

**Kylie Guthrie**

---

**From:** noreply@ecq.qld.gov.au  
**Sent:** Tuesday, 14 June 2022 10:57 AM  
**To:** LG CC Submissions  
**Subject:** (56511) Livingstone Shire Council and Rockhampton Regional Council - External Boundary Review - [REDACTED]

Online submission for Livingstone Shire Council and Rockhampton Regional Council - External Boundary Review from [REDACTED]

---

**Submission Details**

**Name:** [REDACTED]

**Privacy preferences:** Publishing your submission without your name

**Submission text:** I pay rates in LS and RR so have extensive experience with both. Although all government depts can be perceived to not wisely spend public funds to any individual - i feel this is especially true with RRC. Public funds have already been wasted with the failed amalgamation and then the de-amalgamation and now this affront to ethics. If RRC were genuine in their claims to make RRC sustainable then good management needs to be implemented not undermine a neighboring shire that is forging ahead purely for that reason. I am not confident that RRC would not simply waste this new source of income down the track anyway because the current councilors are rather inexperienced and are simply looking for an easy fix for themselves. If this goes ahead it could prove detrimental to all. RRC has already spent over \$100 000 of rate payers money that could be better spent and have force LSC to spend \$10 000 + to defend their position. And the treasury has spent and so has the ECQ and likely other depts and legal advisors costs etc - i am sure the Quarter million cost I estimate this grass is greener fantasy that RRC is indulging in , could have been better spent in QLD. We are retiring here and the Lifestyle shire scheme of Livingstone currently caters to people like us very well. This ability will be hindered greatly by the loss of variety in lifestyle blocks and the industrial corridor near the bruce hway- LS has NO heavy industry area, RRC has 3, this planning has already been paid for by LS residents and will have to be replaced and of course paid for again. Our legislation should not - in my opinion allow this disrespectful behavior- how can we as a society tell youths don't take things that are not yours- when our state government actually can be seen to ALLOW this behavior! Community values start from the top - is it any wonder that Rockhampton has such a high juvenile crime rate. We do not want this impacting the residents in Livingstone to the same extent . I adamantly would like to vote NO to any boundary change and for the sake of stability would ask that we residents be given some type of assurance that our rate money not be wasted in a few years time by another claim similar to this.

**File upload:** No file uploaded ()

---

Submission ID: 56511

Time of Submission: 14 Jun 2022 10:57am

Submission IP Address: [REDACTED]

Referral URL: <https://www.ecq.qld.gov.au/electoral-boundaries/local-government-boundary-reviews/external-boundary-and-electoral-arrangement-reviews/livingstone-shire-council-and-rockhampton-regional-council-external-boundary-review>