the Tablelands area since I won the newly created seat of Dalrymple in 2009,” Mr Knuth said.

“I opened another electorate office in Atherton and I have come to the region as often as I can.

“It has been a challenge, but most rewarding.”

Mr Knuth was initially elected as the National Party Member for Charters Towers in 2004.

Dalrymple was created in the redistribution of 2009 and he won the seat from One Nation’s MP for Tablelands, Rosa Lee Long.

Mr Knuth switched to Katter’s Australian Party and won the seat for the KAP in 2011.

“I have made so many friends in the Tablelands region,” he said.

“I have been overwhelmed with the support I have received from the Tablelands since the proposed new boundaries were announced.”

In the past six years, Mr Knuth’s petitions have won hospital upgrades for Atherton and Dimbulah, the Yungaburra-Atherton Rd works, the Innis Hot Springs bridge and the East Evelyn intersection.

He convinced the Queensland Government to establish the modern-day Learning Hub to replace the inadequate special education centre at Atherton State High School.

The recently completed Rocky Creek intersection and the Upper Barron Rd works were other achievements resulting from his representations. The restocking of redclaw in Tinaroo Falls Dam was another battle won as was the new Herberton fire station.

“I don’t want to leave behind unfinished business,” he said.

“I want to continue working with the Ravenshoe Railway Company (RavRail) and help resolve those issues.

“You know, my four children are growing up and leaving home, the youngest to complete high School this year.

“Just because the boundaries have changed, I am reluctant to stop working for this region.”

To comment on this story:

editorial@tabelandnewspapers.com.au
facebook.com/thetablelander
Weed threat
Navua sedge in spotlight

BIOSECURITY: David Anthony

NO funding promises were made to help manage or eradicate the navua sedge damaging livestock industries when Queensland Treasurer Curtis Pitt came to the Tablelands. But Tablelands Regional Council, Malanda Beef Plan Group and the Member for Dalrymple, Shane Knuth, were pleased Mr Pitt came to inspect the problem for himself. "We don't expect immediate action on eradication because we need to do a lot of research," said Beef Plan member Rob Pagano said.

See story on page 5.
Weed research needed

David Anthony

Research and development into a sustainable method of controlling the invasive weed, navua sedge, is the Tablelands' message to the Queensland Government.

Treasurer Curtis Pitt met with Tablelands Regional Council and the Member for Dalrymple, Shane Knuth, to discuss the growing problem impacting on livestock industries on the southern Tablelands.

Tablelands Regional Mayor Joe Paronella said council could only do so much.

"We have put in an extra $100,000 in funding to help control it and another $10,000 for more washdown bays," Councillor Paronella said.

"At the moment navua sedge appears to be limited to the Barron River.

"But in the eastern and southern areas — around Malanda, Milaa Milaa and Topaz, in particular — it is rampant.

"We don’t want it getting into the hay areas."

Cr Anthony Ball agreed.

"If it gets into the hay areas, it has the potential to impact on farmers in drought-affected areas out west.

"We have found patches of it around Ravenshoe and Mt Garnet," he said.

Cr Ball found strong support in the fight against navua sedge from southern councils at a Queensland Local Government Association conference last year.

"This shows how wide the concerns are," he said.

"Farmers in the Cassowary Coast and Hinchinbrook regions are directly impacted as well."

Cr Ball said the Queensland Government had previously given $47,000 for spraying on the verges on main roads in the area.

"But we want R&D to help our farmers as well," he said.

Malanda Beef Plan Group member and long time navua sedge campaigner, Rob Pagnon, said R&D should primarily focus on the plant’s vigorous seeding and germination.

On top of this, he said a long-term action plan with all stakeholders and relevant government departments was needed towards on-ground practices, along with updates and education in these areas.

"It’s good of the Treasurer to come up and listen to us," Mr Pagnon said.

Mr Knuth said livestock industries such as beef and dairy were already struggling for survival without having to deal with navua sedge.

"It has had a contagious impact on this region and should be given priority," Mr Knuth said. "I have seen it on football fields and in the Malanda showgrounds."

All agreed on the need for ongoing education and awareness in the community and to encourage contractors and anyone who enters and leaves farm properties to use the washdown bays available on the southern Tablelands.

Cr Paronella said it was important for farmers to beware of their own biosecurity.

For information on Navua Sedge and how to control it, including the correct use of chemicals, visit DAF’s website: www.daf.qld.gov.au/div_data/assets/pdf_file/0007/51010/IPA-NAVUA-SEDGE-PP53.PDF

Impact of navua edge not lost on Treasurer

THE significance of the impact of navua sedge on the Tablelands’ livestock industries is not lost on Queensland Treasurer Curtis Pitt.

"We have a situation where we all agree we have an invasive weed and it is important we do something about it," Mr Pitt said.

"What form research and development will take is subject to more discussion.

"We don’t know the dollar impact and have yet to frame the budget."

Mr Pitt said the member for Dalrymple, Shane Knuth, had discussed the matter with him on several occasions.

"We had some early action from the Department of Agriculture and Fisheries," he said.

"But beyond that we need to see what R&D will look like.

"It is an aggressive species and we need to find the key to defeating it."

Mr Pitt said if Queensland found the key, it would have the intellectual property that could be exported to other countries.

Tablelands Regional Councillor Anthony Ball said Fiji had lost 40 per cent of its agricultural land to navua sedge.

Mayor Joe Paronella thanked Mr Pitt for his visit.
Post to The Secretary  
Queensland Redistribution Commission  
GPO Box 1393  
BRISBANE QLD 4001  
Or email to boundaries@ecq.qld.gov.au

Before 27th March 2017

Name: Leonard William Gore
Residential Address: 113 Oak St, Chinchilla

Dear Queensland Redistribution Commission

I wish to lodge my objection to the communities of Miles and Chinchilla being placed in the Electorate of Callide. We simply do not have a community of interest to the north.

Miles and Chinchilla are in the Surat Basin and have little community of interest with so far to the north as we are situated in a different resource basin, catchment, local government area and state department regional boundaries, that run in an east west from Brisbane, Toowoomba and Ipswich. To travel from Miles or Chinchilla to the north of Callide under the current proposal one has to drive through either Taroom or Dalby as there is no direct road connection.

I suggest that the 8728 voters that have been taken from the Condamine Electorate into Warrego be placed in the Callide Electorate. This would enable the Callide Electorate to have a north south community of interest along the Dalby Jandowea Mundubbera Durong Road. Miles and Chinchilla (7448 voters) and possibly areas from Wandoan and Taroom (to make up the numbers) then be located into Warrego where there is community of interest, transport and commerce links.

The clear community of interest on the Western Downs (ie Miles and Chinchilla) is in an east west direction and follows the Warrego Highway.

I strongly urge the Redistricting Commission to reconsider the draft boundaries and follow the east west transport routes for Warrego and the north south transport routes for Callide.

I acknowledge that my submission, including any personal and/or identifying information provided, will be published in its entirety and made available for public inspection.

Yours faithfully

Signature: Leonard William Gore.
Dear Queensland Redistribution Commission

I wish to lodge my objection to the communities of Miles and Chinchilla being placed in the Electorate of Callide. We simply do not have a community of interest to the north.

Miles and Chinchilla are in the Surat Basin and have little community of interest with so far to the north as we are situated in a different resource basin, catchment, local government area and state department regional boundaries, that run in an east west from Brisbane, Toowoomba and Ipswich. To travel from Miles or Chinchilla to the north of Callide under the current proposal one has to drive through either Taroom or Dalby as there is no direct road connection.

I suggest that the 8728 voters that have been taken from the Condamine Electorate into Warrego be placed in the Callide Electorate. This would enable the Callide Electorate to have a north south community of interest along the Dalby Jandowea Mundubbera Durong Road. Miles and Chinchilla (7448 voters) and possibly areas from Wandoan and Taroom (to make up the numbers) then be located into Warrego where there is community of interest, transport and commerce links.

The clear community of interest on the Western Downs (ie Miles and Chinchilla) is in an east west direction and follows the Warrego Highway.

I strongly urge the Redistribution Commission to reconsider the draft boundaries and follow the east west transport routes for Warrego and the north south transport routes for Callide.

I acknowledge that my submission, including any personal and/or identifying information provided, will be published in its entirety and made available for public inspection.

Yours faithfully

Signature
Dear Queensland Redistribution Commission

I wish to lodge my objection to the communities of Miles and Chinchilla being placed in the Electorate of Callide. We simply do not have a community of interest to the north.

Miles and Chinchilla are in the Surat Basin and have little community of interest with so far to the north as we are situated in a different resource basin, catchment, local government area and state department regional boundaries, that run in an east west from Brisbane, Toowoomba and Ipswich. To travel from Miles or Chinchilla to the north of Callide under the current proposal one has to drive through either Taroom or Dalby as there is no direct road connection.

I suggest that the 8728 voters that have been taken from the Condamine Electorate into Warrego be placed in the Callide Electorate. This would enable the Callide Electorate to have a north south community of interest along the Dalby Jandowea Mundubbera Durong Road. Miles and Chinchilla (7448 voters) and possibly areas from Wandoan and Taroom (to make up the numbers) then be located into Warrego where there is community of interest, transport and commerce links.

The clear community of interest on the Western Downs (ie Miles and Chinchilla) is in an east west direction and follows the Warrego Highway.

I strongly urge the Redistribution Commission to reconsider the draft boundaries and follow the east west transport routes for Warrego and the north south transport routes for Callide.

I acknowledge that my submission, including any personal and/or identifying information provided, will be published in its entirety and made available for public inspection.

Yours faithfully

Signature
Dear Queensland Redistribution Commission

I wish to lodge my objection to the communities of Miles and Chinchilla being placed in the Electorate of Callide. We simply do not have a community of interest to the north.

Miles and Chinchilla are in the Surat Basin and have little community of interest with so far to the north as we are situated in a different resource basin, catchment, local government area and state department regional boundaries, that run in an east west from Brisbane, Toowoomba and Ipswich. To travel from Miles or Chinchilla to the north of Callide under the current proposal one has to drive through either Taroom or Dalby as there is no direct road connection.

I suggest that the 8728 voters that have been taken from the Condamine Electorate into Warrego be placed in the Callide Electorate. This would enable the Callide Electorate to have a north south community of interest along the Dalby Jandowea Mundubbera Durong Road. Miles and Chinchilla (7448 voters) and possibly areas from Wandoan and Taroom (to make up the numbers) then be located into Warrego where there is community of interest, transport and commerce links.

The clear community of interest on the Western Downs (ie Miles and Chinchilla) is in an east west direction and follows the Warrego Highway.

I strongly urge the Redistribution Commission to reconsider the draft boundaries and follow the east west transport routes for Warrego and the north south transport routes for Callide.

I acknowledge that my submission, including any personal and/or identifying information provided, will be published in its entirety and made available for public inspection.

Yours faithfully

Signature

[Signature]
Post to The Secretary  
Queensland Redistribution Commission  
GPO Box 1393  
BRISBANE QLD 4001  

Or email to boundaries@ecq.qld.gov.au

Name          DAVID MCDONALD          
Residential Address  "BURRADOO" DRILLHAM 4424

Submission regarding the draft boundaries of the State Electorate of Warrego

Wish to lodge my objection to the community of Miles being placed in the Electorate of Callide. There is little community of interest between Miles and Chinchilla to the north because of the east west transport corridor (Warrego Highway) that links these communities to the east and west.

Both Miles and Chinchilla are in the Surat Basin and have no community of interest with to the north as they are situated in a different resource basin, catchment, local government area and state department regional boundaries that run in an east west direction.

The clear community of interest on the Western Downs (ie Miles and Chinchilla) is in an east west direction and follows the Warrego Highway. It is disappointing to see the Western Downs Regional Council area split across so many electorates.

I strongly urge the Redistribution Commission to consider how they might enable the communities of Miles and Chinchilla to be included in the Warrego Electorate as the current draft proposal will diminish our ability to have access to state government representation due to the natural barriers and the lack of direct transport routes.

Our communities should not be disadvantaged and dislocated in this way.

I acknowledge that my submission, including any personal and/or identifying information provided, will be published in its entirety and made available for public inspection.

Yours faithfully          D.K. MCDONALD          
Signature  [Signatory Signature]
Dear Queensland Redistribution Commission

I wish to lodge my objection to the communities of Miles and Chinchilla being placed in the Electorate of Callide. We simply do not have a community of interest to the north.

Miles and Chinchilla are in the Surat Basin and have little community of interest with so far to the north as we are situated in a different resource basin, catchment, local government area and state department regional boundaries, that run in an east west from Brisbane, Toowoomba and Ipswich. To travel from Miles or Chinchilla to the north of Callide under the current proposal one has to drive through either Taroom or Dalby as there is no direct road connection.

I suggest that the 8728 voters that have been taken from the Condamine Electorate into Warrego be placed in the Callide Electorate. This would enable the Callide Electorate to have a north south community of interest along the Dalby Jandowea Mundubbera Durong Road. Miles and Chinchilla (7448 voters) and possibly areas from Wandoan and Taroom (to make up the numbers) then be located into Warrego where there is community of interest, transport and commerce links.

The clear community of interest on the Western Downs (ie Miles and Chinchilla) is in an east west direction and follows the Warrego Highway.

I strongly urge the Redistribution Commission to reconsider the draft boundaries and follow the east west transport routes for Warrego and the north south transport routes for Callide.

I acknowledge that my submission, including any personal and/or identifying information provided, will be published in its entirety and made available for public inspection.

Yours faithfully

Signature
Dear Queensland Redistribution Commission

I wish to lodge my objection to the communities of Miles and Chinchilla being placed in the Electorate of Callide. We simply do not have a community of interest to the north.

Miles and Chinchilla are in the Surat Basin and have little community of interest with so far to the north as we are situated in a different resource basin, catchment, local government area and state department regional boundaries, that run in an east west from Brisbane, Toowoomba and Ipswich. To travel from Miles or Chinchilla to the north of Callide under the current proposal one has to drive through either Taroom or Dalby as there is no direct road connection.

I suggest that the 8728 voters that have been taken from the Condamine Electorate into Warrego be placed in the Callide Electorate. This would enable the Callide Electorate to have a north south community of interest along the Dalby Jandowea Mundubbera Durong Road. Miles and Chinchilla (7448 voters) and possibly areas from Wandoan and Taroom (to make up the numbers) then be located into Warrego where there is community of interest, transport and commerce links.

The clear community of interest on the Western Downs (ie Miles and Chinchilla) is in an east west direction and follows the Warrego Highway.

I strongly urge the Redistribution Commission to reconsider the draft boundaries and follow the east west transport routes for Warrego and the north south transport routes for Callide.

I acknowledge that my submission, including any personal and/or identifying information provided, will be published in its entirety and made available for public inspection.

Yours faithfully

Signature
Dear Queensland Redistribution Commission

I wish to lodge my objection to the communities of Miles and Chinchilla being placed in the Electorate of Callide. We simply do not have a community of interest to the north.

Miles and Chinchilla are in the Surat Basin and have little community of interest with so far to the north as we are situated in a different resource basin, catchment, local government area and state department regional boundaries, that run in an east west from Brisbane, Toowoomba and Ipswich. To travel from Miles or Chinchilla to the north of Callide under the current proposal one has to drive through either Tiaro or Dalby as there is no direct road connection.

I suggest that the 8728 voters that have been taken from the Condamine Electorate into Warrego be placed in the Callide Electorate. This would enable the Callide Electorate to have a north south community of interest along the Dalby Jandowea Mundubbera Durong Road. Miles and Chinchilla (7448 voters) and possibly areas from Wandoan and Tiaro (to make up the numbers) then be located into Warrego where there is community of interest, transport and commerce links.

The clear community of interest on the Western Downs (ie Miles and Chinchilla) is in an east west direction and follows the Warrego Highway.

I strongly urge the Redistribution Commission to reconsider the draft boundaries and follow the east west transport routes for Warrego and the north south transport routes for Callide.

I acknowledge that my submission, including any personal and/or identifying information provided, will be published in its entirety and made available for public inspection.

Yours faithfully

Signature
Dear Queensland Redistribution Commission

I wish to lodge my objection to the communities of Miles and Chinchilla being placed in the Electorate of Callide. We simply do not have a community of interest to the north.

Miles and Chinchilla are in the Surat Basin and have little community of interest with so far to the north as we are situated in a different resource basin, catchment, local government area and state department regional boundaries, that run in an east west from Brisbane, Toowoomba and Ipswich. To travel from Miles or Chinchilla to the north of Callide under the current proposal one has to drive through either Taroom or Dalby as there is no direct road connection.

I suggest that the 8728 voters that have been taken from the Condamine Electorate into Warrego be placed in the Callide Electorate. This would enable the Callide Electorate to have a north south community of interest along the Dalby Jandowea Mundubbera Durong Road. Miles and Chinchilla (7448 voters) and possibly areas from Wandoan and Taroom (to make up the numbers) then be located into Warrego where there is community of interest, transport and commerce links.

The clear community of interest on the Western Downs (ie Miles and Chinchilla) is in an east west direction and follows the Warrego Highway.

I strongly urge the Redistribution Commission to reconsider the draft boundaries and follow the east west transport routes for Warrego and the north south transport routes for Callide. This is only common sense.

I acknowledge that my submission, including any personal and/or identifying information provided, will be published in its entirety and made available for public inspection.

Yours faithfully

Geoffrey Luscombe

Signature
Dear Sir/Madam,

With reference to the above we wish to object to these changes in the strongest possible terms. The reasons for our objections are as follows:-

- The lack of objectivity in arriving at this decision – obviously very little thought, if any, went into this process.

- Did the person or persons making the recommendations for change ever visit the electorate? Kawana has been established very successfully and has continued in its present form for nearly 60 years and with development still ongoing.

- The proposal removes Minyama (where we have lived for the past 22 years) and had a holiday house at Buddina for many years prior to that. Buddina and Parrearra are also included in the proposed changes. However, breaking up these three areas from others in the coastal strip alienates us from the culture of the area.

- Irrespective of ones political persuasion, local representation in this area continues to be first class and is paramount to good governance. To live in this area and be represented by a Member of Parliament located in Buderim is ludicrous. Where is the nexus?

- We shop at Kawana Shoppingworld, visit our local doctors, socialise here eg. Kawana RSL and Kawana Surf Lifesaving Club. Please don’t disrupt the peaceful existence and quality of life we enjoy here.

- There is a well know saying “If it ain’t broke don’t fix it”.

Yours faithfully,

ANNETTE SHARRY

Obj-1185
Dear Queensland Redistribution Commission

I wish to lodge my objection to the communities of Miles and Chinchilla being placed in the Electorate of Callide. We simply do not have a community of interest to the north.

Miles and Chinchilla are in the Surat Basin and have little community of interest with so far to the north as we are situated in a different resource basin, catchment, local government area and state department regional boundaries, that run in an east west from Brisbane, Toowoomba and Ipswich. To travel from Miles or Chinchilla to the north of Callide under the current proposal one has to drive through either Tarooon or Dalby as there is no direct road connection.

I suggest that the 8728 voters that have been taken from the Condamine Electorate into Warrego be placed in the Callide Electorate. This would enable the Callide Electorate to have a north south community of interest along the Dalby Jandowea Mandubbera Durong Road. Miles and Chinchilla (7448 voters) and possibly areas from Wandoan and Tarooon (to make up the numbers) then be located into Warrego where there is community of interest, transport and commerce links.

The clear community of interest on the Western Downs (ie Miles and Chinchilla) is in an east west direction and follows the Warrego Highway.

I strongly urge the Redistribution Commission to reconsider the draft boundaries and follow the east west transport routes for Warrego and the north south transport routes for Callide.

I acknowledge that my submission, including any personal and/or identifying information provided, will be published in its entirety and made available for public inspection.

Yours faithfully

Signature
Dear Queensland Redistribution Commission

I wish to lodge my objection to the communities of Miles and Chinchilla being placed in the Electorate of Callide. We simply do not have a community of interest to the north.

Miles and Chinchilla are in the Surat Basin and have little community of interest with so far to the north as we are situated in a different resource basin, catchment, local government area and state department regional boundaries, that run in an east west from Brisbane, Toowoomba and Ipswich. To travel from Miles or Chinchilla to the north of Callide under the current proposal one has to drive through either Taroom or Dalby as there is no direct road connection.

I suggest that the 8728 voters that have been taken from the Condamine Electorate into Warrego be placed in the Callide Electorate. This would enable the Callide Electorate to have a north south community of interest along the Dalby Jandowea Mundubbera Durong Road. Miles and Chinchilla (7448 voters) and possibly areas from Wandoan and Taroom (to make up the numbers) then be located into Warrego where there is community of interest, transport and commerce links.

The clear community of interest on the Western Downs (ie Miles and Chinchilla) is in an east west direction and follows the Warrego Highway.

I strongly urge the Redistribution Commission to reconsider the draft boundaries and follow the east west transport routes for Warrego and the north south transport routes for Callide.

I acknowledge that my submission, including any personal and/or identifying information provided, will be published in its entirety and made available for public inspection.

Yours faithfully

Signature [Signature]

Obj-1187
Dear Queensland Redistribution Commission

I wish to lodge my objection to the communities of Miles and Chinchilla being placed in the Electorate of Callide. We simply do not have a community of interest to the north.

Miles and Chinchilla are in the Surat Basin and have little community of interest with so far to the north as we are situated in a different resource basin, catchment, local government area and state department regional boundaries, that run in an east west from Brisbane, Toowoomba and Ipswich. To travel from Miles or Chinchilla to the north of Callide under the current proposal one has to drive through either Taroom or Dalby as there is no direct road connection.

I suggest that the 8728 voters that have been taken from the Condamine Electorate into Warrego be placed in the Callide Electorate. This would enable the Callide Electorate to have a north south community of interest along the Dalby Jandowea Mundubbera Durong Road. Miles and Chinchilla (7448 voters) and possibly areas from Wandoan and Taroom (to make up the numbers) then be located into Warrego where there is community of interest, transport and commerce links.

The clear community of interest on the Western Downs (ie Miles and Chinchilla) is in an east west direction and follows the Warrego Highway.

I strongly argue the Redistribution Commission to reconsider the draft boundaries and follow the east west transport routes for Warrego and the north south transport routes for Callide.

I acknowledge that my submission, including any personal and or identifying information provided, will be published in its entirety and made available for public inspection.

Yours faithfully,

[Signature]
Dear Queensland Redistribution Commission

I wish to lodge my objection to the communities of Miles and Chinchilla being placed in the Electorate of Callide. We simply do not have a community of interest to the north.

Miles and Chinchilla are in the Surat Basin and have little community of interest with so far to the north as we are situated in a different resource basin, catchment, local government area and state departmental regional boundaries, that run in an east-west from Brisbane, Toowoomba and Ipswich. To travel from Miles or Chinchilla to the north of Callide under the current proposal one has to drive through either Taroom or Dalby as there is no direct road connection.

I suggest that the 8728 voters that have been taken from the Condamine Electorate into Warrego be placed in the Callide Electorate. This would enable the Callide Electorate to have a north south community of interest along the Dalby Jandowea Mundubbera Durong Road. Miles and Chinchilla (7448 voters) and possibly areas from Wandoan and Taroom (to make up the numbers) then be located into Warrego where there is community of interest, transport and commerce links.

The clear community of interest on the Western Downs (ie Miles and Chinchilla) is in an east west direction and follows the Warrego Highway.

I strongly urge the Redistribution Commission to reconsider the draft boundaries and follow the east west transport routes for Warrego and the north south transport routes for Callide.

I acknowledge that my submission, including any personal and/or identifying information provided, will be published in its entirety and made available for public inspection.

Yours faithfully

[Signature]
Dear Queensland Redistribution Commission

I wish to lodge my objection to the communities of Miles and Chinchilla being placed in the Electorate of Callide. We simply do not have a community of interest to the north.

Miles and Chinchilla are in the Sarat Basin and have little community of interest with so far to the north as we are situated in a different resource basin, catchment, local government area and state department regional boundaries, that run in an east west from Brisbane, Toowoomba and Ipswich. To travel from Miles or Chinchilla to the north of Callide under the current proposal one has to drive through either Targo or Dalby as there is no direct road connection.

I suggest that the 8728 voters that have been taken from the Condamine Electorate into Warrego be placed in the Callide Electorate. This would enable the Callide Electorate to have a north south community of interest along the Dalby Jandowea Mundubbera Durong Road. Miles and Chinchilla (7448 voters) and possibly areas from Wandoan and Targo (to make up the numbers) then be located into Warrego where there is community of interest, transport and commerce links.

The clear community of interest on the Western Downs (ie Miles and Chinchilla) is in an east west direction and follows the Warrego Highway.

I strongly urge the Redistribution Commission to reconsider the draft boundaries and follow the east west transport routes for Warrego and the north south transport routes for Callide.

I acknowledge that my submission, including any personal and/or identifying information provided, will be published in its entirety and made available for public inspection.

Yours faithfully

Signature
Dear Queensland Redistribution Commission

I wish to lodge my objection to the communities of Miles and Chinchilla being placed in the Electorate of Callide. We simply do not have a community of interest to the north.

Miles and Chinchilla are in the Surat Basin and have little community of interest with so far to the north as we are situated in a different resource basin, catchment, local government area and state department regional boundaries, that run in an east west from Brisbane, Toowoomba and Ipswich. To travel from Miles or Chinchilla to the north of Callide under the current proposal one has to drive through either Taroom or Dalby as there is no direct road connection.

I suggest that the 8728 voters that have been taken from the Condamine Electorate into Warrego be placed in the Callide Electorate. This would enable the Callide Electorate to have a north south community of interest along the Dalby Jandowea Mundubbera Durong Road. Miles and Chinchilla (7448 voters) and possibly areas from Wandoan and Taroom (to make up the numbers) then be located into Warrego where there is community of interest, transport and commerce links.

The clear community of interest on the Western Downs (ie Miles and Chinchilla) is in an east west direction and follows the Warrego Highway.

I strongly urge the Redistribution Commission to reconsider the draft boundaries and follow the east west transport routes for Warrego and the north south transport routes for Callide.

I acknowledge that my submission, including any personal and/or identifying information provided, will be published in its entirety and made available for public inspection.

Yours faithfully

Signature
Online submission for **Kawana**

**Name:** Barbara Cugley  
**Address:** 11 Mykonos Court, Kawana Island, Parrearra, Qld. 4575

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**
I object strongly to the change of electoral boundaries to exclude Parrearra, Buddina & Minyama from the Kawana Electorate. To include these areas with Buderim, an area that we have no involvement with, is unreasonable. We chose to live in this area because it was part of the Kawana District where we participate in community activities and use the local facilities such as shopping, medical, entertainment, beaches, etc. If we wanted to use these facilities in Buderim (and commute to the beautiful beach we have here), we would have built our home in Buderim! We never go to Buderim for any purpose because everything we need or want is in the Kawana area. It needs to remain as one electorate to continue to maintain and continue to improve the facilities and infrastructure that we have to support the community that have chosen to live in Kawana (and not Buderim). There is absolutely no connection between the coastal community of Kawana and the Hinterland community of Buderim. Along with Parrearra, the areas of Buddina & Minyama also need to remain in the Kawana Electorate because our major shopping centre and many other local shops are located in these areas. These shops are strongly supported by all members of the Kawana Electorate because of their location in the Kawana Waters precinct and so the electoral boundary should remain as is and continue to include these facilities. I don't wish to be forced into an electorate that has no cultural or business connection to where I live and to be alienated from our friends and community groups because we no longer have the Kawana district as a common interest.

Submission ID: 67359

Time of Submission: 23 Mar 2017 7:54am

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.214
I strongly disagree to a proposed name change for the Pine Rivers State Electorate to D’ Aguilar.

As the area was named Pine Rivers with North and South Pine Rivers merging, and because of the Pine Plantations which covered much of the area to the North and West of Petrie, the name was synonymous with those landmarks, not individuals.

It would be ridiculous to change to D’ Aguilar, when we have so many other places carrying the Pine Rivers Logo. Pine Rivers Showgrounds, Pine Rivers State High School, Club Pine Rivers, a bowling club which holds National events, Pine Rivers Park, our entrance to our wonderful shire.

D’ Aguilar has its mountain range and forests of scrub, and was named after someone who appeared to spend a great deal of his life overseas.

No to a name more befitting a rural town to the north west of us. In the 2011 census the population was 830 people. No doubt that has changed greatly, but Pine Rivers has exploded despite the fact the main road though Strathpine (Gympie Road,) was bypassed by the Bruce Highway many years ago.

Let’s stop wasting taxpayers money on name changes!

Suzanne Cowell
Dear Sir/Madam,

I have been a resident of the Sunshine Coast since 2001.

During that time I have lived in Buderim, Maroochydore, and now Minyama (Kawana).

I am very much aware of a "us" and "them" attitude that exists between Buderim and the nearby coastal communities. Buderim residents appear to have a "village" mentality as opposed to the "coastal / touristy" attitude that prevails in businesses and residences in this area.

In terms of facility type and availability (eg beaches, clubs, etc), real estate marketability (coastal versus inland), and personal mental attitude, I reside in Kawana, NOT BUDERIM.

I do not believe that the requirements of this area could be adequately and specifically served if we were part of a greater Buderim electorate.

I am vehemently opposed to any boundary redistribution that will see me made to feel that I am no longer living on the coast, but rather living in an adjunct to an inland community.

Sincerely,

Shirley Morton
Dear Sir/Madam,

I have been a resident of the Sunshine Coast since 2001.

During that time I have lived in Buderim, Maroochydore, and now Minyama (Kawana).

I am very much aware of a "us" and "them" attitude that exists between Buderim and the nearby coastal communities. Buderim residents appear to have a "village" mentality as opposed to the "coastal / touristy" attitude that prevails in businesses and residences in this area.

In terms of facility type and availability (e.g., beaches, clubs, etc), real estate marketability (coastal versus inland), and personal mental attitude, I reside in Kawana, NOT BUDERIM.

I do not believe that the requirements of this area could be adequately and specifically served if we were part of a greater Buderim electorate.

I am vehemently opposed to any boundary redistribution that will see me made to feel that I am no longer living on the coast, but rather living in an adjunct to an inland community.

Sincerely,

Paul Morton
Online submission for **All Districts, Buderim, Kawana**

**Name:** Sharon Emmerson  
**Address:** 26 Magnetic St Parrearra Qld 4575  

**File Upload:** No file uploaded  

**Text:**  
KEEP MINYAMA, BUDDINA & PARREARRA IN KAWANA! I object to the proposed transfer of Minyama, Buddina and Parrearra to Buderim.

---

Submission ID: 67360  
Time of Submission: 23 Mar 2017 8:46am  
Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.213
Queensland Redistribution Commission
GPO Box 1393
Brisbane QLD 4001
Boundaries@ecq.qld.gov.au

I wish to lodge my objection to the communities of Miles and Chinchilla being placed in the Electorate of Callide.
We simply do not have a community of interest to the north.
Our Town and area access is from the West to the East through Dalby, Toowoomba, to Brisbane.
We have no direct Roads to the North or any community interaction with the area.
Thank You Rod Easey
R Easey
10 price st
CHINCHILLA 4413
Dear Sir/Madam.

As a resident of Kawana Island (Parrearra) I strongly object to the redistribution of the precinct of Parrearra being rezoned into Buderim. Kawana is an unofficial place name for the coastal stretch of land between Mooloolaba and Caloundra. Our area has been known as Kawana Waters since the early 1960’s and is used by everyone as the commonly referred to name of our area. I don’t believe the QRC has properly taken into consideration the strong communities of interest that are contained in the Kawana Waters region.

To rezone beach side precincts of Buddina, Minyama and Parrearra to a hinterland precinct of Buderim does not make any sense to me.

Regards

Wayne Male
Resident Kawana Island.
Online submission for All Districts, Kawana

Name: Paul Battle
Address: 11 Adelong Crescent Buddina

File Upload: No file uploaded

Text:
Please do the Kawana residents a favour and sack the bureaucratic boofheads who proposed and actually accepted that this rearrangement of boundaries was an intelligent option. There are plenty of people in the community who aren't that spatially disarraigned as these drongos are. Please leave Kawana as is so that important Kawana infrastructures remain in Kawana and NOT BUDERIM. For God,s sake......grow a brain,bureaucrats!

Submission ID: 67361

Time of Submission: 23 Mar 2017 9:09am

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.213
Dear Queensland Redistribution Commission

I wish to lodge my objection to the communities of Miles and Chinchilla being placed in the Electorate of Callide. We simply do not have a community of interest to the north.

Miles and Chinchilla are in the Surat Basin and have little community of interest with so far to the north as we are situated in a different resource basin, catchment, local government area and state department regional boundaries, that run in an east west from Brisbane, Toowoomba and Ipswich. To travel from Miles or Chinchilla to the north of Callide under the current proposal one has to drive through either Taroom or Dalby as there is no direct road connection.

I suggest that the 8728 voters that have been taken from the Condamine Electorate into Warrego be placed in the Callide Electorate. This would enable the Callide Electorate to have a north south community of interest along the Dalby Jandowea Mundubbera Durong Road. Miles and Chinchilla (7448 voters) and possibly areas from Wandoan and Taroom (to make up the numbers) then be located into Warrego where there is community of interest, transport and commerce links.

The clear community of interest on the Western Downs (ie Miles and Chinchilla) is in an east west direction and follows the Warrego Highway.

I strongly urge the Redistribution Commission to reconsider the draft boundaries and follow the east west transport routes for Warrego and the north south transport routes for Callide.

I acknowledge that my submission, including any personal and/or identifying information provided, will be published in its entirety and made available for public inspection.

Yours faithfully

Signature
Dear Queensland Redistribution Commission

I wish to lodge my objection to the communities of Miles and Chinchilla being placed in the Electorate of Callide. We simply do not have a community of interest to the north. Miles and Chinchilla are in the Surat Basin and have little community of interest with so far to the north as we are situated in a different resource basin, catchment, local government area and state department regional boundaries, that run in an east west from Brisbane, Toowoomba and Ipswich. To travel from Miles or Chinchilla to the north of Callide under the current proposal one has to drive through either Taroom or Dalby as there is no direct road connection.

I suggest that the 8728 voters that have been taken from the Condamine Electorate into Warrego be placed in the Callide Electorate. This would enable the Callide Electorate to have a north south community of interest along the Dalby Jandowea Mundubbera Durong Road. Miles and Chinchilla (7448 voters) and possibly areas from Wandoan and Taroom (to make up the numbers) then be located into Warrego where there is community of interest, transport and commerce links.

The clear community of interest on the Western Downs (ie Miles and Chinchilla) is in an east west direction and follows the Warrego Highway.

I strongly urge the Redistribution Commission to reconsider the draft boundaries and follow the east west transport routes for Warrego and the north south transport routes for Callide.

I acknowledge that my submission, including any personal and/or identifying information provided, will be published in its entirety and made available for public inspection.

Yours faithfully

[Signature]
22 March 2017

RE: Proposed Boundary Changes OBJECTION

We would like to raise our concerns about the pending changes to state government boundaries and the impact that it will have on the Kawana business community. It is very concerning that the proposed boundary change remove the suburbs of Minyama, Buddina and Parrearra (Kawana Island) out of the Kawana electorate and transfers them into the Buderim electorate.

If the draft boundary is finalised in its current form, Kawana will not contain the Kawana Shoppingworld, Kawana Scout Group, Kawana Ambulance Station, Kawana Community Centre, Kawana Surf Lifesaving Club and Kawana Waters RSL Sub-Branch, to name but a few. As one of the long standing community groups in Kawana who has been supporting local business for over 30 years, the Kawana Chamber of Commerce has serious concerns over the pending changes and the impact they will have.

We urge you to reconsider these changes and refrain from dividing our community.

on behalf of Kawana Chamber Executive

Linda Delamotte

President, Kawana Chamber
Online submission for **Kawana**

**Name:** Paul Hughes  
**Address:** 19 Cypress Ct Minyama 4575  
**File Upload:** No file uploaded  

**Text:**
I am a resident of Minyama. It has come to my notice that a proposed change of electoral boundaries will move Minyama from Kawana to the Buderim region. I strongly object to this proposal, as Minyama should remain as part of Kawana. Minyama & Buddina are part of a maritime environment. We have issues common to Kawana, Bokarina, Wurtulla, etc, such as dune erosion and revegetation, coastal pathways, etc. On occasion following a prolonged northeasterly event, sand will be washed up from the Kawana beaches and develop banks which obstruct the Mooloolah River entrance. Our local member is familiar with these critical issues and is able to marshall appropriate resources to quickly and safely reopen the passage. I would imagine the authorities in Buderim would have little experience in handling such issues, and maybe have little interest? I object to the proposed change, and recommend that Minyama, Buddina and Parrearra remain as part of Kawana, where they should rightfully be. Yours faithfully, P.G. Hughes

Submission ID: 67362  
Time of Submission: 23 Mar 2017 9:51am  
Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.214
SUBMISSION OF OBJECTIONS TO PROPOSED ELECTORAL BOUNDARY CHANGE FOR THE KAWANA ELECTORATE

I have been a resident of the Kawana Waters area for 37 years and of that I have lived 10 years in Minyama. Since the early 1960’s the areas of Buddina, Minyama and Parrearra has and still is known as KAWANA WATERS, including areas all the way south to Wurtulla and Currimundi.

I belong to the KAWANA Catholic Community which has a weekly Sunday mass at the KAWANA Community Centre. We are part of the Catholic Parish of Our Lady of the Rosary Caloundra. That is where our priests come from for Sunday Mass. The boundary for our KAWANA Parish Community is MacKenzie Bridge and the Mooloolah River. NO CONNECTION to BUDERIM.

I belong to the KAWANA Library, shop at the KAWANA Shoppingworld and the office of the KAWANA Member of Parliament at just across the road. All this proposal is going to achieve is creating a division in the community, where one side of the street is in the BUDERIM electorate and the other in the KAWANA electorate.

KAWANA is a coastal urban electorate and moving Minyama, Buddina and Parrearra will place the residents in no man’s land – not part of KAWANA and not connected in any way to the issues of BUDERIM. These residents don’t live ON BUDERIM or even IN BUDERIM. What will we become? Will we be known as Buderim’s Coastal Cousins or named Beachside Buderim? This whole concept seem to lack thought, planning and is completely ridiculous.

I am also a member of the St Vincent de Paul Society Good Shepherd Conference at KAWANA and work together with SVDP Caloundra. In our welfare work we make home visits to assist the needy in our local KAWANA area. Our boundary is from MacKenzie Bridge to Currimundi. We work very closely with many local schools and business in the KAWANA area. We are especially grateful for the high level of support and assistance we receive from our KAWANA MP. We would be FORCED to drive to BUDERIM every time we have a matter to discuss with a MP who has no connection to the work we do or the people we come in contact with.

In closing, our coastal-based urban communities are culturally and socially connected to KAWANA. Don’t cause division in what is a strong and caring community. The BUDERIM and KAWANA electorates are too diverse in their issues. There is no commonality. Please do not make this proposed electoral change a reality. What is the real purpose in dividing a well established community?

Keep our wonderful community organizations, businesses and social groups together in the same electorate.

KEEP ALL OF KAWANA IN KAWANA

Yours sincerely,

Maria Colwell
Dear Queensland Redistribution Commission - I wish to lodge my objection to the communities of Miles and Chinchilla being placed in the Electorate of Callide. We simply do not have a community of interest to the north. Miles and Chinchilla are in the Surat Basin and have a little community of interest so far to the north as we are situated in a different resource basin, catchment, local government area and state department regional boundaries, that run in an east west from Brisbane, Toowoomba and Ipswich. To travel from Miles or Chinchilla to the north of Callide under the current proposal one has to drive through either Taroom or Dalby as there is no direct road connection. I suggest that the 8728 voters that have been taken from the Condamine electorate into the Warrego be placed in the Callide electorate. This would enable the Callide electorate to have a north south community of interest along the Dalby Jandowae Mundubbera Durong road. Miles and Chinchilla (7448 voters) and possibly areas from Wandoan and Taroom (to make up the numbers) then be located into Warrego where there is community of interest, transport and commerce links. The clear community of interest on the Western Downs (ie Miles and Chinchilla) is in an east west direction and follows the Warrego highway. I strongly urge the Redistribution Commission to reconsider the draft boundaries and follow the east west transport routes for Warrego and the north south transport routes for Callide. I acknowledge that my submission, including any personal and/or identifying information provided, will be published in its entirety and made available for public inspection. Yours Faithfully Karen Dolbel
Online submission for **Kawana**

**Name:** Valmae Levett  
**Address:** 37 Oomoo St

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**  
I would like to object to the intended removal of Buddina, Minyama and Parrearra from the Kawana electorate to the Buderim electorate. These 3 suburbs are an essential part of Kawana Waters, originated around 1959, and is a closely knit coastal community that has different concerns and requirements than Buderim. I do not think it a wise decision to split the Kawana Waters community as we are part and parcel of Kawana and all of Kawana should have the same representative looking after our concerns.

---

**Submission ID:** 67364

**Time of Submission:** 23 Mar 2017 10:22am

**Submission IP Address:** 43.245.40.213
We are writing to lodge our objection to the proposed changes to the Kawana Electorate boundaries. We are currently in the Kawana electorate however the changes will put us in the Buderim electorate. This makes absolutely NO sense. Buderim and Kawana are a completely different demographic and I have real concerns that the suburbs of Minyama, Buddina and Parrearra will not be properly represented as the issues affecting the areas are very different.

Also the new boundaries will put the Kawna shopping centre, Kawana Surf Club, Community centre etc into Buderim electorate. This makes absolutely NO sense.

Regards

Mark & Andrea VICKERS
Online submission for **Kawana**

**Name:** Craig Caldwell  
**Address:** 9 Kunari Street, Buddina QLD 4575

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**
To whom it may concern, Hi my name is Craig Caldwell and I have to start by saying WHY?. I have just come off 7 night shifts and receive a letter in the mail from my Local member for Kawana stating they want to remove some parts of the Kawana waters electorate to Buderim. What is the motivation behind this anyway, save tax dollars or inconvenience some of the coasts highest rate payers. I just don't understand why this has even been brought up. Why cant things just be left the way they always have been. I moved here to be part of the Kawana Waters Community. I love where I live and don't want to be part of the Buderim electorate. Having our member for Kawana close unites our community. I generally don't have to much to do with local politicians but as my Son goes to the local Buddina Primary school I have met our Kawana member on many occasions. The electorate of Kawana is and has always been predominantly a coastal urban electorate. The communities of Buddina, Minyama and Parrearra are 3 of the best living zones on the Coast and we would like to keep it that way. When people ask me where do I live I say Kawana Waters and they know. They know how passionate the people of the Kawana Electorate are. Id just like to finish by saying I strongly disagree with the idea of removing parts of the Kawana Waters electorate to Buderim. Just leave it alone!

**Submission ID:** 67365  
**Time of Submission:** 23 Mar 2017 10:31am  
**Submission IP Address:** 43.245.40.214
Name: Sandra and Alan Ward
Address: 6 Murrindi Street Minyama

File Upload: No file uploaded

Text:
We moved from Sydney to Minyama almost two years ago to find a better lifestyle in our retirement. We did our research and found that living near Kawana seemed the right thing to do with the new hospital about 10 minutes away by car and all the necessary shops and amenities virtually around the corner. We believe that since moving here, the name "Kawana", appears to be well respected with many businesses and associations having taken this name as part of their corporate and community identities. As a result of this overwhelming respect in this name (Kawana) we have now become very proud of the name of our electorate. In addition, we thought the Queensland Government was aware of the importance of preserving the present boundaries. Kawana and the communities therein deserve to have its own electorate and that access to our local MP be located in this most sought after area. We don't understand why the Government wants to disrupt and displease the people of Minyama, Buddina and Parrearra. Regards Alan and Sandra Ward

Submission ID: 67366

Time of Submission: 23 Mar 2017 10:41am

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.213
Online submission for All Districts

Name: Trevor Gourlay
Address: 41 Adelong Crescent Buddina 4575

File Upload: No file uploaded

Text:
I object to the redistribution of electoral boundaries. I have lived in the area most of my life. The area is growing extensively. Buderim has a different mindset to the coastal strip. Your bureaucrats should get outside of your four walls and have a look outside.

Submission ID: 67367
Time of Submission: 23 Mar 2017 11:17am
Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.214
Hello. I was unable to lodge my objection online as this page isn't available.

I would like to lodge my objection to moving part of the Kawana electorate to Buderim.

I feel it is unfair that my area, will not have a representative and I will have to travel through the congestion which is Buderim (nice place but too congested), to visit my "local" MP.

The community clubs in the Kawana Electorate do a stellar job and I feel it would be robbing them of easy access to their MP.

Please reconsider this proposal and again, I would like to voice my objection!

Kind Regards

Julie Hansen
7 St Vincents Court
Minyama

--
We are writing to lodge our strong objection to the excision of the suburbs of Buddina, Minyama and Parrearra from the Kawana electorate and attachment to the Buderim electorate. These three coastal suburbs have been known under the umbrella of 'Kawana Waters' for the past 50 years. To now attach them to Buderim electorate will leave the residents of those suburbs alienated from their State Member who has fostered a thriving community and fought hard for the infrastructure, facilities, services and spirit of Kawana. In this proposed adjustment, the 'hub' of the community - Kawana Shoppingworld, the Kawana Library, the Kawana Ambulance Station, the Kawana Scout Group and Kawana Surf Lifesaving Club to name but a few - will all come under the auspices of the Buderim electorate. Do you think that the residents of the Buderim electorate will care about these organisations and the maintenance of their facilities? As a resident of a 'retirement living' complex of 165 villas, the residents of this complex - the majority are older women on their own - have easy access to the office of our State Member, Mr Jarrod Bleijie, on Nicklin Way. Many of these women do not have vehicles and would be unable to access the State Member for Buderim, presuming of course, his/her office would be situated in Buderim itself. We have lived on Kawana Island for 13 years now and have made infrequent visits to Buderim and we definitely do not want to be aligned with the Buderim electorate. To our mind, it would make more sense to include the suburbs of Little Mountain (both sides of Caloundra Road) and Aroona into the Caloundra electorate, as they are more naturally aligned with Caloundra social and shopping activities, whereas Parrearra, Buddina and Minyama are more naturally aligned with the facilities of Kawana.
Submission regarding the draft boundaries of the State Electorate of Warrego

I wish to lodge my objection to the community of being placed in the electorate of Callide. There is little community of interest between Miles and Chinchilla to the north because of the east-west transport corridor (Warrego Highway) that links these communities to the east and west.

Both Miles and Chinchilla are in the Surat Basin and have no community of interest with the north as they are situated in a different resource basin, catchment, local government area and state departmental regional boundaries that run in an east-west direction.

The clear community of interest on the Western Downs (ie Miles and Chinchilla) is in an east-west direction and follows the Warrego Highway. It is disappointing to see the Western Downs Regional Council area split across so many electorates.

I strongly urge the Redistribution Commission to consider how they might enable the communities of Miles and Chinchilla to be included in the Warrego Electorate as the current draft proposal will diminish our ability to have access to state government representation due to the natural barriers and the lack of direct transport routes.

Our communities should not be disadvantaged and dislocated in this way.

I acknowledge that my submission, including any personal and/or identifying information provided will be published in its entirety and made available for public inspection.

Yours faithfully

Signature

B. Smith
Submission regarding the draft boundaries of the State Electorate of Warrego

Wish to lodge my objection to the community of Miles being placed in the Electorate of Callide. There is little community of interest between Miles and Chinchilla to the north because of the east west transport corridor (Warrego Highway) that links these communities to the east and west.

Both Miles and Chinchilla are in the Surat Basin and have no community of interest with the north as they are situated in a different resource basin, catchment, local government area and state department regional boundaries that run in an east west direction.

The clear community of interest on the Western Downs (ie Miles and Chinchilla) is in an east west direction and follows the Warrego Highway. It is disappointing to see the Western Downs Regional Council area split across so many electorates.

I strongly urge the Redistribution Commission to consider how they might enable the communities of Miles and Chinchilla to be included in the Warrego Electorate as the current draft proposal will diminish our ability to have access to state government representation due to the natural barriers and the lack of direct transport routes.

Our communities should not be disadvantaged and dislocated in this way.

I acknowledge that my submission, including any personal and/or identifying information provided, will be published in its entirety and made available for public inspection.

Yours faithfully

Signature

Leslie Smith
Online submission for **Kawana**

**Name:** Gloria Denise West  
**Address:** Unit 1 / 2 Point Cartwright Drive Buddina Qld 4575

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**
Regarding the draft boundary for changes to the Kawana electorate of transfers to the Buderim electorate. The electorate of Kawana is predominantly a coastal urban electorate where I have lived for the passed 19 years in the suburb of Buddina. There is no connection between the coastal suburbs and Buderim which is approximately 15 k's inland and situated between Nambour and Maroochydore. Our coastal suburbs and communities are culturally and socially connected with Kawana. Our shopping, entertainment, sports venues, and new hospital district and many sports and social clubs are located in the current Kawana electorate using the name of Kawana. Therefore it seems utter nonsense to disconnect these local identities by moving these coastal suburbs into the boundary of Buderim. With thanks Denise West

Submission ID: 67370

**Time of Submission:** 23 Mar 2017 12:20pm

**Submission IP Address:** 43.245.40.214
Objection Re: Removal of Minyama, Buddina and Parrearra from the Kawana Electorate

Kawana Waters is an unofficial place name for a coastal stretch of land between Mooloolaba and Caloundra. Our area has been known as Kawana Waters since the early 1960s and is used by everyone as the commonly referred to name in this area. I don’t believe the QRC has properly taken into consideration the strong communities of interest that are contained in the Kawana Waters region.

Similar to the above, the area that I live in is known by everyone as Kawana Island. People have no idea what is meant by Parrearra - even the spelling is a mystery to most people.

Within the Kawana Waters area lie seven suburbs. Removing three of them disconnects the intended grouping of this coastal stretch of suburbs that make up Kawana Waters.

One of the connecting features of our community is Kawana beach, from Pt Cartwright to Currimundi Lake, 10 kilometers of white sand.

Since 1959, Kawana has developed into a thriving community. We are serviced by many wonderful community organisations. We are members of a number of these organisations including the Kawana Surf Lifesaving Club. These community organisations have no connection with the Buderim community and may be forced to compete with existing Buderim based organisations for funding, membership and volunteers. Please don’t move our respected, well used and much loved community organisations to Buderim.

Our major shopping and entertainment district is located in the Kawana Shoppingworld and Kawana Waters Hotel which should naturally remain in the Kawana electorate. Kawana Shoppingworld also acts as a major public transport hub, linking directly with the Sunshine Coast University Hospital as well as other bus routes operating throughout the Kawana electorate.

Please consider these above objections.

Thanking You

Dianne Ball
44/7 Grand Pde
Parrearra
To the Secretary
Queensland Redistribution Commission

I am writing to strongly object to the proposed changes to the above to move the area in which I live (Parrearra, commonly known as Kawana Island/Waters) to the Buderim electorate.

The demographics and interests of the Buderim electorate are so different to those of the Kawana electorate and not to mention, the political views. The Kawana electorate is serviced by many local organisations, some of which rely on funding from government and I don't believe would receive the same focus from a member who might not see the entire Kawana electorate as a whole.

There are many and varied reasons to keep the boundaries as they are and I cannot see any good reason why there is need for change but would be interested to know. One very important need not to change currently is that the sitting member for Buderim, Mr Steve Dickson, has betrayed the constituents who elected him to serve as an LNP member and has changed his allegiance to another party without consultation with those who elected him.

One has to ask, why change? The transfer of Minyama, Buddina and Parrearra to Buderim does not seem to make any sense and can't see how that is going to benefit the current constituents of the Kawana electorate.

Yours faithfully

Lorraine & Tiffany Samin
119/2 Grand Parade
Kawana Island (Parrearra) Qld 4575

March 23, 2017
Online submission for Gympie, Noosa

Name: Lex Buchanan
Address: 18 Buchanan Road Goomboorian Q 4570

File Upload: qld redistribution commission.docx, type application/vnd.openxmlformats-officedocument.wordprocessingml.document, 13.4 KB

Text: Please find attached a letter from Percy Alexander (Lex) Buchanan regarding the redistribution of Rainbow Beach from the Gympie Regional Council electorate to the Noosa District.
The Secretary
Queensland Redistribution Commission

Dear Sir,

The proposal to excise a large parcel of land, currently serviced by, and integrated with Gympie Shire and Electorate lacks common sense for the following reasons:-

**Physically**

There is no viable road connection to Noosa Electorate other than by beach buggies subject to tides and distance. Most of the land concerned is National Parks and is permanently reserved. Rainbow Beach is a small parcel of freehold land, so designated to allow a Beach Mining company access to mineral sands for which they were required to build and fund a road connecting to the Tin Can Bay Road. The present small number of votes will never grow to be a significant contribution to Noosa.

**Geographically**

The Rainbow Beach road referred to was integrated for funding purposes by the Federal Government with the Tin Can Bay Road early in its life. This servicing is conducted from Gympie. The area is known as the Cooloola Coast, the name being Aboriginal for a number of small fresh water lakes dotted through the area.

**Commercially**

Rainbow Beach is one of three small villages surrounding Tin Can Bay. As an integrated whole they are serviced by carriers, bus services and supermarkets. Rainbow Beach, with its coloured sands, Double Island Point and Carlo Sand Blow (the latter two named by Captain Cook) attracts a sizeable number of backpackers which contributes substantially to the whole area. On the inland side of the National Park is Queensland’s largest planted forest which has a Laminex mill and draws many of its large number of employees from these three villages. A major fishing industry is based here and again draws from each small community.

It should be noted that the original shires of Gympie and Widgee were amalgamated in 1992 and chose the name of Cooloola Shire. This was changed by Government decree when shires were amalgamated a few years ago. However, the name ‘Cooloola’ has been adopted by many Gympie businesses and is a further reason to avoid loss of a base area with renowned beaches and sands – not forgetting the indigenous name origin.

**Education**

The three small towns’ primary school needs are integrated and covered by bus runs. Similarly, all are covered by bus runs to secondary schools in Gympie.

**Community**

Integrated schools give rise to integrated communities via P & C’s and sporting events. This is not lost by a change of electorate but the seeds of disharmony, whether through funding changes or disputes over which side of the Bay gets a new jetty, is manna for the Public Service and anathema for the locals.
Environment

The distance from Noosa River to Inskip Point via the beach is nearing 100km. This long finger of land proposed for excision is basically a forested coastal range of sand hills. With a high rainfall, its inland foothills and valleys comprise largely of pristine rainforest with very limited and only seasonal access. Rainbow Beach village is near the northern tip. Environmentally, it is a very special South-East Queensland reserve and a jewel of the Gympie Electorate.

Summing up, Rainbow Beach has no affinity with Noosa Electorate, has very limited population growth potential and is an integrated part of the Cooloola Coast for all the above reasons.

There is a saying: “If it is not broken, don’t fix it”. Similarly, an integrated community is of great value – don’t muck about with it.

Sincerely yours,

Lex Buchanan
Voter – P.A. Buchanan OAM
18 Buchanan Road
Goomboorian, Qld, 4570
Online submission for **Kawana**

**Name:** Gaylene Duracoski  
**Address:** 3 Baleara Street Buddina 4575  

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**
I want to stay in the electorate of Kawana. I have lived here for 35 years and it's always been Kawana, why does it have to change when this is Kawana not Buderim. This whole area is known as Kawana. The school and cultural centre should stay in Kawana, there not Buderim. There are so many more organisations of the Kawana district that need to stay in the Kawana electorate and have no connection to Buderim. I am concerned that we won't be represented properly by the Buderim electorate and why do we have to go all the way to Buderim when our concerns are about Kawana. The electorate of Kawana is predominately coastal urban. Buderim is business and falls in with Maroochydore and Nambour. These aren't Kawana. All this coastal area up to Point Cartwright need to stay in the Kawana electorate as we are Kawana and not Buderim. Why should our coastal beach be divided? the whole stretch is known as Kawana. What will it be called when we're in Buderim?

Submission ID: 67374

Time of Submission: 23 Mar 2017 1:05pm

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.214
Online submission for Coomera, Macalister, Mcconnel, Maiwar, Miller, Oodgeroo, Theodore

Name: Ashley Gibson
Address: Within the proposed electorate of Macalister

File Upload: ECQ Redistribution - Final.pdf, type application/pdf, 196.0 KB

Text:
See attachment

Submission ID: 67375
Time of Submission: 23 Mar 2017 1:06pm
Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.213
Dear Commissioners,

I applaud your decision to put the traditional Beenleigh suburbs of Eagleby, Holmview, Edens Landing and Mount Warren Park all within the same electorate (Macalister). Previously, they were scattered across three separate electorates (Waterford, Albert and Coomera), which made it difficult to get the kind of attention that this area needs. Unfortunately, two other traditional Beenleigh suburbs, namely Windaroo and Bannockburn, have been left out of this new electorate, and will now be part of the electorate of Coomera.

This perpetuates the problem we had previously, where traditional Beenleigh suburbs like Eagleby and Mount Warren Park were represented by Gold Coast MPs, despite being part of Logan. Considering that the current boundary between the Logan and Gold Coast City Councils runs along the Albert River, this should be the northern boundary of the Coomera electorate. Otherwise, residents of Windaroo and Bannockburn, who do their business in Beenleigh, will have to travel to Ormeau or Coomera to meet their local MP, while residents of other traditional Beenleigh suburbs will be able to do so in Beenleigh.

In order to solve this problem, I recommend transferring Windaroo, Bannockburn, and the eastern part of Bahrs Scrub to the electorate of Macalister, so that the northern and western boundary of the Coomera electorate runs entirely along the Logan and Albert Rivers, until it reaches Cedar Creek. This would result in the loss of about 3000 voters from the Coomera electorate, thereby placing it below the required quota.

However, this could be resolved by transferring a small number of voters living in Upper Coomera from the electorate of Theodore to the electorate of Coomera, and then transferring a small number of voters from surrounding electorates into Theodore. Most of these boundaries are completely arbitrary, so the effect on residents will be minimal. However, the division between Logan and the Gold Coast is not arbitrary. It’s defined by the Albert River, which should provide a natural boundary between the Coomera and Macalister electorates.

According to government projections, the Coomera electorate is expected to grow very rapidly over the next six years, so even if Windaroo and Bannockburn remain part of Coomera, they will eventually have to be transferred to Macalister in order to prevent Coomera from going over its quota. In order to minimise the disruption to these residents, and in order to maintain community cohesion, it is important to make this transfer now rather than later.

I also believe that the electorate of Macalister should be renamed Albert, because the Albert River runs along its southern boundary, and the former electorate of Albert is now called Theodore. The justification for the Commission’s decision to rename the electorate of Albert as Theodore was that it’s no longer near the Albert River, but that doesn’t mean we should retire the name Albert altogether. Rather, we should give it to an electorate that’s near the Albert River, and Macalister fits the bill perfectly.

Beenleigh, Holmview, Edens Landing, Eagleby and Mount Warren Park were all part of the former Albert Shire when it was dissolved on 1 January 1995, and because they make up the bulk of this electorate, it’s only fitting to call it Albert. The fact that it includes Carbrook, Cornubia and Loganholme doesn’t make any difference, because they were also part of the Albert Shire until 1978. We even have the Albert & Logan News, which is distributed weekly throughout the electorate, and the Beenleigh Transit Centre still contains a large overhead sign that says “Albert Travel”. On the other hand, the name Arthur Macalister doesn’t have any historical connection with the Beenleigh area, other than the fact that he was a former Queensland premier. As such, I don’t think it’s
appropriate to call this electorate Macalister, when the name Albert has so much more local recognition and historical significance. Another good option would be to call the electorate Beenleigh, because everyone knows where Beenleigh is, and most of the people who live in the electorate do their business in Beenleigh.

Finally, I think the renaming of other electorates such as Cleveland, Yeerongpilly, Mount Coot-tha and Brisbane Central lacks justification. When I’m watching the election results on TV, I’ll want to know where all the electorates are without having to Google them. Names like Cleveland, Yeerongpilly, Mount Coot-tha and Brisbane Central are universally recognised throughout Brisbane, but if I saw names like Oodgeroo, Miller, Maiwar and McConnel on TV or heard them in the news, they’d be completely and totally meaningless to me. As such, I disagree with the decision to rename these electorates, which creates unnecessary confusion, and believe they should be restored to their original names.

Kind regards,
Ashley Gibson
Online submission for All Districts

Name: Patricia Blakeman
Address: 86/2 Grand Parade Parrearra Qld 4575

File Upload: No file uploaded

Text:
As I live in the Kawana Waters district we find the area very special as it forms part of the beach areas and isn't connected to the Buderim area, Kawana Shoppingworld is our local shops with our surf clubs and beach like taverns make it feel beachy, Buderim is great in its own way but is different. Please don't move our respected, much loved community.
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Online submission for **Gympie, Noosa**

**Name:** Charles McGuire  
**Address:** 68A Counter Road Wolvi QLD 4570

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**
The proposal between Gympie & Noosa should be rejected. On face value it's actually a hilarious proposal, in so much the committee actually believe that virtually land locking Gympie Shire and giving the only thing that it has going for it to Noosa shire, vis-à-vis the coastline, is a good, fair and reasonable idea. Oh, and I should mention that I thought taking the water around Tin Can Bay and not the township itself was the pièce de résistance of the whole idea, genius. Then, after little more than a few seconds of consideration the reality dawns that this is just a land grab and Gympie Shire will be the worse for it. Noosa shire, no doubt, are already updating their planning scheme to reflect the potential boon ahead. Exactly who's idea this actually is, is unknown to me, however, I'd be damn sure it's not the QRC. So no, just no.
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Online submission for All Districts

Name: TERESA CHO
Address: 305 / 70 MARY ST, Brisbane City. QLD 4000

File Upload: No file uploaded

Text:
I am agree to keep the name as "Brisbane Central". It is identified where I am living in the Brisbane City.
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Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.213
I wish to object to the proposed boundary changes for Buddina into the Buderim Electorate, I have been a resident at 74 Pacific Blvd Buddina since 1985 and have seen our beautiful Kawana area grow into the flourishing suburb it currently is, I believe the demographics of the Buderim Electorate and the Kawana Electorate are quite and obviously different. I believe the move would be detrimental not having a local member understanding local needs. I have seen our community members grow in our Surf Club by great local electoral support, I am a member of Kawana Surf Club who have 170 active members 180 nipper families majority locals and 6500 Supporter members and we are all on same page about being against this proposal.

Yours faithfully Bob Clements

Sent from my iPhone
Facts and circumstances I have resided in Noosa for more than 40 years on the western shores of Lake Weyba which is part of the Noosa River and Lakes system. Four generations of my family consider themselves as members of the Noosa community and identify completely with the Noosa community. The waters of Lake Weyba, the northern and eastern catchment and it’s communities are within the Noosa Local government area whilst the communities of Weyba Downs on the western shores and west Peregian Beach on the southern shores are within the Sunshine Coast Local government area. These localities are within the current State Government electorate of Noosa but are proposed to be included in the new electorate of Ninderry. The proposed redistribution will only exacerbate problems currently experienced by residents in these localities as a result of the obsolete and irrelevant current local government boundaries and make environmental protection and management of Lake Weyba more difficult.

I wish to submit suggested boundary changes that specifically remedy issues created by the proposed redistribution relating to community of interest in the affected localities, environmental protection and management, representation, communication and travel, and that are consistent with relevant distinguishing physical features and balance with demographic trends within the electorates of Noosa and Gympie with consequential affects on Ninderry, and perhaps Buderim - 1. I propose that the southern boundary of the new Noosa electorate be moved to the south to include the Lake Weyba suburb known as Weyba Downs, and the area of western Peregian Beach, currently proposed to be divided from its eastern locale. These localities are a core part of the Noosa community. These areas are to be transferred from the proposed new electorate of Ninderry. The proposed realignment would transfer some 850 electors into Noosa and reduce Ninderry by an equivalent number. 2. To compensate for the boundary adjustment in Proposal 1 above, it is proposed that the areas of Rainbow Beach, Insip Point and the northern section of the Cooloolaa National Park be moved into the Gympie electorate whilst the northern boundary of the proposed Noosa electorate be moved to the south so as to align either with the northern boundary of the Noosa Shire or with the southern boundary of Statistical Area 1 (SA1) number 3151112. The effect of this would be to reduce the number of electors in the Noosa electorate as at August 2016 by some 850 and to increase the Gympie number by a similar figure.

Grounds COMMUNITY OF INTEREST
• Noosa’s community of interest clearly includes the areas in Weyba Downs and Peregian Beach (West), while it clearly does NOT include the Rainbow Beach locality.
• The proposed boundary change would move land surrounding the western and southern shores of Lake Weyba (Weyba Downs/ Peregian Beach West) to a different electorate than the land on the northern and eastern shores and the waters of Lake Weyba. This would result in two State Members being responsible for the representation of different parts of this critically important and environmentally sensitive region. The current electoral boundary ensures that Lake Weyba, its tributary waterways and the surrounding catchment area are represented as a whole by the State Member for Noosa and we strongly suggest that this representation should be allowed to continue.

COMMUNICATION AND
TRAVEL WITHIN EACH PROPOSED ELECTORAL DISTRICT • Travel to Noosa is difficult for Rainbow Beach locals if included in Noosa, with inclusion resulting in a sense of disconnect and segregation due to accessibility issues. • A Noosa electorate that retains Eumundi, Doonan, Verrierdale, Weyba Downs and Peregin Beach (West) is an electorate with more efficient internal travel and relevant cohesive community connect and communication. PHYSICAL FEATURES OF EACH PROPOSED ELECTORAL DISTRICT • Lake Weyba and Emu Mountain Road through to the ocean are key physical features defining boundaries to the south east are key in defining the southern boundaries of the Noosa electorate. BOUNDARIES OF EXISTING ELECTORATES • The existing southern boundary of the Noosa electorate more effectively includes the great majority of the existing and future Noosa community. DEMOGRAPHIC TRENDS • Retaining the Weyba Downs and Peregin Beach West areas in Noosa which has a projected growth of just 4.3% to 2023 will improve demographic outcomes. Noosa is predicted to be 6.24% below electoral quota by 2023. • Ninderry predicted to be 3.30% under quota by 2023 could be adjusted with Buderim which is projected to be 4.59% above quota by 2023. Makes sense! • Such an adjustment to proposed boundaries would reduce the over quota starting position for Buderim and ensure that community of interest in both Noosa and Mons/Kunda Park area were maintained within the relevant electorates. LOCAL GOVERNMENT AREAS AND COMMUNITY OF INTEREST WITHIN • Sunshine Coast Council (SCC) boundary has been incorrectly applied. Existing LGA boundary not relevant to Weyba Downs. Centre of Noosa 5 minutes away. Centre of SCC 45 minutes away. • There is no community of interest with rest of proposed Ninderry electorate.
The Secretary,
Queensland Redistribution Commission

Dear Sir/Madam,

I wish to object to the changes proposed to the Kawana Electorate.

My home is Buddina and I live next to the Kawana Shoppingworld.

The local Kawana Community Centre and Kawana Library are part of the foundation and an integral part of daily activities on the Sunshine Coast.

I rarely travel to Buderim and can see no benefit in moving Warana into the Buderim electorate.

I have no association with Buderim and have no interest in this precinct.

Kawana has always been part of the southern section of the Sunshine Coast bordering on Caloundra.
In fact, Kawana was located in the Caloundra City Council area for many years until the most unsatisfactory and expensive amalgamation of councils under the Bligh labour government.
Caloundra City Council included lands to the south of the Mooloolah River, while Maroochy Shire Council comprised lands to the north of the river.

Kawana lies in an area where substantial growth is taking place in the community.
The new Sunshine Coast University Hospital and expansion of Kawana Shoppingworld are just two examples of current developments.
We need strong representation in Parliament to support this growth.
We want to live in an electorate which is vibrant and growing - Kawana does this, not Buderim!
Buderim appears stagnant in terms of comparative development.

I am very much a part of the Kawana Community and strongly object to the proposed changes.

Given the association of Kawana with districts south to Caloundra over many years, probably since the development of the canal system in the 1960’s, I can see no advantage in redistribution of boundaries as proposed, again by a labour government.

Leave the boundaries alone!

We have have enough “fiddling” with boundaries for the sake of government!

Yours faithfully,

Cameron Smith

1/19 Barellan Ave, Buddina QLD 4575
Online submission for **All Districts**

**Name:** Helen Mura  
**Address:** 262 harcourt st New Farm 4005 QLD  

**File Upload:** No file uploaded  

**Text:**  
I like the fact that Brisbane Central clearly identifies the position of my electorate. What does the name Mc Connoll mean to me ----- nothing I remember Brisbane Central every time I,m asked. I Won't remember Mc connoll after a week. I don't won't look as if I have Alzheimer's disease.So I don't won't Brisbane Central changed  

---
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5/251 Eumarella Road

WEYBA DOWNS QLD 4562

Thursday, 23 March 2017

The Secretary

Queensland Redistribution Commission

GPO Box 1393

BRISBANE QLD 4001

Dear Sirs,

Submission on Redistribution of Queensland State Electoral Boundaries

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the proposed redistribution of the State electoral boundaries.

I have resided on Noosa’s Lake Weyba for more than 40 years. I identify completely with the Noosa area and have been involved with a range of Noosa community groups over the years.

Lake Weyba is an integral part of the Noosa River and lakes system. It is the most southern lake in the Noosa system flowing to the Noosa River and Laguna Bay via Weyba Creek which winds through the urban areas of Noosaville and Noosa Heads, and stretching from the communities of Weyba Downs on its western shores to Peregian Beach at its southern most reaches. The lake and the communities located in its catchment area have an incontrovertibly strong community of interest with the Noosa electorate.

It is my belief that the areas of Eumundi, Verrierdale, Doonan, Weyba Downs known as the Noosa Hinterland and Peregian Beach (West) should remain in the Noosa electorate as they all share a predominant community of interest economically, socially and regionally with Noosa.

Attached hereto is the submission lodged in this regard by the EDV Residents Association Inc which I wholly support as the preferred option for a boundary redistribution of the Noosa electorate.

Also attached is a submission lodged in this regard by Friends of Lake Weyba Inc. which if the Commissioner will not reconsider the redistribution as suggested by the EDV Residents Group, then I strongly suggest the Commissioner should implement the minor boundary change suggested in this submission which would see the localities of Weyba Downs and Peregian Beach (West) to remain in the Noosa electorate.

Yours sincerely

Christine Tainsh
19th March 2014

The Secretary
Queensland Redistribution Commission
GPO Box 1393
BRISBANE QLD 4001

Dear Sir/Madam

I am writing in regard to the Commission’s recent proposal for the review of Queensland’s electoral districts. This submission relates to the proposed electorate of Noosa with consequential effects on the proposed electorates of Gympie, Ninderry and possibly Buderim.

This submission suggests two changes to the proposed boundary of the Noosa electorate.

First Change:

It is proposed that the areas of Rainbow Beach, Inskip and the northern section of the Cooloola national park be moved into the Gympie electorate and the northern boundary of the proposed Noosa electorate be moved to the south so as to align either with the northern boundary of the Noosa shire or with the southern boundary of Statistical Area 1 (SA1) number 3151112. The effect of this would be to reduce the number of electors in the Noosa electorate as at August 2016 by some 850 and to increase the Gympie number by a similar figure.

The reason for this proposal is that the removed areas are not closely associated with the Noosa community and are extremely difficult to access and service from Noosa. They are however much easier to access from Gympie which is their closest main centre. There are no direct road access between Noosa and Rainbow Beach and all travel must be via the proposed Gympie electorate. Another factor to be considered is that the Rainbow beach/Inskip area is not actually in the Sunshine Coast category but is part of Wide Bay/Burnett. It is in the Cooloola coast council area and the local community considers they are part of that Area and not part of the Noosa region in any way. Locals in Rainbow Beach see them selves as part of the Gympie Region, they do business locally in Rainbow, or in Tin Can Bay and Gympie as their main business hub.
Second Change:

To compensate for the loss of this northern area, it is proposed that the southern boundary of the new Noosa electorate be moved to the south so as to follow the Noosa Eumundi Road, Deans Road, Verrierdale Road, Doonan Bridge East Road, and Emu Mountain Road to the sea. These areas to be transferred from the proposed new electorate of Ninderry. The proposed realignment would transfer some 2,800 electors into Noosa and reduce Ninderry by an equivalent number.

The reasons for this adjustment are that the areas to be transferred are in fact a core part of the Noosa community and should be included in that electorate in line with the Commission’s objectives as summarized on page 4 of the Commission’s Proposal. In particular, the above adjustments to the Commission’s proposal for Noosa would ensure that the new electorate properly covered the great majority of the existing and future Noosa community and would ensure the most efficient internal transport and communications within the new electorate.

The net effect of the proposal would be to increase Noosa’s enrolment at August 2016 by some 2,000 electors (approx. 2,800 transferred in from Ninderry less approx. 800 transferred out to Gympie.)

The reduction in Ninderry may need to be compensated by a move of that electorate’s southern boundary slightly further to the south into Buderim. If the area of Mons north of King, Burnett and William Streets and Mons Road was transferred to Ninderry from the Proposed Buderim electorate it would almost exactly compensate the Ninderry enrolment for the loss of the proposed transfer to Noosa. It would reduce the over quota starting position for Buderim and also ensure that community of interest in both Noosa and Mons/Kunda Park were maintained within the correct electorates.

The proposed adjustments would also help to balance overall growth rates in the Sunshine Coast electorates over the period to 2023.

The Commission will be aware that population growth rates in the Southern Sunshine Coast between 2016 and 2023 are significantly above those electorates in the north of the Sunshine Coast. On the Commissions figures, growth rates in Ninderry and Buderim over the period are 10.9% and 10.4% respectively while the equivalent figure in Noosa is only 4.3%.

As a result, as currently proposed, Noosa will be 6.9% below quota in 2023 while Buderim will be 4.6% above quota. This suggests that increasing Noosa’s starting position while transferring some small parts of Buderim into Ninderry would help to reduce both Buderim’s planned over quota outcome and Noosa’s under quota result while leaving Ninderry largely unaffected.

Finally, I refer to the Commission’s Guidelines from S46 of the Act as summarized on page 4 of the Commission’s proposal.
Eumundi, Doonan, Verrierdale & Weyba Downs residents for boundary change

The suggested adjustments to the above electorates will improve the outcomes for all the Sunshine coast electorates under each of points (a) to (e) of the summarized Guidelines. In particular, points (a) and (b) will be greatly improved for both Noosa and Ninderry. Noosa’s community of interest clearly includes the areas in Eumundi, Doonan, Lake Weyba and Verrierdale, while it does NOT include the areas around Rainbow Beach.

In addition, communication and travel within the adjusted Noosa electorate will be substantially improved compared to the currently proposed boundaries. To the extent that the Commission gives weight to Guideline point (d), the suggested new Noosa electorate is much closer to the existing Electorate, while demographic outcomes (Guideline point (e) will be improved in each of the adjusted Noosa, Ninderry and Buderim electorates compared to the boundaries in the Commission’s current proposal.

Yours Sincerely

[Signature]

Johanne Wright
President
0415873552
07 54710536
Thursday, 23 March 2017

The Secretary
Queensland Redistribution Commission
GPO Box 1393
BRISBANE QLD 4001

Dear Sirs,

**Submission on Redistribution of Queensland State Electoral Boundaries**

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the proposed redistribution of the State electoral boundaries.

**FACTS AND CIRCUMSTANCES**

Friends of Lake Weyba (FoLW) is a community association defined by a vision to strive to achieve and maintain environmental excellence for Lake Weyba and the adjacent Noosa River catchment. The association seeks to sustain and enhance the natural and social qualities of the Lake Weyba area for the local Noosa and wider Sunshine Coast community.

Lake Weyba is an integral part of the Noosa River and lakes system. It is the most southern lake in the Noosa system flowing to the Noosa River and Laguna Bay via Weyba Creek which winds through the urban areas of Noosaville and Noosa Heads, and stretching from the communities of Weyba Downs on its western shores to Peregian Beach at its southern most reaches. The lake and the communities located in its catchment area have an incontrovertibly strong community of interest with the Noosa electorate.

The redistribution of the State electoral boundaries is intended to define electoral districts to remain valid through to 2023 having regard, subject to electoral districts remaining within a 10% tolerance of the elector quota, to the Commission’s objectives as summarized on page 4 of the Commission’s Proposal.

**PROPOSAL**

This submission suggests two very simply changes to the proposed boundary of the Noosa electorate with consequential effects on the electorates of Gympie and Ninderry and possibly Buderim (see demographic trends section below). Changes that specifically remedy issues created by the proposed redistribution relating to community of interest in the affected localities, environmental protection and management, representation, communication and travel, and that are consistent with relevant distinguishing physical features and balance with demographic trends.

1. It is proposed that the southern boundary of the new Noosa electorate be moved to the south to include the Lake Weyba suburb known as Weyba Downs, and the area of western Peregian Beach, currently proposed to be divided from its eastern locale. These localities are a core part of the Noosa...
community. These areas are to be transferred from the proposed new electorate of Ninderry. The proposed realignment would transfer some 850 electors into Noosa and reduce Ninderry by an equivalent number.

2. To compensate for the boundary adjustment in Proposal 1 above, it is proposed that the areas of Rainbow Beach, Inskip Point and the northern section of the Cooloola National Park be moved into the Gympie electorate whilst the northern boundary of the proposed Noosa electorate be moved to the south so as to align either with the northern boundary of the Noosa Shire or with the southern boundary of Statistical Area 1 (SA1) number 3151112. The effect of this would be to reduce the number of electors in the Noosa electorate as at August 2016 by some 850 and to increase the Gympie number by a similar figure.

GROUNDS FOR PROPOSAL

COMMUNITY OF INTEREST

We refer to the statement contained on page 182 of Commissioner’s proposal and refute that the areas of Inskip and Rainbow Beach are connected to Noosa. These very small communities rely economically and regionally on the nearest population centre of Gympie. Doctors, hospitals, sporting facilities, professional services, shopping centres, industrial services and the like are all accessed at Gympie. The people of Rainbow Beach and Inskip Point identify with, and are part of the Gympie region NOT Noosa.

Conversely, the localities of Weyba Downs and Perégian Beach (West) proposed to be removed from the existing Noosa electorate, share a predominant community of interest economically, socially and regionally with Noosa. These localities access all services in Noosa, identify socially and in all aspects of day to day life as part of the Noosa community. These localities share NO community of interest with areas included in the proposed new Ninderry electorate.

The Commissioner also states that “Localities are to be held within a single electorate where practicable, thereby avoiding suburbs being split between one or multiple electorates”. The current proposal results in the division of the locality of Perégian Beach into two electoral areas. The localities of Weyba Downs and Perégian Beach (West) have a small population base and the current proposed boundary which isolates and divides respectively these localities achieves little in terms of elector quotas.

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION/MANAGEMENT

Lake Weyba is listed as a Directory of Important Wetlands in Australia (DIWA) nationally important wetland: including coastal and sub-coastal wet heath swamp, tree swamp and wallum swamp representation. Lake Weyba is also a declared Fish Habitat Area. To facilitate effective environmental protection and management of the Noosa River lakes and waterways system in general, and for Lake Weyba, it would seem logical to include the land surrounding the lake and the waters of Lake Weyba into the same electoral area. The proposed boundary change would move land surrounding the western and southern shores of Lake Weyba (Weyba Downs/ Perégian Beach West) to a different electorate than the land on the northern and eastern shores and the waters of Lake Weyba. This would result in two State Members being responsible for the representation of different parts of this critically important and environmentally sensitive region. The current electoral boundary ensures that Lake Weyba, its tributary waterways and the surrounding catchment area are represented as a whole by the State Member for Noosa and we strongly suggest that this representation should be allowed to continue.

COMMUNICATION AND TRAVEL

The localities of Weyba Downs and Perégian Beach (West) should remain in the Noosa electorate as not only do they NOT share a community of interest with the proposed Ninderry electorate, but will be geographically distanced from the Ninderry electoral office, likely to be located in the south of the electorate up to a 45 minute drive away with no direct and limited public transport available.

If the localities of Weyba Downs and Perégian Beach (West) remain in the existing Noosa electorate, they can continue to gain access to, and have a positive relationship with, their elected representative whose office is located a mere 5-10 minutes drive away via private or public transport.

Community groups such have always enjoyed a good relationship with past and current Noosa’s State representatives who traditionally have a strong knowledge of local issues, identifying with the electorates constituents because of a shared community of interest. The likelihood of any effective representation by a
Ninderry representative elected by the majority southern electoral base is extremely unlikely principally because of the small electoral base of our locality, plus a highly probable lack of knowledge of local issues and shared history.

Similarly, the localities of Rainbow and Inskip Point should be removed from the proposed Noosa electorate because they are geographically isolated from Noosa. Their elected representative will be based in the Noosa CBD area. Travel between the two localities is actually very difficult, be it by 4WD via the Beach at low tide or the unsealed road of Cooloola Way. The alternate U-Shaped journey through Gympie would take at least an hour and a half to Noosa with light traffic, whereas Gympie is only a 40 minute drive for Rainbow Beach locals. There is no public transport service between the two localities placing a disadvantage on those without the means to access private transport.

A Noosa based elected representative will face difficulties representing, accessing and gaining local knowledge about constituents and their issues who are so remotely located.

If the proposed redistribution were to proceed, both localities would be completely dislocated from any community communication such as local newspapers within the proposed new electorates and experience segregation from their electorate.

**PHYSICAL FEATURES**

Relevant and distinctive physical features are easily identified in all localities referred to in our proposals.

Weyba Downs and Peregian Beach (West) are located on the shores of Lake Weyba part of the Noosa River system with Emu Mountain Road forming a boundary to the west and south of the communities.

Relevant physical features for the localities of Rainbow Beach and Inskip Point are Tin Can Bay, its township and environs, Cooloola Cove and the local government boundaries of Gympie and Noosa.

**EXISTING BOUNDARIES**

The existing boundaries of the Noosa electorate logically include its hinterland localities of Weyba Downs, and Peregian Beach (West), Doonan, Verrierdale and part of Eumundi. Removing these localities from the Noosa electorate unnecessarily fragments Noosa Hinterland localities from their community of economic, social, and regional interest.

**DEMOGRAPHIC TRENDS**

The Commission will be aware that population growth rates in the Southern Sunshine Coast between 2016 and 2023 are significantly above, both the State average and the northern areas of the Sunshine Coast. On the Commissions figures, growth rates in Ninderry and Buderim over the period are 10.9% and 10.4% respectively while the equivalent figure in Noosa is only 4.3%. As currently proposed, Noosa will be 6.9% below quota in 2023 while Buderim will be 4.6% above quota. This suggests that increasing Noosa’s starting position as proposed herein, while transferring some small parts of Buderim into Ninderry would help to reduce both Buderim’s planned over quota outcome and Noosa’s under quota result, while leaving Ninderry largely unaffected.

On page 181 of the Commissioner makes reference to “growth” in the north of the proposed new Ninderry electorate. The new electorate follows the boundary of the existing Sunshine Coast local government area and removes localities such as part of Eumundi, Doonan, Verrierdale, Weyba Downs and Perigean Beach (West) from the Noosa electorate. These areas are predominantly zoned rural or rural residential, and unlike designated urban areas further south in the proposed Ninderry electorate, have very limited capacity under the Sunshine Coast Council town plan or the proposed South East Queensland Regional plan for future “growth”.

**LOCAL GOVERNMENT BOUNDARIES & COMMUNITY OF INTEREST WITHIN THOSE BOUNDARIES.**

Aligning the proposed redistribution with the existing northern boundary of the Sunshine Coast Local government area is exacerbating an existing anomaly. It has been more than 100 hundred years since a local government boundary review of the Sunshine Coast and Noosa shared boundary has occurred. The centre of the Noosa Shire is now located literally minutes from Weyba Downs and Perigean Beach (West) whilst the centre of the Sunshine Coast council area is located over 45 minutes drive away at Maroochydore.

Our community group recently conducted a survey of the residents of Weyba Downs to gauge the level of support for inclusion of the locality into the Noosa local government area. 100% of households were polled.
and 98% of the respondents whole heartedly supported a realignment of local government boundaries. There is no community of interest within these communities with the Sunshine Coast local government area.

Likewise Rainbow Beach is not only geographically isolated from Noosa, but it is part of the Cooloola Coast Council area which has the city of Gympie at its heart. The local community rightfully considers they are part of that area and not part of the Noosa area in any way. Their community of interest is Gympie and its wider region.

In summary, the primary ground for this submission is that the localities of Weyba Downs and Peregian Beach (West) are clearly part of the Noosa community, being geographically located on Lake Weyba part of the Noosa River and lakes system, and have little in common with the balance of the proposed Ninderry electorate.

Yours sincerely
Christine Tainsh – Secretary

Christine Tainsh

FRIENDS OF LAKE WEYBA INC
Online submission for **Mcconnel**

**Name:** Margaret Van Hees  
**Address:** Unit 1306/132 Alice Street Brisbane 4000

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**  
I object to the change of name in the Brisbane Central electorate.
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Online submission for All Districts

Name: Barry Rutherford
Address: 10/92 Welsby Street New Farm 4005

File Upload: No file uploaded

Text:
Brisbane Central electorate contains a large population. A lot of the population and low English speaking and transient. As such Brisbane Central is easy to remember as a electorate. Given that many are new to the area it is easier if the name Brisbane Central is retained. Its easy and everyone wopulkd know the area it relates to. I urge you to retain the name Brisbane Central for convenience and practical purposes.
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Online submission for **All Districts, Gympie**

**Name:** Kerry-Lee sheard  
**Address:** 15 fyshburn drive cooloola cove qld 4580

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**  
No we shouldn't become a part of Noosa. They are way to far away to have our interests at heart.
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Online submission for **Kawana**

**Name:** alex myles  
**Address:** 427 Oceanic Drive South Wurtulla QLD 4575

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**
RE: the proposed boundaries changes by the Queensland Redistribution Commission (QRC) to the Kawana electorate. The proposed boundary change will remove the suburbs of Minyama, Buddina and Parrearra (Kawana Island) out of the Kawana Electorate and transfer them into the Buderim electorate. Kawana as we know it is south of the mooloolah river and includes Minyama, Buddina and Parrearra. i personally have lived in Buddina & Warana for many years and i have always associated this side of the river as kawana.the shops in Buddina are called Kawana shopping world, the surf club is Kawana SLC, the Kawana waters hotel and Pub is in buddina & is very much Kawana. changing this boundary for electorate purposes in its current draft form makes absolutely no sense to me. obviously there is some other political motivator for shifting these suburbs to buderim, but it is certainly not in line with community expectation. Kind Regards Alex Myles
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Online submission for **All Districts, Kawana**

**Name:** Paul Nichols  
**Address:** 6 Kunari street, Buddina

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**  
Keep Kawana in Kawana

Submission ID: 67390

Time of Submission: 23 Mar 2017 3:58pm

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.213
Online submission for **Ninderry, Noosa**

**Name:** Joyce Turnbull  
**Address:** 13 Sunrise Rd Eumundi

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**
Please take my feedback into account when reviewing the major reshuffling of electorates:  
1 COMMUNITY OF INTEREST - Noosa’s community of interest clearly includes the areas in Weyba Downs and Peregian Beach (West), while it clearly does NOT include the Rainbow Beach locality. 2 COMMUNICATION AND TRAVEL - Travel will be onerous for Rainbow Beach locals if included in Noosa resulting in a sense of disconnect and segregation. Truly it might seem necessary to cut and paste populations to get the numbers balanced but on the ground the moving of Rainbow Beach to Noosa is very odd and unfortunate- travel and connection-wise 3 a Noosa electorate that retains Weyba Downs and Peregian Beach (West) is an electorate with more efficient internal travel and relevant cohesive community connect and communication. 4 PHYSICAL FEATURES OF EACH PROPOSED ELECTORAL DISTRICT - Lake Weyba and Emu Mountain Road through to the ocean are key physical features in defining the southern boundary of the Noosa electorate. 5 LOCAL GOVERNMENT AREAS v COMMUNITY OF INTEREST - Existing LGA boundary not relevant to Weyba Downs and Peregian Beach (West) or indeed the areas of Doonan and Verrierdale as the centre of Noosa is 10 minutes away, whilst the centre of SCC 45 minutes away.Limited community of interest with rest of proposed Ninderry electorate.
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Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.214
Online submission for **All Districts, Gympie, Maryborough**

**Name:** David Lightbody  
**Address:** 3 Bridge Street, Tiaro

**File Upload:** Proposed Electoral Boundary Changes 2017.docx, type application/vnd.openxmlformats-officedocument.wordprocessingml.document, 18.3 KB

**Text:**
See attached

Submission ID: 67392

Time of Submission: 23 Mar 2017 4:35pm

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.214
(Maryborough & Gympie Electoral Boundary affecting residents in Tiaro, Bauple, Gundiah, Gootchie, Glenwood, etc.)

Community of interest: economic, social, regional or other interests.

The townships in the northern most end of the proposed Gympie Electoral Boundary interact economically, socially, regionally etc. with Maryborough and Hervey Bay for a wide range of issues of Community Interest. Including but not limited to:

**Economic.** Maryborough is the economic hub for our region. The majority go to Maryborough for their shopping and other services, **NOT Gympie.** Maryborough as it regrows its commercial and industrial industries will further offer employment opportunities of which residents of this region will take the opportunity to be gainfully employed, **NOT Gympie.**

**Social.** Maryborough has a wide range of social opportunities that residents of our region take advantage of including but not limited to: sporting, entertainment, dining and cultural activities, events and experiences. Due to the tyranny of distance, only limited numbers of residents attend these types of activities in Gympie.

**Regional.** The townships in the northern most end of the proposed Gympie Electoral Boundary interact regionally with Maryborough and the Fraser Coast through well-established historical connections with these nearby major centres. The Fraser Coast Regional Council is a regional connection. If the electoral boundary proposal was to go ahead unamended, the townships noted in this submission would be at the furthermost distance from the Fraser Coast Regional Council and the Gympie Electorate placing them at a distinct disadvantage to be recognized for their commercial, social and regional economic significance and therefore be overlooked by both the local and State Government departments in future development budgets.

The emergency services that service this region, police, ambulance, fire and other State Government services i.e. Department of Main Roads & Transport are based in Maryborough, **NOT Gympie.** There is a real chance that the areas that they service, which are proposed to be included in the Gympie electorate, would not be considered when further upgrades and budgets when the electoral numbers are crunched due to the residents’ numbers being included in the Gympie electorate not Maryborough.

The Maryborough Base Hospital and Hervey Bay Hospitals provide medical care for our residents, **NOT Gympie.** Again, there is a real chance that the areas that this medical service provides for, which are proposed to be included in the Gympie electorate, would not be considered when further upgrades and budgets when the electoral numbers are crunched due to the residents’ numbers being included in the Gympie electorate not Maryborough. The majority if not all students attend Maryborough State and private secondary schooling once their primary years have concluded in the townships in the northern most end of the proposed Gympie Electoral Boundary. Daily bus transport is currently available and utilised by the students to travel to Maryborough schools, **NOT Gympie.** A number of primary school children attend Maryborough State and private primary schooling, **NOT Gympie.**

Tourism support for this region generally collectively called the “Fraser Coast Hinterland” is via the Fraser Coast Region Council’s, Fraser Coast Tourist and Events with support from Tourism and Events Queensland. To bring this part of the Fraser Coast Hinterland into the Gympie electorate would see a reversed feed of support from Tourism and Events Queensland via Destinations Gympie. Destinations Gympie is part of the Gympie Regional Council who would have no interest whatsoever in the Fraser Coast Hinterland. I run a tourist orientated business in Tiaro and envisage that I as a tourist orientated business plus other potential or expanding tourism opportunities i.e. local
produce promoted via Fraser Coast Tourism and Event’s Relish www.relishfrasercoast.com.au, would be distinctly disadvantaged if the reversal of support created by transferring the townships in the northern most end of the proposed Gympie out of the Maryborough Electorate and loss of support of Tourism and Events Queensland via Fraser Coast Tourism and Events.

I noted yesterday that proposed State Government upgrades to Maryborough region public schools were mentioned in the Fraser Coast Chronicle, I imagine that the State Government upgrades to the Gympie region public schools would have been mentioned in the Gympie Times. The way the media reports through their regional coverage would see the residents in townships in the northern most end of the proposed Gympie Electoral Boundary not seeing State Government announcements in The Gympie electorate proving to be a disconnect in important announcements and information flows.

Communication and Travel:

There would be a distinct divide and disadvantage affecting all of the areas of “Community of interest: economic, social, regional or other interests” if the proposed changes were to be implemented as is. The disadvantages with regard communication are the affects that two Electorates (Maryborough and Gympie) having input into Government Services provided to residents that are based in the Maryborough electorate. This could affect decisions on planning, future development, infrastructure and budgets. Insofar as travel, most travel by residents in townships in the northern most end of the proposed Gympie Electoral Boundary is to Maryborough and Hervey Bay, NOT Gympie due to the availability of most economic, social, regional or other interests being in Maryborough or Hervey Bay and the tyranny of distance to Gympie. Residents in the townships in the northern most end of the proposed Gympie Electoral Boundary seeking matters of “Community of interest: economic, social, regional or other interests” that Maryborough and Hervey Bay could not provide would travel through Gympie to Brisbane or further afield. Gympie has no significant being on any “Community of interest: economic, social, regional or other interests” to residents in the townships in the northern most end of the proposed Gympie Electoral Boundary.

An interesting consideration that could be taken into account is using the Australia Post, Post Codes, as a method of deciding the overall changes to the proposed new Maryborough and Gympie electoral boundaries. Currently a number the communities in the redistribution area are under the Maryborough Post Code of 4650 and those closer to the southern current southern boundary are under the Gympie Post Code of 4570. This may be a more relevant and less disruptive electoral boundary redistribution in this instance?

I trust that full consideration of the implications of the proposed changes may have be undertaken.

Yours sincerely,

David Lightbody
Online submission for **Noosa**

**Name:** Johanne Wright  
**Address:** 47 Regency Rd Doonan

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**
I am a resident of the suburb of Doonan which has been in the Noosa Electorate for many years. Doonan is a suburb divided with one third in the Noosa LGA and two thirds in the SCC LGA. Significant surveys over many years have identified that the residents of this suburb and the neighbouring suburbs of Eumundi, Verrierdale and Weyba Downs have a strong community of interest with the Noosa LGA and little if any community of interest with the southern suburbs in the SCC LGA. The majority of residents have sought to have this matter independently reviewed but this has been blocked by State Government policy requiring the agreement of both Councils before the Minister makes a referral to the Change Commissioner. The SCC mayor does not support this so this leaves us at a standstill for the moment. The reason that this is so important in my submission to you is that community of interest is a critical criteria of the Commission reviewing the new electoral boundaries and these communities have more in common with Noosa than the proposed new Ninderry electorate. Indeed the proposed Noosa electorate makes little sense in terms of the community of interest linkages that already exist. Pomona, Doonan, Verrierdale, Eumundi and Weyba Downs should be part of Noosa. Rainbow Beach, Inskip and the northern section of the Cooloola national park do not belong in the Noosa Electorate - there is no community of interest and the physical barriers to move between these areas and the rest of Noosa are formidable. I would argue that this electorate and the electorate of Ninderry need to be revised so that: Eumundi, Doonan, Verrierdale and Weyba Downs plus Pomona are in the Noosa Electorate Rainbow Beach, Inskip and the northern section of the Cooloola national park are removed from the proposed new Noosa Electorate. Adjustments are made to Ninderry to equalise the number of electors by moving the southern boundary of that proposed electorate slightly south. Thank you Johanne Wright
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Online submission for **Buderim**

**Name:** Peter Benkendorff  
**Address:** 14 Forest View Court Forest Glen Qld 4556  

**File Upload:** No file uploaded  

**Text:**  
The new boundaries for Buderim would exclude us from the seat of Buderim and move us into the new seat of Ninderry. Forest Glen has the same post code as Buderim 4556. Our telephone number area code 5445 is part of that spread throughout Buderim. At the end of our Street on Mons road is a telephone exchange which has the name Buderim with a number code. Forest View Court comes off Mons Rd which is the only direct access road from Buderim to the Bruce Highway. A sensible boundary for the seat of Buderim could be the Bruce Highway, Eudlo Creek and the Maroochy River on the west and north sides respectively. Such a boundary would also include, in the Buderim seat, Sunshine Coast Grammar School Forest Glen where we vote for the present seat of Buderim and the Federal seat of Fairfax.

Submission ID: 67394  
Time of Submission: 23 Mar 2017 5:47pm  
Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.214
Online submission for **Kawana**

**Name:** Emi Obara  
**Address:** The Palm unit 11/10 Melody Court

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**  
I am writing to object to the proposed electoral boundary change for the Kawana electorate by the QRC. I strongly believe that the current boundary reflects the needs of its electorates because I am a proud member of the community and the Kawana library, community centre, kawana surf life saving are all part of our community and therefore it should not be removed from the boundary. Thank you very much, Emi

---
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Online submission for **Kawana**

**Name:** darren dahl  
**Address:** 3 gull place, PARREARRA, QLD, 4575  

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**
I strongly object to my suburb being moved into the Buderim electorate. This decision could only have been made by some bureaucrat sitting in the middle of Brisbane with absolutely NO idea of the geography of the Sunshine Coast. How in the heck can so many facilities at the northern end of "Kawana Waters" with "KAWANA" in their name eg. KAWANA Surf Club, KAWANA Shoppingworld, KAWANA Library (ironically one of the biggest polling booths in the KAWANA electorate), KAWANA Beach, KAWANA Waters Hotel now be part of the Buderim electorate? There are far more reasons for Sippy Downs to be changed to the Buderim Electorate than the northern end of KAWANA WATERS. Sippy Downs is separated from the coastal strip of Kawana by the Mooloolah River National Park and Mooloolah River (a natural line for such boundaries eg Murray River) and it has more direct access and I'm sure greater interaction with the greater Buderim electorate and business area via Crosby Hill Road. I strongly urge the Queensland Redistribution Commission to reconsider their proposal to change Minyama, Buddina and Parrearra to the Buderim Electorate and instead change Sippy Downs to the Buderim Electorate Darren Dahl

Submission ID: 67396  
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Online submission for **Kawana**

**Name:** Lucy Dahl  
**Address:** 3 Gull Place, PARREARRA, QLD, 4575

**File Upload:** No file uploaded

**Text:**
I strongly object to my suburb being moved into the Buderim electorate. This decision could only have been made by some bureaucrat sitting in the middle of Brisbane with absolutely NO idea of the geography of the Sunshine Coast. How in the heck can so many facilities at the northern end of "Kawana Waters" with "KAWANA" in their name eg. KAWANA Surf Club, KAWANA Shoppingworld, KAWANA Library (ironically one of the biggest polling booths in the KAWANA electorate), KAWANA Beach, KAWANA Waters Hotel now be part of the Buderim electorate? There are far more reasons for Sippy Downs to be changed to the Buderim Electorate than the northern end of KAWANA WATERS. Sippy Downs is separated from the coastal strip of Kawana by the Mooloolah River National Park and Mooloolah River (a natural line for such boundaries eg Murray River) and it has more direct access and I'm sure greater interaction with the greater Buderim electorate and business area via Crosby Hill Road. I strongly urge the Queensland Redistribution Commission to reconsider their proposal to change Minyama, Buddina and Parrearra to the Buderim Electorate and instead change Sippy Downs to the Buderim Electorate. Lucy Dahl
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Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.214
I would like to object to the proposed boundary changes for the Kawana Electorate.

Why on earth is this even being considered?

We are on a coastal strip with all our own community organizations, which should not to be included with Buderim & Nambour business areas.

I have lived in the Kawana area for over 40 years and watched the area grow and thrive. I see no logical reason for the boundaries to be changed. Would that also mean that people that live along the coastal strip would have to go to Buderim to vote? Big ask for a lot of people.

Please let common sense rule and keep the boundaries as they are.

Jay Cooper
Buddina.
Online submission for Mcconnel

Name: Stephen John  
Address: 4/93 Leichhardt Street Spring Hill 4000

File Upload: No file uploaded

Text:  
As a local resident within the area I would like to keep 'Brisbane Central', and not change to 'McConnel' for our district name. Brisbane Central provides an accurate indication of the area covered and also has linkage to Brisbane Central State School as part of the identity.

Submission ID: 67398

Time of Submission: 23 Mar 2017 7:48pm

Submission IP Address: 43.245.40.213
Re the proposed Kawana Boundary changes. I object to the towns of Buddina Minyama and Parrearra being removed from the Kawana electorate, as these areas are part of the original Kawana area and should remain so in the electorate boundaries. Our coastal areas share the same values as each other and should keep that connection. Buderim area, being more country community focused, has different drivers to its economy and focus than that of the coastal towns of Minyama, Buddina and Parrearra, which have a higher focus on coastal and water related concerns and sports. The strip from Minyama to Currimundi is "Kawana Waters" where Kawana Shoppingworld, Kawana Surf Club, Kawana Island are located. How incomprehensible is it to separate these areas in the Kawana Electorate, which all have common expectations and concerns and make them part of an area with different expectations. How confusing is it to say I live in Kawana Waters but I am not part of the Kawana Electorate, instead I am part of the Buderim Electorate which I have no interest in what is going on "up the hill" I only want to be part of what concerns me on the coastal strip. How difficult it must make for things for the elected representative to cover the vast differences of country versus coastal concerns and expectations. Please, please, reconsider the draft boundary changes and keep all of Kawana Waters in the Kawana Electorate. Thankyou.
From: Len & Jocelyn Martin
To: Boundaries
Subject: Redistribution
Date: Thursday, 23 March 2017 11:00:07 PM

The Electoral Commissioner of Queensland
Queensland Redistribution Commission,
GPO Box 1393,
Brisbane 4410

Dear Sir/Madam,
I am writing on behalf of the Jandowae Branch LNP, whose members strongly object to the proposed redistribution of the Electoral Boundary that places Jandowae in the Callide Electorate. We have noted that the Western Downs Regional Council has been split in half, east to west, with the northern section incorporated into Callide Electorate. The principle town in Callide is Biloela, which is 6 hours away from Jandowae, is a centre with which we have very little in common.

Geographically and socially Jandowae and Bell are naturally aligned with Dalby, which is our main shopping centre, the town providing most of our ‘Professional’ services, Cattle selling complex and educational centre where many students attend their Schools for Years 11 and 12. Other students attend Dalby Schools from a younger age and some benefit from Boarding facilities within the Former Ag College.

Bell, Jandowae, Chinchilla and Miles are all closely aligned with Sporting and Cultural interests. As you can understand from the above, people, who live in Dalby, Bell, Chinchilla and Miles have no reason to travel to Biloela or any other centre in the Callide electorate regularly.

Our Members urge you to re-consider this boundary decision. We earnestly request that this boundary is aligned in such a way that the Western Downs Regional Council remains as a whole in the Warrego Electorate.

Yours Sincerely,
Jocelyn Martin (Hon.Sec)
‘Allambie’
2192 Old Burrandowan Rd.,
Jandowae 4410
Dear Queensland Redistribution Commission

I wish to lodge my objection to the communities of Miles and Chinchilla being placed in the Electorate of Callide. We simply do not have a community of interest to the north.

Miles and Chinchilla are in the Surat Basin and have little community of interest with so far to the north as we are situated in a different resource basin, catchment, local government area and state department regional boundaries, that run in an east west from Brisbane, Toowoomba and Ipswich. To travel from Miles or Chinchilla to the north of Callide under the current proposal one has to drive through either Taroom or Dalby as there is no direct road connection.

I suggest that the 8728 voters that have been taken from the Condamine Electorate into Warrego be placed in the Callide Electorate. This would enable the Callide Electorate to have a north south community of interest along the Dalby Jandowea Mundubbera Durong Road. Miles and Chinchilla (7448 voters) and possibly areas from Wandoan and Taroom (to make up the numbers) then be located into Warrego where there is community of interest, transport and commerce links.

The clear community of interest on the Western Downs (ie Miles and Chinchilla) is in an east west direction and follows the Warrego Highway.

I strongly urge the Redistribution Commission to reconsider the draft boundaries and follow the east west transport routes for Warrego and the north south transport routes for Callide.

I acknowledge that my submission, including any personal and/or identifying information provided, will be published in its entirety and made available for public inspection.

Yours faithfully

Judith Kruger

Signature

QUEENSLAND REDISTRIBUTION COMMISSION
2 / MAR 2017
RECEIVED
Dear Queensland Redistribution Commission

I wish to lodge my objection to the communities of Miles and Chinchilla being placed in the Electorate of Callide. We simply do not have a community of interest to the north.

Miles and Chinchilla are in the Surat Basin and have little community of interest with so far to the north as we are situated in a different resource basin, catchment, local government area and state department regional boundaries, that run in an east west from Brisbane, Toowoomba and Ipswich. To travel from Miles or Chinchilla to the north of Callide under the current proposal one has to drive through either Taroom or Dalby as there is no direct road connection.

I suggest that the 8728 voters that have been taken from the Condamine Electorate into Warrego be placed in the Callide Electorate. This would enable the Callide Electorate to have a north south community of interest along the Dalby Jandowea Mundubbera Durong Road. Miles and Chinchilla (7448 voters) and possibly areas from Wandoan and Taroom (to make up the numbers) then be located into Warrego where there is community of interest, transport and commerce links.

The clear community of interest on the Western Downs (ie Miles and Chinchilla) is in an east west direction and follows the Warrego Highway.

I strongly urge the Redistribution Commission to reconsider the draft boundaries and follow the east west transport routes for Warrego and the north south transport routes for Callide.

I acknowledge that my submission, including any personal and/or identifying information provided, will be published in its entirety and made available for public inspection.

Yours faithfully

Signature

Eric Kenneth Brown
Dear Queensland Redistribution Commission

I wish to lodge my objection to the communities of Miles and Chinchilla being placed in the Electorate of Callide. We simply do not have a community of interest to the north.

Miles and Chinchilla are in the Surat Basin and have little community of interest with so far to the north as we are situated in a different resource basin, catchment, local government area and state department regional boundaries, that run in an east west from Brisbane, Toowoomba and Ipswich. To travel from Miles or Chinchilla to the north of Callide under the current proposal one has to drive through either Taroom or Dalby as there is no direct road connection.

I suggest that the 8728 voters that have been taken from the Condamine Electorate into Warrego be placed in the Callide Electorate. This would enable the Callide Electorate to have a north south community of interest along the Dalby Jandowea Mundubbera Durong Road. Miles and Chinchilla (7448 voters) and possibly areas from Wandoan and Taroom (to make up the numbers) then be located into Warrego where there is community of interest, transport and commerce links.

The clear community of interest on the Western Downs (ie Miles and Chinchilla) is in an east west direction and follows the Warrego Highway.

I strongly urge the Redistribution Commission to reconsider the draft boundaries and follow the east west transport routes for Warrego and the north south transport routes for Callide.

I acknowledge that my submission, including any personal and/or identifying information provided, will be published in its entirety and made available for public inspection.

Yours faithfully

Signature

Mervyn L Evans
Dear Queensland Redistribution Commission

I wish to lodge my objection to the communities of Miles and Chinchilla being placed in the Electorate of Callide. We simply do not have a community of interest to the north.

Miles and Chinchilla are in the Surat Basin and have little community of interest with so far to the north as we are situated in a different resource basin, catchment, local government area and state department regional boundaries, that run in an east west from Brisbane, Toowoomba and Ipswich. To travel from Miles or Chinchilla to the north of Callide under the current proposal one has to drive through either Taroom or Dalby as there is no direct road connection.

I suggest that the 8728 voters that have been taken from the Condamine Electorate into Warrego be placed in the Callide Electorate. This would enable the Callide Electorate to have a north south community of interest along the Dalby Jandowea Mundubbera Durong Road. Miles and Chinchilla (7448 voters) and possibly areas from Wandoan and Taroom (to make up the numbers) then be located into Warrego where there is community of interest, transport and commerce links.

The clear community of interest on the Western Downs (ie Miles and Chinchilla) is in an east west direction and follows the Warrego Highway.

I strongly urge the Redistribution Commission to reconsider the draft boundaries and follow the east west transport routes for Warrego and the north south transport routes for Callide.

I acknowledge that my submission, including any personal and/or identifying information provided, will be published in its entirety and made available for public inspection.

Yours faithfully,

Signature
Post to The Secretary
Queensland Redistribution Commission
GPO Box 1393
BRISBANE QLD 4001
Or email to boundaries@ecq.qld.gov.au

Before 27th March 2017

Name NOELA TRAVIS

Residential Address 14 PLATZ ST CHINCHILLA

Dear Queensland Redistribution Commission

I wish to lodge my objection to the communities of Miles and Chinchilla being placed in the Electorate of Callide. We simply do not have a community of interest to the north.

Miles and Chinchilla are in the Surat Basin and have little community of interest with so far to the north as we are situated in a different resource basin, catchment, local government area and state department regional boundaries, that run in an east west from Brisbane, Toowoomba and Ipswich. To travel from Miles or Chinchilla to the north of Callide under the current proposal one has to drive through either Taroom or Dalby as there is no direct road connection.

I suggest that the 8728 voters that have been taken from the Condamine Electorate into Warrego be placed in the Callide Electorate. This would enable the Callide Electorate to have a north south community of interest along the Dalby Jandowea Mundubbera Durong Road. Miles and Chinchilla (7448 voters) and possibly areas from Wandoan and Taroom (to make up the numbers) then be located into Warrego where there is community of interest, transport and commerce links.

The clear community of interest on the Western Downs (ie Miles and Chinchilla) is in an east west direction and follows the Warrego Highway.

I strongly urge the Redistribution Commission to reconsider the draft boundaries and follow the east west transport routes for Warrego and the north south transport routes for Callide.

I acknowledge that my submission, including any personal and/or identifying information provided, will be published in its entirety and made available for public inspection.

Yours faithfully

Signature

QUEENSLAND REDISTRIBUTION COMMISSION
21 MAR 2017
RECEIVED
Dear Queensland Redistribution Commission

I wish to lodge my objection to the communities of Miles and Chinchilla being placed in the Electorate of Callide. We simply do not have a community of interest to the north.

Miles and Chinchilla are in the Surat Basin and have little community of interest with so far to the north as we are situated in a different resource basin, catchment, local government area and state department regional boundaries, that run in an east west from Brisbane, Toowoomba and Ipswich. To travel from Miles or Chinchilla to the north of Callide under the current proposal one has to drive through either Taroom or Dalby as there is no direct road connection.

I suggest that the 8728 voters that have been taken from the Condamine Electorate into Warrego be placed in the Callide Electorate. This would enable the Callide Electorate to have a north south community of interest along the Dalby Jandowea Mundubbera Durong Road. Miles and Chinchilla (7448 voters) and possibly areas from Wandoan and Taroom (to make up the numbers) then be located into Warrego where there is community of interest, transport and commerce links.

The clear community of interest on the Western Downs (ie Miles and Chinchilla) is in an east west direction and follows the Warrego Highway.

I strongly urge the Redistribution Commission to reconsider the draft boundaries and follow the east west transport routes for Warrego and the north south transport routes for Callide.

I acknowledge that my submission, including any personal and/or identifying information provided, will be published in its entirety and made available for public inspection.

Yours faithfully

Signature
Dear Queensland Redistribution Commission

I wish to lodge my objection to the communities of Miles and Chinchilla being placed in the Electorate of Callide. We simply do not have a community of interest to the north.

Miles and Chinchilla are in the Surat Basin and have little community of interest with so far to the north as we are situated in a different resource basin, catchment, local government area and state department regional boundaries, that run in an east west from Brisbane, Toowoomba and Ipswich. To travel from Miles or Chinchilla to the north of Callide under the current proposal one has to drive through either Taroom or Dalby as there is no direct road connection.

I suggest that the 8728 voters that have been taken from the Condamine Electorate into Warrego be placed in the Callide Electorate. This would enable the Callide Electorate to have a north south community of interest along the Dalby Jandowea Mundubbera Durong Road. Miles and Chinchilla (7448 voters) and possibly areas from Wandoan and Taroom (to make up the numbers) then be located into Warrego where there is community of interest, transport and commerce links.

The clear community of interest on the Western Downs (ie Miles and Chinchilla) is in an east west direction and follows the Warrego Highway.

I strongly urge the Redistribution Commission to reconsider the draft boundaries and follow the east west transport routes for Warrego and the north south transport routes for Callide.

I acknowledge that my submission, including any personal and/or identifying information provided, will be published in its entirety and made available for public inspection.

Yours faithfully

[Signature]
Dear Queensland Redistribution Commission

I wish to lodge my objection to the communities of Miles and Chinchilla being placed in the Electorate of Callide. We simply do not have a community of interest to the north.

Miles and Chinchilla are in the Surat Basin and have little community of interest with so far to the north as we are situated in a different resource basin, catchment, local government area and state department regional boundaries, that run in an east west from Brisbane, Toowoomba and Ipswich. To travel from Miles or Chinchilla to the north of Callide under the current proposal one has to drive through either Taroom or Dalby as there is no direct road connection.

I suggest that the 8728 voters that have been taken from the Condamine Electorate into Warrego be placed in the Callide Electorate. This would enable the Callide Electorate to have a north south community of interest along the Dalby Jandowea Mundubbera Durong Road. Miles and Chinchilla (7448 voters) and possibly areas from Wandoan and Taroom (to make up the numbers) then be located into Warrego where there is community of interest, transport and commerce links.

The clear community of interest on the Western Downs (ie Miles and Chinchilla) is in an east west direction and follows the Warrego Highway.

I strongly urge the Redistribution Commission to reconsider the draft boundaries and follow the east west transport routes for Warrego and the north south transport routes for Callide.

I acknowledge that my submission, including any personal and/or identifying information provided, will be published in its entirety and made available for public inspection.

Yours faithfully

Signature
Dear Queensland Redistribution Commission

I wish to lodge my objection to the communities of Miles and Chinchilla being placed in the Electorate of Callide. We simply do not have a community of interest to the north.

Miles and Chinchilla are in the Surat Basin and have little community of interest with so far to the north as we are situated in a different resource basin, catchment, local government area and state department regional boundaries, that run in an east west from Brisbane, Toowoomba and Ipswich. To travel from Miles or Chinchilla to the north of Callide under the current proposal one has to drive through either Taroom or Dalby as there is no direct road connection.

I suggest that the 8728 voters that have been taken from the Condamine Electorate into Warrego be placed in the Callide Electorate. This would enable the Callide Electorate to have a north south community of interest along the Dalby Jandowea Mundubbera Durong Road. Miles and Chinchilla (7448 voters) and possibly areas from Wandoan and Taroom (to make up the numbers) then be located into Warrego where there is community of interest, transport and commerce links.

The clear community of interest on the Western Downs (ie Miles and Chinchilla) is in an east west direction and follows the Warrego Highway.

I strongly urge the Redistribution Commission to reconsider the draft boundaries and follow the east west transport routes for Warrego and the north south transport routes for Callide.

I acknowledge that my submission, including any personal and/or identifying information provided, will be published in its entirety and made available for public inspection.

Yours faithfully

Rita Holt.
Dear Queensland Redistribution Commission

I wish to lodge my objection to the communities of Miles and Chinchilla being placed in the Electorate of Callide. We simply do not have a community of interest to the north.

Miles and Chinchilla are in the Surat Basin and have little community of interest with so far to the north as we are situated in a different resource basin, catchment, local government area and state department regional boundaries, that run in an east west from Brisbane, Toowoomba and Ipswich. To travel from Miles or Chinchilla to the north of Callide under the current proposal one has to drive through either Taroom or Dalby as there is no direct road connection.

I suggest that the 8728 voters that have been taken from the Condamine Electorate into Warrego be placed in the Callide Electorate. This would enable the Callide Electorate to have a north south community of interest along the Dalby Jandowea Mundubbera Durong Road. Miles and Chinchilla (7448 voters) and possibly areas from Wandoan and Taroom (to make up the numbers) then be located into Warrego where there is community of interest, transport and commerce links.

The clear community of interest on the Western Downs (ie Miles and Chinchilla) is in an east west direction and follows the Warrego Highway.

I strongly urge the Redistribution Commission to reconsider the draft boundaries and follow the east west transport routes for Warrego and the north south transport routes for Callide.

I acknowledge that my submission, including any personal and/or identifying information provided, will be published in its entirety and made available for public inspection.

Yours faithfully

Lauretta Anne Fromm

Signature
Dear Queensland Redistribution Commission

I wish to lodge my objection to the communities of Miles and Chinchilla being placed in the Electorate of Callide. We simply do not have a community of interest to the north.

Miles and Chinchilla are in the Surat Basin and have little community of interest with so far to the north as we are situated in a different resource basin, catchment, local government area and state department regional boundaries, that run in an east west from Brisbane, Toowoomba and Ipswich. To travel from Miles or Chinchilla to the north of Callide under the current proposal one has to drive through either Taroom or Dalby as there is no direct road connection.

I suggest that the 8728 voters that have been taken from the Condamine Electorate into Warrego be placed in the Callide Electorate. This would enable the Callide Electorate to have a north south community of interest along the Dalby Jandowea Mundubbera Durong Road. Miles and Chinchilla (7448 voters) and possibly areas from Wandoan and Taroom (to make up the numbers) then be located into Warrego where there is community of interest, transport and commerce links.

The clear community of interest on the Western Downs (ie Miles and Chinchilla) is in an east west direction and follows the Warrego Highway.

I strongly urge the Redistribution Commission to reconsider the draft boundaries and follow the east west transport routes for Warrego and the north south transport routes for Callide.

I acknowledge that my submission, including any personal and/or identifying information provided, will be published in its entirety and made available for public inspection.

Yours faithfully

Signature
Dear Queensland Redistribution Commission

I wish to lodge my objection to the communities of Miles and Chinchilla being placed in the Electorate of Callide. We simply do not have a community of interest to the north.

Miles and Chinchilla are in the Surat Basin and have little community of interest with so far to the north as we are situated in a different resource basin, catchment, local government area and state department regional boundaries, that run in an east west from Brisbane, Toowoomba and Ipswich. To travel from Miles or Chinchilla to the north of Callide under the current proposal one has to drive through either Taroom or Dalby as there is no direct road connection.

I suggest that the 8728 voters that have been taken from the Condamine Electorate into Warrego be placed in the Callide Electorate. This would enable the Callide Electorate to have a north south community of interest along the Dalby Jandowea Mundubbera Durong Road. Miles and Chinchilla (7448 voters) and possibly areas from Wandoan and Taroom (to make up the numbers) then be located into Warrego where there is community of interest, transport and commerce links.

The clear community of interest on the Western Downs (ie Miles and Chinchilla) is in an east west direction and follows the Warrego Highway.

I strongly urge the Redistribution Commission to reconsider the draft boundaries and follow the east west transport routes for Warrego and the north south transport routes for Callide.

I acknowledge that my submission, including any personal and/or identifying information provided, will be published in its entirety and made available for public inspection.

Yours faithfully

[Signature]

QUEENSLAND REDISTRIBUTION COMMISSION

2 7 MAR 2017

RECEIVED
Dear Queensland Redistribution Commission

I wish to lodge my objection to the communities of Miles and Chinchilla being placed in the Electorate of Callide. We simply do not have a community of interest to the north.

Miles and Chinchilla are in the Surat Basin and have little community of interest with so far to the north as we are situated in a different resource basin, catchment, local government area and state department regional boundaries, that run in an east west from Brisbane, Toowoomba and Ipswich. To travel from Miles or Chinchilla to the north of Callide under the current proposal one has to drive through either Taroom or Dalby as there is no direct road connection.

I suggest that the 8728 voters that have been taken from the Condamine Electorate into Warrego be placed in the Callide Electorate. This would enable the Callide Electorate to have a north south community of interest along the Dalby Jandowea Mundubbera Durong Road. Miles and Chinchilla (7448 voters) and possibly areas from Wandoan and Taroom (to make up the numbers) then be located into Warrego where there is community of interest, transport and commerce links.

The clear community of interest on the Western Downs (ie Miles and Chinchilla) is in an east west direction and follows the Warrego Highway.

I strongly urge the Redistribution Commission to reconsider the draft boundaries and follow the east west transport routes for Warrego and the north south transport routes for Callide.

I acknowledge that my submission, including any personal and/or identifying information provided, will be published in its entirety and made available for public inspection.

Yours faithfully

[Signature]
Dear Queensland Redistribution Commission

I wish to lodge my objection to the communities of Miles and Chinchilla being placed in the Electorate of Callide. We simply do not have a community of interest to the north.

Miles and Chinchilla are in the Surat Basin and have little community of interest with so far to the north as we are situated in a different resource basin, catchment, local government area and state department regional boundaries, that run in an east west from Brisbane, Toowoomba and Ipswich. To travel from Miles or Chinchilla to the north of Callide under the current proposal one has to drive through either Taroom or Dalby as there is no direct road connection.

I suggest that the 8728 voters that have been taken from the Condamine Electorate into Warrego be placed in the Callide Electorate. This would enable the Callide Electorate to have a north south community of interest along the Dalby Jandowea Mundubbera Durong Road. Miles and Chinchilla (7448 voters) and possibly areas from Wandoan and Taroom (to make up the numbers) then be located into Warrego where there is community of interest, transport and commerce links.

The clear community of interest on the Western Downs (ie Miles and Chinchilla) is in an east west direction and follows the Warrego Highway.

I strongly urge the Redistribution Commission to reconsider the draft boundaries and follow the east west transport routes for Warrego and the north south transport routes for Callide.

I acknowledge that my submission, including any personal and/or identifying information provided, will be published in its entirety and made available for public inspection.

Yours faithfully

\[Signature\]
Dear Queensland Redistribution Commission

I wish to lodge my objection to the communities of Miles and Chinchilla being placed in the Electorate of Callide. We simply do not have a community of interest to the north.

Miles and Chinchilla are in the Surat Basin and have little community of interest with so far to the north as we are situated in a different resource basin, catchment, local government area and state department regional boundaries, that run in an east west from Brisbane, Toowoomba and Ipswich. To travel from Miles or Chinchilla to the north of Callide under the current proposal one has to drive through either Taroom or Dalby as there is no direct road connection.

I suggest that the 8728 voters that have been taken from the Condamine Electorate into Warrego be placed in the Callide Electorate. This would enable the Callide Electorate to have a north south community of interest along the Dalby Jandowea Mundubbera Durong Road. Miles and Chinchilla (7448 voters) and possibly areas from Wandoan and Taroom (to make up the numbers) then be located into Warrego where there is community of interest, transport and commerce links.

The clear community of interest on the Western Downs (ie Miles and Chinchilla) is in an east west direction and follows the Warrego Highway.

I strongly urge the Redistribution Commission to reconsider the draft boundaries and follow the east west transport routes for Warrego and the north south transport routes for Callide.

I acknowledge that my submission, including any personal and/or identifying information provided, will be published in its entirety and made available for public inspection.

Yours faithfully

Signature

B Daines

QUEENSLAND REDISTRIBUTION COMMISSION
27 MAR 2017
RECEIVED
Dear Queensland Redistribution Commission

I wish to lodge my objection to the communities of Miles and Chinchilla being placed in the Electorate of Callide. We simply do not have a community of interest to the north.

Miles and Chinchilla are in the Surat Basin and have little community of interest with so far to the north as we are situated in a different resource basin, catchment, local government area and state department regional boundaries, that run in an east west from Brisbane, Toowoomba and Ipswich. To travel from Miles or Chinchilla to the north of Callide under the current proposal one has to drive through either Taroom or Dalby as there is no direct road connection.

I suggest that the 8728 voters that have been taken from the Condamine Electorate into Warrego be placed in the Callide Electorate. This would enable the Callide Electorate to have a north south community of interest along the Dalby Jandowea Mundubbera Durong Road. Miles and Chinchilla (7448 voters) and possibly areas from Wandoan and Taroom (to make up the numbers) then be located into Warrego where there is community of interest, transport and commerce links.

The clear community of interest on the Western Downs (ie Miles and Chinchilla) is in an east west direction and follows the Warrego Highway.

I strongly urge the Redistribution Commission to reconsider the draft boundaries and follow the east west transport routes for Warrego and the north south transport routes for Callide.

I acknowledge that my submission, including any personal and/or identifying information provided, will be published in its entirety and made available for public inspection.

Yours faithfully

[Signature]

Name: Richard Kruger
Residential Address: 9 Findlay Ave CHINCILLA
Dear Queensland Redistribution Commission

I wish to lodge my objection to the communities of Miles and Chinchilla being placed in the Electorate of Callide. We simply do not have a community of interest to the north.

Miles and Chinchilla are in the Surat Basin and have little community of interest with so far to the north as we are situated in a different resource basin, catchment, local government area and state department regional boundaries, that run in an east west from Brisbane, Toowoomba and Ipswich. To travel from Miles or Chinchilla to the north of Callide under the current proposal one has to drive through either Taroom or Dalby as there is no direct road connection.

I suggest that the 8728 voters that have been taken from the Condamine Electorate into Warrego be placed in the Callide Electorate. This would enable the Callide Electorate to have a north south community of interest along the Dalby Jandowea Mundubbera Durong Road. Miles and Chinchilla (7448 voters) and possibly areas from Wandoan and Taroom (to make up the numbers) then be located into Warrego where there is community of interest, transport and commerce links.

The clear community of interest on the Western Downs (ie Miles and Chinchilla) is in an east west direction and follows the Warrego Highway.

I strongly urge the Redistribution Commission to reconsider the draft boundaries and follow the east west transport routes for Warrego and the north south transport routes for Callide.

I acknowledge that my submission, including any personal and/or identifying information provided, will be published in its entirety and made available for public inspection.

Yours faithfully

Jean Parker

Signature
Dear Queensland Redistribution Commission

I wish to lodge my objection to the communities of Miles and Chinchilla being placed in the Electorate of Callide. We simply do not have a community of interest to the north.

Miles and Chinchilla are in the Surat Basin and have little community of interest with so far to the north as we are situated in a different resource basin, catchment, local government area and state department regional boundaries, that run in an east west from Brisbane, Toowoomba and Ipswich. To travel from Miles or Chinchilla to the north of Callide under the current proposal one has to drive through either Taroom or Dalby as there is no direct road connection.

I suggest that the 8728 voters that have been taken from the Condamine Electorate into Warrego be placed in the Callide Electorate. This would enable the Callide Electorate to have a north south community of interest along the Dalby Jandowea Mundubbera Durong Road. Miles and Chinchilla (7448 voters) and possibly areas from Wandoan and Taroom (to make up the numbers) then be located into Warrego where there is community of interest, transport and commerce links.

The clear community of interest on the Western Downs (ie Miles and Chinchilla) is in an east west direction and follows the Warrego Highway.

I strongly urge the Redistribution Commission to reconsider the draft boundaries and follow the east west transport routes for Warrego and the north south transport routes for Callide.

I acknowledge that my submission, including any personal and/or identifying information provided, will be published in its entirety and made available for public inspection.

Yours faithfully

Signature

Obj-1264

Queensland Redistribution Commission
GPO Box 1393
BRISBANE QLD 4001
Or email to boundaries@ecg.qld.gov.au

Before 27th March 2017

Name LINDA TIPENE

Residential Address 57 DURATH ST
23.03.2017
JUDITH & ROSS VARNHAM
39 ARM ST
CAMPA FACE. 4152

The Secretary
Queensland Redistribution Commission
GPO Box 1343
BRISBANE. Qld. 4001.

We wish to submit our objection to the proposed
Electoral Boundary Redistribution of Rainbow Beach.

We have owned a holiday home which we built in
1979/80. This is NOT a rental property and used on
a regular basis by our family and grandchildren.

There are many concerns for this objection. For those
of you sitting in an office in Brisbane would have
no idea by shifting boundary lines on a piece of paper
could seem encroage unless you have lived & experienced
the Rainbow Beach environment. Rainbow Beach has
been part of Gympie local area last as far back as 1969.
This redistribution for many would have far reaching
problems on:

1) Physical access
2) Hospital
3) Emergency services
4) Schools
5) Travel Time between Rainbow Beach & Hoosa
6) Community connection between hoosa & Rainbow
REach in 35 years has been non-existent in
our time.

We see this as a grab for votes for the hoosa
Candidate with no regard of the consequences.
Once again see those of you sitting in an Office in Brisbane making proposed changes to electoral boundaries have no idea of the impact these changes would have on Rainbow Beach and see considered the present boundaries should be left in place.

Yours faithfully

J.A. Varnham

JUDITH VARNHAM

Milton Ross VARNHAM

23.03.2017
N.R. Cook  
6 Magnetic Street,  
PARREARRA. 4575

The Secretary,  
Queensland Redistribution Commission,  
G.P.O. Box 1393,  
BRISBANE. Q. 4001.

Dear Sir or Madam,  
I wish to strongly object to the change of Boundaries to the KAWANA Electorate. As stated on the attached page I have NO WISH to be in the BUDERIM electorate as our LOCAL COMMUNITY GROUPS will not have a VOICE LOCALLY. I do not travel to Buderim for anything so WHY would I want to be represented by some in that Electorate.  
Yours

[Signature]

Nancy Cook.
LIST OF POSSIBLE ARGUMENTS AGAINST REMOVING THE SUBURBS OF MINYAMA, BUDDINA & PARREARRA FROM KAWANA

1. Kawana Waters is an unofficial place name for a coastal stretch of land between Mooloolaba and Caloundra. Our area has been known as Kawana Waters since the early 1960's and is used by everyone as the commonly referred to name of our area. I don’t believe the QRC has properly taken into consideration the strong communities of interest that are contained in the Kawana Waters region.

2. Within the Kawana Waters area lie the suburbs of Birtinya, Bokarina, Buddina, Minyama, Parrearra, Warana and Wurtulla. Removing Minyama, Buddina and Parrearra from Kawana disconnects the intended grouping of this coastal stretch of suburbs that make up Kawana Waters.

3. One of the connecting features of our community is Kawana Beach, from Point Cartwright to Currimundi Lake, 10 Kilometres of white sand.

4. Since 1959, Kawana has developed into a thriving community. We are serviced by wonderful community organisations including the Kawana Waters RSL Sub-Branch, Kawana Waters Surf Lifesaving Club, Kawana Library, Kawana Scouts, Kawana Community Centre, Kawana Rotary Club, Lake Currimundi-Kawana Lions Club, Kawana Waters Chamber of Commerce, Buddina State School, Minyama Neighbourhood Watch, Kawana Companions, Kawana Seniors and the Kawana Island Residents Association to name but a few. These community organisations have no connection with the Buderim community and may be forced to compete with existing Buderim-based organisations for funding, membership and volunteers. Please don’t move our respected, well used and much loved community organisations to Buderim.

5. I am concerned that by moving Minyama, Buddina and Parrearra into the Buderim electorate, the suburbs and residents will lose true local representation and be forced into an electorate they have no cultural or business connection to. Residents will be forced to drive to the top of Buderim to see their local MP which will result in less engagement between the community and its representative.

6. The electorate of Kawana is predominantly a coastal urban electorate. Buderim falls between the business districts of Maroochydore and Nambour. There is no connection between the coastal urban suburbs of Minyama, Buddina and Parrearra and the Buderim electorate. These coastal-based urban communities are culturally and socially connected with Kawana. Redistributing these Kawana suburbs to Buderim will alienate the residents who strongly rely on Kawana for their work, business, social, educational, medical, community, sport, cultural and shopping needs.

7. Our major shopping and entertainment district is located at the Kawana Shoppingworld and Kawana Waters Hotel which should naturally remain in the Kawana electorate. Kawana Shoppingworld also acts as a major public transport hub, linking directly with the Sunshine Coast University Hospital as well as all other bus routes operating throughout the Kawana electorate.
CURRENT KAWANA BOUNDARY

Includes Minyama, Buddina and Parrearrra suburbs in the north-east of the electorate.

PROPOSED KAWANA BOUNDARY

Transfers Minyama, Buddina and Parrearrra suburbs to the Buderim electorate.

I do not live in Buderim and have no wish to be represented by any living in that area.
Queensland Redistribution Commission
GPO BOX 1393
BRISBANE Qld 4001

Re: proposed redistribution of electoral boundary affecting Rainbow Beach

Dear Secretary,

I wish to express my disbelief on how or why such a decision was made. I can’t be for the number of people who live and vote here – approximately 800+. Lots of trees in the area and they don’t vote. National parks and State forest. So what is the criteria? Why isolate the area further by amalgamating it with Noosa electorate from the Gympie electorate. Does Noosa electorate have more political power for this amalgamation or is this just a blatant land grabbing exercise to gain control of the foreshores of this area. Bloody ridiculous….. How will a Noosa based administration help the area or any MP representation – too far away to be bothered to come here?

The greater communities of interest of this area, Tin Can Bay, Cooloola Cove and Rainbow Beach are isolated during flood times. Coondoo Creek and Mary River at Maryborough restrict our ability to leave the area for specialized medical treatment, school children and workers are often stranded in Gympie. Not everyone in these areas have 4WD’s and the only option is along Teewah beach OR will the Noosa National Parks Association and their cronies restrict public access. We don’t even have a decent road to connect us to Noosa. The Count rand road/ Cooloola Way connection has been a ‘pipe dream’, a ‘gunnado job’, ‘one day’ promise just like the bridge over Coondoo Creek for at least the past thirty years.

I doubt Noosa would care about this end of their electorate. Would Noosa electorate support our present area. Not bloody likely… Too far away. One hour’s drive to Gympie. Two hours’ drive to Noosa. DOH!!!!!

Joan Power
DEAR SIR,

i am writing this letter regarding the electoral boundary changes. my wife & i own this land since 1970 & build a house 1991 & been leaving here ever since. now our answer is no change please. our age means alot?

yours faithfully H. & i. BARNDT

20.3.1917

H. & i, BARNDT
35 QOMOO STR
BUDDINA 4575
17 March 2017

The Secretary
Qld Redistribution Commission
GPO Box 1393
BRISBANE. QLD 4001

Dear Sir or Madam,

Re: Proposed Kawana Boundary Redistribution.

I refer to the above plan which transfers the suburbs of Buddina, Minyama and Parrearrra etc. to the electorate of Buderim.

I would ask you to reconsider the exclusion of these suburbs from the Kawana electorate as all our business and community services are carried out within the Kawana precinct.

We first moved here fourteen years ago and it was known as Kawana Waters and this is the name it is commonly known as.

We frequent Kawana beach at Buddina and consider this as part of our local area. Our three grandchildren attended Buddina State School and I am a member of Kawana library and Minyama Neighbourhood Watch. Our shopping is conducted at Kawana Shopping World and we frequent the cafes and coffee shops within the complex.

What have we got to do with Buderim?
Not a thing, we have no social or business connections with Buderim.

Our local member of Parliament, Jarrod Bleijie, worked hard to get the new public hospital at Kawana established and we supported him in his successful endeavours. Now the project is completed and ready to start operating we are informed that we are not going to be part of this electorate.

We do not wish to travel up to Buderim to visit our local member.

There are other important factors both socially and business wise why the suburbs mentioned should remain within the Kawana electorate. However, in light of the few objections raised by us, we strongly urge you to reconsider the proposed Kawana Electoral Boundary and let us remain within the Kawana electorate.

We await your decision.

Yours faithfully
Rick and Sandra Messent
36 Jessica Blvd.
Minyama 4575.

[Signature]

Sandra Messent
REDISTRIBUTION OF STATE ELECTORAL BOUNDARIES

GYMPIE ELECTORATE

Electoral areas are meant to reflect the particular area a Member of Parliament represents, not a line drawn on a map, but the actual physical presence of the residents of that community, the lifestyle, industries, governmental institutions and facilities, and importantly the interaction throughout the area as a whole community.

REDISTRIBUTION OF THE COOLOOLA COAST

The current intention to change the boundary of the Gympie Electorate destabilises certain aspects that have for such a long time been part of the Gympie Electorate and Community. The Cooloola Coast has for all time been part of our Gympie Community. It is unique in its unspoilt splendour and a change to the boundary to include it in the Noosa Electorate poses a definite risk of that area becoming an extension of Noosa and the Sunshine Coast and its natural beauty being lost forever.

There is no political affinity between Rainbow Beach and Noosa. There is no direct roadway system between the two, no public transport except via Gympie. There is no community connection between the two. Rainbow Beach is part of the Gympie Region.

It is requested that this section of the proposed boundary redistribution be reversed and that it remains as before in the Gympie Electorate where it can be best represented.

REDISTRIBUTION OF BAUPLE-TIARO

The Bauple-Tiaro Region is closely associated with the Maryborough community and it makes no sense to remove it from that Electorate to the Gympie Electorate. Request is made for this Region to remain in the Maryborough Electorate where it can best be represented.

Janifer Diane Malholland
298 Widgee Crossing Road Gympie, 4570