

QUEENSLAND

QUEENSLAND REDISTRIBUTION COMMISSION

PUBLIC OBJECTIONS TO THE PROPOSED REDISTRIBUTION OF QUEENSLAND'S LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY ELECTORAL DISTRICTS

VOLUME ONE – OBJECTIONS 1 TO 420

MAY 1999

Floor 6
Forestry House
160 Mary Street
BRISBANE Q 4000

Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE Q 9001

Telephone
Brisbane: (07) 3227 6219
Country: 1800 801 665

Facsimile: (07) 3229 7391

REDISTRIBUTION
OF
QUEENSLAND'S ELECTORAL DISTRICTS

INVITATION FOR COMMENTS IN RESPONSE TO PUBLIC OBJECTIONS TO THE PROPOSED REDISTRIBUTION

In October 1998, the Queensland Redistribution Commission, in accordance with the requirements of the *Electoral Act 1992* ("the Act"), commenced a redistribution of Queensland's 89 Legislative Assembly electoral districts.

The need for the redistribution (the first under the Act) arose, pursuant to section 39 of the Act, in late 1997 when 32 and 36 electoral districts were "out of quota" for September and October 1997 respectively.

Section 35(3) of the Act states that, subject to subsections (4) and (5) of section 35, when the need for an electoral redistribution arises, the Commission must, as soon as practicable, redistribute the State into 89 electoral districts in the way set out in division 3 of the Act.

Subsection (4) of section 35, however, states that if "*the need for an electoral redistribution arises more than 16 months after the day on which the writ for the previous general election was returned, the Commissioner must defer undertaking the electoral redistribution until after the return of the writ for the next general election*".

Because section 35(4) applied, the redistribution could not begin until after the return of the writ for the State general election held on 13 June 1998.

Commencement of the Redistribution

The Commission began the redistribution by publishing notices in the Queensland Government Gazette which stated that the need for an electoral redistribution had arisen, advised of the composition of the Commission and invited members of the public to lodge written suggestions on the redistribution. Following the closure of suggestions, the Commission published notices inviting written comments on the suggestions to be lodged with the Commission. Once the time for lodging comments on the suggestions closed on Friday 18 December 1998, the Commission prepared its redistribution proposals.

On Friday 9 April 1999, the Commission published a notice in the Queensland Government Gazette advising of its proposed redistribution. The notice was also published in *The Courier Mail* and various regional newspapers. The notice invited public objections to the Commission's proposals for the names or boundaries of the 89 electoral districts to be lodged with the Commission by hand delivery, post or facsimile by 5 00 pm on Monday 10 May 1999.

The notices advising of the Commission's proposed redistribution stated that the Commission had made available for public perusal at the office of the Commission maps showing the names and boundaries of each proposed electoral district in the State and that in each proposed electoral district a copy (or copies) of a map showing the boundaries of that proposed electoral district had been exhibited at a place or places to which the public has access. A list of the locations where the maps were on display was included in the notices. At all those places where maps of the proposed electoral districts were displayed, the Commission also made available for public perusal copies of the descriptions of the boundaries of the proposed electoral districts and the Commission's reasons for the proposed redistribution.

The Quota and other Legislative Criteria for the Redistribution

The Commission's proposals for the redistribution were formulated having regard to the quota and the other criteria set out in Part 3 of the Act.

Section 45(1) of the Act requires the Commission to ensure, in preparing the proposed redistribution, that the following requirements are satisfied as at the end of the 21 days prescribed for lodging comments on the suggestions i.e. as at 21 December 1998:

"(a) *if the electoral district has an area of less than 100 000 km² - that the number of enrolled electors does not differ from the average number of enrolled electors for electoral districts by more than 10%;*

(b) *if the electoral district has an area of 100 000 km² or more - the sum of the number of enrolled electors and the additional large district number does not differ from the average number of enrolled electors for electoral districts by more than 10%."*

The Act defines the *"average number of enrolled electors for electoral districts"* ("the quota") as *"the number worked out by dividing the total number of enrolled electors for all electoral districts by 89"*. The *"additional large district number"* is defined in section 45(2) of the Act as *"2% of the number of km² in the area of the electoral district"*.

The quota of electors is therefore determined by dividing the total number of electors on the electoral roll in Queensland by 89. The number of electors on the roll for Queensland on 21 December 1998 was 2,204,434. This number, divided by 89 and rounded upwards as required by section 4(1) of the Act, produced a quota of 24,769.

The application of the 10% (+ or -) margin of tolerance permitted by the Act to the quota allows an electoral district a minimum number of 22,292 electors and a maximum number of 27,246 electors. The Commission must not vary these numbers and they overide the other criteria set out in section 46 of the Act. For an electoral district with an area of 100,000 km² or more, a figure equal to 2% of the total area of the electorate is added to the actual number of electors enrolled in that electoral district to permit the total number of "electors" to fall within the range of 22,292 to 27,246. Consequently, a proposed electoral district of (say) 250,000 square kilometres in area would have 5,000 (i.e. 2% of 250,000km²) "notional"

electors to add to its actual number of electors to comply with the quota of 24,769 and the allowable tolerances of 22,292 to 27,246.

Subject to the quota and permitted deviation, the Commission is required, under section 46(1) of the Act, to give consideration to the following matters when drawing the boundaries of the proposed electoral districts:

"(a) the extent to which there is a community of economic, social, regional or other interests within each proposed electoral district;

(b) the ways of communication and travel within each proposed electoral district;

(c) the physical features of each proposed electoral district;

(d) the boundaries of existing electoral districts."

The Commission is also required, under section 46(1)(e) of the Act, to consider demographic trends in Queensland with a view to ensuring, as far as practicable that, on the basis of the trends, the need for another electoral redistribution will not arise under section 39 of the Act before it does under section 38.

Section 39 "triggers" a redistribution if one-third or more electoral districts are out of quota for two months in a row, the quota for the purposes of section 39 being calculated by reference to the number of enrolled electors for each electoral district and the average number of enrolled electors for each electoral district as published by the Electoral Commission Queensland each month in the Queensland Government Gazette in accordance with the requirements of section 63 of the Act.

Section 38 contains another "trigger" for a redistribution. It states that the need for an electoral redistribution arises -

"(a) 1 year after the day appointed for the return of writs for the third general election held after -

*(i) the electoral distribution under the **Electoral Districts Act 1991** became final; or*

(ii) an electoral redistribution, or the latest electoral redistribution, under this Act becomes final; or

(b) 7.5 years after -

*(i) the electoral distribution under the **Electoral Districts Act 1991** became final; or*

(ii) an electoral redistribution, or the latest electoral redistribution, under this Act becomes final;

whichever is the later.

Finally, under section 46(2) of the Act, the Commission, when carrying out the redistribution, *"may also consider the boundaries of local government areas to the extent that it is satisfied that there is a community of economic, social, regional or other interests within each local government area".*

The Commission is authorised by section 46(3) of the Act to give such weight to each of the abovementioned matters as it considers appropriate.

Invitation to Submit Written Comments on Objections

All objections to the Commission's proposed redistribution received by the Commission by 5 pm on Monday 10 May 1999 have been bound into two volumes. In accordance with section 49 (1) of the Act, copies of these objections are now available for public inspection, without fee, at the Commission's Office at Floor 6, Forestry House, 160 Mary Street, Brisbane between the hours of 8.30 am and 5.00pm (Monday to Friday). Copies of the objections are also available for public inspection at public libraries throughout Queensland.

In accordance with section 49 (2) of the Act, the Commission now invites written comments on the objections to the proposed redistribution. Comments on the objections should be marked **'QRC/OBJ/COM'** and may be lodged with the Commission by hand delivery, post or facsimile at the following addresses **before 5 pm on Monday 24 May 1999:**

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Floor 6
Forestry House
160 Mary Street
BRISBANE QLD 4000

Facsimile: (07) 3229 7391

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

Remainder of the Redistribution Process

In accordance with section 49 (3) of the Act, copies of the comments on the objections will be available for public perusal at the Commission's Office at Floor 6, Forestry House, 160 Mary Street, Brisbane as soon as practicable after the closing time for comments on the objections. Copies of the comments on the objections will similarly be available for perusal at public libraries throughout Queensland.

The Commission may elect to hold public hearings in the period following the closure of comments on the objections.

From the date of closure of public objections to the redistribution proposals (10 May 1999), the Commission is allowed a period of up to 60 days to prepare its final determination of the State's electoral district names and boundaries (see section 51 of the Act). The 60 day period will end on Friday 9 July 1999. Under section 54 of the Act, the final determination and associated documents will be given to the Honourable the Attorney-General and Minister for Justice and Minister for The Arts and he must table the documents in Parliament within 5 sitting days of their receipt.

A notice containing details of the Commission's final determination of the State's electoral boundaries must be published in the Government Gazette. At the end of 21 days after the publication of this notice (but subject to the determination of any appeal lodged) the State is redistributed into the electoral districts, and those districts have the names, set out in the notice. Queensland remains redistributed in this way until the next electoral redistribution becomes final.

Table 1 below details the statutory timetable associated with the conduct of the State electoral redistribution.

TABLE 1

THE REDISTRIBUTION PROCESS

The *Electoral Act 1992* prescribes the following timetable for the conduct of the redistribution:

	Activity	Timetable
1.	The Commission invites written suggestions from the public – s42(1)	*Friday 16 October 1998*
	Public Suggestions	*30 days – s42(3)*
2.	Closing date for written suggestions	*Tuesday 17 November 1998*
	Suggestions available for public comment	*21 days – s43(1) and s43(2)*
3.	Closing date for written comments	*Friday 18 December 1998*
4.	The Commission determines State quota –s45(1), considers suggestions and comments and develops a set of electoral district boundary proposals –s44	*No time specified to formulate proposals*
5.	The Commission prepares its report, publishes and exhibits maps showing proposed boundaries and names and invites public attention to the maps –s47	*Friday 9 April 1999*
	Public objections to the proposals	*30 days - s48(1)*
6.	Closing date for written objections	*Monday 10 May 1999*
	Objections available for public comment	*10 days - s49(1) and s49(2)*
7.	Closing date for written comments in response to objections	*Monday 24 May 1999*
8.	The Commission considers objections and comments and makes a final boundary determination – ss50-51	*60 since close of objections – s51(1)*
	Final date for the Commission's determination	*Friday 9 July 1999*

Inquiries

Persons or organisations who have any inquiries concerning the conduct of the State redistribution should direct them to the Commission.

The Commission's locality address is:

QUEENSLAND REDISTRIBUTION COMMISSION
LEVEL 6, FORESTRY HOUSE
160 MARY STREET
BRISBANE QLD 4000

Telephone: 3227 6219 (Brisbane) 1800 801 665 (Country)

PUBLIC OBJECTIONS

Objection No. (QRC/OBJ...)	Name/Organisation	Address	Date Received
1	John L Whitty	39 Killarney Ave MANLY WEST QLD 4179	15.4.99
2	Chris Fulwood	Roma Toyworld PO Box 303 ROMA QLD 4455	16.4.99
3	Ian & Coral Gregory	MS 787 30 Burrum River Rd HOWARD QLD 4659	16.4.99
4	Ian Matthews	55 College Rd MAPLETON QLD 4560	19.4.99
5	Paul Nash	98 Dobson Rd ASCOT QLD 4007	19.4.99
6	Ian Jackson	36 Rifle Range Rd STANTHORPE QLD 4380	19.4.99
7	Imke U Norman	Lot 7 Evergreen St CLIFTON BEACH QLD 4879	20.4.99
8	Kenilworth & District Chamber of Commerce & Citizens Inc.	(T F Hampstead-President) PO Box 69 KENILWORTH QLD 4574	21.4.99
9	The Professionals Northern Beaches	(Steve Newman) PO Box 880 SMITHFIELD QLD 4878	21.4.99
10	A E Dixon	26 Terebra St PALM COVE QLD 4879	21.4.99
11	Pauline A Newman	14 Collett Cl KEWARRA BEACH QLD 4879	21.4.99

Objection No. (QRC/OBJ...)	Name/Organisation	Address	Date Received
12	S A Newman	14 Collett Cl KEWARRA BEACH QLD 4879	21.4.99
13	Michael Higgins	30 Buckle St PARK AVENUE QLD 4701	21.4.99
14	M Lundberg	11/403 Golden Four Dr TUGUN QLD 4224	22.4.99
15	Heather J Seifert	4 Stirling St ROCHEDALE SOUTH QLD 4123	22.4.99
16	John S and Elinor P East	PO Box 255 WINTON QLD 4735	22.4.99
17	Ann Gibbes	PO Box 91 ROMA QLD 4455	22.4.99
18	Lindsay J Roberts	PO Box 292 NERANG QLD 4211	22.4.99
19	Bob Quinn MLA	PO Box 1056 BROADBEACH QLD 4218	27.4.99
20	Multi signed	WINTON QLD 4735	27.4.99
21	Multi signed	WINTON QLD 4735	27.4.99
22	Margaret Anne White	23 Elizabeth St KENILWORTH QLD 4574	27.4.99
23	AJ & MA White	23 Elizabeth St KENILWORTH QLD 4574	27.4.99
24	Patricia Tuckett	49 Stephenson St WULGURU QLD 4811	27.4.99
25	Suzanne Wilson	11 Petherbridge Ave MERRIMAC QLD 4211	27.4.99

Objection No. (QRC/OBJ...)	Name/Organisation	Address	Date Received
26	Pauline Hanson's One Nation	K Smith (Sec.Treasurer) PO Box 634 CHINCHILLA QLD 4413	27.4.99
27	M H Wickham	'Berridale' KARARA QLD 4352	27.4.99
28	W R Wickham	'Berridale' KARARA QLD 4352	27.4.99
29	W Schutters	58/469 Pine Ridge Rd RUNAWAY BAY QLD 4216	28.4.99
30	Ted White	c/- Kenilworth News PO Box 21 KENILWORTH QLD 4574	28.4.99
31	Joyce Ramsay	14 Cardew St EAST IPSWICH QLD 4305	28.4.99
32	Bruce Bellette	12 Healy St MUNDINGBURRA QLD 4812	28.4.99
33	L Hall	80 Colwel St OXLEY QLD 4075	28.4.99
34	I D Cummings		29.4.99
35	Julie Stanley	13 Mary St KENILWORTH QLD 4574	29.4.99
36	Robyn and Gregory Byram	14 Kendall St OXLEY QLD 4075	29.4.99
37	K Haig	5 Dundas St OXLEY QLD 4075	29.4.99
38	Waltraud Kessler	31 Kendall St OXLEY QLD 4075	29.4.99

Objection No. (QRC/OBJ...)	Name/Organisation	Address	Date Received
39	Andrew and Leonie Wallace	16-18 Cardinal Crt EUDLO QLD 4554	29.4.99
40	Vicki O'Flynn	352 Highlands Rd EUDLO QLD 4554	29.4.99
41	Inglewood Shire Council	PO Box 21 INGLEWOOD QLD 4387	29.4.99
42	L Dench	14 Vesta St OXLEY QLD 4075	29.4.99
43	E W Turvey	1137 Oxley Rd OXLEY QLD 4075	29.4.99
44	H & I E L Kuepper	20 Lawson St OXLEY QLD 4075	30.4.99
45	E J Schneider	16 Calston St OXLEY QLD 4075	30.4.99
46	R A Drury	1119 Oxley Rd OXLEY QLD 4075	30.4.99
47	Multi signed	OXLEY QLD 4075	30.4.99
48	D Bennett	32 California Rd OXLEY QLD 4075	30.4.99
49	Multi signed	OXLEY QLD 4075	30.4.99
50	Joyce & L C Bielby	17 Bayford St OXLEY QLD 4075	30.4.99
51	D N & A D Niemeyer	2 Landstead St OXLEY QLD 4075	30.4.99
52	Natalie Hunter	1150 Oxley Rd OXLEY QLD 4075	30.4.99
53	Enid R & R J Davis	1157 Oxley Rd OXLEY QLD 4075	30.4.99
54	Multi signed	21 Lawson St OXLEY QLD 4075	30.4.99

Objection No. (QRC/OBJ...)	Name/Organisation	Address	Date Received
55	Lyn & David Bishop	1205 Oxley Rd OXLEY QLD 4075	30.4.99
56	Daniel Vu	1136 Oxley Rd OXLEY QLD 4075	30.4.99
57	Withcott Progress Association Inc.	(Janice M Holstein – President) M/S 408 Elders Building WITHCOTT QLD 4352	30.4.99
58	S W Courtney	PO Box 525 STANTHORPE QLD 4380	30.4.99
59	Robin Talbot	4435 Mary Valley Rd BROOLOO via KENILWORTH QLD 4574	30.4.99
60	M J Davis	Maleny Kenilworth Rd KENILWORTH QLD 4574	30.4.99
61	John E Roe	McGinn Rd KENILWORTH QLD 4574	30.4.99
62	A Roe	McGinn Rd KENILWORTH QLD 4574	30.4.99
63	Edward John Rodwell	Brooloo Rd KENILWORTH QLD 4574	30.4.99
64	J Baillie	Moy Pocket Rd KENILWORTH QLD 4574	30.4.99
65	Brian & Gay Proudman	12 Bottlebrush St MOOROOBOOL QLD 4870	30.4.99
66	John M O'Sullivan	308 J Hickey Ave GLADSTONE QLD 4680	30.4.99

Objection No. (QRC/OBJ...)	Name/Organisation	Address	Date Received
67	Brian G Smith	PO Box 128 KENILWORTH QLD 4574	30.4.99
68	M J & Debra F Cathcart	68 Stephenson St OXLEY QLD 4075	4.5.99
69	Julie Kingston & Christopher Trotter	56 Lincoln St OXLEY QLD 4075	4.5.99
70	T S Saxton	42 Bayford St OXLEY QLD 4075	4.5.99
71	A Vanderkruk	12 Gregwal Ct OXLEY QLD 4075	4.5.99
72	A Cameron	41 Bayford St OXLEY QLD 4075	4.5.99
73	Mohammad H Solaimani	329 Cliveden Ave CORINDA QLD 4075	4.5.99
74	Rob van Berman	7 Ribbon Cl CLIFTON BEACH QLD 4879	4.5.99
75	D Richmond	153 Arlington Est CLIFTON BEACH QLD 4879	4.5.99
76	Gary Maguire	57 Yule Ave CLIFTON BEACH QLD 4879	4.5.99
77	Stephen F Tod	26 Beaver St CLIFTON BEACH QLD 4879	4.5.99
78	S Ginter	16 Hope St CLIFTON BEACH QLD 4879	4.5.99
79	Julie Hogan	18 Baines St CLIFTON BEACH QLD 4879	4.5.99

Objection No. (QRC/OBJ...)	Name/Organisation	Address	Date Received
80	Barry Seddon	31 Oliva St PALM COVE QLD 4879	4.5.99
81	Mr & Mrs W J Smith	9 Saxon St CLIFTON BEACH QLD 4879	4.5.99
82	A & R McLean	54 Yule Ave CLIFTON BEACH QLD 4879	4.5.99
83	R & M Kuik	1 Opal Reef Cl CLIFTON BEACH QLD 4879	4.5.99
84	N Kelton	34 Lawson St OXLEY QLD 4075	4.5.99
85	R J & D M McKewin	67 Lincoln St OXLEY QLD 4075	4.5.99
86	M L Vandenbroek	PO Box 181 MIRRIWINNI QLD 4871	4.5.99
87	R J Budden	PO Box 13 CHINCHILLA QLD 4413	4.5.99
88	J G Laurie	4 Elizabeth St WITHCOTT QLD 4352	4.5.99
89	Cooloola Shire Council	PO Box 155 GYMPIE QLD 4570	4.5.99
90	Alwyne Flynn	11 Bradford St WHITFIELD QLD 4870	4.5.99
91	J B & M Milton	10 Kendall St OXLEY QLD 4075	4.5.99
92	M Wrona	38 Seventeen Mile Rocks Rd OXLEY QLD 4075	4.5.99
93	M Beeley	83 Englefield Rd OXLEY QLD 4075	4.5.99

Objection No. (QRC/OBJ...)	Name/Organisation	Address	Date Received
94	F W Van Der Zant	28 Lawson St OXLEY QLD 4075	4.5.99
95	L & M I Brieschke	9 Dundas St OXLEY QLD 4075	4.5.99
96	Tracy Taylor- Everson	16 Bayford St OXLEY QLD 4075	4.5.99
97	C Y Sweatman	53 Kendale St OXLEY QLD 4075	4.5.99
98	J E & R J Walton	75 Irwin Tce OXLEY QLD 4075	4.5.99
99	T S Saxton	42 Bayford St OXLEY QLD 4075	4.5.99
100	M Duffy	19 Enright St OXLEY QLD 4075	4.5.99
101	C G & V Parker	10 Boodera St OXLEY QLD 4075	4.5.99
102	B J Mackee	12 Boodera St OXLEY QLD 4075	4.5.99
103	O K Pang	11 Kendall St OXLEY QLD 4075	4.5.99
104	B Robertson	21 Holmedale St OXLEY QLD 4075	4.5.99
105	R J & M J Heers	44 Bayford St OXLEY QLD 4075	4.5.99
106	Thomas Solomon & Tina Solomon	22 Boodera St OXLEY QLD 4075	4.5.99
107	R M James	100 Jutland St OXLEY QLD 4075	4.5.99
108	J M & R W Hanson	26 Bayford St OXLEY QLD 4075	4.5.99

Objection No. (QRC/OBJ...)	Name/Organisation	Address	Date Received
109	C Rixon	16 Campana St OXLEY QLD 4075	4.5.99
110	H White	19 Bayford St OXLEY QLD 4075	4.5.99
111	Michelle Ehrich	1080 Oxley Rd OXLEY QLD 4075	4.5.99
112	William Knott	1080 Oxley Rd OXLEY QLD 4075	4.5.99
113	R Baker	36 Bayford St OXLEY QLD 4075	4.5.99
114	E O McVinish	55 Price St OXLEY QLD 4075	4.5.99
115	Len Holt & Joan Holt	28 Campana St OXLEY QLD 4075	4.5.99
116	F S & V J Carl	22 Calston St OXLEY QLD 4075	4.5.99
117	K Fredline	12 Lawson St OXLEY QLD 4075	4.5.99
118	J J & J M Bourke	38 St Clements Rd OXLEY QLD 4075	4.5.99
119	B T & M R Henderson-Brooks	34 Yule Av CLIFTON BEACH QLD 4879	4.5.99
120	Keith & Janette Turner	12 Baines St CLIFTON BEACH QLD 4879	4.5.99
121	C Crosland	22 Yule Av CLIFTON BEACH QLD 4879	4.5.99
122	Roma J Perry	27 Batt St CLIFTON BEACH QLD 4879	4.5.99

Objection No. (QRC/OBJ...)	Name/Organisation	Address	Date Received
123	Susan Bennetts	69 Arlington Esp CLIFTON BEACH QLD 4879	4.5.99
124	J K & F N Dale	57 Guide St CLIFTON BEACH QLD 4879	4.5.99
125	V Sergeev	1/41 Clifton Rd CLIFTON BEACH QLD 4879	4.5.99
126	D Kelly & C Bennett	14 Pellowe St CLIFTON BEACH QLD 4879	4.5.99
127	M Sergeev	1/41 Clifton Rd CLIFTON BEACH QLD 4879	4.5.99
128	Leisa Gunton	c/- Kenilworth Post Office KENILWORTH QLD 4574	4.5.99
129	Lucy Loweke	18 Phillip St KENILWORTH QLD 4574	4.5.99
130	Dennis Noel Rickards-Hanson	29 Anne St KENILWORTH QLD 4574	4.5.99
131	A Rickards-Hanson	29 Anne St KENILWORTH QLD 4574	4.5.99
132	Hon David Hamill MLA	Treasurer and Member for Ipswich GPO Box 611 BRISBANE QLD 4001	4.5.99
133	Mooloolah Valley Community Association Inc	(Barbara Smith – Secretary) 8 Karanne Drive MOOLOOLAH VALLEY QLD 4553	4.5.99
134	Jill Morris	330 Reesville Rd MALENY QLD 4552	4.5.99

Objection No. (QRC/OBJ...)	Name/Organisation	Address	Date Received
135	Councillor Yvonne Chapman	Mayor Pine Rivers Shire Council PO Box 5070 STRATHPINE QLD 4500	4.5.99
136	Bowen Shire Council	PO Box 306 BOWEN QLD 4805	4.5.99
137	Bowen/Collinsville Enterprise	(David Evans – Chairman) PO Box 306 BOWEN QLD 4805	4.5.99
138	David Rivett	8 Raintree Place EDGE HILL QLD 4870	4.5.99
139	Nerang Chamber of Commerce Inc	(D Treacher – President) PO Box 627 NERANG QLD 4211	4.5.99
140	Bruce Alexander	16 Crowther St WINDSOR QLD 4030	4.5.99
141	Douglas O'Connor	c/- 60 and Better One Voice Network PO Box 1014 IPSWICH QLD 4305	4.5.99
142	Esk Shire Council	PO Box 117 ESK QLD 4312	4.5.99
143	L B Armstrong	Walli Creek Rd PO Box 65 KENILWORTH QLD 4574	5.5.99
144	R J Armstrong	Walli Creek Rd PO Box 65 KENILWORTH QLD 4574	5.5.99
145	D Kelly	13 Anne St KENILWORTH QLD 4574	5.5.99
146	D A Oliver	13 Anne St KENILWORTH QLD 4574	5.5.99

Objection No. (QRC/OBJ...)	Name/Organisation	Address	Date Received
147	Multi signed	CLIFTON BEACH QLD 4879	5.5.99
148	Brenda Nicholson	18 Wunnunga Cr YAROOMBA QLD 4573	5.5.99
149	Crow's Nest Shire Council	PO Box 35 CROW'S NEST QLD 4355	5.5.99
150	Jeff Knuth MLA	Member for Burdekin PO Box 954 AYR QLD 4807	5.5.99
151	Durnford Dart	Bamboo Australia Belli Bamboo Parkland MS 330 Kenilworth Rd BELLI PARK VIA EUMUNDI QLD 4562	5.5.99
152	Mavis & Neville Alan Smith	72 Stephenson St OXLEY QLD 4075	5.5.99
153	S De Pasquale	47 Kendall St OXLEY QLD 4075	5.5.99
154	Johanna Vidler	22 Jutland St OXLEY QLD 4075	5.5.99
155	D Bath	25 Landstead St OXLEY QLD 4075	5.5.99
156	D M Dieckmann	136 Englefield Rd OXLEY QLD 4075	5.5.99
157	To Tam Thi Than	44 Irwin Tce OXLEY QLD 4075	5.5.99
158	Santha Anderson	98 Irwin Tce OXLEY QLD 4075	5.5.99
159	O E & V Leeds	22 Statton St OXLEY QLD 4075	5.5.99

Objection No. (QRC/OBJ...)	Name/Organisation	Address	Date Received
160	C A & M E Tisdell	319 Cliveden Ave OXLEY QLD 4075	5.5.99
161	P Mitchell	903 Oxley Rd OXLEY QLD 4075	5.5.99
162	B D, D A & J R Farquhar	68 Price St OXLEY QLD 4075	5.5.99
163	Marion Taylor	24 Ingham St OXLEY QLD 4075	5.5.99
164	C L Tuberville	29 Ingham St OXLEY QLD 4075	5.5.99
165	J F & J G Pascoe	11 Harraden St OXLEY QLD 4075	5.5.99
166	Lynn Gallagher	17 Lawson St OXLEY QLD 4075	5.5.99
167	Edward Ryan	14 Lassie St OXLEY QLD 4075	5.5.99
168	Dennis Trinh	70 Englefield Rd OXLEY QLD 4075	5.5.99
169	V M Wickings	15 Lucock St OXLEY QLD 4075	5.5.99
170	L D Allen	113 Englefield Rd OXLEY QLD 4075	5.5.99
171	D A Winter	34 Englefield Rd OXLEY QLD 4075	5.5.99
172	R Cowan	52 Englefield Rd OXLEY QLD 4075	5.5.99
173	K Dziopa	54 Brittain St OXLEY QLD 4075	5.5.99
174	C & L Nothdurft	305 Cliveden Ave OXLEY QLD 4075	5.5.99

Objection No. (QRC/OBJ...)	Name/Organisation	Address	Date Received
175	Ron & Ruby Ormsby	74 O'Connor St OXLEY QLD 4075	5.5.99
176	J A & T M Dale	80 Jutland St OXLEY QLD 4075	5.5.99
177	Neil Cathro	PO Box 87 KENILWORTH QLD 4574	5.5.99
178	K Cathro	PO Box 87 KENILWORTH QLD 4574	5.5.99
179	E P & C M Dobson	22 Anne St KENILWORTH QLD 4574	5.5.99
180	Glen C Spicer	2715 Eumundi Rd KENILWORTH QLD 4574	5.5.99
181	Reginald William Purdon	1 Ann St KENILWORTH QLD 4574	5.5.99
182	Camille S Smith	42 Yule Ave CLIFTON BEACH QLD 4879	5.5.99
183	Michael J Smith	42 Yule Ave CLIFTON BEACH QLD 4879	5.5.99
184	Gloria Gordon	4 Milln Cl CLIFTON BEACH QLD 4879	5.5.99
185	V Scott	41 Endeavour Rd CLIFTON BEACH QLD 4879	5.5.99
186	Robert G Scott	41 Endeavour Rd CLIFTON BEACH QLD 4879	5.5.99

Objection No. (QRC/OBJ...)	Name/Organisation	Address	Date Received
187	Rob van Bremen	7 Ribbon Cl CLIFTON BEACH QLD 4879	5.5.99
188	Maria Schakel	7 Ribbon Cl CLIFTON BEACH QLD 4879	5.5.99
189	R N & J F Reddacliff	61 Terebra St PALM COVE QLD 4879	5.5.99
190	John Paul & Margaret Jean Baker	6 Michaelmas Cl CLIFTON BEACH QLD 4879	5.5.99
191	Pauline M Stott	3 Egmont Cl CLIFTON BEACH QLD 4879	5.5.99
192	Felicity & J R C Snell	38 Terebra St PALM COVE QLD 4879	5.5.99
193	John, Paula & Scott Partington	5 Flynn Cl CLIFTON BEACH QLD 4879	5.5.99
194	Multi signed	IMBIL QLD 4570	5.5.99
195	Multi signed	IMBIL QLD 4570	5.5.99
196	Multi signed	IMBIL QLD 4570	5.5.99
197	Multi signed	IMBIL QLD 4570	5.5.99
198	Multi signed	IMBIL QLD 4570	5.5.99
199	Multi signed	IMBIL QLD 4570	5.5.99
200	Multi signed	IMBIL QLD 4570	5.5.99
201	Multi signed	IMBIL QLD 4570	5.5.99
202	Multi signed	IMBIL QLD 4570	5.5.99

Objection No. (QRC/OBJ...)	Name/Organisation	Address	Date Received
203	Winton Shire Council	PO Box 288 WINTON QLD 4835	5.5.99
204	Mayor Bruce L Green	Warwick Shire Council PO Box 26 WARWICK QLD 4370	5.5.99
205	Kolan Shire Council	PO Box 21 GIN GIN QLD 4671	5.5.99
206	Chinchilla Shire Council	PO Box 42 CHINCHILLA QLD 4413	5.5.99
207	Rockhampton City Council	PO Box 243 ROCKHAMPTON QLD 4700	5.5.99
208	K W R Vinello	72 O'Connor St OXLEY QLD 4075	6.5.99
209	M & E McFarlane	11 Clune St OXLEY QLD 4075	6.5.99
210	C J Campbell	16 Idriess St OXLEY QLD 4075	6.5.99
211	D & Y Duncan	19 Harraden St OXLEY QLD 4075	6.5.99
212	G & F Mc Arthur	26 Campana St OXLEY QLD 4075	6.5.99
213	L Vega	28 Tavistock St OXLEY QLD 4075	6.5.99
214	Indecipherable	108 Douglas St OXLEY QLD 4075	6.5.99
215	N & M Greste	11 Gladstone St OXLEY QLD 4075	6.5.99
216	M P Boddington	195 Englefield Rd OXLEY QLD 4075	6.5.99

Objection No. (QRC/OBJ...)	Name/Organisation	Address	Date Received
217	A & S Zillmann	27 Landstead St OXLEY QLD 4075	6.5.99
218	R Grinly	4 Clune St OXLEY QLD 4075	6.5.99
219	Brian & Eileen Edmonds	225 Englefield Rd OXLEY QLD 4075	6.5.99
220	Diana Lynette Grubic	30 Davies St OXLEY QLD 4075	6.5.99
221	J M Coleman	84 Irwin Tce OXLEY QLD 4075	6.5.99
222	M P Coleman	84 Irwin Tce OXLEY QLD 4075	6.5.99
223	D M Coleman	84 Irwin Tce OXLEY QLD 4075	6.5.99
224	B A Butler	134 Ardoyne Rd OXLEY QLD 4075	6.5.99
225	Catherine Bridget & John Charles Kelly	1186 Oxley Rd OXLEY QLD 4075	6.5.99
226	J & M L Knott	11 Stephenson St OXLEY QLD 4075	6.5.99
227	Indecipherable	117 Jutland St OXLEY QLD 4075	6.5.99
228	J & C Brereton	10 Harraden St OXLEY QLD 4075	6.5.99
229	M Schefe	4 Aldersgate St OXLEY QLD 4075	6.5.99
230	Fay & Len Musch	10 Hipper St OXLEY QLD 4075	6.5.99
231	N Wills & E K Leader	17 Logan Ave OXLEY QLD 4075	6.5.99

Objection No. (QRC/OBJ...)	Name/Organisation	Address	Date Received
232	F J Vanderkruk	14 Thornburgh St OXLEY QLD 4075	6.5.99
233	N Vanderkruk	14 Thornburgh St OXLEY QLD 4075	6.5.99
234	Bev Lynn	PO Box 45 CLIFTON BEACH QLD 4879	6.5.99
235	G P & P D Todd	28 Clifton Rd CLIFTON BEACH QLD 4879	6.5.99
236	Richard C Gooderham	20 Saxon St CLIFTON BEACH QLD 4879	6.5.99
237	R Painter	17 Saxon St CLIFTON BEACH QLD 4879	6.5.99
238	**Indecipherable**	43/69 Arlington Esp CLIFTON BEACH QLD 4879	6.5.99
239	Jane Whyte & Chris Chapman	24 Beaver St CLIFTON BEACH QLD 4879	6.5.99
240	Sue Welman	7 Escape Cl CLIFTON BEACH QLD 4879	6.5.99
241	Sue & David Pope	16 Beaver St CLIFTON BEACH QLD 4879	6.5.99
242	H Gyimesy	6 Onyx St CLIFTON BEACH QLD 4879	6.5.99
243	Phillip & Judy Smith	66 Cedar Rd PLAM COVE QLD 4879	6.5.99

Objection No. (QRC/OBJ...)	Name/Organisation	Address	Date Received
244	Rolf & B M Imgraben	1 Ilse Cl CLIFTON BEACH QLD 4879	6.5.99
245	George Spathis	59 Cedar Rd PALM COVE QLD 4879	6.5.99
246	Dorothy M Maggs	7 Elford St CLIFTON BEACH QLD 4879	6.5.99
247	K G & L D Bogle	30 Eddy St CLIFTON BEACH QLD 4879	6.5.99
248	J & W Schmidt	PO Box 197 CLIFTON BEACH QLD 4879	6.5.99
249	H P Lennard	4 Lena Cl CLIFTON BEACH QLD 4879	6.5.99
250	J Lennard	4 Lena Cl CLIFTON BEACH QLD 4879	6.5.99
251	Kevin & Jenny Tormey	22 Eddy St CLIFTON BEACH QLD 4879	6.5.99
252	G & J H Metcalfe	44 Terebra St PALM COVE QLD 4879	6.5.99
253	P & D Rigby	6 Legret Cl CLIFTON BEACH QLD 4879	6.5.99
254	Chris Phillips	27 Yule Ave CLIFTON BEACH QLD 4879	6.5.99

Objection No. (QRC/OBJ...)	Name/Organisation	Address	Date Received
255	Bruce Bennetts	c/- Agincourt Beachfront Apartments 69 Arlington Esp CLIFTON BEACH QLD 4879	6.5.99
256	Betty Gibson	7 Onyx St CLIFTON BEACH QLD 4879	6.5.99
257	T Gazzard	1 Beaver St CLIFTON BEACH QLD 4879	6.5.99
258	Mr & Mrs Schembri	20 Satellite St CLIFTON BEACH QLD 4879	6.5.99
259	Alan Norris	2 Bramble St CLIFTON BEACH QLD 4879	6.5.99
260	Sue Norris	2 Bramble St CLIFTON BEACH QLD 4879	6.5.99
261	Maurice J & Eileen P Simpkins	3 Coonoongibber Rd BROOLOO QLD 4570	6.5.99
262	K W Purdon	1 Anne St KENILWORTH QLD 4574	6.5.99
263	Jeffrey Charles Gifford	Lot 58 Callemonda Rd BROOLOO QLD 4570	6.5.99
264	Ann Gifford	Lot 58 Callemonda Rd BROOLOO QLD 4570	6.5.99
265	Desley Boyle MLA	Member for Cairns PO Box 1259 CAIRNS QLD 4870	6.5.99

Objection No. (QRC/OBJ...)	Name/Organisation	Address	Date Received
266	Ken Turner MLA	Member for Thuringowa Thuringowa Centre 2/48 Thuringowa Dr THURINGOWA CENTRAL QLD 4817	6.5.99
267	The Returned Services League of Australia Queensland Branch (Kenilworth Sub-Branch)	(B G Smith – Secretary) PO Box 44 KENILWORTH QLD 4574	30.4.99
268	Dr Peter Prenzler MLA	Member for Lockyer PO Box 40 KALBAR QLD 4309	6.5.99
269	Christine White & David White	Lot 5 Mossybank Rd EUDLO QLD 4554	6.5.99
270	Barry Wallace	1A Rowena St CHURCHILL QLD 4305	6.5.99
271	Naomi Wilson	PO Box 140E EARLVILLE QLD 4870	6.5.99
272	Mulgrave Electorate Council NPA Qld	(Louis Peter Rossi – Chairman) PO Box 4 ALOOMBA QLD 4871	6.5.99
273	S L Harwood	PO Box 18 MIRRIWINNI QLD 4871	6.5.99
274	Croydon Shire Council	PO Box 17 CROYDON QLD 4871	6.5.99
275	Yaroomba Progress Association	(Basil Page – President) 19 Wunnunga Cr YAROOMBA QLD 4573	6.5.99
276	Deborah Liley	151 Arlington Esp CLIFTON BEACH QLD 4879	6.5.99

Objection No. (QRC/OBJ...)	Name/Organisation	Address	Date Received
277	Lea M Gray	40 Satellite St CLIFTON BEACH QLD 4879	7.5.99
278	Q W V Gray	40 Satellite St CLIFTON BEACH QLD 4879	7.5.99
279	A Colbourn	2 Ilse Cl CLIFTON BEACH QLD 4879	7.5.99
280	Marguerite Aurea King	47 Endeavour Rd CLIFTON BEACH QLD 4879	7.5.99
281	Ronald Andrew King	47 Endeavour Rd CLIFTON BEACH QLD 4879	7.5.99
282	K M Murray	43 Endeavour Rd CLIFTON BEACH QLD 4879	7.5.99
283	Colin Toll	5 Melissa Cl CLIFTON BEACH QLD 4879	7.5.99
284	Joseph & Mary Mohun	34 Beaver St CLIFTON BEACH QLD 4879	7.5.99
285	Chris Barron	30 Rudder St CLIFTON BEACH QLD 4879	7.5.99
286	R Painter	17 Saxon St CLIFTON BEACH QLD 4879	7.5.99
287	Margaret Izatt	69 Upolu Esp CLIFTON BEACH QLD 4879	7.5.99

Objection No. (QRC/OBJ...)	Name/Organisation	Address	Date Received
288	John H Izatt	69 Upolu Esp CLIFTON BEACH QLD 4879	7.5.99
289	Michelle Vinson	4 Melissa Cl CLIFTON BEACH QLD 4879	7.5.99
290	L B McCormack	4 Satellite St CLIFTON BEACH QLD 4879	7.5.99
291	A J McCormack	4 Satellite St CLIFTON BEACH QLD 4879	7.5.99
292	W D & W E Hodge	PO Box 61 EUDLO QLD 4554	7.5.99
293	D Carrigan		7.5.99
294	Ian McConnell	Stager Rd BABINDA QLD 4861	7.5.99
295	Jane McConnell	Stager Rd BABINDA QLD 4861	7.5.99
296	S & G J Van Rees		7.5.99
297	Kevin Hind	Stager Rd BABINDA QLD 4861	7.5.99
298	Ida Hind	Stager Rd BABINDA QLD 4861	7.5.99
299	Don Alley	Stager Rd BABINDA QLD 4861	7.5.99
300	Frances Alley	Stager Rd BABINDA QLD 4861	7.5.99
301	P McDonald	Lot 500 Moy Pocket Rd KENILWORTH QLD 4574	7.5.99

Objection No. (QRC/OBJ...)	Name/Organisation	Address	Date Received
302	M & I M Hine	51 Colwel St OXLEY QLD 4075	7.5.99
303	Deborah Carrigan	PO Box 152 MIRIWINNI QLD 4871	7.5.99
304	Frank Beattie OAM	Beaurick 5 Connemara Ct CONONDALE QLD 4552	7.5.99
305	Frank Beattie OAM	Beaurick 5 Connemara Ct CONONDALE QLD 4552	7.5.99
306	Multi-signed	BOONAH QLD 4310	7.5.99
307	H McAlister	Emu Walk MAPLETON QLD 4560	7.5.99
308	John Stuart Moore	117 Ramberts Rd EUDLO QLD 4550	7.5.99
309	Sandra Moore	117 Ramberts Rd EUDLO QLD 4550	7.5.99
310	G & H Sivijs	8 Opal Reef Cl CLIFTON BEACH QLD 4879	7.5.99
311	Babinda Chamber of Commerce	(Allan Kingston – President) PO Box 166 BABINDA QLD 4861	7.5.99
312	A Leahy	C/- Country Crafts & Fabrics 11 Wills St CHARLEVILLE QLD 4470	7.5.99
313	J M Bray	C/- Town and Country Electrical Pty Ltd PO Box 625 CHARLEVILLE QLD 4470	7.5.99
314	R J West & F A Macleod	Terebra St PALM COVE QLD 4879	7.5.99

Objection No. (QRC/OBJ...)	Name/Organisation	Address	Date Received
315	Ian C & Jocelyn M Millyard	PO Box 109 CLIFTON BEACH QLD 4879	7.5.99
316	R Marks	Director Tas Mini Motors 71 Alfred St CHARLEVILLE QLD 4470	7.5.99
317	Malcolm Marks	Tas Mini Motors 71 Alfred St CHARLEVILLE QLD 4470	7.5.99
318	D J Gould	M S 16 Kenilworth Rd CONONDALE QLD 4552	7.5.99
319	Banana Shire Council	PO Box 412 BILOELA QLD 4715	7.5.99
320	L M Davis	44 Brittain St OXLEY QLD 4075	7.5.99
321	D D Weston	1/30 Bayford St OXLEY QLD 4075	7.5.99
322	K N & M N Hearn	12 Wilpowell St OXLEY QLD 4075	7.5.99
323	John Keane	11 Wilpowell St OXLEY QLD 4075	7.5.99
324	L L & B Pattison	44 Englefield Rd OXLEY QLD 4075	7.5.99
325	J Page	74 Mabel St OXLEY QLD 4075	7.5.99
326	H B Walton	67 Stephenson St OXLEY QLD 4075	7.5.99
327	Peta Holstein	64 Bayford St OXLEY QLD 4075	7.5.99

Objection No. (QRC/OBJ...)	Name/Organisation	Address	Date Received
328	M P Hortz	56 Jutland St OXLEY QLD 4075	7.5.99
329	A W & S G Potzeba	9 St Clements Rd OXLEY QLD 4075	7.5.99
330	M Dernoga	84 Lincoln St OXLEY QLD 4075	7.5.99
331	S P Murray	32 Kendall St OXLEY QLD 4075	7.5.99
332	W J Shaw	94 Oxley Station Rd OXLEY QLD 4075	7.5.99
333	A & D McCarthy	35 Enright St OXLEY QLD 4075	7.5.99
334	H Shaw	94 Oxley Station Rd OXLEY QLD 4075	7.5.99
335	Multi-signed	39 Davies St OXLEY QLD 4075	7.5.99
336	J Sacharkiw	52 Stephenson St OXLEY QLD 4075	7.5.99
337	R Stevens	28 Harraden St OXLEY QLD 4075	7.5.99
338	I & J M Henry	96 Tavistock St OXLEY QLD 4075	7.5.99
339	Multi-signed	Ingham St OXLEY QLD 4075	7.5.99
340	M Louden	75 Stephenson St OXLEY QLD 4075	7.5.99
341	E P & Leo Bristow	40 Thornburgh St OXLEY QLD 4075	7.5.99
342	K V Mackie	9 Susannah St OXLEY QLD 4075	7.5.99

Objection No. (QRC/OBJ...)	Name/Organisation	Address	Date Received
343	Annette Jorgensen	50 Lyon Ave OXLEY QLD 4075	7.5.99
344	W Hallinan	38 Campbell Tce OXLEY QLD 4075	7.5.99
345	J Chandler	24 Idriess St OXLEY QLD 4075	7.5.99
346	J Hockey	46 Thornburgh St OXLEY QLD 4075	7.5.99
347	K Walden	5 Ellen St OXLEY QLD 4075	7.5.99
348	E M Jenkins	9 Clune St OXLEY QLD 4075	7.5.99
349	M J Neville	152 Englefield Rd OXLEY QLD 4075	7.5.99
350	Senior Citizens Club	(J Hart – Hon Secretary) 86 Oxley Station Rd OXLEY QLD 4075	7.5.99
351	E Mullan	21 Ellen St OXLEY QLD 4075	7.5.99
352	D O Potter	59 Bannerman St OXLEY QLD 4075	7.5.99
353	Claude Gribaudo	91 Ellen St OXLEY QLD 4075	7.5.99
354	Kim & Sarah Britton	221 Englefield Rd OXLEY QLD 4075	7.5.99
355	H E Thompson	41 Cook St OXLEY QLD 4075	7.5.99
356	C C Macintyre	M S 1852 Obi Obi Rd KENILWORTH QLD 4574	7.5.99

Objection No. (QRC/OBJ...)	Name/Organisation	Address	Date Received
357	A J Laffey	9 Anne St KENILWORTH QLD 4574	7.5.99
358	C T Macintyre	M S 1852 Obi Obi Rd KENILWORTH QLD 4574	7.5.99
359	Hugh & Elizabeth Salmon	PO Box 247 MALENY QLD 4552	7.5.99
360	Beryl Laffey	9 Anne St KENILWORTH QLD 4574	7.5.99
361	Jill Jordan	PO Box 87 MALENY QLD 4552	7.5.99
362	K I Erickson	13 Brooloo Rd KENILWORTH QLD 4574	7.5.99
363	Errol Erickson	13 Brooloo Rd KENILWORTH QLD 4574	7.5.99
364	A R Beausang	26 Elizabeth St KENILWORTH QLD 4574	7.5.99
365	R M Loweke	PO Box 92 "Willow Side" KENILWORTH QLD 4574	7.5.99
366	L A Beausang	26 Elizabeth St KENILWORTH QLD 4574	7.5.99
367	C R Loweke	PO Box 92 KENILWORTH QLD 4574	7.5.99
368	T J Fisher	18 Maleny Rd KENILWORTH QLD 4574	7.5.99
369	D J Beausang	26 Elizabeth St KENILWORTH QLD 4574	7.5.99
370	E H Purdon	1 Ann St KENILWORTH QLD 4574	7.5.99

Objection No. (QRC/OBJ...)	Name/Organisation	Address	Date Received
371	E McCosker	PO Box 80 MAPLETON QLD 4560	7 5.99
372	Blackall Range Land Use Planning Association Inc	(Jill Petersen – Acting Secretary) PO Box 27 MAPLETON QLD 4560	7.5.99
373	Ben & Sandra Coote	64 Yingani Rd BROOLOO QLD 4570	7.5.99
374	I M & C Woodford	40 Kings Lane MALENY QLD 4552	7.5.99
375	Meryl Varley	Arcada Lodge Obi Mapleton Rd KENILWORTH QLD 4574	7.5.99
376	J A Varley	Obi-Mapleton Rd KENILWORTH QLD 4574	7.5.99
377	Veronika Spicer	Kenilworth-Eumundi Rd KENILWORTH QLD 4574	7.5.99
378	Joh Thirnbeck	PO Box 112 KENILWORTH QLD 4574	7.5.99
379	Amanda L Macintyre	MS 1852 Obi Obi Rd KENILWORTH QLD 4574	7.5.99
380	Mai I Macintyre	MS 1852 Obi Obi Rd KENILWORTH QLD 4574	7.5.99
381	T J McGrory	PO Box 57 KENILWORTH QLD 4574	7.5.99
382	Iris Helen Usher	4090 Mary Valley Rd BROOLOO QLD 4570	7.5.99

Objection No. (QRC/OBJ...)	Name/Organisation	Address	Date Received
383	Eric Erceg	10 Clifton Rd CLIFTON BEACH QLD 4879	7.5.99
384	Gary & Leonie Eames	9 Flora Cl CLIFTON BEACH QLD 4879	7.5.99
385	Keith Thomas Willmett & Valerie Elizabeth Willmett	4 Pellowe St CLIFTON BEACH QLD 4879	7.5.99
386	Inge Briggen & Luis Marin-Gomez	4 Haycock St CLIFTON BEACH QLD 4879	7.5.99
387	Giles & Bronwyn Andreatta	63 Guide St CLIFTON BEACH QLD 4879	7.5.99
388	Rob & Suzi Shennan	44 Rudder Cl CLIFTON BEACH QLD 4879	7.5.99
389	Leslie A Barnett	10 Talpa Cl PALM COVE QLD 4879	7.5.99
390	J & A Dukes	52 Terebra St PALM COVE QLD 4879	7.5.99
391	C & K Crabbe	65 Veivers Rd PALM COVE QLD 4879	7.5.99
392	Theodor & Karin Deuzer	8 Pellowe St CLIFTON BEACH QLD 4879	7.5.99
393	David Presnell	84 Cedar Rd PALM COVE QLD 4879	7.5.99
394	Rob & Jan Eillebrecht	4 Michaelmas Cl CLIFTON BEACH QLD 4879	7.5.99

Objection No. (QRC/OBJ...)	Name/Organisation	Address	Date Received
395	Geoff H & Dawn V Wickfeldt	Lot 22 Alexandra St CLIFTON BEACH QLD 4879	7.5.99
396	L McKenzie	28 Eddy St CLIFTON BEACH QLD 4879	7.5.99
397	Michael & Anne McKenna	23 Arlington Esplanade CLIFTON BEACH QLD 4879	7.5.99
398	Multi signed	19-21 Baines St CLIFTON BEACH QLD 4879	7.5.99
399	K W Foo	74 Veivers Rd PALM COVE QLD 4879	7.5.99
400	Jean M O'Connell	Camara WINTON QLD 4735	7.5.99
401	Multi signed	WINTON QLD 4735	7.5.99
402	Multi signed	WINTON QLD 4735	7.5.99
403	Multi signed	WINTON QLD 4735	7.5.99
404	Multi signed	WINTON QLD 4735	7.5.99
405	Multi signed	WINTON QLD 4735	7.5.99
406	Multi signed	WINTON QLD 4735	7.5.99
407	Multi signed	WINTON QLD 4735	7.5.99
408	The Charleville and District Chamber of Commerce Inc	(Paul Talbot – President) PO Box 507 CHARLEVILLE QLD 4470	7.5.99
409	G P Phillott Phillott & Co Pty Ltd	PO Box 187 CHARLEVILLE QLD 4470	7.5.99

Objection No. (QRC/OBJ...)	Name/Organisation	Address	Date Received
410	Paroo Shire Council	PO Box 75 CUNNAMULLA QLD 4490	7.5.99
411	C G Wilson	71 Galatea St CHARLEVILLE QLD 4470	7.5.99
412	Ulrich R Bolsterli	196 King St CHARLEVILLE QLD 4470	7.5.99
413	Robert Eckel Repairs	PO Box 454 CHARLEVILLE QLD 4470	7.5.99
414	Daryl Hurley	Western Butchering Co CHARLEVILLE QLD 4470	7.5.99
415	Dominic Devine	Devine Rural Business Consultants PO Box 403 CHARLEVILLE QLD 4470	7.5.99
416	Patricia Kolarski	74 Kallista Rd ROCHEDALE SOUTH QLD 4123	7.5.99
417	Julie Duce	56 Annaville Rd JENSEN QLD 4818	7.5.99
418	Jericho Shire Council	PO Box 11 ALPHA QLD 4724	7.5.99
419	John Cowley	PO Box 624 MACKAY QLD 4740	7.5.99
420	Tim Mulherin MLA Member for Mackay	First Floor Mackay Day & Night Pharmacy Building 67-69 Sydney St MACKAY QLD 4740	7.5.99

COMMISSION
QUEENSLAND
15 APR 1999
RECEIVED

39 Killarney Avenue,
MANLY WEST. QLD. 4179.

E.C.Q.
009115

13 April, 1999.

Queensland Redistribution Commission,
Level 6, Forestry House,
160 Mary Street,
BRISBANE. QLD. 4000.

QRC/OBJ 1

Dear Sirs,

Re: Proposed boundaries and names of Queensland electoral districts, 1999: ORC/O.

Firstly, all associated with the long and tedious preliminary work associated with the redistribution deserve to be heartily congratulated. Having looked at the coloured maps and studied the booklet setting out the reasons, descriptions and maps, it is an unenviable but necessary task associated with our democratic way of life, and it is nice to know that it is now in the hands of professional, non political people. Well done- to the Chairman, Commissioner Des O'Shea and Commissioner Yearbury and all the "Indians" who worked so hard.

I have three submissions to make - the first concerns the electoral district of Lytton in which I reside, and two other observations for your consideration.

As we are supposed to call these "Objections", I guess there is no other way but to call them that.

OBJECTION 1: Proposed boundaries of the electoral district of LYTTON.

I am glad that it is proposed to incorporate a lot of my friends who live south of Radford Road and Caloundra Street into the re-drawn district, but I do think that, having gone that far, you should extend the favour to those west of the proposed Catamaran/Graduate Streets boundary to a more logical one of the intersection of Manly and Wondall Roads. No doubt this would add in a few more hundred electors, but would tidy up the whole business as far as community of interest is concerned. It is also good to see Hemmant back in the fold. I guess it has as much in common with Lytton as Wynnum/Manly/Lota - precious little, but not as upsetting as calling the electorate "Wynnum" (as it used to be in Eric Shaw's day and W.M. Gunn before him), thereby disturbing some of the Manly and Lota people. I trust my submission meets with your approval.

OBJECTION 2: Proposed boundaries of the electoral district of PUMICESTONE.

Although I do not live in the area, I was reminded when looking at the map of the boundaries on the eastern side of the proposed electoral district of the bad old days of the "Caloundra nipple". This looks like being the "Caboolture nipple", and also quite ugly just to look at. Still, if you are to achieve your objective of chopping Caboolture in half, I suppose it has to be done somehow. To my mind, the Bruce Highway is the logical boundary, but I am an amateur.

OBJECTION 3: Proposed name of the electoral district of MUDGEERABA.

From the outset, I acknowledge that there has been explosive domestic growth in the north and north-east of this area, but 90% of people who say the name say "Mud-jer-ree-ba" - quite incorrect, of course, but a bit of a tongue-twister in any case. The name itself is of aboriginal derivation, and in A.W. & A.H. Reed's first 1968 Lilliput edition booklet of aboriginal place names, the meaning is given as "a place where lies were told"! Not a very good start! The booklet also adds an "H" at the end of the word, making it "Mudgeerabah". I would like to suggest a change of name to this proposed electoral district to 'SPRINGBROOK". My wife and I have happy memories of holidays spent at this glorious place, as well as many day trips when we were young, and also when visitors or relatives wished to be shown some magnificent mountain scenery or waterfalls. I do not live there, unfortunately, but if I could afford it, I would.

One of the legislative criteria is "the ways of communication and travel within each proposed electoral district" and another is "the physical features of each proposed electoral district" (page 4 of the booklet).One of the main arterial roads in the proposed electoral district is the Springbrook-Gold Coast Road (or Gold Coast-Springbrook Road if you come from the Gold Coast end). This virtually bisects the proposed electorate, keeping the name of "Springbrook" well before you all of the journey. The southern boundary also is the Queensland-N.S.W. border, and there are also the magnificent ramparts of Springbrook Mountain, which are visible from all parts of the Gold Coast on a clear day. As you would probably be well aware, the Springbrook cliffs are virtually sheer, and the magnificent waterfalls on the mountain are a sight to behold after good flooding rain. As a last reason to change - it is much easier to say "Springbrook" than "Mudgeeraba" - or Mudjerreeba as it is more often mis-pronounced. Please put "Springbrook" into the political lexicon - it was settled last century, is comprised of magnificent mountain scenery, and is far, far easier to say.

Yours sincerely,

John L. Whitty.

QRC/OBJ 1

(John L. Whitty)

FILE No.	EX/79/4
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ 1
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECO. RECORDS	15/4

FAX: / PHONE:
07 - 4622 1084

A.R.L. - 2220

P.O. BOX 303
ROMA Q. 4455

ROMA TOYWORLD

(C.A. & M. & C.J. Fulwood & R. & J.C. Penrose)
58 McDOWALL STREET, ROMA Q. 4455

E.C.Q.
009116

13/4/99

QUEENSLAND REDISTRIBUTION
COMMISSION SECRETARY
TRUDY AURICHT

QRC/OBJ 2

DEAR MAAM, I AM WRITING TO EXPRESS MY DEEP CONCERN AND UTTER DISGUST AT THE PROPOSED ELECTRIAL BOUNDARY CHANGES. HOW IS IT POSSIBLE FOR ONE MEMBER TO DO AN ELECTORATE THAT BIG? ONCE AGAIN CITY PEOPLE SEEM TO THINK THAT ANYBODY LIVING FURTHER THAN 100 KM FROM THE COAST DONT EXIST. HOW CAN I EXPRESS CONCERNS TO MY M.P WHEN HE OR SHE LIVES SO FAR AWAY. THERE ARE 48 SCHOOLS IN THE WARRAGO AREA NOW AS COMPARED TO 3, 4, OR 5 IN A CITY ELECTORATE, HOW COULD MY MEMBER GIVE EACH OF THESE SCHOOLS THE ATTENTION THEY DESERVE. SIMPLE ANSWER. HE OR SHE CANT. ARRANGING

QRC/OBJ 2

FAX: / PHONE:
07 - 4622 1084

A.R.L. - 2220

P.O. BOX 303
ROMA Q. 4455

ROMA TOYWORLD

(C.A. & M. & C.J. Fulwood & R. & J.C. Penrose)
58 McDOWALL STREET, ROMA Q. 4455

18 APR 1999
QRC/OBJ 2

ELECTORAL BOUNDARIES ON THE "ONE VOTE, ONE VALUE" SYSTEM MIGHT BE FINE IN "DISNEYLAND" BUT WE ARE TALKING ABOUT REAL PEOPLE WITH REAL ISSUES. SOMETHING MUST BE DONE TO STOP THE FLOW OF PEOPLE AWAY FROM THE COUNTRY TO THE CITY AND THIS IS PROBABLY THE FIRST AND MOST BASIC STEP. WE NEED AN NEW ELECTRIAL ACT NOT JUST MORE BOUNDARY CHANGES.

CHRIS FULWOOD
(EX LABOR VOTER)

PS IF YOU ARE LOOKING TO DE-POPULATE QUEENSLAND WEST OF THE GREAT DIVIDE, YOU ARE ON THE RIGHT TRACK.

QRC/OBJ 3

To Terry Aurisch

We would like to lodge our objection to the redistribution of the boundaries that puts us in the Maryborough Electorate.

If we had wanted to live in the electorate of Maryborough we would have bought in that electorate.

Leave the boundaries alone.

Jan & Carol Gregory
MS 787
30 Burrum River Rd
Howard 4659.
ph. 41290181

ELECTORAL COMMISSION QLD
16 APR 1999
RECEIVED

Dr Ian Matthews
55 College Road
Mapleton 4560

April 14, 1999

GRC/CBJ 4

The Redistribution Commissioner
GPO Box 1393
Brisbane 4001



Re The Redistribution of electoral boundaries 1999

Dear Sir,

I have just inspected the proposed boundaries, which divides many rural communities on the Sunshine Coast between 2 electorates, including Mapleton, which has most voters in Nicklin (based on Nambour) and the balance in Nanango (based on Kingaroy). I expect that you will be making some changes, and hope you will include my suggestions, which I feel are important to our community.

I acknowledge that the redistribution task is an onerous one, coping with major population changes since the last redistribution, and allowing for population growth over the next 7.5 years. However, I feel that the Commissioners have not given due weight to the Legislative Criteria under which you operate.

FILE No.	Ex/99/
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No. QRC/	0854
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	19

Page 4 of the Queensland Redistribution Commission – Proposed Queensland Electoral Districts – Reasons, Descriptions and Maps April 1999) requires the Commission to give due consideration to
"(a) the extent to which there is a community of economic, social, regional or other interests within each proposed electoral district,
(b) the ways of communication and travel within each proposed electoral district,
(c) the physical features of each proposed electoral district,
(d) the boundaries of existing electoral districts."

Further, on Page 6, the criteria acknowledge
"The Commission found, as EARC had done in 1991, that communities of interest are usually more easily identified in rural areas and the smaller towns and cities, and correspondingly harder to identify in large cities such as the Gold Coast and Brisbane."

It is hard to understand why, given the importance of community of interest, and the acknowledgment that community of interest is easier to identify in small towns like Mapleton, that the Commissioners then proceeded to put the electoral boundaries down the centre of cul de sacs like College Road and Azalea Street, and along roads like Obi Obi Road and Nambour Mapleton Road.

The natural eastern boundary of Nanango would be the western boundaries of the Maroochy Shire and Caloundra City. These lie in ranges to the west of the Sunshine Coast. The community to the west has no community of interest or even direct means of travel with the community on the east, other than a couple of dirt forest tracks accessible in dry weather, a reliable (preferably 4WD) vehicle, and with after paying for a forestry permit.

There is no logical connection between Kenilworth and Belli with the Nanango electorate. They should be in Nicklin, and if Nanango is kept west of the Maroochy Shire/ Caloundra City boundary that is achieved.

If such a shift of Nanango back to the west cannot be sustained by taking in other voters, the final boundaries should at least move the boundary west far enough to include all of the Blackall Range. This would mean that Mapleton and Maleny are no longer each split into 2 electorates.

It may be argued that that puts too many additional voters in Nicklin. Below I will address means of resolving that, but if a compromise is to be made, at least all of towns like Mapleton and Eumundi should be in one seat.

By choosing the eastern boundary of the properties along College Road rather than College Road itself, only a dozen or so voters would be added to Nicklin. Similarly by using the western boundary of the properties in Azalea Street rather than the street itself, about 6 voters would move into Nicklin. By using Obi Obi Road and Nambour Mapleton Road, rather than the State Forest boundary, a hundred Mapleton voters would be added to Nicklin where they have a strong community of interest.

The northern boundary of Mapleton is easily identified as the State Forest boundary, the east and west by the escarpments. It should not be difficult to revise the proposed boundaries and put all of Mapleton into Nicklin, and take a hundred or so out of Nanango, which not only shares no community of interest, but the centre of which is not accessible by sealed road without travelling through Nicklin or Glasshouse.

Dividing communities is never desirable, but where the division is between Maroochydore and Nicklin, or Noosa and Nicklin, at least the electorate office of the member is accessible within 30 minutes drive. The pieces of Mapleton, Maleny, Eumundi and Yandina proposed to move into Nanango will see voters either making a 3 hour trip to see their member, or not bothering at all. Phone contact is not as satisfactory as face to face contact, and one wonders how often the Member for Nanango would attend functions and meetings over the ranges when it involves a 6 hour return trip.

I acknowledge that there will be an argument about adding more people to Nicklin, when it already starts this redistribution 3.1% over quota. However, I expect you will be making other changes, and hope you can include the above in your suggestions.

Indeed, I think it is vital that there be some significant changes overall to the proposed boundaries, for a couple of reasons.

Firstly, a close look at the maps shows a recurring theme of communities divided with over 90% in one electorate and the balance in an electorate remote from its' community of interest. The intent appears to have been to bring Nanango east far enough to take in voters in the Sunshine Coast hinterland, and by doing so the Legislative criteria have been severely compromised.


Secondly, the Sunshine Coast seats are predicted to grow rapidly over the next 7.5 years. Nicklin starts 3.1% over quota, and by 2005 will be 24.9% over quota, the most of any Queensland electorate. Other Sunshine Coast seats don't fare quite as badly, but as seen on page 8, all end up between 10% and 16% over quota by 2005. This contrasts with Gympie, which hardly increases, and Nanango, which actually falls.

This suggests to me that there needs to be some significant reworking of the boundaries. One option would be to move Eumundi into Noosa, and move other parts of Noosa into Gympie. This would drop the number of voters in Nicklin and allow for the inclusion of the whole of Mapleton, as well as the Yandina and Maleny hinterland and possibly Cooloolabin, Belli and Kenilworth. There are obviously many ways of juggling the figures around, and I expect all are better than what has been proposed.

In closing, may I urge you to stress that the community of interest issues are paramount. I have seen Commissioners before, when making their final adjustments, ignore this completely. Specifically, when the Federal seat of Fairfax was first created, Mapleton was divided in half between Fisher and Fairfax. (You will be aware that in Federal redistributions community of interest carries less weight that it does in State redistributions). The Commissioners received written and verbal submissions, adopted the community's desire to put the whole town in one electorate, but rather than putting it in the Sunshine Coast seat of Fairfax where its' community of interest lay, it was put into the Caboolture/ Pine Rivers seat of Fisher. The proposal to put part of Mapleton into Nanango is wrong, as would be decision to put all of Mapleton into Nanango. It is vital that the Legislative Criteria be followed, and that communities of interest (particularly in small towns and rural areas), and ways of travel are given the highest priority.

Yours sincerely,

QRC/OBJ 4



QUEENSLAND REDISTRIBUTION COMMISSION

LEVEL 6, FORESTRY HOUSE

106 MARY STREET

BRISBANE QLD 4000

PAUL NASH

98 DOBSON STREET

ASCOT Q 4007

QRC/CBJ 5



QRC/O

The following objections to the proposed redistribution centre on the naming of four proposed electoral districts Toowoomba South, Toowoomba North, Ipwich West and Nanango.

I agree with the Commission's proposal to add the word Central to core regional city electorates. However I disagree strongly that uniformity has not been applied to this change. Whilst Cairns, Townsville, Mackay, Rockhampton and Ipwich have undergone this alteration Toowoomba Queensland's largest inland city has been ignored.

Unless the Commission believes Toowoomba is not a regional city it deserves to be included and have it's core electorate covering the Central Business District with the word Central added to the electorate's title. The proposed electorate of Toowoomba South be renamed Toowoomba Central and Toowoomba North renamed Highfields. To ensure the change the boundary of Toowoomba Central needs slight alteration northward at the intersection of Margaret and Hume Streets up to the intersection of Hume and Campbell Streets and then down to the railway line. Thus all of the central business district will be included in the new electorate of Toowoomba Central. The name Highfields reflects the demographic change taking place in Toowoomba North electorate with the decline of the inner northern suburbs to the sattelite town growth of areas like Highfields.

In the Ipwich area the name of the proposed boundary of Ipwich West like that of Toowoomba North is no longer relevent and should be dropped and the electoral name Somerset revived. This is a lodgical move as the proposed electorate has shed a number of West Ipwich suburbs moving northward into Brisbane Valley taking in the eastern part of the current Crows Nest electorate that once made up a significant portion of the old Somerset electorate.

The proposed electorate of Nanango needs a name that isn't a geographical place name. This electorate is quite diverse and as the Commission has pointed out represents an interface between electors' west of the Great Dividing Range and the Coastal farming belt in the hinterland of the Sunshine Coast. The Commission therefore relised the word Barambah no longer resembled the proposed electorate and I consider the word Nanango also is inappropriate for this electorate. For example residents in the town of Mapleton in the Sunshine Coast hinterland have nothing in common with residents of Nanango. Therefore I suggest the name of the electorate be like neighbouring Nicklin, be named after a former Premier. The electoral name of Bjelke-Petersen would solve the problem of geographical identification between opposing constituents and also honour Queensland's longest serving Premier who was member for Nanango (1947-50) and then Barambah(1950-1988).

Following the proposed boundaries in 1991 the following name changes occurred before the Commission's final submission. The electorate of Strathpine became Kurwongbah and Rockhampton North became Keppel so I trust the commission will give just consideration to my objections of the names of the above electorates.

Your's Sincerely,

P L Nash.

Paul Nash

QRC/OBJ 5

FILE No.	Ex/794
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ5
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	19/4

ELECTORAL COMMISSION QUEENSLAND
19 APR 1999
RECEIVED

QRC/OBJ 7

FILE No.	Ex/79/4
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG No.	QRC/OBJ 7
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	20/4

Imke U. Norman
Lot 7 Evergreen St.
Clifton Beach
Cairns 4879
16/04/1999

Qld. Redistribution Commission,
Level 6 Forestry House,
Locked Mail Bag 3300.
Brisbane 4001.

Dear Sir/Madam,

Having perused the intended changes to my Electorate of Barron River, I am utterly appalled at the suggestion of adding Clifton Beach and Palm Cove to the seat of Cook. We consider ourselves very much a part of Cairns and have nothing in common with Cape York peninsular communities nor Cooktown. The very idea is preposterous!

Attached is part of the Editorial of the Cairns Post of Saturday, 10th. April 1999.

Sincerely,

Imke U. Norman

ELECTORAL BOUNDARIES
QUEENSLAND
16 APR 1999
RECEIVED

Boundaries

WHAT possible community of interest could the Cairns Northern Beaches townships of Palm Cove and Clifton Beach have with the Torres Strait, or other parts of Cape York Peninsula?

Beach residents will be contemplating just this question as they prepare to be transferred from the Cairns-based seat of Barron River to the Peninsula-based one of Cook.

The answer of course is that they have none and this latest boundary move merely highlights the need for reforming our current system of representative government.

If Queensland seats are to contain such disparate communities, we would be better served by reducing the overall number of seats, making them larger and turning them into multi-member electorates similar to Tasmania's.

In a multi-member electorate, the various communities would have a better chance of being represented by someone from their own areas.

KENILWORTH & DISTRICT CHAMBE R OF
COMMERCE & CITIZENS INC.
PO BOX 69, KENILWORTH Q'LAND 4574
Phone President 07 5446 0207 Fax 07 5446 0767

E.C.Q.
009121

FILE No.	EX/79/5
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ8
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	—
REPLY SENT	—
ECQ. RECORDS	

21/4

QRC/OBJ 8

19th April, 1999

The Queensland Redistribution Commission
Level 6 Forestry House
160 Mary Street
BRISBANE Q'land 4000

Queensland Electoral Redistribution

This Chamber notes that the town of Kenilworth is proposed to be included in the new electorate of Nanango along with other small towns situated in the Mary Valley, Brooloo and Conondale.

We wish to strenuously object to this arrangement for the following reasons:

1. Kenilworth, Brooloo and Conondale are farming and timber areas situated in the Mary Valley. They are aligned in a business and tourist sense with the Sunshine Coast Hinterland area.

2. These three towns rely for their major commerce on the coastal towns of Gympie, Nambour and Maleny. They have no road connection with the Nanango area and no business or social connection with that area

3. Our roads lead to the hinterland towns, the Sunshine Coast towns and the Bruce Highway. We have no ties with the Nanango area as that area is serviced by a major highway inland from us and over the mountain range from us.

4. Newspapers covering this area are located on the coast. We get no news of the area covered in the Nanango Electorate and therefore have no business, social or emotional connection with that area.

5. In terms of climate, we enjoy a semi tropical environment and the Nanango Electorate is essentially the drier inland Queensland area. These factors alone suggest that we should be grouped with areas of similar needs and similar demands.

ELECTORAL COMMISSION QUEENSLAND
21 APR 1999
RECEIVED

6. Placing us in the Nanango Electorate would tend to isolate us electorally from the Maroochy Shire Council and other towns in that Shire. Electoral boundaries should be aligned to the Local Government boundaries.

We are aware that the Commission is concerned with 'making the numbers right' and as this is a low population area, we believe that with some massaging of other boundaries the difference in numbers of population can be made up.

To include our three towns in an electoral area which isolates us on business, transport, social and economic bases is particularly bad and we request that this area of redistribution be redrawn.

GRC/CBJ 8

T.F.Hampstead
President

Unisung Pty Limited ACN 071 148 608

"Commercial Court"
2 Cumberland Avenue,
Smithfield Qld 4878

PO Box 880
Smithfield Qld 4878

Phone (07) 4038 2611
Fax (07) 4038 2633

Coastwatcher Shopping Centre
5 Rabaul Street,
Trinity Beach Qld 4879

PO Box 3
Trinity Beach Qld 4879

Phone (07) 4057 6900
Fax (07) 4057 7015

Email: profs-northernbeaches@reiq.com.au

The Professionals

NORTHERN BEACHES

15 April, 1999

E.C.Q.
009122

FILE No.	EX/79/5
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ 9
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300,
BRISBANE QLD 9001

Attention: QRC/OBJ 9

Dear Sir or Madam,

RE: PROPOSED ELECTORAL REDISTRIBUTION
ELECTORATE OF BARRON RIVER (CAIRNS)

We wish to lodge a formal objection to the proposed boundary redistribution for the electorate of **Barron River** in Cairns.

We object to the proposed removal of the suburbs of Clifton Beach and Palm Cove from Barron River into the electorate of Cook. These two small suburbs are part of the City of Cairns and are an integral part of the Northern Beaches of Cairns. To "draw" a line between Clifton Beach and Kewarra Beach would be ludicrous as these suburbs form part of this distinctive and identifiable geographical region of Cairns. These two suburbs should not be split from their natural urban region to be included with the distant geographic region and communities of Cooktown or even Port Douglas. From a practical viewpoint, the constituents of Palm Cove and Clifton Beach would be effectively disenfranchised because of the tyranny of distance.

Yours faithfully,
The Professionals Northern Beaches

STEVE NEWMAN AAPI
Licensed General Auctioneer/Real Estate Agent
Registered Valuer No. 2218

ELECTORAL COMMISSION
QUEENSLAND
21 APR 1999
RECEIVED

Licensed Real Estate Agents, Auctioneers, Property Managers, Valuers. Member REIQ.

Contact your Real Estate Professional – Offices throughout Australia, New Zealand, Papua New Guinea and Singapore.

Mrs A.E. Dixon
26 Terebra Street,
PALM COVE QLD 4879

15 April, 1999

E.C.Q.
009123

FILE No.	EX/79/5
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/CBJ 10
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	

21/4

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300,
BRISBANE QLD 9001

QRC, CBJ 1C

Attention:

Dear Sir or Madam,

RE: PROPOSED ELECTORAL REDISTRIBUTION
ELECTORATE OF BARRON RIVER (CAIRNS)

We wish to lodge a formal objection to the proposed boundary redistribution for the electorate of **Barron River** in Cairns.

We object to the proposed removal of the suburbs of Clifton Beach and Palm Cove from Barron River into the electorate of Cook. These two small suburbs are part of the City of Cairns and are an integral part of the Northern Beaches of Cairns. To "draw" a line between Clifton Beach and Kewarra Beach would be ludicrous as these suburbs form part of this distinctive and identifiable geographical region of Cairns. These two suburbs should not be split from their natural urban region to be included with the distant geographic region and communities of Cooktown or even Port Douglas. From a practical viewpoint, the constituents of Palm Cove and Clifton Beach would be effectively disenfranchised because of the tyranny of distance.

Yours faithfully,

A E Dixon

A.E. DIXON

Mrs P.A. Newman
14 Collett Close,
KEWARRA BEACH QLD 4879

15 April, 1999

E.C.Q.
009124

FILE No. EX/FA/
ACTION OFFICER DEC
REG. No. QRC/OBJ 11
DOCUMENTED YES/NO
SCANNED YES/NO
ATTACHED YES/NO
REPLY BY
REPLY SENT
ECQ. RECORDS 21/4

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300,
BRISBANE QLD 9001

QRC/OBJ 11

Attention:

Dear Sir or Madam,

RE: PROPOSED ELECTORAL REDISTRIBUTION
ELECTORATE OF BARRON RIVER (CAIRNS)

We wish to lodge a formal objection to the proposed boundary redistribution for the electorate of **Barron River** in Cairns.

We object to the proposed removal of the suburbs of Clifton Beach and Palm Cove from Barron River into the electorate of Cook. These two small suburbs are part of the City of Cairns and are an integral part of the Northern Beaches of Cairns. To "draw" a line between Clifton Beach and Kewarra Beach would be ludicrous as these suburbs form part of this distinctive and identifiable geographical region of Cairns. These two suburbs should not be split from their natural urban region to be included with the distant geographic region and communities of Cooktown or even Port Douglas. From a practical viewpoint, the constituents of Palm Cove and Clifton Beach would be effectively disenfranchised because of the tyranny of distance.

Yours faithfully,

Pauline A Newman

PAULINE NEWMAN B.A.(Hons), Dip Ed

RECEIVED

S.A. Newman
14 Collett Close,
KEWARRA BEACH QLD 4879

15 April, 1999



FILE No.	EX/79/5
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ 12
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300,
BRISBANE QLD 9001

QRC/CBJ 12

Attention:

Dear Sir or Madam,

RE: PROPOSED ELECTORAL REDISTRIBUTION
ELECTORATE OF BARRON RIVER (CAIRNS)


We wish to lodge a formal objection to the proposed boundary redistribution for the electorate of **Barron River** in Cairns.

We object to the proposed removal of the suburbs of Clifton Beach and Palm Cove from Barron River into the electorate of Cook. These two small suburbs are part of the City of Cairns and are an integral part of the Northern Beaches of Cairns. To "draw" a line between Clifton Beach and Kewarra Beach would be ludicrous as these suburbs form part of this distinctive and identifiable geographical region of Cairns. These two suburbs should not be split from their natural urban region to be included with the distant geographic region and communities of Cooktown or even Port Douglas. From a practical viewpoint, the constituents of Palm Cove and Clifton Beach would be effectively disenfranchised because of the tyranny of distance.

Yours faithfully,

S.A. Newman

S.A. NEWMAN AAPI



Michael Higgins
c/- 30 Buckle Street
PARK AVENUE Q 4701
16 April 1999



Chair
Qld Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

QRC/OBJ 13

Dear Chair & Commission

RE: REQUIRED ADJUSTMENTS FOR SOCIOECONOMIC IMPACT OF CENTRAL QUEENSLAND UNIVERSITY (ROCKHAMPTON) OF "SMART CITY CONCEPT" AND CBD ROCKHAMPTON CITY, AND PROPOSED ROCKHAMPTON CENTRAL CONSIDERATIONS.

1) Regional Managers' Forum-Rockhampton, and via the extract of Qld Dept of Premier and Cabinet, of 49 38 42 35 phone number, should be able to help with the detailed Research Reports on the developing Smart City Concept and Rockhampton Region Developments.

2) Sadly, an operation like Professionals of Central Queensland University may be quicker in vision, quicker in Staff and needs support from Qld State Govt M.Ps., Public Servants and Govt Depts who are not "Central" and more like "Rambling Rockhampton."

   Human Rights Head Office for "Bush Talks" noted problems in Rockhampton, and around Rockhampton. (The extracts can be expanded upon by Qld State Govt Managers in Rockhampton Qld Public Sector. e.g. See page's top for Rockhampton Region, and 23-24 Aug 98 Community Consultations on the web site, and extract's sheet, can also give more considerations why The Chair, and Qld Redistribution Commission needs to adjust the stupid concept for ... Rockhampton Central ... into better considerations.)

3) Jim Pearce's letterhead, and bottom listing of Electorate parts, also needs to be redone for better outcomes for Rockhampton Central, not Rockhampton Divided.

   Thus, get more Research from the Qld Public Sector, and for improvements.

4) Also, in Jim Pearce's own words, note ... poor town planning decisions of the past.

   Your Commission will not want to be lumped with continuing such a socioeconomic mess into future historic retrospectives that Universities get involved with, lots of fun for jokes and those students.

FILE No.	EX/PAS
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ 13
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	21/4

ELECTORAL COMMISSION QUEENSLAND
21 APR 1999

REGIONAL MANAGERS' FORUM ROCKHAMPTON

PO Box 737
Rockhampton QLD 4700
Telephone: (07) 4938 4235
Facsimile: (07) 4938 4236

Date : 10 June, 1998

Mr Eric Laasko,
Vice President Corporate Development
Central Queensland University
ROCKHAMPTON QLD 4702

GRC/OBJ 13

Dear Eric,

Central Queensland University - Smart City Concept

As you are aware, Professors Leo Bartlett and Mike Maher of the CQU Faculty of Education and Creative Arts were invited to the Rockhampton Regional Managers Forum meeting on 5 June 1998 to provide a presentation on the "Smart City" concept.

This presentation was considered in the context of the State government being a significant employer in Rockhampton and having a significant material presence in the central business district area.

Discussion which followed the presentation demonstrated a high level of support for the concept by Forum members along with a willingness to explore the concept and to participate in its development into a more tangible proposal.

The Smart City concept would appear to offer a strategic opportunity for an integrated redevelopment and revitalisation of the Rockhampton central business district, the broader city and the local region.

As this concept spans university, community, business and Local, State and Federal Government interests, it may be appropriate for an holistic and inclusive approach to be taken in further developing the concept.

The Forum's aim in corresponding with Council is to convey its initial response to the concept and its willingness to explore the concept with both the University and Council.

Yours sincerely

COPY

Angus Russell
Chair,
Rockhampton Regional Managers Forum

CC: Mr Gary Stevenson, Chief Executive Officer, Rockhampton City Council
CC: Mr John Okely, Assistant Director General, Department of the Premier and Cabinet

In Geraldton WA there is no specialist in child and adolescent mental health. In Central West Queensland "there is no-one to provide counselling services and a lot of young people are struggling with mental health problems". In Rockhampton Qld there is no permanent child psychiatrist. In nearby Biloela Qld a psychiatrist, a psychiatric nurse and a social worker visit once each month but "this is not enough for people who are in a critical condition" and "people always see a different person and waste time telling their history over again".

Even in Wagga Wagga NSW, that State's largest inland city, there is no resident psychiatrist. Psychiatrists have to be flown in on circuit to see patients by appointment.

> Mental health services are abysmal in the bush, almost non-existent, as is detox for alcoholism which is rife, marriage counselling, respite, palliative care, legal services, etc etc. These are of course all related. [Submission from E Stafford, Kuranda Qld]

A representative from a shelter for women and children escaping domestic violence told *Bush Talks* in Rockhampton Qld

> We often get inappropriate referrals to us of women with mental illnesses ... For women with mental health, drug and alcohol issues there is nowhere to go.

DEATH RATES FROM SUICIDE PER 100,000 POPULATION

	Metropolitan		Rural			Remote	
	Capital	Other	Large centres	Small centres	Other	Centres	Other
Male	19.2	21.7	23.8	22.6	23.9	22.6	29.9
Female	5.2	5.0	4.4	4.5	4.3	3.3	4.1

[Australian Institute of Health and Welfare, *Health in Rural and Remote Australia*, 1998, page 53]

> Economic downturn with the resulting sense of hopelessness and despair is a major factor contributing to the high rate of rural suicides. A lot of people who get put on the economic scrapheap through no fault of their own feel an enormous sense of worthlessness. [Albany WA, August 1998]

"The poor state of rural mental health is exacerbated by a paucity of research into rural mental health issues which creates a vicious cycle - lack of research leads to lack of information which inevitably leads to lack of funding and lack of services. The overall result is that rural mental health services and research are a neglected issue." [Guy Cumes, 'Rural mental health: Policy, practice and law' in Centre for Rural Social Research, Charles Sturt University, *Quality of Life in Rural Australia*, 1998, page 42]

Aboriginal health services

QRC/OBJ 13

Aboriginal ill-health and high mortality are seemingly intractable problems.

For Aboriginal Australians:

- Life expectancy is 20 years less than for non-Aboriginal Australians.
- Aboriginal boys born today have only a 45% chance of living to age 65 (85% for non-Aboriginal boys); Aboriginal girls have a 54% chance of living to age 65 (89% for non-Aboriginal girls).
- Over the last forty years, the Aboriginal infant mortality rate has declined (though it is still over three times the national average); over the same period, adult mortality in the Aboriginal population has increased. [Submission from Central Australian Aboriginal Congress, Alice Springs NT]

WHERE	WHEN	MEETINGS
TAMWORTH NSW	18 MARCH	PUBLIC MEETING TO LAUNCHBUSH TALKS
WAGGA WAGGA NSW	23-25 APRIL	DISABILITY ADVOCACY NETWORK, CHAMBER OF COMMERCE, MIGRANT CONSULTATION GROUP
COFFS HARBOUR NSW	7 MAY	ADDRESS TO NSW COUNTRY WOMEN'S ASSOCIATION CONFERENCE, PRIVATE MEETINGS
WAUCHOPE NSW	8 MAY	BUNYAH LAND COUNCIL
PORT MACQUARIE NSW	8-9 MAY	PUBLIC MEETING HOSTED BY HASTINGS SHIRE COUNCIL, BIRPAI LAND COUNCIL, LAUNCH OF MULTIKULTI
BURNIE TAS	28 MAY	CREATIVE LIVING CENTRE, MEETINGS WITH COMMUNITY SERVICES WORKERS, PUBLIC MEETING AT BURNIE CIVIC CENTRE
PORT AUGUSTA SA	15 JUNE	REMOTE AND ISOLATED CHILDREN'S EXERCISE, MEETING WITH COMMUNITY SERVICES WORKERS, MEETING HOSTED BY THE ABORIGINAL LEGAL RIGHTS MOVEMENT
ORANGE NSW	20-21 JULY	PUBLIC MEETING HOSTED BY ORANGE CITY COUNCIL, MEETING HOSTED BY ORANGE REGIONAL ABORIGINAL LAND COUNCIL, SECONDARY SCHOOLS WORKSHOP
BATHURST NSW	22 JULY	ADDRESS TO FAMILY SUPPORT SERVICES ASSOCIATION CONFERENCE, COMMUNITY MEETING HOSTED BY BATHURST NEIGHBOURHOOD CENTRE, SECONDARY SCHOO WORKSHOP
ALBANY WA	10 AUG	MEETING HOSTED BY ALBANY CHAMBER OF COMMERCE AND INDUSTRY, MEN IN CRISIS, PUBLIC MEETING HOSTED BY ALBANY CITY COUNCIL, TOUR OF ALBANY REGIONAL PRISON
BUNBURY WA	11 AUG	WATTLE HILL LODGE AGED CARE FACILITY, SEMINAR HOSTED BY LEGAL AID WA, MEETING HOSTED BY BUNBURY REGIONAL HOSPITAL, MEETING WITH YOUNG PEOPLE AND YOUTH WORKERS HOSTED BY AGENCIES FOR SOUTH-WEST ACCOMMODATION
NARROGIN WA	12 AUG	WHEATBELT DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION, PUBLIC MEETING HOSTED BY NARROGIN TOWN COUNCIL
GERALDTON WA	11-12 AUG	MINISTRY OF JUSTICE, YAMATJI REGIONAL COUNCIL, MEETING HOSTED BY GERALDTON COMMUNITY HEALTH SERVICE, PUBLIC MEETING HOSTED BY GERALDTON REGIONAL COMMUNITY EDUCATION CENTRE, TOUR OF GREENOUGH REGIONAL PRISON
KALGOORLIE WA	13-14 AUG	PUBLIC MEETING HOSTED BY LEGAL AID WA, SECONDARY SCHOOLS WORKSHOP, KALGOORLIE-BOULDER CHAMBER OF COMMERCE AND INDUSTRY, WONGATHA REGIONAL COUNCIL, GOLDFIELD WOMEN'S HEALTH CENTRE
MACKAY QLD	21-22 AUG	MACKAY REGIONAL COUNCIL OF SOCIAL DEVELOPMENT, MACKAY ADVOCACY NETWORK, KALYAN YOUTH SERVICES, ADDRESS TO CONFERENCE ON ABORIGINAL RECONCILIATION
ROCKHAMPTON QLD	23-24 AUG	MEETING HOSTED BY ST JOSEPH'S CATHEDRAL, DARUMBAL-NOOLAR MURREE ABORIGINAL CORP., ROCKHAMPTON CHAMBER OF COMMERCE, PUBLIC MEETING HOST BY ROCKHAMPTON CITY COUNCIL
BILOELA QLD	24 AUG	MEETING WITH COMMUNITY GROUPS HOSTED BY WOMEN'S HEALTH CENTRE
PETERBOROUGH SA	24 SEPT	ADDRESS TO SA COUNTRY WOMEN'S ASSOCIATION CONFERENCE, PETERBOROUGH HIGH SCHOOL STUDENT REPRESENTATIVE COUNCIL, PUBLIC MEETING HOSTED BY ANGLICAN CHURCH
ALICE SPRINGS NT	5, 6, 9 OCT	PUBLIC MEETING HOSTED BY ALICE SPRINGS HOSPITAL, NT CATTLEMEN'S ASSOCIATION, MEETING HOSTED BY PAPUNYA REGIONAL COUNCIL, MEETINGS WITH YOUNG PEOPLE AND YOUTH WORKERS HOSTED BY ALICE SPRINGS YOUTH ACCOMMODATION AND SUPPORT SERVICES, TOUR OF ALICE SPRINGS CORRECTIONAL CENTRE AND ARANDA HOUSE
TENNANT CREEK NT	6 OCT	PUBLIC MEETING HOSTED BY YAPAKURLANGU REGIONAL COUNCIL
PAPUNYA & YUENDUMU NT	7-8 OCT	COMMUNITY MEETINGS
SCONE NSW	11 NOV	PUBLIC MEETING HOSTED BY SCONE SHIRE COUNCIL
EUROA VIC	18 NOV	PUBLIC MEETING HOSTED BY SHIRE OF STRATHBOGIE
BENDIGO VIC	18-19 NOV	PUBLIC MEETING HOSTED BY BENDIGO CITY COUNCIL, COUNTRY AIDS NETWORK, GOLDEN CITY SUPPORT SERVICES, MEETINGS WITH YOUNG PEOPLE AND COMMUN SERVICES WORKERS HOSTED BY THE SALVATION ARMY
BALLARAT VIC	20 NOV	PUBLIC MEETING HOSTED BY BALLARAT CITY COUNCIL
CAIRNS QLD	26-27 NOV	ADDRESS TO ACROD NATIONAL CONFERENCE, MEETINGS WITH YOUNG PEOPLE AND WITH YOUTH WORKERS HOSTED BY THE YOUTH SERVICES NETWORK, MEETING HOSTED BY ATSIC
MAREEBA QLD	26 NOV	PUBLIC MEETING HOSTED BY MAREEBA SHIRE COUNCIL, MAREEBA RECONCILIATION GROUP

Shop 3
Gracemere Plaza
Russell Street
Gracemere Qld 4702

JIM PEARCE MLA
MEMBER FOR FITZROY
Working with the Community

Phone 07 4933 2755
Fax 07 4933 2760
Free Call 1800 819513
PO Box 100, Gracemere

QRC/OBJ 13

Professor Lauchlan Chipman
President and Vice Chancellor
Central Queensland University
ROCKHAMPTON MAIL CENTRE 4702

Dear Professor Chipman,

17th July 1998

As the State Member for Fitzroy, I write to congratulate Central Queensland University on its Smart City Proposal which will bring new life to the Rockhampton City Heart Mall.

I understand that a whole Faculty - Education and Creative Arts - will be established in the city centre with the aim of linking education/training and cultural activities with new and existing businesses in the area.

This positive initiative will provide a real shot in the arm for a business centre that is struggling due to an economic downturn and poor town planning decisions of the past.

It is important that Central Queensland as a region gets behind the University so as to ensure the successful implementation of this exciting project, which will deliver benefits to the people I represent.

As the proposal reflects the objectives of the Queensland Labor Government by enhancing regional growth, encouraging self-sustaining economic communities, and by providing job security and stability, I wish to offer my personal support to any submission put to the Government that will assist with the start-up costs and or the acquisition of property space.

I am particularly impressed with the University's commitment to utilising available floor space in such buildings as the old Post Office, the old Law Courts, the Commonwealth Building in Fitzroy Street, and the Customs House in Quay Street.

This is a great initiative for which Central Queensland University can claim much credit and I am honoured to be able to offer it my personal support.

Yours sincerely,

Jim Pearce MLA
Member for Fitzroy
Chair - Premier's Rural and Northern Taskforce

Electorate Office

Shop 3 Evia Building
3 Normanby Street
YEPPOON 4703

Hon Vince Lester MLA
Member for Keppel

Ph: (07) 49 39 5732
Fax: (07) 49 39 5736

QRC/0B5 13

Wednesday 15th July, 1998

Professor Lachlan Chipman
Vice Chancellor
Central Queensland University
Rockhampton Queensland 4700

Dear Professor Chipman,

I wish to offer my absolute and total support for the Central Queensland University proposal to locate sections of the University in the vacinity of the Rockhampton Mall and surrounds.

The establishment of a Central Queensland Campus which will provide courses initially relating to Creative Arts and Education using such buildings as the three contained within the Old Courthouse Complex, the Rockhampton Commonwealth building on the corner of East and Fitzroy Street, the TAFE complex located in Quay Street, the Customs House in Quay Street and the similarly magnificent Heritage building located on the Mall, that being the Post Office.

Such a facility, provided by Central Queensland University, the fastest growing University in Australia, will provide additional places of learning and to locate such a facility on the Mall would greatly uplift this area of Rockhampton and would be a great place for students, elderly people, and tourists to learn and to mingle.

The additional students who would use the facility, many of them from outside the Rockhampton area, would generate at least $25 000 per student an so enhance Rockhampton's economy.

I look forward to your support, and would be delighted to supply you with any further information you may require.

With kind regards,

Yours sincerely

QRC/OBJ 13

PROFESSOR LAUCHLAN CHIPMAN
Vice-Chancellor & President

Cc: Professor Glenice Hancock, Deputy Vice-Chancellor
Mr Eric Laakso, Vice-President (Corporate Development)
Mr Mike Gallagher, First Assistant Secretary, Higher Education Division, DEETYA

5) It is unfortunate, in the founding years of the Rockhampton Central Region, that those who came up from Gladstone gave the mountains the Viking-linked name of The Berserker Ranges. (Now, when School is out, Berserker Street continues the rampage tradition as Police, Citizens and onlookers strive for sanity, safety and security.)

Sadly, it is understood that proposed Rockhampton Central edges over to such foothills, and Electorate borders. (If The Chair, and Commission, and Traffic Police Escort to protect The Commission, can look into those problem areas, Neighbourhood Watch Communities will be thankful for relief from more added to problems, and as wandering people try to find out which street in that area borders where.)

(See parts of Keppel covered Rockhampton, over where Professor Lauchlan Chipman's base is and also at the foothills of those Berserkers.)

6) If possible, it would be nice for Rockhampton to be just left as ... ROCKHAMPTON, ... and EXPANDED OUT OVER FULLER ROCKHAMPTON CITY, AND TRY TO SHED THE FRINGE BITS TO THE OTHERS.

7) Rivers, like The Fitzroy River, also act as borders, and would it be possible to think of ways where <u>North</u> Rockhampton for NORTH ROCKHAMPTON, <u>South</u> Rockhampton for SOUTH ROCKHAMPTON and their traditional geographic sites, links and networks may be in considerations? (e.g. NORTH ROCKHAMPTON is in Research of Cent. Qld University, and where South Rockhampton is the past, and North Rockhampton will follow Yeppoon Road down to The Capricorn Coast and outgrow Rockhampton. Yeppoon will zoom like THE GOLD COAST, THE SOUTH OF FRANCE and where most Professionals, most Staff and Lecturers of links to Central Queensland University either prefer to build their Residences, live and to leave Rockhampton in out of hours.)

Thanking you for the chance for such inputs, and in in view of these times.

Yours sincerely

QRC/OBJ 13

M Higgins
enc

E.C.Q.
009127

Tugun, 21/4/99.

To The Qland Electoral Commission.

Dear Sir, QRC/015J 14

I am unhappy with the proposed boundary distributions. There are far too many politicians (mostly useless!) The total number of seats in the Qland State Parliament should be reduced at least by 10 in the redistribution!!

Yours faithfully.

Mrs. N. Lundberg
11/403 Golden Four Drive Tugun.
4224.

ELECTORAL COMMISSION
QUEENSLAND
22 APR 1999
RECEIVED

E.C.Q.
009134

FILE No. Ex/9/6
ACTION OFFICER DEC
REG. No. QRC/OBJ 15
DOCUMENTED YES/
SCANNED YES/
ATTACHED YES/
REPLY BY
REPLY SENT
ECQ. RECORDS 22/4

4 Stirling Street
Rochedale South
QLD 4123

14th April 1999

QRC/OBJ 15

Trudy Aurisch
Secretary
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
Brisbane QLD 4001

Dear Trudy,

I wish to lodge a formal objection in regards to the redistribution of the electoral boundaries that are under consideration.

Being in an area that will be affected by these changes, I wish to make my reasons for objecting know to your for your consideration.

The area directly affecting me is the changes of removing the area of Rochedale South, north of Underwood Road, from the Springwood electorate to the proposed electorate of Burbank. To me it seems a little ridiculous as the boundary of the Logan Shire Council ends at Priestdale Road, then you have the Brisbane City Council north of this area. Transport – wise, if a person without a vehicle wanted to visit the counselor in his electoral office, if situated in Burbank, it would be at great expense as there is no public (or private) transport system connecting the area of Rochedale South directly with Burbank. Have you personally been out to visit the area in question and seen the transport situation? Between Priestdale road and Mt Gravatt / Capalaba road, vast areas (most of) is either farms or unpopulated land. Here, between Underwood road and Priestdale road is concentrated housing and if we need to see the member for Springwood even without a car, it could be easily accomplished, even if one as to walk. Seeing the member for Burbank will be in the Brisbane City Council area and not really involved with what is happening in the Logan Shire is he going to be willing to represent and support this small portion of Rochedale South in all our local council meeting in the Logan Shire, like the community consultative committee meetings? Will he be willing to take over with hard work our present member for Springwood is putting into the developing of neighbourhood watch areas in this district and also making a commitment to visit each house in his electorate as our present member for Springwood does?

In the middle of this area you are considering is a housing commission section and it is important at times not only for the people in housing commission homes but also those living in private homes to get in touch with our member with urgent problems that arise constantly. Also because most people living in housing commission, and even in public housing are struggling financially it does not allow for the expense it will take to get to visit the member for Burbank, unless of course, his/her office is in close proximity to us as is the Springwood office.

By moving the boundaries just this short distance you are going to be adding more pressure onto the member of Burbank as he will have greater distances to travel (making more expenses for him, and you make me feel as though I no longer belong in the Logan Shire, but the boundaries of the council says I do. It is a feeling of isolation.

Why take this small strip of territory away from an area that has served us well and is in close proximity to us and is all in the Logan Shire council that if needed we can communicate with our member without the hassles you are going to place upon us.

Our member for Springwood division is a very active man and I find it a pleasure to talk with him and to know he is representing me in parliament.

I feel it is also very unethical to change the electoral boundaries right in the middle of the term of office. It means that we have voted for no reason, as the member that has now been appointed us (to whom I do not know the name of) we had no say in the matter of whether we wanted them to represent us in government or not. It would have perhaps been a little easier to understand if it was introduced just before an oncoming election BUT not in the middle of a term. You are being very unfair to not only our area but the whole of the state being affected by these changes and also to the elected state members. Projects they have started on in these areas maybe prevented from being finalised because they have been taken out of their hands. I do not call this good management or the showing of real concern to the interests of the community at large.

I would urge you to reconsider your decisions and realize you would be doing a great disservice to the community in the area of Rochedale South that is presently in the Springwood division and also in the Logan Shire council area between Underwood road and Priestdale road.

Yours sincerely,

Heather J Seifert QRC/OBJ 15

Heather J Seifert

J.S. & E.P. EAST.
P.O.BOX 255.
54 MANUKA STREET.
WINTON.
Phone 07 46571105 Fax 07 46571449

E.C.Q.
009128

18April 1999.
QRC/O.

Queensland Redististribution Commission.
Locked Bag 3300.
BRISBANE. Q. 9001.

QRC/OBJ 16

Dear Sir/ Madam.

We hereby wish to object to the removal of the Winton Shire from GREGORY Electorate and the inclusion of the Shire into MOUNT ISA Electorate. We object for the following reasons.

* The Gregory Electorate centres on Longreach. We visit Longreach about once a fortnight for personal and business reasons. We would only visit Mt. Isa. about once a year(if that).As Mt Isa is the Electorate Centre of that electorate we would rarely visit our representative. Longreach is 180 K.M. from Winton and Mt. Isa is nearly 500 K.M. from Winton.We have virtually no community interest with Mt.Isa.
* Our Air and Rail services are linked with centres within the Gregory Electorate. We do not even have a direct air service with Mt. Isa, nor do we have a direct rail service with that centre.
* The Winton Shire Council is a member of The Western Queensland Local Government Association which includes most of the Shires in the Gregory Electorate.Winton Councillors regularly meet with other member Councils at centres within the Gregory Electorate.The Member for Gregory often attends these meetings which enables the Member to learn of problems common to the neighbouring Shires.It is obvious that Winton Shire would lose this benefit if it was shifted into Mt. Isa Electorate.
* We are serviced by two radio stations in Longreach(A.B.C. and a commercial station). These radio stations broadcast local news and community service announcements. This service reinforces our argument that we have a much greater common interest regarding Business, Social and Community involvement with the Gregory Electorate than we would have with Mt.Isa. Electorate.

We ask the Commission to please reconsider your decision to shift our Shire into Mt. Isa Electorate. We request to be left in Gregory for the above reasons.
Trusting that you will please give this request favourable consideration.

FILE No.	EX/79/6
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ 16
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	22/4

Yours faithfully,

JOHN. S. EAST.

ELINOR. P. EAST.

22 APR 1999
RECEIVED

P.O. Box 91
ROMA. Q. 4455

E.C.Q.
009129

20 April, 1999

The Electoral Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE. Q. 9001

QRC/OBJ 17

Dear Sir

As a resident of Western Queensland all my life I wish to lodge my protest against the proposed redistribution of the boundaries for all inland Queensland and in particular the Western Downs and Warrego.

I spent my teenage years south of Charleville and have lived round and in Roma for some 40 years and know the difference in the rural structure. Where Roma concentrates a great % of it rural activities upon farming, Charleville is all Grazing and Farming is out of the question. Therefore the difference between the problems associated with the dry, mulga, spinifex areas of far western Queensland to the rich farming areas of Roma and further east are not to be compared.

A member of the Government of the day, could not possibly deal successfully with all the issues with so diverse an areas without the problems of the huge distance between visiting towns and the hospital, police stations, schools, Gov departments within those towns - absolutely impossible for one man.

Compare a member of Parliament in a Brisbane electorate - what is in that area - no hospital or one at the most, one school (maybe) one police station (maybe) and if fit he or she could jog around the electorate - no problem dealing with the everyday issues which would come to their office.

Please do not cut up inland Queensland in such vast areas that the people will never be heard, we have trouble now having our normal every day facilities being downgraded or withdrawn.

One day maybe, an Asian country will take over all of Queensland beyond the Great Dividing range and Brisbane power base will not even be aware of this happening.

Yours faithfully

Ann Gibbes

(Mrs Ann Gibbes)

FILE No.	EX/79/6
ACTION OFFICER	BEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ 17
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	

RECEIVED 1999

LINDSAY J. ROBERTS F.C.A.

CHARTERED ACCOUNTANT

9 Price Street, Nerang
P.O. Box 292, Nerang, Q. 4211

Telephone: (07) 5596 5899
Facsimile: (07) 5596 3361

E.C.Q.
009130

FILE No.	EX/79/6
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ/18
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	22/4

OUR REF: LJR:LAN QRC/C 18

21 April 1999

The Manager
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

Dear Sir

RE: QRC/O - OBJECTION TO LOSS OF THE NAME OF THE SEAT OF NERANG AND BOUNDARY CHANGES RELATING THERETO

I wish to lodge my objection to the proposed redistribution of state seats, in particular, the loss of the name of the seat of Nerang and the location of the proposed new boundaries that split the towns' centre.

Nerang township is a major regional centre, solid population base, large commercial/industrial/retail centre, major transport link north/south on the Pacific Highway and east/west linking the Gold Coast through the Beaudesert Highway - Warwick and southern states. It has a major bus terminal, railway station, hosts the Gold Coast City Council Chambers, Main Roads Department regional headquarters and Centrelink office etc.

Nerang, as a major centre, currently has a state electorate of the same name, and the principles of community of interests are being observed. However, the proposed splitting of the township into the electorates of Mudgeeraba and Helensvale, both inferior centres in the region is most inappropriate and totally destroys the recognition of Nerang as a regional centre.

It is suggested that at least the township be retained in one electorate and included in the northern region (per map attached). That this new electorate be named Nerang, not Helensvale.

I look forward to your revision of the boundaries and name of Nerang.

Yours faithfully

LINDSAY J ROBERTS
FCA


Enc

ELECT
QU
1999
RECEIVED

PROPOSED QUEENSLAND ELECTORAL BOUNDARIES

GOLD COAST REGION

APRIL 1999



GRC/OBJ 18

- 111 -

Move boundary East to include railway station and industrial area

QUEENSLAND REDISTRIBUTION COMMISSION

© The State of Queensland, Department of Natural Resources, 1999

SUITE 44, LEVEL 1
NIECON PLAZA
VICTORIA AVENUE
(P.O. BOX 1056)
BROADBEACH 4218
PHONE: (07) 5592 0071
FAX: (07) 5592 2301

LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY
QUEENSLAND

E.C.Q.
009132



BOB QUINN M.L.A.
MEMBER FOR MERRIMAC
SHADOW MINISTER FOR EDUCATION
DEPUTY LEADER - LIBERAL PARTY

23 April, 1999

Mr D O'Shea
Electoral Commissioner
Level 5 Forestry House
160 Mary Street
BRISBANE 4001

QRC/OBJ 19

Dear Mr O'Shea,

RE: SUGGESTED NEW BOUNDARY BETWEEN MERRIMAC AND BURLEIGH.

I write regarding the proposed southern boundary of Merrimac (to be called Robina) and Burleigh.

I understand the Member for Burleigh Mrs Judy Gamin has also written to you on this matter and I fully support her comments.

The new proposal shows up a minor anomaly where a small section of Burleigh Waters continues with the new district of Merrimac (Robina).

A small area between Bardon Avenue, Miami and Bermuda Street which comprises Miami Retirement Village and the streets of Mackay, Whitehead and Beaconsfield Drives and various cul-de-sacs.

If this area continues to be within Merrimac (Robina) it cannot be accessed from this electorate.

It would be appreciated if consideration could be given to include this section of Burleigh Waters within the electorate of Burleigh, thereby removing all of Burleigh Waters from Merrimac (Robina)

27 APR 1999
RECEIVED

FILE No.	
ACTION OFFICER	
REG. No.	
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECO. RECORDS	

Your advice in due course would be appreciated.

Yours sincerely,


BOB QUINN

QRC/OBJ 19

FILE No.	Ex/79/6
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ 19
DOCUMENTED	YES/~~NO~~
SCANNED	YES/~~NO~~
ATTACHED	YES/~~NO~~
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECO. RECORDS	27/4







The Chairman
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE.Q. 9001.

Phone 1800 801 665
Fax (07) 3229 7391

WINTON.Q. 4735
10TH May,1999

QRC/CBJ 20

Re Proposal to remove Winton Shire from Gregory Electorate to Mt.Isa.

We, the undersigned, wish to lodge an objection with the Queensland Electoral Commission concerning the proposed redistribution to the Electorate of Gregory, wherein it is proposed that the Shire of Winton be removed and added to the Electorate of Mt.Isa.

(1) There is no existing community of interest between Winton and Mt.Isa.
(2) Existing business, educational and social links are with Longreach to the south east and Charters Towers/ Townsville to the north east
(3). The Shire of Winton has been fighting bad seasons, low commody prices and a declining population for some years and we can't afford a lack of political representation.
(4) The Winton communtiy has only recently suffered in Federal redistribution last year when it was removed, despite numerous objections, from the Federal seat of Kennedy to Capricornia, a coastal area which has no community of interest whatever with the Bush. We have yet to see the Federal member for Capricornia in Winton,
(5) It seems to be a fact of life that small communities which are inappropriately bracketed electorally with bigger centres, with which they have no community of interest, subsequently suffer a lack of representation

FILE No.	Ex/Mp
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/CBJ 20
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	

27/5

R B Archer R. B. ARCHER MANORA DNS WINTON

J. W. ARCHER.

P. A. KIERNAN MANORA DOWNS

WINTON

W. Stokes WINTON.

The Chairman
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE.Q. 9001.

Phone 1800 801 665
Fax (07) 3229 7391

WINTON.Q. 4735

16th April, 1999.

E.C.Q. 009135

GRC/OBJ 21

Re Proposal to remove Winton Shire from Gregory Electorate to Mt.Isa.

We, the undersigned, wish to lodge an objection with the Queensland Electoral Commission concerning the proposed redistribution to the Electorate of Gregory, wherein it is proposed that the Shire of Winton be removed and added to the Electorate of Mt.Isa.

(1) There is no existing community of interest between Winton and Mt.Isa.
(2) Existing business, educational and social links are with Longreach to the south east and Charters Towers/ Townsville to the north east
(3).The Shire of Winton has been fighting bad seasons, low commody prices and a declining population for some years and we can't afford a lack of political representation.
(4)The Winton communtiy has only recently suffered in Federal redistribution last year when it was removed, despite numerous objections, from the Federal seat of Kennedy to Capricornia, a coastal area which has no community of interest whatever with the Bush. We have yet to see the Federal member for Capricornia in Winton,
(5) It seems to be a fact of life that small communities which are inappropriately bracketed electorally with bigger centres, with which they have no community of interest, subsequently suffer a lack of representation

Helen Collins, Daintree St. Winton. 4735.
M W Elliott Cork St Winton.
Jan M Banning 36 Cork Street Winton 4735.
Marie Ba[illegible], 77 Dagworth St Winton.
Jan Ro[illegible] 77 Dagworth St Winton.
Robert Banning Jamesfield Winton 4735
Liane J. Robertson-Angus Jamesfield Stn Winton 4735
P Jarvis 35 Cork St Winton
M E Jarvis 35 Cork St. Winton.
Ian Muir "Eldrslie" Winton 4735
Roger Banning Winton

17 APR 1999

23 Elizabeth Street,
Kenilworth 4574
April 18th 1999



Qld. Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
Brisbane 4000

QRC/OBJ 22

Dear Sirs,

Re proposed Electoral Boundary Change to
"Nanango" Electorate.


I live in the town of Kenilworth, which it is proposed will be in the State Electorate of "Nanango"


I wish to lodge an objection on the grounds that we have nothing in common with people in Nanango and environs.
Our economic links have been for the last 150 years with Gympie, Brisbane, and now we are part of the Maroochy Shire, and considered part of the Sunshine Coast Hinterland, for newspapers, telephone services, and Council administration.
We have limited roads in the area, and they all lead to the Coast. Between us and Nanango there are mountain ranges, and forests. We do not, and have never had, any social ties with Nanango and that Region.
Please reconsider, and place us more appropriately in one of the "coastal electorates", so we can keep our links with our Civil Administration Area.

Yours sincerely

M. White

Margaret Anne White
23 Elizabeth Street
Kenilworth 4574







Kenilworth House Bed & Breakfast

23 Elizabeth Street
Kenilworth 4574

PO Box 127
Kenilworth 4574

Ph 07 5446 0500
Fax 07 5446 0444

QRC/OBJ23

17 April 1999.

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Level 6 Forestry House
160 Mary Street
BRISBANE 4000

E.C.Q.
009137

PROPOSED ELECTORAL BOUNDARY CHANGE

Kenilworth is a small farming community in the Sunshine Coast Hinterland, and over the years we have been part of "coastal" electorates. The geography of the area lent itself to this being the case, and subsequently social, economic and cultural bonds have been built up and reinforced over the past 150 years. For these reasons we feel it is inappropriate for Kenilworth, Conondale and Brooloo to be included in the proposed Nanango electorate.


TERRAIN

Kenilworth, Conondale and Brooloo are all situated on the Mary River. Indeed, the river flows through Kenilworth and Conondale. All three townships are linked by the Mary Valley Highway which follows the river downstream from Maleny to Gympie. West of these three townships is the physical barrier of the uninhabited Conondale Range, Jimna Range, Brisbane and Kandanga Ranges. Although there are numerous timber tracks through these densly forested ranges, there are no roads. This in itself inhibits any economic or social links with the South Burnett district.

ECONOMIC

For well over a century people living in the Mary Valley have traditionally seen the area east of the ranges to the coast as their base for trade. For many years timber, dairy products and other produce of the valley were hauled to railheads at Gympie and Eumundi. During later years the road systems in these directions have improved and the Mary River has become a source of material for the building boom on the Sunshine Coast, whilst its more traditional exports continue to be supplied.

The Sunshine Coast hinterland, of which we consider ourselves a part is rapidly becoming a destination for tourists who find our forests and river within easy access of the metropolis. These links have been built up over a long period of time, and it would be devestating to the communities in this area to implement such a drastic change as planned.



SOCIAL

Most of our **family ties** are with coastal areas. We find that nearly all our **older people** retire to the Sunshine Coast or to one of the many Aged Persons Homes in Gympie, Nambour or Buderim. We are at a loss to recall anyone from here who has retired to live their declining years in the South Burnett. Many of our **young people** find employment and further education in the abovementioned centres. If boundaries change, it would not be unreasonable to assume, that when Government funding is being made on the basis of population within an electorate, that proportion which would include our numbers would in fact be distributed in an area to which we have no ready access and indeed have no social interest.

Our **Health Services** are located in Nambour, Gympie or Buderim, and for the same reason as mentioned above, we would prefer to be counted with people on the Sunshine Coast when funds are being allocated.

The **churches** in this area have social links with the communities of Maleny, Nambour, Gympie and Caloundra, so it would put their members at a disadvantage if and when it was found necessary to "lobby" their local member on social issues.

CULTURAL

As far as **Education** is concerned our Regional Office is situated in Nambour; our **Arts** Council has interaction with the Gympie, Maleny and Caloundra Arts Councils; **sporting activities** fixtures and competitions are aligned with those in the coastal areas. We have no cultural links with the South Burnett, apart from the fact we speak a common language. This is something we have in common with people as distant as London, New York, or Calcutta!

*Beyond the beautiful beaches of the **Sunshine Coast** visitors seek out the charm of Queensland's lush dairy country. Increasingly the town of **Kenilworth** renowned for its dairy and forestry industries, is becoming a favourite tourist destination.*
The description of Kenilworth is from Telstra's White Pages for Sunshine Coast, Gympie, Caboolture Districts 1999/2000 which features Kenilworth on its cover.


We think these sentiments sum up and consolidate our objection to being included in the proposed Nanango electorate.

Yours faithfully, QRC/OBJ23


A.J. & M.A. WHITE

FILE No.	EX/19/4
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ 23
DOCUMENTED	YES/
SCANNED	YES/
ATTACHED	YES/
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	

QRC/OBJ23




Cover "Telstra White Pages"


GREAT SANDY NATIONAL PARK
GYMPIE
Tewantin
Noosa Head
NOOSA NAT PK
SUNSHINE COAST
Cooroy
Pomona
Kenilworth
Imbil
Nambour
Maroochydore
Buderim
Caloundra
Caboolture
BRIBIE ISLAND
BRIBIE ISLAND NATIONAL PARK
GLASSHOUSE MTNS NATIONAL PARK
CONONDALE NATIONAL PARK
KONDALILLA NAT PK
MOOLOOLAH RIVER NA
BRUCE HIGHWAY
D'AGUILAR HIGHWAY
BRISBANE VALLEY HIGHWAY
KANDANGA RANGE
YABBA RANGE
CONONDALE RANGE
Deception Bay
BRISBANE

pp. 112, 113 "Discover Queensland's Sunshine Coast".

QRC/OBJ 23

kenilworth
HEART OF THE MARY VALLEY



Kenilworth in the heart of the beautiful Mary Valley offers visitors their choice of peace or pace - no more than one hour's drive from anywhere on the Sunshine Coast.

The town was surveyed off Kenilworth Station in 1921, and still has the ambience of the 1920's. Many local businesses and families have contributed to keep the main street planted with native species and pretty annuals in one of the hinterland's renowned "tidy towns". Whether you camp, hike or ride in the local forests, there is a lot to do and see in a bushwalker's paradise offering magnificent views after some solid climbing - most within 15 minutes drive of Kenilworth. Information on forest parks and bushwalks (including bellbird habitats) is available from the Forestry Office not far from Little Yabba Creek picnic area. (Phone: (07) 5446 0925).

Sample fine local wines at Kenilworth Bluff Wines, in the shadow of the spectacular Bluff - try high quality cheeses at the factory, while the children have fun in the park - or relax on the deck at Nanna McGinn's and enjoy lunch with superb coffee, while you watch the passing parade.

Kenilworth Rodeo and Art Expo happens annually on the third weekend in September, whilst on Sundays, you can relive the past with a visit to Kenilworth Heritage Museum. The oldworld charm and country hospitality at Kenilworth House Bed & Breakfast is great for an overnight stay. Tourist information is available from Kenilworth Library or Kenilworth House Phone: (07) 5446 0500.


Also in the heart of town is Lasting Impressions Gallery of Fine Arts - one of the Coast's most reputable galleries, established in 1986 by Kaye and Neil Cathro. Along with paintings, Lasting Impressions Gallery exhibits ceramics, jewellery, glass and woodwork.

Nanna McGinn's Coffee Shop



Open for breakfast, lunch & morning & afternoon tea. Homemade breads, scones and muffins are specialities.
Open Weekends 9am - 5pm
Weekdays 10am - 5pm.
Closed Tuesdays. Lavazza coffee is served.
Phone (07) 5446 0025

Kenilworth Museum



A 1911 Pathe Projector is featured in "The Roxy" Room, centrepiece of the Kenilworth Heritage Collection. Our unique lifestyle displays depict 100 years of country living and include working farm and mill engines.
Phone (07) 5446 0581

Kenilworth House B&B



Situated in the main street within easy walking distance of shops, hotel, park, gallery, etc. B&B accommodation, group lunches and dinners catering for coaches.
Phone (07) 5446 0500
Fax (07) 5446 0444

Kenilworth Bluff Wines



Nestled in a hidden valley, the vineyard was established in 1993 and is being developed progressively with plantings of Shiraz, Cabernet Sauvignon, Merlot, Semillon and Chardonnay vines.
Phone/Fax (07) 5472 3723



Original Paintings by Greg Postle.

Oils & Acrylic on board, Spinifex Pigeon & Macaw

LASTING IMPRESSIONS
GALLERY OF FINE ARTS
KENILWORTH

Exclusive agents for Greg Postle and Darryl Trott original paintings.
Also representing artists Philip Barclay, Graham Cox, Lyn Diefenbach, Ken Gailer, Tom Gleghorn, Brent Harvey, Terry Swann, Dorothy Wishney.

CERAMICS • GLASS • WOODWORK • JEWELLERY
open 10am - 5pm daily
Elizabeth Street Kenilworth 4574 Phone/Fax (07) 5446 0422

Our reference: electoral boundaries/pt

E.C.Q.
009138

49 Stephenson Street
WULGURU QLD 4811

QRC/OBJ24

17 April, 1999

The Electoral Commissioner
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

Dear Commissioner

OBJECTION TO ELECTORAL BOUNDARY - WULGURU IN BURDEKIN ELECTORATE

Again I must protest strongly against the inclusion of the Townsville suburb of Wulguru in the electorate of Burdekin.

As I stated originally when my suburb was removed from Townsville electorates, the suburb of Wulguru is approximately **10 minutes drive from the Townsville City Centre**. In common, with our Wulguru neighbours, my husband and I have always:

- lived in **Townsville**
- worked in **Townsville**
- paid our rates in **Townsville**
- educated our children in **Townsville**

We owe our first allegiance to **Townsville**.

Further, we have:

- **no** connection with the rural communities of the Burdekin electorate
- **no** desire to have to travel for one hour south to Ayr to talk to the elected representative
- **a strong desire to be a part of the electoral life of Townsville**, rather than deal with issues of a rural community (Burdekin) which has no bearing whatsoever on our lifestyle or concerns.

FILE No.	ex/79/6
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ 24
DOCUMENTED	YES/
SCANNED	YES/
ATTACHED	YES/
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	

27/4

ELECTORAL COMMISSION QUEENSLAND
27 APR 1999

As you can see, including Wulguru in the Burdekin electorate is unfair. You have seen fit to correctly include the suburbs of Annandale and Douglas (just a few minutes drive away!) into the Townsville area. Why must Wulguru be lumped into an electorate with which it has no affinity?

By denying my democratic right to participate in the electoral activities of **Townsville** you are discriminating against me. I therefore request that you give my real concerns due attention and return Wulguru to the electoral area to which it rightly belong.

Yours faithfully QRC/OBJ 24

Patricia Tuckett

Patricia Tuckett (Mrs)

Mrs Suzanne Wilson
11 Petherbridge Avenue
MERRIMAC 4211
(Phone: 5530 6812)
(Email: wilsonnet23@hotmail.com)

E.C.Q.
009139

19 April, 1999

The Director
Queensland Electoral Redistribution Commission
Locked Box 3300
BRISBANE 4001

QRC/OBJ 25

Dear Sir,

RE: **State Electoral Boundaries**

I refer to the recent announcement that our State electoral boundaries have now been redistributed.

I currently live in the Nerang electorate. I am technically part of the suburb of Carrara.

Three years ago, I started a process to realign my neighbourhood into the suburb of Merrimac where it belongs. This application was approved by the Gold Coast City Council in 1997, and is about to be formalised through the Department of Natural Resources.

My suburb is currently serviced by two State Members of Parliament (Nerang & Merrimac).

I hereby request that you please transfer my "neighbourhood" into the electorate of Robina on the basis that the State boundaries should take in, and not cut up, a small pocket suburb like Merrimac.

I can provide the paperwork to support this request, however the Department of Natural Resources and the Gold Coast City Council will easily confirm the situation.

Thank you for your assistance.

Yours faithfully,
Mrs Suzanne Wilson

FILE No.	EX/71/6
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ 25
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	

17 APR 1999

RECEIVED



PAULINE HANSON'S
One NATION

P.H.O.N.M. INC
PO BOX 634
CHINCHILLA Q 4413

E.C.Q.
009140

QRC/CBJ 26

ph/fax Ø7 46 689 376

Ms Trudy Aurish
Sec. Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 33ØØ
BRISBAND Qld 9ØØ1
ph. 3227759Ø

21.4.1999

Dear Madam

I am writing to you on behalf of the Chinchilla Branch of the Pauline Hanson One Nation Party.

The object of this letter is to protest about the new Electoral Boundaries that are being drawn up for the State of Queensland.

At out most recent meeting there has been a resolution passed that reads:

The five (5) largest Western Queensland Electorates to be split in half to create ten (1Ø) more manageable seats to give the voters in the remote areas some representation.

To compensate for these five (5) extra seats, ten (1Ø) seats in the Metropolitan area be amalgamated into five (5) seats.

This resolution was moved by Mr. B. Bacon, seconded by Mr. J. Marks. Carried unanimously.

Yours sincerely,

K.Smith
Sec. Treasurer

27 APR 1999
RECEIVED

FILE No.	EX/96
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/CBJ 26
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	

'Berridale'
KARARA 4352.

QRC/OBJ27

22 April 1999

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Level 6, Forestry House
Locked Bag 3300
Brisbane. 9001.

E.C.Q.
009141

Dear Sir,

re Redistribution of Electoral Boundaries.

I wish to object to the proposed redistribution of our portion of the seat of Warwick (i.e. Leyburn/Karara/Pratten area). To take this area from the Warwick electorate (proposed Condamine electorate) is to deny the electors of this area community of interest, commercially and geographically, as the major portion of our business and social interests is centred on Warwick. It is an absolute nonsense to cut us off in this manner. We have no affinity whatsoever with the Cunningham electorate.

I urge you to reconsider our postion and leave us in the seat of Warwick (proposed Condamine).

Yours faithfully

M.H.Wickham

FILE No.	Ex/79/6
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ27
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	27/4

27 APR 1999

'Berridale'
KARARA 4352.

QRC/OBJ 28

22 April 1999

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Level 6, Forestry House
Locked Bag 3300
Brisbane. 9001.

E.C.Q.
009142

Dear Sir,

re Redistribution of Electoral Boundaries.

I wish to object to the proposed redistribution of our portion of the seat of Warwick (i.e. Leyburn/Karara/Pratten area). To take this area from the Warwick electorate (proposed Condamine electorate) is to deny the electors of this area community of interest, commercially and geographically, as the major portion of our business and social interests is centred on Warwick. It is an absolute nonsense to cut us off in this manner. We have no affinity whatsoever with the Cunningham electorate.

I urge you to reconsider our postion and leave us in the seat of Warwick (proposed Condamine).

Yours faithfully

W.R.Wickham

W.R.Wickham

FILE No.	EXT9/8
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ 28
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	

27 APR 1999

RECEIVED

E.C.Q.
009143

Electoral Commission Of Queensland
Level 6
160 Mary Street
Brisbane
QLD 4000

QRC/OBJ 29

W.Schutters
58/469 Pine Ridge Rd
Runaway Bay
Qld 4216
Tell.(07)55289870

26th April 1999

Subject: Proposed change to Qld Electoral Boundaries.

Dear Sir,

I wish to record my objection to the recently announced proposal to make amendments to the state electoral boundaries. The following valid and pertinent objections are listed.

1. The proposed changes are blatantly politically motivated by present incumbents, against a new party which at the last sate election successfully contested and democratically won 11 parliamentary representative seats. I am not a member of this new party, but I am a vigorous defender of democratic principals being maintained in this country.

2. It has become obvious to most of the electorate that during the last decade, the majority of voters have been repeatedly ignored by the major political parties within this country. More independent candidates are successfully contesting parliamentary representative seats, a trend which will undoubtedly increase in both state and federal elections in the future. (You reap what you sow)
This trend for a complete democratic change is a threat to the present political parties who are now manipulating the electoral commission to usurp the democratic systems of governments.

3. The facts are we are already over governed by too many politicians.(We are world leaders in that regard) Increasing more seats for so called parliamentary representation fragments the political system even further, and moving of boundaries are devious manipulative experiments in the curse afflicting the political system of the nineties 'Social Engineering'
You want an example? The Liberal party suffered a 53% swing against their chief clown in the last federal election and he now says that he has a mandate.
God's struth where do we get them from?

(Cont'd over)

QRC/OBJ 29

4. Our presently designated political boundaries are already proving to be a distinct and extreme health hazard to our present state political leader. His constant blundering around our state, stepping around or over designated political boundaries to avoid political decisions for the good of all Queenslanders, has presented us with the daily spectacle of someone performing like a chicken with its head cut off, as he blunders about our state of Queensland.
We could use the monies saved on more politicians to improve the chicken coupe called the Gold Coast hospital overnight.

5. The electoral commission should be putting into effect more safeguards to protect the democratic processes, not assisting in the processes to limit them.
Example:
a) Ban all lawyers and ex trade union officials, developers and real estate persons, from the political processes of government. They continually stuff it up.

b) Ensure that the person receiving the majority vote in any electorate occupies that seat.

c) Any wild statements and promises made by so called political leaders at election time are expected to be fulfilled within the first year of their appointment under penalty of being stood down immediately if not fulfilled.

It is all very simple really, just cut out all the bullshit.

Yours sincerely,

W.Schutters.

FILE No. EX/216
ACTION OFFICER DEC
REG. No. QRC/OBJ-29
DOCUMENTED YES/NO
SCANNED YES/NO
ATTACHED YES/NO
REPLY BY
REPLY SENT
ECQ. RECORDS

25 MAR 1999
RECEIVED

KENILWORTH NEWS
P.O. Box 21, KENILWORTH. Qld. 4574.
Phone/Fax (07) 5446 0400.

21st April 1999

E.C.Q.
009144

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE. QLD. 4000

QRC/OBJ30

Dear Sir/Madam,

I hereby object, in the strongest possible terms, to the new electoral boundaries which include the township of Kenilworth within the electorate of Nanango

I draw your attention to the mountains which form a dividing range between the Nanango district and the coastal strip in which Kenilworth is situated. To travel from Kenilworth to the heart of the Nanango electorate one has few options. A forest track (often requiring a four wheel vehicle) through Jimna or through Maleny and down a poor quality road to the D'Agular Highway.

As a result there is virtually no contact between the two regions. Socially, commercially, regionally and psychologically the people of Kenilworth are connected to, and are part of, the Sunshine Coast community.

Furthermore, any elected representative would find it almost impossible to effectively represent this region because of the travel considerations.


I humbly request that Kenilworth be re-allocated into an electorate more fitting to the social, economical and regional needs of this area.

Yours sincerely

Ted WHITE.

RECEIVED
19 APR 1999
RECEIVED

FILE No.	EX/79/6
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	Q22/OBJ30
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	28/4



Joyce Ramsay's

"VILLIERS" Bed & Breakfast

14 Cardew St
East Ipswich 4305
Queensland
Phone[07]32817364
Mobile 0412 038 054

FILE No.	Ex/79/6
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ 31
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	28/4

E.C.Q.
009145

manager
Qld. Redistribution Commission

QRC/OBJ 31

Dear Madam/Sir

I wish to formally object to the name change from Ipswich to Ipsw. Central in the current redistribution. It is good to know we are one of the original 16 in State Parliament.

I sell Heritage in my business I sell it as a member of the Ipswich Tourism Info. centre. It is good to know the name has been in nearby continuous use for over 140 years Please reconsider and support our fight in Ipswich to be a good place to live and well considered.

Yours faithfully
Joyce Ramsay

RECEIVED
23 APR 1999

12 Healy Street
Mundingburra
Qld 4812

E.C.Q.
009146

Fri 23rd April 1999

QRC/OBJ 32

The Secretary
Queensland Redistribution Commission

I wish to respond to the release of the proposed boundaries for the new electoral boundaries in the Greater Townsville region.
In my submission of 10 November 1998, I referred to adjustments between the seats of Thuringowa; Townsville and Mundingburra. I was shocked at the proposal released by the Commission, allowing for the encroachment on the City of Thuringowa by the seat of Hinchinbrook. This proposal, in view of the community of interest requirement of the Act, fails on every test.
In a perfect world it would seem that it would be preferable to have federal seats subdivided into state seats and these state seats further subdivided into local authority areas. However, this is impractical as under the Act the rigour of numbers makes this an unviable option. However, with regard the seat of Thuringowa I feel that as Palm Island is a discrete local authority, it could be attached to the seat of Hinchinbrook, in lieu of the northern areas of Thuringowa thus restoring some rationale to the community of interest test. The shortfall in the seat of Townsville created by this arrangement could be offset by borrowing from the Heatley/Currajong areas of this seat. This in turn could be offset by adding Lavarack Barracks to the seat of Mundingburra.

Yours faithfully

.....
Bruce Bellette

FILE No.	EX/79/6
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ 32
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	25/4

23 APR 1999
RECEIVED

April 1999

E.C.Q. 009147

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 4001

Facsimile: 3229 7391

QRC/OBJ 33

Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and **wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes** which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.

Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely

..... (signature)

80 Colwel St (address)

Oxley 4075

FILE No.	EX/79/6
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ33
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	28/4

ELECTORAL COMMISSION QUEENSLAND

28 APR 1999

RECEIVED

E.C.Q.
009148

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Level 6 Forestry House
160 Mary Street
BRISBANE Q 4000

QRC/OBJ 34

We have noted that the town of Kenilworth is proposed to be inciuded in the new electorate of Nanango along with other small towns situated in the Mary Valley. Brooloo and Conondale.

We wish to strenuously object to this arrangement for the following reasons:

1. Kenilworth, Brooloo and Conondale are farming and timber areas situated in the Mary Valley. They are aligned in a business and tourist sense with the Sunshine Coast Hinterland area.
2. These three towns rely for their major commerce on the coastal towns of Gympie, Nambour and Maleny. They have no road connection with the Nanango area and no business or social connection with that area.
3. Our roads lead to the hinterland towns, the Sunshine Coast towns and the Bruce Highway. We have no ties with the Nanango area as that area is serviced by a major highway inland from us and over the mountain range from us.
4. Newspapers covering this area are located on the coast. We get no news of the area covered in the Nanango Electorate and therefore have no business, social or emotional connection with that area.
5. In terms of climate, we enjoy a semi tropical environment and the Nanango Electorate is essentially the drier inland Queensland area. These factors alone suggest that we should be grouped with areas of similar needs and similar demands.
6. Placing us in the Nanango Electorate would tend to isolate us electorally from the Maroochy Shire Council and other towns in that Shire. Electoral boundaries should be aligned to the Local Government boundaries.

We are aware that the Commission is concerned with 'making the numbers right' and as this is a low population area, we believe that with some massaging of other boundaries the difference in numbers of population can be made up.
To include our three towns in an electoral area which isolates us on business, transport, social and economic bases is particularly bad and we request that this area of distribution be redrawn.

J. D. Cummings
26th April 1999.

ELECTORAL COMMISSION QUEENSLAND
29 APR 1999

FILE No. Ex/1/6
ACTION OFFICER DEC
REG. No. QRC/OBJ 34
DOCUMENTED YES/NO
SCANNED YES/NO
ATTACHED YES/NO
REPLY BY
REPLY SENT
ECQ. RECORDS

E.C.Q.
009149

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Level 6 Forestry House
160 Mary Street
BRISBANE Q 4000

QRC/OBJ 35

We have noted that the town of Kenilworth is proposed to be included in the new electorate of Nanango along with other small towns situated in the Mary Valley. Brooloo and Conondale.

We wish to strenuously object to this arrangement for the following reasons:

1. Kenilworth, Brooloo and Conondale are farming and timber areas situated in the Mary Valley. They are aligned in a business and tourist sense with the Sunshine Coast Hinterland area.
2. These three towns rely for their major commerce on the coastal towns of Gympie, Nambour and Maleny. They have no road connection with the Nanango area and no business or social connection with that area.
3. Our roads lead to the hinterland towns, the Sunshine Coast towns and the Bruce Highway. We have no ties with the Nanango area as that area is serviced by a major highway inland from us and over the mountain range from us.
4. Newspapers covering this area are located on the coast. We get no news of the area covered in the Nanango Electorate and therefore have no business, social or emotional connection with that area.
5. In terms of climate, we enjoy a semi tropical environment and the Nanango Electorate is essentially the drier inland Queensland area. These factors alone suggest that we should be grouped with areas of similar needs and similar demands.
6. Placing us in the Nanango Electorate would tend to isolate us electorally from the Maroochy Shire Council and other towns in that Shire. Electoral boundaries should be aligned to the Local Government boundaries.

We are aware that the Commission is concerned with 'making the numbers right' and as this is a low population area, we believe that with some massaging of other boundaries the difference in numbers of population can be made up.
To include our three towns in an electoral area which isolates us on business, transport, social and economic bases is particularly bad and we request that this area of distribution be redrawn.

J R Stanley

JUNE STANLEY
13 Mary St, Kenilworth 4574.

ELECTORAL COMMISSION QUEENSLAND
29 APR 1999
RECEIVED

0732776161

53

April 1999

E.C.Q.
009150

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 4001

Facsimile: 3229 7391

QRC/CBJ 36

Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and **wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes** which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.

Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely

R.G. Byram Greg & Byram (signature)
14 KENDALL ST OXLEY (address)
ROBYN BYRAM GREGORY BYRAM

ELECTORAL COMMISSION QUEENSLAND
29 APR 1999
RECEIVED

FILE No.	6x/99/c
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/CBJ 36
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	

29/4

April 1999

E.C.Q.
009151

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 4001

Facsimile: 3229 7391

QRC/OBJ 37

Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and **wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes** which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.

Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely

K. Hay (signature)

5 DUNDAS ST "OXLEY" (address)

FILE No.	Ex/n/c
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ 37
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	29/4

ELECTORAL COMMISSION QUEENSLAND
29 APR 1999
RECEIVED

April 1999

E.C.Q.
009152

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 4001

Facsimile: 3229 7391

QRC/CBJ 38

Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and **wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes** which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.

Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely,

W. Kessler

..... (signature)

Miss Waltraud Kessler
31 Kendall Street
OXLEY QLD 4075 .....(address)

.....

FILE No.	EX/79/6
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/CBJ 38
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	29/4

ELECTORAL COMMISSION QUEENSLAND
19 APR 1999
RECEIVED

A.B. & L.J. Wallace

16 – 18 Cardinal Crt
Eudlo QLD, 4554
Ph: (07) 5445 0873
Fax: (07) 5478 9907
Mobile: 0413 599 549
Email: wallco@bigpond.com

24th April 1999



The Queensland Electoral Redistribution Commissioner
C/- Locked Bag 3300
Brisbane
QLD 4001

QRC/OBJ 39

Dear Sir/Madam

In response to your call for submissions regarding the recent redistribution of the State's electoral boundaries, we wish to draw to your attention what we perceive to be serious anomalies which impact upon your department's legislative responsibilities under the *Electoral Act* 1992 (Qld).

Under the draft proposal released to the public on Friday 9th April, the Electoral Commission has rightly addressed the over population of electoral districts on the Sunshine Coast by creating the new district of "*Glasshouse*". In so doing, the boundaries of the surrounding seats have had to be changed to accommodate this new seat.


However, the draft redistribution as it currently stands will impact severely upon the community of Eudlo. Under the draft, the Eudlo township and surrounding district is split into two with the train line being the divider between the seats of *Nicklin* and *Glasshouse.*

We believe that such a division is in direct conflict with your responsibilities under s46(1) *Electoral Act* 1992 (Qld). *Inter alia*, your responsibility under this provision when drafting such electoral boundaries is to maintain a "community of economic, social and regional interests". We respectfully submit that this requirement has been grossly violated by splitting the small rural community of Eudlo.

Also, the proposed split of our community would result in adverse representation for those in our community living west of the rail line and hence being placed in the seat of Glasshouse. Clearly, the focus for any representative will lie much further south in the area of Caboolture. It is our fear that such a division will lead to an inhibited channel of "communication and travel"[1] between this part of Eudlo and the likely office of the sitting member. Considering the lack of public transport in and around this area of the Sunshine Coast, we submit that the draft proposal would impact most severely upon the elderly and other disadvantaged members of our community who deserve equal representation.

We respectfully submit that the Commissioner should reconsider the boundaries affecting the township of Eudlo. Further we suggest that a more suitable alternative would be to follow your responsibilities under s46(2) of the Act and change the boundary to the south of the township along the existing "local government boundaries" of the Maroochy and Caloundra Shires. Such a move would reduce duplication and therefore reduce costs, confusion and..

[1] Section 46(1)(b) *Electoral Act* 1992 (Qld).



above all would maintain a higher standard of representation and community interest. This would enable all Eudlo residents to enjoy one united community that is represented by one member of Parliament who would likely to be based in the much closer town of Nambour which currently serves as the main regional shopping and service district for Eudlo.

We commend these suggestions for your most earnest consideration.

Yours sincerely,

Andrew and Leonie Wallace.

QRC/OBJ 39

FILE No.	Ex/19/6
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ39
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECO. RECORDS	29/4

Mrs Vicki O'Flynn
352 Highlands Road
EUDLO 4554

27 April, 1999



The Queensland Electoral Commissioner
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE 9001

QRC/OBJ 40

Dear Sir/Madam

As a resident of the Sunshine Coast Hinterland town of Eudlo, I wish to lodge my objection to the proposed boundary changes.

The proposed changes see the township of Eudlo divided into two electorates, Nicklin and Glasshouse.

I live on one of the streets that has been thrust into the electorate of Glasshouse and do not share any common interests with the proposed electorate. Other than the other few streets that have been cut off from the rest of Eudlo we are the only residents of Maroochy Shire. Our local school which is on the intersection of our street, Highlands Road, is in the electorate of Nicklin.

The only way for me to travel to the rest of the Glasshouse electorate is through the electorate of Nicklin. The local railway station 3 kilometres down the road is in the electorate of Nicklin.

The only access to the main highway is through the electorate of Nicklin.

I ask that the Commission reconsider this decision, taking into account the Maroochy Shire Boundary and thus keeping the whole of the town within the electorate of Nicklin.

The use of the Southern Maroochy Shire Boundary as the boundary for the seats of Glasshouse and Nicklin would see the towns of Eudlo and Mooloolah remain in tact and sharing a state electorate with other towns in the same local shire and with similar interests and needs.

The town of Eudlo, is linked to the township of Nambour, and the town of Mooloolah to Caloundra. I therefore believe that there is a strong case for both of these towns to remain in tact and linked to the state electorate connected to their corresponding council.


Small towns are dieing throughout this country at an alarming rate and I believe the Redistribution Commission is obligated to consider their pleas for consideration and recognition when deciding the final boundaries.

I ask that you hear our pleas and reunite our small town and as many other small towns as possible, for the sake of our town our community and our state.

Yours sincerely

Mrs Vicki O'Flynn .

FILE No.	EX/79/4
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ 40
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	29/4



Australia's Olive Capital

FILE No.	ex/ha/6
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ41
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	29/4

INGLEWOOD SHIRE
"Naturally Resourceful"

Inglewood Shire Council Administration
Cnr Albert & Elizabeth Streets, Inglewood Queensland
PO Box 21 Inglewood Queensland 4387 Australia
Tel: 0746 521 444 Fax: 0746 521 512

Office of Marketing & Regional Development
Turning the tide in a naturally resourceful community, offering lifestyle, opportunity and a future heritage
PO Box 151 Texas Queensland 4385 Australia
Email: bobneville@bigpond.com.au
Tel: 0746 531 684 Fax: 0746 531 595

WAS/RH
5.40
28 April 1999

E.C.Q.
009155

G E Aurisch
Secretary
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Electoral Commission Queensland
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE Q 9001

QRC/OBJ41

Dear Sir/Madam,

Re: Queensland State Electoral Redistribution

I refer to your letter dated 7 April 1999 and offer the following comments in relation to Cunningham and Condamine:

- It is suggested that the proposed names of these two electorates be swapped with each other for the following reasons:

  (a) Electoral divisions and communities of interest are based to some extent on water catchments.
  (b) The Condamine River has its catchment in and flows through much of the electorate of Cunningham.
  (c) The Cunningham Highway passes through much of the length of the proposed seat of Condamine and the explorer Cunningham first entered Queensland in this area. Cunningham's Gap joins the coastal strip to this electorate.

- It is suggested that the township of Karara be included with the proposed seat of Condamine as its centre of interest is focused on Warwick and the main arterial transport links such as the Cunningham Highway and rail link that community with Warwick. It does not make logic for persons in Inglewood to traverse through another electorate on their travels to the closest regional centre of Warwick.

Should you require further information please do not hesitate to be in contact.

Yours faithfully,

W A Saxvik
CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER

ELECTORAL COMMISSION QUEENSLAND
29 APR 1999
RECEIVED

E.C.Q.
009156

April 1999

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 4001

Facsimile: 3229 7391

QRC/OBJ 42

Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and **wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes** which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.

Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely

..... (signature)

14 Vesta St .....(address)

Oxley 4075

FILE No.	EX/96
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ 42
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECO RECORDS	

ELECTORAL COMMISSION QUEENSLAND
29 APR 1999
RECEIVED

April 1999

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 4001

E.C.Q.
009157

Facsimile: 3229 7391

QRC/OBJ 43

Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and **wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes** which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.

Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely

E W Dunney (signature)

1137 Oxley Road (address)

Oxley Q. 4075

FILE No.	EX/79/6
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ 43
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ RECORDS	

ELECTORAL COMMISSION QUEENSLAND
29 APR 1999
RECEIVED

April 1999

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 4001

E.C.Q.
009158

Facsimile: 3229 7391

QRC/OBJ 44

Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and **wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes** which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.

Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely

Mr. H. Koeijper and spouse (signature)

20 Landson St

Oxley 4075

FILE No.	EX/79/6
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ 44
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	4/5

ELECTORAL COMMISSION QUEENSLAND
30 APR 1999
RECEIVED

April 1999



Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 4001

Facsimile: 3229 7391

QRC/OBJ 45

Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and **wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes** which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.

Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.


Yours sincerely

E. J. Schneider (signature)

16 Calaton St (address)

OXLEY 4075

FILE No.	ex/nb
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ 45
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	



April 1999

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 4001

E.C.Q.
009160

Facsimile: 3229 7391

QRC/OBJ 46

Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and **wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes** which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.

Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely

R. A. Drury ..... (signature)

111 Oxley Road ..... (address)

Oxley Bris. 4075

FILE No.	EX/99/6
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ 46
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	4/5

ELECTORAL COMMISSION QUEENSLAND
30 APR 1999
RECEIVED

12 Kendall Street
OXLEY QLD 4075

E.C.Q.
009161

20 April 1999

Dear Oxley resident QRC/ORJ 47

We are writing to you as fellow residents of Oxley currently living in the State Electorate of Mt Ommaney, about the proposed electoral boundary changes by the Electoral Commission.

The proposed boundary changes were made public early this month and it means that people living in Oxley from Englefield Road to Ipswich Road will become part of the Inala electorate.

We object to our suburb being split and having to traipse all the way to Inala to see our government representative.

Oxley is a well-established suburb which has been part of the Mt Ommaney electorate for many years. To split it would mean confusion for most elderly residents and we would not be able to lobby effectively (as a whole suburb) to get things done in our electorate.

If you object to the boundary changes and, like us and many others, wish to continue to be part of Mt Ommaney Electorate, please complete the attached proforma letter and send it in to the Electoral Commission **before Monday 10 May 1999.**

Let us show them that we can make a difference as a united force for the benefit of our community of Oxley.

Yours sincerely

Ronan Lee (12 Kendall Street, Oxley) ...Ronan Lee.....
Cecil Herbst (28 Alexander Road , Oxley) ...C.L. Herbst.....
Gordon Mackee (12 Boodera Street, Oxley) ...G.L. Mackee.....
REG DRURY 1119 OXLEY RD OXLEY R. A. Drury
RON WOODS. 1118 OXLEY RD OXLEY R. J. Woods

ELECTORAL COMMISSION QUEENSLAND
30 APR 1999
RECEIVED

April 1999



Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 4001

Facsimile: 3229 7391

QRC/OBJ 48

Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and **wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes** which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.


Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely

D Bennett (signature)

32 California Road (address)

Oxley 4075



FILE No.	ex/hab
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ 48
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	4/5

E.C.Q.
009163

12 Kendall Street
OXLEY QLD 4075

20 April 1999

QRC|OBJ 49

Dear Oxley resident

We are writing to you as fellow residents of Oxley currently living in the State Electorate of Mt Ommaney, about the proposed electoral boundary changes by the Electoral Commission.

The proposed boundary changes were made public early this month and it means that people living in Oxley from Englefield Road to Ipswich Road will become part of the Inala electorate.

We object to our suburb being split and having to traipse all the way to Inala to see our government representative.

Oxley is a well-established suburb which has been part of the Mt Ommaney electorate for many years. To split it would mean confusion for most elderly residents and we would not be able to lobby effectively (as a whole suburb) to get things done in our electorate.

If you object to the boundary changes and, like us and many others, wish to continue to be part of Mt Ommaney Electorate, please complete the attached proforma letter and send it in to the Electoral Commission **before Monday 10 May 1999.**

Let us show them that we can make a difference as a united force for the benefit of our community of Oxley.

Yours sincerely

Ronan Lee (12 Kendall Street, Oxley) ...Ronan Lee.....
Cecil Herbst (28 Alexander Road , Oxley) ...C.B. Herbst.....
Gordon Mackee (12 Boodera Street, Oxley) ...G.L. Mackee.....

ELECTORAL COMMISSION
QUEENSLAND
30 APR 1999
RECEIVED

E.C.Q.
009164

April 1999

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 4001

Facsimile: 3229 7391

QRC / OBJ 50

Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and **wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes** which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.

Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely

Joyce Bielby ..... (signature)

17 Bayford St Oxley 4075 (address)

.....

ELECTORAL COMMISSION QUEENSLAND
30 APR 1999
RECEIVED

FILE No.	EXT 016
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ 50
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	

4/5

E.C.Q.
009165

April 1999

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 4001

Facsimile: 3229 7391

QRC/OBJ 51

Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and **wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes** which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.

Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely

D.N. & A.D. NIEMEYER (signature)

2 LANDSLEAD ST OXLEY (address)

D.N. Niemeyer

Audrey D. Niemeyer

FILE No.	Ex/96
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ 51
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	

4/5

ELECTORAL COMMISSION QUEENSLAND
30 APR 1999
RECEIVED

April 1999

E.C.Q.
009166

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 4001

Facsimile: 3229 7391

QRC/OBJ 52

Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and **wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes** which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.

Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely

Natalie Hunter (NATALIE HUNTER) (signature)

1150 Oxley Rd (address)

Oxley, 4075

FILE No.	EX/74/6
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ52
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	5/6

ELECTORAL COMMISSION QUEENSLAND
30 APR 1999
RECEIVED

E.C.Q.
009167

April 1999

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 4001

Facsimile: 3229 7391

QRC/OBJ 53

Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and **wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes** which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.

Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely

Enid R. Davis & B.R. Davis (signature)

115 Oxley Rd (address)

Oxley 4075

ELECTORAL COMMISSION QUEENSLAND
30 APR 1999
RECEIVED

FILE No. EX/79/6
ACTION OFFICER DEC
REG. No. QRC/OBJ 53
DOCUMENTED YES/NO
SCANNED YES/NO
ATTACHED YES/NO
REPLY BY
REPLY SENT
ECQ. RECORDS

April 1999

E.C.Q.
009168

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 4001

Facsimile: 3229 7391

QRC/OBJ 54

Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and **wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes** which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.

Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely

.....Lee-Anne Hoffman.....(signature)

21 LAWSON ST .....(address)

OXLEY 4075

FILE No.	EX/99/6
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ 54
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	

4/5

ELECTORAL COMMISSION QUEENSLAND
30 APR 1999
RECEIVED

07 3379 8360

April 1999

E.C.Q.
009169

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 4001

Facsimile: 3229 7391

QRC/OBJ 55

Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and **wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes** which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.

Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely

..... (signature)

LYN & DAVID BISHOP .....(address)

1205 OXLEY RD OXLEY .....

FILE No.	EX/mk
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ 55
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	

ELECTORAL COMMISSION QUEENSLAND
30 APR 1999
RECEIVED

0/5

April 1999

E.C.Q.
009170

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 4001

Facsimile: 3229 7391

QRC/OBJ 56

Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and **wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes** which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.

Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely

DANIEL VU ..... (signature)

1136 Oxley Rd .....(address)

Oxley Q. 4075 .....

FILE No.	ex/mk
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ 56
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	4/5

ELECTORAL COMMISSION QUEENSLAND
30 APR 1999
RECEIVED

Withcott Progress Association Inc.
M/S 408 Elders Building, Withcott Q 4352

President
Janice Holstein
Ph 07 46 303 572 bh
Ph 07 46 303 583ah

Secretary
Sue Beardmore
Ph 07 46 303 375
Fax 07 46 303 800

E.C.Q.
009171

April 29, 1999

Mr DJ O'Shea
Electoral Commissioner
160 Mary Street
BRISBANE QLD 4000

QRC/OBJ 57

OBJECTION

Boundary changes to seat of **Lockyer**

Dear Mr O'Shea

At last nights executive meeting of the Withcott Progress Association Inc., the recently announced State boundary changes, that include the seat of Lockyer, were noted and discussed fully.

A government officer, sitting at a desk, looking at a map, could be forgiven for genuinely believing that the most logical, practical thing to do electorally with a community such as Withcott, would be to include it in the seat of Toowoomba South.

However, Withcott is currently a **significant** Division within the Shire of Gatton, and shares a common across-the-board -focus with the rest of the rural Seat of Lockyer. Withcott's concerns are **always rural-based** even though the township is of the closest physical proximity to Toowoomba city.

The Withcott community's current avenues and level of representation is stable and adequate.

The major concern in relation to Withcott becoming a peripheral aspect of the State Seat of Toowoomba South is that those issues considered significant within the rural Seat of Lockyer would not even be identified in a city-based Seat, much less attended to.

We strongly request that Withcott remain within the State Seat of Lockyer.

Yours Sincerely

Janice M Holstein
President
Withcott Progress Association Inc.

ELECTORAL COMMISSION QUEENSLAND
30 APR 1999
RECEIVED

FILE No.	EX/7A
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ 57
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	4/5

S W Courtney
PO Box 525
Stanthorpe Qld 4380

27 April 1999



The Secretary
Queensland Redistribution Commission
GPO Box 1393
BRISBANE QLD 9001

QRC/OBJ 58

Dear Sir

Objection to Proposed Name of Electorate

I note that in the proposals published by the Queensland Redistribution Commission, it is intended that the former electorate of Warwick is to be extended westward and renamed "Condamine".


I believe that to name the electorate "Condamine" would be a mistake. The Condamine River which rises in the Warwick Shire area flows through the Darling Downs with only a small section in the proposed electorate. It flows through the northern Darling Downs, near Dalby, Miles and into the Balonne, and is more associated in public perception with the Darling Downs. There is even a town of Condamine south of Miles, and naming this electorate "Condamine" will be misleading and confusing.

If the electorate was to be named for a river, the MacIntyre River would be more appropriate, however, I strongly believe that the area is recognised as the Southern Downs, its tourist image is promoted under this banner, and if the commission is seeking a name which accurately reflects the nature of the area, and would achieve public acceptance and recognition, it should choose "Southern Downs" as the name of the electorate.

Yours faithfully

S W Courtney

FILE No.	Extra 6
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ 58
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECO. RECORDS	4/5



4435 Mary Valley Road
Brooloo
Via Kenilworth Qld
4574



Queensland Redistribution Commission
Level 6 Forestry House
160 Mary Street
BRISBANE Q 4000

QRC | OBJ 59


We have noted that the town of Kenilworth is proposed to be included in the new electorate of Nanango along with other small towns situated in the Mary Valley. Brooloo and Conondale.

We wish to strenuously object to this arrangement for the following reasons:

1. Kenilworth, Brooloo and Conondale are farming and timber areas situated in the Mary Valley. They are aligned in a business and tourist sense with the Sunshine Coast Hinterland area.
2. These three towns rely for their major commerce on the coastal towns of Gympie, Nambour and Maleny. They have no road connection with the Nanango area and no business or social connection with that area.
3. Our roads lead to the hinterland towns, the Sunshine Coast towns and the Bruce Highway. We have no ties with the Nanango area as that area is serviced by a major highway inland from us and over the mountain range from us.
4. Newspapers covering this area are located on the coast. We get no news of the area covered in the Nanango Electorate and therefore have no business, social or emotional connection with that area.
5. In terms of climate, we enjoy a semi tropical environment and the Nanango Electorate is essentially the drier inland Queensland area. These factors alone suggest that we should be grouped with areas of similar needs and similar demands.
6. Placing us in the Nanango Electorate would tend to isolate us electorally from the Maroochy Shire Council and other towns in that Shire. Electoral boundaries should be aligned to the Local Government boundaries.

We are aware that the Commission is concerned with 'making the numbers right' and as this is a low population area, we believe that with some massaging of other boundaries the difference in numbers of population can be made up.
To include our three towns in an electoral area which isolates us on business, transport, social and economic bases is particularly bad and we request that this area of distribution be redrawn.

Robin Tolleret
27-4-99.



E.C.Q.
009174

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Level 6 Forestry House
160 Mary Street
BRISBANE Q 4000

QRC | OBJ 60

We have noted that the town of Kenilworth is proposed to be included in the new electorate of Nanango along with other small towns situated in the Mary Valley. Brooloo and Conondale.

We wish to strenuously object to this arrangement for the following reasons:

1. Kenilworth, Brooloo and Conondale are farming and timber areas situated in the Mary Valley. They are aligned in a business and tourist sense with the Sunshine Coast Hinterland area.
2. These three towns rely for their major commerce on the coastal towns of Gympie, Nambour and Maleny. They have no road connection with the Nanango area and no business or social connection with that area.
3. Our roads lead to the hinterland towns, the Sunshine Coast towns and the Bruce Highway. We have no ties with the Nanango area as that area is serviced by a major highway inland from us and over the mountain range from us.
4. Newspapers covering this area are located on the coast. We get no news of the area covered in the Nanango Electorate and therefore have no business, social or emotional connection with that area.
5. In terms of climate, we enjoy a semi tropical environment and the Nanango Electorate is essentially the drier inland Queensland area. These factors alone suggest that we should be grouped with areas of similar needs and similar demands.
6. Placing us in the Nanango Electorate would tend to isolate us electorally from the Maroochy Shire Council and other towns in that Shire. Electoral boundaries should be aligned to the Local Government boundaries.

We are aware that the Commission is concerned with 'making the numbers right' and as this is a low population area, we believe that with some massaging of other boundaries the difference in numbers of population can be made up.
To include our three towns in an electoral area which isolates us on business, transport, social and economic bases is particularly bad and we request that this area of distribution be redrawn.

28/4/99.

MALENY KENILWORTH RD
KENILWORTH, QLD.
4574.

ELECTORAL COMMISSION
QUEENSLAND
30 APR 1999
RECEIVED

E.C.Q.
009175

28.4.99

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Level 6 Forestry House
160 Mary Street
BRISBANE Q 4000

QRC/OBJ 61

We have noted that the town of Kenilworth is proposed to be included in the new electorate of Nanango along with other small towns situated in the Mary Valley. Brooloo and Conondale.

We wish to strenuously object to this arrangement for the following reasons:

1. Kenilworth, Brooloo and Conondale are farming and timber areas situated in the Mary Valley. They are aligned in a business and tourist sense with the Sunshine Coast Hinterland area.
2. These three towns rely for their major commerce on the coastal towns of Gympie, Nambour and Maleny. They have no road connection with the Nanango area and no business or social connection with that area.
3. Our roads lead to the hinterland towns, the Sunshine Coast towns and the Bruce Highway. We have no ties with the Nanango area as that area is serviced by a major highway inland from us and over the mountain range from us.
4. Newspapers covering this area are located on the coast. We get no news of the area covered in the Nanango Electorate and therefore have no business, social or emotional connection with that area.
5. In terms of climate, we enjoy a semi tropical environment and the Nanango Electorate is essentially the drier inland Queensland area. These factors alone suggest that we should be grouped with areas of similar needs and similar demands.
6. Placing us in the Nanango Electorate would tend to isolate us electorally from the Maroochy Shire Council and other towns in that Shire. Electoral boundaries should be aligned to the Local Government boundaries.

We are aware that the Commission is concerned with 'making the numbers right' and as this is a low population area, we believe that with some massaging of other boundaries the difference in numbers of population can be made up.
To include our three towns in an electoral area which isolates us on business, transport, social and economic bases is particularly bad and we request that this area of distribution be redrawn.

.....

McGinn Rd
Kenilworth 4574

ELECTORAL COMMISSION
QUEENSLAND
30 APR 1999
RECEIVED

ELECTORAL COMMISSION
QUEENSLAND
30 APR 1999
RECEIVED

27/4/99

E.C.Q.
009176

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Level 6 Forestry House
160 Mary Street
BRISBANE Q 4000

QRC/OBJ 62

We have noted that the town of Kenilworth is proposed to be included in the new electorate of Nanango along with other small towns situated in the Mary Valley. Brooloo and Conondale.

We wish to strenuously object to this arrangement for the following reasons:

1. Kenilworth, Brooloo and Conondale are farming and timber areas situated in the Mary Valley. They are aligned in a business and tourist sense with the Sunshine Coast Hinterland area.
2. These three towns rely for their major commerce on the coastal towns of Gympie, Nambour and Maleny. They have no road connection with the Nanango area and no business or social connection with that area.
3. Our roads lead to the hinterland towns, the Sunshine Coast towns and the Bruce Highway. We have no ties with the Nanango area as that area is serviced by a major highway inland from us and over the mountain range from us.
4. Newspapers covering this area are located on the coast. We get no news of the area covered in the Nanango Electorate and therefore have no business, social or emotional connection with that area.
5. In terms of climate, we enjoy a semi tropical environment and the Nanango Electorate is essentially the drier inland Queensland area. These factors alone suggest that we should be grouped with areas of similar needs and similar demands.
6. Placing us in the Nanango Electorate would tend to isolate us electorally from the Maroochy Shire Council and other towns in that Shire. Electoral boundaries should be aligned to the Local Government boundaries.

We are aware that the Commission is concerned with 'making the numbers right' and as this is a low population area, we believe that with some massaging of other boundaries the difference in numbers of population can be made up.
To include our three towns in an electoral area which isolates us on business, transport, social and economic bases is particularly bad and we request that this area of distribution be redrawn.

.....

McGinn Rd
Kenilworth. Qld 4574.

ELECTORAL COMMISSION
QUEENSLAND
30 APR 1999
RECEIVED

E.C.Q.
009177

The Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

QRC/OBJ 63

Queensland Electoral Redistribution

I note that the town of Kenilworth is proposed to be included in the new electorate of Nanango along with other small towns situated in the Mary Valley, Brooloo and Conondale.

I wish to strenuously object to this arrangement on the grounds that the towns of Kenilworth, Brooloo and Conondale have no community of interest with the towns in the Nanango area and the area shown as included in the proposed electorate. Rather they are physically, commercially and socially connected to the areas of Nambour, Gympie, Maroochydore and the Sunshine Coast Hinterland area.
In addition:

1. These three towns rely for their major commerce on the coastal towns of Gympie, Nambour and Maleny. They have no direct road connection with the Nanango area and no business or social connection with that area.

2. For these towns the Health Support Systems are all based in Nambour, Maleny or Gympie. Such services as hospitals, specialist services and aged facilities are all located East of our towns, never in a Westward direction.

3. Gaining access to the Parliamentarian for the area would be very difficult as road access all run in an Easterly direction. Likewise, servicing this area would prove difficult for the elected encumbant.

I therefore, request that the redistribution of electoral boundaries include the towns of Kenilworth, Brooloo and Conondale in an area based on the Coast.

Sincerely

Signature E J Rodwell

Name EDWARD JOHN RODWELL. Address Brooloo Road Kenilworth

Date 28/4/99

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Level 6 Forestry House
160 Mary Street
BRISBANE Q 4000


28 . 4 . 99 .
MOY POCKET RD.,
KENILWORTH.,
QLD 4574.

We have noted that the town of Kenilworth is proposed to be included in the new electorate of Nanango along with other small towns situated in the Mary Valley. Brooloo and Conondale.



We wish to strenuously object to this arrangement for the following reasons:

1. Kenilworth, Brooloo and Conondale are farming and timber areas situated in the Mary Valley. They are aligned in a business and tourist sense with the Sunshine Coast Hinterland area.
2. These three towns rely for their major commerce on the coastal towns of Gympie, Nambour and Maleny. They have no road connection with the Nanango area and no business or social connection with that area.
3. Our roads lead to the hinterland towns, the Sunshine Coast towns and the Bruce Highway. We have no ties with the Nanango area as that area is serviced by a major highway inland from us and over the mountain range from us.
4. Newspapers covering this area are located on the coast. We get no news of the area covered in the Nanango Electorate and therefore have no business, social or emotional connection with that area.
   In terms of climate, we enjoy a semi tropical environment and the Nanango Electorate is essentially the drier inland Queensland area. These factors alone suggest that we should be grouped with areas of similar needs and similar demands.
6. Placing us in the Nanango Electorate would tend to isolate us electorally from the Maroochy Shire Council and other towns in that Shire. Electoral boundaries should be aligned to the Local Government boundaries.



QRC/083/64

We are aware that the Commission is concerned with 'making the numbers right' and as this is a low population area, we believe that with some massaging of other boundaries the difference in numbers of population can be made up.
To include our three towns in an electoral area which isolates us on business, transport, social and economic bases is particularly bad and we request that this area of distribution be redrawn.

G Baillie

ELECTORAL COMMISSION
QUEENSLAND
30 APR 1999
RECEIVED

ECQ
OFFICE
No. QRC/OBJ 65
DOCUMENTED YES/NO
SCANNED YES/NO
ATTACHED YES/NO
REPLY BY
REPLY SENT
ECQ. RECORDS 4/5

BRIAN + GAY PROUDMAN
12 BOTTLEBRUSH ST
MOOROOBOOL CAIRNS
4870

29 APRIL 1999

E.C.Q.
009179

ELECTORAL COMMISSION OF QUEENSLAND
GPO BOX 1393
BRISBANE 4001

QRC/OBJ 65

Dear Sirs,

With reference to your intention to proposed renaming of the seat of CAIRNS to CAIRNS CENTRAL.

Both my wife and I strongly object to this renaming, leave the name "CAIRNS" unchanged, its history past + present demands it. Furthermore we object to being renamed after a local shopping centre.

Yours Sincerely Brian Proudman + Gay Proudman.

E.C.Q.
009180

John M O'Sullivan
308 J Hickey Avenue
GLADSTONE Q 4680

Electoral Commission Queensland
GPO Box 1393
BRISBANE Q 4001

QRC/OBJ 66

Dear Sir/Madam,

I refer to your proposed boundaries with respect to the seats of Gladstone and Callide.

Attached here to is a submission for your kind consideration.

Sincere Regards,

John. O Sullivan

John M O'Sullivan
07 4978 3704

FILE No.	ex/79/6
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ 66
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	4/5

ELECTORAL COMMISSION
QUEENSLAND
30 APR 1999
RECEIVED

To:- ECQ

QRC/OBJ66

Re: Proposed Electoral Boundaries
Gladstone and Callide

I refer to the new proposed electoral boundaries for Gladstone and Callide and with deference suggest that the commission is perpetrating the error it made when it last re-distributed these seats in 1991.

In 1991, the Commission removed substantial rural sectors of the Callide electorate close to Gladstone City and placed them in the Gladstone electorate.

In doing so the Commission failed in its task of satisfying the Legislative requirement that all electorate excluding those in excess of 100,000 square kilometres remain within the over or under 10% deviation from the quota for a period of 7 years or 3 elections.

The figures below indicates the percent deviation from average district enrolments for both the Gladstone and Callide electorates for the 1992, 1995 and 1998 General Election.

Percentage Deviation

	1992	1995	1998
Callide	-9.8%	-16.1%	-21.8%
Gladstone	3.4%	3.7%	3.9%

(Figures provided by ECQ)

The Commission has now sought to rectify the Callide position by incorporating in it non-tradition areas extending South of Gympie, almost 300km away. The Mayor of Murgon Shire, Mr G W Roberts makes it clear that there is no "community of interest" between the Shires of Wondai, Murgon and Kilkivan and the existing Callide electorate. (see attached letter to the Editor, Courier Mail, 14th April 1999.)

The logical solution is to return to the electorate of Callide a part of those areas which were traditionally in the Callide electorate prior to the 1991 redistribution.

Such a move will allow the enrolments for Gladstone to fall below the quota giving it more ability to absorb the increase in voter numbers which could flow as a result of projected major industrial growth.

To do otherwise, with due respect, is as stated earlier to perpetrate the errors made by the Commission with respect to these two adjoining electorates in the previous re-distribution.

Sincerely

John. O Sullivan

QRC/OBJ 66

Border dispute

WHY am I not surprised that certain people are delighted with the new electoral boundaries? One Nation gets wiped out (the preferential voting system already was going to see that) and several high-profile people have to run for doubtful seats. Is there a politically correct term for gerrymander?— **Brad Coward, Nundah.**

April 13

■ "FAIR" was the editorial comment (C-M, Apr 12) on the Queensland electoral redistribution. What about "community of interest" and grassroots affiliation?

Barambah is Aboriginal for "wind-rippled water". Its extinction, in electoral expediency, will create wind-ravaged water. To put the shires of Wondai, Murgon and Kilkivan at the bottom end of Callide is demographic demolition.— **G.W. Roberts, Mayor, Murgon Shire, Murgon.**

April 13

C. Mail
WED 14th April 1999

ELECTORAL COMMISSION QUEENSLAND
30 APR 1999
RECEIVED

Brian G Smith
B.Ec. LL.B. FCPA
CERTIFIED PRACTISING ACCOUNTANT
REGISTERED TAX AGENT

Reviewed To ISO 9000 Standard

Tuesday, April 27, 1999

The Queensland Division
EARC
Locked Bag 3300
Brisbane Qld 9001

QRC/OBJ 67

E.C.Q.
009181

RE PROPOSED QUEENSLAND ELECTORAL BOUNDARIES

I Brian Garnet Smith carrying on business as a public accountant in the township of Kenilworth object to the proposed electoral boundary being redrawn to include The township and surrounding district of Kenilworth in the electorate of Nanango on the following grounds:

1) That the Commission as required by Section 46(1) has not considered correctly the following matters:
   a) The extent to which the township of Kenilworth has economic, social, regional and other interests within the Shire of Maroochydore.
      i) In particular my business which draws all clientele from east of the dividing range and none from west of the dividing range.
      ii) In particular my business which uses services and products within the Shire of Maroochydore.
      iii) In particular my membership with professional associations all within the present electorate of Nicklin.

2) That the Commission gives credence to the comments made on page 5 of the 1999 Proposed Redistribution viz. that the Commission agrees with the comments made at page 4 of the EARC proposal in that "Local Government boundaries reflect and may even create communities of interest. **This is especially so in rural areas.**"

3) That the Commission gives credence to the comments made on page 6 in that it agrees with the statements made by EARC in that the set of interests held by the community of Kenilworth are sufficiently compelling and binding so as to regard themselves as a community having a nexus with the Maroochy Shire that will not be broken but significantly damaged in the event of it becoming part of the electorate of Nanango

4) That as required by Section 46(1)(b) the Commission has not correctly exercised its own judgement when deciding that a natural or man-made feature does not constitute a barrier between electorates, viz. The Great Dividing Range.

Brian G Smith
B.Ec. LL.B. FCPA
Certified Practising Accountant

ELECTORAL COMMISSION QUEENSLAND
30 APR 1999
RECEIVED

FILE No.	EX/71/6
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ 67
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	

4/5

P.O. Box 128 Kenilworth Qld. 4574
7 Elizabeth Street Kenilworth Phone: (07) 5446 0398
Fax: (07) 5446 0709 EMAIL: bgscpa@m140aone.net.au

April 1999

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 4001

E.C.Q.
009182

Facsimile: 3229 7391

QRC/OBJ 68

Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and **wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes** which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.

Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely

M J Cathcart Delma L Cathcart (signature)

68 STEPHENSON ST (address)

OXLEY 4075

ELECTORAL COMMISSION QUEENSLAND
04 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

FILE No.	Ex/1/6
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ 68
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	

4/5

FROM : MERDEL-ENTERPRISES- PHONE NO. : 07 33797924 MAY. 04 1999 07:48AM P1

April 1999

E.C.Q.
009183

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 4001

Facsimile: 3229 7391

QRC/OBJ 69

Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and **wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes** which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.

Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely Julie Kingston , Christopher Trotter

.....(signature)

56 Lincoln Street .....(address)

Oxley Qld 4075 .....

ELECTORAL COMMISSION QUEENSLAND
04 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

FILE No.	EX 79
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ 69
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	4/5

April 1999

E.C.Q.
009184

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 4001

Facsimile: 3229 7391

QRC/OBJ 70

Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and **wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes** which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.

Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely

T.S. Saxton ..... (signature)

T.S. SAXTON .....(address)

42 Bayford St Oxley .....

ELECTORAL COMMISSION QUEENSLAND
04 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

FILE No.	EX/99/6
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ 70
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	4/5

April 1999

E.C.Q.
009185

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 4001

Facsimile: 3229 7391

QRC/OBJ 71

Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and **wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes** which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.

Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely

..... (signature)

12 Greg Wat Crt (address)

OXLEY

QUEENSLAND ELECTORAL COMMISSION
04 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

FILE No.	Ex/Mb
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ 71
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	4/5

April 1999

E.C.Q.
009186

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 4001

Facsimile: 3229 7391

QRC/OBJ 72

Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and **wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes** which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.

Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely

A Cameron ..... (signature)

41 BAYFORD ST ..... (address)

OXLEY 4075 .....

ELECTORAL COMMISSION QUEENSLAND
04 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

FILE No.	Ex/mA
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ 72
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	

4/5

0733697144

April 1999

E.C.Q.
009187

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 4001

Facsimile: 3229 7391

QRC/OBJ 73

Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and **wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes** which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.

Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely

Mohammad H. Solaiman (signature)

329 Cliveden Ave (address)

Corinda 4075

ELECTORAL COMMISSION QUEENSLAND
04 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

FILE No.	Ex/7/6
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ 73
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	4/5

Clifton Beach
3 May 1999

The Commissioner
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
Brisbane 9001

QRC/05574

E.C.Q. 009188

Dear Sir/Madam

I hereby wish to lodge a VERY strong objection to the proposal to include our suburbs of Clifton Beach and Palm Cove into the State Electorate of Cook.

I am a resident of Clifton Beach; my interest is totally with my own community of the Northern Beaches and the City of Cairns. I have absolutely nothing in common with the electorate of Cook which may as well be on another planet. I never go to any place within the electorate of Cook based on Cape York Peninsula and Torres Strait, a totally foreign territory. It would be a severe punishment if I had to do my shopping in the Cook electorate, pay my motor vehicle registration or do my age-pension or any other business there. It would be akin to asking me to vote for my member in the district of Swan River or Darwin. Not that you would ask me to do my business there. But I merely wish to illustrate that your officer who made this suggestion of shifting my voting district to Cook has not done his/her home-work and merely looked at a map as we (the people) so frequently say is the case.

My Member of Parliament is easily accessible from where I live and would most certainly not be if Clifton Beach were to be included in the electorate of Cook in a totally alien world.

To put it bluntly, the officer who has proposed this change must be totally out of touch to say the very least.

I hereby wish to state that I wish to remain in the electorate of Barron River, an intelligent and sane arrangement.

Yours faithfully

Rob van Berman
7 Ribbon Close
Clifton Beach 4879
Ph: 07-40591953
E-mail: vanbee@iig.com.au

FILE No.	Extra 6
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/05574
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	4/5

ELECTORAL COMMISSION QUEENSLAND
04 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

D. Richmond
153 Arlington Esp
Clifton Beach
4879

... May 1999

E.C.Q.
009189

The Commissioner
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

QRC/OBJ 75

Dear Sir,

I wish to lodge an objection to the proposal to include the beachside suburbs of Palm Cove and Clifton Beach in the State Electorate of Cook.

As a resident of Palm Cove / Clifton Beach, I fail to see what possible community of interest I would have with the electorate of Cook which is based on Cape York Peninsula and Torres Strait.

Currently Palm Cove and Clifton Beach are in the State Electorate of Barron River, and that is where I would prefer them to stay.

This electorate includes the rest of the beachside suburbs which make up the Northern Beaches area of Cairns and I can see no sense in splitting this geographical area.

I urge you to please reconsider your propsal and return both Palm Cove and Clifton Beach into the electorate of Barron River.

Yours sincerely

D Richmond

ELECTORAL COMMISSION QUEENSLAND
04 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

FILE No.	Ex/n/6
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ 75
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ RECORDS	

ak

GARY MAGUIRE
57 YULE AVE
CLIFTON BEACH
QLD 4879

2. May 1999

E.C.Q.
009190

The Commissioner
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

QRC/OBJ 76

Dear Sir,

I wish to lodge an objection to the proposal to include the beachside suburbs of Palm Cove and Clifton Beach in the State Electorate of Cook.

As a resident of Palm Cove / Clifton Beach, I fail to see what possible community of interest I would have with the electorate of Cook which is based on Cape York Peninsula and Torres Strait.

Currently Palm Cove and Clifton Beach are in the State Electorate of Barron River, and that is where I would prefer them to stay.

This electorate includes the rest of the beachside suburbs which make up the Northern Beaches area of Cairns and I can see no sense in splitting this geographical area.

I urge you to please reconsider your propsal and return both Palm Cove and Clifton Beach into the electorate of Barron River.

Yours sincerely

.....

ELECTORAL COMMISSION QUEENSLAND
04 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

FILE No.	EX/7/6
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ 76
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	

A/S

TOTAL P.01

Stephen Tod
26 Beaver St
Clifton Beach
Queensland 4879

... May 1999

E.C.Q.
009191

The Commissioner
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

QRC/OBJ 77

Dear Sir,

I wish to lodge an objection to the proposal to include the beachside suburbs of Palm Cove and Clifton Beach in the State Electorate of Cook.

As a resident of Palm Cove / Clifton Beach, I fail to see what possible community of interest I would have with the electorate of Cook which is based on Cape York Peninsula and Torres Strait.

Currently Palm Cove and Clifton Beach are in the State Electorate of Barron River, and that is where I would prefer them to stay.

This electorate includes the rest of the beachside suburbs which make up the Northern Beaches area of Cairns and I can see no sense in splitting this geographical area.

I urge you to please reconsider your propsal and return both Palm Cove and Clifton Beach into the electorate of Barron River.

Yours sincerely

...L COMMISSION
...LAND
04 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

FILE No.	EX/79
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ 77
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	

4/5

Stephen F Tod
26 Beaver St
Clifton Beach

0011 61 070 504225

16 Hope Street
Clifton Beach
Qld 4879

Ph: 4055 6668 (bus)
4055 3048 (hm)

3 May 1999

E.C.Q.
009192

The Commissioner
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

QRC/OBJ78

Dear Sir,

I wish to lodge an objection to the proposal to include the beachside suburbs of Palm Cove and Clifton Beach in the State Electorate of Cook.

As a resident of Palm Cove / Clifton Beach, I fail to see what possible community of interest I would have with the electorate of Cook which is based on Cape York Peninsula and Torres Strait.

Currently Palm Cove and Clifton Beach are in the State Electorate of Barron River, and that is where I would prefer them to stay.

This electorate includes the rest of the beachside suburbs which make up the Northern Beaches area of Cairns and I can see no sense in splitting this geographical area.

I urge you to please reconsider your propsal and return both Palm Cove and Clifton Beach into the electorate of Barron River.

Yours sincerely

ELECTORAL COMMISSION QUEENSLAND
04 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

FILE No.	EX/79/6
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ 78
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	

4

18 BAINES ST
CLIFTON BEACH
QLD 4879

... May 1999

E.C.Q. 009193

The Commissioner
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

QRC/OBJ 79

Dear Sir,

I wish to lodge an objection to the proposal to include the beachside suburbs of Palm Cove and Clifton Beach in the State Electorate of Cook.

As a resident of Palm Cove / Clifton Beach, I fail to see what possible community of interest I would have with the electorate of Cook which is based on Cape York Peninsula and Torres Strait.

Currently Palm Cove and Clifton Beach are in the State Electorate of Barron River, and that is where I would prefer them to stay.

This electorate includes the rest of the beachside suburbs which make up the Northern Beaches area of Cairns and I can see no sense in splitting this geographical area.

I urge you to please reconsider your propsal and return both Palm Cove and Clifton Beach into the electorate of Barron River.

Yours sincerely

JULIE HOGAN

ELECTORAL COMMISSION QUEENSLAND
04 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

FILE No.	EX/79/6
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ 79
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ RECORDS	

1/5

BARRY SEDDON
31 OLIVA ST
PALM COVE
PH. 40.59.20.74

4th May 1999

E.C.Q.
009194

The Commissioner
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

QRC/OBJ 80

Dear Sir,

I wish to lodge an objection to the proposal to include the beachside suburbs of Palm Cove and Clifton Beach in the State Electorate of Cook.

As a resident of Palm Cove / Clifton Beach, I fail to see what possible community of interest I would have with the electorate of Cook which is based on Cape York Peninsula and Torres Strait.

Currently Palm Cove and Clifton Beach are in the State Electorate of Barron River, and that is where I would prefer them to stay.

This electorate includes the rest of the beachside suburbs which make up the Northern Beaches area of Cairns and I can see no sense in splitting this geographical area.

I urge you to please reconsider your propsal and return both Palm Cove and Clifton Beach into the electorate of Barron River.

Yours sincerely

ELECTORAL COMMISSION QUEENSLAND
04 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

FILE No.	EX 79/6
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ 80
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	4/5

070591320

MR & MRS W.J. SMITH
9 SAXON ST.
CLIFTON BEACH
4879

4. May 1999

E.C.Q.
009195

The Commissioner
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

QRC/OBJ 81

Dear Sir,

I wish to lodge an objection to the proposal to include the beachside suburbs of Palm Cove and Clifton Beach in the State Electorate of Cook.

As a resident of Palm Cove / Clifton Beach, I fail to see what possible community of interest I would have with the electorate of Cook which is based on Cape York Peninsula and Torres Strait.

Currently Palm Cove and Clifton Beach are in the State Electorate of Barron River, and that is where I would prefer them to stay.

This electorate includes the rest of the beachside suburbs which make up the Northern Beaches area of Cairns and I can see no sense in splitting this geographical area.

I urge you to please reconsider your propsal and return both Palm Cove and Clifton Beach into the electorate of Barron River.

Yours sincerely

W.J. Smith
W Smith

ELECTORAL COMMISSION QUEENSLAND
04 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

FILE No.	EX/79/6
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ 81
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	4/5

1300367363

A & R ALLEAN
34 YULE AVE
CLIFTON QLD
4873

E.C.Q.
009196

The Commissioner
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

QRC/OBJ82

Dear Sir,

I wish to lodge an objection to the proposal to include the beachside suburbs of Palm Cove and Clifton Beach in the State Electorate of Cook.

As a resident of Palm Cove / Clifton Beach, I fail to see what possible community of interest I would have with the electorate of Cook which is based on Cape York Peninsula and Torres Strait.

Currently Palm Cove and Clifton Beach are in the State Electorate of Barron River, and that is where I would prefer them to stay.

This electorate includes the rest of the beachside suburbs which make up the Northern Beaches area of Cairns and I can see no sense in splitting this geographical area.

I urge you to please reconsider your propsal and return both Palm Cove and Clifton Beach into the electorate of Barron River.

Yours sincerely

A & R Allean

ELECTORAL COMMISSION QUEENSLAND
04 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

FILE No.	EX/79/C
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ82
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	4/5

FILE No.	Ex/79/6
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ 83
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	4/5

.....
.....
.....
.....
.....

E.C.Q.
009197

... May 1999

The Commissioner
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

QRC/OBJ 83

Dear Sir,

I wish to lodge an objection to the proposal to include the beachside suburbs of Palm Cove and Clifton Beach in the State Electorate of Cook.

As a resident of Palm Cove / Clifton Beach, I fail to see what possible community of interest I would have with the electorate of Cook which is based on Cape York Peninsula and Torres Strait.

Currently Palm Cove and Clifton Beach are in the State Electorate of Barron River, and that is where I would prefer them to stay.

This electorate includes the rest of the beachside suburbs which make up the Northern Beaches area of Cairns and I can see no sense in splitting this geographical area.

I urge you to please reconsider your propsal and return both Palm Cove and Clifton Beach into the electorate of Barron River.

Yours sincerely

R. & M. KUIK
1 ORM REEF CLS
CLIFTON BEACH 4879.

ELECTORAL COMMISSION QUEENSLAND
04 MAY 1999

07 40311413

April 1999

E.C.Q.
009198

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 4001

Facsimile: 3229 7391

QRC/OBJ 84

Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and **wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes** which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.

Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely

..... (signature)

34 Lawson St .....(address)

Oxley Q 4075 .....

FILE No.	EX79/6
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ 84
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	

4/5

ELECTORAL COMMISSION QUEENSLAND
04 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

April 1999

E.C.Q.
009199

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 4001

Facsimile. 3229 7391

QRC/OBJ 85

Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and **wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes** which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.

Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely

D.M.McKenzie/ (signature)

67 Lincoln St (address)

Oxley 4075

ELECTORAL COMMISSION QUEENSLAND
04 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

FILE No.	EX/MA
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ 85
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	

4/5

From: "bfhouse" <bfhouse@fastinternet.net.au>
To: ECQ.ECQ(ECQ)
Date: Mon, May 3, 1999 2:42 pm
Subject: MULGRAVE

E.C.Q.
009200

M.L.VANDENBROEK
ACCOUNTANT
REG.TAX AGENT

P.O.BOX 181
MIRRIWINNI QLD 4871
Ph. 07 4067 6309

Email bfhouse@fastinternet.net.au

2nd May 1999

QRC/OBJ86

The Electoral Commission of Qld,
email ecq@ecq.qld.gov.au

Dear Sir,

RE: THE REDISTRIBUTION OF MULGRAVE ELECTORATE

I hereby lodge my strong objection to the redistribution of the boundary of the Mulgrave electorate.

I live in an area which is to be annexed to the Tablelands electorate, and this would cause me great inconvenience when dealing with my local MP.

I consider that annexing this portion of the Mulgrave electorate to the Tablelands is contra-indicated by the majority of the criteria at ss 45 and 46 of the Electoral Act 1992, in the following points:-

1) Community of Interest.
The area east of the Bellenden Ker Range has little or nothing in common with the Tablelands as regards lifestyle, farming, or climate; and the people of this area have little or no contact with the Tablelands.

2) Modes/methods of communication and travel.
As in all communities we are able to communicate with the Tablelands by telephone. This, however, is where it stops. There is no direct route of travel between Bartle Frere and the Tablelands. Reaching the Tableland area from here necessitates a circuitous route through other electorates, and takes a minimum of 80km travel up steep, winding roads.

3) Land forms and topography.
Even a brief glance at a topographical map will show that the two areas are cut off from each other by The Great Dividing Range!

4) Existing local government boundaries.
Local governments have their boundaries sensibly adjusted to account for the division by a Huge Mountain Range!

FILE No.	Ex/m/6
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ86
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	

4/5

This makes 4 out of 7 conditions against.
I urge you to reconsider this redistribution.
Yours Sincerely,
ML Vandenbroek

ELECTORAL COMMISSION QUEENSLAND
04 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

P.O. Box 13
Chinchilla
Qld. 4413
24th April 99

QRC/OBJ87

E.C.Q.
009201

Qld Redistribution Commission

Dear Sir

I want to protest against your latest redistribution performance. As a resident of Chinchilla Shire, I could not believe my eyes or my ears when I saw the proposed redistribution boundaries. I would have thought they were drawn up by Peter Beattie or Mike Kaiser.

It is incredible that the far-western electorate of Warrego could be brought to within two hundred miles of the east coast with the complete elimination of Western Downs in the process and completely ignoring the basic principle of areas of common interest with Chinchilla one of the most diverse agricultural areas in the state being put into an electorate of great areas of desert.

With the huge area west of the Great Dividing Range to the Northern Territory and S.A. borders and from the Gulf to the N.S.W. border being formed into only electorates and expecting members to service these electorates is ridiculous in the extreme.

This proposed redistribution is a bigger Labor manipulated gerrymander than anything Goh could even dream about. Beattie & Co say it is fair. Well we are all well aware of their interpretation of fairness.

One nation has been

over a good serve. Well you certainly let them know they don't have any friends in the electoral commission.

Well to put my thoughts in a few words I think it stinks

Yours sincerely
R J Budden

QRC/OBJ87

ELECTORAL COMMISSION QUEENSLAND
04 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

FILE No.	EX/9/6
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ87
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ RECORDS	4/5

E.C.Q.
009202

FILE No. EX/776
ACTION OFFICER DEC
REG. No. QRC/OBJ 88
DOCUMENTED YES/NO
SCANNED YES/NO
ATTACHED YES/NO
REPLY BY
REPLY SENT
ECQ. RECORDS
4/5

The Chairman
Local Government Boundaries Review Committee
QRC/OBJ 88

J. G. Laurie
4 Elizabeth St.
Witheatt
4352.

29-4-99

Dear Sir,

I would like to protest about shifting Witheatt from one Electorate to another, I can't see where we would gain anything from doing so.

The Gatton Shire Council are the people that have helped us get water, Everyone else that we contacted would not even see us, not even for 15 minutes.

Leave us where we are or ask all the people what they think not just do it like you are suggesting.

Yours Sincerely J. G. Laurie

ELECTORAL COMMISSION QUEENSLAND
04 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

COOLOOLA SHIRE COUNCIL

242 MARY STREET, (PO BOX 155),
GYMPIE Q 4570. FAX: (07) 5482 4140
E-mail: cooloola@tpgi.com.au

CONTACT: CEO
DEPT NO: (07) 54830652

E.C.Q. 009203

Our Ref: DSS:lmb00989
Your Ref:

29th April 1999

The Commissioner
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Floor 6
Forestry House
160 Mary Street
BRISBANE Qld 4000

QRC/OBJ 89

Dear Sir,

RE: REVIEW OF STATE ELECTORAL BOUNDARIES

Council has recently considered the advertised proposals relating to the redistribution of Queensland electorates.

My Council strongly objects to the boundaries as proposed as it results in the southern part of the Shire being in a Electorate with which it has no community of interest. Further it does not follow a logical geographical boundary.

Council requests that the Commissioners visit the Shire to see the effect of the proposed boundaries on the ground and discuss alternatives with Council.

Council looks forward to your response.

Yours faithfully,

DS SLATTER
CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER

ELECTORAL COMMISSION QUEENSLAND
04 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

FILE No.	Extra
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ 89
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	

4/5

[illegible]
Whitfield,
CAIRNS. Q. 4870.
30.4.'99.

The Electoral Commission of Q'l'd,
G.P.O Box 1393,
BRISBANE 4001.

QRC/OBJ 90

Dear Sir,

After reading Desley's letter (enclosed) to Cairns Post to state the renaming of Cairns to Cairns Central is not determined by politicians, I have decided to write and voice my disapproval.

I know I am not the only one upset by this, and guess many will say "why bother -they'll to it anyway" but I feel strongly about it.

I came to Cairns to live in 1940 so yes I am a local and proud to have lived in Cairns and cannot see why it has to be changed to Cairns Central which is the name of a large shopping centre on the old Railway Centre. This shopping centre has caused many of the older shop owners in Cairns much concern as the C.B.D as it was, is now really struggling. It also obtained many concessions that other people did not get. So Cairns Central is a Shopping Centre, same as any of the other shopping centres in Cairns District.

I am not in favour of these constant changes - I now am in Barron River electorate for state and Leighhardt for Federal. I haven't changed my address in 37 years and still have Cairns and Postal Code 4870 as my address. I cannot see why I do not vote in CAIRNS!

However it really upsets me to see Cairns become Cairns Central which is the Shopping Centre name. Please leave it as CAIRNS.

Yours faithfully,
Alwyne Flynn
Mrs. Alwyne Flynn.

E.C.Q.
009204

MP opposes seat change

MR Kubicki (23-4-99) is correct in stating that the seat of Cairns has been so named for 112 years. In the draft boundaries review, presently open for objections, it is proposed that the seat be re-named Cairns Central.

I am strongly opposed to this. The seat is clearly distinguished from the neighbouring seats of Barron River and Mulgrave, and to rename it after, as it happens, a local shopping centre, is not appropriate. As one businessman said to me, "I wouldn't mind it they named it after my business".

I have, however, been alarmed that some people think the boundaries review is determined by politicians. It is not. The review is conducted by an independent body, the Electoral Commission of Queensland, GPO Box 1393 Brisbane 4001 before May 10.

Cairns is a great place. The name, Cairns, has both history and presence and is widely known. Cairns is just fine as it is. — Desley Boyle, Member for Cairns.

ELECTORAL COMMISSION QUEENSLAND
04 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

FILE No.	EX/79/6
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ 90
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	

4/5

E.C.Q.
009205

April 1999

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 4001

Facsimile: 3229 7391

QRC / OBJ 91

Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and **wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes** which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.

Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely

J B Mills for Jillian (signature)

10 Kendall St, (address)

Oxley, Qld 4075

FILE No.	Ex/91/6
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ 91
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	4/5

ELECTORAL COMMISSION QUEENSLAND
04 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

April 1999



Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 4001

Facsimile: 3229 7391

QRC / OBJ 92

Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and **wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes** which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.


Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely

M. Nixono
..... (signature)

38 / 17 MILE ROCKS ROAD .....(address)

OXLEY - 4075 .....



FILE No.	EX/79/5
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ 92
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	4/5

E.C.Q. 009207

April 1999

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 4001

Facsimile: 3229 7391

QRC | OBJ 93

Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and **wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes** which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.

Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely

M. Bradley (Miss) (signature)
83 Enfield Rd (address)
Oxley 4075

ELECTORAL COMMISSION QUEENSLAND
04 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

At 90 years old as Oxley is a very old suburb it does not seem fair or right for the electral to change & divide Oxley into two divisions

Muriel Bradley

April 1999

E.C.Q.
009208

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 4001

Facsimile: 3229 7391

QRC/OBJ 94

Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and **wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes** which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.

Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely

..... (signature)

28 Lawson St .....(address)

Oxley 4075

QUEENSLAND
04 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

FILE No.	
ACTION OFFICER	
REG. No.	
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	

April 1999

E.C.Q.
009209

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 4001

Facsimile: 3229 7391

QRC/OBJ 95

Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and **wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes** which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.

Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely

M. J. Buechke ..... (signature)

9 DUNDAS ST .....(address)

OXLEY 4075 .....

FILE No.	EX/A/6
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ 95
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	4/5

QUEENSLAND
11 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

E.C.Q.
009210

April 1999

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 4001

Facsimile: 3229 7391

QRC/OBJ 96

Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and **wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes** which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.

Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely

Tracy Taylor-Everson (signature)

16 Boyford St (address)

Oxley

ELECTORAL COMMISSION QUEENSLAND
04 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

FILE No.	ex/m/6
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ 96
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	4/5

April 1999



Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 4001

Facsimile: 3229 7391

QRC | 0BJ 97

Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and **wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes** which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.


Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely

.....C. ... (signature)

...53 Kandale St.....(address)

.....Oxley Qld 4075.....



FILE No.	Ex/m/4
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/0BJ 97
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	4/5

"PLEASE, LISTEN TO THE PEOPLE"

April 1999

E.C.Q. 009212

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 4001

Facsimile: 3229 7391

QRC | OBJ 98

Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and **wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes** which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.

Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely

J-E. Walton R. F. Walton ..... (signature)

75 IRWIN TCE ..... (address)

OXLEY 4075 .....

ELECTORAL COMMISSION QUEENSLAND
04 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

FILE No.	EX/7/6
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ98
DOCUMENTED	YES/~~NO~~
SCANNED	YES/~~NO~~
ATTACHED	YES/~~NO~~
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	4/5

April 1999



Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 4001

Facsimile: 3229 7391

QRC | OBJ 99

Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and **wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes** which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.

Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.


Yours sincerely

J. S. Saxton ..... (signature)

T. S. SAXTON .....(address)

42 Bayford St Oxley .....

FILE No.	EX/7/6
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ 99
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	4/5



April 1999

E.C.Q.
009219

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 4001

Facsimile: 3229 7391

QRC | OBJ 100

Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and **wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes** which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.

Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely

M. Duffy ..... (signature)

19 Emerald St ..... (address)

Oxley 4075 .....

ELECTORAL COMMISSION QUEENSLAND
04 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

FILE No. EX/79/5
ACTION OFFICER DEC
REG. No. QRC/OBJ 100
DOCUMENTED YES/NO
SCANNED YES/NO
ATTACHED YES/NO
REPLY BY
REPLY SENT
ECQ. RECORDS 4/5

E.C.Q.
009215

April 1999

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 4001

Facsimile: 3229 7391

QRC / OBJ 101

Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and **wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes** which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.

Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely

L. J. Parker V Parker (signature)

10 BOONERA ST (address)

OXLEY

04 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

FILE No.	EX/76/6
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ 101
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	4/5

E.C.Q.
009216

April 1999

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 4001

Facsimile: 3229 7391

QRC | OBJ 102

Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and **wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes** which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.

Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely

B.J. Mackie (signature)

1 R Brocklea St (address)

Oxley 4075

ELECTORAL COMMISSION QUEENSLAND
14 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

FILE No. Ex/79/6
ACTION OFFICER DEC
REG. No. QRC/OBJ 102
DOCUMENTED YES/NO
SCANNED YES/NO
ATTACHED YES/NO
REPLY BY
REPLY SENT
ECQ. RECORDS 4/5

E.C.Q.
009217

27 April 1999

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 4001

Facsimile: 3229 7391

QRC/OBJ 103

Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and **wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes** which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.

Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely

[signature] (O.C family) (signature)

11 KENDALL ST (address)

OXLEY QLD 4075

ELECTORAL COMMISSION QUEENSLAND
04 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

FILE No.	EX/M/6
ACTION OFFICER	OEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ 103
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	4/5

E.C.Q.
009218

April 1999

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 4001

Facsimile: 3229 7391

QRC | OBJ 104

Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and **wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes** which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.

Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely

B. Roberts ..... (signature)

21 Holmedale Street, ...(address)

Oxley Brisbane 4075.....

ELECTORAL COMMISSION
QUEENSLAND
14 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

FILE No.	EX/M/6
ACTION OFFICER	DE C
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ 104
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	4/5

E.C.Q.
009220

April 1999

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 4001

Facsimile: 3229 7391

QRC/OBJ 105

Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and **wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes** which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.

Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely

E M & K Heers (signature)

44 BAYFORD ST (address)

OXLEY

ELECTORAL COMMISSION QUEENSLAND
04 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

FILE No. EX/79/6
ACTION OFFICER DEC
REG. No. QRC/OBJ 105
DOCUMENTED YES
SCANNED YES
ATTACHED YES
REPLY BY
REPLY SENT
ECQ. RECORDS 4/5

April 1999

E.C.Q.
009221

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 4001

Facsimile: 3229 7391

QRC/OBJ 106

Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and **wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes** which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.

Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely THOMAS SOLOMON & TINA SOLOMON

.....(signature)

22 BOODERA STREET .....(address)

OXLEY Q4075 .....

FILE No.	EX/A/6
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ 106
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	4/5

ELECTORAL COMMISSION QUEENSLAND
04 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

April 1999

E.C.Q. 009222

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 4001

Facsimile: 3229 7391

QRC/OBJ 107

Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and **wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes** which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.

Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely

R-J ..... (signature)

(RoseMarie James) .....(address)

100 Rutland St Oxley 4075

28-04-99

Cheryl James

ELECTORAL COMMISSION QUEENSLAND
04 MAY 1999

FILE No.	Ex/99/6
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ 107
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	4/5



April 1999

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 4001

Facsimile: 3229 7391

QRC / OBJ 108

Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and **wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes** which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.


Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely

M Harrison R Harrison (signature)

26 BAYFORD ST. (address)

OXLEY. 4075.



FILE No.	EX/74/6
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ 108
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	A/S

E.C.Q.
009224

April 1999

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 4001

Facsimile: 3229 7391

QRC/OBJ 109

Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and **wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes** which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.

Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely

..... (signature)

16 CAMPANA ST, OXLEY (address)

QUEENSLAND
04 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

FILE No.	EX/19/6
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ 109
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	

4/5



April 1999

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 4001

Facsimile: 3229 7391

QRC | OBJ 110

Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and **wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes** which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.


Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely

.....(signature)

19 Bayford St
.....(address)

OXLEY Q 4075



FILE No.	Ex/79/6
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ 110
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	

4/5

30 April 1999

E.C.Q.
009226

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 4001

Facsimile: 3229 7391

QRC/OBJ 111

Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and **wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes** which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.

Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely

.....Mrehrich (MICHELLE EHRICH)... (signature)

....1080 OXLEY ROAD.....(address)

...OXLEY QLD 4075.....

FILE No.	EX/71/6
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ 111
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	6/5

QUEENSLAND
04 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

30 April 1999

E.C.Q.
009227

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 4001

Facsimile: 3229 7391

QRC | OBJ 112

Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and **wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes** which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.


Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely

W Knott (signature) {William Knott}

1080 Oxley Road Oxley (address)

Qld. 4075



FILE No.	EX/79/6
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ 112
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	4/5

April 1999



Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 4001

Facsimile: 3229 7391

QRC | OBJ 113

Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and **wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes** which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.


Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely

R. Baker. (signature)

36. BAYFORD STREET. (address)

OXLEY. 4075.



FILE No.	EX/7/6
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ 113
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	4/5

April 1999



Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 4001

Facsimile: 3229 7391

QRC/OBJ 114

Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and **wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes** which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.


Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely

K O Mcguinness ..... (signature)

55 Price St .....(address)

Oxley 4075 .....



FILE No.	ex/m/6
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ 114
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	4/5

E.C.Q.
009230

April 1999

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 4001

Facsimile: 3229 7391

QRC/OBJ 115

Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and **wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes** which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.

Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely

Len Holt ; Joan Holt (signature)

28 Campana St (address)

Oxley 4075

ELECTORAL BOARD QUEENSLAND
04 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

FILE No.	EX/79/C
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ 115
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	4/5

April 1999

E.C.Q.
009231

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 4001

Facsimile: 3229 7391

QRC OBJ 116

Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and **wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes** which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.

Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely

F.J. Carl V.J. Carl (signature)

22 CALSTON STREET (address)

OXLEY QLD 4075

FILE No.	EX/99/6
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ 116
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	

4/5

ELECTORAL COMMISSION QUEENSLAND
04 MAY 1999

April 1999

E.C.Q.
009232

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 4001

Facsimile: 3229 7391

QRC | OBJ 117

Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and **wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes** which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.

Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely

K. Fredline (signature)

12 LAWSON STREET (address)

OXLEY 4075

ELECTORAL COMMISSION QUEENSLAND
04 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

FILE No.	EX/79/6
ACTION	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ 117
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	

4/5

April 1999



Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 4001

Facsimile: 3229 7391

QRC 1055 118

Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and **wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes** which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.


Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely

J.J. Bourke & J.M. Bourke ..... (signature)s)

33 St. Clements Rd .....(address)

Oxley (4075) .....



FILE No.	EX/776
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/055 118
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	

4/5

ELECTORAL COMMISSION QUEENSLAND
24 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

34 YULE AVENUE,
CLIFTON BEACH
QLD. 4879

2nd May 1999

E.C.Q.
009234

The Commissioner
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

QRC | OBJ 119

Dear Sir,

~~I~~ WE wish to lodge an objection to the proposal to include the beachside suburbs of Palm Cove and Clifton Beach in the State Electorate of Cook.

As a resident of ~~Palm Cove~~ / Clifton Beach, ~~I~~ WE fail to see what possible community of interest ~~I~~ WE would have with the electorate of Cook which is based on Cape York Peninsula and Torres Strait.

Currently Palm Cove and Clifton Beach are in the State Electorate of Barron River, and that is where ~~I~~ WE would prefer them to stay.

This electorate includes the rest of the beachside suburbs which make up the Northern Beaches area of Cairns and ~~I~~ WE can see no sense in splitting this geographical area.

~~I~~ WE urge you to please reconsider your propsal and return both Palm Cove and Clifton Beach into the electorate of Barron River.

Yours sincerely

MR. B.T. HENDERSON - BROOKS.

MRS. M.R. HENDERSON - BROOKS.

12 BAINES ST
CLIFTON BEACH
QLD 4879

E.C.Q.
009235

3. May 1999

The Commissioner
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

QRC|OBJ 120

Dear Sir,

I wish to lodge an objection to the proposal to include the beachside suburbs of Palm Cove and Clifton Beach in the State Electorate of Cook.

As a resident of ~~Palm Cove~~ / Clifton Beach, I fail to see what possible community of interest I would have with the electorate of Cook which is based on Cape York Peninsula and Torres Strait.

Currently Palm Cove and Clifton Beach are in the State Electorate of Barron River, and that is where I would prefer them to stay.

This electorate includes the rest of the beachside suburbs which make up the Northern Beaches area of Cairns and I can see no sense in splitting this geographical area.

I urge you to please reconsider your propsal and return both Palm Cove and Clifton Beach into the electorate of Barron River.

Yours sincerely

Keith Turner Janelle L. Turner.

K.W & J.L. TURNER

ELECTORAL COMMISSION QUEENSLAND
04 MAY 1999

Chris Grasland
22 Yulex Ave
Clifton Beach
Qld 4879

... May 1999

E.C.Q.
009236

The Commissioner
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

QRC/CBJ 121

Dear Sir,

I wish to lodge an objection to the proposal to include the beachside suburbs of Palm Cove and Clifton Beach in the State Electorate of Cook.

As a resident of Palm Cove / Clifton Beach, I fail to see what possible community of interest I would have with the electorate of Cook which is based on Cape York Peninsula and Torres Strait.

Currently Palm Cove and Clifton Beach are in the State Electorate of Barron River, and that is where I would prefer them to stay.

This electorate includes the rest of the beachside suburbs which make up the Northern Beaches area of Cairns and I can see no sense in splitting this geographical area.

I urge you to please reconsider your propsal and return both Palm Cove and Clifton Beach into the electorate of Barron River.

Yours sincerely

ELECTORAL COMMISSION QUEENSLAND
04 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

Roma J. Perry
27 Batt St,
Clifton Beach
4879
Phone 07 40 55 38 57

... May 1999



The Commissioner
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

QRC/OBJ 122

Dear Sir,

I wish to lodge an objection to the proposal to include the beachside suburbs of Palm Cove and Clifton Beach in the State Electorate of Cook.


As a resident of Palm Cove / Clifton Beach, I fail to see what possible community of interest I would have with the electorate of Cook which is based on Cape York Peninsula and Torres Strait.

Currently Palm Cove and Clifton Beach are in the State Electorate of Barron River, and that is where I would prefer them to stay.

This electorate includes the rest of the beachside suburbs which make up the Northern Beaches area of Cairns and I can see no sense in splitting this geographical area.

I urge you to please reconsider your propsal and return both Palm Cove and Clifton Beach into the electorate of Barron River.

Yours sincerely



Roma J Perry

Am absolutely horrified at this stupidity.

SUSAN BENNETTS
69 ARLINGTON ESP,
CLIFTON BEACH 487
.....
.....

E.C.Q.
009238

/.. May 1999

The Commissioner
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

QRC | OBJ 123

Dear Sir,

I wish to lodge an objection to the proposal to include the beachside suburbs of Palm Cove and Clifton Beach in the State Electorate of Cook.

As a resident of Palm Cove / Clifton Beach, I fail to see what possible community of interest I would have with the electorate of Cook which is based on Cape York Peninsula and Torres Strait.

Currently Palm Cove and Clifton Beach are in the State Electorate of Barron River, and that is where I would prefer them to stay.

This electorate includes the rest of the beachside suburbs which make up the Northern Beaches area of Cairns and I can see no sense in splitting this geographical area.

I urge you to please reconsider your propsal and return both Palm Cove and Clifton Beach into the electorate of Barron River.

Yours sincerely

.....

14 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

FILE No.	EX/79/6
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ 123
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	4/5

FROM

JK & FN DALE
57 GUIDE ST
CLIFTON BEACH
CAIRNS QLD
4879

2 May 1999



The Commissioner
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

QRC/OBJ 124

Dear Sir,

I wish to lodge an objection to the proposal to include the beachside suburbs of Palm Cove and Clifton Beach in the State Electorate of Cook.

As a resident of Palm Cove / Clifton Beach, I fail to see what possible community of interest I would have with the electorate of Cook which is based on Cape York Peninsula and Torres Strait.


Currently Palm Cove and Clifton Beach are in the State Electorate of Barron River, and that is where I would prefer them to stay.

This electorate includes the rest of the beachside suburbs which make up the Northern Beaches area of Cairns and I can see no sense in splitting this geographical area.

I urge you to please reconsider your propsal and return both Palm Cove and Clifton Beach into the electorate of Barron River.

Yours sincerely

Dale M Dale



FILE No.	EXT 1/6
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ 124
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	

MR V. SERGEEV
1/41 CLIFTON RD.
CLIFTON BEACH
4879

1 of May 1999

E.C.Q.
009240

The Commissioner
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

QRC | OBJ 125

Dear Sir,

I wish to lodge an objection to the proposal to include the beachside suburbs of Palm Cove and Clifton Beach in the State Electorate of Cook.

As a resident of Palm Cove / Clifton Beach, I fail to see what possible community of interest I would have with the electorate of Cook which is based on Cape York Peninsula and Torres Strait.

Currently Palm Cove and Clifton Beach are in the State Electorate of Barron River, and that is where I would prefer them to stay.

This electorate includes the rest of the beachside suburbs which make up the Northern Beaches area of Cairns and I can see no sense in splitting this geographical area.

I urge you to please reconsider your propsal and return both Palm Cove and Clifton Beach into the electorate of Barron River.

Yours sincerely

MR V SERGEEV

QUEENSLAND
04 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

FILE No.	EX/79/6
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ 125
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	4/5

D. Kelly and C. Bennett
14 Pellowe St
Clifton Beach Q 4879

E.C.Q.
009241

03 May 1999

The Commissioner
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

QRC/OBJ 126

Dear Sir,

I wish to lodge an objection to the proposal to include the beachside suburbs of Palm Cove and Clifton Beach in the State Electorate of Cook.

As a resident of Palm Cove / Clifton Beach, I fail to see what possible community of interest I would have with the electorate of Cook which is based on Cape York Peninsula and Torres Strait.

Currently Palm Cove and Clifton Beach are in the State Electorate of Barron River, and that is where I would prefer them to stay.

This electorate includes the rest of the beachside suburbs which make up the Northern Beaches area of Cairns and I can see no sense in splitting this geographical area.

I urge you to please reconsider your propsal and return both Palm Cove and Clifton Beach into the electorate of Barron River.

Yours sincerely

D. Kelly C. Bennett

04 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

FILE No.	EX/M/6
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ 126
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	S/S

MRS M. SERGEEV
1/41 CLIFTON RD
CLIFTON BEACH
4879



1ST of May 1999

The Commissioner
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

QRC/OBJ 127

Dear Sir,

I wish to lodge an objection to the proposal to include the beachside suburbs of Palm Cove and Clifton Beach in the State Electorate of Cook.

As a resident of Palm Cove / Clifton Beach, I fail to see what possible community of interest I would have with the electorate of Cook which is based on Cape York Peninsula and Torres Strait.


Currently Palm Cove and Clifton Beach are in the State Electorate of Barron River, and that is where I would prefer them to stay.

This electorate includes the rest of the beachside suburbs which make up the Northern Beaches area of Cairns and I can see no sense in splitting this geographical area.

I urge you to please reconsider your propsal and return both Palm Cove and Clifton Beach into the electorate of Barron River.

Yours sincerely

.....





The Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

GRC/OBJ 128

Queensland Electoral Redistribution

I note that the town of Kenilworth is proposed to be included in the new electorate of Nanango along with other small towns situated in the Mary Valley, Brooloo and Conondale.


I wish to strenuously object to this arrangement on the grounds that the towns of Kenilworth, Brooloo and Conondale have no community of interest with the towns in the Nanango area and the area shown as included in the proposed electorate. Rather they are physically, commercially and socially connected to the areas of Nambour, Gympie, Maroochydore and the Sunshine Coast Hinterland area.
In addition:

1. These three towns rely for their major commerce on the coastal towns of Gympie, Nambour and Maleny. They have no direct road connection with the Nanango area and no business or social connection with that area.

2. For these towns the Health Support Systems are all based in Nambour, Maleny or Gympie. Such services as hospitals, specialist services and aged facilities are all located East of our towns, never in a Westward direction.

3. Gaining access to the Parliamentarian for the area would be very difficult as road access all run in an Easterly direction. Likewise, servicing this area would prove difficult for the elected encumbant.

I therefore, request that the redistribution of electoral boundaries include the towns of Kenilworth, Brooloo and Conondale in an area based on the Coast.



Sincerely Leisa Gunton

Signature

Name Leisa Gunton Address 7 Kenilworth P.O. Kenilworth, 4574

Date 30-4-99

E.C.Q.
009244

The Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

QRC/OBJ 129

Queensland Electoral Redistribution

I note that the town of Kenilworth is proposed to be included in the new electorate of Nanango along with other small towns situated in the Mary Valley, Brooloo and Conondale.

I wish to strenuously object to this arrangement on the grounds that the towns of Kenilworth, Brooloo and Conondale have no community of interest with the towns in the Nanango area and the area shown as included in the proposed electorate. Rather they are physically, commercially and socially connected to the areas of Nambour, Gympie, Maroochydore and the Sunshine Coast Hinterland area.
In addition:

1. These three towns rely for their major commerce on the coastal towns of Gympie, Nambour and Maleny. They have no direct road connection with the Nanango area and no business or social connection with that area.

2. For these towns the Health Support Systems are all based in Nambour, Maleny or Gympie. Such services as hospitals, specialist services and aged facilities are all located East of our towns, never in a Westward direction.

3. Gaining access to the Parliamentarian for the area would be very difficult as road access all run in an Easterly direction. Likewise, servicing this area would prove difficult for the elected encumbant.

I therefore, request that the redistribution of electoral boundaries include the towns of Kenilworth, Brooloo and Conondale in an area based on the Coast.

ELECTORAL COMMISSION
QUEENSLAND
04 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

Sincerely

Signature Lucy Lowieke

Name Lucy LOWIEKE Address 18 Phillip St.
Kenilworth
4574

Date 30th April -99

E.C.Q.
009245

The Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

QRC/OBJ 130

Queensland Electoral Redistribution

I note that the town of Kenilworth is proposed to be included in the new electorate of Nanango along with other small towns situated in the Mary Valley, Brooloo and Conondale.

I wish to strenuously object to this arrangement on the grounds that the towns of Kenilworth, Brooloo and Conondale have no community of interest with the towns in the Nanango area and the area shown as included in the proposed electorate. Rather they are physically, commercially and socially connected to the areas of Nambour, Gympie, Maroochydore and the Sunshine Coast Hinterland area.
In addition:

1. These three towns rely for their major commerce on the coastal towns of Gympie, Nambour and Maleny. They have no direct road connection with the Nanango area and no business or social connection with that area.

2. For these towns the Health Support Systems are all based in Nambour, Maleny or Gympie. Such services as hospitals, specialist services and aged facilities are all located East of our towns, never in a Westward direction.

3. Gaining access to the Parliamentarian for the area would be very difficult as road access all run in an Easterly direction. Likewise, servicing this area would prove difficult for the elected encumbant.

I therefore, request that the redistribution of electoral boundaries include the towns of Kenilworth, Brooloo and Conondale in an area based on the Coast.

QUEENSLAND
24 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

Sincerely

Signature

Name DENNIS NOEL RICKARDS-HANSON

Address 29 ANNE STREET KENILWORTH 4574

Date 29th April 99.

E.C.Q.
009246

The Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

QRC/OBJ131

Queensland Electoral Redistribution

I note that the town of Kenilworth is proposed to be included in the new electorate of Nanango along with other small towns situated in the Mary Valley, Brooloo and Conondale.

I wish to strenuously object to this arrangement on the grounds that the towns of Kenilworth, Brooloo and Conondale have no community of interest with the towns in the Nanango area and the area shown as included in the proposed electorate. Rather they are physically, commercially and socially connected to the areas of Nambour, Gympie, Maroochydore and the Sunshine Coast Hinterland area.
In addition:

1. These three towns rely for their major commerce on the coastal towns of Gympie, Nambour and Maleny. They have no direct road connection with the Nanango area and no business or social connection with that area.

2. For these towns the Health Support Systems are all based in Nambour, Maleny or Gympie. Such services as hospitals, specialist services and aged facilities are all located East of our towns, never in a Westward direction.

3. Gaining access to the Parliamentarian for the area would be very difficult as road access all run in an Easterly direction. Likewise, servicing this area would prove difficult for the elected encumbant.

I therefore, request that the redistribution of electoral boundaries include the towns of Kenilworth, Brooloo and Conondale in an area based on the Coast.

ELECTORAL COMMISSION
QUEENSLAND
04 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

Sincerely

Signature A. Richards-Hanson

Name A. RICHARDS-HANSON Address 29 Anne St Kenilworth 4574.

Date 29.4.99

QUEENSLAND GOVERNMENT

E.C.Q.
009247

TREASURER OF QUEENSLAND

FILE No.	Ex/m/6
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ 132
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	S/S

4 May 1999

QRC/OBJ 132

Chairperson and Commissioners
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Level 6, Forestry House
160 Mary Street
BRISBANE QLD 4000

Dear Sirs

I wish to lodge an objection to the naming of the proposed *Ipswich Central* electoral district and I tender the following to support this objection.

1. The name of the electoral district of *Ipswich* has particular historic and local significance, whereas the name *Ipswich Central* does not.

   There has been an electoral district called *Ipswich* for 128 of the past 140 years. Ipswich was one of the original 16 electorates established under the Order in Council of 6th June 1859 and apart from the period 1960-1972 when the City of Ipswich was divided between *Ipswich West* and *Ipswich East*, the name *Ipswich* has been traditionally used to describe the electoral district which encompassed the CBD area and the inner eastern suburbs of the city.

2. The proposed new electoral district of *Ipswich Central* encompasses the entirety of my existing electoral of *Ipswich* and, as consequence, the boundary changes are not significant and therefore, a name change is not only unwarranted but it is contrary to both the view of EARC in its *Report on the Review of the Election Act 1903-1991 and Related Matters* and the stated policy of the Queensland Redistribution Commission to follow EARC's suggestion.

3. As a result of the long term association of the name *Ipswich* with those particular suburbs which comprise the CBD area and the inner eastern suburbs of the city, the removal of the name *Ipswich* will generate confusion in the community as to which areas fall within the proposed new electoral district.

.../2

Office: Level 9, Executive Building 100 George Street Brisbane Queensland
Postal: GPO Box 611 Brisbane Qld Australia 4001 **Email:** treasurer@ministerial.qld.gov.au
Phone: (07) 3224 6900
Fax: (07) 3229 0642

4. A change to the name of the electoral district which encompasses the whole of the existing electoral district of *Ipswich* is totally unnecessary, particularly as the names of the bordering electoral districts remain unchanged with the new *Ipswich West* occupying substantially the same territory as the existing seat which bears the name, and the existing district of *Bundamba* being unchanged in the Redistribution Commission's report.

5. In response to the original submission (QRC/S 13) which influenced the Redistribution Commission to suggest the name of the *Ipswich* electoral district be changed to *Ipswich Central*, I submit that any system for the issue of ballot papers which simply relies on a voter's recollection of the name of the constituency for which they are enrolled will be fraught with error.


   In order to maximise the formal vote and overcome voter error and confusion over electoral boundaries, surely those employed by the Electoral Commission to issue ballot papers and especially absentee votes should have access to the information published by the Electoral Commission which details the localities, suburbs and streets contained with each electoral district in order that voters are issued with the correct ballot papers for the district in which they reside and are presumably enrolled.

I trust these matters will receive your sympathetic consideration.

Yours faithfully

Hon David Hamill MLA
Treasurer and Member for Ipswich

QRC/OBJ 132



MOOLOOLAH VALLEY COMMUNITY ASSOCIATION INC

E.C.Q.
009248

QRC/OBJ 133

Hon. Secretary
Mrs B Smith
8 Karanne Drive
Mooloolah Valley 4553
Phone: (07) 5494 7603

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
Brisbane Qld. 9001

29 April 1999

Re Proposed Electoral Boundary of Glasshouse / Nicklin

in the Mooloolah Area

Dear Sir,

The Mooloolah Valley Community Association is concerned with one specific section of the proposed new boundary, namely that which divides our community of interest in half. Mooloolah to the East of Kowald Rd. and Glenview to the West (not named on map) are a combined community contained within the physical boundaries of the Mooloolah Valley and are all in the Caloundra City Council area.

Eudlo is over a ridge, in the catchment of the Maroochy River and within the Maroochy Shire and would be better located wholly in the Nicklin electorate.

The roaming system is more aligned along the valleys than across the ridges thus the proposed revision of the Electoral Boundaries combines part of Eudlo with Mooloolah township and part of the Mooloolah River valley in the proposed "Glasshouse" electorate. The remainder of our extended family in the Mooloolah River valley is in the proposed "Nicklin" electorate down to the Bruce Highway.

The existing boundaries follow the ridge line through forested country which is the boundary of the Caloundra City Council Maroochy Shire, from Sippy Creek on the Bruce Highway to Bald Knob on the Maleny-Landsborough Road.

If the proposed realignment of the electoral boundary retained the existing boundary, between the Bruce Highway and Bald Knob, this would put the Ewen Maddock Dam and Glenview community together with the Mooloolah Township into the proposed "Glasshouse" electorate. Thus leaving the whole of Eudlo in the proposed "Nicklin" electorate.

The Mooloolah Valley Community Association respectfully requests that consideration be given to deleting the section of the proposed boundary between the proposed electorates of "Nicklin" and "Glasshouse" that runs West from the Bruce

Highway to the Western end of the Upper Landershute Road. This boundary to be replaced with a new proposed boundary, starting from the Bruce Highway north of Palmview and running West along the ridge line above the Mooloolah river Valley to the bottom of the Blackall Range escarpment then Northwards to the Western end of the Upper Landershute Road. See attached map for the approximate location.

Thank you for your consideration.

Yours sincerely

per K C Wise


Barbara Smith.
Secretary
Mooloolah Valley Community Association

FILE No.	EX/7/6
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ 133
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECO. RECORDS	s/s

QRC / OBJ 133

04 MAY 1999

RECEIVED



QUEENSLAND REDISTRIBUTION COMMISSION

QRC OBJ 133

© The State of Queensland, Department of Natural Resources, 1999

B

QRC | OBJ 134

E.C.Q.
009249

330 Reesville Road
Maleny 4552 QLD
30.4.99

The Queensland Electoral Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
Brisbane 9001

Dear Commissioners,

In response to the proposal to include re-distribute State electoral boundaries making Reesville and Witta part of Nanango electorate:

I strongly object to this proposal on the grounds of:
* community of interest
* natural boundaries
* local Council boundaries.

Re: community of interest:
I have owned my land for 30 years and have always identified as Maleny, in postal matters and a large variety of community activities. I am a writer and my links are with Maleny Library, which is part of Caloundra Library Service. I belong to several organisations based in Maleny. I represent Maleny in various state- and nationally-based arts organisations. Our area of Reesville is regarded by all residents as 'a suburb' of Maleny, as is the Witta district. Reesville was one of the earliest settlements of Maleny, with farming by Rees in the 1890s. I organise the annual Reesville Christmas Party, inviting all Maleny residents.

Re: natural boundaries:
My property is at the beginning the Obi Obi, which runs through Maleny. All areas 'on the Range' identify as a group, geographically (which is affirmed by all aspects of transport) and socially (which is affirmed by the community living in the catchment area of the Obi Obi).

Re: local Council boundaries:
Reesville is part of Caloundra Shire, having been part of Landsborough which was subsumed by Caloundra. It has never been part of any shires to the west.

Any redistribution linking our area to Nanango would be illogical and insulting to those of us who have worked so hard for the Maleny area in so many fields. Even the suggestion is emotionally very upsetting.

Yours faithfully,
Jill Morris
(Author and Publisher) Jill Morris

ELECTORAL COMMISSION QUEENSLAND
24 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

FILE No.	EX/23/6
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ/134
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	

5/5

MAYOR OF THE SHIRE OF PINE RIVERS
Councillor
YVONNE CHAPMAN

PO Box 5070
Strathpine Q 4500

Telephone: 3205 0515

E.C.Q.
009250

PINE RIVERS

Pine Rivers Shire Council
220 Gympie Road
Strathpine Qld 4500
PO Box 5070
Strathpine Qld 4500

FILE No.	EX/7/6
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ 135
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	

29 April 1999

QRC/OBJ 135

Mrs G E Aurisch
Secretary
Queensland Re-distribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE Q 9001

Dear Mrs Aurisch

RE-DISTRIBUTION PROPOSAL -- KURWONGBAH/ASPLEY ELECTORATES

I have become aware that a re-distribution of the Queensland State Electoral Boundaries is currently being examined and I write in regard to the boundary proposal between the electorates of Kurwongbah and Aspley.

There is a portion of the north-west section of the Aspley electorate, as indicated on the attached plan, which I suggest should be transferred into the Kurwongbah electorate.

I would advocate using the common boundary between the Pine Rivers Shire and Brisbane City from Albany Creek through Strathpine and along the South Pine River to the North Pine River, rather than deviating up Gympie Road and dividing that section of the Shire into two separate State Electorates.

Certainly the residential areas within that section of Strathpine are part of the Strathpine/Brendale/Bray Park/Lawnton central business/commercial/residential district of the Shire and have a common interest with the Kurwongbah electorate and have nothing in common with Aspley.

The most compelling reason for a change is that the residents of the subject area have to travel past the Kurwongbah Electorate Office and through the Kurwongbah Electorate to reach the nearest portion of the Aspley electorate and then travel some considerable distance down the highway towards Brisbane to reach their Member's Electorate Office, which is located in the suburb of Aspley.

Leaving the boundary as proposed would divide the Strathpine/Lawnton retail, commercial and industrial areas and separate almost 3000 electors of the Pine Rivers Shire from the majority of local residents who reside in the remaining Pine Rivers suburbs of the Kurwongbah Electorate.

..2.

ELECTORAL COMMISSION QUEENSLAND
04 MAY 1999

The vast majority of residents using the retail/commercial facilities are from the Kurwongbah Electorate. This would also apply to the students attending the major district high school (Pine Rivers State High School), the majority of whom would no doubt come from the Kurwongbah electorate.

From Council's point of view, it would of course also be much easier to deal with one State Member over matters relating to local facilities and particularly Main Roads, Schools, etc.

I would be happy to provide further particulars to assist in your consideration of my suggestion or to arrange an inspection of the area.


Yours sincerely

Y. A. Chapman


YVONNE CHAPMAN
MAYOR

QRC/OBJ 135

PROPOSED QUEENSLAND ELECTORAL BOUNDARIES
APRIL 1999



QRC/OBJ
135



27 April, 1999

E.C.Q.
009251

Queensland Redistribution Commission,
Locked Bag 3300,
BRISBANE. QLD. 9001.

QRC|OBJ 136

Dear Sir/Madam,

The Bowen Shire Council would like to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes and how they affect the Bowen Shire Council.

To have the Bowen Shire split into three separate state electorates is the most ridiculous action ever take by the Electoral Commission. The Commission has obviously not taken into consideration any "community of interest" or the effect the boundary changes will have on each town.

It is disappointing that the Commission has merely used Bowen as a card shuffling exercise to balance the Burdekin/Whitsundays and Charters Towers electorates.

The Bowen Shire Council recently engaged a team of management consultants to address the current economic problems experienced by the Shire and one of the major problems identified was that it is not possible for a resident of the Shire to see his/her elected members and representatives of those departments in the same regional city on the same day. The present situation presents a serious barrier to growth and discourages outside investors and developers. These comments were made prior to the recent changes made by the Electoral Commission.

It is completely inappropriate for a Shire the size of Bowen (with 14,500 people) to be split between two federal members and three state members. Basically, it means that representations will be fractured and disjointed. We implore on the Electoral Commission to immediately address this situation and right the wrongs.

Yours faithfully,

TIMOTHY J. ROSE
CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER

TJR:jv

ELECTORAL COMMISSION
QUEENSLAND
04 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

BOWEN
TOP OF THE WHITSUNDAYS

For further information please contact: Mr. T.J. Rose
Reference No.: 2701:98/99 2/77
Direct Dial No.: 07 4786 0624

Postal Address: P O Box 306 Bowen Qld Australia 4805
Telephone: (07) 4786 1866 Facsimile: (07) 4786 2457
Email: bowensc@tpgi.com.au



BOWEN / COLLINSVILLE ENTERPRISE

E.C.Q.
009252

FILE No.	EX/79/6
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ 137
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	

S/S

29th April, 1999

QRC/OBJ 137

Queensland Redistribution Commission,
Locked Bag 3300,
BRISBANE. QLD. 9001.

Dear Sir/Madam,

RE: BOUNDARY CHANGES - BURDEKIN / WHITSUNDAY

The Bowen / Collinsville Enterprise Committee is an organisation that has been formed to reinvigorate the economy of the Bowen Shire.

Following a series of events (i.e. closure of Meatworks, downsizing of the power and mining industries) which has had a detrimental impact on the economy and employment of the Bowen Shire, the Enterprise Committee engaged a consultant, Gibson and Associates, to carry out a strategy report on how to reverse the current economic situation.

One of the most important comments attributed to the report was the need to centralise State and Federal representation and also the corresponding government departments. The Committee, with the Bowen Shire Council, has been working on this proposal over the recent months seeking to further our connection with the Mackay Region to consolidate these services.

We believe through the recent boundary distribution, splitting the Bowen Shire between three State electorates is divisive and a major impediment to growth.

We would ask that the Electoral Commission review this situation as a matter of urgency.

Yours faithfully,

MR. DAVID EVANS,
CHAIRMAN

ELECTORAL COMMISSION QUEENSLAND
04 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

P O BOX 306 BOWEN QLD 4805
TELEPHONE: 0747 861 866 FACSIMILE: 0747 86 2457

8 Raintree Place
EDGE HILL Q 4870

E.C.Q.
009253

28 April 1999

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE Q 9001

QRC/OBJ 138

Dear Sir

Re: Proposed Queensland Legislative Assembly Electoral Districts

I wish to make a submissions on two issues as follows.

1. BARRON RIVER

I live in the existing seat of Barron River.

I suggest that the southern boundary of the Barron River electorate be moved north to follow the catchment of the Whitfield Range. Thus the Cairns suburbs of Edge Hill and Whitfield would move to the Cairns electorate while Freshwater and Stratford would remain in Barron River. The reason for this is that the former two suburbs are geographically, historically and economically part of the Cairns urban area while the latter two are recognisable "villages" associated with the former rural lands of the Barron flood plain.

The use of geographic boundaries such as watersheds wherever possible is consistent with modern planning practice.

2. CAIRNS

I object to the proposed renaming of "Cairns" to "Cairns Central" on the grounds that it is unnecessary (unless we were going to have a "Cairns Outer" or some other designation using the word "Cairns").

"Cairns Central" is also the name of a Cairns CBD shopping mall and I see little point in the voters of Queensland providing that operation with free advertising.

Yours faithfully

David Rivett

QUEENSLAND
04 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

FILE No.	Ex/79
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ 138
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	

3/5

Nerang Chamber of Commerce Inc

E.C.Q.
009254



Suite 5 Earle Plaza
Cnr Price & White Sts
Nerang Qld 4211
PO Box 627

Phone No: 5596 0683
Fax No: 5596 0533

QRC/OBJ 139

April 29, 1999

FILE No.	Ex/79/6
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ/139
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	

5/5

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
Brisbane Qld 9001

Your Reference: QRC/0
Re: Proposed Boundary Changes affecting Nerang

Dear Sir

On behalf of our business community I wish to express in the strongest possible manner our anger, at not only the decision to further decimate our town by the proposal to split us into two separate electoral divisions, but to remove the name Nerang from either of the proposed new divisions.

Nerang is one of the Gold Coast's oldest and most historical regions, as well as being of significant geographical importance as the focal point of the entire Hinterland.
It deserves recognition!

Despite this, we have been historically fragmented by physical influences such as the Pacific Highway and the river, and have recently been further weakened by changes to the Gold Coast City Council's divisional boundaries, which resulted in us being allocated disproportionately to FOUR local councillors, each of whom have higher priorities in other areas.

To be advised that we are to be further carved up in the proposed manner does nothing for investor or business confidence in the region, and concerns us that we will suffer even greater problems in terms of priority funding and recognition than we have now.

ELECTORAL COMMISSION
QUEENSLAND
04 MAY 1999

Having spoken to Nerang MP Ray Connor, we are virtually resigned to the fact that some redistribution will occur in Nerang, however we urge you to consider the following two issues when determining the final process

1. Please ensure that Nerang's Commercial, Industrial and Retail precincts are contained within the one Division, and are not split as proposed.
   (This can be achieved by moving the eastern boundary to the railway line instead of the highway.)

2. Please retain the name "NERANG" either by itself, or in conjunction, (as in "Nerang – Helensvale") as the name for the revised division.

Both of these requests would provide the Nerang Business Community with some degree of consolation for the otherwise major changes which you have proposed, and we await your comments.

Yours faithfully


David Treacher

David B Treacher
President
Nerang Chamber of Commerce Inc.

QRC | OBJ 139

To: Qld Redistribution Commission
Level 6, Forestry House
160 Mary Street, BRISBANE. Qld 4000.

Windsor 4030
28 April 1999.





QRC OBJ 140

Dear Sir: State Electoral Redistribution

I request the Commission reconsider the electorates I comment on below. Some such proposed electorates directly effect me. Others are indirect but of real importance because the whole of the state, if outstanding shortcomings appear to show up, will be the worse for such shortcomings in these cases to an outstanding degree. I am in no political party; am a concerned member of the public, concerned that details and trends in the redistribution are for the worse.

Firstly, where I live in the Brisbane Central electorate, the eastward extensions of Ashgrove electorate into Enoggera and Grange are good for neither electorate. They make Ashgrove elongated to an absurd extent in a suburban electorate, and damage Brisbane Central by taking parts of Grange from a fairly low hill into a far more western suburbs' electorate. To keep population figures correct, more southern parts of Brisbane, in a "Western bulge" near Or in Newmarket may best be changed to Ashgrove to further community links in both electorates.

Lockyer, Callide, Fitzroy and Charters' Towers seem to have much worse shortcomings. This state has a vast inland. People, for several decades, have been brainwashed into being turned into effective lemmings in a coastal charge. Hinterlands' links with ports, plus chances to encourage resourcefulness resolve and responsibility to live inland within two hours drive of a port are negated by this type of near-inland electorate.

Lockyer's areas south of Ipswich appear to be absurd; a badly shaped, semi-isolated area with no sub-electorate HQ. Why can't Bundamba be made more southerly? Ipswich West could then be made more southerly. And Lockyer could be a little more easterly, no problem in a semi-metropolitan electorate as it is, also more northerly, yet in more community.

Charters' Towers is a ghastly electorate. It seems absurd to "unite" places from the lower Gulf to Central Western Queensland. Why can't Bowen be placed in Burdekin to move that electorate from Townsville further? Shires like Belyando and Peak Downs also Jericho then may be linked to Mirani. Where Whitsunday loses Bowen its coastal realities can move south to at least parts of Sarina Shire to fill its quota Where Burdekin moves south from Townsville, so can Charters Towers include a Bowen population part of Thuringowa city to enhance and combine realities of Townsville's hinterland. Even a few hundred voters from the Bohle area now proposed for Hinchinbrook could justify holding to the Thuringowa name although Townsville Next may now be a considered name. QRC 1085 1400

Mirani, like Burnett, has inland more than coastal meanings. The extension of Mirani into the Belyando, Peak Downs even Jericho area is a sensible hinterland to Mackay. North-Western areas of Fitzroy are also more likely here, from western Broadsound Council. Coastal Broad-Sound could be beneficially changed from Mirani to Fitzroy, as this area has stronger Rockhampton than Mirani links. This is close over 100,000

Callide and Burnett links require apparent similar inter-change of areas. Miriam Vale, out of this is more linked to north of the Burnett River and such north of Burnett areas to Callide than such as Kilkivan which may replace Howard and nearby areas in Maryborough, as Kilkivan Shire is certainly Maryborough hinterland more than are Wondai and Murgon Callide is another inland "united" more than community linked as proposed, an unwieldy over-forced entity which could be made more compact. Splitting Burnett Shire will not destroy the community of the Burnett area, and I think will enhance community

I have indicated only one name change – possibly Townsville West. The use of "central" at Bundaberg, Redcliffe, Maroochydore and Redlands could be considered, as could "Gold Coast Central." It will be a beneficial linking to the State Capital over a wide area in character both with the decentralized renown of this state, plus the quality of recent metropolitan growth in more than Ipswich. Burnett and Callide would be smaller.

The extra "phantom" electorate I propose will make people in most areas of my proposed Mirani and Charters Towers in a smaller electorate. This will be overall benefit.

Yours sincerely Bruce Alexander

E.C.Q.
009256

60 and Better
One Voice Network

QRC/ORT 141

PO Box 1014
Ipswich Q 4305

FILE No.	Ex/99/C
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No. QRC/ORT 141	
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	

S/S

Commissioner
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE Q 9001

30 April 1999

Dear Sir

At our recent 60 and Better State Conference at Charters Towers, the following motion was put to the delegates.

"That this conference lodge with the Queensland redistribution commission, concerning the proposed redistribution to the electorate of Gregory, wherein it is proposed that the shire of Winton be removed and added to the electorate of Mt Isa".

The speakers of the conference bought out the following points in support of the motion:

- There is no existing community of interest between Winton and Mt Isa
- It becomes very confusing for older People to be suddenly placed in an electorate centred on a town or city with which they have no previous interest or relationship.
- All people must have access to their elected member to enjoy equal representation and this becomes very difficult for Older people in a large Electorate.
- We would like to remind the Commission that this is "International Year of Older Persons"

We hope you consider the contents of this letter when making your decision regarding the redistribution of the seat of Gregory.

On behalf of the 60 and Better **ONE VOICE NETWORK,**

Douglas O'Connor

QUEENSLAND
04 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

Council of the Shire of Esk

Shire Council Chambers
2 Redbank Street
Esk Q 4312
Telephone (07) 5424 1200
Fax (07) 5424 1529

Address all correspondence to the Chief Executive Officer
Council of the Shire of Esk
PO Box 117
Esk Q 4312

Your reference

Our reference S000195

When telephoning or calling ask for Daryl Lever

29 April, 1999

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
Brisbane Qld 9001

QRC | ORS 142

E.C.Q. 009257

Dear Sir,

RE: REDISTRIBUTION OF STATE ELECTORAL DISTRICTS - QRC/O

I refer to the proposals prepared by the Commission for the redistribution of the State of Queensland into 89 electoral districts for the Legislative Assembly.

An examination of these proposals has revealed that the residents of Esk Shire are proposed to be split into three electorates (Nanango, Lockyer and Ipswich West). In Council's opinion, this situation would be undesirable due to the separation of the Shire's communities and their inclusion with other districts with which they have no community of interest.

The proposed boundary for the electorate of Ipswich West extends from Karalee to Grandchester and Coominya. This boundary should be reviewed further due to the lack of community of interest between the towns and villages of Lowood, Fernvale and Coominya in Esk Shire and the suburbs of Karalee, North Ipswich and Leichhardt in Ipswich City.

Although only a small part of Esk Shire is proposed to be included in the Lockyer electorate, the boundary along Lowood - Minden Road significantly affects the Tarampa community by dividing it between Lockyer and Ipswich West. The communities of Mt. Tarampa and Coominya are affected similarly. It is suggested that this problem be eliminated by excluding Esk Shire properties from the Lockyer electorate.

ELECTORAL COMMISSION QUEENSLAND
04 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

.../2

Overall the proposed redistribution has a detrimental effect on Esk Shire by dividing its communities. It would be appreciated if you could consider Council's objection and amend the proposed electoral boundaries to reduce the impact on communities within Esk Shire.

Yours faithfully

Danny Mullins
Chief Executive Officer

DRL:MCLlet47

QRC/OBJ 142

FILE No.	EX/79/6
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ 142
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	5/5

QRC | OBJ 143

Walli Creek Rd
P.O Box 65
Kenilworth 4574
30-4-1999

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Level 6 Forestry House
160 Mary Street
BRISBANE Q 4000

E.C.Q.
009258

We have noted that the town of Kenilworth is proposed to be included in the new electorate of Nanango along with other small towns situated in the Mary Valley. Brooloo and Conondale.

We wish to strenuously object to this arrangement for the following reasons:

1. Kenilworth, Brooloo and Conondale are farming and timber areas situated in the Mary Valley. They are aligned in a business and tourist sense with the Sunshine Coast Hinterland area.
2. These three towns rely for their major commerce on the coastal towns of Gympie, Nambour and Maleny. They have no road connection with the Nanango area and no business or social connection with that area.
3. Our roads lead to the hinterland towns, the Sunshine Coast towns and the Bruce Highway. We have no ties with the Nanango area as that area is serviced by a major highway inland from us and over the mountain range from us.
4. Newspapers covering this area are located on the coast. We get no news of the area covered in the Nanango Electorate and therefore have no business, social or emotional connection with that area.
5. In terms of climate, we enjoy a semi tropical environment and the Nanango Electorate is essentially the drier inland Queensland area. These factors alone suggest that we should be grouped with areas of similar needs and similar demands.
6. Placing us in the Nanango Electorate would tend to isolate us electorally from the Maroochy Shire Council and other towns in that Shire. Electoral boundaries should be aligned to the Local Government boundaries.

We are aware that the Commission is concerned with 'making the numbers right' and as this is a low population area, we believe that with some massaging of other boundaries the difference in numbers of population can be made up.
To include our three towns in an electoral area which isolates us on business, transport, social and economic bases is particularly bad and we request that this area of distribution be redrawn.

L. B. Armstrong

05 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

QRC | OBJ 1444

P.O. Box 65
Willowbrook Road
Kenilworth
4574

30/4/1999

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Level 6 Forestry House
160 Mary Street
BRISBANE Q 4000

E.C.Q.
009259

30/4/99

We have noted that the town of Kenilworth is proposed to be included in the new electorate of Nanango along with other small towns situated in the Mary Valley. Brooloo and Conondale.

We wish to strenuously object to this arrangement for the following reasons:

1. Kenilworth, Brooloo and Conondale are farming and timber areas situated in the Mary Valley. They are aligned in a business and tourist sense with the Sunshine Coast Hinterland area.
2. These three towns rely for their major commerce on the coastal towns of Gympie, Nambour and Maleny. They have no road connection with the Nanango area and no business or social connection with that area.
3. Our roads lead to the hinterland towns, the Sunshine Coast towns and the Bruce Highway. We have no ties with the Nanango area as that area is serviced by a major highway inland from us and over the mountain range from us.
4. Newspapers covering this area are located on the coast. We get no news of the area covered in the Nanango Electorate and therefore have no business, social or emotional connection with that area.
5. In terms of climate, we enjoy a semi tropical environment and the Nanango Electorate is essentially the drier inland Queensland area. These factors alone suggest that we should be grouped with areas of similar needs and similar demands.
6. Placing us in the Nanango Electorate would tend to isolate us electorally from the Maroochy Shire Council and other towns in that Shire. Electoral boundaries should be aligned to the Local Government boundaries.

We are aware that the Commission is concerned with 'making the numbers right' and as this is a low population area, we believe that with some massaging of other boundaries the difference in numbers of population can be made up.
To include our three towns in an electoral area which isolates us on business, transport, social and economic bases is particularly bad and we request that this area of distribution be redrawn.

R.J. Armstrong

QUEENSLAND
05 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

QRC/OBJ 145

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Level 6 Forestry House
160 Mary Street
BRISBANE Q 4000

E.C.Q.
009260

We have noted that the town of Kenilworth is proposed to be included in the new electorate of Nanango along with other small towns situated in the Mary Valley. Brooloo and Conondale.

We wish to strenuously object to this arrangement for the following reasons:

1. Kenilworth, Brooloo and Conondale are farming and timber areas situated in the Mary Valley. They are aligned in a business and tourist sense with the Sunshine Coast Hinterland area.
2. These three towns rely for their major commerce on the coastal towns of Gympie, Nambour and Maleny. They have no road connection with the Nanango area and no business or social connection with that area.
3. Our roads lead to the hinterland towns, the Sunshine Coast towns and the Bruce Highway. We have no ties with the Nanango area as that area is serviced by a major highway inland from us and over the mountain range from us.
4. Newspapers covering this area are located on the coast. We get no news of the area covered in the Nanango Electorate and therefore have no business, social or emotional connection with that area.
5. In terms of climate, we enjoy a semi tropical environment and the Nanango Electorate is essentially the drier inland Queensland area. These factors alone suggest that we should be grouped with areas of similar needs and similar demands.
6. Placing us in the Nanango Electorate would tend to isolate us electorally from the Maroochy Shire Council and other towns in that Shire. Electoral boundaries should be aligned to the Local Government boundaries.

We are aware that the Commission is concerned with 'making the numbers right' and as this is a low population area, we believe that with some massaging of other boundaries the difference in numbers of population can be made up.
To include our three towns in an electoral area which isolates us on business, transport, social and economic bases is particularly bad and we request that this area of distribution be redrawn.

D. Kelly
13 ANNE ST
KENILWORTH. Q.

ELECTORAL COMMISSION QUEENSLAND
05 MAY 1999

QRC | OBJ 146

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Level 6 Forestry House
160 Mary Street
BRISBANE Q 4000



We have noted that the town of Kenilworth is proposed to be included in the new electorate of Nanango along with other small towns situated in the Mary Valley. Brooloo and Conondale.


We wish to strenuously object to this arrangement for the following reasons:

1. Kenilworth, Brooloo and Conondale are farming and timber areas situated in the Mary Valley. They are aligned in a business and tourist sense with the Sunshine Coast Hinterland area.
2. These three towns rely for their major commerce on the coastal towns of Gympie, Nambour and Maleny. They have no road connection with the Nanango area and no business or social connection with that area.
3. Our roads lead to the hinterland towns, the Sunshine Coast towns and the Bruce Highway. We have no ties with the Nanango area as that area is serviced by a major highway inland from us and over the mountain range from us.
4. Newspapers covering this area are located on the coast. We get no news of the area covered in the Nanango Electorate and therefore have no business, social or emotional connection with that area.
5. In terms of climate, we enjoy a semi tropical environment and the Nanango Electorate is essentially the drier inland Queensland area. These factors alone suggest that we should be grouped with areas of similar needs and similar demands.
6. Placing us in the Nanango Electorate would tend to isolate us electorally from the Maroochy Shire Council and other towns in that Shire. Electoral boundaries should be aligned to the Local Government boundaries.

We are aware that the Commission is concerned with 'making the numbers right' and as this is a low population area, we believe that with some massaging of other boundaries the difference in numbers of population can be made up.
To include our three towns in an electoral area which isolates us on business, transport, social and economic bases is particularly bad and we request that this area of distribution be redrawn.

D A Oliver

13 Anne St.
Kenilworth. Q.



070352001

FAX To: 0413229391

ELECTORAL COMMISSION QUEENSLAND
05 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

E.C.Q.
009262

14, Smith St
Clifton Beach
Qld 4879
07 40 592 592
07 40 592 592

5 ... May 1999

QRC/OBJ 147

The Commissioner
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

Dear Sir,

We wish to lodge an objection to the proposal to include the beachside suburbs of Palm Cove and Clifton Beach in the State Electorate of Cook.

As residents of Palm Cove / Clifton Beach, I fail to see what possible community of interest I would have with the electorate of Cook which is based on Cape York Peninsula and Torres Strait.

Currently Palm Cove and Clifton Beach are in the State Electorate of Barron River, and that is where we would prefer them to stay.

This electorate includes the rest of the beachside suburbs which make up the Northern Beaches area of Cairns and we can see no sense in splitting this geographical area.

We urge you to please reconsider your propsal and return both Palm Cove and Clifton Beach into the electorate of Barron River.

Yours sincerely

Graham J. Butler

Jacqueline A. Butler

E Harrison

Emily Harrison

ALL THE ABOVE ON THE ELECORATE ROLL FOR BARRON RIVER

05 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

18 Wunnunga Cr
Yarocmba 4573
4 May 1999

E.C.Q.
009263

Electoral Commission of Qld
160 Mary St
Brisbane 4000

QRC/OBJ 148

I wish to lodge an objection to the new boundaries between Noosa and Maroochydore. Yarocmba is always considered to be part of Coolum. We have the same postcode and our community of interest is to the north and not South to Maroochydore.

We vote in Coolum, our children go to school there, we use the library, shops, Post office, medical centre, etc there — all part of Noosa subdivision. The new boundary divides us from our natural centre.

Brenda Nicholson

Crow's Nest Shire Council

Emu Creek Road, Crow's Nest, Qld. 4355
Telephone: (07) 4698 1155 Facsimile: (07) 4698 1717
Please address all communications to Chief Executive Officer
P.O. Box 35, Crow's Nest, Qld. 4355

Your Reference

In Reply Please Quote
A18/6/37843/DAMcE:LW
For Inquiries Please Contact
Mr D.A. McEvoy

30 April, 1999

05 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

Queensland Redistribution Commission,
Level 6, Forestry House,
160 Mary Street,
BRISBANE. Q. 4000.

E.C.Q.
009264

QRC/OBJ 149

Dear Sir,

Re: Proposed Queensland Electoral Districts – April, 1999

I refer to recent information submitted by you regarding a proposed redistribution of electoral boundaries within the State of Queensland and in particular, changes to the existing Crow's Nest boundaries.

This proposal was considered by this Council at a meeting held on 15 April, 1999 and I have been directed to advise you that Council has considerable concerns with the proposal. These are summarized below:-

1. The new boundary will split the Shire in two running as it does east-west through the Meringandan/Kleinton/Cabarlah area. This, it is contended, will cause a severing of the economic and social community that is currently fully contained in the Crow's Nest electoral district. It will lead to a situation where many electors are unsure and confused as to which electorate they are located within.

   On a macro level, the proposal divides the Shire in two causing significant problems in regard to representation and communication.

   We have undergone considerable changes in nearly all of the past seven (7) redistributions. The status quo finally addresses these problems with the southern electoral boundary running co-jointly with the Shire boundary.

2. The current electoral name of Crow's Nest, it is believed, reflects on the historical nature of the district and is easily identifiable. The proposed new names of Darling Downs in the north and Toowoomba North in the south in no way satisfies this criteria. Crow's Nest Shire Council is strongly opposed to the name change.

3. On a micro level, the location of the proposed boundary between Toowoomba North and Darling Downs would appear to be unnecessarily complicated. It is considered that if steps cannot be taken to address our concerns in 1. above, a minor amendment to relocate the boundary along Meringandan Road, Donovan Road and Stevens Road to Happy Valley would be preferable.

Your favourable consideration of the above matters is requested. Should you require any additional information, I would be pleased to provide same.

Yours faithfully,

D A L. Q.

David A. McEvoy,
CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER.

QRC/OBJ 149

Shop 30 Centrepoint Arcade
Queen Street Ayr Qld 4807
PO Box 954 Ayr Qld 4807
Tel: 07 4783 2017
Fax: 07 4783 4163

LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY
QUEENSLAND



JEFF KNUTH MLA
MEMBER FOR BURDEKIN

QRC/O

QUEENSLAND
05 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

28th April 1999

FILE No.	EX/79/6
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ 150
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Level 6
Forestry House
160 Mary Street
BRISBANE QLD 4000

E.C.Q.
009265

QRC/OBJ 150

To whom it may concern

While I appreciate the proposed boundary changes are intended to be in the best interest of the voters, I want to bring to the attention of the Electoral Commission that electorates have more recently been based on population. However, what is not focused upon is the actual size and diversity of rural electorates, especially the agricultural, horticultural and grazing electorates. For example, an electorate may only have 15,000 voters but the member may be inundated with the many problems that result on the land and its associated industries.

I have noticed this contrast with my counterparts in the city electorates in Townsville, as the number of visits and complaints they are required to deal with a week are on average two to three times less than myself as their rural counterpart. This, I feel, is largely due to the negative impact National Competition Policy, centralisation and downsizing is having on services in rural electorates. Although the electorate may be small in population terms, the workload can be two to three times more due to distance, diversity and the loss of much needed services. For example, take the Member for Mundingburra - she has around five State schools and two private schools in her electorate, while I have 18 State schools and six private schools. As each school appreciates visitations and input from their local Member, at special award ceremonies and the like, this anomaly in campus numbers places greater time demands on Members in rural electorates.

As another example, the Member for Mundingburra can drive across her electorate in five minutes while it takes myself three hours to drive from the northern end to the south/west of my electorate. While I appreciate that the electoral allowance for rural Members is larger to cover the cost, it does not cover the workload or compensate for the added time spent traveling. Many of my rural counterparts suffer the same

problems. This is why I believe an electorate should not just be based on population, but be drafted according to the electorate's size and workload required to properly service that area. What must be appreciated is a Member in a metropolitan seat only needs sometimes 20 minutes to travel across their electorate to cover the concerns and needs of constituents.

On the plans to change the boundaries of the **Burdekin Electorate**, while I am quite pleased with your decision to adjust the seat to be more rural, I believe it has not gone far enough. I would like to make a comment on the grounds that the Burdekin Electorate be retracted to **take out** the areas of Idalia and Wulguru, and **leave in** the areas of Oonoonba and Ravenswood. My suggestion is to use as the northern boundary the railway line from Ross River continuing westward until it hits Stuart Creek, then using Stuart Creek as it continues into the hills of Mount Stuart. The reason for these inclusions is that these are considered rural areas with the same concerns as the neighbouring parts of the Electorate.

Also, the proposed current northern boundaries of the Burdekin do not use any significant landmarks or natural obstacles as a demarcation line, which will lead to too much confusion for the voters in these areas. My constituents would rather the Burdekin Electorate keep the newly developed Korea Zinc project and the proposed site of the base-load power station and associated expansions. One such project is the Rocky Springs development with its expected allocation, according to Townsville City Council figures, of 7000 households within the next three years. These developments are expected to be acreage blocks which will boost the Burdekin Electorate's population to a much higher figure anyway

I think these alterations would also be beneficial to the Member for Thuringowa who will be greatly affected by moves to include the north-east part of his Electorate in the Hinchinbrook Electorate. People in the northern reaches of this proposed electorate will have to travel 60 miles to see their new member when now they can take a short drive down the road. I have spoken to the Member for Townsville and Mundingburra and both can see logistical merit for including the suburbs of Idalia and Wulguru into their seats. For example, Mundingburra, which under the proposals has received Annandale, should also take in Wulguru and Idalia. Townsville should take in part of Mundingburra to make up population as it adjoins this electorate. Quite possibly, both Mundingburra and Townsville should take a little of Thuringowa.

My constituents in Ravenswood believe their town should remain part of the Burdekin as they are much closer to the town of Ayr where my office is. Ravenswood residents also do their shopping in Ayr and at the same time can visit me about issues that concern them, thus saving time traveling to an electorate office elsewhere. Once again I thank you for allowing me to put this comment forward and I hope you can appreciate the complexities of a vast rural electorate and the importance that its geography does not clash with metropolitan areas just for the sake of lifting voting population numbers.

QRC | OBJ 150

I again appeal that this tyranny of distance and diversity be partly overcome with boundaries that reflect a degree of rural cohesion and common sense.

Yours sincerely

JEFF KNUTH MLA
MEMBER FOR BURDEKIN

QRC | OBJ 150

QRC/OBJ 151

E.C.Q.
009266

Queensland Redistribution Commission
6 Fl. Forestry House
160 Mary St
Brisbane 4000

BAMBOO AUSTRALIA
Belli Bamboo Parkland

Nemea Pty Ltd ACN 001 147 002
trading as Bamboo Australia
MS 330 Kenilworth Road
Belli Park Via Eumundi
Queensland 4562 Australia

Within Australia:	*International:*
Telephone & Fax:	Telephone & Fax:
(074) 47 0299	61 74 47 0299

Durnford L. Dart
Chairman & Agriculturist.
Also Founding Principal & Chairman:
Australian Bamboo Industries Association

Dear Friends,

RE; Proposed boundary alterations, Electorates of Nicklin & Nanango.

As a resident of Belli Park (Nicklin electorate) I am deeply concerned and bitterly opposed to your proposal to include most of the upper Mary Valley in the electorate of Nanango.

Looking at a map it may appear a simple idea to change the boundaries, however the fact that the rugged Great Dividing Range runs North - South through the proposed electorate seems to have been overlooked. For an elected representative (based in Kingaroy) to visit our district would involve a minimum of three hours continual driving.

Our local population has little interest in either the commercial or social activities that occur on the other side of the mountains. We are a coastal oriented society, where a large proportion of the population commute daily to the Railway towns and Coastal strip. The Agricultural Industry relies mainly on Nambour, Yandina, Cooroy & Gympie for the source of supply and marketing.

There is very little we share in common with our inland cousins. As mentioned we are a coastal oriented society living in a warm sub-tropical climate with almost double the rainfall. The area of the Nanango electorate is an inland drier climate that has little in common with the crops we produce, and no attachment what so ever with the transport system of our district.

Our public transport system is also aligned to the East of the ranges, indeed, if one wanted to visit an elected representative in Kingaroy by public transport, it would require two days travelling, as opposed to a maximum one hour journey to visit Mr Wellington's Nicklin office in Nambour.

Member:
ABIA
AUSTRALIAN BAMBOO INDUSTRIES ASSOCIATION
"Advancing the fortunes of a growing industry."

ELECTORAL COMMISSION QUEENSLAND
05 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

I respectfully suggest that the proposed boundary realignment be modified to include the North Western areas of the Maroochy shire in the electorate of Nicklin. If you have to juggle the population numbers and this addition creates a problem, it would be a simple matter to detach the Mooloolah area from Nicklin and attach to Glasshouse.

On behalf of myself and my neighbours I urge that the above matters are given serious consideration when making your final decision.

Yours Sincerely,

D2 Dart


Durnford Dart
1/5/99

QRC/OBJ 151

FILE No.	EXT 1/6
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ 151
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	5/5

Nanango Billa Peak

PROPOSED [illegible] BOUNDARIES APRIL 1999



QRC/OBJ 151

QUEENSLAND REDISTRIBUTION COMMISSION

© State of Queensland, Department of Natural Resources, 1999

April 1999

E.C.Q.
009267

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 4001

Facsimile: 3229 7391

QRC/OBJ 152

Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and **wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes** which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.

Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely MAVIS SMITH + NEVILLE ALAN SMITH

Mavis Smith Neville Smith (signature)

72 STEPHENSON STREET (address)

OXLEY 4075

ELECTORAL COMMISSION QUEENSLAND
25 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

FILE No.	EX/79/6
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ 152
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	5/5

April 1999



Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 4001

Facsimile: 3229 7391

QRC/OBJ153

Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and **wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes** which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.


Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely

..... (signature)

47 KENDALL ST .....(address)

OXLEY 4075 .....



FILE No.	Ex/91/6
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ153
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	S/S

April 1999

E.C.Q.
009269

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 4001

Facsimile: 3229 7391

QRC/OBJ 154

Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and **wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes** which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.

Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely

Johanna Idler ..... (signature) (JOHANNA VIDLER)

22 JUTLAND ST., OXLEY ....(address)

QLD 4075

D. Idler (DANNY VIDLER)

ELECTORAL COMMISSION QUEENSLAND
05 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

FILE No.	EX/74/6
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ/154
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	

April 1999



Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 4001

Facsimile: 3229 7391

QRC/OBJ 155

Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and **wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes** which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.


Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely

.....G. Bark..... (signature)

.....25 Landstead St.....(address)

.....Oxley 4075.....



FILE No.	EX/716
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ 155
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	5/5

April 1999

E.C.Q.
009271

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 4001

Facsimile: 3229 7391

QRC/OBJ 156

Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and **wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes** which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.

Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely

D M Dieckmann ..... (signature)

136 Englefield Rd ..... (address)

Oxley .....

QUEENSLAND
05 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

FILE No.	Ext/99/6
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ156
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	5/5

April 1999

E.C.Q.
009272

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 4001

Facsimile: 3229 7391 QRC/OBJ 157

Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and **wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes** which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.

Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely

To TAM THI THAN (signature)

44 IRWIN Tce (address)

OXLEY QLD 4075

ELECTORAL COMMISSION QUEENSLAND
05 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

FILE No.	Ex/74/6
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ157
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	3/5

April 1999

E.C.Q.
009273

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 4001

Facsimile: 3229 7391

QRC/OBJ 158

Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and **wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes** which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.

Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely

[redacted] Santha Anderson ..... (signature)

98 Irwin Tce Oxley .....(address)

4075 .....

ELECTORAL COMMISSION QUEENSLAND
05 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

FILE No.	EX/79/6
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ 158
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	5/5

April 1999

E.C.Q.
009274

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 4001

Facsimile: 3229 7391

QRC/OBJ 159

Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and **wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes** which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.

Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely

O E Reeks V Reeks (signature)

22 STATTON ST (address)

OXLEY 4075

ELECTORAL COMMISSION QUEENSLAND
05 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

FILE No.	Ex/74/6
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ 159
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	S/S

April 1999

E.C.Q.
009275

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 4001

Facsimile: 3229 7391

QRC/OBJ 160

Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and **wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes** which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.

Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely

M. E. Tidell ..... (signature)

319 Clivedea Avenue .....(address)

Oxley 4075 .....

ELECTORAL COMMISSION QUEENSLAND
05 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

FILE No.	EX/7/6
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ 160
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	5/5

April 1999

E.C.Q.
009276

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 4001

Facsimile: 3229 7391

QRC/OBJ 161

Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and **wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes** which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.

Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely

P. Mitchell ..... (signature)

903 Oxley Road .....(address)

Oxley 4075 .....

ELECTORAL COMMISSION QUEENSLAND
05 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

FILE No. EX/79/5
ACTION OFFICER DEC
REG. No. QRC/OBJ 161
DOCUMENTED YES/NO
SCANNED YES/NO
ATTACHED YES/NO
REPLY BY
REPLY SENT
ECO. RECORDS 5/5

April 1999

E.C.Q.
009277

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 4001

Facsimile: 3229 7391

QRC/OBJ 162

Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and **wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes** which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.

Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely

① ② ③

..... (signature)

68 PRICE ST .....(address)

OXLEY 4075 .....

ELECTORAL COMMISSION QUEENSLAND
05 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

FILE No.	Ex/19/6
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ 162
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	

5/5

04 ~~April~~ May 1999

E.C.Q.
009278

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 4001

Facsimile: 3229 7391

QRC/OBJ 163

Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and **wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes** which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.

Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely

Maria Jagt ..... (signature)

24 Ingham Street .....(address)

Oxley 4075 .....

ELECTORAL COMMISSION QUEENSLAND
05 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

FILE No.	EX/79/6
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ 163
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	

5/5

April 1999

E.C.Q.
009279

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 4001

Facsimile: 3229 7391

QRC/OBJ 164

Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and **wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes** which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.

Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely

..... (signature)

29 INGHAM STREET (address)

OXLEY, 4075

ELECTORAL COMMISSION QUEENSLAND
05 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

FILE No.	EX/796
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ 164
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	

S/S

April 1999

E.C.Q.
009280

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 4001

Facsimile: 3229 7391

QRC/OBJ 165

Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and **wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes** which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.

Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely

G Pascoe & J Pascoe (signature)

11 HARRADEN ST, (address)

OXLEY Q 4075

ELECTORAL COMMISSION QUEENSLAND
05 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

FILE No.	EX/K1/6
ACTION OFFICER	DE C
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ 165
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	5/5

April 1999

E.C.Q.
009281

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 4001

Facsimile: 3229 7391

QRC/OBJ 166

Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and **wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes** which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.

Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely

Lynn Gallagher ..... (signature)

17 Lawson St .....(address)

OXLEY .....

Lynda Hall
go 17 Lawson St
Oxley 4075

FILE No.	Ex/99/6
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ/166
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	

05 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

April 1999

E.C.Q.
009282

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 4001

Facsimile: 3229 7391

QRC/OBJ 167

Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and **wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes** which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.

Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely

Edward Quan (signature)

14 LASSIE ST. (address)

OXLEY 4075.

FILE No.	EX/79/4
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ167
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	

QUEENSLAND REDISTRIBUTION COMMISSION
05 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

April 1999

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 4001



Facsimile: 3229 7391

QRC/OBJ 168

Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and **wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes** which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.


Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely

.....Anne Trish..... (signature)

...70 Enfield Road.....(address)

...Oxley Q 4075.....



FILE No.	ex/m/6
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ 168
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	

4/5

April 1999

E.C.Q.
009284

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 4001

Facsimile: 3229 7391

QRC/OBJ 169

Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and **wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes** which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.

Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely

.....Wilma Wickenga..... (signature)

.....15 Lucock ST.....(address)

.....OXLEY.....4075

FILE No.	Ex/99/6
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ 169
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	S/S

QUEENSLAND
05 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

April 1999

E.C.Q.
009285

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 4001

Facsimile: 3229 7391

QRC/OBJ 170

Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and **wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes** which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.

Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely

L D Allen ..... (signature)

113 ENGLEFIELD RD ..... (address)

OXLEY 4075

ELECTORAL COMMISSION
QUEENSLAND
05 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

FILE No. EX/21/6
ACTION OFFICER DEC
REG. No. QRC/OBJ170
DOCUMENTED YES/NO
SCANNED YES/NO
ATTACHED YES/NO
REPLY BY
REPLY SENT
ECQ. RECORDS 5/5

April 1999

E.C.Q.
009286

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 4001

Facsimile: 3229 7391

QRC/OBJ 171

Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and **wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes** which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.

Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely

.....(D.A. WINTER) (signature)

34 ENGLEFIELD ROAD .....(address)

OXLEY Q 4075

FILE No.	EX/79/6
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ 171
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	6/5

05 MAY 1999

RECEIVED

April 1999

E.C.Q.
009287

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 4001

Facsimile: 3229 7391

QRC/OBJ 172

Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and **wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes** which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.

Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely

T Corcoran ..... (signature)

52 ENGLE FIELD Rd .....(address)

OXLEY .....

ELECTORAL COMMISSION QUEENSLAND
05 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

We also strongly object to our Englefield Rd being cut in 2 — 1 side to Ommaney & our southern side Inala. Yes — we know numbers, but "splitting" a road has always caused problems — Please DON'T Do it. — Thankyou as "One"

T. Corcoran

April 1999



Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 4001

Facsimile: 3229 7391

QRC/OBJ 173

Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and **wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes** which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.


Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely

..... (signature)

.....(address)

.....



FILE No.	EX/79/6
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ 173
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	

April 1999

E.C.Q.
009289

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 4001

Facsimile: 3229 7391

QRC/OBJ 174

Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and **wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes** which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.

Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely

Crotthurst Lobb Mrs ..... (signature)

305 CLIVEDEN AVE ..... (address)

OXLEY 4075 .....

ELECTORAL COMMISSION QUEENSLAND
25 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

FILE No.	EX/74/6
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ 174
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	6/5

April 1999

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 4001

Facsimile: 3229 7391



QRC/OBJ 175

Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and **wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes** which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?


A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.

Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely

Ron + Ruby Ormsby ..... (signature)
Per. R.L. Ormsby
74 O'Connor st .....(address)

Oxley 4075



FILE No.	EX/79/6
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ175
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	6/5

28 April 1999

E.C.Q.
009291

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 4001

Facsimile: 3229 7391

QRC/OBJ176

Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and **wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes** which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.

Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely

J.A. Dale (J.A. DALE) T.M. Dale (T.M. DALE) (signature)

80 JUTLAND ST (address)

OXLEY Q 4075

ELECTORAL COMMISSION QUEENSLAND
05 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

FILE No.	EX/79/6
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ176
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	6/5

E.C.Q.
009292

The Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

QRC/OBJ 177

Queensland Electoral Redistribution

I note that the town of Kenilworth is proposed to be included in the new electorate of Nanango along with other small towns situated in the Mary Valley, Brooloo and Conondale.

I wish to strenuously object to this arrangement on the grounds that the towns of Kenilworth, Brooloo and Conondale have no community of interest with the towns in the Nanango area and the area shown as included in the proposed electorate. Rather they are physically, commercially and socially connected to the areas of Nambour, Gympie, Maroochydore and the Sunshine Coast Hinterland area.
In addition:

1. These three towns rely for their major commerce on the coastal towns of Gympie, Nambour and Maleny. They have no direct road connection with the Nanango area and no business or social connection with that area.

2. For these towns the Health Support Systems are all based in Nambour, Maleny or Gympie. Such services as hospitals, specialist services and aged facilities are all located East of our towns, never in a Westward direction.

3. Gaining access to the Parliamentarian for the area would be very difficult as road access all run in an Easterly direction. Likewise, servicing this area would prove difficult for the elected encumbant.

I therefore, request that the redistribution of electoral boundaries include the towns of Kenilworth, Brooloo and Conondale in an area based on the Coast.

ELECTORAL COMMISSION
QUEENSLAND
05 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

Sincerely

Signature

Name Neil Cathro

Address P.O. Box 87
Kenilworth 4574

Date 30/4/99

E.C.Q.
009293

The Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

QRC/OBJ 178

Queensland Electoral Redistribution

I note that the town of Kenilworth is proposed to be included in the new electorate of Nanango along with other small towns situated in the Mary Valley, Brooloo and Conondale.

I wish to strenuously object to this arrangement on the grounds that the towns of Kenilworth, Brooloo and Conondale have no community of interest with the towns in the Nanango area and the area shown as included in the proposed electorate. Rather they are physically, commercially and socially connected to the areas of Nambour, Gympie, Maroochydore and the Sunshine Coast Hinterland area.
In addition:

1. These three towns rely for their major commerce on the coastal towns of Gympie, Nambour and Maleny. They have no direct road connection with the Nanango area and no business or social connection with that area.

2. For these towns the Health Support Systems are all based in Nambour, Maleny or Gympie. Such services as hospitals, specialist services and aged facilities are all located East of our towns, never in a Westward direction.

3. Gaining access to the Parliamentarian for the area would be very difficult as road access all run in an Easterly direction. Likewise, servicing this area would prove difficult for the elected encumbant.

I therefore, request that the redistribution of electoral boundaries include the towns of Kenilworth, Brooloo and Conondale in an area based on the Coast.

ELECTORAL COMMISSION
QUEENSLAND
05 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

Sincerely

Signature K. Cathro

Name K. CATHRO

Address P.O. Box 87
KENILWORTH 4574

Date 30/4/99

21-4-99

E.C.Q.
009294

QRC/OBJ 179

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Level 6 Forestry House
160 Mary Street
BRISBANE Q 4000

We have noted that the town of Kenilworth is proposed to be included in the new electorate of Nanango along with other small towns situated in the Mary Valley. Brooloo and Conondale.

We wish to strenuously object to this arrangement for the following reasons:

1. Kenilworth, Brooloo and Conondale are farming and timber areas situated in the Mary Valley. They are aligned in a business and tourist sense with the Sunshine Coast Hinterland area.
2. These three towns rely for their major commerce on the coastal towns of Gympie, Nambour and Maleny. They have no road connection with the Nanango area and no business or social connection with that area.
3. Our roads lead to the hinterland towns, the Sunshine Coast towns and the Bruce Highway. We have no ties with the Nanango area as that area is serviced by a major highway inland from us and over the mountain range from us.
4. Newspapers covering this area are located on the coast. We get no news of the area covered in the Nanango Electorate and therefore have no business, social or emotional connection with that area.
5. In terms of climate, we enjoy a semi tropical environment and the Nanango Electorate is essentially the drier inland Queensland area. These factors alone suggest that we should be grouped with areas of similar needs and similar demands.
6. Placing us in the Nanango Electorate would tend to isolate us electorally from the Maroochy Shire Council and other towns in that Shire. Electoral boundaries should be aligned to the Local Government boundaries.

We are aware that the Commission is concerned with 'making the numbers right' and as this is a low population area, we believe that with some massaging of other boundaries the difference in numbers of population can be made up.
To include our three towns in an electoral area which isolates us on business, transport, social and economic bases is particularly bad and we request that this area of distribution be redrawn.

E P Dobson
C. M. Dobson.

ELECTORAL COMMISSION QUEENSLAND
05 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

E.C.Q.
009295

The Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

QRC/OBJ 180

Queensland Electoral Redistribution

I note that the town of Kenilworth is proposed to be included in the new electorate of Nanango along with other small towns situated in the Mary Valley, Brooloo and Conondale.

I wish to strenuously object to this arrangement on the grounds that the towns of Kenilworth, Brooloo and Conondale have no community of interest with the towns in the Nanango area and the area shown as included in the proposed electorate. Rather they are physically, commercially and socially connected to the areas of Nambour, Gympie, Maroochydore and the Sunshine Coast Hinterland area.
In addition:

1. These three towns rely for their major commerce on the coastal towns of Gympie, Nambour and Maleny. They have no direct road connection with the Nanango area and no business or social connection with that area.

2. For these towns the Health Support Systems are all based in Nambour, Maleny or Gympie. Such services as hospitals, specialist services and aged facilities are all located East of our towns, never in a Westward direction.

3. Gaining access to the Parliamentarian for the area would be very difficult as road access all run in an Easterly direction. Likewise, servicing this area would prove difficult for the elected encumbant.

I therefore, request that the redistribution of electoral boundaries include the towns of Kenilworth, Brooloo and Conondale in an area based on the Coast.

ELECTORAL COMMISSION
QUEENSLAND
05 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

Sincerely

Glen Spicer

Signature

Name GLEN C. SPICER. Address 2715 EUMUNDI RD KENILWORTH Q. 4574

Date 1-5-99

E.C.Q.
009296

The Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

QRC/OBJ 181

Queensland Electoral Redistribution

I note that the town of Kenilworth is proposed to be included in the new electorate of Nanango along with other small towns situated in the Mary Valley, Brooloo and Conondale.

I wish to strenuously object to this arrangement on the grounds that the towns of Kenilworth, Brooloo and Conondale have no community of interest with the towns in the Nanango area and the area shown as included in the proposed electorate. Rather they are physically, commercially and socially connected to the areas of Nambour, Gympie, Maroochydore and the Sunshine Coast Hinterland area.
In addition:

1. These three towns rely for their major commerce on the coastal towns of Gympie, Nambour and Maleny. They have no direct road connection with the Nanango area and no business or social connection with that area.

2. For these towns the Health Support Systems are all based in Nambour, Maleny or Gympie. Such services as hospitals, specialist services and aged facilities are all located East of our towns, never in a Westward direction.

3. Gaining access to the Parliamentarian for the area would be very difficult as road access all run in an Easterly direction. Likewise, servicing this area would prove difficult for the elected encumbant.

I therefore, request that the redistribution of electoral boundaries include the towns of Kenilworth, Brooloo and Conondale in an area based on the Coast.

ELECTORAL COMMISSION
QUEENSLAND
05 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

Sincerely R.W. Purdon.

Signature R.W. Purdon.

Name Reginald William Purdon Address 1 Ann St Kenilworth Q 4574

Date 30-4-99

Camilla S. Smith
42 Hyde Ave
Clifton Beach 4879
CAIRNS

E.C.Q.
009297

... May 1999

QRC/OBJ 182

The Commissioner
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

Dear Sir,

I wish to lodge an objection to the proposal to include the beachside suburbs of Palm Cove and Clifton Beach in the State Electorate of Cook.

As a resident of Palm Cove / Clifton Beach, I fail to see what possible community of interest I would have with the electorate of Cook which is based on Cape York Peninsula and Torres Strait.

Currently Palm Cove and Clifton Beach are in the State Electorate of Barron River, and that is where I would prefer them to stay.

This electorate includes the rest of the beachside suburbs which make up the Northern Beaches area of Cairns and I can see no sense in splitting this geographical area.

I urge you to please reconsider your propsal and return both Palm Cove and Clifton Beach into the electorate of Barron River.

Yours sincerely

ELECTORAL COMMISSION QUEENSLAND
05 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

FILE No.	6/1/6
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ 182
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	

61 07 4059 0703

Michael S. Smith
42 Yule Ave
Clifton Beach 4879
Cairns

E.C.Q.
009298

... May 1999

QRC/OBJ 183

The Commissioner
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

Dear Sir,

I wish to lodge an objection to the proposal to include the beachside suburbs of Palm Cove and Clifton Beach in the State Electorate of Cook.

As a resident of Palm Cove / Clifton Beach, I fail to see what possible community of interest I would have with the electorate of Cook which is based on Cape York Peninsula and Torres Strait.

Currently Palm Cove and Clifton Beach are in the State Electorate of Barron River, and that is where I would prefer them to stay.

This electorate includes the rest of the beachside suburbs which make up the Northern Beaches area of Cairns and I can see no sense in splitting this geographical area.

I urge you to please reconsider your propsal and return both Palm Cove and Clifton Beach into the electorate of Barron River.

Yours sincerely

ELECTORAL COMMISSION QUEENSLAND
05 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

FILE No.	Ex/79/6
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ 183
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	6/5

Gloria Gordon,
4 Milln Close,
Clifton Beach,
Qld, 4879.

2nd May, 1999.

E.C.Q.
009299

The Commissioner,
Queensland Redistribution Commission,
Locked Bag 3300,
Brisbane,
Qld, 9001

QRC/OBJ 184

Dear Sir/Madam,

I wish to lodge an objection to the proposal to remove the Cairns beachside suburbs of Palm Cove and Clifton Beach from the State Electorate of Barron River and to include them in the State Electorate of Cook.

As a resident of Clifton Beach I object on the grounds that there is no possible community of interest between the electorate of Palm Cove-Clifton Beach and the electorate of Cook which focuses, and is based, on the isolated communities of Cape York Peninsula and the Torres Strait Islands.

I consider my interests would be best served if Palm Cove and Clifton Beach are retained within the Barron River Electorate which serves the adjoining beachside suburbs to the north of Cairns. I can see no way in which an elected `representative' of the Cook Electorate could possibly represent my interests. I therefore urge you to reconsider the boundary proposal and return/maintain both Palm cove and Clifton Beach in the State Electoral District of Barron River.

Yours sincerely,

G. Gordon

Gloria Gordon

ELECTORAL COMMISSION QUEENSLAND
05 MAY 1999

FILE No.	EX/79/5
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ 184
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	6/5

41 Endeavour Rd
Clifton Beach
Cairns
Q 4879

2 May 1999



The Commissioner
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

QRC/OBJ 185

Dear Sir,

I wish to lodge an objection to the proposal to include the beachside suburbs of Palm Cove and Clifton Beach in the State Electorate of Cook.

As a resident of Palm Cove / Clifton Beach, I fail to see what possible community of interest I would have with the electorate of Cook which is based on Cape York Peninsula and Torres Strait.


Currently Palm Cove and Clifton Beach are in the State Electorate of Barron River, and that is where I would prefer them to stay.

This electorate includes the rest of the beachside suburbs which make up the Northern Beaches area of Cairns and I can see no sense in splitting this geographical area.

I urge you to please reconsider your propsal and return both Palm Cove and Clifton Beach into the electorate of Barron River.

Yours sincerely

V Scott
(MRS V. SCOTT)



FILE No.	EX/99/6
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ 185
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	

6/5

41 ENDEAVOUR ROAD
CLIFTON BEACH
QLD. 4879

2.. May 1999

E.C.Q.
009301

The Commissioner
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

QRC/OBJ 186

Dear Sir,

I wish to lodge an objection to the proposal to include the beachside suburbs of Palm Cove and Clifton Beach in the State Electorate of Cook.

As a resident of Palm Cove / Clifton Beach, I fail to see what possible community of interest I would have with the electorate of Cook which is based on Cape York Peninsula and Torres Strait.

Currently Palm Cove and Clifton Beach are in the State Electorate of Barron River, and that is where I would prefer them to stay.

This electorate includes the rest of the beachside suburbs which make up the Northern Beaches area of Cairns and I can see no sense in splitting this geographical area.

I urge you to please reconsider your propsal and return both Palm Cove and Clifton Beach into the electorate of Barron River.

Yours sincerely

Robert G. Scott

FILE No.	Ex/PA/6
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ 186
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	6/5

MISSION
END
05 MAY 1999

Clifton Beach
3 May 1999

The Commissioner
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
Brisbane 9001

E.C.Q.
009302

QRC/OBJ 187

Dear Sir/Madam

I hereby wish to lodge a VERY strong objection to the proposal to include our suburbs of Clifton Beach and Palm Cove into the State Electorate of Cook.

I am a resident of Clifton Beach; my interest is totally with my own community of the Northern Beaches and the City of Cairns. I have absolutely nothing in common with the electorate of Cook which may as well be on another planet. I never go to any place within the electorate of Cook based on Cape York Peninsula and Torres Strait, a totally foreign territory. It would be a severe punishment if I had to do my shopping in the Cook electorate, pay my motor vehicle registration or do my age-pension or any other business there. It would be akin to asking me to vote for my member in the district of Swan River or Darwin. Not that you would ask me to do my business there. But I merely wish to illustrate that your officer who made this suggestion of shifting my voting district to Cook has not done his/her home-work and merely looked at a map as we (the people) so frequently say is the case.

My Member of Parliament is easily accessible from where I live and would most certainly not be if Clifton Beach were to be included in the electorate of Cook in a totally alien world.

To put it bluntly, the officer who has proposed this change must be totally out of touch with the people to say the **very** least.

I hereby wish to state that I wish to remain in the electorate of Barron River, an intelligent and sane arrangement.

Yours faithfully

Rob van Bremen
7 Ribbon Close
Clifton Beach 4879
Ph: 07-40591953
E-mail: vanbee@iig.com.au

ELECTORAL COMMISSION QUEENSLAND
05 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

FILE No.	EX/79/6
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ/187
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	6/5

Clifton Beach
3 May 1999

The Commissioner
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
Brisbane 9001

E.C.Q.
009303

QRC/OBJ 188

Dear Sir/Madam

I hereby wish to lodge a VERY strong objection to the proposal to include our suburbs of Clifton Beach and Palm Cove into the State Electorate of Cook.

I am a resident of Clifton Beach; my interest is totally with my own community of the Northern Beaches and the City of Cairns. I have absolutely nothing in common with the electorate of Cook which may as well be on another planet. I never go to any place within the electorate of Cook based on Cape York Peninsula and Torres Strait, a totally foreign territory. It would be a severe punishment if I had to do my shopping in the Cook electorate, pay my motor vehicle registration or do my age-pension or any other business there. It would be akin to asking me to vote for my member in the district of Swan River or Darwin. Not that you would ask me to do my business there. But I merely wish to illustrate that your officer who made this suggestion of shifting my voting district to Cook has not done his/her home-work and merely looked at a map as we (the people) so frequently say is the case.

My Member of Parliament is easily accessible from where I live and would most certainly not be if Clifton Beach were to be included in the electorate of Cook in a totally alien world.

To put it bluntly, the officer who has proposed this change must be totally out of touch with the people to say the **very** least.

I hereby wish to state that I wish to remain in the electorate of Barron River, an intelligent and sane arrangement.

Yours faithfully

Maria Schakel
7 Ribbon Close
Clifton Beach 4879
Ph: 07-40591953
E-mail: vanbee@iig.com.au

ELECTORAL COMMISSION QUEENSLAND
05 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

FILE No.	Ex/n/6
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/035 188
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	6/5

R.N. & J.F. REDDACLIFF
61 TEREBRA ST.
PALM COVE.
QLD 4879.



3rd May 1999

The Commissioner
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

QRC/OBJ 189

Dear Sir,

I wish to lodge an objection to the proposal to include the beachside suburbs of Palm Cove and Clifton Beach in the State Electorate of Cook.

As a resident of Palm Cove / Clifton Beach, I fail to see what possible community of interest I would have with the electorate of Cook which is based on Cape York Peninsula and Torres Strait.


Currently Palm Cove and Clifton Beach are in the State Electorate of Barron River, and that is where I would prefer them to stay.

This electorate includes the rest of the beachside suburbs which make up the Northern Beaches area of Cairns and I can see no sense in splitting this geographical area.

I urge you to please reconsider your propsal and return both Palm Cove and Clifton Beach into the electorate of Barron River.

Yours sincerely

R.N. & J.F. REDDACLIFF,
R.N. Reddacliff
J.F. Reddacliff.



FILE No.	EX/79/6
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ 189
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	

6/5

MARGARET JEAN BAKER
JOHN PAUL BAKER
6 MICHAELMAS CL.
CLIFTON BEACH
QLD 4879.

3rd ... May 1999

E.C.Q.
009305

The Commissioner
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

QRC/OBJ190

Dear Sir,

I wish to lodge an objection to the proposal to include the beachside suburbs of Palm Cove and Clifton Beach in the State Electorate of Cook.

As a resident of Palm Cove / Clifton Beach, I fail to see what possible community of interest I would have with the electorate of Cook which is based on Cape York Peninsula and Torres Strait.

Currently Palm Cove and Clifton Beach are in the State Electorate of Barron River, and that is where I would prefer them to stay.

This electorate includes the rest of the beachside suburbs which make up the Northern Beaches area of Cairns and I can see no sense in splitting this geographical area.

I urge you to please reconsider your propsal and return both Palm Cove and Clifton Beach into the electorate of Barron River.

Yours sincerely

Margaret. J. Baker.

John Baker

.....

QUEENSLAND
05 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

FILE No.	EX/79/6
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ190
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	

1/5

3 EGMONT CLOSE
CLIFTON BEACH
CAIRNS 4879

4 May 1999



The Commissioner
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

QRC/OBJ 191

Dear Sir,

I wish to lodge an objection to the proposal to include the beachside suburbs of Palm Cove and Clifton Beach in the State Electorate of Cook.

As a resident of ~~Palm Cove~~ / Clifton Beach, I fail to see what possible community of interest I would have with the electorate of Cook which is based on Cape York Peninsula and Torres Strait.


Currently Palm Cove and Clifton Beach are in the State Electorate of Barron River, and that is where I would prefer them to stay.

This electorate includes the rest of the beachside suburbs which make up the Northern Beaches area of Cairns and I can see no sense in splitting this geographical area.

I urge you to please reconsider your proposal and return both Palm Cove and Clifton Beach into the electorate of Barron River.

Yours sincerely

Pauline M. Stott



FILE No.	Ex/99/6
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ 191
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	6/5

38 TEREBRA
STREET
PALM COVE
QUEENSLAND
4879.

3rd May 1999

E.C.Q.
009307

The Commissioner
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

QRC/OBJ 192

Dear Sir,

I wish to lodge an objection to the proposal to include the beachside suburbs of Palm Cove and Clifton Beach in the State Electorate of Cook.

As a resident of Palm Cove / ~~Clifton Beach~~, I fail to see what possible community of interest I would have with the electorate of Cook which is based on Cape York Peninsula and Torres Strait.

Currently Palm Cove and Clifton Beach are in the State Electorate of Barron River, and that is where I would prefer them to stay.

This electorate includes the rest of the beachside suburbs which make up the Northern Beaches area of Cairns and I can see no sense in splitting this geographical area.

I urge you to please reconsider your propsal and return both Palm Cove and Clifton Beach into the electorate of Barron River.

Yours sincerely

Felicity Sneel
J.R.L. Sneel

ELECTORAL COMMISSION QUEENSLAND
05 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

FILE No.	Ex/99/6
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No. QRC/OBJ 192	
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
RECORDS	6/5

P.S. This is an absolutely ludicrous proposal ~ almost as stupid as including P.N.G.!

JOHN, PAULA & SCOTT
PARTINGTON
5 FLYNN CLOSE
CLIFTON BCH
QLD 4879

3 May 1999



The Commissioner
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

QRC/OBJ193

Dear Sir,

I wish to lodge an objection to the proposal to include the beachside suburbs of Palm Cove and Clifton Beach in the State Electorate of Cook.


As a resident of Palm Cove / Clifton Beach, I fail to see what possible community of interest I would have with the electorate of Cook which is based on Cape York Peninsula and Torres Strait.


Currently Palm Cove and Clifton Beach are in the State Electorate of Barron River, and that is where I would prefer them to stay.

This electorate includes the rest of the beachside suburbs which make up the Northern Beaches area of Cairns and I can see no sense in splitting this geographical area.

I urge you to please reconsider your propsal and return both Palm Cove and Clifton Beach into the electorate of Barron River.

Yours sincerely





FILE No.	EX/79/6
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ193
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	6/5

To: The Qld Redistribution Commission

E.C.Q.
009309

Sirs,

We the undersigned strongly object and protest the proposed boundary changes to the electorate of Gympie, in the Imbil district.

QRC/OBJ194

We request your consideration of our proposal for the following reasons.

PROPOSED CHANGES TO REDISTRIBUTION

Our proposal is to adjust the Southern Boundary of the electorate of Gympie to include the remainder of Division 4 of the Cooloola Shire Council in the Electorate of Gympie. This is to be by excising it from the proposed electorate of Nanango. The loss of numbers from Nanango of approximately 1000 people to be redressed from the re-adjustment of the boundary in the Eumundi area of Noosa into the proposed electorate of Nanango and the additional numbers to the Gympie electorate be compensated by the return to Noosa of a portion of the Gympie electorate in the South-East corner in the vicinity of Galloways Lane and Cootharaba Road.

REASONS FOR PROPOSAL:- Existing proposal bisects the town of Imbil and ruptures the community of interest of these people with the provincial town of Gympie.

EDUCATION:- Strong public support exists in the Imbil area to expand Imbil P-10 to a P-12 school. To do this requires strong, consistent political lobbying which is not achievable by divided School catchments.

SHIRE BOUNDARY:- The Southern Boundary of Cooloola Shire Council has for 100 years designated the Local Government Boundary and services that have flowed from Local Government. There is strong local concern that should the State boundary not follow local boundaries then it would be increasingly difficult for our Shire to effectively lobby on behalf of its constituents, eg. when the Imbil area was part of Glasshouse Electorate little additional funding was obtained for Local Government. When the state Boundaries were adjusted to include Shire Boundaries an additional 12m in funds were made available.

TOPOGRAPHICAL FEATURES:- The proposed boundary of Gympie - Nanango in the Imbil area uses Yabba Creek. This effectively divides the catchment. The Mary Valley forms the basis for the Mary River Catchment Care Committee activities. While the catchment has been divided by the redistribution process the use of the Yabba Creek as a boundary between Nanango and Gympie is an unnecessary complication in seeking of funds for the eradication of imported botanical species that are currently invading Riverine areas.

LAKE BORUMBA:- This is the prime storage for irrigation/water management in the Mary River system. It is vital to the progress of the Gympie District and it is important that the Gympie electorate contains the total area of Lake Borumba to ensure that the citizens of this area have a strong position of advocacy in State Parliament as to the use and dispersement of this water.

INFRASTRUCTURE:- The road works existing in the Electorate of Gympie and pivotal on the provincial city of Gympie and as such Gympie provides a social, financial, educational and business centre to the people of Imbil district. When in Gympie it is easy to access our Local Member. Nobody travels to Kilcoy and the inclusion of portion of Imbil district in Nanango Electorate is a severe financial impost, in that it would require a travelling distance of 150 klms to see our Local Member. If the seat of Nanango is further west more travelling would be required.

Your favourable consideration of this proposal would be appreciated and a delegation would be available to meet with you to discuss this further.

PRINCIPLE PETITIONER
Mr Rob Priebe
P O Box 94
IMBIL QLD 4570

Phone: 5484 5216
Fax: 5474 5419

ELECTORAL COMMISSION
QUEENSLAND
05 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

Name	Address	Signature
JENNIFER CARLSON	266 WHELAN RD IMBIL QLD	
BILL TEUNISSEN	LOT 10 RIVERSDALE RD KANDANGA	
Carol Holzapfel	4 Sutton St. Brooloo	carolholzapfel.
Katie Blain	136 YABBA Rd IMBIL	KRBlain
ALLAN LUTTON	4 ELIZABETH ST IMBIL	
JUNE BOYCE	1 SUTTON ST BROOLOO	J. Boyce.
Elise Palethorpe	Coonongibber rd. Brooloo	E. Palethorpe
PAULINE PRICE	3 ISLAND RD. IMBIL	P Price
Anne Acimovic	26 Mayor rd Brooloo	A. Acimovic
JOAN J. A. MAY	31 ELIZABETH ST IMBIL 4570	
LYNETTE M. LOVEDAY	109 YABBA RD IMBIL 4570	
PETER. A. LOVEDAY	109 YABBA RD IMBIL 4570	PA Loveday.
M.B. CUMMINS	SUTTON ST. BROOLOO	M.B. Cummins
Jeff Selbeck	6 Marsden St Tiaro	J. Selbeck
ALAN FITZGERALD	406 KANDANGA-IMBIL RD IMBIL	A. Fitzgerald
Julie Walker	Barsby Rd, Imbil	
Lauriel Hoopen	Island Rd Imbil	Lhoopen
Lorraine CHERRY	Little Back Ck Rd, IMBIL	L. Cherry
Deborah Rackus	4695 Mary Valley Rd Brooloo	DR
JIM FARR	10 KENILWORTH RD BROOLOO	R J Farr.
JOAN ALEXANDER	111 YABBA RD IMBIL	J Alexander
ADA ALEXANDER	111 YABBA RD IMBIL	Ada Alexander
Alan Knopp	38 Elizabeth Street Imbil	A. Knopp
B. ROBINSON	42 YORK ST IMBIL	B Robinson.
IRIS USHER	4090 Mary Valley Rd	I S Usher
Jenece Drake	20 Digging Rd IMBIL	Jenece Drake.
Sharon-Ann Barton	Little Bellack. Rd	Barton
Shirley Huth	Bergins Pkt Rd, Kandanga	S C Huth
	QRC/OBJ194	

To: The Qld Redistribution Commission



Sirs,

We the undersigned strongly object and protest the proposed boundary changes to the electorate of Gympie, in the Imbil district.

QRC/OBJ195

We request your consideration of our proposal for the following reasons.

PROPOSED CHANGES TO REDISTRIBUTION

Our proposal is to adjust the Southern Boundary of the electorate of Gympie to include the remainder of Division 4 of the Cooloola Shire Council in the Electorate of Gympie. This is to be by excising it from the proposed electorate of Nanango. The loss of numbers from Nanango of approximately 1000 people to be redressed from the re-adjustment of the boundary in the Eumundi area of Noosa into the proposed electorate of Nanango and the additional numbers to the Gympie electorate be compensated by the return to Noosa of a portion of the Gympie electorate in the South-East corner in the vicinity of Galloways Lane and Cootharaba Road.

REASONS FOR PROPOSAL:- Existing proposal bisects the town of Imbil and ruptures the community of interest of these people with the provincial town of Gympie.

EDUCATION:- Strong public support exists in the Imbil area to expand Imbil P-10 to a P-12 school. To do this requires strong, consistent political lobbying which is not achievable by divided School catchments.


SHIRE BOUNDARY:- The Southern Boundary of Cooloola Shire Council has for 100 years designated the Local Government Boundary and services that have flowed from Local Government. There is strong local concern that should the State boundary not follow local boundaries then it would be increasingly difficult for our Shire to effectively lobby on behalf of its constituents, eg. when the Imbil area was part of Glasshouse Electorate little additional funding was obtained for Local Government. When the state Boundaries were adjusted to include Shire Boundaries an additional 12m in funds were made available.

TOPOGRAPHICAL FEATURES:- The proposed boundary of Gympie - Nanango in the Imbil area uses Yabba Creek. This effectively divides the catchment. The Mary Valley forms the basis for the Mary River Catchment Care Committee activities. While the catchment has been divided by the redistribution process the use of the Yabba Creek as a boundary between Nanango and Gympie is an unnecessary complication in seeking of funds for the eradication of imported botanical species that are currently invading Riverine areas.

LAKE BORUMBA:- This is the prime storage for irrigation/water management in the Mary River system. It is vital to the progress of the Gympie District and it is important that the Gympie electorate contains the total area of Lake Borumba to ensure that the citizens of this area have a strong position of advocacy in State Parliament as to the use and dispersement of this water.

INFRASTRUCTURE:- The road works existing in the Electorate of Gympie and pivotal on the provincial city of Gympie and as such Gympie provides a social, financial, educational and business centre to the people of Imbil district. When in Gympie it is easy to access our Local Member. Nobody travels to Kilcoy and the inclusion of portion of Imbil district in Nanango Electorate is a severe financial impost, in that it would require a travelling distance of 150 klms to see our Local Member. If the seat of Nanango is further west more travelling would be required.

Your favourable consideration of this proposal would be appreciated and a delegation would be available to meet with you to discuss this further.



PRINCIPLE PETITIONER
Mr Rob Priebe
P O Box 94
IMBIL QLD 4570

Phone: 5484 5216
Fax: 5474 5419

Name	Address	Signature
[illegible]	14 YABBA RD IMBIL.	[illegible]
Lynda Warmington	Railway Hotel Imbil	L. Warmington
ELIZABETH FLETCHER.	22 York st Imbil.	Elizabeth Fletcher.
BOBBY TAYLOR	5 WILLIAMS ST IMBIL	[illegible]
G. SHARLAND.	28 NINBELLA CREST IMBIL	G. Sharland
N.B. Starr	MEYER ST IMBIL	N.B. Starr
Steven HOOPER	Railway Hotel Imbil	
TINA FLOYD	YABBA CK RD IMBIL	
CLYDE DWYER	YABBA RD IMBIL	
LYN HAINES	YABBA RD IMBIL	L. Haines
PERCY HAINES	YABBA RD IMBIL	P. Haines
	QRC/OBJ195	

To: The Qld Redistribution Commission

E.C.Q.
009311

Sirs,

We the undersigned strongly object and protest the proposed boundary changes to the electorate of Gympie, in the Imbil district.

QRC/OBJ196

We request your consideration of our proposal for the following reasons.

PROPOSED CHANGES TO REDISTRIBUTION

Our proposal is to adjust the Southern Boundary of the electorate of Gympie to include the remainder of Division 4 of the Cooloola Shire Council in the Electorate of Gympie. This is to be by excising it from the proposed electorate of Nanango. The loss of numbers from Nanango of approximately 1000 people to be redressed from the re-adjustment of the boundary in the Eumundi area of Noosa into the proposed electorate of Nanango and the additional numbers to the Gympie electorate be compensated by the return to Noosa of a portion of the Gympie electorate in the South-East corner in the vicinity of Galloways Lane and Cootharaba Road.

REASONS FOR PROPOSAL:- Existing proposal bisects the town of Imbil and ruptures the community of interest of these people with the provincial town of Gympie.

EDUCATION:- Strong public support exists in the Imbil area to expand Imbil P-10 to a P-12 school. To do this requires strong, consistent political lobbying which is not achievable by divided School catchments.

SHIRE BOUNDARY:- The Southern Boundary of Cooloola Shire Council has for 100 years designated the Local Government Boundary and services that have flowed from Local Government. There is strong local concern that should the State boundary not follow local boundaries then it would be increasingly difficult for our Shire to effectively lobby on behalf of its constituents, eg. when the Imbil area was part of Glasshouse Electorate little additional funding was obtained for Local Government. When the state Boundaries were adjusted to include Shire Boundaries an additional 12m in funds were made available.

TOPOGRAPHICAL FEATURES:- The proposed boundary of Gympie - Nanango in the Imbil area uses Yabba Creek. This effectively divides the catchment. The Mary Valley forms the basis for the Mary River Catchment Care Committee activities. While the catchment has been divided by the redistribution process the use of the Yabba Creek as a boundary between Nanango and Gympie is an unnecessary complication in seeking of funds for the eradication of imported botanical species that are currently invading Riverine areas.

LAKE BORUMBA:- This is the prime storage for irrigation/water management in the Mary River system. It is vital to the progress of the Gympie District and it is important that the Gympie electorate contains the total area of Lake Borumba to ensure that the citizens of this area have a strong position of advocacy in State Parliament as to the use and dispersement of this water.

INFRASTRUCTURE:- The road works existing in the Electorate of Gympie and pivotal on the provincial city of Gympie and as such Gympie provides a social, financial, educational and business centre to the people of Imbil district. When in Gympie it is easy to access our Local Member. Nobody travels to Kilcoy and the inclusion of portion of Imbil district in Nanango Electorate is a severe financial impost, in that it would require a travelling distance of 150 klms to see our Local Member. If the seat of Nanango is further west more travelling would be required.

Your favourable consideration of this proposal would be appreciated and a delegation would be available to meet with you to discuss this further.

PRINCIPLE PETITIONER
Mr Rob Priebe
P O Box 94
IMBIL QLD 4570

Phone: 5484 5216
Fax: 5474 5419

ELECTORAL COMMISSION
QUEENSLAND
05 MAY 1999

QRC/OBJ196

Name	Address	Signature
NORMAN F. SALT	2 EDWARD ST IMBIL	
D Dunn	Lot 6 Whelan Rd Imbil	
DIANA MACKENZIE	8 EDWARD ST IMBIL	D C Mackenzie.
Maureen Barnes	10 Yabba Ck Rd Imbil	M S Barnes
Ted Barnes.	10 Yabba ck rd Imbil	E. J. Barnes
Alan Rees Solicitor	Lot 4 Ray Myers Rd Imbil	
STEWART MACKENZIE	8 EDWARD ST, IMBIL	
PETER LAWTON	14 BATH ST BROOLOO	
LES BATES	LOT1 BRYANT RD IMBIL	
Pauline Alexander.	112 Yabba Rd Imbil	P Alexander.
Graham Witham	2/32 Edward St Noosaville	
PAM WARD	10 EDWARD ST IMBIL	P.K Ward
L CAUHEY	18 MELALEUCA RD BROOLOO	
K.L. ZILLMANN	22 ELIZABETH ST IMBIL.	K.L. Zillmann.
VAL ZILLMANN	22 ELIZABETH ST, IMBIL.	Val D. Zillmann.
MICHAEL GARRETT ©	92 ELIZABETH ST IMBIL	Michael K Garrett
KAYE KIMBALL	132 YABBA RD, IMBIL QLD	Kaye Kimball
Narelle Ryan	Dwyer Road Imbil QLD	N Ryan
Brett Marshall	Imbil QLD.	B Marshall
Leeann Fishwick	SUTTON ST. BROOLOO.	L Fishwick
L THRELFALL	YABBA RD IMBIL QLD	
Helen Spence	C/O Rainforest Hotel, Imbil Qld	H Spence
GRANT & DEBBIE	[illegible]	
SHANNON LONG	P.O. BOX 290 COOROY 4563	
KELLY ERICKSON	7 WHEGAN RD IMBIL	
ALAN FITZGERALD	406 KANDANGA-IMBIL RD IMBIL	
SHARON HEATHCOTE	HORDERN ROAD, BROOLOO.	S. Heathcote
Lewis Howard	Mary Valley Rd Brooloo.	L Howard
Mandy Howard	Mary Valley Rd Brooloo	M. Howard
Damien Howard	BROOLOO	D Howard

QRC/OBJ196

Name	Address	Signature
Mick DeBono	42 ELIZABETH ST IMBIL	
G. PRATZ	LOT 4 HILLCREST CT. IMBIL	
L. MAHONEY	LOT 1 DIGGINGS RD, IMBIL	
G. M. TESKE	3 Yabba Ck Rd IMBIL	
S. ROBERTSON.	15 BALLARD. RD. IMBIL	
T. Mifsud	Lane Rd Imbil	
C. [illegible]	Lane Rd Imbil	
A. BARNES	15 Cullinane st Gympie	
B. ROSE	IMBIL RD IMBIL	
W. P. [illegible]	[illegible]	
M. [illegible]	[illegible]	
M. [illegible]	40 Yabba RD Imbil	
L. [illegible]	3 [illegible]	
C Barsby	Borumba Dam Imbil	C Barsby
C. [illegible]	4 [illegible] Imbil	
A.F. [illegible]	LOT 1 [illegible] RD IMBIL	
M. CALF	156 [illegible] Rd The Dawn	
T SINGSTER	LOT 1 HOFFMANN RD [illegible]	
I. TORODE	Lot 17 Kevin Rd Imbil	
P. SALT	BROCKOO	
E J Randle	Kandanga	
M. MOKE	117 Shankeys Rd Pomona	
	QRC/OBJ196	

To: The Qld Redistribution Commission

E.C.Q.
009312

Sirs,

We the undersigned strongly object and protest the proposed boundary changes to the electorate of Gympie, in the Imbil district.

QRC/OBJ197

We request your consideration of our proposal for the following reasons.

PROPOSED CHANGES TO REDISTRIBUTION

Our proposal is to adjust the Southern Boundary of the electorate of Gympie to include the remainder of Division 4 of the Cooloola Shire Council in the Electorate of Gympie. This is to be by excising it from the proposed electorate of Nanango. The loss of numbers from Nanango of approximately 1000 people to be redressed from the re-adjustment of the boundary in the Eumundi area of Noosa into the proposed electorate of Nanango and the additional numbers to the Gympie electorate be compensated by the return to Noosa of a portion of the Gympie electorate in the South-East corner in the vicinity of Galloways Lane and Cootharaba Road.

REASONS FOR PROPOSAL:- Existing proposal bisects the town of Imbil and ruptures the community of interest of these people with the provincial town of Gympie.

EDUCATION:- Strong public support exists in the Imbil area to expand Imbil P-10 to a P-12 school. To do this requires strong, consistent political lobbying which is not achievable by divided School catchments.

SHIRE BOUNDARY:- The Southern Boundary of Cooloola Shire Council has for 100 years designated the Local Government Boundary and services that have flowed from Local Government. There is strong local concern that should the State boundary not follow local boundaries then it would be increasingly difficult for our Shire to effectively lobby on behalf of its constituents, eg. when the Imbil area was part of Glasshouse Electorate little additional funding was obtained for Local Government. When the state Boundaries were adjusted to include Shire Boundaries an additional 12m in funds were made available.

TOPOGRAPHICAL FEATURES:- The proposed boundary of Gympie - Nanango in the Imbil area uses Yabba Creek. This effectively divides the catchment. The Mary Valley forms the basis for the Mary River Catchment Care Committee activities. While the catchment has been divided by the redistribution process the use of the Yabba Creek as a boundary between Nanango and Gympie is an unnecessary complication in seeking of funds for the eradication of imported botanical species that are currently invading Riverine areas.

LAKE BORUMBA:- This is the prime storage for irrigation/water management in the Mary River system. It is vital to the progress of the Gympie District and it is important that the Gympie electorate contains the total area of Lake Borumba to ensure that the citizens of this area have a strong position of advocacy in State Parliament as to the use and dispersement of this water.

INFRASTRUCTURE:- The road works existing in the Electorate of Gympie and pivotal on the provincial city of Gympie and as such Gympie provides a social, financial, educational and business centre to the people of Imbil district. When in Gympie it is easy to access our Local Member. Nobody travels to Kilcoy and the inclusion of portion of Imbil district in Nanango Electorate is a severe financial impost, in that it would require a travelling distance of 150 klms to see our Local Member. If the seat of Nanango is further west more travelling would be required.

Your favourable consideration of this proposal would be appreciated and a delegation would be available to meet with you to discuss this further.


PRINCIPLE PETITIONER
Mr Rob Priebe
P O Box 94
IMBIL QLD 4570

Phone: 5484 5216
Fax: 5474 5419

ELECTORAL COMMISSION QUEENSLAND
05 MAY 1999

Name	Address	Signature
K. LAWSON	10 IMBIL ISLAND RD IMBIL	
P. Spies	5 Alice St Imbil	
P Pedersen	1 Tunnel Rd Imbil	
V. MORGAN.	1 Kandanga Rd Imbil	
D.V. Keay.	Lot 4 Melawondi RD Imbil	D.V. Keay.
D. HARRISON	AMAMOOR	
M. Newland	Kandanga	M. Newland.
Y Hudson	Brooloo	
	QRC/OBJ197	

ROPOSED QUEENSLAND ELECTORAL BOUNDARIE



QRC/085J97

QUEENSLAND REDISTF
© The State of Queensland, Depa

To: The Qld Redistribution Commission

E.C.Q.
009313

Sirs,

We the undersigned strongly object and protest the proposed boundary changes to the electorate of Gympie, in the Imbil district.

QRC/OBJ198

We request your consideration of our proposal for the following reasons.

PROPOSED CHANGES TO REDISTRIBUTION

Our proposal is to adjust the Southern Boundary of the electorate of Gympie to include the remainder of Division 4 of the Cooloola Shire Council in the Electorate of Gympie. This is to be by excising it from the proposed electorate of Nanango. The loss of numbers from Nanango of approximately 1000 people to be redressed from the re-adjustment of the boundary in the Eumundi area of Noosa into the proposed electorate of Nanango and the additional numbers to the Gympie electorate be compensated by the return to Noosa of a portion of the Gympie electorate in the South-East corner in the vicinity of Galloways Lane and Cootharaba Road.

REASONS FOR PROPOSAL:- Existing proposal bisects the town of Imbil and ruptures the community of interest of these people with the provincial town of Gympie.

EDUCATION:- Strong public support exists in the Imbil area to expand Imbil P-10 to a P-12 school. To do this requires strong, consistent political lobbying which is not achievable by divided School catchments.

SHIRE BOUNDARY:- The Southern Boundary of Cooloola Shire Council has for 100 years designated the Local Government Boundary and services that have flowed from Local Government. There is strong local concern that should the State boundary not follow local boundaries then it would be increasingly difficult for our Shire to effectively lobby on behalf of its constituents, eg. when the Imbil area was part of Glasshouse Electorate little additional funding was obtained for Local Government. When the state Boundaries were adjusted to include Shire Boundaries an additional 12m in funds were made available.

TOPOGRAPHICAL FEATURES:- The proposed boundary of Gympie - Nanango in the Imbil area uses Yabba Creek. This effectively divides the catchment. The Mary Valley forms the basis for the Mary River Catchment Care Committee activities. While the catchment has been divided by the redistribution process the use of the Yabba Creek as a boundary between Nanango and Gympie is an unnecessary complication in seeking of funds for the eradication of imported botanical species that are currently invading Riverine areas.

LAKE BORUMBA:- This is the prime storage for irrigation/water management in the Mary River system. It is vital to the progress of the Gympie District and it is important that the Gympie electorate contains the total area of Lake Borumba to ensure that the citizens of this area have a strong position of advocacy in State Parliament as to the use and dispersement of this water.

INFRASTRUCTURE:- The road works existing in the Electorate of Gympie and pivotal on the provincial city of Gympie and as such Gympie provides a social, financial, educational and business centre to the people of Imbil district. When in Gympie it is easy to access our Local Member. Nobody travels to Kilcoy and the inclusion of portion of Imbil district in Nanango Electorate is a severe financial impost, in that it would require a travelling distance of 150 klms to see our Local Member. If the seat of Nanango is further west more travelling would be required.

Your favourable consideration of this proposal would be appreciated and a delegation would be available to meet with you to discuss this further.

ELECTORAL COMMISSION
QUEENSLAND
05 MAY 1999

PRINCIPLE PETITIONER
Mr Rob Priebe
P O Box 94
IMBIL QLD 4570

Phone: 5484 5216
Fax: 5474 5419

Name	Address	Signature
LANCE MAHER	8 MC INTOSH CR TIN BIL	
MARK STIRLING.	116-120 YABBA RD IMBIL	
SHIRLEY ROWE	BORUMBA DAM	
Warren Doel	Lot 2, Western Ck, Rd.	W. F. Doel
NEIL CRITTLEY	130 BORUMBA DAM	
BECKETT ANTHONY	4210 MARY VALLEY RD	
LEANNE SMITH	LOT 31 BRYANT RD IMBIL	
James Davis	22 York St Imbil	
Justin Kesten	Lot 1 Rd. Lot 2 Bellevue Rd	
David Henderson	Lot 7 (22) Marsden St IMBIL	
Shelley Brown	49 Everson Rd Gympie	
Rowena Elliott	Education Centre Imbil	
GARY WALKER	ARESBY RD IMBIL	
Leb. Graham	No 1 Ballard Rd Imbil	
D. Howard	1x3 Mc Connell Rd Imbil	
J. Carlon	1 Kandanga RD IMBIL	
D. Morgan	1 Kandanga RD IMBIL	
J Mahon	135 Wheatland Rd Imbil	
N. Smith	31 Bryant Rd Imbil	
K. Anthony	35 Courtrd Mambour	
S. TYRIE	10 DIGGINGS RD IMBIL	
C. STERNBECK	10 Diggings Rd Imbil	
CARMEL McMULLEN	LOT 16 YABBA CREEK RD IMBIL	
GRANT McMULLEN	LOT 16 YABBA CREEK RD IMBIL	
CHRIS FLYNN	6 YORK IMBIL	
PAT SULLIVAN	19 MACROD RD IMBIL	
V. Loder	45 York. Imbil	
S. LODER	45 YORK ST. IMBIL	
	QRC/OBJ 198	

To: The Qld Redistribution Commission



Sirs,

We the undersigned strongly object and protest the proposed boundary changes to the electorate of Gympie, in the Imbil district.

QRC/OBJ 199

We request your consideration of our proposal for the following reasons.

PROPOSED CHANGES TO REDISTRIBUTION

Our proposal is to adjust the Southern Boundary of the electorate of Gympie to include the remainder of Division 4 of the Cooloola Shire Council in the Electorate of Gympie. This is to be by excising it from the proposed electorate of Nanango. The loss of numbers from Nanango of approximately 1000 people to be redressed from the re-adjustment of the boundary in the Eumundi area of Noosa into the proposed electorate of Nanango and the additional numbers to the Gympie electorate be compensated by the return to Noosa of a portion of the Gympie electorate in the South-East corner in the vicinity of Galloways Lane and Cootharaba Road.

REASONS FOR PROPOSAL:- Existing proposal bisects the town of Imbil and ruptures the community of interest of these people with the provincial town of Gympie.

EDUCATION:- Strong public support exists in the Imbil area to expand Imbil P-10 to a P-12 school. To do this requires strong, consistent political lobbying which is not achievable by divided School catchments.


SHIRE BOUNDARY:- The Southern Boundary of Cooloola Shire Council has for 100 years designated the Local Government Boundary and services that have flowed from Local Government. There is strong local concern that should the State boundary not follow local boundaries then it would be increasingly difficult for our Shire to effectively lobby on behalf of its constituents, eg. when the Imbil area was part of Glasshouse Electorate little additional funding was obtained for Local Government. When the state Boundaries were adjusted to include Shire Boundaries an additional 12m in funds were made available.

TOPOGRAPHICAL FEATURES:- The proposed boundary of Gympie - Nanango in the Imbil area uses Yabba Creek. This effectively divides the catchment. The Mary Valley forms the basis for the Mary River Catchment Care Committee activities. While the catchment has been divided by the redistribution process the use of the Yabba Creek as a boundary between Nanango and Gympie is an unnecessary complication in seeking of funds for the eradication of imported botanical species that are currently invading Riverine areas.

LAKE BORUMBA:- This is the prime storage for irrigation/water management in the Mary River system. It is vital to the progress of the Gympie District and it is important that the Gympie electorate contains the total area of Lake Borumba to ensure that the citizens of this area have a strong position of advocacy in State Parliament as to the use and dispersement of this water.

INFRASTRUCTURE:- The road works existing in the Electorate of Gympie and pivotal on the provincial city of Gympie and as such Gympie provides a social, financial, educational and business centre to the people of Imbil district. When in Gympie it is easy to access our Local Member. Nobody travels to Kilcoy and the inclusion of portion of Imbil district in Nanango Electorate is a severe financial impost, in that it would require a travelling distance of 150 klms to see our Local Member. If the seat of Nanango is further west more travelling would be required.

Your favourable consideration of this proposal would be appreciated and a delegation would be available to meet with you to discuss this further.



PRINCIPLE PETITIONER
Mr Rob Priebe
P O Box 94
IMBIL QLD 4570

Phone: 5484 5216
Fax: 5474 5419

Name	Address	Signature
Sue McMah	Mowbray Park Broadco	SMcMah
Tim LANGHAM.	YABBA CREEK RD, IMBIL.	T L
Janine Glechill	Little Bella Ck Rd Imbil	
Wendy Wallis	40A Elizabeth St Imbil	Wendy Wall
Geoff Wallis	40A Elizabeth St Imbil	Geoffrey Wallis
J Reiter	LOT 5, BUNDY RD., IMBIL	JOHN REITER
J Barnes	" 3, HOMESTEAD BROADWOOD	J Barnes
D Carson	" " " "	D Carson
J. Doss	22 York St Imbil	
S. WAINWRIGHT	62 CALLEMONDA RD BROADWOOD	S Wainwright.
B. Coote	64 YINGHANI Rd. BROADWOOD	B Coote
D Walker.	122 Yabba Rd Imbil	D Walker
K. Walker	" " " "	K Walker
	QRC/OBJ 199	

To: The Qld Redistribution Commission

E.C.Q.
009315

Sirs,

We the undersigned strongly object and protest the proposed boundary changes to the electorate of Gympie, in the Imbil district.

QRC/OBJ 200

We request your consideration of our proposal for the following reasons.

PROPOSED CHANGES TO REDISTRIBUTION

Our proposal is to adjust the Southern Boundary of the electorate of Gympie to include the remainder of Division 4 of the Cooloola Shire Council in the Electorate of Gympie. This is to be by excising it from the proposed electorate of Nanango. The loss of numbers from Nanango of approximately 1000 people to be redressed from the re-adjustment of the boundary in the Eumundi area of Noosa into the proposed electorate of Nanango and the additional numbers to the Gympie electorate be compensated by the return to Noosa of a portion of the Gympie electorate in the South-East corner in the vicinity of Galloways Lane and Cootharaba Road.

REASONS FOR PROPOSAL:- Existing proposal bisects the town of Imbil and ruptures the community of interest of these people with the provincial town of Gympie.

EDUCATION:- Strong public support exists in the Imbil area to expand Imbil P-10 to a P-12 school. To do this requires strong, consistent political lobbying which is not achievable by divided School catchments.

SHIRE BOUNDARY:- The Southern Boundary of Cooloola Shire Council has for 100 years designated the Local Government Boundary and services that have flowed from Local Government. There is strong local concern that should the State boundary not follow local boundaries then it would be increasingly difficult for our Shire to effectively lobby on behalf of its constituents, eg. when the Imbil area was part of Glasshouse Electorate little additional funding was obtained for Local Government. When the state Boundaries were adjusted to include Shire Boundaries an additional 12m in funds were made available.

TOPOGRAPHICAL FEATURES:- The proposed boundary of Gympie - Nanango in the Imbil area uses Yabba Creek. This effectively divides the catchment. The Mary Valley forms the basis for the Mary River Catchment Care Committee activities. While the catchment has been divided by the redistribution process the use of the Yabba Creek as a boundary between Nanango and Gympie is an unnecessary complication in seeking of funds for the eradication of imported botanical species that are currently invading Riverine areas.

LAKE BORUMBA:- This is the prime storage for irrigation/water management in the Mary River system. It is vital to the progress of the Gympie District and it is important that the Gympie electorate contains the total area of Lake Borumba to ensure that the citizens of this area have a strong position of advocacy in State Parliament as to the use and dispersement of this water.

INFRASTRUCTURE:- The road works existing in the Electorate of Gympie and pivotal on the provincial city of Gympie and as such Gympie provides a social, financial, educational and business centre to the people of Imbil district. When in Gympie it is easy to access our Local Member. Nobody travels to Kilcoy and the inclusion of portion of Imbil district in Nanango Electorate is a severe financial impost, in that it would require a travelling distance of 150 klms to see our Local Member. If the seat of Nanango is further west more travelling would be required.

Your favourable consideration of this proposal would be appreciated and a delegation would be available to meet with you to discuss this further.

QUEENSLAND
05 MAY 1999

PRINCIPLE PETITIONER
Mr Rob Priebe
P O Box 94
IMBIL QLD 4570

Phone: 5484 5216
Fax: 5474 5419

QRC/OBJ 200

Name	Address	Signature
D MARTIN	4 WHELAN RD IMBIL	
R Nolan	LOT 9 MELINDA RD IMBIL	R. Nolan.
G. COSTIN	1 MARGARET ST KANDANGA	Glen Costin.
A.F. MOLONEY	4180 MARY VALLEY RD BROOLOO	A.F. Moloney.
M. Delean	8 Little Bella Ck Rd Imbil	
B.R. DILGER	Lot 21 COONOON GIBBER ROAD BROOLOO	
E A Ulman	165 KANDANGA IMBIL RD.	E A Ulman
JOAN MARTIN	4 WHELAN RD IMBIL	
W L Leitch	3 Ashland Rd Gympie	W L L
K. Martin	4 Whelan Rd Imbil	K Martin
V BRYANT	17 COLLIHAN ST	V Bryant.
J. S. Laing	LOT 3 Yabba Creek Rd Imbil	
E. J WELLS	LOT 47 BROOLOO IMBIL	
DJ TORRENS	719 Kandanga Rd Imbil	DJ Torrens
June Gibson	9 Yabba Creek Rd IMBIL	June Gibson.
Barbara Hutchin	Borumbah Deer Park.	B Hutchin.
Graham McIlroy	14 Bundy Rd Imbil	
Maria McIlroy	14 Bundy Rd Imbil	M. McIlroy
Kirin Holzhauer	4 Sutton St BROOLOO	K Holzhauer
H. MAIER	Whelan Road.	H. Maier
J. Barry-Anderson	12 Bella Creek Rd. Imbil	
Donna Dilger	Lot 21 coonoon gibber Rd	D.M. Dilger
Jean Barry	24 Duggingo Rd. Imbil	J. Barry
T.F. McCONNELL	12 STEPHENS ST KANDANGA	
S. Griffin	474 Whelan RD Imbil	
D. Wright	474 whelan rd imbil	
D. Wright	Lot 1 Parklane Imbil	D Wright
L Ross	35/25 BROOLOO GYMPIE RD	
CHRIS SOMMERS	6 Island Road. Imbil	
L. HUDSON	5 BERGINS POCKET ROAD KANDANGA	

QRC/OBJ 200

Name	Address	Signature
G. ROSE	LOT 2 KANDANGA RD IMBIL	G. N. Rose
M O'HANLON	170 L MITCHELL RD KANDANGA	M O'H
S. DAVIES	25 EDWARD ST IMBIL	S. B. Davies
[illegible]	13 CITY VIEW DR GYMPIE	
A. THORNLEY	3770 MARY VALLEY R. BROOLOO	A. Thornley
T. Lawrence	Friedland Rd Tuchekoi	T. Lawrence
J. Porter	Ninbella Rd Imbil	[signature]
Brian Porter	Ninbella Rd. IMBIL	[signature]
Danny van Heusden	615 KANDANGA Road	[signature]
L. CHERRY	LITTLE BELLA CK RD IMBIL	L. [signature]
D. GIBBS	Little Bella Ck Rd Imbil	D. Gibbs
GLEN CARTER	LOT 16 BRYANT RD IMBIL	[signature]
BRUCE CRANE	IMBIL	[signature]
ROBIN MCRAE	IMBIL	[signature]
[illegible]	Imbil	[signature]
[illegible]	IMBIL	[signature]
G.V. ROSENDALE.	180 IMBIL RD. IMBIL	[signature]
D. HENDERSON.	PO BOX 191 IMBIL	[signature]
M. Torrens	719 Imbil - Kandanga road	[signature]
M. LEACY	12 MARSDEN ST. IMBIL	[signature]
R.B. MARTIN	Lot 4 Bryant Rd IMBIL	[signature]
B. Smart	565 Kand - Imbil Rd. Imbil	B. Smart
B. McREDMOND	17 BRYANT RD IMBIL	[signature]
Lorraine Creevey	4155 Mary Valley Rd Brooloo	[signature]
Jan Smith	4 CHARLES RUSSELL WAY	Jan Smith
S GRUBB	46 Timani Rd Imbil	[signature]
L. LOCK	3420 MARY VALLEY RD IMBIL	[signature]
D. O'BRIEN	Lot 41 COONDOOGIBBER RD BROOLOO	[signature]
M. O BRIEN	" " "	[signature]

To: The Qld Redistribution Commission

E.C.Q.
009316

Sirs,

We the undersigned strongly object and protest the proposed boundary changes to the electorate of Gympie, in the Imbil district.

QRC/OBJ 201

We request your consideration of our proposal for the following reasons.

PROPOSED CHANGES TO REDISTRIBUTION

Our proposal is to adjust the Southern Boundary of the electorate of Gympie to include the remainder of Division 4 of the Cooloola Shire Council in the Electorate of Gympie. This is to be by excising it from the proposed electorate of Nanango. The loss of numbers from Nanango of approximately 1000 people to be redressed from the re-adjustment of the boundary in the Eumundi area of Noosa into the proposed electorate of Nanango and the additional numbers to the Gympie electorate be compensated by the return to Noosa of a portion of the Gympie electorate in the South-East corner in the vicinity of Galloways Lane and Cootharaba Road.

REASONS FOR PROPOSAL:- Existing proposal bisects the town of Imbil and ruptures the community of interest of these people with the provincial town of Gympie.

EDUCATION:- Strong public support exists in the Imbil area to expand Imbil P-10 to a P-12 school. To do this requires strong, consistent political lobbying which is not achievable by divided School catchments.

SHIRE BOUNDARY:- The Southern Boundary of Cooloola Shire Council has for 100 years designated the Local Government Boundary and services that have flowed from Local Government. There is strong local concern that should the State boundary not follow local boundaries then it would be increasingly difficult for our Shire to effectively lobby on behalf of its constituents, eg. when the Imbil area was part of Glasshouse Electorate little additional funding was obtained for Local Government. When the state Boundaries were adjusted to include Shire Boundaries an additional 12m in funds were made available.

TOPOGRAPHICAL FEATURES:- The proposed boundary of Gympie - Nanango in the Imbil area uses Yabba Creek. This effectively divides the catchment. The Mary Valley forms the basis for the Mary River Catchment Care Committee activities. While the catchment has been divided by the redistribution process the use of the Yabba Creek as a boundary between Nanango and Gympie is an unnecessary complication in seeking of funds for the eradication of imported botanical species that are currently invading Riverine areas.

LAKE BORUMBA:- This is the prime storage for irrigation/water management in the Mary River system. It is vital to the progress of the Gympie District and it is important that the Gympie electorate contains the total area of Lake Borumba to ensure that the citizens of this area have a strong position of advocacy in State Parliament as to the use and dispersement of this water.

INFRASTRUCTURE:- The road works existing in the Electorate of Gympie and pivotal on the provincial city of Gympie and as such Gympie provides a social, financial, educational and business centre to the people of Imbil district. When in Gympie it is easy to access our Local Member. Nobody travels to Kilcoy and the inclusion of portion of Imbil district in Nanango Electorate is a severe financial impost, in that it would require a travelling distance of 150 klms to see our Local Member. If the seat of Nanango is further west more travelling would be required.

Your favourable consideration of this proposal would be appreciated and a delegation would be available to meet with you to discuss this further.

RECEIVED
05 MAY 1999


PRINCIPLE PETITIONER
Mr Rob Priebe
P O Box 94
IMBIL QLD 4570

Phone: 5484 5216
Fax: 5474 5419

Name	Address	Signature
BRUCE LOCKER	130 YABBA RD IMBIL	
Kevin Lynch	9 Meyers St Imbil	
Kevin Lynch	9 Meyers St. Imbil	
Brenda Ann Shaw	84 YABBA RD Imbil	
Ken Shaw.	84 Yabba RD IMBIL	
Robinson	SUTTON LANE	
MARY MOORE	10 SUTTON ST. BROOLOO	
YVONNE RUTGE	LOT 5 SUTTON ST BROOLOO	
G. RUDGE	LOT 5 SUTTON ST BROOLOO	
Laurena Jobes	59 Yabba Road Imbil	
Cherie Brett	44 yabba Rd. Imbil	
H. ROZYNSKI	M V J ROAD	
SHEARER	35 YORK ST. IMBIL.	
Janet Brown	5 York St Imbil	
R.J. Stehbens	45 Yabba Rd Imbil	
Ken Mahoney	Lot 1 Dreamys Rd Imbil	
Dell Finselbach	61 Yabba Rd Imbil	
BARRY GUILFOYLE	BOREUMBA DEER PARK	
FREDERICK ROY FINSELBACH	61 YABBA Rd IMBIL	
	QRC/OBJ201	

- MHS -

ROPOSED QUEENSLAND ELECTORAL BOUNDARIE



QRC/OBJ201

PROPOSED ELECTORAL DISTRICT OF GYMPIE

Commencing at Double Island Point on the eastern boundary of the Shire of Cooloola and bounded thence by that boundary southerly, westerly and again southerly to the south-western corner of Lot 1 on plan RP865230, by the southern boundary of that lot easterly to Galloways Lane, by that lane, Cootharaba Road and Junction Road generally southerly and south-easterly to Louis Bazzo Drive, by that drive and McKinnon Drive southerly and Ringtail Creek Road generally westerly to Forest Acres Drive, by that drive south-westerly and south-easterly, Lake MacDonald Drive westerly and southerly and Hoy Road generally south-easterly to the north-east corner of Lot 1 on plan RP167265, by the eastern boundary of that lot south-easterly, Cooroy Noosa Road north-easterly and Dath Henderson Road generally south-easterly to Sunrise Road, by that road southerly, the southern boundary of the Shire of Noosa generally south-westerly and north-westerly and the south-eastern boundary of the Shire of Cooloola westerly to the Mary River, by that river downwards, Yabba Creek and an unnamed creek on the western side of Imbil Island upwards to a point east of the northern boundary of Lot 10 on plan LX711, by a line thereto, by that boundary and a line westerly to the northern boundary of Lot 8 on plan RP848401, by that boundary and the northern boundary of Lots 2 and 1 on plan RP73082 westerly and northerly to Bundy Road, by that road, the western boundary of Lot 15 on plan RP12423, a line and the western boundary of Lot 2 on plan RP99410 northerly to again Yabba Creek, by that creek, Lake Borumba, again by that creek and an unnamed creek upwards to an unnamed road abutting the western boundary of Lot 16 on plan LX1925 by that road southerly to the southern boundary of again the Shire of Cooloola, by that boundary and the western and northern boundary of that shire generally westerly, northerly and north-easterly to the eastern boundary of that shire northerly from Inskip Point; and thence by that boundary generally south-easterly and north-easterly to the point of commencement.

To: The Qld Redistribution Commission

E.C.Q.
009317

Sirs,

We the undersigned strongly object and protest the proposed boundary changes to the electorate of Gympie, in the Imbil district.

QRC/OBJ 202

We request your consideration of our proposal for the following reasons.

PROPOSED CHANGES TO REDISTRIBUTION

Our proposal is to adjust the Southern Boundary of the electorate of Gympie to include the remainder of Division 4 of the Cooloola Shire Council in the Electorate of Gympie. This is to be by excising it from the proposed electorate of Nanango. The loss of numbers from Nanango of approximately 1000 people to be redressed from the re-adjustment of the boundary in the Eumundi area of Noosa into the proposed electorate of Nanango and the additional numbers to the Gympie electorate be compensated by the return to Noosa of a portion of the Gympie electorate in the South-East corner in the vicinity of Galloways Lane and Cootharaba Road.

REASONS FOR PROPOSAL:- Existing proposal bisects the town of Imbil and ruptures the community of interest of these people with the provincial town of Gympie.

EDUCATION:- Strong public support exists in the Imbil area to expand Imbil P-10 to a P-12 school. To do this requires strong, consistent political lobbying which is not achievable by divided School catchments.

SHIRE BOUNDARY:- The Southern Boundary of Cooloola Shire Council has for 100 years designated the Local Government Boundary and services that have flowed from Local Government. There is strong local concern that should the State boundary not follow local boundaries then it would be increasingly difficult for our Shire to effectively lobby on behalf of its constituents, eg. when the Imbil area was part of Glasshouse Electorate little additional funding was obtained for Local Government. When the state Boundaries were adjusted to include Shire Boundaries an additional 12m in funds were made available.

TOPOGRAPHICAL FEATURES:- The proposed boundary of Gympie - Nanango in the Imbil area uses Yabba Creek. This effectively divides the catchment. The Mary Valley forms the basis for the Mary River Catchment Care Committee activities. While the catchment has been divided by the redistribution process the use of the Yabba Creek as a boundary between Nanango and Gympie is an unnecessary complication in seeking of funds for the eradication of imported botanical species that are currently invading Riverine areas.

LAKE BORUMBA:- This is the prime storage for irrigation/water management in the Mary River system. It is vital to the progress of the Gympie District and it is important that the Gympie electorate contains the total area of Lake Borumba to ensure that the citizens of this area have a strong position of advocacy in State Parliament as to the use and dispersement of this water.

INFRASTRUCTURE:- The road works existing in the Electorate of Gympie and pivotal on the provincial city of Gympie and as such Gympie provides a social, financial, educational and business centre to the people of Imbil district. When in Gympie it is easy to access our Local Member. Nobody travels to Kilcoy and the inclusion of portion of Imbil district in Nanango Electorate is a severe financial impost, in that it would require a travelling distance of 150 klms to see our Local Member. If the seat of Nanango is further west more travelling would be required.

Your favourable consideration of this proposal would be appreciated and a delegation would be available to meet with you to discuss this further.

PRINCIPLE PETITIONER
Mr Rob Priebe
P O Box 94
IMBIL QLD 4570

Phone: 5484 5216
Fax: 5474 5419

ELECTORAL COMMISSION
QUEENSLAND
05 MAY 1999

Name	Address	Signature
A Skeg	80 Yabba Road Imbil	
R. Worth	2 Kandanga Cr. Road Kandanga	
N Knox	24 Island Rd Imbil	
W A Knox	24 Island Rd Imbil	W a Knox
R Harris	1 Ballard Rd Imbil	
T. Harris	1 Ballard Rd Imbil	
M. DeBono	42 Elizabeth St Imbil	
S. Robertson	15 Ballard Rd. Imbil.	
L.K. Corrigan	4055 Bruce Hwy Imbil	
G. E. McMath	Imbil Park Brooloo	
R Bacon	Lot 6 Little Bella Ck Imbil	
C. J. Knight.	Lot 10 Little Bella Ck. Rd.	Knight.
R. Knight	Lot 12 Little Bella Ck Rd.	
B. Small	565 Kandanga-Imbil Rd.	
H. Walter	2610 Mary Valley Rd	
S. Thomas	Lot 47 Imani Rd.	
G Barsby	Boreumba Dam Imbil	G m. Barsby.
K. Knott	Moy Pocket Rd, Imbil.	
M Knott	" "	
J. Rodgers SNR.	28 Dagging Rd Imbil	
	QRC/OBJ 202	

E.C.Q.
009318

BH:DM
81:522
5 May, 1999

QRC/OBJ203

Director
Electoral Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

Dear Sir

As a general observation, the Winton Shire Council believes that electorates should not be designed so that they are unable to be effectively serviced by the member. In this proposed redistribution, Gregory, with three population centres all several hundred kilometres apart, will be difficult for a member to service. Charters Towers has had shires added to it (ie Aramac and Jericho) that have no direct all-weather road links with the rest of the electorate and will therefore, be difficult to service.

The main objection of the Winton community, in particular, to the proposed redistribution is that there is no community of interest between Winton and Mt Isa city or electorate.

Claims made by the Electoral Commission that there is a community of interest between Winton and Mt Isa through mining and beef industries are very tenuous, for the mining industry in the Winton shire is on a very small scale - 100% owner/operator, confined to opal and gypsum. There are no large mining operations in the Winton shire as opposed to those in the shires that make up the balance of the Mt Isa electorate, where will be found companies such as MIM, BHP, Placer, Western Mining and CRA. Consequently, the operations and problems encountered are totally different.

The beef industry interests are acknowledged, but almost every rural electorate in the state has beef cattle, so it is a rather week and tenuous link. The point should be made that all of the Department of Primary Industries support services for the beef industry in the Winton shire emanate from Longreach and Barcaldine, as do other DPI services and almost all government services. Of particular note are those concerning drought relief, financial support and financial counselling. Department of Natural Resources services of water resources and valuations are also sourced from Barcaldine and Longreach.

The Winton shire has a very strong and long-standing relationship with the Central division of the Department of Main Roads based in Barcaldine. This division is a significant employer through its depot in Winton. There has been no relationship between the Winton shire council and the NW Division of Main Roads based in Cloncurry.

QUEENSLAND
05 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

There are two regular air services per week between Winton and Longreach and Winton and Townsville. There are no air services at all between Winton and Mt Isa or Cloncurry.

Winton Shire Council does not intend to propose unwanted arrangements for the shires of Aramac and Jericho and has liaised with those councils to determine their preferences. Having said that, we make the point that both those shire have a greater community of interest with Longreach and Emerald than they do with Charters Towers.

In fact, it would be very difficult for the member for Charters Towers to properly service those shires because they are physically remote from and poorly connected by road to the main body of the Charters Towers electorate. Conversely, they are both very little distance via sealed roads from Gregory's population bases of Longreach and Emerald. The member for Gregory has to drive through Jericho shire in travelling from Longreach to Emerald. In practical terms, it is somewhat ludicrous to place the Jericho shire in any electorate other than Gregory.

Solution 1

To the proposed electorate of **Gregory**			26353
Add	Shire of Winton	2090*	
	Aramac	1038*	
	Jericho	1136*	
Less	Shire of Murweh	4141*	**26476**
To the proposed electorate of **Warrego**			26268
Add	Shire of Murweh	4141*	
Less	part Shire of Chinchilla	2000*	
Less	part shire of Tara	2000*	**26409**
To the proposed electorate of **Darling Downs**			23753
Add	part Shire of Chinchilla	2000 (approx)	**25753**
To the proposed shire of **Cunningham**			23167
Add	part shire of Tara	2000(approx)	**25167**
From the proposed electorate of **Charters Towers**			24936
Deduct	Shire of Aramac	1038*	
	Shire of Jericho	1136*	**22762**
From the proposed electorate of **Mt Isa**			25850
Deduct	Shire of Winton	2090*	**23760**

FILE No.	Ex/79/6
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ 203
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	6/5

The figures quoted are those as of 21/12/98 as published by the commission. Those marked with an asterisk (*) include notional voters for electorates over 100,000 sq kms in area. The resulting enrolments on the above arrangement are all within the tolerance range as at 21/12/98.

QRC/OBJ 203

This submission does not stipulate the basis for dividing the shires of Chinchilla and Tara because the figures are not available to us at such short notice. However, there is clearly a flexibility of numbers between Darling Downs, Cunningham and Warrego which should allow a division along clearly defined physical features such as roads or water courses. Further, we believe that the majority of residents of the Chinchilla and Tara shires would have a greater community of interest with the electorates to their east, rather than with the balance of the proposed electorate of Warrego.

Solution 2

Under the proposed redistribution, it is not essential that the shire of Winton, on being removed from Gregory be added to Mt Isa, for the numbers of that electoral district would be within the tolerated range without Winton. People in the Winton shire have a much greater community of interest with Charters Towers than they do with Mt Isa, particularly through links of education and health services. In addition, the Kennedy Developmental road between Winton and Hughenden will be totally sealed by December, 2000, further improving contact.

Consequently, a solution to achieve a better, though not ideal, result would be to add the Winton shire to Charters Towers. That would result in the following enrolments:

Mt Isa	23760
Charters Towers	27026

However, this solution does not solve the community of interest problems for the shire of Aramac and Jericho.

Therefore, Solution 1 is regarded by the Winton Shire Council as the more desirable of the two proposals.

Yours faithfully QRC/OBJ 203

Bob Hoogland
CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER

61 7 46610359

shire of warwick

E.C.Q. 009321

BLG:JRM

QRC/OBJ 204

5 May 1999

The Secretary
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

Dear Sir/Madam

I make submission on the proposed State Electoral boundary of (proposed) 'Condamine'.

The Council of the Shire of Warwick at its general meeting held on the 28th April 1999, resolved "That the Mayor write to the Electoral Commission – Queensland (ECQ) regarding the proposed boundary change to the Electorate of Warwick, to strongly object to part of the Shire becoming part of another State Electorate. Further, that the proposed name of 'Condamine' be rejected and suggest 'Southern Downs' instead."

The reason for objecting to the proposed boundary is that the Shire will be split under the proposal.

We contend that numbers required to balance the electorate could be found in areas of dense population, adjusting boundaries that currently do not follow Local Government areas. We recognise that Council is not qualified to suggest exact boundaries, but Council is of the strong view that by any community of interest test, the proposed boundaries make little sense. A large area of the Shire is affected to yield relatively few people.

The proposed name of 'Condamine' does not find favour due to the fact that it does not describe the area. Our preferred name is 'Warwick', however, a second choice would be 'Southern Downs'.

Yours faithfully

Bruce L Green
Mayor

RECEIVED
05 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

TELEPHONE: (076) 61 0300 FACSIMILE: (076) 61 5390
ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, 64 FITZROY STREET, WARWICK
ALL COMMUNICATIONS TO BE ADDRESSED TO THE CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER
P.O. BOX 77, WARWICK, QLD 4370

FROM THE OFFICE OF THE

Kolan Shire Council

4 Bear Street,
Gin Gin, Qld. 4671

TELEPHONE (07) 4157 2135
FAX (07) 4157 2645
P.O. BOX 21, GIN GIN. 4671

Ref: RPF/JS: A/0006
Your Ref: EX/79 GEA:LS

29 April, 1999

E.C.Q.
009319

Secretary,
Queensland Redistribution Commission,
Locked Bag 3300,
BRISBANE. QLD 9001

QRC/OBJ 205

Attention: Mrs Trudy Aurisch,

Dear Madam,

Re: Queensland State Electoral Redistribution - Proposed Queensland Electoral Districts April 1999

I refer to your letter of 7 April 1999, regards the above, and lodge Council's objection to the proposed changes.

Please note Council's absolute objection to this proposal as the residents of Kolan Shire have no interest in the matters of Callide. The immediate community of interest for residents of this shire rests within the main centre of Kolan Shire in Gin Gin and following this the regional centre of Bundaberg would be used by these residents.

There is no affiliation with the Callide electorate and to have residents of this shire being serviced by a different state representative who represents an area that has no association with the residents affected is not considered appropriate.

The population in the northern and western areas of Kolan Shire consist mainly of new residents who have in recent years moved into the shire on to small to medium rural acreage allotments. There is already a feeling among some of these residents that they are the outsiders in this area in comparison to longer term residents and to allow this transfer will only encourage this stereotyping for these people.

Council strongly objects to the proposal and requests the Commission to retain the Shire and it's residents in the Burnett electorate.

I trust you will give due consideration to Council's concerns,

Yours faithfully,

for R.P. Ferguson,
CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER

ELECTORAL COM
QUEENS
05 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

CHINCHILLA SHIRE COUNCIL

A Vital Friendly Shire

In Reply Please Quote: 1/5/2

Our Ref: EH:SH
Your Ref:

4 May, 1999



Queensland Redistribution Commission
Level 6, forestry House
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE Q 4001

QRC/OBJ 206

Dear Sir/Madam,

Re: Proposed Queensland Legislative Assembly Electoral Districts

Chinchilla Shire Council wishes to submit an objection to the proposal to include Chinchilla Shire in the electorate of Warrego and the reasons for the objection.

i) Chinchilla Shire has more in common with the Darling Downs electorate as follows:-

1.1) Business and services to/from Chinchilla are orientated towards the eastern Darling Downs.


1.2) Industry in Chinchilla Shire is similar to the shires on the Darling Downs (ie Cereal Crops, Cotton, Beef, Horticulture) while the Warrego is basically a Wool and Sheep growing area.

1.3) The soil types in Chinchilla Shire includes the heavy black soil Darling Downs country which has similar needs and government services to the Darling Downs while the balance of the Warrego is lighter soil types.

ii) Local Government Association of Queensland in determining the district boundaries of the Association includes Chinchilla Shire in the Darling Downs Local Government Association Region. Shire west of Chinchilla are in the South West Local Government Association region.

iii) For the reasons above Chinchilla Shire will not receive adequate representation from the proposed boundary due to vastness of the area to be covered by the member for Warrego.

iv) The size of the proposed Warrego Electorate is too large for one member to provide adequate representation with the vast interests, requesting consideration of a weighting factor for remoteness in the western areas.



PO Box 42 Chinchilla 4413
Telephone: (07) 4662 7056 Fax: (07) 4662 7720
ADDRESS ALL CORRESPONDENCE TO THE CHIEF EXECUTIVE

Following the close of objections Chinchilla Shire Council requests that the Queensland Redistribution Commission include the Chinchilla Shire in the Darling Downs electorate for the following reasons:-

i) The services provided to the Darling Downs are similar to services required for the Chinchilla Shire.

ii) Local Government recognises common interest and the State Government should endeavour to ensure State boundaries incorporate common interest principles adopted by Local Government.

iii) A redistribution based on population is not equitable in a state as large as Queensland and weighting needs to be included in legislation for remoteness.

Your attention to the above alteration to the proposed electoral boundaries would be appreciated.

Yours faithfully,

Ed Hoffmann
CHIEF EXECUTIVE

QRC/OBJ 206

FILE No.	EX/79/6
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ 206
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	6/5

Our Ref: ELE36
Your Ref: EX/79
Enquiries: Mr Cale Dendle
Telephone: (07) 4936 8290
Facsimile: (07) 4922 1700

29 April 1999

E.C.Q.
009322

Hon. Justice J P Shanahan
Chairperson
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

QRC/OBJ 207

Dear Sir

PROPOSED REDISTRIBUTION OF QUEENSLAND LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY ELECTORATES

I refer to recent announcements regarding draft proposals for the redistribution of the Queensland Legislative Assembly Electorates currently being undertaken by your Commission in accordance with the provisions of the *Electoral Act 1992*.

During consideration of these draft proposals at a recent meeting, the Commission's proposal to alter the name of the existing 'Rockhampton' electorate to 'Rockhampton Central' was noted. Given that no other electorate features the name 'Rockhampton', Council considered the addition of the word 'Central' to have no relevance and therefore be unnecessary. Moreover, the addition of the word 'Central' to a geographically-identified electorate may create confusion and is likely to be impractical in that many references to a long-standing electoral district name will need to be changed.

Accordingly, Council has directed me (copy of resolution *attached*) to request the Commission consider the retention of the existing name 'Rockhampton' as the most suitable for the Queensland Legislative Assembly electorate for this area.

It would be appreciated if you could present Council's submission for consideration at the next meeting of the Commission. It is understood submissions are required at your office no later than 10 May 1999.

Yours faithfully

Gary Stevenson
Chief Executive Officer

CFD:CFD
k:\sup\letters\1999\cfd04037.doc

QUEENSLAND
05 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

FILE No.	EX/79/6
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ 207
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	

Rockhampton City Council | Bolsover Street Rockhampton Queensland | PO Box 243 Rockhampton Qld 4700 | Telephone (07) 4936 8000 Facsimile (07) 4922 1700 Email enquiries@rcc.qld.gov.au

COUNCIL RESOLUTION MEMO

ORDINARY COUNCIL **19 APRIL 1999**

Action as per Resolution set out below	Date
Referred to Senior Administration Officer for action	23/4/99

COUNCILLOR SCHWARTEN - REDISTRIBUTION OF STATE ELECTORAL BOUNDARIES

File Ref: ELE36 QRC/0157207

Summary:

Councillor Schwarten referred to the draft proposal by the Queensland Electoral Commission for the redistribution of Queensland Legislative Assembly electorates and the decision to rename Rockhampton to "Rockhampton Central". He requested that since no other electorate features the name "Rockhampton" and the word "Central" therefore has no relevance, that Council have some input into the final makeup of the redistribution, submitting that the name "Rockhampton" be retained.

MOTION:

"**THAT** it be referred to the Chief Executive Officer (Operations Manager Corporate Services) to make representations to the Queensland Electoral Commission regarding input into the state electoral boundaries redistribution with a view to retaining the name Rockhampton.

MOVED: Councillor Schwarten
SECONDED: Councillor Belz
MOTION CARRIED

April 1999

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 4001

Facsimile: 3229 7391

E.C.Q.
009324
6/5/99

QRC/OBJ208

Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and **wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes** which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.

Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely

..... (signature)

72 O'CONNOR ST .....(address)

OXLEY.....

QUEENSLAND
06 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

FILE No. EX/796
ACTION OFFICER DEC
REG. No QRC/OBJ 208
DOCUMENTED YES/NO
SCANNED YES/NO
ATTACHED YES/NO
REPLY BY
REPLY SENT
ECQ. RECORDS 6/5

April 1999

E.C.Q.
009325

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 4001

Facsimile: 3229 7391

QRC/OBJ209

Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and **wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes** which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.

Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely

M. & M.c Farlane ..... (signature)

11 Clune Street ..... (address)

Oxley .....

QUEENSLAND
06 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

FILE No. EX/796
ACTION OFFICER DEC
REG. No. QRC/OBJ209
DOCUMENTED YES/~~NO~~
SCANNED YES/~~NO~~
ATTACHED YES/~~NO~~
REPLY BY
REPLY SENT
ECQ. RECORDS
6/5

April 1999

E.C.Q.
009326

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 4001

Facsimile: 3229 7391

QRC/OBJ210

Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and **wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes** which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.

Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely

G F Campbell ..... (signature)

16 Erriess St .....(address)

Oxley Qld 4075

ELECTORAL COMMISSION QUEENSLAND
06 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

FILE No.	EX/71/6
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ210
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	6/5

April 1999

E.C.Q.
009327

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 4001

Facsimile: 3229 7391

QRC/OBJ 211

Dear Commissioner

WE am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and **wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes** which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.

Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely
D. M. Duncan

.....Y. J. Duncan..... (signature)

...16 HARRADEN ST.....(address)

.....OXLEY.....

ELECTORAL COMMISSION QUEENSLAND
08 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

FILE No.	QRC/99
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ211
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	6/5

April 1999

E.C.Q.
009328

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 4001

Facsimile: 3229 7391

QRC/OBJ.212

Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and **wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes** which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.


Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely

Graham McArthur
G.J. McArthur ..... (signature)

26 CAMPANA ST .....(address)

OXLEY ..... BRISBANE
4075.



FILE No.	Ex/m/6
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ 212
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	6/5

April 1999

E.C.Q.
009329

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 4001

Facsimile: 3229 7391

QRC/OBJ213

Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and **wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes** which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.

Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely

..... (signature)

28 Tavistock St .....(address)

Oxley, Qld 4075

ELECTORAL COMMISSION QUEENSLAND
06 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

FILE No.	ex/796
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ213
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	

6/5

April 1999

E.C.Q.
009330

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 4001

Facsimile: 3229 7391

QRC/OBJ 214

Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and **wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes** which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.

Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely

..... (signature)

108 Douglas St .....(address)

Oxley 4075

ELECTORAL COMMISSION QUEENSLAND
06 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

FILE No.	EX/71/6
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ 214
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	

6/5

April 1999

E.C.Q.
009331

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 4001

Facsimile: 3229 7391

QRC/OBJ215

Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and **wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes** which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.

Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely

N+M Greste Greste MGA ..... (signature)

11 Gladstone Street, ... (address)

OXLEY 4075

ELECTORAL COMMISSION QUEENSLAND
06 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

FILE No.	EX/76/6
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ215
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	

1 of 5

April 1999

E.C.Q.
009332

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 4001

Facsimile: 3229 7391

QRC/OBJ 216

Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and **wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes** which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.

Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely

M. [illegible] Braddon ..... (signature)

195 ENGLEFIELD RD .....(address)

OXLEY .....

FILE No.	EX/716
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ 216
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	

6/5

ELECTORAL COMMISSION QUEENSLAND
06 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

30 April 1999

E.C.Q.
009333

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 4001

Facsimile: 3229 7391

QRC/OBJ217

Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and **wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes** which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.

Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely

S. Gilbraith (signature)

27 HANDSTEAD S.T. (address)

OXLEY 4075

A + S Gilbraith

ELECTORAL COMMISSION QUEENSLAND
06 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

FILE No.	EX/K1/6
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ217
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	6/5

April 1999

E.C.Q.
009334

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 4001

Facsimile: 3229 7391

QRC/OBJ 218

Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and **wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes** which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.

Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely

Mr. R. Grimley (signature)

4 Clune St. (address)

Oxley 4075 Brisbane

06 MAY 1999

RECEIVED

FILE No.	EX/97/5
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ 218
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	6/5

April 1999

E.C.Q.
009335

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 4001

Facsimile: 3229 7391

QRC/OBJ 219

Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and **wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes** which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.

Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely

+ Edw. A. Edmonds

.....(signature)

BRIAN. V. EDMONDS + EILEEN M.S. EDMONDS

225 ENGLEFIELD RD.....(address)

OXLEY. 4075.....

ELECTORAL COMMISSION QUEENSLAND
08 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

FILE No.	EX/79/6
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ 219
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	

6/5

April 1999

E.C.Q.
009336

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 4001

Facsimile: 3229 7391

QRC/OBJ220

Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and **wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes** which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley.and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.

Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely

..... (signature)

DIANA LYNETTE GRUBB
..... (address)
30 DAVIES ST
OXLEY 4075

FILE No.	EX/MB
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ220
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	

06/5

06 MAY 1999

April 1999

E.C.Q.
009337

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 4001

Facsimile: 3229 7391

QRC/OBJ 221

Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and **wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes** which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.

Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely

J M Coleman (signature)

J M Coleman.

84 Irwin Tce (address)

Oxley.

ELECTORAL COMMISSION QUEENSLAND
06 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

FILE No.	Ex/19/6
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ221
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	

6/5

April 1999

E.C.Q.
009338

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 4001

Facsimile: 3229 7391

QRC/OBJ 222

Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and **wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes** which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.

Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.


Yours sincerely

M.P. Coleman.

..... (signature)

84 Irwin Tce (address)

Oxley



FILE No.	EX/796
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ 222
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	6/5

April 1999

E.C.Q.
009339

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 4001

Facsimile: 3229 7391

QRC/OBJ 223

Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and **wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes** which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.

Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.


Yours sincerely

O M Coleman ..... (signature)

84 IRWIN TCE .....(address)

OXLEY 4075 .....

FILE No.	EX/79/6
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ223
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	6/5



April 1999

E.C.Q.
009340

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 4001

Facsimile: 3229 7391

QRC/OBJ 224

Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and **wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes** which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.

Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely

..... (signature)

134 ARDOYNE RD (address)

OXLEY

ELECTORAL COMMISSION QUEENSLAND
06 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

FILE No.	EXT 99/6
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ 224
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	6/5

April 1999

E.C.Q.
009341

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 4001

Facsimile: 3229 7391

QRC/OBJ 225

Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and **wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes** which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.

Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely

CATHERINE BRIDGET & JOHN CHARLES KELLY (signature) C B KELLY / J C Kelly

116 OXLEY ROAD OXLEY (address)
BRISBANE 4075

ELECTORAL COMMISSION
QUEENSLAND
26 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

April 1999

E.C.Q.
009342

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 4001

Facsimile: 3229 7391

QRC/OBJ226

Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and **wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes** which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.

Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely

J Whatt & M Whatt (signature)

11 STEPHENSON ST (address)

OXLEY 4075

QUEENSLAND
06 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

FILE No.	EX/79/6
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ226
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	6/5

April 1999

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 4001

E.C.Q.
009343

Facsimile: 3229 7391

QRC/OBJ227

Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and **wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes** which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.

Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely

..... (signature)

117 JUTLAND ST .....(address)

OXLEY 4075 .....

FILE No.	EX/79A
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ227
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	6/5

ELECTORAL COMMISSION QUEENSLAND
RECEIVED
28 MAY 1999

April 1999

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 4001

E.C.Q. 009344

Facsimile: 3229 7391

QRC/OBJ 228

Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and **wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes** which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.

Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely

C. Brenton (signature)

10 HARRADEN ST (address)

OXLEY 4075

ELECTORAL COMMISSION QUEENSLAND
06 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

FILE No.	EX/79/6
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ 228
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	6/5

April 1999



Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 4001

Facsimile: 3229 7391

QRC/OBJ 229

Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and **wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes** which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.


Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely

M Scheb ..... (signature)

4 Aldersgate St ..... (address)

Oxley 4075.



FILE No.	EC/79/8
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ 229
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	6/5

April 1999

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 4001

Facsimile: 3229 7391

E.C.Q. 009346

QRC/OBJ230

Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and **wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes** which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.

Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely

Joy Murch Jean Murch (signature)

10 Fisher Street (address)

Oxley 4075

ELECTORAL COMMISSION QUEENSLAND
06 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

FILE No.	EX/79/6
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ230
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	6/5

April 1999

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 4001



Facsimile: 3229 7391

QRC/OBJ231

Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and **wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes** which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.


After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.

Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.



Yours sincerely

N. WILLS (signature)

17 LOGAN AVE OXLEY (address)

P.K. Leader E.K. LEADER

17 LOGAN AVE OXLEY

WHY WASTE TIME VOTING! IF ITS TAKEN AWAY WITH THE STROKE OF A PEN.
WE DO NOT WISH TO BE IN THE INALA ELECTORATE AND WILL PROTEST STRONGLY.

April 1999

E.C.Q.
009348

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 4001

Facsimile: 3229 7391

QRC/OBJ 232

Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and **wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes** which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.

Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely

..... (signature)

.....14 Thornburgh St.....(address)

.....OXLEY.....

ELECTORAL COMMISSION QUEENSLAND
06 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

FILE No.	EX/796
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ232
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	6/5

April 1999

E.C.Q.
009349

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 4001

Facsimile: 3229 7391

QRC/OBJ233

Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and **wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes** which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.

Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely

..... (signature)

14 Thornburgh St (address)


OXLEY 4075

ELEC... QU...
18 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

FILE No.	EX796
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ233
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	6/5

P.O. Box 45
Clifton Beach. 4879.

29. 4. 99.



The Electoral Commissioner
104 Grafton St.,
Cairns. 4870.



QRC/OBJ 234

Dear Sir,


From my address you will see I reside at Clifton Beach, & have done for over 30 years.

I am wishing to lodge my objection, very strongly, to the proposed merger of Clifton Beach & Palm Cove into the Cook electorate, instead of Barron River. All residents at the beaches consider themselves in the suburbs of Cairns where their interests, schooling & work lie. To consider merging with Cook with its vastness, differing lifestyle etc. to me seems ludicrous.

Yours faithfully

Ben Lynn.

B.L. LYNN.



28 Clifton Rd
CLIFTON BH 4879

E.C.Q.
009351

5 May 1999

The Commissioner
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

QRC/OBJ235

Dear Sir,

I wish to lodge an objection to the proposal to include the beachside suburbs of Palm Cove and Clifton Beach in the State Electorate of Cook.

As a resident of Palm Cove / Clifton Beach, I fail to see what possible community of interest I would have with the electorate of Cook which is based on Cape York Peninsula and Torres Strait.

Currently Palm Cove and Clifton Beach are in the State Electorate of Barron River, and that is where I would prefer them to stay.

This electorate includes the rest of the beachside suburbs which make up the Northern Beaches area of Cairns and I can see no sense in splitting this geographical area.

I urge you to please reconsider your propsal and return both Palm Cove and Clifton Beach into the electorate of Barron River.

Yours sincerely

G Todd ..... D Todd

ELECTORAL COMMISSION QUEENSLAND
08 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

FILE No.	EX/79/6
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ235
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	

20 SAXON ST
CLIFTON BEACH
4879

... May 1999

E.C.Q.
009352

The Commissioner
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

QRC/OBJ236

Dear Sir,

I wish to lodge an objection to the proposal to include the beachside suburbs of Palm Cove and Clifton Beach in the State Electorate of Cook.

As a resident of ~~Palm Cove~~ / Clifton Beach, I fail to see what possible community of interest I would have with the electorate of Cook which is based on Cape York Peninsula and Torres Strait.

Currently Palm Cove and Clifton Beach are in the State Electorate of Barron River, and that is where I would prefer them to stay.

This electorate includes the rest of the beachside suburbs which make up the Northern Beaches area of Cairns and I can see no sense in splitting this geographical area.

I urge you to please reconsider your propsal and return both Palm Cove and Clifton Beach into the electorate of Barron River.

Yours sincerely

Richard C Goodsham

09 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

FILE No.	Ex/9/6
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ236
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	

0740313071

MR. R. PAINTER
17 SAXON ST.
CLIFTON BEACH
4879

E.C.Q.
009353

6th May 1999

The Commissioner
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

QRC/OBJ 237

Dear Sir,

I wish to lodge an objection to the proposal to include the beachside suburbs of Palm Cove and Clifton Beach in the State Electorate of Cook.

As a resident of Palm Cove / Clifton Beach, I fail to see what possible community of interest I would have with the electorate of Cook which is based on Cape York Peninsula and Torres Strait.

Currently Palm Cove and Clifton Beach are in the State Electorate of Barron River, and that is where I would prefer them to stay.

This electorate includes the rest of the beachside suburbs which make up the Northern Beaches area of Cairns and I can see no sense in splitting this geographical area.

I urge you to please reconsider your propsal and return both Palm Cove and Clifton Beach into the electorate of Barron River.

Yours sincerely

.....

ELECTORAL COMMISSION QUEENSLAND
06 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

FILE No.	EX/99/6
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No. QRC/OBJ 237	
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	

43/69 ARLINGTON ESP
CLIFTON BEACH
4879

4th May 1999



The Commissioner
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

QRC/OBJ 238

Dear Sir,

I wish to lodge an objection to the proposal to include the beachside suburbs of Palm Cove and Clifton Beach in the State Electorate of Cook.

As a resident of Palm Cove / Clifton Beach, I fail to see what possible community of interest I would have with the electorate of Cook which is based on Cape York Peninsula and Torres Strait.


Currently Palm Cove and Clifton Beach are in the State Electorate of Barron River, and that is where I would prefer them to stay.

This electorate includes the rest of the beachside suburbs which make up the Northern Beaches area of Cairns and I can see no sense in splitting this geographical area.

I urge you to please reconsider your propsal and return both Palm Cove and Clifton Beach into the electorate of Barron River.

Yours sincerely

.....



FILE No.	Ex/14/6
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No. QRC/OBJ 238	
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	

Jane Whyte &
Chris Chapman
24 Beaver St
Clifton Beach
QLD 4879

4 May 1999



The Commissioner
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

QRC/OBJ239

Dear Sir,

I wish to lodge an objection to the proposal to include the beachside suburbs of Palm Cove and Clifton Beach in the State Electorate of Cook.

As a resident of Palm Cove / Clifton Beach, I fail to see what possible community of interest I would have with the electorate of Cook which is based on Cape York Peninsula and Torres Strait.

Currently Palm Cove and Clifton Beach are in the State Electorate of Barron River, and that is where I would prefer them to stay.

This electorate includes the rest of the beachside suburbs which make up the Northern Beaches area of Cairns and I can see no sense in splitting this geographical area.

I urge you to please reconsider your propsal and return both Palm Cove and Clifton Beach into the electorate of Barron River.

ELECTORAL COMMISSION
QUEENSLAND
06 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

Yours sincerely

Jane Whyte

C J Chapman

7 Escape Close
Clifton Beach
Cairns 4879

4th May 1999

E.C.Q.
009356

The Commissioner
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

QRC/OBJ 240

Dear Sir,

I wish to lodge an objection to the proposal to include the beachside suburbs of Palm Cove and Clifton Beach in the State Electorate of Cook.

As a resident of Palm Cove / Clifton Beach, I fail to see what possible community of interest I would have with the electorate of Cook which is based on Cape York Peninsula and Torres Strait.

Currently Palm Cove and Clifton Beach are in the State Electorate of Barron River, and that is where I would prefer them to stay.

This electorate includes the rest of the beachside suburbs which make up the Northern Beaches area of Cairns and I can see no sense in splitting this geographical area.

I urge you to please reconsider your propsal and return both Palm Cove and Clifton Beach into the electorate of Barron River.

Yours sincerely

Sue Wilman

ELECTORAL COMMISSION QUEENSLAND
0 6 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

FILE No. EX/79/6
ACTION OFFICER DEC
REG. No. QRC/OBJ240
DOCUMENTED YES/NO
SCANNED YES/NO
ATTACHED YES/NO
REPLY BY
REPLY SENT
ECQ. RECORDS

16 Beaver St
Clifton Beach
QLD 4879
4.5.99
Sue & David Pope

4. May 1999



The Commissioner
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

QRC/OBJ241

Dear Sir,

~~I~~ We wish to lodge an objection to the proposal to include the beachside suburbs of Palm Cove and Clifton Beach in the State Electorate of Cook.

As a resident of Palm Cove / Clifton Beach, I fail to see what possible community of interest I would have with the electorate of Cook which is based on Cape York Peninsula and Torres Strait.


Currently Palm Cove and Clifton Beach are in the State Electorate of Barron River, and that is where I would prefer them to stay.

This electorate includes the rest of the beachside suburbs which make up the Northern Beaches area of Cairns and I can see no sense in splitting this geographical area.

I urge you to please reconsider your propsal and return both Palm Cove and Clifton Beach into the electorate of Barron River.

Yours sincerely

Sue Pope



FILE No.	Ex/79/5
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ241
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	6/5

H. GYIMESY
6. Onyx street
CLIFTON BEACH
QLD. 4879

... May 1999



The Commissioner
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

QRC/OBJ242

Dear Sir,


I wish to lodge an objection to the proposal to include the beachside suburbs of Palm Cove and Clifton Beach in the State Electorate of Cook.

As a resident of Palm Cove / Clifton Beach, I fail to see what possible community of interest I would have with the electorate of Cook which is based on Cape York Peninsula and Torres Strait.

Currently Palm Cove and Clifton Beach are in the State Electorate of Barron River, and that is where I would prefer them to stay.

This electorate includes the rest of the beachside suburbs which make up the Northern Beaches area of Cairns and I can see no sense in splitting this geographical area.

I urge you to please reconsider your propsal and return both Palm Cove and Clifton Beach into the electorate of Barron River.



Yours sincerely

H. Gyimesy (Ratepayer)

S. Gyimesy 6. Onyx st. Clifton Beach QLD. 4879

.....
.....
.....
.....
.....

3. May 1999



The Commissioner
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

QRC/OBJ 243

Dear Sir,

I wish to lodge an objection to the proposal to include the beachside suburbs of Palm Cove and Clifton Beach in the State Electorate of Cook.

As a resident of Palm Cove / ~~Clifton Beach~~, I fail to see what possible community of interest I would have with the electorate of Cook which is based on Cape York Peninsula and Torres Strait.

Currently Palm Cove and Clifton Beach are in the State Electorate of Barron River, and that is where I would prefer them to stay.


This electorate includes the rest of the beachside suburbs which make up the Northern Beaches area of Cairns and I can see no sense in splitting this geographical area.

I urge you to please reconsider your propsal and return both Palm Cove and Clifton Beach into the electorate of Barron River.

Yours sincerely

PHILLIP + JUDY SMITH
66 CEDAR ROAD PALM COVE 4879

.....



FILE No.	EX/79/6
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ243
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	

1 Ilsa Close
Clifton Beach
Qld 4879

4. May 1999



The Commissioner
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

QRC/OBJ 244

Dear Sir,

I wish to lodge an objection to the proposal to include the beachside suburbs of Palm Cove and Clifton Beach in the State Electorate of Cook.


As a resident of Palm Cove / Clifton Beach, I fail to see what possible community of interest I would have with the electorate of Cook which is based on Cape York Peninsula and Torres Strait.

Currently Palm Cove and Clifton Beach are in the State Electorate of Barron River, and that is where I would prefer them to stay.

This electorate includes the rest of the beachside suburbs which make up the Northern Beaches area of Cairns and I can see no sense in splitting this geographical area.

I urge you to please reconsider your propsal and return both Palm Cove and Clifton Beach into the electorate of Barron River.

Yours sincerely



Ralf. Ingraham M. Ingraham

GEORGE SMITH'S
59 CEDAR RD
PALM COVE
4879

3. May 1999



The Commissioner
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

QRC/OBJ 245

Dear Sir,

I wish to lodge an objection to the proposal to include the beachside suburbs of Palm Cove and Clifton Beach in the State Electorate of Cook.


As a resident of Palm Cove / Clifton Beach, I fail to see what possible community of interest I would have with the electorate of Cook which is based on Cape York Peninsula and Torres Strait.

Currently Palm Cove and Clifton Beach are in the State Electorate of Barron River, and that is where I would prefer them to stay.

This electorate includes the rest of the beachside suburbs which make up the Northern Beaches area of Cairns and I can see no sense in splitting this geographical area.

I urge you to please reconsider your propsal and return both Palm Cove and Clifton Beach into the electorate of Barron River.

Yours sincerely



FILE No.	EX/79/5
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ 245
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	

7 Telford St.
Clifton Beach
NQ 4879

3-5-99

3rd May 1999

E.C.Q.
009362

The Commissioner
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

QRC/OBJ 246

Dear Sir,

I wish to lodge an objection to the proposal to include the beachside suburbs of Palm Cove and Clifton Beach in the State Electorate of Cook.

As a resident of ~~Palm Cove~~ / Clifton Beach, I fail to see what possible community of interest I would have with the electorate of Cook which is based on Cape York Peninsula and Torres Strait.

Currently Palm Cove and Clifton Beach are in the State Electorate of Barron River, and that is where I would prefer them to stay.

This electorate includes the rest of the beachside suburbs which make up the Northern Beaches area of Cairns and I can see no sense in splitting this geographical area.

I urge you to please reconsider your propsal and return both Palm Cove and Clifton Beach into the electorate of Barron River.

Yours sincerely

Dorothy M. Maggs.

ELECTORAL COMMISSION QUEENSLAND
06 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

FILE No.	EX/99/6
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ 246
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	6/5

K G & L D BOGLE
30 EDDY ST
CLIFTON BEACH
Q 4879
40553845

... May 1999



The Commissioner
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

QRC/OBJ 247

Dear Sir,

I wish to lodge an objection to the proposal to include the beachside suburbs of Palm Cove and Clifton Beach in the State Electorate of Cook.

As a resident of Palm Cove / Clifton Beach, I fail to see what possible community of interest I would have with the electorate of Cook which is based on Cape York Peninsula and Torres Strait.


Currently Palm Cove and Clifton Beach are in the State Electorate of Barron River, and that is where I would prefer them to stay.

This electorate includes the rest of the beachside suburbs which make up the Northern Beaches area of Cairns and I can see no sense in splitting this geographical area.

I urge you to please reconsider your propsal and return both Palm Cove and Clifton Beach into the electorate of Barron River.

Yours sincerely

K Bogle



FILE No.	EX/M/6
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ 247
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	

6/5

J. + W. Schmidt
P.O. Box 197
Clifton Beach
4879
(50 Endeavour Rd
Clifton Beach)

4th May 1999

E.C.Q.
009364

The Commissioner
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

QRC/OBJ 248

Dear Sir,

I wish to lodge an objection to the proposal to include the beachside suburbs of Palm Cove and Clifton Beach in the State Electorate of Cook.

As a resident of Palm Cove / Clifton Beach, I fail to see what possible community of interest I would have with the electorate of Cook which is based on Cape York Peninsula and Torres Strait.

Currently Palm Cove and Clifton Beach are in the State Electorate of Barron River, and that is where I would prefer them to stay.

This electorate includes the rest of the beachside suburbs which make up the Northern Beaches area of Cairns and I can see no sense in splitting this geographical area.

I urge you to please reconsider your propsal and return both Palm Cove and Clifton Beach into the electorate of Barron River.

Yours sincerely

J. + W. Schmidt

ELECTORAL COMMISSION QUEENSLAND
06 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

FILE No.	EX/76/6
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ 248
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	6/5

N°4
LENA CLOSE
CLIFTON BEACH
4879

... May 1999

E.C.Q.
009365

The Commissioner
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

QRC/OBJ249

Dear Sir,

I wish to lodge an objection to the proposal to include the beachside suburbs of Palm Cove and Clifton Beach in the State Electorate of Cook.

As a resident of Palm Cove / Clifton Beach, I fail to see what possible community of interest I would have with the electorate of Cook which is based on Cape York Peninsula and Torres Strait.

Currently Palm Cove and Clifton Beach are in the State Electorate of Barron River, and that is where I would prefer them to stay.

This electorate includes the rest of the beachside suburbs which make up the Northern Beaches area of Cairns and I can see no sense in splitting this geographical area.

I urge you to please reconsider your propsal and return both Palm Cove and Clifton Beach into the electorate of Barron River.

Yours sincerely

H.R. LENNARD

RECEIVED
28 MAY 1999

FILE No.	EX/79/6
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ249
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	

MRS J. LENNARD
4 LENA CLOSE.
CLIFTON BEACH.
4879 FNQ.

... May 1999



The Commissioner
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

QRC/OBJ 250

Dear Sir,

I wish to lodge an objection to the proposal to include the beachside suburbs of Palm Cove and Clifton Beach in the State Electorate of Cook.

As a resident of Palm Cove / Clifton Beach, I fail to see what possible community of interest I would have with the electorate of Cook which is based on Cape York Peninsula and Torres Strait.


Currently Palm Cove and Clifton Beach are in the State Electorate of Barron River, and that is where I would prefer them to stay.

This electorate includes the rest of the beachside suburbs which make up the Northern Beaches area of Cairns and I can see no sense in splitting this geographical area.

I urge you to please reconsider your propsal and return both Palm Cove and Clifton Beach into the electorate of Barron River.

Yours sincerely

J Lennard.



FILE No.	EX/79/6
ACTION OFFICER	DE C
REG. No. QRC/OBJ 250	
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	

Kevin & Jenny Tormey
22 Eddy St
Clifton Bch
Q. 4879

4th May 1999

E.C.Q.
009367

The Commissioner
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

QRC/OBJ 251

Dear Sir,

I wish to lodge an objection to the proposal to include the beachside suburbs of Palm Cove and Clifton Beach in the State Electorate of Cook.

As a resident of Palm Cove / Clifton Beach, I fail to see what possible community of interest I would have with the electorate of Cook which is based on Cape York Peninsula and Torres Strait.

Currently Palm Cove and Clifton Beach are in the State Electorate of Barron River, and that is where I would prefer them to stay.

This electorate includes the rest of the beachside suburbs which make up the Northern Beaches area of Cairns and I can see no sense in splitting this geographical area.

I urge you to please reconsider your propsal and return both Palm Cove and Clifton Beach into the electorate of Barron River.

Yours sincerely

ELECTORAL COMMISSION QUEENSLAND
06 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

FILE No.	EX/79/6
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ251
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	

6/5

44 TEREBRA ST
PALM COVE
QLD 4879

3.. May 1999



The Commissioner
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

QRC/OBJ252

Dear Sir,

I wish to lodge an objection to the proposal to include the beachside suburbs of Palm Cove and Clifton Beach in the State Electorate of Cook.

As a resident of Palm Cove / Clifton Beach, I fail to see what possible community of interest I would have with the electorate of Cook which is based on Cape York Peninsula and Torres Strait.


Currently Palm Cove and Clifton Beach are in the State Electorate of Barron River, and that is where I would prefer them to stay.

This electorate includes the rest of the beachside suburbs which make up the Northern Beaches area of Cairns and I can see no sense in splitting this geographical area.

I urge you to please reconsider your proposal and return both Palm Cove and Clifton Beach into the electorate of Barron River.

Yours sincerely

.....



FILE No.	EX/79/6
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ252
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	6/5

P. & D. RIGBY
6 EGRET
CLOSE
CLIFTON BEACH
QLD 4879

03 May 1999

E.C.Q.
009369

The Commissioner
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

QRC/OBJ 253

Dear Sir,

I wish to lodge an objection to the proposal to include the beachside suburbs of Palm Cove and Clifton Beach in the State Electorate of Cook.

As a resident of ~~Palm Cove~~ / Clifton Beach, I fail to see what possible community of interest I would have with the electorate of Cook which is based on Cape York Peninsula and Torres Strait.

Currently Palm Cove and Clifton Beach are in the State Electorate of Barron River, and that is where I would prefer them to stay.

This electorate includes the rest of the beachside suburbs which make up the Northern Beaches area of Cairns and I can see no sense in splitting this geographical area.

I urge you to please reconsider your propsal and return both Palm Cove and Clifton Beach into the electorate of Barron River.

Yours sincerely

P. Rigby

P. RIGBY + D. RIGBY

RECEIVED
06 MAY 1999

FILE No.	EX/74/5
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ 253
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	6/5

CHRIS PHILLIPS
27 YULE AVE
CLIFTON BEACH
4879

1st May 1999



The Commissioner
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

QRC/OBJ 254

Dear Sir,

I wish to lodge an objection to the proposal to include the beachside suburbs of Palm Cove and Clifton Beach in the State Electorate of Cook.

As a resident of Palm Cove / Clifton Beach, I fail to see what possible community of interest I would have with the electorate of Cook which is based on Cape York Peninsula and Torres Strait.


Currently Palm Cove and Clifton Beach are in the State Electorate of Barron River, and that is where I would prefer them to stay.

This electorate includes the rest of the beachside suburbs which make up the Northern Beaches area of Cairns and I can see no sense in splitting this geographical area.

I urge you to please reconsider your propsal and return both Palm Cove and Clifton Beach into the electorate of Barron River.

Yours sincerely

C Phillips



FILE No.	Ex/79/6
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ 254
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	

1/5

Agincourt Beachfront Apartments

69 Arlington Esplanade, Clifton Beach Qld 4879 Australia
Phone: (07) 4055 3500 Fax: (07) 4055 3628 ISD + 61 7 4055 3500

3rd April 1999

E.C.Q.
009371

The Commissioner
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
Brisbane Qld 9001

QRC/OBJ255

Dear Sir,

Inclusion of Clifton Beach in the Electorate of Cook.

It gives me no pleasure in writing this letter to make my strongest possible protest against the proposal to include the Cairns beach suburbs of Clifton Beach and Palm Cove in the Electorate of Cook.

I find it incredulous that such a proposal should ever be mooted considering the vast distances between the extremities of the proposed electorate - Cape York to Clifton Beach is a vast area. It leads me to conclude that the person who conceived this ridiculous idea has obviously no idea where Clifton Beach is located.

How is the person elected to represent this new area supposed to be able to attend to the needs of two vastly different communities and separated areas? It is obvious their energies will be need to be split with the result that neither area will be satisfactorily represented.

It would be a major blunder to remove Palm Cove and Clifton Beach from the Electorate of Barron River and if this decision is not reversed it will have long lasting ramifications and give further endorsement to the negative views held by many residents here about the quality of administration being foisted upon by a public service operating from far away Brisbane.

Yours faithfully

Bruce Bennetts

ELECTORAL COMMISSION QUEENSLAND
06 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

FLAG

Internet: http://agincourt.north.net.au E-mail: agincourt@north.net.au
Toll Free International Reservations: New Zealand 0800 803 524, United States 1800 624 3524
Germany 0800 84 24000, United Kingdom 0800 892 407

B. GIBSON
7 ONYX ST
CLIFTON BEACH
4879

4... May 1999

E.C.Q.
009372

The Commissioner
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

QRC/OBJ 256

Dear Sir,

I wish to lodge an objection to the proposal to include the beachside suburbs of Palm Cove and Clifton Beach in the State Electorate of Cook.

As a resident of Palm Cove / Clifton Beach, I fail to see what possible community of interest I would have with the electorate of Cook which is based on Cape York Peninsula and Torres Strait.

Currently Palm Cove and Clifton Beach are in the State Electorate of Barron River, and that is where I would prefer them to stay.

This electorate includes the rest of the beachside suburbs which make up the Northern Beaches area of Cairns and I can see no sense in splitting this geographical area.

I urge you to please reconsider your propsal and return both Palm Cove and Clifton Beach into the electorate of Barron River.

Yours sincerely

Barbara Gibson

ELECTORAL COMMISSION QUEENSLAND
06 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

FILE No.	EX/MG
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ 256
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	

1/5

MR. T. GAZZARD
1 BEAVER STREET
CLIFTON BEACH
QUEENSLAND
4879

4th May 1999



The Commissioner
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

QRC/OBJ 257

Dear Sir,

I wish to lodge an objection to the proposal to include the beachside suburbs of Palm Cove and Clifton Beach in the State Electorate of Cook.

As a resident of Palm Cove / Clifton Beach, I fail to see what possible community of interest I would have with the electorate of Cook which is based on Cape York Peninsula and Torres Strait.


Currently Palm Cove and Clifton Beach are in the State Electorate of Barron River, and that is where I would prefer them to stay.

This electorate includes the rest of the beachside suburbs which make up the Northern Beaches area of Cairns and I can see no sense in splitting this geographical area.

I urge you to please reconsider your propsal and return both Palm Cove and Clifton Beach into the electorate of Barron River.

Yours sincerely

T Gazzard



FILE No.	EX/79/6
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ257
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	6/5

Mr & Mrs Schembri
20 Satellite St
Clifton Beach
Cairns

... May 1999

E.C.Q.
009374

The Commissioner
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

QRC/OBJ 258

Dear Sir,

I wish to lodge an objection to the proposal to include the beachside suburbs of Palm Cove and Clifton Beach in the State Electorate of Cook.

As a resident of Palm Cove / Clifton Beach, I fail to see what possible community of interest I would have with the electorate of Cook which is based on Cape York Peninsula and Torres Strait.

Currently Palm Cove and Clifton Beach are in the State Electorate of Barron River, and that is where I would prefer them to stay.

This electorate includes the rest of the beachside suburbs which make up the Northern Beaches area of Cairns and I can see no sense in splitting this geographical area.

I urge you to please reconsider your propsal and return both Palm Cove and Clifton Beach into the electorate of Barron River.

Yours sincerely

Schembri
.....

QUEENSLAND
06 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

FILE No.	Ex/pg/6
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ 258
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ RECORDS	6/5

2 Bramble St
Clifton Beach
QLD 4879
4th May, 1999

The Commissioner
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
Brisbane
QLD 9001

E.C.Q.
009375

QRC/OBJ 259

Dear Sir,

I wish to lodge an objection to the proposal to include the beachside suburbs of Palm Cove and Clifton Beach in the State Electorate of Cook.

Palm Cove and Clifton Beach are part of the Northern Beaches of Cairns in the State electorate of Barron River and I would prefer them to stay as part of the Northern Beaches community. I fail to see any possible community of interest with the electorate of Cook which is based on Cape York Peninsula and Torres Strait.

Just because the Northern Beaches has a rapidly rising population does not mean that part of it should be stripped off the Barron River electorate and put into Cook just to get the Cook electorates numbers to comply with the required formula. The schools and services used by the people of Palm Cove and Clifton Beach are nearly all in the Barron River electorate and very few, if any, are in the Cook electorate.

I urge you to reconsider your proposal and return both Palm Cove and Clifton Beach to the community of the Northern Beaches and the electorate of Barron River.

Yours sincerely,

Alan Norris

ELECTORAL COMMISSION QUEENSLAND
06 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

FILE No.	EXT A/6
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ 259
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	

6/5

SUE NORRIS
2 BRAMBLE ST
CLIFTON BEACH
QLD 4879

4 May 1999



The Commissioner
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

QRC/OBJ 260

Dear Sir,

I wish to lodge an objection to the proposal to include the beachside suburbs of Palm Cove and Clifton Beach in the State Electorate of Cook.

As a resident of Palm Cove / Clifton Beach, I fail to see what possible community of interest I would have with the electorate of Cook which is based on Cape York Peninsula and Torres Strait.


Currently Palm Cove and Clifton Beach are in the State Electorate of Barron River, and that is where I would prefer them to stay.

This electorate includes the rest of the beachside suburbs which make up the Northern Beaches area of Cairns and I can see no sense in splitting this geographical area.

I urge you to please reconsider your propsal and return both Palm Cove and Clifton Beach into the electorate of Barron River.

Yours sincerely

Sue Norris



FILE No.	EX/74/6
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ 260
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	

8/5

E.C.Q.
009377

The Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

QRC/OBJ261.

Queensland Electoral Redistribution

I note that the town of Kenilworth is proposed to be included in the new electorate of Nanango along with other small towns situated in the Mary Valley, Brooloo and Conondale.

I wish to strenuously object to this arrangement on the grounds that the towns of Kenilworth, Brooloo and Conondale have no community of interest with the towns in the Nanango area and the area shown as included in the proposed electorate. Rather they are physically, commercially and socially connected to the areas of Nambour, Gympie, Maroochydore and the Sunshine Coast Hinterland area.
In addition:

1. These three towns rely for their major commerce on the coastal towns of Gympie, Nambour and Maleny. They have no direct road connection with the Nanango area and no business or social connection with that area.

2. For these towns the Health Support Systems are all based in Nambour, Maleny or Gympie. Such services as hospitals, specialist services and aged facilities are all located East of our towns, never in a Westward direction.

3. Gaining access to the Parliamentarian for the area would be very difficult as road access all run in an Easterly direction. Likewise, servicing this area would prove difficult for the elected encumbant.

I therefore, request that the redistribution of electoral boundaries include the towns of Kenilworth, Brooloo and Conondale in an area based on the Coast.

06 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

Sincerely

Signature M J Simpkins
E P Simpkins

Name MAURICE J.SIMPKINS
EILEEN P. SIMPKINS.

Address 3 Coondoongibber Road.
Brooloo
4570

Date 3-5-99.

E.C.Q.
009378

The Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

QRC/OBJ 262

Queensland Electoral Redistribution

I note that the town of Kenilworth is proposed to be included in the new electorate of Nanango along with other small towns situated in the Mary Valley, Brooloo and Conondale.

I wish to strenuously object to this arrangement on the grounds that the towns of Kenilworth, Brooloo and Conondale have no community of interest with the towns in the Nanango area and the area shown as included in the proposed electorate. Rather they are physically, commercially and socially connected to the areas of Nambour, Gympie, Maroochydore and the Sunshine Coast Hinterland area.
In addition:

1. These three towns rely for their major commerce on the coastal towns of Gympie, Nambour and Maleny. They have no direct road connection with the Nanango area and no business or social connection with that area.

2. For these towns the Health Support Systems are all based in Nambour, Maleny or Gympie. Such services as hospitals, specialist services and aged facilities are all located East of our towns, never in a Westward direction.

3. Gaining access to the Parliamentarian for the area would be very difficult as road access all run in an Easterly direction. Likewise, servicing this area would prove difficult for the elected encumbant.

I therefore, request that the redistribution of electoral boundaries include the towns of Kenilworth, Brooloo and Conondale in an area based on the Coast.

ELECTORAL COMMISSION
QUEENSLAND
06 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

Sincerely K.W. Purdon

Signature K.W. Purdon

Name Kenneth William Purdon

Address Kenilworth 4574

Date 30-4-99

E.C.Q.
009379

The Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

QRC/OBJ 263

Queensland Electoral Redistribution

I note that the town of Kenilworth is proposed to be included in the new electorate of Nanango along with other small towns situated in the Mary Valley, Brooloo and Conondale.

I wish to strenuously object to this arrangement on the grounds that the towns of Kenilworth, Brooloo and Conondale have no community of interest with the towns in the Nanango area and the area shown as included in the proposed electorate. Rather they are physically, commercially and socially connected to the areas of Nambour, Gympie, Maroochydore and the Sunshine Coast Hinterland area.
In addition:

1. These three towns rely for their major commerce on the coastal towns of Gympie, Nambour and Maleny. They have no direct road connection with the Nanango area and no business or social connection with that area.

2. For these towns the Health Support Systems are all based in Nambour, Maleny or Gympie. Such services as hospitals, specialist services and aged facilities are all located East of our towns, never in a Westward direction.

3. Gaining access to the Parliamentarian for the area would be very difficult as road access all run in an Easterly direction. Likewise, servicing this area would prove difficult for the elected encumbant.

I therefore, request that the redistribution of electoral boundaries include the towns of Kenilworth, Brooloo and Conondale in an area based on the Coast.

Sincerely Jeffrey Charles Gifford

QUEENSLAND
09 MAY 1999

Signature

Name GIFFORD

Address LOT 58 CALLEMOND ROAD BROOLOO
C/- IMBIL P/O 4570

Date 4/5/99

E.C.Q.
009380

The Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

QRC/OBJ 264

Queensland Electoral Redistribution

I note that the town of Kenilworth is proposed to be included in the new electorate of Nanango along with other small towns situated in the Mary Valley, Brooloo and Conondale.

I wish to strenuously object to this arrangement on the grounds that the towns of Kenilworth, Brooloo and Conondale have no community of interest with the towns in the Nanango area and the area shown as included in the proposed electorate. Rather they are physically, commercially and socially connected to the areas of Nambour, Gympie, Maroochydore and the Sunshine Coast Hinterland area.
In addition:

1. These three towns rely for their major commerce on the coastal towns of Gympie, Nambour and Maleny. They have no direct road connection with the Nanango area and no business or social connection with that area.

2. For these towns the Health Support Systems are all based in Nambour, Maleny or Gympie. Such services as hospitals, specialist services and aged facilities are all located East of our towns, never in a Westward direction.

3. Gaining access to the Parliamentarian for the area would be very difficult as road access all run in an Easterly direction. Likewise, servicing this area would prove difficult for the elected encumbant.

I therefore, request that the redistribution of electoral boundaries include the towns of Kenilworth, Brooloo and Conondale in an area based on the Coast.

ELECTORAL COMMISSION
QUEENSLAND
06 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

Sincerely Ann. Gifford

Signature Ann. Gifford

Name ANN GIFFORD

Date 4/5/99

Address LOT 58 CALLEMONDA
RD. BROOLOO.
4- IMBIL. PO. 4570.



Desley Boyle *MLA*
Member for Cairns

Office 1 "McLeod South"
78-84 Spence Street CAIRNS

P O Box 1259
CAIRNS 4870

Ph: (07) 40 512 868
Fax: (07) 40 516 760

4 May, 1999

E.C.Q.
009381

His Honour Judge J P Shanahan
Chairperson
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

QRC/OBJ 265

Dear Judge Shanahan

Re: Electoral District of Cairns

I write in objection to the proposed re-naming of Cairns to Cairns Central.

I note that the Commission's intention in proposing the re-naming is to minimise the problem of absentee voters being given ballot papers for the wrong electorate. While I agree that this has been problematic for some I submit that the change of name in Cairns to Cairns Central is unlikely to achieve the objective. Furthermore the term "Cairns Central" has a local association that is an inappropriate connection for electoral purposes.

1. **The Commission's objective:**

This is unlikely to be achieved through the re-naming, the more particularly so with the proposed changes to the electorates of Barron River to the north of Cairns, Cairns itself and Mulgrave to the south of Cairns. Under the proposed changes some parts of the suburbs of Manoora and Manunda have been included in Barron River. An absentee voter from these suburbs when asked whether s/he resides in Cairns Central or Barron River is likely to perceive that the former is correct as their place of residence is close to the centre of Cairns.

Equally so residents of the suburb of Redlynch, some 16 kms from the city are not likely to guess (if they don't know) that they are in the electorate of Barron River rather than Cairns Central.

ELECTORAL COMMISSION QUEENSLAND
06 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

.../2

2. **"Cairns Central" is the name of a local shopping centre:**

The name "Cairns Central" is that of a shopping centre on the edge of the Cairns CBD. A relatively new shopping centre, it has not been entirely welcomed by retailers in the CBD of Cairns as it is perceived that Cairns Central has contributed to decline in their business. As one businessman said to me of the proposed name change, "I wish they would have named it (the electorate) after my business!".

Local associations with "Cairns Central" are Myer, Bi-Lo and Target.

3. **A proud history and identity in the name "Cairns":**

The electorate of Cairns has been so-named for 112 years. The people of Cairns are a proud lot and sometimes admittedly parochial. I humbly submit that "Cairns" is the preferred local name and that it is one which should not be changed.

Yours sincerely,

Desley Boyle

QRC/OBJ 265

Desley Boyle MLA
Member for Cairns

FILE No.	EX/79/6
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ 265
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	



Electorate Office
Thuringowa Centre
2/48 Thuringowa Dr
Thuringowa Central
QLD 4817

Phone: (07) 4773 1444
Fax: (07) 4773 5013
Freecall: (Electorate Only)
1800 817 230

KEN TURNER MLA
MEMBER FOR THURINGOWA

QRC/OBJ 266

E.C.Q. 009382

13 April 1999

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

Dear Sir

I understand that you are working to the guidelines set out by the Electoral Act 1992, however the people of Queensland are not numbers on a map but individuals in communities with specialised needs.

I would like to draw your attention to the electorate of Thuringowa and your proposed plan to redistribute the Northern Beaches suburbs of Thuringowa to come under the electorate of Hinchinbrook.

I have received numerous phone calls from alarmed constituents regarding the proposed changes and have not found one person in the Northern Beaches area who wants to be represented by Hinchinbrook. The sitting member of Hinchinbrook has also stated publicly that he does not agree with the changes.

I feel it is absolutely imperative that the people be properly represented. I can not see how this can happen with your redistribution proposal. I also suggest that should this occur that the people of the Northern Beaches will still continue to refer their concerns to the Thuringowa Electoral Office as all the communities consider themselves part of the Twin Cities. All of their interests are to the south in Townsville/Thuringowa, not to the north.

I would point out that many homes in the Northern Beaches are second homes owned by Townsville and Thuringowa residents.

I ask you to please consider the effects of your proposal to these constituents.

Yours faithfully

Ken Turner MLA

ELECTORAL COMMISSION QUEENSLAND
06 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

FILE No.	EX/79/6
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ 266
DOCUMENTED	YES/~~NO~~
SCANNED	YES/~~NO~~
ATTACHED	YES/~~NO~~
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	

Motto:
"The Price of Liberty is Eternal Vigilance"

The Returned Services League of Australia

QUEENSLAND BRANCH

Kenilworth Sub-Branch

P.O. Box 44
Kenilworth 4574
Tel: (07) 5446 0398
Fax: (07) 5446 0709

E.C.Q.
009383

By resolution of a meeting held by members of the Sub-branch objection is made to the proposed electoral boundary being redrawn to include The township and surrounding district of Kenilworth in the electorate of Nanango on the following grounds:

1) That the Commission as required by Section 46(1) has not considered correctly the following matters:
   a) The extent to which the township of Kenilworth has economic, social, regional and other interests within the Shire of Maroochydore.
      i) In particular that the interests of members are situated east of the dividing range and not from west of the dividing range.
      ii) In particular that members uses services such as health services from principally within the Shire of Maroochydore.
      iii) In particular our membership with affiliated associations is all within the present electorate of Nicklin.

2) That the Commission gives credence to the comments made on page 5 of the 1999 Proposed Redistribution viz. that the Commission agrees with the comments made at page 4 of the EARC proposal in that "Local Government boundaries reflect and may even create communities of interest." This is especially so with our Sub-branch now more than ever concerned with the interests of veterans."

3) That the Commission gives credence to the comments made on page 6 in that it agrees with the statements made by EARC in that the set of interests held by the community of Kenilworth are sufficiently compelling and binding so as to regard themselves as a community having a nexus with the Maroochy Shire that will not be broken but significantly damaged in the event of it becoming part of the electorate of Nanango Our organization believes this to be in concert with all other organizations of Kenilworth and surrounding district.

4) That as required by Section 46(1)(b) the Commission has not correctly exercised its own judgement when deciding that a natural or man-made feature does not constitute a barrier between electorates, viz. The Great Dividing Range.

QRC/GBJ267

Brian G Smith
Secretary

FILE No.	Ex/79/6
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/GBJ267
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	

ELECTORAL COMMISSION QUEENSLAND
30 APR 1999
RECEIVED

LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY
QUEENSLAND

Electorate Offices:

Shop 1, 47 North Street, GATTON
Shop 2/103 George Street, KALBAR

Postal Address:
P O Box 40
KALBAR Q 4309

Tel: (07) 54 622 772
(07) 54 637 722

Fax: (07) 54 622 388

DR. PETER PRENZLER, MLA
MEMBER FOR LOCKYER

E.C.Q.
009384

QRC/OBJ 268

5th May, 1999

Hon. Judge F. P. Shanahan
Chair of Queensland Electoral
Redistribution Commission
Level 6
Forestry House
160 Mary Street
BRISBANE 4000

Dear Sir,

I note with interest your proposed changes to the boundaries of the Lockyer Electorate.

The loss of the Withcott area to the Toowoomba Electorate was, in my opinion, the correct decision.

The establishment of a new Electorate of Nanango, which has allowed parts of Lockyer to expand north, and Ipswich West to expand, has also been a correct decision.

I do, however, have difficulties with the retention of the eastern part of the Electorate, i.e. the Greenbank and Flagstone areas being retained in this Electorate. This area east of Flinders Peak (a part of the Teviot Range) is geographically isolated from the Electorate. These electors, some two thousand in number, in my opinion, are disenfranchised from the Electorate.

ELECTORAL COMMISSION
QUEENSLAND
06 MAY 1999

I object to this part of the proposed boundary for the following reasons, which I will deal with under Section 46(1) of the Electoral Act.

1) Section 46(1) (a)

The people of this area are a part of the Greater Brisbane/Logan City Metropolitan Area. They are educated, work, shop, play sport and socialise in this area and have little, or no connections to the greater portion of the Electorate.

2) Section 46(1) (b)

Due to the Teviot Range System the area to be retained in Lockyer has no means of direct road connection to the rest of the Electorate - no roads, except tracks, connect this part to the remainder of the Electorate. To drive to the rest of Lockyer, the shortest route would traverse the electorates of Algester, Bundamba, Ipswich and Ipswich West to reach the Electorate Office situated at Gatton.

3) Section 46(1) (c)

Physically this portion is separated from the rest of the Electorate by the Teviot Range, completely isolating their people from the rest of the Electorate. This, I believe, is totally unacceptable.

4) Section 46(1) (d)

This problem can be easily rectified without any ripple effect to other Electorates (except Beaudesert).

QRC/OBJ 268

5) Section 46(2)

Local Government Boundaries should and often are taken into account by many State Electoral Boundaries traverse these and would not be a problem here.

SOLUTION

I believe the Redistribution Commission should strongly consider the following solution.

Firstly, I realise that any further changes cannot cause a ripple or domino affect because this will effectively change the entire redistribution around this area.

The solution can be simply achieved by moving these two thousand Electors into the new Beaudesert Electorate. This would be compensated by moving two thousand Electors of the new Beaudesert Electorate to Lockyer.

This can be achieved by redrawing the proposed new boundary by a new boundary from Flinders Peak east of Peak Crossing and following the Teviot Range to the NSW border. This would place the township of Boonah in Beaudesert Electorate and Kalbar, Aratula areas in the Lockyer Electorate. This would effectively move two thousand Voters from the new proposed Beaudesert Electorate to Lockyer, compensating for the two thousand Voters around Greenbank to Beaudesert **(see enclosed Map).**

This Proposal would have a number of positive affects on a new Lockyer Electorate.

1. Would provide a more balanced shaped Electorate.
2. Retain the demographical attachment of the Kalbar area, both historically through German immigration, and currently within the area of the Electorate.

More importantly, it would provide the people around Greenbank easier access to their Parliamentary Representative.

In conclusion I urge the Redistribution Comission to seriously consider the above proposal that would give a far better result for all concerned.


Yours faithfully,

QRC/OBJ 268

PETER PRENZLER
MEMBER FOR LOCKYER

FILE No.	EX/79/E
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ 268
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	6/5

PROPOSED QUEENSLAND ELECTORAL BOUNDARIES APRIL 1999




QRC/BT 268

QUEENSLAND REDISTRIBUTION COMMISSION

© The State of Queensland, Department of Natural Resources, 1999

NEW BOUNDARY.

PROPOSED QUEENSLAND ELECTORAL BOUNDARIES APRIL 1999



QUEENSLAND REDISTRIBUTION COMMISSION

© The State of Queensland, Department of Natural Resources, 1999

NEW BOUNDARY

OCTOBER 1998

E.C.Q.
009385

The Queensland Redistribution Commission,
Locked Bag 3300,
BRISBANE. Qld 9001

QRC/OBJ 269

Dear Sir/Madam,

We strongly object to the township of Eudlo being divided into two electorates by the proposed new Electorate of Glasshouse

Please note : -

Section 46(1) of the Act

The Commission must give consideration to the following matters when drawing the boundaries of proposed electoral districts

a) The extent to which there is a community of economic, social, regional or other interest within each proposed electoral district.

b) The ways of communication and travel within each proposed electoral district.

c) The physical features of each proposed electoral district.

d) Boundaries of existing electoral districts.

Section 46(2) of the Act

The commission may also consider the boundaries of local government areas to the extent that it is satisfied that there is a community of economic, social, regional or other interests within each local government area.

FILE No.	ex/9/6
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ 269
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	6/5

Yours faithfully,

Christine White

CHRISTINE WHITE

DAVID WHITE

LOT 5 MOSSYBANK RD, EUDLO.

ELECTORAL COMMISSION QUEENSLAND
06 MAY 1999

OBJECTION TO THE PROPOSED BOUNDARIES OF THE ELECTORATE OF IPSWICH WEST.

QLD REDISTRIBUTION COMMISSION.
LEVEL 6 FORESTRY HOUSE.
160 MARY ST
BRISBANE Q 4000.

E.C.Q. 009386

5-5-99.
14 ROWENA ST
CHURCHILL

QRC/OBJ 270

Dear Sir,

This submission requests that the portion of Churchill which is bounded by Deebing Creek & the old Ipswich City Council boundaries be returned to the electorate of Ipswich West.

My submission is a simple one, but I believe that there are a number of compelling reasons why it is worth serious consideration.

I think it is now widely agreed by people of all political persuasions that anything that can be done to reduce unnecessary complexity & confusion between Federal, State & Local Government responsibilities & functions is to the public benefit.

The proposed new State electoral boundaries for Ipswich West are in conflict with this ideal.

If adopted, they would mean that Churchill - one of the oldest suburbs in Ipswich - will now be carved up for local voters by three different dividing lines - one for each tier of government: Federal, State & Council.

I know several of my friends in the older age group who have an active interest in politics but find the changes confusing.

I believe there is a clear & fair solution - which is for the State boundaries to follow the Federal boundaries in this area.

By mirroring the current Federal electorate boundaries the electorate of Ipswich West would introduce a greater element of simplicity & certainty into the electoral responsibilities of both voters & elected representatives.

This is a natural boundary in terms of community, economic & social reality. The old-established suburb of Churchill has no community of interest with suburbs east of Deebing Creek. Both areas have their own school communities & shopping centres as well as churches & community groups.

I hope you will give this submission serious consideration.

QRC/05270

Yours Sincerely

Barry Wallace.

FILE No.	EX/9/6
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/05270
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	6/5

ELECTORAL COMMISSION QUEENSLAND

06 MAY 1999

RECEIVED

QUEENSLAND
06 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

NAOMI WILSON
PO Box 140E
EARLVILLE
Phone 0740 544735...FAX 0740 332185
email:naomiwilson@north.net.com.au

E.C.Q.
009387

Monday, May 03, 1999

The Electoral Commissioner
P O Box 1393
BRISBANE Q 4001

QRC/ORJ 271

Dear Sir,

RE: Proposed Cairns electorate name change and Mulgrave boundaries

Please find enclosed for your consideration comments and suggestions regarding the proposed changes for the Cairns and Mulgrave electorates.

I find that the proposal to alter the name of the Cairns electorate to "Cairns Central" a very unnecessary move. It is a change that is totally un-warranted.

Cairns has been, and will be for many many years, the focus of the north. It is a developing city. To alter the name of the electorate to "Cairns Central", rather marginalises the city, and rather aligns the name to a railway station (e.g. Central Railway in Sydney) – and indeed Cairns City is certainly not known as a mere railway station. It has many more attributes than that!

There is also a large new shopping centre in the city of Cairns, called Cairns Central. Another reason not to alter the current electorate name of Cairns.

Because of the very apathy of voters at election time, one could almost pardon voters doing their weekend shopping on a State Election Day becoming confused! They could almost be forgiven for thinking their vote was for something at the shopping centre!

Owners of other shopping centers could possibly object at the name change on the grounds that using the name of "Cairns Central" as an electorate name, could almost be seen as giving advertising to the particular shopping centre of the same name.

Leave the name "Cairns" as is – why change things when they really do not require changing.

Re the Mulgrave electorate, whilst recognising the difficulties in boundary alignments and changes, it is well worth considering the citizens themselves in the process.

Removing areas such as those at Bellenden Kerr to the Tablelands is nothing short of senseless, and for the sake of a few votes. With due respect, one would imagine that with the facilities at your fingertips, with a flip of your pencil, this area could remain unchanged, and another portion of the electorate could be removed to the Tablelands. Residents are quite emotional about this alteration – and of course as is with many of these issues, they do not know the process for making their concerns known.

Many of the residents involved in the proposed changes to the small townships south of Babinda, and west of the highway, are comprised of families who have lived in the Mulgrave area for generations. For many in the area, their families were the pioneers of the sugar cane industry on which the north was built, and they do not want to travel miles to the tableland to visit their local member. They do not relate to the Tableland – there is no commonality of interest and support.

A more effective cut off point dividing the Tablelands and Mulgrave electorates would be the mountain range, thus leaving the areas of Waugh's Pocket, Miriwinni, Woopen Creek, and Bartle Frere to remain in their own areas of commonality of interest. Using the highway or a train line to divide towns is perhaps an insensitive way to go about the process.

I recognise that at one stage part of the Tableland area was situated in Mulgrave, however, those in their wisdom who pencilled in the boundaries, certainly left the Tableland towns complete.

To split coastal towns certainly does not auger well for residents in small townships who are finding difficulties in maintaining a close knit community. Further fracturing will not assist.

The community of Yarrabah is perhaps another consideration should there be a need for block redistribution. This was the case in the previous boundary alignments – Yarrabah was shifted from Cairns to Mulgrave. Perhaps a shift back to Cairns would be a simple solution – the commonality would still exist in the Yarrabah community, which would remain complete, and the northern part of Redlynch could be removed into Barron River.

Yours sincerely

QRC/OBJ271

Naomi Wilson

Naomi Wilson

JOHN ROSSI
PO BOX 4
ALOOMBA Q 4871
Phone 0740 561905...FAX 0740 563126

E.C.Q.
009388

Sunday, May 02, 1999

QRC/OBJ272

The Electoral Commissioner
P O Box 1393
BRISBANE Q 4000

Dear Sir,

RE: Proposed Mulgrave boundary alignments

Please find enclosed for your consideration .a submission regarding the proposed boundary alignments for the electorate of Mulgrave.

Included are suggestions and concerns voiced by a number of residents.

Yours sincerely

L. P. Rossi

Louis Peter Rossi
Chairman
Mulgrave Electorate Council NPA QLD

FILE No.	EX/74/6
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ272
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	

6/5

ELECTORAL COMMISSION QUEENSLAND
05 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

SUBMISSION RE BOUNDARY CHANGES TO MULGRAVE ELECTORATE

SUBMITTED BY THE MULGRAVE ELECTORATE COUNCIL – NPA QLD

At a recent meeting, concerns were voiced on the boundary alignments for the electorate of Mulgrave. In essence the concerns centre around the fact that for some of the small townships in the Mulgrave electorate have been (or will be should the current proposals be carried forth) split as communities.

For instance:

- With the new boundary alignments, the Bartle Frere residents will have to drive through Mulgrave to get to their new electorate office, which would be situated on the Tableland.
- A mountain divides them from the Tableland – making their speedy access less accessible. The natural boundary of the Bellenden Kerr mountain range effectively should be the western boundary for the Bartle Frere residents.
- Small townships should not be divided. It is outrageous that Miriwinni for instance will be split. Residents of the town with a common residential interest will be spilt simply on a political basis.
- A large number of families will be affected south of the township of Babinda – a town which is struggling to maintain its identity
- Babinda is losing its historic Mulgrave heartland identity, and this has occurred over the last few years.
- Splitting smaller towns, presents isolation and disadvantage. No commonality of interest and industry will remain in these areas:
  - Neighbours
  - Sugar mill
  - Local government
- Locals living in the areas of Bartle Frere etc will need to go up the Gillies to the tableland to visit their local member rather than visit local member locally.
- Cohesiveness in a local area is vital, and thoughtless division of these smaller rural towns indicates a lack of consideration by bureaucrats at the expense of the people whose roots go back over a century to the start of the Babinda sugar industry at Bartle Frere. In many cases, the very industry – sugar cane -on which the north has flourished, began in these coastal areas.

We respectfully suggest that the following be considered.

- Leave the tableland towns on the tableland complete, and similarly,leave the residents of Bartle Frere, Waugh's Pocket, Miriwinni, Woopen Creek etc in the Mulgrave electorate.
  In other words leave the coastal towns on their current rural rump with the current structure of industry - Sugar, Rare Fruits etc – the comonality exists there already.

QRC/OBJ 272

Small townships are proud of their residents' achievements over the years, as Miriwinni residents are proud of Brad Bevan as THEIR resident. Many residents are unaware of the current boundary changes. They will not want to be divided by a rail or road – a road or railway must not split townships unnecessarily.
For the sake of the citizens in the electorate of Mulgrave, it is essential to maintain the townships in tact – and seek other forms or boundary realignments.

We therefore respectfully request that the citizens of the Bartle Frere, Waugh's Pocket, Woopen Creek, and Miriwinni towns be left as they are with no changes to the boundaries.

Signed on behalf of the members of the Mulgrave Electorate Council

L.P. Rossi

LOUIS PETER ROSSI
Chairman
Mulgrave Electorate Council

QRC/OBJ 272

QRC/OBJ 273

SHIRLEY HARWOOD
P.O. BOX 18. MIRRIWINNI.
4871

4th May '99.

DEAR COMMISSIONER,

I wish to object to the alteration of Boundaries in the Mulgrave electorate, and in particular the Proposed Areas of Woopen Creek, Waugh's Pocket, Bartle Frere and thence north to Stayer Road via Mirriwinni being merged into the Tablelands Electorate.

1 These areas are part of the great valley that stretches through to Gordonvale. It is part of the Super Wet belt. A natural Barrier from the Tablelands applies. The massive Bellenden Ker Range with its two highest Peaks, Bartle Frere and Bellenden Ker form this Barrier.

2 No road access to the Tablelands from this region exists. Residents wishing to travel to the Tablelands need to traverse many many kilometres of highway to access either the Palmerston near Innisfail or the Gillies Highway near Gordonvale.

3 The Mulgrave Electorate as it stands now is a compact region and joins other coastal areas of similar climate and Topography. It makes no sense to attach this region to the Tablelands.

4 This part of Mulgrave fits the criteria for electoral district boundaries as at numbers 45 and 46 of the Electoral Act. (a) Community of interest. (b) Modern methods of Communication and travel. (c) Land forms and topography. (d) the boundaries of existing districts (e) Population trends. (f) Existing Local Government boundaries

5 The existing boundaries are satisfactory and serve us well. Mirriwinni will be split into two electorates which will be extremely bad for such a rural community. A most important consideration to leave things alone here is that People do not want this change. Social co-hesion is extremely good. Common farming practises apply. This area is attached to Districts of totally Similar interests. Should the boundaries of Mulgrave change, it would be foolish to annex this area to the Tablelands.

Pliase look carefully at all these notifications. There are more that relate to my objections, so should the Commissioner require further information or need an on Site inspection of this area, local residents would be more than happy to make up a deputation to meet with him.

Yours faithfully,

S. L. Harwood

ELECTORAL COMMISSION QUEENSLAND
09 MAY 1999
RECEIVED
E.C.Q.
009389

CROYDON SHIRE COUNCIL

CROYDON SHIRE COUNCIL

POST OFFICE BOX 17
CROYDON 4871
NORTH QUEENSLAND

TELEPHONE
07 4745 6185

FAX
07 4745 6147

EMAIL
croydon@bigpond.com.au

E.C.Q.
009390

PJT/HH /4146

QRC/OBJ274

6 May 1999

6 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

Queensland Redistribution
Commission
Level 6 Forestry House
160 Mary Street
BRISBANE QLD 4000

Dear Sir,

At a recent meeting of Council I was requested to submit an objection to Croydon Shire being included in the Mount Isa electorate.

When citing reasons for the decision on boundaries it was mentioned that the Mount Isa electorate would not extend south because that would cut across existing road and rail routes which run east - west. Croydon is in the same situation. Croydon Shire is serviced primarily from the Cairns/Atherton Tablelands area. I also note that Etheridge Shire has been included in the Charters Towers Electorate. The same argument applies to them.

Croydon Shire is within the Cairns District for all State Government Departments except for the Department of Environment, and our current Member's electoral office is also situated in Cairns. When any Shire resident seeks help from our present Member, his staff can easily access relevant Departments for advice or further information. It seems improbable that an electoral office in Cloncurry, some 1100 kms from Cairns, could provide the same sort of service as our current Member's office does.

Electorate figures show an obvious population drift from the Rural Districts. The proposed changes will only make matters worse as time goes by. This is indicated by your own estimates for June 2005:

Electorate	21/12/'98		June 2005
Cook	- 6.7	to	-5.6
Charters Towers	+0.7	to	-14.6
Mount Isa	+4.4	to	-9.0
Gregory	+6.4	to	-4.4

I suggest that the Commission bites the bullet now and adds Croydon and Etheridge Shires (estimated 1000 voters) to the proposed Cook electorate. I further suggest that the Commission abolish

CROYDON SHIRE COUNCIL

Charters Towers, Mount Isa and Gregory electorates and, instead, create two new electorates. There are probably some small areas with larger populations closer to the east coast which could be added to other electorates to balance the quotas. The proposed two new western electorates would be comprised of areas which have far more in common with each other and would easily meet the population quotas for now and in future years.

Finally, I would like to suggest further that the current Quota system be seriously looked at and concessions be made. It is suggested that a second quota be established for areas west of the Great Dividing Range so that the bush still has the same say and voting power as the urban areas. After all, it is in the bush where most of the State's Gross Domestic Product is generated.

I thank you for your time and request that you give serious consideration to Council's suggestions.

Yours faithfully

QRC/OBJ 274

Peter J. Tiggemann
CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER

FILE No.	Ex/19/6
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ 274
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECO. RECORDS	7/5

54463966

YAROOMBA PROGRESS ASSOCIATION INC

19 Wunnunga Crescent
Yaroomba 4573

President: Basil Page 54462115

Secretary: Warwick Peters 54463098

6th May 1999

E.C.Q. 009391

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
Brisbane 9001

Dear Sirs,

SUBMISSION re PROPOSED QUEENSLAND ELECTORAL BOUNDARIES
NOOSA/ MAROOCHYDORE

We note with concern the fragmented nature of the proposed new boundary which effectively splits Yaroomba in two and leaves its residents dealing with separate representatives.

Yaroomba in an important and independant area in its own right and it includes the large Hyatt Resort. It has always enjoyed a community of interest in the Coolum/Noosa district and has little association with Maroochydore decision making.

If it is considered for genuine numerical reasons that the boundary cannot remain as is south of Mount Coolum (which is still our preferred option) it is suggested it at least be moved to the simpler and more direct boundary between Yaroomba and Mount Coolum which takes in Tanah Streets East and West, Toolga Street, South Coolum Road through to the Motorway. This would at least avoid most of the complexities and fragmentation in the proposed route.

Yours ~~faithfully~~ faithfully

President

QRC/OBJ 275

FILE No.	EX/79/6
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ 275
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	7/5

ELECTORAL COMMISSION QUEENSLAND
06 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

DEBORAH & PETER LILEY
151 ARLINGTON ESP
CLIFTON BEACH
QLD 4879

6th May 1999

E.C.Q.
009392

The Commissioner
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

QRC/OBJ 276

Dear Sir,

I wish to lodge an objection to the proposal to include the beachside suburbs of Palm Cove and Clifton Beach in the State Electorate of Cook.

As a resident of ~~Palm Cove~~ / Clifton Beach, I fail to see what possible community of interest I would have with the electorate of Cook which is based on Cape York Peninsula and Torres Strait.

Currently Palm Cove and Clifton Beach are in the State Electorate of Barron River, and that is where I would prefer them to stay.

This electorate includes the rest of the beachside suburbs which make up the Northern Beaches area of Cairns and I can see no sense in splitting this geographical area.

I urge you to please reconsider your propsal and return both Palm Cove and Clifton Beach into the electorate of Barron River.

Yours sincerely

Deborah Liley

Deborah Liley

FILE No.	ex/96
ACTION OFFICER	DEG
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ276
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	

ELECTORAL COMMISSION QUEENSLAND
06 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

FROM : Liley Marine Servi... Pty Ltd PHONE NO. : 014 655 926 P0:

LEA M. GRAY
40 SATELLITE ST,
CLIFTON BEACH
QLD 4879

7. May 1999

E.C.Q.
009393

The Commissioner
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

QRC/OBJ 277

Dear Sir,

I wish to lodge an objection to the proposal to include the beachside suburbs of Palm Cove and Clifton Beach in the State Electorate of Cook.

As a resident of ~~Palm Cove~~ / Clifton Beach, I fail to see what possible community of interest I would have with the electorate of Cook which is based on Cape York Peninsula and Torres Strait.

Currently Palm Cove and Clifton Beach are in the State Electorate of Barron River, and that is where I would prefer them to stay.

This electorate includes the rest of the beachside suburbs which make up the Northern Beaches area of Cairns and I can see no sense in splitting this geographical area.

I urge you to please reconsider your propsal and return both Palm Cove and Clifton Beach into the electorate of Barron River.

Yours sincerely

Lea Gray

FILE No.	EX/79/6
ACTION OFFICER	D.C.
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ 277
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	7/5

ELECTORAL COMMISSION QUEENSLAND
07 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

CAPT. QWV GRAY
AU SATELLITE ST.
CLIFTON BEACH
QLD. 4879

7TH May 1999

E.C.Q.
009421

The Commissioner
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

QRC/OBJ278

Dear Sir,

I wish to lodge an objection to the proposal to include the beachside suburbs of Palm Cove and Clifton Beach in the State Electorate of Cook.

As a resident of ~~Palm Cove~~ / Clifton Beach, I fail to see what possible community of interest I would have with the electorate of Cook which is based on Cape York Peninsula and Torres Strait.

Currently Palm Cove and Clifton Beach are in the State Electorate of Barron River, and that is where I would prefer them to stay.

This electorate includes the rest of the beachside suburbs which make up the Northern Beaches area of Cairns and I can see no sense in splitting this geographical area.

I urge you to please reconsider your propsal and return both Palm Cove and Clifton Beach into the electorate of Barron River.

Yours sincerely

QWV Gray

FILE No.	Ex/M/6
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ278
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	7/5

07 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

2 Ilee Close
Clifton Beach
Qld 4879

4 May 1999



The Commissioner
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

QRC/OBJ279

Dear Sir,

I wish to lodge an objection to the proposal to include the beachside suburbs of Palm Cove and Clifton Beach in the State Electorate of Cook.

As a resident of ~~Palm Cove~~ / Clifton Beach, I fail to see what possible community of interest I would have with the electorate of Cook which is based on Cape York Peninsula and Torres Strait.

Currently Palm Cove and Clifton Beach are in the State Electorate of Barron River, and that is where I would prefer them to stay.

This electorate includes the rest of the beachside suburbs which make up the Northern Beaches area of Cairns and I can see no sense in splitting this geographical area.

I urge you to please reconsider your propsal and return both Palm Cove and Clifton Beach into the electorate of Barron River.

Yours sincerely

A Colbourn.

FILE No.	EX/79
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ 279
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
EEQ. RECORDS	

ELECTORAL COMMISSION QUEENSLAND
07 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

FROM : JORVIK HOLDINGS PTY LTD PHONE NO. : 07 4055 3568 P0

47 ENDEAVOUR RD
CLIFTON BEACH
4879 QLD

MARGUERITE AUREA
KING

E.C.Q.
009395

6th May 1999

The Commissioner
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

QRC/OBJ280

Dear Sir,

I wish to lodge an objection to the proposal to include the beachside suburbs of Palm Cove and Clifton Beach in the State Electorate of Cook.

As a resident of Palm Cove / Clifton Beach, I fail to see what possible community of interest I would have with the electorate of Cook which is based on Cape York Peninsula and Torres Strait.

Currently Palm Cove and Clifton Beach are in the State Electorate of Barron River, and that is where I would prefer them to stay.

This electorate includes the rest of the beachside suburbs which make up the Northern Beaches area of Cairns and I can see no sense in splitting this geographical area.

I urge you to please reconsider your propsal and return both Palm Cove and Clifton Beach into the electorate of Barron River.

Yours sincerely

FILE No.	EX/79/6
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ280
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	7/5

ELECTORAL COMMISSION QUEENSLAND
07 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

5/5/99
Re Electoral Re
Cairns Beach
Ronald Andrew
King

... May 1999

E.C.Q.
009396

The Commissioner
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

QRC/OBJ 281

Dear Sir,

I wish to lodge an objection to the proposal to include the beachside suburbs of Palm Cove and Clifton Beach in the State Electorate of Cook.

As a resident of Palm Cove / Clifton Beach, I fail to see what possible community of interest I would have with the electorate of Cook which is based on Cape York Peninsula and Torres Strait.

Currently Palm Cove and Clifton Beach are in the State Electorate of Barron River, and that is where I would prefer them to stay.

This electorate includes the rest of the beachside suburbs which make up the Northern Beaches area of Cairns and I can see no sense in splitting this geographical area.

I urge you to please reconsider your propsal and return both Palm Cove and Clifton Beach into the electorate of Barron River.

Yours sincerely

K King

FILE No.	EX/74/6
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ 281
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	27/5

RECEIVED

[redacted]

5. May 1999

E.C.Q.
009397

The Commissioner
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

QRC/OBJ 282

Dear Sir,

I wish to lodge an objection to the proposal to include the beachside suburbs of Palm Cove and Clifton Beach in the State Electorate of Cook.

As a resident of Palm Cove / Clifton Beach, I fail to see what possible community of interest I would have with the electorate of Cook which is based on Cape York Peninsula and Torres Strait.

Currently Palm Cove and Clifton Beach are in the State Electorate of Barron River, and that is where I would prefer them to stay.

This electorate includes the rest of the beachside suburbs which make up the Northern Beaches area of Cairns and I can see no sense in splitting this geographical area.

I urge you to please reconsider your propsal and return both Palm Cove and Clifton Beach into the electorate of Barron River.

Yours sincerely

[redacted]

ELECTORAL COMMISSION QUEENSLAND
07 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

FILE No.	EX/99/6
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ 282
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	

Colin Toll
5 Melissa Close
Clifton Beach Qld 4879

6 May 99



The Commissioner
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
Brisbane Qld 9001

QRC/OBJ 283

Dear Sir/Madam,

PROPOSED REDISTRIBUTION - BARRON RIVER AND COOK


I wish to record my strong objections to the proposal to remove my community from the electorate of Barron River and to include it in the electorate of Cook.


I live in Clifton Beach, a community that is an integral part of the social and economic community of Barron River. The Clifton Beach community is totally removed in every aspect of life from those who constitute the Cook electorate.

I appeal to your common sense in this matter. Should the proposed plan prevail, my family and I will feel disenfranchised and there will be a continued and undesirable growth in the cynicism of the community toward government.

Yours faithfully

Colin Toll
Citizen





Joseph & Mary McKeon
34 Beaver St
Clifton Beach
4879

... May 1999

E.C.Q.
009399

The Commissioner
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

QRC/OBJ 284

Dear Sir,

I wish to lodge an objection to the proposal to include the beachside suburbs of Palm Cove and Clifton Beach in the State Electorate of Cook.

As a resident of Palm Cove / Clifton Beach, I fail to see what possible community of interest I would have with the electorate of Cook which is based on Cape York Peninsula and Torres Strait.

Currently Palm Cove and Clifton Beach are in the State Electorate of Barron River, and that is where I would prefer them to stay.

This electorate includes the rest of the beachside suburbs which make up the Northern Beaches area of Cairns and I can see no sense in splitting this geographical area.

I urge you to please reconsider your propsal and return both Palm Cove and Clifton Beach into the electorate of Barron River.

Yours sincerely

J & M McKeon

FILE No.	EXT 9/6
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ 284
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	

ELECTORAL COMMISSION QUEENSLAND
07 MAY 1999

CHRIS BARRON
30 RUDDER STREET
CLIFTON BEACH
4879
QUEENSLAND

06 May 1999

E.C.Q.
009400

The Commissioner
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

QRC/OBJ 285

Dear Sir,

I wish to lodge an objection to the proposal to include the beachside suburbs of Palm Cove and Clifton Beach in the State Electorate of Cook.

As a resident of Palm Cove / Clifton Beach, I fail to see what possible community of interest I would have with the electorate of Cook which is based on Cape York Peninsula and Torres Strait.

Currently Palm Cove and Clifton Beach are in the State Electorate of Barron River, and that is where I would prefer them to stay.

This electorate includes the rest of the beachside suburbs which make up the Northern Beaches area of Cairns and I can see no sense in splitting this geographical area.

I urge you to please reconsider your propsal and return both Palm Cove and Clifton Beach into the electorate of Barron River.

Yours sincerely

CBarron

ELECTORAL
QUEENSLAND
07 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

FILE No.	EX/79/6
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ285
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	

MR. R. PAINTER
17 SAXON ST.
CLIFTON BEACH
4879

6th May 1999

E.C.Q.
009401

The Commissioner
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

QRC/OBJ 286

Dear Sir,

I wish to lodge an objection to the proposal to include the beachside suburbs of Palm Cove and Clifton Beach in the State Electorate of Cook.

As a resident of Palm Cove / Clifton Beach, I fail to see what possible community of interest I would have with the electorate of Cook which is based on Cape York Peninsula and Torres Strait.

Currently Palm Cove and Clifton Beach are in the State Electorate of Barron River, and that is where I would prefer them to stay.

This electorate includes the rest of the beachside suburbs which make up the Northern Beaches area of Cairns and I can see no sense in splitting this geographical area.

I urge you to please reconsider your propsal and return both Palm Cove and Clifton Beach into the electorate of Barron River.

Yours sincerely

.....

QUEENSLAND
07 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

FILE No.	EX/79/6
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ286
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	

7/5

by Hand ESP
Kallaglen Beach
Qld 4879

... May 1999

E.C.Q.
009402

The Commissioner
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

QRC / OBJ 287

Dear Sir,

I wish to lodge an objection to the proposal to include the beachside suburbs of Palm Cove and Clifton Beach in the State Electorate of Cook.


As a resident of Palm Cove / Clifton Beach, I fail to see what possible community of interest I would have with the electorate of Cook which is based on Cape York Peninsula and Torres Strait.

Currently Palm Cove and Clifton Beach are in the State Electorate of Barron River, and that is where I would prefer them to stay.

This electorate includes the rest of the beachside suburbs which make up the Northern Beaches area of Cairns and I can see no sense in splitting this geographical area.

I urge you to please reconsider your propsal and return both Palm Cove and Clifton Beach into the electorate of Barron River.

Yours sincerely



FILE No.	EX/796
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ 287
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	

69 PROUST ST.
CLIFTON BEACH
QLD.
4879

... May 1999

E.C.Q.
009403

The Commissioner
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

QRC/OBJ 288

Dear Sir,

I wish to lodge an objection to the proposal to include the beachside suburbs of Palm Cove and Clifton Beach in the State Electorate of Cook.

As a resident of Palm Cove / Clifton Beach, I fail to see what possible community of interest I would have with the electorate of Cook which is based on Cape York Peninsula and Torres Strait.

Currently Palm Cove and Clifton Beach are in the State Electorate of Barron River, and that is where I would prefer them to stay.

This electorate includes the rest of the beachside suburbs which make up the Northern Beaches area of Cairns and I can see no sense in splitting this geographical area.

I urge you to please reconsider your propsal and return both Palm Cove and Clifton Beach into the electorate of Barron River.

Yours sincerely

John Izatt

John H. IZATT.

FILE No.	EX/79
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ 288
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	7/5

ELECTORAL COMMISSION QUEENSLAND
07 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

VINSON
4 Melissa Ct
Clifton Beach
QLD 4879.

... May 1999



The Commissioner
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

QRC/OBJ 289

Dear Sir,

I wish to lodge an objection to the proposal to include the beachside suburbs of Palm Cove and Clifton Beach in the State Electorate of Cook.

As a resident of Palm Cove / Clifton Beach, I fail to see what possible community of interest I would have with the electorate of Cook which is based on Cape York Peninsula and Torres Strait.


Currently Palm Cove and Clifton Beach are in the State Electorate of Barron River, and that is where I would prefer them to stay.

This electorate includes the rest of the beachside suburbs which make up the Northern Beaches area of Cairns and I can see no sense in splitting this geographical area.

I urge you to please reconsider your propsal and return both Palm Cove and Clifton Beach into the electorate of Barron River.

Yours sincerely

Michelle Vinson



FILE No.	Ex/14/6
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ/289
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	

L.B. McCormack
4 Satellite St
Clifton Beach
4059 1236

... May 1999

E.C.Q.
009405

The Commissioner
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

QRC/OBJ 290

Dear Sir,

I wish to lodge an objection to the proposal to include the beachside suburbs of Palm Cove and Clifton Beach in the State Electorate of Cook.

As a resident of Palm Cove / Clifton Beach, I fail to see what possible community of interest I would have with the electorate of Cook which is based on Cape York Peninsula and Torres Strait.

Currently Palm Cove and Clifton Beach are in the State Electorate of Barron River, and that is where I would prefer them to stay.

This electorate includes the rest of the beachside suburbs which make up the Northern Beaches area of Cairns and I can see no sense in splitting this geographical area.

I urge you to please reconsider your propsal and return both Palm Cove and Clifton Beach into the electorate of Barron River.

Yours sincerely

for L.B. McCormack

ELECTORAL REDISTRIBUTION QUEENSLAND
27 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

A.J McCORMACK
4 SATELLITE ST
CLIFTON BEACH
4059 1236

5th May 1999

E.C.Q.
009406

The Commissioner
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

QRC/OBJ 291

Dear Sir,

I wish to lodge an objection to the proposal to include the beachside suburbs of Palm Cove and Clifton Beach in the State Electorate of Cook.


As a resident of Palm Cove / Clifton Beach, I fail to see what possible community of interest I would have with the electorate of Cook which is based on Cape York Peninsula and Torres Strait.


Currently Palm Cove and Clifton Beach are in the State Electorate of Barron River, and that is where I would prefer them to stay.

This electorate includes the rest of the beachside suburbs which make up the Northern Beaches area of Cairns and I can see no sense in splitting this geographical area.

I urge you to please reconsider your propsal and return both Palm Cove and Clifton Beach into the electorate of Barron River.

Yours sincerely





FILE No.	QRC/OBJ 291
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ 291
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	

TOTAL P.01

The Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

W D & W E Hodge
P O Box 61
EUDLO QLD 4554
Tel 07 5478 9097

E.C.Q.
009407

Dear Sir, QRC/OBJ 292

OBJECTION TO PROPOSED ELECTORAL REDISTRIBUTION AT EUDLO

We would like to register an objection against the inclusion of the western part of the Eudlo district in the proposed electorate of Glasshouse, on the following grounds.

1 The Eudlo community on both the eastern and western side of the railway, centred around the Eudlo township, comprises of a unique precinct, which will be divided into two parts, if the proposed electoral boundary is to run along the railway. Up to this time, the character and identity of the precinct has been preserved by the involvement of residents from both sides of the railway, who have had a sense of common community goals. It is suggested, that this sense of a common community involvement will be undermined and that unified representation on state government issues will be eroded, by the division of the community into separate electoral districts, if the proposed electoral boundary is located along the railway.

Examples of community involvement in the Eudlo area are:

1.1 The Local Area Plan, which is an initiative of the Maroochy Shire Council as part of the Council's Strategic Plan. The Local Area Plan has been specially formulated, after a series of consultations with the Eudlo residents from both the town and surrounding district, to give direction to the future of Eudlo and retain its unique character.

1.2 The Eudlo Landcare Group, which is a voluntary organisation made up of local area residents, dedicated to the enhancement of the natural vegetation areas, in and around Eudlo.

1.3 The Eudlo Bush Fire Brigade, whose role is obvious.

2 The main access routes from the Eudlo area are northwards and easterly to centres in Maroochy Shire and Sunshine Coast generally. The connectivity to the south from Eudlo towards Glasshouse is poor; the alignment and standard of the roads being sub-standard.
Most residents commute to do business, work and shop in the towns to the north and the east of Eudlo. ie. Palmwoods, Woombye, Nambour and Maroochydore, which are in the opposite direction to the Glasshouse district. The proposed Electorate of Glasshouse, in which it is intended to include the western part of Eudlo, will extend southwards to Caboolture, an area which has its centre of focus at Caboolture, rather than the Sunshine Coast hinterland

07548203Z0

3 By its very nature the topography of the Eudlo area is more similar to the area to the north than it is to the Glasshouse region. Eudlo is situated on the southern end of the Blackall Ranges, which lie in a north south direction in the Sunshine Coast hinterland, starting in the Eudlo area and travelling in a northerly direction towards Palmwoods, Woombye, Nambour and Yandina.

The general pattern of the streams and catchments the Eudlo area is also towards the north east. Eudlo Creek, for instance, drains into the Maroochy River at Maroochydore.

4 At the present time Eudlo is included in a Sunshine Coast electorate and is represented as part of that community, where state government matters are concerned. If, as is proposed, the eastern and western parts of Eudlo are to be in different electoral districts, the representations to the state government will loose impact and co-ordination.

In conclusion, it is suggested that a more practical location for the northern boundary of the proposed Electorate of Glasshouse would be the at the Maroochy Shire/Caloundra City boundary to the south of Eudlo, and that whole of Eudlo be included in the proposed Electorate of Nicklin.

QRC/ORJ 292

Yours faithfully

W D Hodge & W E Hodge 7/5/99

FILE No.	Ex/796
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/ORJ 292
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	7/5

ELECTORAL COMMISSION QUEENSLAND
07 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

07548203?0

E.C.Q.
009408

To The Electoral Commission for Qld.,
P.O. Box 1393,
Brisbane, Qld 4001.

QRC/OBJ293

Dear Sir,

RE: THE MULGRAVE REDISTRIBUTION

I object to the proposed redistribution of part of Mulgrave into the electorate of the Tablelands. This is an extremely wet area with special needs and we feel the electorate of Mulgrave can serve us much better than that of the Tablelands.
Conditions here have nothing in common with the Tablelands, and there is no local access from this part of Mulgrave to the Tablelands.
We would lose our major tourist attraction, and we feel that the extra funding necessary for the Josephine Falls projects would be in jeopardy should the it be lumped in with Tablelands projects.
Also, with the new boundary many people who live in Mulgrave will have their farms in the Tablelands electorate.
This does not make sense nor does it appear to benefit the people of the electorate in any way.

Yours Faithfully,

D Carrigan

ELECTORAL COMMISSION
QUEENSLAND
07 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

QRC/OBJ 294

THE QUEENSLAND REDISTRIBUTION COMMISSIONER



Dear Commissioner,


This is to object to the proposed redistribution of Bounderies where-as it refers to the part of Mulgrave now being considered for Annexation to the Table Land Electorate.

The Mulgrave electorate has served us well and we have been happy with the Bounderies as they stand.To split the township of Miriwinni thereby having Residents in two different electorates will certainly not be an ideal situation. The area will dispossess people living at Waugh'Pocket,Woopen Creek, Bartle –Frere and Stagers Road.
The Bellend-Ker range is a natural barrier between the two electorates and Should remain there.The preference of the people should be of the uttmost Importance,No benefit would apply to these constituents should the Annexation go ahead.

Your's Faithfully

name IAN M-CONNELL

address. STAGER RD
BABINDA 4861.



QRC/OBJ295

THE QUEENSLAND REDISTRIBUTION COMMISSIONER

Dear Commissioner,

This is to object to the proposed redistribution of Bounderies where-as it refers to the part of Mulgrave now being considered for Annexation to the Table Land Electorate.

E.C.Q.
009410

The Mulgrave electorate has served us well and we have been happy with the Bounderies as they stand.To split the township of Miriwinni thereby having Residents in two different electorates will certainly not be an ideal situation. The area will dispossess people living at Waugh'Pocket,Woopen Creek, Bartle –Frere and Stagers Road.
The Bellend-Ker range is a natural barrier between the two electorates and Should remain there.The preference of the people should be of the uttmost Importance,No benefit would apply to these constituents should the Annexation go ahead.

Your's Faithfully

name James M. Connell
address. Stagers Rd
Babinda

ELECTORAL COMMISSION QUEENSLAND
07 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

E.C.Q.
009411

To The Electoral Commission for Qld.,
P.O. Box 1393,
Brisbane, Qld 4001.

QRC/OBJ 296

Dear Sir,

RE: THE MULGRAVE REDISTRIBUTION

I object to the proposed redistribution of part of Mulgrave into the electorate of the Tablelands. This is an extremely wet area with special needs and we feel the electorate of Mulgrave can serve us much better than that of the Tablelands.
Conditions here have nothing in common with the Tablelands, and there is no local access from this part of Mulgrave to the Tablelands.
We would lose our major tourist attraction, and we feel that the extra funding necessary for the Josephine Falls projects would be in jeopardy should the it be lumped in with Tablelands projects.
Also, with the new boundary many people who live in Mulgrave will have their farms in the Tablelands electorate.
This does not make sense nor does it appear to benefit the people of the electorate in any way.

Yours Faithfully,

Brian Rees V. J. Rees

ELECTORAL COMMISSION QUEENSLAND
07 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

THE QUEENSLAND REDISTRIBUTION COMMISSIONER QRC/OBJ 297

Dear Commissioner,

This is to object to the proposed redistribution of Bounderies where-as it refers to the part of Mulgrave now being considered for Annexation to the Table Land Electorate.




The Mulgrave electorate has served us well and we have been happy with the Bounderies as they stand.To split the township of Miriwinni thereby having Residents in two different electorates will certainly not be an ideal situation. The area will dispossess people living at Waugh'Pocket,Woopen Creek, Bartle –Frere and Stagers Road.
The Bellend-Ker range is a natural barrier between the two electorates and Should remain there.The preference of the people should be of the uttmost Importance,No benefit would apply to these constituents should the Annexation go ahead.

Your's Faithfully

name KEVIN HIND

address. STAGER RD.
BABINDA.



THE QUEENSLAND REDISTRIBUTION COMMISSIONER QRC/OBJ 298

Dear Commissioner,

This is to object to the proposed redistribution of Bounderies where-as it refers to the part of Mulgrave now being considered for Annexation to the Table Land Electorate.

E.C.Q.
009413

The Mulgrave electorate has served us well and we have been happy with the Bounderies as they stand.To split the township of Miriwinni thereby having Residents in two different electorates will certainly not be an ideal situation. The area will dispossess people living at Waugh'Pocket,Woopen Creek, Bartle –Frere and Stagers Road.
The Bellend-Ker range is a natural barrier between the two electorates and Should remain there.The preference of the people should be of the uttmost Importance,No benefit would apply to these constituents should the Annexation go ahead.

Your's Faithfully

name IDA. HIND.
address. STAGER Rd
BABINDA. 4861

ELECTORAL COMMISSION QUEENSLAND
07 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

THE QUEENSLAND REDISTRIBUTION COMMISSIONER QRC/OBJ299


Dear Commissioner,

This is to object to the proposed redistribution of Bounderies where-as it refers to the part of Mulgrave now being considered for Annexation to the Table Land Electorate.



The Mulgrave electorate has served us well and we have been happy with the Bounderies as they stand.To split the township of Miriwinni thereby having Residents in two different electorates will certainly not be an ideal situation. The area will dispossess people living at Waugh'Pocket,Woopen Creek, Bartle –Frere and Stagers Road.
The Bellend-Ker range is a natural barrier between the two electorates and Should remain there.The preference of the people should be of the uttmost Importance,No benefit would apply to these constituents should the Annexation go ahead.

Your's Faithfully Don Alley



name
address.

DON ALLEY
STAGER ROAD
BABINDA 4861
QLD

QRC/OBJ 300

THE QUEENSLAND REDISTRIBUTION COMMISSIONER

Dear Commissioner,

This is to object to the proposed redistribution of Bounderies where-as it refers to the part of Mulgrave now being considered for Annexation to the Table Land Electorate.

The Mulgrave electorate has served us well and we have been happy with the Bounderies as they stand.To split the township of Miriwinni thereby having Residents in two different electorates will certainly not be an ideal situation. The area will dispossess people living at Waugh'Pocket,Woopen Creek, Bartle –Frere and Stagers Road.
The Bellend-Ker range is a natural barrier between the two electorates and Should remain there.The preference of the people should be of the uttmost Importance,No benefit would apply to these constituents should the Annexation go ahead.

Your's Faithfully

name
address.

FRANCES ALLEY
STAGER ROAD
BABINDA
4861
NTH QLD

E.C.Q.
009415

FILE No.	84/96
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No. QRC.	OBJ 300
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	7/5

QUEENSLAND
17 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

P.S PLEASE LISTEN TO US AND LEAVE US WHERE WE BELONG, CHANGES AREN'T ALWAYS THE BEST SOLUTION — WE'RE HAPPY TO CONTINUE BEING IN THE "MULGRAVE ELECTORATE"

E.C.Q.
009422

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Level 6 Forestry House
160 Mary Street
BRISBANE Q 4000

QRC/OBJ 301

We have noted that the town of Kenilworth is proposed to be included in the new electorate of Nanango along with other small towns situated in the Mary Valley. Brooloo and Conondale.

We wish to strenuously object to this arrangement for the following reasons:

1. Kenilworth, Brooloo and Conondale are farming and timber areas situated in the Mary Valley. They are aligned in a business and tourist sense with the Sunshine Coast Hinterland area.
2. These three towns rely for their major commerce on the coastal towns of Gympie, Nambour and Maleny. They have no road connection with the Nanango area and no business or social connection with that area.
3. Our roads lead to the hinterland towns, the Sunshine Coast towns and the Bruce Highway. We have no ties with the Nanango area as that area is serviced by a major highway inland from us and over the mountain range from us.
4. Newspapers covering this area are located on the coast. We get no news of the area covered in the Nanango Electorate and therefore have no business, social or emotional connection with that area.
5. In terms of climate, we enjoy a semi tropical environment and the Nanango Electorate is essentially the drier inland Queensland area. These factors alone suggest that we should be grouped with areas of similar needs and similar demands.
6. Placing us in the Nanango Electorate would tend to isolate us electorally from the Maroochy Shire Council and other towns in that Shire. Electoral boundaries should be aligned to the Local Government boundaries.

We are aware that the Commission is concerned with 'making the numbers right' and as this is a low population area, we believe that with some massaging of other boundaries the difference in numbers of population can be made up.
To include our three towns in an electoral area which isolates us on business, transport, social and economic bases is particularly bad and we request that this area of distribution be redrawn.

Sincerely

J. McDonald

ELECTORAL COMMISSION
QUEENSLAND
07 MAY 1999

LOT 500 MOY POCKET RD
C/- P.O. - KENILWORTH
4574

April 1999

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 4001

E.C.Q.
009416

Facsimile: 3229 7391

QRC/OBJ 302

Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and **wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes** which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.

Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely

M. [illegible] ..... (signature)

51 Colvin St .....(address)

Oxley 4075 .....

ELECTORAL COMMISSION QUEENSLAND
07 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

FILE No.	EXT 1/6
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ 302
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	7/5

Electoral Commission of Qld.
P.O. Box 1393.
Brisbane 4001

Wed. 5th. May '99

E.C.Q.
009417

R+D Carrigan
P.O. Box 152
Miriwinni 4871

QRC/OBJ 303

Dear Sir,

I am writing to object to the proposed electoral boundary changes. As a resident, land owner and primary producer I can see no benefit to these changes.

All your plans are going to achieve is the causing of a lot of stress and trauma for the electorate. Our conditions and needs differ greatly from those of the Tablelands. We deserve our own representatic someone who is accessable to us.

I hope that a re think is done on this issue.

Yours disheartenly

Deborah Carrigan

ELECTORAL COMMISSION QUEENSLAND
07 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

FILE No.	EX/79/6
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ 303
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	7/5

E.C.Q.
009418

The Secretary
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE 9001
Fax. 3225 2601
Ph. 1800 801665

QRC/OBJ 304

RE: PROPOSED QUEENSLAND ELECTORAL BOUNDARIES REDISTRIBUTION
PROPOSED SEAT OF NANANGO

I would like to strongly voice my discontent at the proposed Queensland Electoral Boundaries Redistribution of the Seat of Nanango and the effect this will have on my community of Conondale.

The hinterland communities including my community of Conondale have no ties to the community of Kingaroy or the district of Nanango and feel it would be detrimental for the areas of Conondale, Crystal Waters, Witta, Belthorpe, Booroobin, Reeseville and Kenilworth to be included within these electoral boundaries.

I believe it would be beneficial for the proposed boundary between Glasshouse and Nanango to be redrawn to include the above communities in Glasshouse.

There is a strong community of interest between these communities and Maleny, the major town in the area. They are joined by geographic and topographic features and share communality and homogeneity through agricultural, pastoral and tourism industries. Maleny is the shopping, business, cultural and social centre of our area.

All these communities have little or no communality with Nanango. The mountains of the Great Dividing Range form a complete barrier to communication and communality with Nanango.

I hope you look favourably upon my objection and the suggested alternative.

Yours sincerely,

Frank Beattie OAM

Address details:

FILE No.	Extra
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ 304
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECO RECORDS	

ELECTORAL COMMISSION QUEENSLAND
07 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

CONONDALE COMMUNITY FORUM
4 MAY 1999

RESPONSE TO AMENDMENTS TO PROPOSED QUEENSLAND ELECTORAL BOUNDARIES APRIL 1999

SEAT OF NANANGO

Attendees of the Conondale Community Forum held on 4 May raised the following fears and concerns regarding the proposed amendments to the Queensland Electoral Boundaries and the proposed Seat of Nanango and would like their strong opposition, highlighted in these concerns, to be brought to the attention of the Queensland Redistribution Commission and the State Electoral Commission.

QRC/OBJ 304

Communication:

* Distance to communicate with Member of Parliament based in Kingaroy
* No direct transport route between Conondale and Kingaroy.
* Cost of STD telephone calls between Conondale and Kingaroy.
* Media representation of the area all based on the coast not in Kingaroy.
* If, as is likely, the local Member is not keen to come to us, we will have to go to him. Where is the quick, safe road to Kingaroy?
* If present proposal becomes fact, two electoral offices should be maintained by Member.
* Interest of a representative in Kingaroy in the issues of our local area would be limited.

Education:

* Local Member in Kingaroy has no knowledge of individual school communities.
* Schools can't easily get together.
* Children may be in different electorates i.e. high school and primary children.
* The costs associated with children being involved in small school activities such as sports, Tournament of Minds, etc., and travelling to participate in such activities within their district would be exorbitant.

Water:

* Rivers run different directions both sides of the hills ... totally different catchment areas i.e. Burnett/Mary.
* Residents in Nanango pay for all dam water .. particularly farmers.
* Our catchment areas affect the Coast not the other side of the range. How will our rivers in the dam issues be affected if our power base is burned?

CONONDALE COMMUNITY FORUM 4 MAY 1999
RESPONSE TO AMENDMENTS TO
PROPOSED QUEENSLAND ELECTORAL BOUNDARIES APRIL 1999
SEAT OF NANANGO

Road cont.:

see your M.P.

* A State Rep. living on the western side of Kenilworth Forestry would have to appreciation of problems on the eastern side.

QRC/OBJ 304

Other comments:

* Relations with local coastal councils.
* Access to State Parliamentary Representative ... there would be little.
* Overt influence by Kingaroy.
* Proposed area out of balance both geographically and logically.
* There appears to be no logic other than the numbers ... that Kingaroy area has no relevance for us.

CONONDALE COMMUNITY FORUM 4 MAY 1999
RESPONSE TO AMENDMENTS TO
PROPOSED QUEENSLAND ELECTORAL BOUNDARIES APRIL 1999
SEAT OF NANANGO

Primary Production:

* Agricultural focus is different ... nothing in common ... different type of country.
* Different river catchment.

QRC/ OBJ 304

Health:

* There is no Health Service from Kingaroy ... patients already travel from Kingaroy to the Sunshine Coast for treatment on the Sunshine Coast.
* All services for Conondale area are totally alienated from western sector of the electorate.

Local Government:

* State Member in Kingaroy would have no idea of situations governed by Coastal local authorities.

Law:

* Crime management of inland areas are totally different to that of Coastal communities. This electorate covers two geographically separated areas, making difficult management problems.
* Will our police numbers decrease in size?
* Respond to the proposed changes by not voting or voting in an electorate of your choice.

Transport:

* I cannot see a State Representative, based in another geographical area, to be in a position to know or care about our roads and transport needs on this side of the range.
* Long way to visit local Member ... quicker to drive to Brisbane to Parliament House.

Employment:

* The employment issues of the Nanango electorate will not be reflected upon on the Conondale side

CONONDALE COMMUNITY FORUM 4 MAY 1999
RESPONSE TO AMENDMENTS TO
PROPOSED QUEENSLAND ELECTORAL BOUNDARIES APRIL 1999
SEAT OF NANANGO

Employment cont.:

* What Kingaroy needs regarding employment will not be identical to what Conondale needs.

Political Power:

QRC/OBJ 304

* Priorities of Kingaroy area will be preferred to Conondale area ... much smaller number of voters.
* Will the elected representative spend time in our area?
* Conondale residents will have very little influence in the State Government sphere of operations

Electrical Power:

* Conondale is already on low priority during power problems - How will our needs be affected during periods of blackout, load sharing ,etc, in relation to the needs of a much greater population base.

Emergency Services:

* Where are headquarters to be? How will both sides of the range bear up in emergency ... access?
* Will need to split organisation to cope with different situations.

Industrial Relations:

* Workers find work in high density populations on the Coast ... never further west.
* All Government offices and institutions relevant to us are situated on the Coast.

Roads:

* The impracticality of having isolated representation
* How will our priorities for roads fare against those determined on the bulk of the electorate which has a different geographical orientation?
* What about our current roads, bridges, culverts, etc. These need attention now! Kingaroy representative may not be able to visit to recognise problem in the event of flood etc.

CONONDALE COMMUNITY FORUM 4 MAY 1999
RESPONSE TO AMENDMENTS TO
PROPOSED QUEENSLAND ELECTORAL BOUNDARIES APRIL 1999

Suggested Amendments to boundaries of the proposed new electorate of GLASSHOUSE

QRC/OBJ 304

An outline of Conondale

The small settlements of Conondale and Crystal Waters are enclosed within the ridges and valleys of the west side of the Maleny-Mapleton plateau.

Conondale has a long-time connection with Maleny. Both were developed simultaneously in the late 1800s-early 1900s for forestry and agricultural purposes. Maleny is the business, shopping, social, sporting and cultural centre of the area, with mail service to Conondale originating in Maleny and both sharing the same postcode.

There is only one shop, a convenience store/petrol pump in Conondale and one State Primary school. Most Conondale children attend Conondale State School and then carry on to either Maleny State High School or Kenilworth P-10 State School.

Conondale has little, if any, communality with Nanango. The mountains of the Great Dividing Range form a complete barrier to communication and communality. The road from Conondale passes through Maleny in one direction, then a long, circuitous route before assessing the towns and villages of Nanango electorate. In the other direction, the road from Conondale passes through Kenilworth and continues eastwards away from the Nanango electorate.

The proposal involves adding the communities of Witta, Conondale, Crystal Waters, Belthorpe and Booroobin into the electorate of GLASSHOUSE. The electorate now has 24,540 electors. the change effects approximately 2,000 electors. The lower end of the GLASSHOUSE electorate could be incorporated into the Caboolture end of Pumicestone.

Proposed Electorate	98 enrol. (deviation)	2005 enrol. (deviation)
Glasshouse	24,640 (0.5%)	32,166 (+12%)
Nanango	26,917 (+8%)	30,183 (+5.2%)
Nicklin	25,540 (+3%)	35,837 (+24/9%)
Pumicestone	22,979 (-7%)	32,624 (+13%)

Glasshouse will be average. It is expected to grow above the preferred 10%. Nanango now has more electors than Glasshouse, but is not expected to grow as much. Pumicestone is now well below Glasshouse, but is expected to grow to exceed the 10% limit.

Information supplied by the Sunshine Coast Rural Landholders Association.

Frank Beattie OAM

BEAURICK
5 Connemara Court
CONONDALE QLD 4552
PH 54 350003

5 May, 1999

QRC/OBJ305

The Secretary
Electoral Commission of Queensland
Level 6 Mary Street
BRISBANE QLD. 4000

E.C.Q.
009419

Dear Sir or Madam

Re Electoral Boundary change

As a resident and a voter of the area you propose to move from the current electorate of Nicklin to the new electorate of Nanango, I wish to lodge the following reasons for this decision to be reversed.

The proposed changes would impact on the 2,000 plus electors in the upper Mary Valley by placing them in an area that is geographically inept and would deprive them of easy access to a representative in their elected Parliament.

The new proposed electorate is divided by a mountain range. There are no roads that lead from the Upper Mary Valley except via Woodford and/or Gympie, which is a three-hour drive to Kingaroy, the seat of the elected representative. This means a six-hour round trip to get to speak personally to the elected representative, which is totally unacceptable in this day and age.

1. The commerce in the Upper Mary Valley is mainly Dairy, whilst in the Nanango Area the main commerce is Peanuts, Beef cattle and Sheep; the requirements of each area are totally different.

2. Communication is an area that needs to be considered also, for example, currently it is a local call to our elected member. The proposed changes would make this a trunk call thus placing the 2,000 plus voters at a distinct disadvantage to other electors.

3. The current local government area is Caloundra Council; the proposed changes would make the work between the elected member and the local government unworkable, due to the vast differences and distances involved.

ELECTORAL
QUE
07 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

May 5, 1999

4. The Mountain Range, which divides the electorate proposed would mean one area would suffer at the expense of the other area. The various government areas and infrastructure would have to be split thus costing the electors more money to provide the same services as other electorates.

The solution to this dilemma could be as follows:

Firstly, the northern boundary of the current Nanango electorate could be moved north by approximately 50 kilometres, leaving the eastern boundary at the edge of the dividing range.

Secondly, the 2,000 plus electors east of the mountain range could then be placed into the new electorate of Glasshouse.

Thirdly, perhaps a new electorate could be raised now, as opposed to six years time, when statistics show that all the electorates in the South East will have more than the guide line quota of 27,000 voters anyway.

QRC/OBJ 305

Thank you for your time

Yours Sincerely,

Frank Beattie OAM

FILE No.	EX/7/6
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ 305
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	7/5

0754631595

3 Highgrove Cres
Boonah 4310
28 April 99.

E.C.Q.
009420

The Commissioner
Australian Electoral Commission
Brisbane.

QRC/OBJ 306

Dear Sir,

I am objecting to the proposal that the name of the proposed state electorate in which Boonah will be located be named Beaudesert. This name is particular to one town. A more inclusive name such as Scenic Rim would be more suitable.

Yours faithfully

R.G. HAIDEN

R.G. HAIDEN
N.L. HAIDEN

FILE No.	EX/74/6
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No. QRC/OBJ 306	
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	7/5

ELECTORAL COMMISSION QUEENSLAND
07 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

0754631595

C/- Box 155
Boonah 4310
29/4/1999

The Secretary,
Queensland Redistribution Commission,
Locked Mailbag 3300,
Brisbane

Dear Sir/Madam, QRC/OBJ 306

We, the undersigned object to the proposed name of the state electorate in which we live.

The electorate name of Beaudesert is quite unsuitable as there are two major townships and two shires in the electorate - Beaudesert and Boonah. The name Beaudesert applies to one town and we feel a geographic name such as Teviot would be much more suitable.

Your consideration of our objection would be greatly appreciated.

Yours faithfully,

A Pfeffer 3 Stacey Drive Boonah 4310
L Pfeffer 3 Stacey Drive Boonah 4310
[illegible] 26 Druid St Moogerah 4309
[illegible] Railway St Boonah 4310
[illegible] 932 Beaudesert St Mt Alford 4310
C Seggane 185 Tunstall Rd. Mt Alford 4310
[illegible] 40 Robinson Ct Boonah 4310
[illegible] M/S 483 Boonah 4310
[illegible] 813 Sandstrand Boonah 4310
[illegible] PO Box 49 Boonah 4310
[illegible] 49 Church St Boonah 4310
[illegible] 54634363 Boonah Q 4310
[illegible] 534 Mt French Rd Boonah 4310
[illegible] 30 Coronation Drive Boonah 4310
[illegible] 4 Mayhewson Cl Boonah
[illegible] Wells Rd Mt Alford
R Freeman 2 High St Boonah 4310
[illegible] 6 Highbury St Boonah
2 Bambara Milbong 4310
[illegible] 163 M'Connel Rd. Boonah 4310.

0754631595

C/- Box 155
Boonah 4310
29/4/1999

The Secretary,
Queensland Redistribution Commission,
Locked Mailbag 3300,
Brisbane

QRC/OBJ 306

Dear Sir/Madam,

We, the undersigned object to the proposed name of the state electorate in which we live.

The electorate name of Beaudesert is quite unsuitable as there are two major townships and two shires in the electorate - Beaudesert and Boonah. The name Beaudesert applies to one town and we feel a geographic name such as Teviot would be much more suitable.

Your consideration of our objection would be greatly appreciated.

Yours faithfully,

T S Cahill 137 Kinbyan Rd Kalbar.
[illegible] 21 Hume St Boonah 4310
[illegible] 5 McLAUCHLAN ST BOONAH 4310
N. Lawless 2 Betts St Boonah 4310
Rose Evans 686 Rathdowney Rathdowney L4310
GQ Mellor 16 BLOCK ST. BOONAH 4310

E.C.Q.
009423

QRC/OBJ 307

TO **QUEENSLAND REDISTRIBUTION COMMISSION**

FAX No **07 3229 7391**

PROPOSED ELECTORAL DISTRICTS OF NICKLIN, NANANGO AND GLASSHOUSE

FOLLOWING ARE MY OBJECTIONS TO THE INCORPORATION OF PARTS OF THE COMMUNITY OF MAPLETON IN THE PROPOSED ELECTORATE OF NANANGO.

FILE No.	ex/79/6
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ 307
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	

7/5

ELECTORAL COMMISSION QUEENSLAND
07 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

H McAlister
Emu Walk
MAPLETON 4560

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Level 6, Forestry House
160 Mary St
BRISBANE QLD 4000

QRC/OBJ 307

PROPOSED QUEENSLAND ELECTORAL DISTRICTS - NICKLIN-NANANGO-GLASSHOUSE

ref: Pages 17-18 and Maps 45, 75 and 77 of your April 99 'Reasons Descriptions and Maps'

I am writing concerning the proposed arrangement as it affects the community of Mapleton. While I don't presume to speak for the residents of Kenilworth and Conondale, my concerns should also be applied to your consideration of those communities.

The proposed boundaries between Nicklin and Nanango would leave the electors living in the communities of Mapleton, Kenilworth and Conondale in the seat of Nanango isolated and effectively unrepresented.

The current proposal would act as a disincentive for those residents to be involved in community matters. This is undesirable and gives the impression that the governments objective is to dis-empower electors through the deliberate creation of minorities, though I'm sure this is not your intention.

Furthermore these residents are subject to the same Local Government matters as the greater Mapleton community and require access to the same State representation to avoid being treated as an insignificant minority in matters where injustices arise.

For many other reasons, (all those encompassed by the term 'inclusive government',) it is imperative that the present and future members of the community have good access to their State Government representative and that they be contained within the community of interest which you have acknowledged exists.

It is important to note that there is no direct or easy access from Mapleton, Kenilworth and Conondale to Kingaroy, the main centre in Nanango.

The Conondale Range and the northern end of the Blackall Range are natural boundaries in terms of populations, communities of interest, geography, environment and infrastructure and should be used to define the electoral boundaries in those regions.

Options acceptable to the electors of this area are to include our whole community in either Glasshouse or Nicklin.
This could be achieved:

QRC/OBJ 307

i) by moving the southern boundary of Glasshouse north to just south of Beerburrum. The population of north Caboolture could go to Nanango or Pumicstone. The loss of that population would be compensated for by adding the communities in question.

ii) with some adjustment in the east (the urban coastal area), Nicklin could be extended west and satisfy both, your parameters for projected growth and the communities which are divided in the current proposal.

The whole communities of Conondale and Kenilworth should be included in Glasshouse or Nicklin.

The whole community of Mapleton, including Kureelpa and Dulong should remain together and be included in Glasshouse or Nicklin.

Division of communities effectively disenfranchises minorities and is blatently and deplorably undemocratic and unacceptable to the electors. It leads to immense frustration and creates irreparable damage to the social fabric within the community.

Redrawing the boundaries **around** communities, particularly small communities would go some way towards restoring rural communities' faith in Government.

For further detail on this region and community of interest I refer you to the Sunshine Coast Hinterland Shire Steering Committees submission ' The Formation of a New Shire in the Sunshine Coast Hinterland, December 9, 1997'. A copy is held by the Electoral Commission.


Thank you for your consideration of the points raised. I look forward with interest to your new proposals.

(H McAlister)
6 May 1999

ph:07 5445 7987

QRC/OBJ 307

PROPOSED QUEENSLAND ELECTORAL BOUNDARIES APRIL 1999



QUEENSLAND REDISTRIBUTION COMMISSION

©The State of Queensland, Department of Natural Resources, 1999

E.C.Q.
009424

117 Ramberts Rd
Eudlo Qld 4550
6 May1999

The Commissioners
The Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
Brisbane Qld 9001

QRC/OBJ308

Dear Commissioners

Re the Proposed New Electorate of Glasshouse

As a resident of Eudlo residing to the west of the North Coast Railway Line, I am writing to express my grave concerns about the boundaries of the proposed new electorate of Glasshouse which seem to contravene the guidelines set out in the Electoral Act.

The boundaries proposed divide the Eudlo community in half and ignore social , physical and economic realities of our community. Residents of Eudlo have a strong community affinity and in addition relate closely to coastal towns to our east. Your proposed boundaries violate our rights under the Act, specifically:

Section 46(1) that instructs you to give consideration to issues such as :

- The extent to which there is a community of economic, social, regional or other interest within each proposed electoral district.
- The ways of communication and travel within each proposed electoral district.
- The physical features of each proposed electoral district.

In addition, Section 46(2) states that boundaries of local government areas should be considered.

The proposal to divide Eudlo in two contravenes these guidelines. It is unacceptable to local residents who at a recent meeting concluded that the boundary should follow the border between the Caloundra City and Maroochy Shires. This proposal would have only minimal impact on the population in each electoral district whilst being more in keeping with the spirit of the Act and local wishes and allegiances.

ELECT
QUE
07 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

Yours sincerely,

John Stuart Moore

E.C.Q.
009425

117 Ramberts Rd
Eudlo Qld 4550
6 May1999

The Commissioners
The Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
Brisbane Qld 9001

QRC/OBJ 309

Dear Commissioners

Re the Proposed New Electorate of Glasshouse

As a resident of Eudlo residing to the west of the North Coast Railway Line, I am writing to express my grave concerns about the boundaries of the proposed new electorate of Glasshouse which seem to contravene the guidelines set out in the Electoral Act.

The boundaries proposed divide the Eudlo community in half and ignore social , physical and economic realities of our community. Residents of Eudlo have a strong community affinity and in addition relate closely to coastal towns to our east. Your proposed boundaries violate our rights under the Act, specifically:

Section 46(1) that instructs you to give consideration to issues such as :

- The extent to which there is a community of economic, social, regional or other interest within each proposed electoral district.
- The ways of communication and travel within each proposed electoral district.
- The physical features of each proposed electoral district.

In addition, Section 46(2) states that boundaries of local government areas should be considered.

The proposal to divide Eudlo in two contravenes these guidelines. It is unacceptable to local residents who at a recent meeting concluded that the boundary should follow the border between the Caloundra City and Maroochy Shires. This proposal would have only minimal impact on the population in each electoral district whilst being more in keeping with the spirit of the Act and local wishes and allegiances.

Yours sincerely,

S Moore

Sandra Moore

07 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

GEORGE SIVIJS
8 CORAL REEF CL
CLIFTON BEACH
QLD 4879

6TH May 1999

E.C.Q.
009426

The Commissioner
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

QRC/OBJ310

Dear Sir,

I wish to lodge an objection to the proposal to include the beachside suburbs of Palm Cove and Clifton Beach in the State Electorate of Cook.

As a resident of Palm Cove / Clifton Beach, I fail to see what possible community of interest I would have with the electorate of Cook which is based on Cape York Peninsula and Torres Strait.

Currently Palm Cove and Clifton Beach are in the State Electorate of Barron River, and that is where I would prefer them to stay.

This electorate includes the rest of the beachside suburbs which make up the Northern Beaches area of Cairns and I can see no sense in splitting this geographical area.

I urge you to please reconsider your propsal and return both Palm Cove and Clifton Beach into the electorate of Barron River.

Yours sincerely

ELECTORAL COMMISSION QUEENSLAND
07 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

FILE No.	EXT/3
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No	QRC/OBJ310
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	

GEORGE SIVIJS HELEN SIVIJS

Babinda Chamber of Commerce

President: *Mr A Kingston - Ph. 070 67141?*
Secretary: *Mrs C Ghidella - Ph. 070 671498 A/h*

POP 166
BABINDA 4861

THE QUEENSLAND REDISTRIBUTION COMMISSION
LOCKED BAG 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

E.C.Q.
009427

FAX 07 32297391

1999-05-07

QRC/OBJ 311

Dear Sir,

The Babinda Chamber of Commerce has conducted a random survey of residents in the Stagers Road, Mirriwinni, Bartle Frere and Waughs Pocket areas and they unanimously want to have the State Electoral boundary retained for the Mulgrave Electorate as the great dividing range.

The main reason being that these people are more aligned with the coastal region in State Government matters than they are with the Tablelands.

Yours faithfully,

Allan Kingston
P O BOX 166
BABINDA QLD 4861

FILE No.	EX99/6
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ 311
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	

ELECTORAL COMMISSION QUEENSLAND
07 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

FROM:

Country Crafts & Fabrics
11 WILLS STREET
CHARLEVILLE, Q. 4470
PHONE: (07) 4654 3499
FAX: (07) 4654 2787

TO: Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001
Ph. 1800 801 665
Fax. 07 3229 7391

QRC/OBJ 312

E.C.Q.
009428

QLD REDISTRIBUTION COMMISSION

Please accept this document from me and treat it as my official objection to the Electorate Redistribution of the Warrego District.
I object most strongly to the proposed redistribution for the following reasons:

1. I believe that the Commission has not seriously considered Charleville's connection to the East Coast. Presently nearly all Government direction, information and departmental contacts come from Roma or Brisbane. The current boundaries allow us to have a say through these easily accessible avenues in regard to our future. I feel the new proposed boundary will hinder our voice in this regard. I also feel that this applies to both transport and freight facilities which run the same eastern route from Brisbane e.g. If Charleville needs Government Representation regarding rail facilities I believe we would be disadvantaged because of the proposed future Parliament Members offices lie on the Longreach to Rockhampton line causing a conflict of interest.


2. It is my belief that the commissions' computer has worked with only population figures with no regard to geographical distances and productivity of the areas involved. I feel that Charleville will be chopped out of the Warrego Electorate and stuck with Gregory to make up numbers at the expense of our needs and access to facilities.


Please consider:
1. Adding 34,000 km² to Gregory Electorate is a large piece of Queensland for the minister to cover (it is not a drive from the Sunshine Coast to Brisbane). I feel Charleville will be disadvantaged due to the geographical remoteness of our town. In fact we will not be close to or within the heavier populated areas of the Gregory Electorate where at present I am situated in an area where towns are of approximately the same population and share the same geographical needs. We presently share and compete on a common basis for access to existing facilities - which is definitely more preferable.

2. I would like the Commission to consider moving the boundaries north not south to keep the electorate of Warrego uniform with its town and Councils having similar population and needs. Tacking a large, sparsely populated area on to the extreme boundary edge of an electorate that has its most heavily populated areas over 600km away would critically hinder the smaller populated areas in all Government matters. (No equality due to different needs of the areas within the proposed Gregory Boundary changes.)

3. As Warrego electors' needs will remain, their demand for direct access to their member will not diminish. Therefore, it is going to cost the Member (taxpayer) considerable extra cost in travel and accommodation. Therefore, again, it would make economic sense to allow a third electoral office in Charleville. In the event of boundary changes going ahead this would be imperative.

Thanking you for the opportunity to appeal against these changes.





FROM:
TOWN AND COUNTRY ELECTRICAL PTY. LTD.
ACN 078 932 466
P.O. BOX 625, 100 ALFRED ST.,
CHARLEVILLE QLD. 4470
PH: (07) 4654 2311, FAX: (07) 4654 3152

TO: Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001
Ph. 1800 801 665
Fax. 07 3229 7391

QRC/OBJ 313

E.C.Q. 009429

QLD REDISTRIBUTION COMMISSION

Please accept this document from me and treat it as my official objection to the Electorate Redistribution of the Warrego District.
I object most strongly to the proposed redistribution for the following reasons:

1. I believe that the Commission has not seriously considered Charleville's connection to the East Coast. Presently nearly all Government direction, information and departmental contacts come from Roma or Brisbane. The current boundaries allow us to have a say through these easily accessible avenues in regard to our future. I feel the new proposed boundary will hinder our voice in this regard. I also feel that this applies to both transport and freight facilities which run the same eastern route from Brisbane e.g. If Charleville needs Government Representation regarding rail facilities I believe we would be disadvantaged because of the proposed future Parliament Members offices lie on the Longreach to Rockhampton line causing a conflict of interest.

2. It is my belief that the commissions' computer has worked with only population figures with no regard to geographical distances and productivity of the areas involved. I feel that Charleville will be chopped out of the Warrego Electorate and stuck with Gregory to make up numbers at the expense of our needs and access to facilities.

Please consider:
1. Adding 34,000 km² to Gregory Electorate is a large piece of Queensland for the minister to cover (it is not a drive from the Sunshine Coast to Brisbane). I feel Charleville will be disadvantaged due to the geographical remoteness of our town. In fact we will not be close to or within the heavier populated areas of the Gregory Electorate where at present I am situated in an area where towns are of approximately the same population and share the same geographical needs. We presently share and compete on a common basis for access to existing facilities - which is definitely more preferable.

2. I would like the Commission to consider moving the boundaries north not south to keep the electorate of Warrego uniform with its town and Councils having similar population and needs. Tacking a large, sparsely populated area on to the extreme boundary edge of an electorate that has its most heavily populated areas over 600km away would critically hinder the smaller populated areas in all Government matters. (No equality due to different needs of the areas within the proposed Gregory Boundary changes.)

3. As Warrego electors' needs will remain, their demand for direct access to their member will not diminish. Therefore, it is going to cost the Member (taxpayer) considerable extra cost in travel and accommodation. Therefore, again, it would make economic sense to allow a third electoral office in Charleville. In the event of boundary changes going ahead this would be imperative.

Thanking you for the opportunity to appeal against these changes.

J M Bray

ELECTORAL COMMISSION QUEENSLAND
07 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

07 46543152

Trebra St.
Palm Cove Qld
4879.

6 May 1999

E.C.Q.
009430

The Commissioner
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

QRC/OBJ 314

Dear Sir,

I wish to lodge an objection to the proposal to include the beachside suburbs of Palm Cove and Clifton Beach in the State Electorate of Cook.

As a resident of Palm Cove / ~~Clifton Beach~~, I fail to see what possible community of interest I would have with the electorate of Cook which is based on Cape York Peninsula and Torres Strait.

Currently Palm Cove and Clifton Beach are in the State Electorate of Barron River, and that is where I would prefer them to stay.

This electorate includes the rest of the beachside suburbs which make up the Northern Beaches area of Cairns and I can see no sense in splitting this geographical area.

I urge you to please reconsider your propsal and return both Palm Cove and Clifton Beach into the electorate of Barron River.

Yours sincerely

RECEIVED

R. West

F MacLeod.

NB: We both work in Cairns - not Torres Strait!!

07035397 6

95-07-99 11:55 C.E.C. PLY LTD ID=07035397 6 P.01

Fax No. (07) 4055 3560
P.O. Box 109, Clifton Beach, Qld. 4879
109-111 Arlington Esplanade,
CLIFTON BEACH. CAIRNS. QLD. 4879

7 May 1999



The Commissioner
Queensland Redistribution Commission
BRISBANE QLD 4000

FAX NO. 3229 7391

QRC/OBJ 315

Dear Sir,

Re: **PROPOSED ELECTORAL BOUNDARY CHANGES**
- SEATS OF BARRON RIVER AND COOK

We strongly object to the proposal to include the Cairns suburbs of Palm Cove and Clifton Beach in the State Electorate of Cook which we understand extends to the Papua-New Guinea border.

We do not believe there is any commonality of interest in any shape or form between the greater part of the Electorate of Cook and Palm Cove/Clifton Beach. Palm Cove and Clifton Beach have always shared a common interest with all the other Cairns northern beaches suburbs where there is and has been for many years a strong community network in relation to state and local government matters.


We believe residents of Clifton Beach and Palm Cove would be seriously disadvantaged by inclusion in the Electorate of Cook and we do not believe the existing electors in Cook would consider it appropriate for Palm Cove/Clifton Beach to be included in their electorate as they have widely divergent interests from those of people living on the northern beaches of Cairns.

We understand the necessity arises from time to time to adjust State Government boundaries owing to changing population trends but we believe that rural areas adjacent to Cook should be included rather than taking Clifton Beach and Palm Cove out of Barron River.

Yours sincerely

IAN C. MILLYARD

JOCELYN M. MILLYARD



FROM:

TO: Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001
Ph. 1800 801 665
Fax. 07 3229 7391

QRC/OBJ 316

QLD REDISTRIBUTION COMMISSION

E.C.Q. 009432

Please accept this document from me and treat it as my official objection to the Electorate Redistribution of the Warrego District.
I object most strongly to the proposed redistribution for the following reasons:

1. I believe that the Commission has not seriously considered Charleville's connection to the East Coast. Presently nearly all Government direction, information and departmental contacts come from Roma or Brisbane. The current boundaries allow us to have a say through these easily accessible avenues in regard to our future. I feel the new proposed boundary will hinder our voice in this regard. I also feel that this applies to both transport and freight facilities which run the same eastern route from Brisbane e.g. If Charleville needs Government Representation regarding rail facilities I believe we would be disadvantaged because of the proposed future Parliament Members offices lie on the Longreach to Rockhampton line causing a conflict of interest.

2. It is my belief that the commissions' computer has worked with only population figures with no regard to geographical distances and productivity of the areas involved. I feel that Charleville will be chopped out of the Warrego Electorate and stuck with Gregory to make up numbers at the expense of our needs and access to facilities.

Please consider:
1. Adding 34,000 km² to Gregory Electorate is a large piece of Queensland for the minister to cover (it is not a drive from the Sunshine Coast to Brisbane). I feel Charleville will be disadvantaged due to the geographical remoteness of our town. In fact we will not be close to or within the heavier populated areas of the Gregory Electorate where at present I am situated in an area where towns are of approximately the same population and share the same geographical needs. We presently share and compete on a common basis for access to existing facilities - which is definitely more preferable.

2. I would like the Commission to consider moving the boundaries north not south to keep the electorate of Warrego uniform with its town and Councils having similar population and needs. Tacking a large, sparsely populated area on to the extreme boundary edge of an electorate that has its most heavily populated areas over 600km away would critically hinder the smaller populated areas in all Government matters. (No equality due to different needs of the areas within the proposed Gregory Boundary changes.)

3. As Warrego electors' needs will remain, their demand for direct access to their member will not diminish. Therefore, it is going to cost the Member (taxpayer) considerable extra cost in travel and accommodation. Therefore, again, it would make economic sense to allow a third electoral office in Charleville. In the event of boundary changes going ahead this would be imperative.

Thanking you for the opportunity to appeal against these changes.

A Marks
Director

QUEENSLAND REDISTRIBUTION COMMISSION
07 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

FILE No.	Ex179/6
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ316
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	

7/5

FROM : TAS MINI MOTORS 61 76 543164 NO. : 61 76 543164 May. 07 1999 11:51AM P1

FROM:

Shencorp Pty. Ltd. T/A
A.C.N. 010 925 112
TAS MINI MOTORS
71 Alfred St.,
Charleville, 4470
Ph. 54 1732 - Fax 54 3164

TO: Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001
Ph. 1800 801 665
Fax. 07 3229 7391

QRC/OBJ 317

E.C.Q. 009433

QLD REDISTRIBUTION COMMISSION

Please accept this document from me and treat it as my official objection to the Electorate Redistribution of the Warrego District.
I object most strongly to the proposed redistribution for the following reasons:

1. I believe that the Commission has not seriously considered Charleville's connection to the East Coast. Presently nearly all Government direction, information and departmental contacts come from Roma or Brisbane. The current boundaries allow us to have a say through these easily accessible avenues in regard to our future. I feel the new proposed boundary will hinder our voice in this regard. I also feel that this applies to both transport and freight facilities which run the same eastern route from Brisbane e.g. If Charleville needs Government Representation regarding rail facilities I believe we would be disadvantaged because of the proposed future Parliament Members offices lie on the Longreach to Rockhampton line causing a conflict of interest.

2. It is my belief that the commissions' computer has worked with only population figures with no regard to geographical distances and productivity of the areas involved. I feel that Charleville will be chopped out of the Warrego Electorate and stuck with Gregory to make up numbers at the expense of our needs and access to facilities.

Please consider:
1. Adding 34,000 km² to Gregory Electorate is a large piece of Queensland for the minister to cover (it is not a drive from the Sunshine Coast to Brisbane). I feel Charleville will be disadvantaged due to the geographical remoteness of our town. In fact we will not be close to or within the heavier populated areas of the Gregory Electorate where at present I am situated in an area where towns are of approximately the same population and share the same geographical needs. We presently share and compete on a common basis for access to existing facilities - which is definitely more preferable.

2. I would like the Commission to consider moving the boundaries north not south to keep the electorate of Warrego uniform with its town and Councils having similar population and needs. Tacking a large, sparsely populated area on to the extreme boundary edge of an electorate that has its most heavily populated areas over 600km away would critically hinder the smaller populated areas in all Government matters. (No equality due to different needs of the areas within the proposed Gregory Boundary changes.)

3. As Warrego electors' needs will remain, their demand for direct access to their member will not diminish. Therefore, it is going to cost the Member (taxpayer) considerable extra cost in travel and accommodation. Therefore, again, it would make economic sense to allow a third electoral office in Charleville. In the event of boundary changes going ahead this would be imperative.

Thanking you for the opportunity to appeal against these changes.

Malcolm Marks

07 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

FILE No.	EX/19/4
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ 317
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	

Electoral Commission of Queensland,

Brisbane.

FAX 3229 7391

E.C.Q.
009434

D.J.GOULD
M.S. 16
Kenilworth Road
Conondale
Qld. 4552

QRC/OBJ318

Dear Sirs,

6 May 1999

I learned by word of mouth and not via mail contact or the media about the proposed boundary changes to the electorate where I live. Also my hearing of the proposed changes was so near the closure date for submissions.

I was amazed when I saw the details in which a small portion of my present electorate is to be transferred across the Conondale Range to join Nanango electorate. That small portion includes where I live and the surrounding district. My area has absolutely no connection whatever with places the other side of those mountains. I have never been to Kingaroy in the nine years I have lived here, I have never had a reason to do so. All the shops, services, businesses that I require are to the east not the west. So I will never have reason to go in that direction with which I could combine a visit to my representative. It will be a time charged S.T.D. call to contact my representative; a needless expense. To visit my representative would take the best part of a day over a circuitous route traversing rough forest roads - a prohibitive expense to pensioners like myself. As well I would be precluded from attending evening presentations by my representative. Thus I and all my neighbours would virtually have no contact with our representation in State Parliament

I therefore respectfully request that you re-consider the proposed boundary changes and keep my area to the east of the Conondale Ranges as at present. Include my area with an electorate to its north or south but not to the west or alter another part of my present electorate to achieve the desired redistribution.

Yours sincerely

D.J.Gould

FILE No.	Ext/n/a
ACTION OFFICER	DE C
REG No.	QRC/OBJ318
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECO. RECORDS	7/5

ELECTORAL COMMISSION QUEENSLAND
07 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

079 923493

BANANA SHIRE COUNCIL

COUNCIL CHAMBERS, PRAIRIE STREET, BILOELA, QLD

All communications to be addressed to The Chief Executive Officer
POSTAL ADDRESS: P.O. Box 412, Biloela, Qld 4715

FACSIMILE: (07) 4992 3493
TELEPHONE: (07) 4992 9500

E.C.Q. 009435

YOUR REFERENCE:

OUR REFERENCE: JH:wn
Enquiries: John Hooper

DATE: 7 May 1999

QRC/OBJ 319

Dear Commissioner,

RE: OBJECTION TO REDISTRIBUTION - BANANA SHIRE SPLIT BY STATE ELECTORAL DISTRICTS CALLIDE AND FITZROY

Banana Shire objects to being split by the proposed State Electoral Districts of Callide and Fitzroy.

The reason for the objection is based on Banana Shire's community of interest.

Banana is a large area and has eleven communities. Local Government has been encouraged to consult with its communities. Banana Shire has done this well and the Shire is now working together. Council's Economic, Social and Recreation initiatives have established bonds between Banana Shire's Communities that did not exist previously.

A single state electoral division previously represented the Shire.

The proposal to split Banana Shire by Callide and Fitzroy will hamper the good work of unification achieved by these recent initiatives.

Some of these initiatives include the formation of the Banana Shire Economic Development Association; Banana Shire's Networking the Nation Consultative Committee; The Dawson Valley Development Association (which covers the whole shire); Sister City Shire Consultative Committee; Australia Day Festivities, (held at a different venue each year throughout the shire); Shire Cultural Audit/ Policy; Recreation Sporting and Cultural Grant/Subsidy application Workshops; Tuckerbag Festivals; Banana Shire State Emergency Service – Five groups which are under the control of one controller and one Counter Disaster Plan and a Shire Community Resource Worker Committee covering the whole shire.

.../2

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE Q 4001

RECEIVED
07 MAY 1999
QUEENSLAND

079 923493

Council asks that the existing single State Electoral District remain to provide unity of representation to Banana Shire. Council views the proposal as one that will work against Banana Shire's unity of purpose.

Council respectfully requests your reconsideration of this boundary change.

I await your reply.

Yours faithfully,

QRC/OBJ 319

Christopher Teitzel
ACTING CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER.

FILE No.	6/1/16
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No. QRC/OBJ 319	
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	

7/5

April 1999

E.C.Q.
009436

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 4001

Facsimile: 3229 7391

QRC/OBJ320

Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and **wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes** which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.


Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely

L M Jones ..... (signature)

44 Boultan St ..... (address)

Oxley 4075 .....



FILE No.	Ex/79/6
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ320
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	

April 1999

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 4001

E.C.Q.
009437

Facsimile: 3229 7391

QRC/OBJ 321

Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and **wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes** which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.

Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely

D - M White ..... (signature)

1/30 BAYFORD ST OXLEY .....(address)

.....

ELECTORAL COMMISSION QUEENSLAND
07 MAY 1999

FILE No.	EX/79/6
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ321
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	

7/5

April 1999

E.C.Q.
009438

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 4001

Facsimile: 3229 7391

QRC/OBJ 322

Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and **wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes** which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.

Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely

M n Hearn M n Hearn (signature)

12 Coolpowell St (address)

Oxley

ELECTORAL COMMISSION QUEENSLAND
07 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

April 1999

E.C.Q.
009439

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 4001

Facsimile: 3229 7391

QRC/OBJ 323

Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and **wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes** which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.

Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely

John Keane ..... (signature)

11 Lockwood St .....(address)

Oxley 4075 .....

ELECTORAL COMMISSION
QUEENSLAND
27 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

April 1999

E.C.Q.
009440

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 4001

Facsimile: 3229 7391

QRC/OBJ 324

Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and **wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes** which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.

Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely

L. L. Patterson ..... (signature) L Patterson

44 ENGLEFIELD RD OXLEY (address)
4075

RESIDENT FOR 50 YEARS THIS ADDRESS.

ELECTORAL COMMISSION QUEENSLAND
07 MAY 1999

FILE No.	EX 7/96
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/035324
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	

7/5

April 1999

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 4001

E.C.Q.
009441

Facsimile: 3229 7391

QRC/OBJ325

Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and **wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes** which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.


Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely

J Page ..... (signature)

74 MABEL ST .....(address)

OXLEY BRIS 4075 .....



FILE No.	EXT-R/G
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ325
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	

1/5

April 1999

E.C.Q.
009442

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 4001

Facsimile: 3229 7391

QRC/OBJ 326

Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and **wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes** which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.

Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely

L.B.Walton ..... (signature)

67 STEPHENSON ST .....(address)

OXLEY QLD 4075

ELECTORAL COMMISSION QUEENSLAND
07 MAY 1999

FILE No.	EX/96
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ 326
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	

7/5

April 1999

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 4001

Facsimile: 3229 7391

E.C.Q.
009443

QRC/OBJ 327

Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and **wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes** which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.

Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely

.....(signature)

.....(address)

.....

ELECTORAL COMMISSION QUEENSLAND
07 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

FILE No. Extra
ACTION OFFICER DEC
REG. No. QRC/OBJ 327
DOCUMENTED YES/NO
SCANNED YES/NO
ATTACHED YES/NO
REPLY BY
REPLY SENT
ECQ. RECORDS

April 1999

E.C.Q.
009444

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 4001

Facsimile: 3229 7391

QRC/OBJ 328

Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and **wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes** which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.

Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely

..... (signature)

56 JUZAND ST .....(address)

OXLEY 4075 .....

17 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

FILE No.	GX/74/6
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ328
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	7/5

April 1999

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 4001

E.C.Q.
009445

Facsimile: 3229 7391

QRC/OBJ 329

Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and **wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes** which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.

Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely

A W Potgieter S G Potgieter ..... (signature)

9 ST CLEMENTS RD OXLEY ...(address)

.....

07 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

FILE No.	EX/79/6
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ 329
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	7/5

April 1999

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 4001

E.C.Q.
009446

Facsimile: 3229 7391

QRC/OBJ 330

Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and **wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes** which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.

Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely

M. Dermoge ..... (signature)

84 Lincoln St. .....(address)

Oxley 4075 .....

RECEIVED
07 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

FILE No.	EX/7/6
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ 330
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	

7/5

April 1999

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 4001



Facsimile: 3229 7391

QRC/OBJ 331

Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and **wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes** which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.


Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely

L P Murray ..... (signature)

32 Kendall St ..... (address)

Oxley .....



FILE No.	EX/346
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ 331
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	

7/5

April 1999



Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 4001

Facsimile: 3229 7391

QRC/OBJ 332

Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and **wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes** which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.


Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely

W J [illegible] ..... (signature)

94 Oxley Station Rd .....(address)

Oxley Q. 4075. .....



FILE No.	EX 7/6
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ 332
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	

3/5

April 1999

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 4001



Facsimile: 3229 7391

QRC/OBJ 333

Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and **wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes** which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.


Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely

A. McCarthy D. McCarthy (signature)

35 Enright St (address)

Oxley 4075.



FILE No.	Ext 9/6
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ 333
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	

7/5

April 1999

E.C.Q.
009450

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 4001

Facsimile: 3229 7391

QRC/OBJ 334

Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and **wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes** which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.

Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely

M. Shaw ..... (signature)

94 Oxley Station Road ....(address)

OXLEY Q 4075

QUEENSLAND
07 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

FILE No.	extra 6
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ 334
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	

1/5

April 1999

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 4001

E.C.Q. 009451

Facsimile: 3229 7391

QRC/OBJ 335

Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and **wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes** which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.

Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely

W. Billeter T.E. Billeter (signature)

39 Davies St. (address)

Oxley 4075

Walter Billeter, Thelma E. Billeter

Ruth Billeter; Ruth Billeter

Ross Houston:

07 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

April 1999

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 4001



Facsimile: 3229 7391

QRC/OBJ 336

Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and **wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes** which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.


Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely

.....J. Backhaus..... (signature)

...52. STEPHENSON. ST.....(address)

.....OXLEY..... Q.L.D.....



FILE No.	EX/74/6
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ336
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	7/5

April 1999

E.C.Q.
009453

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 4001

Facsimile: 3229 7391

QRC/OBJ 337

Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and **wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes** which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.

Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely

R. Stevens ..... (signature)

28 Harradem Street (address)

OXLEY - Qld - 4075

ELECTORAL COMMISSION QUEENSLAND
27 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

FILE No. Ex/79/6
ACTION OFFICER DEC
REG. No. QRC/OBJ 337
DOCUMENTED YES/NO
SCANNED YES/NO
ATTACHED YES/NO
REPLY BY
REPLY SENT
ECQ. RECORDS 7/5

April 1999

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 4001



Facsimile: 3229 7391

QRC/OBJ 338

Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and **wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes** which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.


Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely

J.M. Henry - J.M. Henry (signature) I HENRY J.M. HENRY

96 TAVISTOCK ST (address)

OXLEY 4075



FILE No.	EX/796
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ 338
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	

April 1999

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 4001

Facsimile: 3229 7391



QRC/OBJ 339

Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and **wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes** which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.

Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely

Bill Stevens

Beryl Stevens ..... (signature)


Debbie Stevens .....(address)

Ingham St

Ingham St

" "

.....



FILE No.	Ex/94/6
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ 339
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	7/5

4th May
~~April~~ 1999

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 4001

E.C.Q.
009455

Facsimile: 3229 7391

QRC/OBJ 340

Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and **wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes** which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.

Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely

M. London (signature)

75 STEPHENSON ST (address)

OXLEY Q 4075

07 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

P.S. Both my husband & I object strongly to the changing of our electorate boundary, making us part of the Inala electorate.

April 1999

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 4001

E.C.Q. 009456

Facsimile: 3229 7391

QRC/OBJ 341

Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and **wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes** which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.

Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely

E. & L. Bruton ..... (signature)

4 CO-THORNBURGH ST. (address)

OXLEY BRIS 4075

QUEENSLAND
17 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

FILE No.	EX/M/6
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ 341
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	

6 April 1999

E.C.Q.
009457

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 4001

Facsimile: 3229 7391

QRC/OBJ 342

Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and **wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes** which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.

Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely

K V Mackie ..... (signature)

9 SUSANNAH ST, ...(address)

OXLEY 4075

ELECTORAL COMMISSION QUEENSLAND
07 MAY 1999

FILE No.	EX/9/6
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/035342
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	7/5

April 1999

E.C.Q.
009458

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 4001

Facsimile: 3229 7391

QRC/OBJ 343

Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and **wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes** which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.

Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely

Quinette Jorgensen ..... (signature)

50 Lyon Avenue .....(address)

Oxley Qld 4075 .....

QUEENSLAND
07 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

FILE No. Ex/79/6
ACTION OFFICER DEC
REG. No QRC/OBJ 343
DOCUMENTED YES/NO
SCANNED YES/NO
ATTACHED YES/NO
REPLY BY
REPLY SENT
ECQ. RECORDS

April 1999

E.C.Q.
009459

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 4001

Facsimile: 3229 7391

QRC/OBJ 344

Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and **wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes** which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.

Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely

(Mrs) W Id-allenar ..... (signature)

3 & Campbell Ave Oxley ..... (address)

.....

ELECTORAL COMMISSION QUEENSLAND
07 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

FILE No.	24/4/6
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ 344
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ RE...	

April 1999

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 4001

E.C.Q.
009460

Facsimile: 3229 7391

QRC/OBJ 345

Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and **wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes** which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.

Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely

.....J. Chandler J. Chandler(signature)

24 Idriess St .....(address)

Oxley Q.....

ELECTORAL COMMISSION QUEENSLAND
07 MAY 1999

FILE No.	EX/79/6
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ 345
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	

7/5

April 1999

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 4001



Facsimile: 3229 7391

QRC/OBJ 346

Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and **wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes** which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.


Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely

P Hockey ..... (signature)

46 Thornleigh St ..... (address)

Oxley Q 4075. .....



FILE No.	Extra 6
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ 346
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	

April 1999

E.C.Q.
009462

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 4001

Facsimile: 3229 7391

QRC/OBJ 347

Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and **wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes** which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.

Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely

K. Walden. ..... (signature)

5 Ellen St. .....(address)

Oxley 4075

07 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

FILE No: EX/79/6
ACTION OFFICER DEC
REG. No. QRC/OBJ 347
DOCUMENTED YES/NO
SCANNED YES/NO
ATTACHED YES/NO
REPLY BY
REPLY SENT
ECQ. RECORDS
7/5

April 1999

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 4001

Facsimile: 3229 7391

E.C.Q.
009463

QRC/OBJ 348

Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and **wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes** which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.

Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely

E M Jenkins (signature)

9 Adeline St (address)

Oxley Qld 4075

ELECTORAL COMMISSION QUEENSLAND
7 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

FILE No.	Ex/796
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ 348
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	

7/5

April 1999

E.C.Q.
009464

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 4001

Facsimile: 3229 7391

QRC/OBJ 349

Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and **wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes** which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.

Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely

[illegible] (signature)

[illegible] (address)

OXLEY 4075

FILE No.	Ext 7/6
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ 349
DOCUMENTED	YES/~~NO~~
SCANNED	YES/~~NO~~
ATTACHED	YES/~~NO~~
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	

[illegible] QUEENSLAND
07 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

29th April 1999

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 4001

E.C.Q.
009465

Facsimile: 3229 7391

QRC/OBJ 350

Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and **wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes** which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to TRAVEL ~~traipse~~ all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.

Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely

Hon Secretary ..... (signature)

Senior Citizens Club .....(address)

86 Oxley Station Road

Oxley Q. LD 4075

07 MAY 1999

RECEIVED

FILE No.	EXT 4/6
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ 350
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	7/5

April 1999



Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 4001

Facsimile: 3229 7391

QRC/OBJ351

Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and **wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes** which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.


Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely

E. Mullan (E. Mullan) (signature)

21 Ellen St. Oxley (address)

4075



FILE No.	Ex/796
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ 351
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	7/5

April 1999

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 4001



Facsimile: 3229 7391

QRC/OBJ 352

Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and **wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes** which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.


Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely

.....(signature)

59 BAINBRIDGE ST.....(address)

OXLEY.....



FILE No.	EX/74/6
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ 352
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	7/5

April 1999

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 4001



Facsimile: 3229 7391

QRC/OBJ353

Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and **wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes** which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.


Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely

..... (signature)

91 Ellen Street .....(address)

Oxley Qld 4075



FILE No.	EX/79/6
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ353
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	7/5

April 1999

E.C.Q.
009469

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 4001

Facsimile: 3229 7391

QRC/OBJ 354

Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and **wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes** which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.

Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely

..... (signature)

Kim + SARAH BRITTON

.....(address)

221 ENGLEFIELD RD. OXLEY.

QUEENSLAND

07 MAY 1999

RECEIVED

FILE No.	EX/79/6
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ 354
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	

7/5

30 April 1999

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 4001

E.C.Q. 009470

Facsimile: 3229 7391

QRC/OBJ 355

Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and **wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes** which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.

Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely

.....A E Thompson..... (signature)

.....41 Cook STREET.....(address)

.....OXLEY. Q. 4075.....

FILE No.	Ex/ng/6
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ 355
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	

7/5

COMMISSION
QUEENSLAND
07 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

E.C.Q.
009471

The Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

QRC/OBJ 356

Queensland Electoral Redistribution

I note that the town of Kenilworth is proposed to be included in the new electorate of Nanango along with other small towns situated in the Mary Valley, Brooloo and Conondale.

I wish to strenuously object to this arrangement on the grounds that the towns of Kenilworth, Brooloo and Conondale have no community of interest with the towns in the Nanango area and the area shown as included in the proposed electorate. Rather they are physically, commercially and socially connected to the areas of Nambour, Gympie, Maroochydore and the Sunshine Coast Hinterland area.
In addition:

1. These three towns rely for their major commerce on the coastal towns of Gympie, Nambour and Maleny. They have no direct road connection with the Nanango area and no business or social connection with that area.

2. For these towns the Health Support Systems are all based in Nambour, Maleny or Gympie. Such services as hospitals, specialist services and aged facilities are all located East of our towns, never in a Westward direction.

3. Gaining access to the Parliamentarian for the area would be very difficult as road access all run in an Easterly direction. Likewise, servicing this area would prove difficult for the elected encumbant.

I therefore, request that the redistribution of electoral boundaries include the towns of Kenilworth, Brooloo and Conondale in an area based on the Coast.

ELECTORAL COMMISSION QUEENSLAND
07 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

Sincerely

C. C. Macintyre.

Signature

Name CONSTANCE CAROLINE MACINTYRE

Address M.S. 1852
Obi Obi Road
Kenilworth
Q 4574

Date 6.5.99

The Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

QRC/OBJ 357

E.C.Q.
009472

Queensland Electoral Redistribution

I note that the town of Kenilworth is proposed to be included in the new electorate of Nanango along with other small towns situated in the Mary Valley, Brooloo and Conondale.

I wish to strenuously object to this arrangement on the grounds that the towns of Kenilworth, Brooloo and Conondale have no community of interest with the towns of the Nanango area and the area shown as included in the proposed electorate. Rather they are physically, commercially and socially connected to the areas of Nambour, Gympie, Maroochydore and the Sunshine Coast Hinterland area.
In addition:

1. These three towns rely for their major commerce on the coastal towns of Gympie, Nambour and Maleny. They have no direct road connection with the Nanango area and no business or social connection with that area.

2. For these towns the Health Support Systems are all based in Nambour, Maleny or Gympie. Such services as hospitals, specialist services and aged facilities are all located East of our towns, never in a Westward direction.

3. Gaining access to the Parliamentarian for these towns would be very difficult as all access roads run in an Easterly direction. Likewise, servicing this area would prove difficult for the elected incumbent.

I therefore, request that the redistribution of electoral boundaries include the towns of Kenilworth, Brooloo and Conondale in an area based on the Coast.

FILE No.	Ex/19/6
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ 357
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	

Sincerely A.J.Caffey

Signature A.J.Caffey.

Name Arthur James Caffey Address 9 ANNE ST. KENILWORTH.

Date 5th May 1999.

QLD
07 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

E.C.Q.
009473

The Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

QRC/OBJ 358

Queensland Electoral Redistribution

I note that the town of Kenilworth is proposed to be included in the new electorate of Nanango along with other small towns situated in the Mary Valley, Brooloo and Conondale.

I wish to strenuously object to this arrangement on the grounds that the towns of Kenilworth, Brooloo and Conondale have no community of interest with the towns in the Nanango area and the area shown as included in the proposed electorate. Rather they are physically, commercially and socially connected to the areas of Nambour, Gympie, Maroochydore and the Sunshine Coast Hinterland area.
In addition:

1. These three towns rely for their major commerce on the coastal towns of Gympie, Nambour and Maleny. They have no direct road connection with the Nanango area and no business or social connection with that area.

2. For these towns the Health Support Systems are all based in Nambour, Maleny or Gympie. Such services as hospitals, specialist services and aged facilities are all located East of our towns, never in a Westward direction.

3. Gaining access to the Parliamentarian for the area would be very difficult as road access all run in an Easterly direction. Likewise, servicing this area would prove difficult for the elected encumbant.

I therefore, request that the redistribution of electoral boundaries include the towns of Kenilworth, Brooloo and Conondale in an area based on the Coast.

27 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

Sincerely

Signature C T Macintyre

Name CECIL THOMAS MACINTYRE Address M.S. 1852
OBI OBI ROAD
KENILWORTH
4574

Date 6.5.99

P.O Box 247
Maleny. 4552.

QRC/OBJ 359

The Secretary
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
Brisbane. 9001.

E.C.Q.
009474

6/5/99

Dear Sir

It has come to our notice that the Maleny area is to be incorporated into the Nanango electorate, we, as one of many people consider this a retrograde step, as Nanango is really too far away from us, & therefore would be more of a hinderance than a help for local residents

Sincerely yours

Hugh & Elizabeth Salmon

(Lot 76.
Ruddle Drive
Reesville area)

ELECTORAL COMMISSION
QUEENSLAND
07 MAY 1999

The Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

E.C.Q.
009475

QRC/OBJ360

Queensland Electoral Redistribution

I note that the town of Kenilworth is proposed to be included in the new electorate of Nanango along with other small towns situated in the Mary Valley, Brooloo and Conondale.


I wish to strenuously object to this arrangement on the grounds that the towns of Kenilworth, Brooloo and Conondale have no community of interest with the towns of the Nanango area and the area shown as included in the proposed electorate. Rather they are physically, commercially and socially connected to the areas of Nambour, Gympie, Maroochydore and the Sunshine Coast Hinterland area.
In addition:

1. These three towns rely for their major commerce on the coastal towns of Gympie, Nambour and Maleny. They have no direct road connection with the Nanango area and no business or social connection with that area.

2. For these towns the Health Support Systems are all based in Nambour, Maleny or Gympie. Such services as hospitals, specialist services and aged facilities are all located East of our towns, never in a Westward direction.

3. Gaining access to the Parliamentarian for these towns would be very difficult as all access roads run in an Easterly direction. Likewise, servicing this area would prove difficult for the elected incumbent.

I therefore, request that the redistribution of electoral boundaries include the towns of Kenilworth, Brooloo and Conondale in an area based on the Coast.



Sincerely B Laffey

Signature B Laffey

Name BERYL LAFFEY

Address 9 ANNE ST
KENILWORTH
Qld 4574

Date
5.5.1999

PO Box 87
Maleny Q4552

E.C.Q.
009476

7 May 1999

To whom it may concern,
Electoral Commission of Queensland
Fax 3229 7391

QRC/OBJ 361

Dear Sir or Madam

I am writing to protest that it is proposed to split the community of Maleny, which prides itself on its sense of community spirit and has a strong sense of community identity, into more than one electorate in the proposed State electoral boundary changes.

To include half of Maleny, including my property, which is five minutes from the town centre, in the proposed seat of Nanango is bizarre, to say the least. We have much less in common with rural people living in Kilcoy, Nanango, etc. than we do with other Sunshine Coast hinterland towns.

I beg you to not let your concern for creating "winnable" and "lost" seats (natural in the terrible adversarial political system that exists) split a community with such a solid sense of itself.

Yours sincerely,

Jill Jordan

Jill Jordan

FILE No.	Ex/19/6
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ 361
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	7/5

ELECTORAL COMMISSION QUEENSLAND
07 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

E.C.Q.
009477

The Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

QRC/OBJ 362

Queensland Electoral Redistribution

I note that the town of Kenilworth is proposed to be included in the new electorate of Nanango along with other small towns situated in the Mary Valley, Brooloo and Conondale.

I wish to strenuously object to this arrangement on the grounds that the towns of Kenilworth, Brooloo and Conondale have no community of interest with the towns in the Nanango area and the area shown as included in the proposed electorate. Rather they are physically, commercially and socially connected to the areas of Nambour, Gympie, Maroochydore and the Sunshine Coast Hinterland area.
In addition:

1. These three towns rely for their major commerce on the coastal towns of Gympie, Nambour and Maleny. They have no direct road connection with the Nanango area and no business or social connection with that area.

2. For these towns the Health Support Systems are all based in Nambour, Maleny or Gympie. Such services as hospitals, specialist services and aged facilities are all located East of our towns, never in a Westward direction.

3. Gaining access to the Parliamentarian for the area would be very difficult as road access all run in an Easterly direction. Likewise, servicing this area would prove difficult for the elected encumbant.

I therefore, request that the redistribution of electoral boundaries include the towns of Kenilworth, Brooloo and Conondale in an area based on the Coast.

ELECTORAL COMMISSION
QUEENSLAND
07 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

Sincerely

Signature K.J. Erickson

Name K. ERICKSON.

Address 13 BROOLOO Rd
Kenilworth
4574

Date 5th May

E.C.Q.
009478

The Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

QRC/OBJ 363

Queensland Electoral Redistribution

I note that the town of Kenilworth is proposed to be included in the new electorate of Nanango along with other small towns situated in the Mary Valley, Brooloo and Conondale.

I wish to strenuously object to this arrangement on the grounds that the towns of Kenilworth, Brooloo and Conondale have no community of interest with the towns in the Nanango area and the area shown as included in the proposed electorate. Rather they are physically, commercially and socially connected to the areas of Nambour, Gympie, Maroochydore and the Sunshine Coast Hinterland area.
In addition:

1. These three towns rely for their major commerce on the coastal towns of Gympie, Nambour and Maleny. They have no direct road connection with the Nanango area and no business or social connection with that area.

2. For these towns the Health Support Systems are all based in Nambour, Maleny or Gympie. Such services as hospitals, specialist services and aged facilities are all located East of our towns, never in a Westward direction.

3. Gaining access to the Parliamentarian for the area would be very difficult as road access all run in an Easterly direction. Likewise, servicing this area would prove difficult for the elected encumbant.

I therefore, request that the redistribution of electoral boundaries include the towns of Kenilworth, Brooloo and Conondale in an area based on the Coast.

1999

Sincerely

Signature E C Erickson

Name ERROL ERICKSON

Address 13 BROOLOO Rd
Kenilworth 4574

Date 5th May.

E.C.Q.
009479

The Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

QRC/OBJ 364

Queensland Electoral Redistribution

I note that the town of Kenilworth is proposed to be included in the new electorate of Nanango along with other small towns situated in the Mary Valley, Brooloo and Conondale.

I wish to strenuously object to this arrangement on the grounds that the towns of Kenilworth, Brooloo and Conondale have no community of interest with the towns in the Nanango area and the area shown as included in the proposed electorate. Rather they are physically, commercially and socially connected to the areas of Nambour, Gympie, Maroochydore and the Sunshine Coast Hinterland area.
In addition:

1. These three towns rely for their major commerce on the coastal towns of Gympie, Nambour and Maleny. They have no direct road connection with the Nanango area and no business or social connection with that area.

2. For these towns the Health Support Systems are all based in Nambour, Maleny or Gympie. Such services as hospitals, specialist services and aged facilities are all located East of our towns, never in a Westward direction.

3. Gaining access to the Parliamentarian for these towns would be very difficult as all access roads run in an Easterly direction. Likewise, servicing this area would prove difficult for the elected incumbent.

I therefore, request that the redistribution of electoral boundaries include the towns of Kenilworth, Brooloo and Conondale in an area based on the Coast.

ELECTORAL COMMISSION
QUEENSLAND
07 MAY 1999

Sincerely

Signature A. R. Beausang

Name Alan Ray Beausang Address 26 Elizabeth St
Kenilworth 4574
Qld.

Date 6th May 1999

E.C.Q.
009480

The Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

QRC/OBJ 365

Queensland Electoral Redistribution

I note that the town of Kenilworth is proposed to be included in the new electorate of Nanango along with other small towns situated in the Mary Valley, Brooloo and Conondale.

I wish to strenuously object to this arrangement on the grounds that the towns of Kenilworth, Brooloo and Conondale have no community of interest with the towns of the Nanango area and the area shown as included in the proposed electorate. Rather they are physically, commercially and socially connected to the areas of Nambour, Gympie, Maroochydore and the Sunshine Coast Hinterland area.
In addition:

1. These three towns rely for their major commerce on the coastal towns of Gympie, Nambour and Maleny. They have no direct road connection with the Nanango area and no business or social connection with that area.

2. For these towns the Health Support Systems are all based in Nambour, Maleny or Gympie. Such services as hospitals, specialist services and aged facilities are all located East of our towns, never in a Westward direction.

3. Gaining access to the Parliamentarian for these towns would be very difficult as all access roads run in an Easterly direction. Likewise, servicing this area would prove difficult for the elected incumbent.

I therefore, request that the redistribution of electoral boundaries include the towns of Kenilworth, Brooloo and Conondale in an area based on the Coast.

ELECTORAL COMMISSION QUEENSLAND
07 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

Sincerely.

Signature R. M. Loweke

Name R. M. LOWEKE

Address P. O. Box 92
"Willow Side"
Kenilworth.

Date 6th May 1999.

E.C.Q.
009481

The Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

QRC/OBJ 366

Queensland Electoral Redistribution

I note that the town of Kenilworth is proposed to be included in the new electorate of Nanango along with other small towns situated in the Mary Valley, Brooloo and Conondale.

I wish to strenuously object to this arrangement on the grounds that the towns of Kenilworth, Brooloo and Conondale have no community of interest with the towns in the Nanango area and the area shown as included in the proposed electorate. Rather they are physically, commercially and socially connected to the areas of Nambour, Gympie, Maroochydore and the Sunshine Coast Hinterland area.
In addition:

1. These three towns rely for their major commerce on the coastal towns of Gympie, Nambour and Maleny. They have no direct road connection with the Nanango area and no business or social connection with that area.

2. For these towns the Health Support Systems are all based in Nambour, Maleny or Gympie. Such services as hospitals, specialist services and aged facilities are all located East of our towns, never in a Westward direction.

3. Gaining access to the Parliamentarian for these towns would be very difficult as all access roads run in an Easterly direction. Likewise, servicing this area would prove difficult for the elected incumbent.

I therefore, request that the redistribution of electoral boundaries include the towns of Kenilworth, Brooloo and Conondale in an area based on the Coast.

QUEENSLAND
07 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

Sincerely

Signature L. A. Beausang

Name Lena Ann Beausang Address 26 Elizabeth st
Kenilworth 4574
Qld.

Date 6th May 1999.

The Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

QRC/OBJ 367

Queensland Electoral Redistribution



I note that the town of Kenilworth is proposed to be included in the new electorate of Nanango along with other small towns situated in the Mary Valley, Brooloo and Conondale.

I wish to strenuously object to this arrangement on the grounds that the towns of Kenilworth, Brooloo and Conondale have no community of interest with the towns of the Nanango area and the area shown as included in the proposed electorate. Rather they are physically, commercially and socially connected to the areas of Nambour, Gympie, Maroochydore and the Sunshine Coast Hinterland area.
In addition:

1. These three towns rely for their major commerce on the coastal towns of Gympie, Nambour and Maleny. They have no direct road connection with the Nanango area and no business or social connection with that area.

2. For these towns the Health Support Systems are all based in Nambour, Maleny or Gympie. Such services as hospitals, specialist services and aged facilities are all located East of our towns, never in a Westward direction.

3. Gaining access to the Parliamentarian for these towns would be very difficult as all access roads run in an Easterly direction. Likewise, servicing this area would prove difficult for the elected incumbent.


I therefore, request that the redistribution of electoral boundaries include the towns of Kenilworth, Brooloo and Conondale in an area based on the Coast.

Sincerely

Signature C. R. Loweke

Name COLIN RAY LOWEKE Address P.O. Box 92
Kenilworth

Date 6th May 1999



E.C.Q.
009538

The Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

QRC/OBJ368

Queensland Electoral Redistribution

I note that the town of Kenilworth is proposed to be included in the new electorate of Nanango along with other small towns situated in the Mary Valley, Brooloo and Conondale.

I wish to strenuously object to this arrangement on the grounds that the towns of Kenilworth, Brooloo and Conondale have no community of interest with the towns in the Nanango area and the area shown as included in the proposed electorate. Rather they are physically, commercially and socially connected to the areas of Nambour, Gympie, Maroochydore and the Sunshine Coast Hinterland area.
In addition:

1. These three towns rely for their major commerce on the coastal towns of Gympie, Nambour and Maleny. They have no direct road connection with the Nanango area and no business or social connection with that area.

2. For these towns the Health Support Systems are all based in Nambour, Maleny or Gympie. Such services as hospitals, specialist services and aged facilities are all located East of our towns, never in a Westward direction.

3. Gaining access to the Parliamentarian for these towns would be very difficult as all access roads run in an Easterly direction. Likewise, servicing this area would prove difficult for the elected incumbent.

I therefore, request that the redistribution of electoral boundaries include the towns of Kenilworth, Brooloo and Conondale in an area based on the Coast.

Sincerely

ELECTORAL COMMISSION
QUEENSLAND
07 MAY 1999
RECT

Signature

Name Tracey Janelle Fisher

Address 18 Maleny Rd
Kenilworth 4574
Qld

Date 06/05/99.

E.C.Q.
009483

The Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

QRC/OBJ 369

Queensland Electoral Redistribution

I note that the town of Kenilworth is proposed to be included in the new electorate of Nanango along with other small towns situated in the Mary Valley, Brooloo and Conondale.

I wish to strenuously object to this arrangement on the grounds that the towns of Kenilworth, Brooloo and Conondale have no community of interest with the towns in the Nanango area and the area shown as included in the proposed electorate. Rather they are physically, commercially and socially connected to the areas of Nambour, Gympie, Maroochydore and the Sunshine Coast Hinterland area.
In addition:

1. These three towns rely for their major commerce on the coastal towns of Gympie, Nambour and Maleny. They have no direct road connection with the Nanango area and no business or social connection with that area.

2. For these towns the Health Support Systems are all based in Nambour, Maleny or Gympie. Such services as hospitals, specialist services and aged facilities are all located East of our towns, never in a Westward direction.

3. Gaining access to the Parliamentarian for these towns would be very difficult as all access roads run in an Easterly direction. Likewise, servicing this area would prove difficult for the elected incumbent.

I therefore, request that the redistribution of electoral boundaries include the towns of Kenilworth, Brooloo and Conondale in an area based on the Coast.

Sincerely

07 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

Signature D J Beausang.

Name Dorothy Jean Beausang Address 26 Elizabeth St
Kenilworth 4574.

Date 6/5/1999.

E.C.Q.
009484

The Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

QRC/OBJ 370

Queensland Electoral Redistribution

I note that the town of Kenilworth is proposed to be included in the new electorate of Nanango along with other small towns situated in the Mary Valley, Brooloo and Conondale.

I wish to strenuously object to this arrangement on the grounds that the towns of Kenilworth, Brooloo and Conondale have no community of interest with the towns in the Nanango area and the area shown as included in the proposed electorate. Rather they are physically, commercially and socially connected to the areas of Nambour, Gympie, Maroochydore and the Sunshine Coast Hinterland area.
In addition:

1. These three towns rely for their major commerce on the coastal towns of Gympie, Nambour and Maleny. They have no direct road connection with the Nanango area and no business or social connection with that area.

2. For these towns the Health Support Systems are all based in Nambour, Maleny or Gympie. Such services as hospitals, specialist services and aged facilities are all located East of our towns, never in a Westward direction.

3. Gaining access to the Parliamentarian for the area would be very difficult as road access all run in an Easterly direction. Likewise, servicing this area would prove difficult for the elected encumbant.

I therefore, request that the redistribution of electoral boundaries include the towns of Kenilworth, Brooloo and Conondale in an area based on the Coast.

QUEENSLAND
07 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

Sincerely E. H. Purdon

Signature E. H. Purdon

Name Edna Hanna Purdon Address 101 Ann St.
Kenilworth Q.
4574.

Date 30. 4. 99.

E. McCOSKER
P.O. Box 80,
Mapleton. 4560
Ph. 45 7290

E.C.Q.
009539

14th May 1999

Queensland Re Distribution Commission,
Locked Bag 3300
Brisbane.

QRC/OBJ 371

Dear Sir or Madam,

I wish to lodge an Objection to the Present Re-distribution as it applies to that part of Nicklin Electorate on the Blackall Range West of Mapleton being Transferred to Nanango Electorate.

My Reason for Objecting is that it Completely severs the Community of Interest in Mapleton Generally and Specifically in that the area where I Reside which is an Isolated part of the Blackall Range Area as all our Business and connections are in Nicklin Electorate. To get to the nearest Polling Booth in the Electorate of Nanango ; which ; I presume would be the town of Kingaroy is a 1½ Hour Drive passing through Nicklin Electorate to do so. The Electorate office of course would of course be even further away.

I realise of course that there are Bigger Electorates in Queensland but my main concern is the Fragmenting of the Town and Community as a Whole into a Range of Blocks on the Escarpment of the Obi-Obi Valley in Nanango Electorate across the Road from the Compact Block of Nicklin Electorate.

My Proposal to tidy up the situation and preserve the Community of Interest would be to Put all the Properties from the Top of the Obi Range Road into Nicklin by following the Escarpment round to Mapleton Falls National Park Now even though it looks a Silly Gerrymander Shape to follow the Escarpment along the lines I have marked on the attached map thus to follow the South, ~~and~~ South West and West Boundaries of the Old Portion Numbers 208; 207; 205; 206; 201; 200 and 210. Then follow the Boundary line of State Forest Reserve back along the N.E. Boundary line of this Design of Properties till it linked with the present proposed Nicklin Electorate Boundary to the North of Mapleton.

There has been Sub-Division on most of these old Portion Numbers but the Outside Boundaries are still the same. Also most of the Owners are Absentees voting in the Electorate. The Number of Permanent Residents (Voters) from top of Obi-Obi Range Road Escarpment to N.W. Corner of Old Portion 210 would not have any great effect on the General Population + - Faith in Nicklin. But would preserve this Community of Interest. For Instance two Rough Cadastral Roads are shown on the enclosed map in Green and Black these are not formed Roads.

All the Properties I have noted that should stay in Nicklin Electorate are on a narrow strip of freehold land between the Escarpment

and the Mete Forest Reserve.

I therefore object to this area being transferred to Nanango Electorate and request that it be retained in Nicholas Electorate to which by Geographic; Social and Community and Trade Ties it Rightfully belongs.

Yours Faithfully.


Mr. E. McCahon

QRC/OBJ371

FILE No.	EX/79/6
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ371
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECO. RECORDS	7/5

07 MAY 1999

RECEIVED


10 451 ha
QRC/OBJ 371
Forestry
Falls
Falls
Mch. 995
GEV Mch 849
DUHS
CONNORS KNOB
MULLY
MAPLETON FALLS R
MAPLETON
Obi Obi TO
Obi Obi
Parish of Kenilworth
County of March
L.A.D. of Brisbane
Scale 1:25 000

Blackall Range Land Use Planning Association Inc.

P O Box 27
MAPLETON 4560

E.C.Q.
009485

Queensland Re-distribution Commission
Locked Bag 330
BRISBANE 4001

7th May 1999

Dear Sirs,

This Association is writing to you to express our concern regarding the proposed new electoral boundaries which in effect divide the township of Mapleton between Nicklin and Nanango.

Currently the township of Mapleton is all included in Nicklin and there seems to be no logical reason for the proposed change. We request that the Re-distribution Commission take note of community concern regarding the proposed changes.

Yours faithfully,

Jill Petersen

QRC/OBJ 372

Jill Petersen
Acting Secretary

FILE No.	ex/7/6
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ 372
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	7/5

07 MAY 1999

RECEIVED

QRC/OBJ373

E.C.Q.
009486

The Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

07 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

Queensland Electoral Redistribution

I note that the town of Kenilworth is proposed to be included in the new electorate of Nanango along with other small towns situated in the Mary Valley, Brooloo and Conondale.

I wish to strenuously object to this arrangement on the grounds that the towns of Kenilworth, Brooloo and Conondale have no community of interest with the towns in the Nanango area and the area shown as included in the proposed electorate. Rather they are physically, commercially and socially connected to the areas of Nambour, Gympie, Maroochydore and the Sunshine Coast Hinterland area.
In addition:

1. These three towns rely for their major commerce on the coastal towns of Gympie, Nambour and Maleny. They have no direct road connection with the Nanango area and no business or social connection with that area.

2. For these towns the Health Support Systems are all based in Nambour, Maleny or Gympie. Such services as hospitals, specialist services and aged facilities are all located East of our towns, never in a Westward direction.

3. Gaining access to the Parliamentarian for the area would be very difficult as road access all run in an Easterly direction. Likewise, servicing this area would prove difficult for the elected encumbant.

I therefore, request that the redistribution of electoral boundaries include the towns of Kenilworth, Brooloo and Conondale in an area based on the Coast.

Sincerely Ben and Sandra Coote

Signature

Name B.J. & S Coote

Address 64 Yingana Rd
Brooloo
QLD
4570

Date 6/5/99

E.C.Q.
009487

The Secretary
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE 9001
Fax. 3225 2601
Ph. 1800 801665

QRC/OBJ374

RE: PROPOSED QUEENSLAND ELECTORAL BOUNDARIES REDISTRIBUTION
PROPOSED SEAT OF NANANGO

I would like to strongly voice my discontent at the proposed Queensland Electoral Boundaries Redistribution of the Seat of Nanango and the effect this will have on my community of Conondale.

The hinterland communities including my community of Conondale have no ties to the community of Kingaroy or the district of Nanango and feel it would be detrimental for the areas of Conondale, Crystal Waters, Witta, Belthorpe, Booroobin, Reeseville and Kenilworth to be included within these electoral boundaries.

I believe it would be beneficial for the proposed boundary between Glasshouse and Nanango to be redrawn to include the above communities in Glasshouse.

There is a strong community of interest between these communities and Maleny, the major town in the area. They are joined by geographic and topographic features and share communality and homogeneity through agricultural, pastoral and tourism industries. Maleny is the shopping, business, cultural and social centre of our area.

All these communities have little or no communality with Nanango. The mountains of the Great Dividing Range form a complete barrier to communication and communality with Nanango.

I hope you look favourably upon my objection and the suggested alternative.

Yours sincerely,

ELECTORAL COMMISSION QUEENSLAND
07 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

Address details:
I M & C WOODFORD
10 KINGS LANE

E.C.Q.
009488

The Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

QRC/OBJ 375

Queensland Electoral Redistribution

I note that the town of Kenilworth is proposed to be included in the new electorate of Nanango along with other small towns situated in the Mary Valley, Brooloo and Conondale.

I wish to strenuously object to this arrangement on the grounds that the towns of Kenilworth, Brooloo and Conondale have no community of interest with the towns in the Nanango area and the area shown as included in the proposed electorate. Rather they are physically, commercially and socially connected to the areas of Nambour, Gympie, Maroochydore and the Sunshine Coast Hinterland area.
In addition:

1. These three towns rely for their major commerce on the coastal towns of Gympie, Nambour and Maleny. They have no direct road connection with the Nanango area and no business or social connection with that area.

2. For these towns the Health Support Systems are all based in Nambour, Maleny or Gympie. Such services as hospitals, specialist services and aged facilities are all located East of our towns, never in a Westward direction.

3. Gaining access to the Parliamentarian for the area would be very difficult as road access all run in an Easterly direction. Likewise, servicing this area would prove difficult for the elected encumbant.

I therefore, request that the redistribution of electoral boundaries include the towns of Kenilworth, Brooloo and Conondale in an area based on the Coast.

QUEENSLAND
07 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

Sincerely

Signature Meryl Varley

Name MRS. Meryl VARLEY

Date 5th May, 1999

Address Arcada Lodge
Obi Mapleton Road,
KENILWORTH, Q.
4574

E.C.Q.
009489

The Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

QRC/OBJ 376

Queensland Electoral Redistribution

I note that the town of Kenilworth is proposed to be included in the new electorate of Nanango along with other small towns situated in the Mary Valley, Brooloo and Conondale.

I wish to strenuously object to this arrangement on the grounds that the towns of Kenilworth, Brooloo and Conondale have no community of interest with the towns in the Nanango area and the area shown as included in the proposed electorate. Rather they are physically, commercially and socially connected to the areas of Nambour, Gympie, Maroochydore and the Sunshine Coast Hinterland area.
In addition:

1. These three towns rely for their major commerce on the coastal towns of Gympie, Nambour and Maleny. They have no direct road connection with the Nanango area and no business or social connection with that area.

2. For these towns the Health Support Systems are all based in Nambour, Maleny or Gympie. Such services as hospitals, specialist services and aged facilities are all located East of our towns, never in a Westward direction.

3. Gaining access to the Parliamentarian for the area would be very difficult as road access all run in an Easterly direction. Likewise, servicing this area would prove difficult for the elected encumbant.

I therefore, request that the redistribution of electoral boundaries include the towns of Kenilworth, Brooloo and Conondale in an area based on the Coast.

ELECTORAL COMMISSION
QUEENSLAND
07 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

Sincerely

Signature J.A. Varley

Name J.A. VARLEY

Address Obi - Wapleton Rd
Kenilworth
4574

Date 5-5-99

E.C.Q.
009490

The Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

QRC/OBJ 377

Queensland Electoral Redistribution

I note that the town of Kenilworth is proposed to be included in the new electorate of Nanango along with other small towns situated in the Mary Valley, Brooloo and Conondale.

I wish to strenuously object to this arrangement on the grounds that the towns of Kenilworth, Brooloo and Conondale have no community of interest with the towns in the Nanango area and the area shown as included in the proposed electorate. Rather they are physically, commercially and socially connected to the areas of Nambour, Gympie, Maroochydore and the Sunshine Coast Hinterland area.
In addition:

1. These three towns rely for their major commerce on the coastal towns of Gympie, Nambour and Maleny. They have no direct road connection with the Nanango area and no business or social connection with that area.

2. For these towns the Health Support Systems are all based in Nambour, Maleny or Gympie. Such services as hospitals, specialist services and aged facilities are all located East of our towns, never in a Westward direction.

3. Gaining access to the Parliamentarian for the area would be very difficult as road access all run in an Easterly direction. Likewise, servicing this area would prove difficult for the elected encumbant.

I therefore, request that the redistribution of electoral boundaries include the towns of Kenilworth, Brooloo and Conondale in an area based on the Coast.

ELECTORAL
QUE
07 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

Sincerely Veronika Spicer

Signature

Name Veronika Spicer

Address Kenilworth-Eumundi Rd.

Date 4 May 1999

E.C.Q.
009491

The Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

QRC/OBJ 378

Queensland Electoral Redistribution

I note that the town of Kenilworth is proposed to be included in the new electorate of Nanango along with other small towns situated in the Mary Valley, Brooloo and Conondale.

I wish to strenuously object to this arrangement on the grounds that the towns of Kenilworth, Brooloo and Conondale have no community of interest with the towns in the Nanango area and the area shown as included in the proposed electorate. Rather they are physically, commercially and socially connected to the areas of Nambour, Gympie, Maroochydore and the Sunshine Coast Hinterland area.
In addition:

1. These three towns rely for their major commerce on the coastal towns of Gympie, Nambour and Maleny. They have no direct road connection with the Nanango area and no business or social connection with that area.

2. For these towns the Health Support Systems are all based in Nambour, Maleny or Gympie. Such services as hospitals, specialist services and aged facilities are all located East of our towns, never in a Westward direction.

3. Gaining access to the Parliamentarian for the area would be very difficult as road access all run in an Easterly direction. Likewise, servicing this area would prove difficult for the elected encumbant.

I therefore, request that the redistribution of electoral boundaries include the towns of Kenilworth, Brooloo and Conondale in an area based on the Coast.

Sincerely

Signature

RECEIVED

Name John Thirlbeck Address

Maleny/Kenilworth Rd.
"Nonard Cottage"
Bellbird Creek
P.O. Box 112
KENILWORTH Qld. 4574.

Date 4th May. 1998.

P.S. & N.B. The redistribution must relate to communities, not topographical maps & unimaginative boundaries associated with roads ie. the Bruce H. Way West or East thereof.

E.C.Q.
009492

The Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

QRC/OBJ 379

Queensland Electoral Redistribution

I note that the town of Kenilworth is proposed to be included in the new electorate of Nanango along with other small towns situated in the Mary Valley, Brooloo and Conondale.

I wish to strenuously object to this arrangement on the grounds that the towns of Kenilworth, Brooloo and Conondale have no community of interest with the towns in the Nanango area and the area shown as included in the proposed electorate. Rather they are physically, commercially and socially connected to the areas of Nambour, Gympie, Maroochydore and the Sunshine Coast Hinterland area.
In addition:

1. These three towns rely for their major commerce on the coastal towns of Gympie, Nambour and Maleny. They have no direct road connection with the Nanango area and no business or social connection with that area.

2. For these towns the Health Support Systems are all based in Nambour, Maleny or Gympie. Such services as hospitals, specialist services and aged facilities are all located East of our towns, never in a Westward direction.

3. Gaining access to the Parliamentarian for the area would be very difficult as road access all run in an Easterly direction. Likewise, servicing this area would prove difficult for the elected encumbant.

I therefore, request that the redistribution of electoral boundaries include the towns of Kenilworth, Brooloo and Conondale in an area based on the Coast.

Sincerely

QUEENSLAND
07 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

Signature A. L. Macintyre

Name Amanda L. Macintyre Address M.S. 1852
091 OBI RD
KENILWORTH
4574

Date 5-5-99

E.C.Q.
009493

The Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

QRC/OBJ 380

Queensland Electoral Redistribution

I note that the town of Kenilworth is proposed to be included in the new electorate of Nanango along with other small towns situated in the Mary Valley, Brooloo and Conondale.

I wish to strenuously object to this arrangement on the grounds that the towns of Kenilworth, Brooloo and Conondale have no community of interest with the towns in the Nanango area and the area shown as included in the proposed electorate. Rather they are physically, commercially and socially connected to the areas of Nambour, Gympie, Maroochydore and the Sunshine Coast Hinterland area.
In addition:

1. These three towns rely for their major commerce on the coastal towns of Gympie, Nambour and Maleny. They have no direct road connection with the Nanango area and no business or social connection with that area.

2. For these towns the Health Support Systems are all based in Nambour, Maleny or Gympie. Such services as hospitals, specialist services and aged facilities are all located East of our towns, never in a Westward direction.

3. Gaining access to the Parliamentarian for the area would be very difficult as road access all run in an Easterly direction. Likewise, servicing this area would prove difficult for the elected encumbant.

I therefore, request that the redistribution of electoral boundaries include the towns of Kenilworth, Brooloo and Conondale in an area based on the Coast.

Sincerely

7 MAY 1999

Signature M I Macintyre

Name Mal. I Macintyre

Address M.S. 185
OBI OBI RD
KENILWORTH
4574

Date 5-5-99

E.C.Q.
009494

The Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

QRC/OBJ 381

Queensland Electoral Redistribution

I note that the town of Kenilworth is proposed to be included in the new electorate of Nanango along with other small towns situated in the Mary Valley, Brooloo and Conondale.

I wish to strenuously object to this arrangement on the grounds that the towns of Kenilworth, Brooloo and Conondale have no community of interest with the towns in the Nanango area and the area shown as included in the proposed electorate. Rather they are physically, commercially and socially connected to the areas of Nambour, Gympie, Maroochydore and the Sunshine Coast Hinterland area.
In addition:

1. These three towns rely for their major commerce on the coastal towns of Gympie, Nambour and Maleny. They have no direct road connection with the Nanango area and no business or social connection with that area.

2. For these towns the Health Support Systems are all based in Nambour, Maleny or Gympie. Such services as hospitals, specialist services and aged facilities are all located East of our towns, never in a Westward direction.

3. Gaining access to the Parliamentarian for the area would be very difficult as road access all run in an Easterly direction. Likewise, servicing this area would prove difficult for the elected encumbant.

I therefore, request that the redistribution of electoral boundaries include the towns of Kenilworth, Brooloo and Conondale in an area based on the Coast.

Sincerely

QUEENSLAND
07 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

Signature T J McGrory

Name T. J. McGRORY

Address GLENOAK FARM
WALLI CK RD
KENILWORTH.
P.O. BOX 57 4574 Q
KENILWORTH.

Date 5-5-99

E.C.Q.
009495

QRC/OBJ 382

COMMISSION
QUEENSLAND
07 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

The Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

Queensland Electoral Redistribution

I note that the town of Kenilworth is proposed to be included in the new electorate of Nanango along with other small towns situated in the Mary Valley, Brooloo and Conondale.

I wish to strenuously object to this arrangement on the grounds that the towns of Kenilworth, Brooloo and Conondale have no community of interest with the towns in the Nanango area and the area shown as included in the proposed electorate. Rather they are physically, commercially and socially connected to the areas of Nambour, Gympie, Maroochydore and the Sunshine Coast Hinterland area.
In addition:

1. These three towns rely for their major commerce on the coastal towns of Gympie, Nambour and Maleny. They have no direct road connection with the Nanango area and no business or social connection with that area.

2. For these towns the Health Support Systems are all based in Nambour, Maleny or Gympie. Such services as hospitals, specialist services and aged facilities are all located East of our towns, never in a Westward direction.

3. Gaining access to the Parliamentarian for these towns would be very difficult as access roads all run in an Easterly direction. Likewise, servicing this area would prove difficult for the elected member.

I therefore, request that the redistribution of electoral boundaries include the towns of Kenilworth, Brooloo and Conondale in an area based on the Coast.

In addition, personally speaking, I consider Brooloo electors should remain in the Gympie Electorate, which hopefully will remain with its southern boundary same as the Cooloola Shire boundary with Maroochy Shire.

Sincerely,

Signature I H Usher

Name IRIS HELEN USHER Address 4090 MARY VALLEY RD
BROOLOO
Q. 4570

Date 29.04.1999

ERCEG - ERIC
10 CLIFTON RD.
CLIFTON BEACH
N. Q
4879



... May 1999

QRC/OBJ 383

The Commissioner
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

Dear Sir,

I wish to lodge an objection to the proposal to include the beachside suburbs of Palm Cove and Clifton Beach in the State Electorate of Cook.


As a resident of Palm Cove / Clifton Beach, I fail to see what possible community of interest I would have with the electorate of Cook which is based on Cape York Peninsula and Torres Strait.

Currently Palm Cove and Clifton Beach are in the State Electorate of Barron River, and that is where I would prefer them to stay.

This electorate includes the rest of the beachside suburbs which make up the Northern Beaches area of Cairns and I can see no sense in splitting this geographical area.

I urge you to please reconsider your propsal and return both Palm Cove and Clifton Beach into the electorate of Barron River.

Yours sincerely



FILE No.	EX/10/6
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ 383
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	7/5

GARY + LEONIE FAMES
9 FLORA CLOSE
CLIFTON BEACH
QLD. 4879
4TH. MAY 1999

... May 1999

The Commissioner
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

E.C.Q.
009497

QRC/OBJ 384

Dear Sir,

I wish to lodge an objection to the proposal to include the beachside suburbs of Palm Cove and Clifton Beach in the State Electorate of Cook.

As a resident of Palm Cove / Clifton Beach, I fail to see what possible community of interest I would have with the electorate of Cook which is based on Cape York Peninsula and Torres Strait.

Currently Palm Cove and Clifton Beach are in the State Electorate of Barron River, and that is where I would prefer them to stay.

This electorate includes the rest of the beachside suburbs which make up the Northern Beaches area of Cairns and I can see no sense in splitting this geographical area.

I urge you to please reconsider your propsal and return both Palm Cove and Clifton Beach into the electorate of Barron River.

Yours sincerely

ELECTORAL COMMISSION QUEENSLAND
07 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

FILE No. EX/71/6
ACTION OFFICER DEC
REG. No. QRC/OBJ384
DOCUMENTED YES/NO
SCANNED YES/NO
ATTACHED YES/NO
REPLY BY
REPLY SENT
ECQ. RECORDS

4 Pellowe St
Clifton Beach
QLD
4879
4-5-99

... May 1999

E.C.Q.
009498

The Commissioner
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

QRC/OBJ385

Dear Sir,

I wish to lodge an objection to the proposal to include the beachside suburbs of Palm Cove and Clifton Beach in the State Electorate of Cook.

As a resident of Palm Cove / Clifton Beach, I fail to see what possible community of interest I would have with the electorate of Cook which is based on Cape York Peninsula and Torres Strait.

Currently Palm Cove and Clifton Beach are in the State Electorate of Barron River, and that is where I would prefer them to stay.

This electorate includes the rest of the beachside suburbs which make up the Northern Beaches area of Cairns and I can see no sense in splitting this geographical area.

I urge you to please reconsider your propsal and return both Palm Cove and Clifton Beach into the electorate of Barron River.

Yours sincerely

07 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

K.T. Willmett
KEITH THOMAS WILLMETT

V.E. Willmett
Valerie Elizabeth Willmett.

INGE BRIGGEN
LUIS MARIN-GOMEZ
4 HAYCOCK ST
CLIFTON BEACH
Q 4879

5. May 1999

E.C.Q.
009499

The Commissioner
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

QRC/OBJ 386

Dear Sir,

I wish to lodge an objection to the proposal to include the beachside suburbs of Palm Cove and Clifton Beach in the State Electorate of Cook.

As a resident of Palm Cove / Clifton Beach, I fail to see what possible community of interest I would have with the electorate of Cook which is based on Cape York Peninsula and Torres Strait.

Currently Palm Cove and Clifton Beach are in the State Electorate of Barron River, and that is where I would prefer them to stay.

This electorate includes the rest of the beachside suburbs which make up the Northern Beaches area of Cairns and I can see no sense in splitting this geographical area.

I urge you to please reconsider your propsal and return both Palm Cove and Clifton Beach into the electorate of Barron River.

Yours sincerely

Inge Briggen
Luis Marin-Gomez

ELECTORAL COMMISSION
QUEENSLAND
07 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

63 Guida St
Clifton Bch
Cairns
4879

... May 1999

The Commissioner
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

E.C.Q.
009500

QRC/OBJ 387

Dear Sir,

I wish to lodge an objection to the proposal to include the beachside suburbs of Palm Cove and Clifton Beach in the State Electorate of Cook.

As a resident of Palm Cove / Clifton Beach, I fail to see what possible community of interest I would have with the electorate of Cook which is based on Cape York Peninsula and Torres Strait.

Currently Palm Cove and Clifton Beach are in the State Electorate of Barron River, and that is where I would prefer them to stay.

This electorate includes the rest of the beachside suburbs which make up the Northern Beaches area of Cairns and I can see no sense in splitting this geographical area.

I urge you to please reconsider your propsal and return both Palm Cove and Clifton Beach into the electorate of Barron River.

QUEENSLAND
27 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

Yours sincerely

Bronwyn Advocatta
Clifton Beach

Giles Advocatta
Clifton Bch

SUZI & ROB SHENNAN
44 RUDDER CLOSE
CLIFTON BEACH
CAIRNS
QLD 4879

3 May 1999



The Commissioner
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

QRC/OBJ 388

Dear Sir,

I wish to lodge an objection to the proposal to include the beachside suburbs of Palm Cove and Clifton Beach in the State Electorate of Cook.

As a resident of Palm Cove / Clifton Beach, I fail to see what possible community of interest I would have with the electorate of Cook which is based on Cape York Peninsula and Torres Strait.


Currently Palm Cove and Clifton Beach are in the State Electorate of Barron River, and that is where I would prefer them to stay.

This electorate includes the rest of the beachside suburbs which make up the Northern Beaches area of Cairns and I can see no sense in splitting this geographical area.

I urge you to please reconsider your propsal and return both Palm Cove and Clifton Beach into the electorate of Barron River.

Yours sincerely

D & S Shennan



L A BARNETT
10 THETA CLOSE
PALM COVE
QLD 4879

... May 1999



The Commissioner
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

QRC/OBJ 389

Dear Sir,

I wish to lodge an objection to the proposal to include the beachside suburbs of Palm Cove and Clifton Beach in the State Electorate of Cook.

As a resident of Palm Cove / Clifton Beach, I fail to see what possible community of interest I would have with the electorate of Cook which is based on Cape York Peninsula and Torres Strait.


Currently Palm Cove and Clifton Beach are in the State Electorate of Barron River, and that is where I would prefer them to stay.

This electorate includes the rest of the beachside suburbs which make up the Northern Beaches area of Cairns and I can see no sense in splitting this geographical area.

I urge you to please reconsider your propsal and return both Palm Cove and Clifton Beach into the electorate of Barron River.

Yours sincerely

Leslie A Barnett



07 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

J + A DUKES
52 TEREBRIA ST
PALM COVE 4879

4-5-99

4. May 1999

E.C.Q.
009540

The Commissioner
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

QRC/OBJ 390

Dear Sir,

I wish to lodge an objection to the proposal to include the beachside suburbs of Palm Cove and Clifton Beach in the State Electorate of Cook.

As a resident of Palm Cove / Clifton Beach, I fail to see what possible community of interest I would have with the electorate of Cook which is based on Cape York Peninsula and Torres Strait.

Currently Palm Cove and Clifton Beach are in the State Electorate of Barron River, and that is where I would prefer them to stay.

This electorate includes the rest of the beachside suburbs which make up the Northern Beaches area of Cairns and I can see no sense in splitting this geographical area.

I urge you to please reconsider your propsal and return both Palm Cove and Clifton Beach into the electorate of Barron River.

Yours sincerely

P.S. We're very happy with Lesley Clark as member. We wish to stay in her electorate.

J G Dukes

J G DUKES.

65 Veivers Road
PALM COVE 4879
QLD.

... May 1999

The Commissioner
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

E.C.Q.
009503

QRC/OBJ 391

Dear Sir,

I wish to lodge an objection to the proposal to include the beachside suburbs of Palm Cove and Clifton Beach in the State Electorate of Cook.

As a resident of Palm Cove / ~~Clifton Beach~~, I fail to see what possible community of interest I would have with the electorate of Cook which is based on Cape York Peninsula and Torres Strait.

Currently Palm Cove and Clifton Beach are in the State Electorate of Barron River, and that is where I would prefer them to stay.

This electorate includes the rest of the beachside suburbs which make up the Northern Beaches area of Cairns and I can see no sense in splitting this geographical area.

I urge you to please reconsider your propsal and return both Palm Cove and Clifton Beach into the electorate of Barron River.

Yours sincerely

C.J. Crabbe
K.L Crabbe

C+K CRABBE

07 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

Theodor & Karin
Denzer
8 Pellowe St
Clifton Beach
Queensland 4879

5th May 1999



The Commissioner
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

QRC/OBJ 392

Dear Sir,

I wish to lodge an objection to the proposal to include the beachside suburbs of Palm Cove and Clifton Beach in the State Electorate of Cook.

As a resident of Palm Cove / Clifton Beach, I fail to see what possible community of interest I would have with the electorate of Cook which is based on Cape York Peninsula and Torres Strait.


Currently Palm Cove and Clifton Beach are in the State Electorate of Barron River, and that is where I would prefer them to stay.

This electorate includes the rest of the beachside suburbs which make up the Northern Beaches area of Cairns and I can see no sense in splitting this geographical area.

I urge you to please reconsider your propsal and return both Palm Cove and Clifton Beach into the electorate of Barron River.

Yours sincerely

Theodor Denzer



David Presnell
84 Peaga Road
Palm Cove
Qld
4870

3 May 1999



The Commissioner
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

QRC/OBJ 393

Dear Sir,

I wish to lodge an objection to the proposal to include the beachside suburbs of Palm Cove and Clifton Beach in the State Electorate of Cook.

As a resident of Palm Cove / ~~Clifton Beach~~, I fail to see what possible community of interest I would have with the electorate of Cook which is based on Cape York Peninsula and Torres Strait.


Currently Palm Cove and Clifton Beach are in the State Electorate of Barron River, and that is where I would prefer them to stay.

This electorate includes the rest of the beachside suburbs which make up the Northern Beaches area of Cairns and I can see no sense in splitting this geographical area.

I urge you to please reconsider your propsal and return both Palm Cove and Clifton Beach into the electorate of Barron River.

Yours sincerely

David Presnell



Rob & Jan Fullebracht
4 Michaelmas Close
Clifton Beach
4879

4 May 1999



The Commissioner
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

QRC/OBJ 394

Dear Sir,

I wish to lodge an objection to the proposal to include the beachside suburbs of Palm Cove and Clifton Beach in the State Electorate of Cook.

As a resident of Palm Cove / Clifton Beach, I fail to see what possible community of interest I would have with the electorate of Cook which is based on Cape York Peninsula and Torres Strait.


Currently Palm Cove and Clifton Beach are in the State Electorate of Barron River, and that is where I would prefer them to stay.

This electorate includes the rest of the beachside suburbs which make up the Northern Beaches area of Cairns and I can see no sense in splitting this geographical area.

I urge you to please reconsider your propsal and return both Palm Cove and Clifton Beach into the electorate of Barron River.

Yours sincerely

Jan Fullebracht



Dawn V. & Geoff H. Wickfeldt
Lot 22 Alexandra St
Clifton Beach
4879

... May 1999



The Commissioner
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

QRC/OBJ 395

Dear Sir,

I wish to lodge an objection to the proposal to include the beachside suburbs of Palm Cove and Clifton Beach in the State Electorate of Cook.

As a resident of Palm Cove / Clifton Beach, I fail to see what possible community of interest I would have with the electorate of Cook which is based on Cape York Peninsula and Torres Strait.


Currently Palm Cove and Clifton Beach are in the State Electorate of Barron River, and that is where I would prefer them to stay.

This electorate includes the rest of the beachside suburbs which make up the Northern Beaches area of Cairns and I can see no sense in splitting this geographical area.

I urge you to please reconsider your propsal and return both Palm Cove and Clifton Beach into the electorate of Barron River.

Yours sincerely

G.H. Wickfeldt D.V. Wickfeldt



L. McKENZIE
28 EDDY ST
CLIFTON BCH
Q 4879

3 May 1999



The Commissioner
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

QRC/OBJ 396

Dear Sir,

I wish to lodge an objection to the proposal to include the beachside suburbs of Palm Cove and Clifton Beach in the State Electorate of Cook.


As a resident of Palm Cove / Clifton Beach, I fail to see what possible community of interest I would have with the electorate of Cook which is based on Cape York Peninsula and Torres Strait.

Currently Palm Cove and Clifton Beach are in the State Electorate of Barron River, and that is where I would prefer them to stay.

This electorate includes the rest of the beachside suburbs which make up the Northern Beaches area of Cairns and I can see no sense in splitting this geographical area.

I urge you to please reconsider your propsal and return both Palm Cove and Clifton Beach into the electorate of Barron River.

Yours sincerely



23 ARLINGTON ESP
CLIFTON BEACH
QLAND 4879

3... May 1999



The Commissioner
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

QRC/OBJ 397

Dear Sir,


I wish to lodge an objection to the proposal to include the beachside suburbs of Palm Cove and Clifton Beach in the State Electorate of Cook.

As a resident of Palm Cove / Clifton Beach, I fail to see what possible community of interest I would have with the electorate of Cook which is based on Cape York Peninsula and Torres Strait.

Currently Palm Cove and Clifton Beach are in the State Electorate of Barron River, and that is where I would prefer them to stay.

This electorate includes the rest of the beachside suburbs which make up the Northern Beaches area of Cairns and I can see no sense in splitting this geographical area.

I urge you to please reconsider your propsal and return both Palm Cove and Clifton Beach into the electorate of Barron River.



Yours sincerely

Michael Anne McKenna.

19-21 BAINES ST
CLIFTON BEACH
CAIRNS
NORTH QUEENSLAND
4879

4 May 1999



The Commissioner
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

QRC/OBJ 398

Dear Sir,

I wish to lodge an objection to the proposal to include the beachside suburbs of Palm Cove and Clifton Beach in the State Electorate of Cook.

As a resident of Palm Cove / Clifton Beach, I fail to see what possible community of interest I would have with the electorate of Cook which is based on Cape York Peninsula and Torres Strait.

Currently Palm Cove and Clifton Beach are in the State Electorate of Barron River, and that is where I would prefer them to stay.


This electorate includes the rest of the beachside suburbs which make up the Northern Beaches area of Cairns and I can see no sense in splitting this geographical area.

I urge you to please reconsider your propsal and return both Palm Cove and Clifton Beach into the electorate of Barron River.

Yours sincerely

R. MacKellar

A. Sutherland



K.W. FOO
74 Veivers Rd.
Palm Cove
Cairns
QLD. 4879

4th May 1999

E.C.Q.
009511

The Commissioner
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

QRC/OBJ 399

Dear Sir,

I wish to lodge an objection to the proposal to include the beachside suburbs of Palm Cove and Clifton Beach in the State Electorate of Cook.

As a resident of Palm Cove / Clifton Beach, I fail to see what possible community of interest I would have with the electorate of Cook which is based on Cape York Peninsula and Torres Strait.

Currently Palm Cove and Clifton Beach are in the State Electorate of Barron River, and that is where I would prefer them to stay.

This electorate includes the rest of the beachside suburbs which make up the Northern Beaches area of Cairns and I can see no sense in splitting this geographical area.

I urge you to please reconsider your propsal and return both Palm Cove and Clifton Beach into the electorate of Barron River.

Yours sincerely

K.W. FOO

07 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

The Chairman
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE.Q. 9001.

WINTON.Q. 4735
~~10th~~ May, 1999
2nd May

E.C.Q.
009512

Phone 1800 801 665
Fax (07) 3229 7391

QRC/OBJ 400

Re Proposal to remove Winton Shire from Gregory Electorate to Mt.Isa.

quite I
~~We~~, the undersigned, wish to lodge an objection with the Queensland Electoral Commission concerning the proposed redistribution to the Electorate of Gregory, wherein it is proposed that the Shire of Winton be removed and added to the Electorate of Mt.Isa.

(1) There is no existing community of interest between Winton and Mt.Isa.
(2) Existing business, educational and social links are with Longreach to the south east and Charters Towers/ Townsville to the north east
(3). The Shire of Winton has been fighting bad seasons, low commody prices and a declining population for some years and we can't afford a lack of political representation.
(4) The Winton communtiy has only recently suffered in Federal redistribution last year when it was removed, despite numerous objections, from the Federal seat of Kennedy to Capricornia, a coastal area which has no community of interest whatever with the Bush. We have yet to see the Federal member for Capricornia in Winton,
(5) It seems to be a fact of life that small communities which are inappropriately bracketed electorally with bigger centres, with which they have no community of interest, subsequently suffer a lack of representation
(6) It seems also that people have become numbers punched into a computer so that mandatory percentages of the population can be put in boxes — regardless of the suitability of a "box" (in this instance an electorate). More consideration for similarity of needs & living conditions is needed, to avoid the smaller community withering away in an "alien climate".

THIS IS NOT PARTY POLITICAL

Jean O'Connell (Mrs)

Mrs J.M. O'CONNELL, CAMARA, WINTON, QLD, 4735

ELECTORAL COMMISSION QUEENSLAND
07 MAY 1999

The Chairman
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE.Q. 9001.

Phone 1800 801 665
Fax (07) 3229 7391

WINTON.Q. 4735
~~10TH May, 1999~~
2nd May

E.C.Q.
009513

QRC/OBJ 401

Re Proposal to remove Winton Shire from Gregory Electorate to Mt.Isa.

We, the undersigned, wish to lodge an objection with the Queensland Electoral Commission concerning the proposed redistribution to the Electorate of Gregory, wherein it is proposed that the Shire of Winton be removed and added to the Electorate of Mt.Isa.

(1) There is no existing community of interest between Winton and Mt.Isa.
(2) Existing business, educational and social links are with Longreach to the south east and Charters Towers/ Townsville to the north east
(3). The Shire of Winton has been fighting bad seasons, low commody prices and a declining population for some years and we can't afford a lack of political representation.
(4) The Winton communtiy has only recently suffered in Federal redistribution last year when it was removed, despite numerous objections, from the Federal seat of Kennedy to Capricornia, a coastal area which has no community of interest whatever with the Bush. We have yet to see the Federal member for Capricornia in Winton.
(5) It seems to be a fact of life that small communities which are inappropriately bracketed electorally with bigger centres, with which they have no community of interest, subsequently suffer a lack of representation

Lisa M Temple
"Glenlyrec"
Winton Qld 4735
Lisa M Temple

D. Whitehead
Mentone
Corfield. 4733

Graham Weshagen
46 Elderslie St
Winton

Pam Strang
6 Bostock St
Winton

David Strang
6 Bostock St
Winton

Sandra Birchmore
Bemborough Downs
Winton
S.J. Birchmore.

Carole Perkins
Coralton Stn
Winton

Sarah Whitehead
Mentone
Corfield 4733

QUEENSLAND PARLIAMENT
07 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

E.C.Q.
009514

The Chairman
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE.Q. 9001.

WINTON.Q. 4735
~~10TH May~~, 1999
2nd Hand

ELECTORAL COMMISSION QUEENSLAND
07 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

Phone 1800 801 665
Fax (07) 3229 7391

QRC/OBJ402

Re Proposal to remove Winton Shire from Gregory Electorate to Mt.Isa.

We, the undersigned, wish to lodge an objection with the Queensland Electoral Commission concerning the proposed redistribution to the Electorate of Gregory, wherein it is proposed that the Shire of Winton be removed and added to the Electorate of Mt.Isa.

(1) There is no existing community of interest between Winton and Mt.Isa.
(2) Existing business, educational and social links are with Longreach to the south east and Charters Towers/ Townsville to the north east
(3). The Shire of Winton has been fighting bad seasons, low commody prices and a declining population for some years and we can't afford a lack of political representation.
(4) The Winton communtiy has only recently suffered in Federal redistribution last year when it was removed, despite numerous objections, from the Federal seat of Kennedy to Capricornia, a coastal area which has no community of interest whatever with the Bush. We have yet to see the Federal member for Capricornia in Winton.
(5) It seems to be a fact of life that small communities which are inappropriately bracketed electorally with bigger centres, with which they have no community of interest, subsequently suffer a lack of representation

Mt Isa Mail
Winton Shire
Mt Isa Qld

Bill Stuart
Kinrowie
Capella Qld 4723

R. O'Connell Camara Stn Winton 4735

Mr. Unarra
Box 125
Winton 4735

Mr. Unaipon
P. Doolan
P.O Box 437
Winton 4735

K Doolan
P.O. Box 437
WINTON.
K DOOLAN

Christine Batt
Nuker
Winton 4735

Christine Batt

Ken Lemon
Leeang
Winton

KEN SORENSEN
Corfield Dns

Peter Knowles
21 Nisbit ST
Winton 4735

Jim Connell
Camara Stn
Winton Qld 4735

J.D. Connell

Geoff Ogg
Cloncurry Dns
Winton

G.J. Ogg

E.C.Q.
009515

The Chairman
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE.Q. 9001.

Phone 1800 801 665
Fax (07) 3229 7391

WINTON.Q. 4735
2 May, 1999

ELECTORAL COMMISSION QUEENSLAND
07 MAY 1999

Re Proposal to remove Winton Shire from Gregory Electorate to Mt.Isa.

We, the undersigned, wish to lodge an objection with the Queensland Electoral Commission concerning the proposed redistribution to the Electorate of Gregory, wherein it is proposed that the Shire of Winton be removed and added to the Electorate of Mt.Isa. QRC/OBJ 403

(1) There is no existing community of interest between Winton and Mt.Isa.
(2) Existing business, educational and social links are with Longreach to the south east and Charters Towers/ Townsville to the north east
(3). The Shire of Winton has been fighting bad seasons, low commody prices and a declining population for some years and we can't afford a lack of political representation.
(4) The Winton communtiy has only recently suffered in Federal redistribution last year when it was removed, despite numerous objections, from the Federal seat of Kennedy to Capricornia, a coastal area which has no community of interest whatever with the Bush. We have yet to see the Federal member for Capricornia in Winton,
(5) It seems to be a fact of life that small communities which are inappropriately bracketed electorally with bigger centres, with which they have no community of interest, subsequently suffer a lack of representation

H. LENTON
A. LENTON
CHIRNSIDE STREET WINTON Q

ROBERT C. McQUEEN 'LEESON' WINTON
GRAHAM ROGERS WINTON
TERRY BETTS BLOMFIELD ST WINTON
Karen Betts Blomfield Street Winton
John Sheales Mahrigong
LEE MITCHELL FARYMEAD
Greg Michele Colonna
BILLENBOROUGH, CURFIELD, WAYNE BIRCHMORE

The Chairman
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE.Q. 9001.

Phone 1800 801 665
Fax (07) 3229 7391

WINTON.Q. 4735
7th May, 1999

07 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

E.C.Q.
009516

Re Proposal to remove Winton Shire from Gregory Electorate to Mt.Isa.

We, the undersigned, wish to lodge an objection with the Queensland Electoral Commission concerning the proposed redistribution to the Electorate of Gregory, wherein it is proposed that the Shire of Winton be removed and added to the Electorate of Mt.Isa.

QRC/OBJ 404

(1) There is no existing community of interest between Winton and Mt.Isa.
(2) Existing business, educational and social links are with Longreach to the south east and Charters Towers/ Townsville to the north east
(3). The Shire of Winton has been fighting bad seasons, low commody prices and a declining population for some years and we can't afford a lack of political representation.
(4) The Winton communtiy has only recently suffered in Federal redistribution last year when it was removed, despite numerous objections, from the Federal seat of Kennedy to Capricornia, a coastal area which has no community of interest whatever with the Bush. We have yet to see the Federal member for Capricornia in Winton,
(5) It seems to be a fact of life that small communities which are inappropriately bracketed electorally with bigger centres, with which they have no community of interest, subsequently suffer a lack of representation

QRC/OBJ 404

Pat Thomson
PO Box 13
Winton
Thomson

D Johnson
ESCOM 313
WINTON

John Chamberlain
44 Chamberlain Street
Winton

Lis Sorensen
107 VINDEX ST
WINTON

G.A.DEANE
MAHBOOMA
CORFIELD 4735.

P.G.Button
THE GROVE
WINTON
4735

J.L. Ludekupe
Bendooroo Nth
Winton
4735
OLIVE PERKINS
CORALTON
WINTON 4735

Peter Ernst
Box 257
Winton Q
4735

C.R. BRITTON
The Grove
WINTON
4735

Peter Ogg
"McKENZIE"
WINTON

W.J. Ludekupe
Bendooroo Sth

ERIC BENNETT
73 DAGWORTH ST
QLD 4735

JOHN TEMPLE
GLENKYREE
WINTON
4735.

DAVID OGG
TAHKARA
WINTON

KYM JOHNSTON
73 DAGNORTH ST
WINTON

P.S. SORENSEN
107 VINDEX ST.
WINTON

D.B. Nicholson
Wongan
Winton 4735

The Chairman
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE.Q. 9001.

Phone 1800 801 665
Fax (07) 3229 7391

WINTON.Q. 4735
~~10TH May~~, 1999
20th April

E.C.Q.
009517

QRC/OBJ 405

Re Proposal to remove Winton Shire from Gregory Electorate to Mt.Isa.

We, the undersigned, wish to lodge an objection with the Queensland Electoral Commission concerning the proposed redistribution to the Electorate of Gregory, wherein it is proposed that the Shire of Winton be removed and added to the Electorate of Mt.Isa.

(1) There is no existing community of interest between Winton and Mt.Isa.
(2) Existing business, educational and social links are with Longreach to the south east and Charters Towers/ Townsville to the north east
(3).The Shire of Winton has been fighting bad seasons, low commody prices and a declining population for some years and we can't afford a lack of political representation.
(4)The Winton communtiy has only recently suffered in Federal redistribution last year when it was removed, despite numerous objections, from the Federal seat of Kennedy to Capricornia, a coastal area which has no community of interest whatever with the Bush. We have yet to see the Federal member for Capricornia in Winton,
(5) It seems to be a fact of life that small communities which are inappropriately bracketed electorally with bigger centres, with which they have no community of interest, subsequently suffer a lack of representation

Sandra Muir
Winton Q 4735

Chrissy Dunphy
112 Vindex Street
WINTON Q 4735

Rachael Lenton
1 Vindex St
Winton Q 4735

ELECTORAL COMMISSION QUEENSLAND
07 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

2

The Chairman
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE.Q. 9001.

Phone 1800 801 665
Fax (07) 3229 7391

WINTON.Q. 4735
3rd May, 1999

QUEENSLAND
07 MAY 1999
RECEIVED
E.C.Q.
009518

Re Proposal to remove Winton ~~Shire from Gregory~~ Electorate to Mt.Isa.

We, the undersigned, wish to lodge an objection with the Queensland Electoral Commission concerning the proposed redistribution to the Electorate of Gregory, wherein it is proposed that the Shire of Winton be removed and added to the Electorate of Mt.Isa.

(1) There is no existing community of interest between Winton and Mt.Isa.
(2) Existing business, educational and social links are with Longreach to the south east and Charters Towers/ Townsville to the north east
(3). The Shire of Winton has been fighting bad seasons, low commody prices and a declining population for some years and we can't afford a lack of political representation.
(4) The Winton communtiy has only recently suffered in Federal redistribution last year when it was removed, despite numerous objections, from the Federal seat of Kennedy to Capricornia, a coastal area which has no community of interest whatever with the Bush. We have yet to see the Federal member for Capricornia in Winton.
(5) It seems to be a fact of life that small communities which are inappropriately bracketed electorally with bigger centres, with which they have no community of interest, subsequently suffer a lack of representation

QRC/ORG 406

Allen H. Stockham. A.H. STOCKHAM.
9 DAGWORTH ST. P.O. BOX 84, WINTON. Q. 4735

Barry Cooper. B.J. COOPER. 42 Blomfield St Winton 4735.

Karen Stockham KAREN STOCKHAM. 9 Dagworth St Winton 4735.

D.M. Kennedy BOX 81 ST. WINTON

M PURRIE BOX 153 WINTON.

MARIE MANN 3 CORK ST WINTON.

margaret whip 6 Cork street Winton

RIND HOLM. 109 ELDERSLIE ST WINTON

Guidone & Peter Dooley 94 Elderslie St, Winton 4735 Q.

E.C.Q.
009519

The Chairman
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE.Q. 9001.

Phone 1800 801 665
Fax (07) 3229 7391

WINTON.Q. 4735
~~10TH May~~, 1999
2nd May

QRC/OBJ407

ELECTORAL COMMISSION QUEENSLAND
07 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

Re Proposal to remove Winton Shire from Gregory Electorate to Mt.Isa.

We, the undersigned, wish to lodge an objection with the Queensland Electoral Commission concerning the proposed redistribution to the Electorate of Gregory, wherein it is proposed that the Shire of Winton be removed and added to the Electorate of Mt.Isa.

(1) There is no existing community of interest between Winton and Mt.Isa.
(2) Existing business, educational and social links are with Longreach to the south east and Charters Towers/ Townsville to the north east
(3). The Shire of Winton has been fighting bad seasons, low commody prices and a declining population for some years and we can't afford a lack of political representation.
(4) The Winton communtiy has only recently suffered in Federal redistribution last year when it was removed, despite numerous objections, from the Federal seat of Kennedy to Capricornia, a coastal area which has no community of interest whatever with the Bush. We have yet to see the Federal member for Capricornia in Winton,
(5) It seems to be a fact of life that small communities which are inappropriately bracketed electorally with bigger centres, with which they have no community of interest, subsequently suffer a lack of representation

Amanda Searle — Amanda A Searle, 19 Sesbania St WINTON 4735
J. M. Cupitt — JACQULINE M CUPITT, 23 boxtock St winton 4735
B. J. Welsh — 13 Dagworth St. Winton 4735
T. Lukely — 13 Dagworth St. Winton 4735
G. Graham — 28 Elderslie St winton
D. Henderson — 23 DAGWORTH ST Winton 4735
S. Agar — 23 DAGWORTH ST Winton 4735
E M Searle. — 19 Sesbania St Winton 4735
P. Stockham — 53A RAMSAY ST WINTON 4735

07 46543400

THE CHARLEVILLE AND DISTRICT

CHAMBER OF COMMERCE INC.

P.O. BOX 507, CHARLEVILLE, QLD 4470

President: Paul Talbot
Phone: (07) 4654 1900
fax:(07) 4654 3400

Vice President: Gary Heinemann
Phone: (07) 4654 2936
Fax: (07) 4654 2938

Secretary: Linda Marks
Phone:(07) 4654 1080

Treasurer: Les Darby
Phone:(07) 4654 3499
Fax: (07) 4654 2787

E.C.Q.
009520

TO: Queensland Redistribution Commission
Fax 07 3229 7391

QRC/OBJ 408

QLD REDISTRIBUTION COMMISSION

Please accept this document from the Charleville & District Chamber of Commerce and treat it as the official objection to the Electorate Redistribution of the Warrego District.
The Chamber objects most strongly to the proposed redistribution for the following reasons:

1. The Chamber believes that the Commission has not seriously considered Charleville's connection to the East Coast. Presently nearly all Government direction, information and departmental contacts come from Roma or Brisbane. The current boundaries allow us to have a say through these easily accessible avenues in regard to our future. We feel the new proposed boundary will hinder our voice in this regard. We also feel that this applies to both transport and freight facilities which run the same eastern route from Brisbane e.g. If Charleville needs Government Representation regarding rail facilities we believe we would be disadvantaged because of the proposed future Parliament Members offices lie on the Longreach to Rockhampton line causing a conflict of interest.

2. It is the Chambers belief that the commissions' computer has worked with only population figures with no regard to geographical distances and productivity of the areas involved. We feel that Charleville will be chopped out of the Warrego Electorate and stuck with Gregory to make up numbers at the expense of our needs and access to facilities.

Please consider:
1. Adding 34,000 km² to Gregory Electorate is a large piece of Queensland for the minister to cover (it is not a drive from the Sunshine Coast to Brisbane). We feel Charleville will be disadvantaged due to the geographical remoteness of our town. In fact we will not be close to or within the heavier populated areas of the Gregory Electorate where at present we are situated in an area where towns are of approximately the same population and share the same geographical needs. We presently share and compete on a common basis for access to existing facilities - which is definitely more preferable.

2. The Chamber would like the Commission to consider moving the boundaries north not south to keep the electorate of Warrego uniform with its town and Councils having similar population and needs. Tacking a large, sparsely populated area on to the extreme boundary edge of an electorate that has its most heavily populated areas over 600km away would critically hinder the smaller populated areas in all Government matters. (No equality due to different needs of the areas within the proposed Gregory Boundary changes.)

3. As Warrego electors' needs will remain, their demand for direct access to their member will not diminish. Therefore, it is going to cost the Member (taxpayer) considerable extra cost in travel and accommodation. Therefore, again, it would make economic sense to allow a third electoral office in Charleville. In the event of boundary changes going ahead this would be imperative.

Thanking you for the opportunity to appealagainst these changes.

P. Talbot

Charleville & District Chamber of Commerce

FROM: G.P. Phillott
Phillott + Company Pty. Ltd.
PO Box 187
Charleville. Q.

TO: Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001
Ph. 1800 801 665
Fax. 07 3229 7391

E.C.Q.
009521

QRC/OBJ409

QLD REDISTRIBUTION COMMISSION

Please accept this document from me and treat it as my official objection to the Electorate Redistribution of the Warrego District.
I object most strongly to the proposed redistribution for the following reasons:

1. I believe that the Commission has not seriously considered Charleville's connection to the East Coast. Presently nearly all Government direction, information and departmental contacts come from Roma or Brisbane. The current boundaries allow us to have a say through these easily accessible avenues in regard to our future. I feel the new proposed boundary will hinder our voice in this regard. I also feel that this applies to both transport and freight facilities which run the same eastern route from Brisbane e.g. If Charleville needs Government Representation regarding rail facilities I believe we would be disadvantaged because of the proposed future Parliament Members offices lie on the Longreach to Rockhampton line causing a conflict of interest.


2. It is my belief that the commissions' computer has worked with only population figures with no regard to geographical distances and productivity of the areas involved. I feel that Charleville will be chopped out of the Warrego Electorate and stuck with Gregory to make up numbers at the expense of our needs and access to facilities.


Please consider:
1. Adding 34,000 km^2 to Gregory Electorate is a large piece of Queensland for the minister to cover (it is not a drive from the Sunshine Coast to Brisbane). I feel Charleville will be disadvantaged due to the geographical remoteness of our town. In fact we will not be close to or within the heavier populated areas of the Gregory Electorate where at present I am situated in an area where towns are of approximately the same population and share the same geographical needs. We presently share and compete on a common basis for access to existing facilities - which is definitely more preferable.

2. I would like the Commission to consider moving the boundaries north not south to keep the electorate of Warrego uniform with its town and Councils having similar population and needs. Tacking a large, sparsely populated area on to the extreme boundary edge of an electorate that has its most heavily populated areas over 600km away would critically hinder the smaller populated areas in all Government matters. (No equality due to different needs of the areas within the proposed Gregory Boundary changes.)

3. As Warrego electors' needs will remain, their demand for direct access to their member will not diminish. Therefore, it is going to cost the Member (taxpayer) considerable extra cost in travel and accommodation. Therefore, again, it would make economic sense to allow a third electoral office in Charleville. In the event of boundary changes going ahead this would be imperative.

Thanking you for the opportunity to appeal against these changes.





Paroo Shire Council

Address All Correspondence To:

THE CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER,
P.O. BOX 75,
CUNNAMULLA, QLD. 4490

Our Ref.:

Your Ref.: SCB:HA
Elections – 0793

QUEENSLAND
CONSTITUTED 1879

CIVIC CENTRE,
CNR LOUISE & STOCKYARD STREETS,
CUNNAMULLA, QLD. 4490

TELEPHONE: (07) 4655 1777 (4 LINES)
FAX: (07) 4655 1647

E.C.Q.
009522

May 4, 1999.

Queensland Redistribution Commission,
Locked Bag 3300,
BRISBANE QLD 9001.

QRC/OBJ 410

Dear Sir/Madam,

Re: Proposed Qld Electoral District of Warrego

The Paroo Shire Council wishes to lodge an objection to the proposed electoral boundary for Warrego on the following grounds:

The existing boundary includes the Murweh Shire and part of the Quilpie Shire. These two local government areas adjoin Paroo Shire to the north and north-west. The far south west region includes the four local government areas of Bulloo, Paroo, Murweh and Quilpie. This region has a community of interest and forms the South West Regional Development Association Inc., a regional economic group that was established in 1992 to provide leadership and maintain local and regional economies and achieve sustainable growth. The group works closely with the South West Strategy, which was established in 1994 by the Commonwealth Government and is focused on the far south west region.

The region relies on the primary industries of sheep and cattle and to a lesser extent harvesting of wild game. The communities of the area interact on a business level, being in the same industries and on a social level for sport, recreation and cultural activities.

The changing of the boundary for the state seat of Warrego to include Murweh and Quilpie would prove disruptive to the joint efforts being undertaken in the areas of economic and community development and tourism. It is therefore requested that the Commission reconsider the Warrego boundary in order not to isolate the two far south west local government areas of Bulloo and Paroo.

ELECTORAL COMMISSION
QUEENSLAND
07 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

Yours faithfully

Suzette C. Beresford,
Chief Executive Officer.

QRC/OBJ 410

FILE No.	EX/7/6
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ 410
DOCUMENTED	YES/~~NO~~
SCANNED	YES/~~NO~~
ATTACHED	YES/~~NO~~
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECO. RECORDS	7/5

FROM: CHESTER

TO: Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001
Ph. 1800 801 665
Fax. 07 3229 7391

QRC/OBJ 411

E.C.Q. 009523

QLD REDISTRIBUTION COMMISSION

Please accept this document from me and treat it as my official objection to the Electorate Redistribution of the Warrego District.
I object most strongly to the proposed redistribution for the following reasons:

1. I believe that the Commission has not seriously considered Charleville's connection to the East Coast. Presently nearly all Government direction, information and departmental contacts come from Roma or Brisbane. The current boundaries allow us to have a say through these easily accessible avenues in regard to our future. I feel the new proposed boundary will hinder our voice in this regard. I also feel that this applies to both transport and freight facilities which run the same eastern route from Brisbane e.g. If Charleville needs Government Representation regarding rail facilities I believe we would be disadvantaged because of the proposed future Parliament Members offices lie on the Longreach to Rockhampton line causing a conflict of interest.

2. It is my belief that the commissions' computer has worked with only population figures with no regard to geographical distances and productivity of the areas involved. I feel that Charleville will be chopped out of the Warrego Electorate and stuck with Gregory to make up numbers at the expense of our needs and access to facilities.

Please consider:
1. Adding 34,000 km² to Gregory Electorate is a large piece of Queensland for the minister to cover (it is not a drive from the Sunshine Coast to Brisbane). I feel Charleville will be disadvantaged due to the geographical remoteness of our town. In fact we will not be close to or within the heavier populated areas of the Gregory Electorate where at present I am situated in an area where towns are of approximately the same population and share the same geographical needs. We presently share and compete on a common basis for access to existing facilities - which is definitely more preferable.

2. I would like the Commission to consider moving the boundaries north not south to keep the electorate of Warrego uniform with its town and Councils having similar population and needs. Tacking a large, sparsely populated area on to the extreme boundary edge of an electorate that has its most heavily populated areas over 600km away would critically hinder the smaller populated areas in all Government matters. (No equality due to different needs of the areas within the proposed Gregory Boundary changes.)

3. As Warrego electors' needs will remain, their demand for direct access to their member will not diminish. Therefore, it is going to cost the Member (taxpayer) considerable extra cost in travel and accommodation. Therefore, again, it would make economic sense to allow a third electoral office in Charleville. In the event of boundary changes going ahead this would be imperative.

Thanking you for the opportunity to appeal against these changes.

DR. C. G. WILSON
71 GALATEA ST.
Charleville 4470

FILE No.	EX/79/6
ACTION OFFICER	DEC.
REG. No	QRC/OBJ 411
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	7/5

07 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

61746543740

FROM: Ulrich R. Bolsterli
196 King Street
Charleville 4470

QRC/OBJ 412

TO: Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001
Ph. 1800 801 665
Fax. 07 3229 7391

E.C.Q.
009524

QLD REDISTRIBUTION COMMISSION

Please accept this document from me and treat it as my official objection to the Electorate Redistribution of the Warrego District.
I object most strongly to the proposed redistribution for the following reasons:

1. I believe that the Commission has not seriously considered Charleville's connection to the East Coast. Presently nearly all Government direction, information and departmental contacts come from Roma or Brisbane. The current boundaries allow us to have a say through these easily accessible avenues in regard to our future. I feel the new proposed boundary will hinder our voice in this regard. I also feel that this applies to both transport and freight facilities which run the same eastern route from Brisbane e.g. If Charleville needs Government Representation regarding rail facilities I believe we would be disadvantaged because of the proposed future Parliament Members offices lie on the Longreach to Rockhampton line causing a conflict of interest.


2. It is my belief that the commissions' computer has worked with only population figures with no regard to geographical distances and productivity of the areas involved. I feel that Charleville will be chopped out of the Warrego Electorate and stuck with Gregory to make up numbers at the expense of our needs and access to facilities.


Please consider:
1. Adding 34,000 km^2 to Gregory Electorate is a large piece of Queensland for the minister to cover (it is not a drive from the Sunshine Coast to Brisbane). I feel Charleville will be disadvantaged due to the geographical remoteness of our town. In fact we will not be close to or within the heavier populated areas of the Gregory Electorate where at present I am situated in an area where towns are of approximately the same population and share the same geographical needs. We presently share and compete on a common basis for access to existing facilities - which is definitely more preferable.

2. I would like the Commission to consider moving the boundaries north not south to keep the electorate of Warrego uniform with its town and Councils having similar population and needs. Tacking a large, sparsely populated area on to the extreme boundary edge of an electorate that has its most heavily populated areas over 600km away would critically hinder the smaller populated areas in all Government matters. (No equality due to different needs of the areas within the proposed Gregory Boundary changes.)

3. As Warrego electors' needs will remain, their demand for direct access to their member will not diminish. Therefore, it is going to cost the Member (taxpayer) considerable extra cost in travel and accommodation. Therefore, again, it would make economic sense to allow a third electoral office in Charleville. In the event of boundary changes going ahead this would be imperative.

Thanking you for the opportunity to appeal against these changes.





FROM:

ROBERT ECKEL REPAIRS
88 Edward Street,
P.O. Box 454
CHARLEVILLE, Q. 4470
Phone 54 1358, A/H 54 2252

TO: Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001
Ph. 1800 801 665
Fax. 07 3229 7391

QRC/OBJ 413

E.C.Q.
009525

QLD REDISTRIBUTION COMMISSION

Please accept this document from me and treat it as my official objection to the Electorate Redistribution of the Warrego District.
I object most strongly to the proposed redistribution for the following reasons:

1. I believe that the Commission has not seriously considered Charleville's connection to the East Coast. Presently nearly all Government direction, information and departmental contacts come from Roma or Brisbane. The current boundaries allow us to have a say through these easily accessible avenues in regard to our future. I feel the new proposed boundary will hinder our voice in this regard. I also feel that this applies to both transport and freight facilities which run the same eastern route from Brisbane e.g. If Charleville needs Government Representation regarding rail facilities I believe we would be disadvantaged because of the proposed future Parliament Members offices lie on the Longreach to Rockhampton line causing a conflict of interest.

2. It is my belief that the commissions' computer has worked with only population figures with no regard to geographical distances and productivity of the areas involved. I feel that Charleville will be chopped out of the Warrego Electorate and stuck with Gregory to make up numbers at the expense of our needs and access to facilities.

Please consider:

1. Adding 34,000 km² to Gregory Electorate is a large piece of Queensland for the minister to cover (it is not a drive from the Sunshine Coast to Brisbane). I feel Charleville will be disadvantaged due to the geographical remoteness of our town. In fact we will not be close to or within the heavier populated areas of the Gregory Electorate where at present I am situated in an area where towns are of approximately the same population and share the same geographical needs. We presently share and compete on a common basis for access to existing facilities - which is definitely more preferable.

2. I would like the Commission to consider moving the boundaries north not south to keep the electorate of Warrego uniform with its town and Councils having similar population and needs. Tacking a large, sparsely populated area on to the extreme boundary edge of an electorate that has its most heavily populated areas over 600km away would critically hinder the smaller populated areas in all Government matters. (No equality due to different needs of the areas within the proposed Gregory Boundary changes.)

3. As Warrego electors' needs will remain, their demand for direct access to their member will not diminish. Therefore, it is going to cost the Member (taxpayer) considerable extra cost in travel and accommodation. Therefore, again, it would make economic sense to allow a third electoral office in Charleville. In the event of boundary changes going ahead this would be imperative.

Thanking you for the opportunity to appeal against these changes.

ELECTORAL COMMISSION QUEENSLAND
07 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

FROM: DARYN HURLEY
WESTERN BUTCHERING CO
CHARLEVILLE

TO: Qucensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001
Ph. 1800 801 665
Fax. 07 3229 7391

QRC/OBJ 414

E.C.Q.
009526

QLD REDISTRIBUTION COMMISSION

Please accept this document from me and treat it as my official objection to the Electorate Redistribution of the Warrego District.
I object most strongly to the proposed redistribution for the following reasons:

1. I believe that the Commission has not seriously considered Charleville's connection to the East Coast. Presently nearly all Government direction, information and departmental contacts come from Roma or Brisbane. The current boundaries allow us to have a say through these easily accessible avenues in regard to our future. I feel the new proposed boundary will hinder our voice in this regard. I also feel that this applies to both transport and freight facilities which run the same eastern route from Brisbane e.g. If Charleville needs Government Representation regarding rail facilities I believe we would be disadvantaged because of the proposed future Parliament Members offices lie on the Longreach to Rockhampton line causing a conflict of interest.

2. It is my belief that the commissions' computer has worked with only population figures with no regard to geographical distances and productivity of the areas involved. I feel that Charleville will be chopped out of the Warrego Electorate and stuck with Gregory to make up numbers at the expense of our needs and access to facilities.


Please consider:
1. Adding 34.000 km^2 to Gregory Electorate is a large piece of Queensland for the minister to cover (it is not a drive from the Sunshine Coast to Brisbane). I feel Charleville will be disadvantaged due to the geographical remoteness of our town. In fact we will not be close to or within the heavier populated areas of the Gregory Electorate where at present I am situated in an area where towns are of approximately the same population and share the same geographical needs. We presently share and compete on a common basis for access to existing facilities - which is definitely more preferable.

2. I would like the Commission to consider moving the boundaries north not south to keep the electorate of Warrego uniform with its town and Councils having similar population and needs. Tacking a large, sparsely populated area on to the extreme boundary edge of an electorate that has its most heavily populated areas over 600km away would critically hinder the smaller populated areas in all Government matters. (No equality due to different needs of the areas within the proposed Gregory Boundary changes.)

3. As Warrego electors' needs will remain, their demand for direct access to their member will not diminish. Therefore, it is going to cost the Member (taxpayer) considerable extra cost in travel and accommodation. Therefore, again, it would make economic sense to allow a third electoral office in Charleville. In the event of boundary changes going ahead this would be imperative.

Thanking you for the opportunity to appeal against these changes.





FROM: Dominic Devine
"Devine Rural Business Consultants"
PO Box 403
Charleville 4470

TO: Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001
Ph. 1800 801 665
Fax. 07 3229 7391

QRC/OBJ 415

E.C.Q. 009527

QLD REDISTRIBUTION COMMISSION

Please accept this document from me and treat it as my official objection to the Electorate Redistribution of the Warrego District.
I object most strongly to the proposed redistribution for the following reasons:

1. I believe that the Commission has not seriously considered Charleville's connection to the East Coast. Presently nearly all Government direction, information and departmental contacts come from Roma or Brisbane. The current boundaries allow us to have a say through these easily accessible avenues in regard to our future. I feel the new proposed boundary will hinder our voice in this regard. I also feel that this applies to both transport and freight facilities which run the same eastern route from Brisbane e.g. If Charleville needs Government Representation regarding rail facilities I believe we would be disadvantaged because of the proposed future Parliament Members offices lie on the Longreach to Rockhampton line causing a conflict of interest.

2. It is my belief that the commissions' computer has worked with only population figures with no regard to geographical distances and productivity of the areas involved. I feel that Charleville will be chopped out of the Warrego Electorate and stuck with Gregory to make up numbers at the expense of our needs and access to facilities.

Please consider:
1. Adding 34,000 km^2 to Gregory Electorate is a large piece of Queensland for the minister to cover (it is not a drive from the Sunshine Coast to Brisbane). I feel Charleville will be disadvantaged due to the geographical remoteness of our town. In fact we will not be close to or within the heavier populated areas of the Gregory Electorate where at present I am situated in an area where towns are of approximately the same population and share the same geographical needs. We presently share and compete on a common basis for access to existing facilities - which is definitely more preferable.

2. I would like the Commission to consider moving the boundaries north not south to keep the electorate of Warrego uniform with its town and Councils having similar population and needs. Tacking a large, sparsely populated area on to the extreme boundary edge of an electorate that has its most heavily populated areas over 600km away would critically hinder the smaller populated areas in all Government matters. (No equality due to different needs of the areas within the proposed Gregory Boundary changes.)

3. As Warrego electors' needs will remain, their demand for direct access to their member will not diminish. Therefore, it is going to cost the Member (taxpayer) considerable extra cost in travel and accommodation. Therefore, again, it would make economic sense to allow a third electoral office in Charleville. In the event of boundary changes going ahead this would be imperative.

Thanking you for the opportunity to appeal against these changes.

Yours faithfully

07 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

QRC/OBJ416

E.C.Q.
009528

74 Kallista Rd
Rochedale South Q 4123

6-5-99

Dear Sir/Madam,

I am writing to express my concern that my suburb is being moved from the Springwood electorate.

The Springwood/Rochedale South community is well established with the feeling of their suburbs blurred around the edges and I believe that by separating the two in this way division will be created sparking the present harmony.

I trust that my opinions will be given due consideration.

Yours sincerely
Mrs. Patricia Kolarski

ELECTORAL COMMISSION
QUEENSLAND
07 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

Electoral Office,
Redistribution Commission
Fax 3229 7391

E.C.Q.
009529

7th May 1999

QRC/OBJ 417

Dear Sir,

I wish to lodge an objection to the proposed redistribution of electoral boundaries which will change our area to Hinchinbrook.

I am a resident of Thuringowa and have been living in the northern beaches area, approximately 20 klms from the centre of Townsville, since Jan 1987.

If the proposed change was to occur, my electoral candidate could live as far away as Innisfail, 3 hours by road or as close as Ingham which is 100 klms, 1 hour away by road.

This is not my major concern as with telephones and faxes they would be as easy to contact as Ken Turner in Kirwan who is our Member at present. This distance may however concern the elected Member when it came to travelling to our area - time and money.

My objection is - what possible interest would a candidate in the cane and banana growing area have in us city folks and our concerns? An equivalent in my thinking is a school teacher attempting to sort out problems in a hospital.

Having lived in Townsville for close on 20 years and operating a trucking business which runs from Cape Tribulation to Sarina to Mt Isa for the last 6 years, I am aware of the different communities which can be found in this vast area. Most communities are fairly close knit with each run to suit the needs of the towns, farms and industry in that area which can differ greatly to the one beside it.

Apparently the reason for the redistribution is to do with the number of people in the electoral district. Surely a little common sense is allowed to be included in these evaluations and that communities with similar concerns could be left in the same electoral districts.

I lodge this objection as a concerned citizen.

Yours faithfully.

Julie Duce

Julie Duce
Ph/fax 4751 5839
56 Annaville Road,
JENSEN 4818

FILE No.	EX79/6
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ 417
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	

7/5

079851162

JERICHO SHIRE COUNCIL

P.O. Box 11, ALPHA, QLD. 4724
Telephone (079) 85 1166
Facsimile (079) 85 1162

Ref: 5.1 BCK:bk
Your Ref: QRC/O

5 May, 1999

E.C.Q.
009530

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

QRC/ORT 418

Dear Sir

Re: Proposed Queensland Electoral Districts
Gregory and Charters Towers Electorates

It is the opinion of Jericho Shire Council that electorates should be designed to be adequately and effectively serviced by their local member.

In this proposed redistribution Gregory Electorate will include the major population centres of Emerald, Longreach and Charleville, which are all several hundred kilometers apart. The Charters Towers Electorate, which we have now been included in, will include the centres of Charters Towers, Hughenden, Georgetown and Alpha, which again, are all several hundred kilometers apart. With such distances between the major centres the problems faced by the Local Member in undertaking duties associated with this position will be enormous with many hours spent travelling.

Council's main objection to Jericho Shire being moved from Gregory to Charters Towers is that there is no community of interest between Alpha and Charters Towers and the electorate.

Contrary to the Commission's opinion that "the areas proposed to be added to Charters Towers are compatible with the existing electorate", the Shire of Jericho have no direct links with any other area in the electorate. There is no direct link to the Charters Towers area by road, rail or air. All services that this Shire sources, come direct from either Emerald or Longreach.

It is feared by Council that if we are moved to the Charters Towers electorate that we will have no personal contact with the local member. As a member of the Gregory electorate however, personal contact is assured as the local member must travel through Jericho Shire when travelling from Emerald to Longreach, thereby making personal contact relatively easy by comparison.

Council has a very strong and long-standing relationship with the Emerald region where a number of Government Departments, including Department of Natural Resources, Department of Primary Industries, Department of Main Roads, Department of Sport and

QRC/OBJ 418

Recreation, educational institutions and District Health Services all service Council needs. The Longreach district service Council needs in the areas of State Emergency Service district headquarters, Police district headquarters, and Fire and Rescue regional headquarters. Both these areas serve residents of the Shire in the areas of community development, sport and recreational pursuits, cultural pursuits, health services and other general requirements.

It is our opinion that as we have a well established community of interest with Emerald and Longreach as well as many other areas in the Gregory electorate then we should still remain within this electorate.

Without pre-empting the intentions of Winton Shire Council and Aramac Shire Council, which I believe are in similar situations to Jericho Shire Council, I propose that changes be made to electorates to take into consideration details that have been mentioned above. One solution, in returning Jericho Shire Council to the Gregory electorate would be as below:

To the proposed electorate of **Gregory**			26353
Add	Shire of Winton	2090*	
	Shire of Aramac	1038*	
	Shire of Jericho	1136*	
Less	Shire of Murweh	4141*	**26476**
To the proposed electorate of **Warrego**			26268
Add	Shire of Murweh	4141*	
Less	part Shire of Chinchilla	2000*	
	part Shire of Tara	2000*	**26409**
To the proposed electorate of **Darling Downs**			23753
Add	part Shire of Chinchilla	2000*	**25753**
To the proposed electorate of **Cunningham**			23167
Add	part Shire of Tara	2000*	**25167**
From the proposed electorate of **Charters Towers**			24936
Less	Shire of Jericho	1136*	
	Shire of Aramac	1038*	**22762**
From the proposed electorate of **Mt Isa**			25850
Less	Shire of Winton	2090*	**23760**

The figures quoted are those as of 21 December 1998 as published by the Commission. Those marked with an asterisk (*) include notional voters for electorates over 100,000 km² in area. The resulting enrolments on the above arrangement are all within the tolerance range as at 21 December 1998.

This submission does not stipulate the basis for dividing the Shires of Chinchilla and Tara because the figures are not available to us at such short notice. However, there is clearly a flexibility of numbers between Darling Downs, Cunningham and Warrego which should allow a division along clearly defined physical features such as roads or water courses. Further, we believe that the majority of residents of the Chinchilla and Tara Shires would have a greater community of interest with the electorates to their east, rather than with the balance of the proposed electorate of Warrego.

This solution, Council believes would enable the best result for community interest for the Shires of Jericho, Aramac and Winton.

Should you have any questions concerning this issue please do not hesitate to contact this Office.


Yours faithfully,

QRC/OBJ 418

RJ O'Brien
CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER

FILE No.	Extra/6
ACTION OFFICER	DEC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ 418
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	7/5

07 MAY 1999
RECEIVED



Riverside House, 2nd Floor,
Cnr River & Wood Sts, Mackay, Qld., 4740
P.O. Box 624, Mackay, Qld., 4740

Telephone : (07) 4957 3020
Facsimile : (07) 4951 2142
ACN 010 473 828

7th May 99



The Chairman,
Electoral Commission of Queensland
Level 6, Forestry House
160 Mary street
Brisbane 4000

QRC/OBJ 419

Dear Sir,

As a resident of Riversleigh Estate, a major property developer and the developer of Oasis Waters Estate, I wish to object to the proposed boundary changes to the proposed seat of Mackay Central.

I believe Riversleigh Estate and Oasis Waters Estate should be included in the seat of Mirani rather than the proposed seat of Mackay Central.

The reason for this belief is that these suburbs are connected to the electorate of Mirani via the Bruce Highway and Sams Road and have an interest in common with the communities of Flor Estate, Greenfields, Mount Pleasant, Northview and Glenella.

These suburbs surround the commercial and retail centre of the urban area of the electorate of Mirani, north of the Pioneer River.

The retail and commercial facilities included in these suburbs are Mt Pleasant Regional Shopping Centre, Greenfields, Mt Pleasant Hotel, Magpies Sporting Club (licensed club), Harvey Norman and Macdonalds Hamburgers.


In addition, I will soon be developing further retail facilities and a 32 bedroom unit motel, bar and restaurant on the corner of Bruce Highway and Sams Road, approximately 200 metres from Oasis Waters Estate and adjacent to Riversleigh Estate.

The development will compliment and enhance the commercial and retail centre of the urban area of the electorate of Mirani, north of the Pioneer River.

If you require any further information on this proposal, please do not hesitate to contact me on 49573020.

Yours faithfully,

John Cowley





LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY QUEENSLAND

TIM MULHERIN M.L.A.

Member for Mackay

ELECTORATE OFFICE

First Floor
Mackay Day & Night
Pharmacy Building
67-69 Sydney Street
MACKAY Q 4740

Ph: 07 49578422
Fax: 07 49514794

May 7, 1999.

E.C.Q.
009532

The Chairman,
Electoral Commission of Queensland,
Level 6, Forestry house,
160 Mary Street,
BRISBANE. Q. 4000

QRC/OBJ 420

Dear Sir,

Re: Proposed Name Change Electorate of Mackay

I wish to object to the proposed name change of my Electorate from "Mackay" to "Mackay Central".

The seat was first created in 1878 and has been known by the current name since that time.


I believe the proposed name change takes away the focus from the town of Mackay, which is an important provincial city in Queensland, servicing the mining hinterland, farming communities and tourist destinations within the region.

The name "Mackay Central" overly focuses on the central business district to the exclusion of the electorate suburbs.

Community facilities in the electorate are not known by the name "Mackay Central" but by the term "Mackay", for example the Mackay Base Hospital, Mackay Police Station and the Mackay Show Grounds.

Also my office has received numerous phone calls from residents in Mackay objecting to the proposed name change and not understanding why this has occurred.

Their objections reflect the points that I have previously outlined.



I note the Commission is not proposing to change the names of the electorates of Maryborough and Bundaberg, but only the Electorates of Cairns, Townsville, Mackay, Rockhampton and Ipswich which have been known by the towns that they are based on since their creation with the exception of Rockhampton.

I ask that you give consideration to my objections to the proposed name change.

Yours sincerely,

Tim Mulherin

QRC/OBJ420

TIM MULHERIN, M.L.A.
Member for Mackay

FILE No.	ex/11/4
ACTION OFFICER	DESC
REG. No.	QRC/OBJ420
DOCUMENTED	YES/NO
SCANNED	YES/NO
ATTACHED	YES/NO
REPLY BY	
REPLY SENT	
ECQ. RECORDS	7/5