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## PUBLIC OBJECTIONS (CONTINUED)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Objection No. (QRC/OBJ...)</th>
<th>Name/Organisation</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Date Received</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>421</td>
<td>Letter and petition from a group of residents in south-east Mackay</td>
<td>C/- Kevin Casey 6 Irving St MACKAY QLD 4740</td>
<td>7.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>422</td>
<td>Peter Wellington MP Member for Nicklin</td>
<td>PO Box 265 NAMBOUR QLD 4560</td>
<td>7.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>423</td>
<td>The Hon Robert Schwarten MLA Minister for Public Works and Minister for Housing Member for Rockhampton</td>
<td>PO Box 100 ROCKHAMPTON QLD 4700</td>
<td>7.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>424</td>
<td>Petition from some residents of Thuringowa</td>
<td>C/- Brian Thomas Griffin Lot 12 Hencamp Creek Rd ROLLINGSTONE QLD 4816</td>
<td>7.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>425</td>
<td>G R Shepherd</td>
<td>1 Thornburgh St OXLEY QLD 4075</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>426</td>
<td>M Russell</td>
<td>4 Legret Cl CLIFTON BEACH QLD 4879</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>427</td>
<td>M E Yeowart</td>
<td>34 Satellite St CLIFTON BEACH QLD 4879</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>428</td>
<td>Philip M Yeowart</td>
<td>34 Satellite St CLIFTON BEACH QLD 4879</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>429</td>
<td>Councillor Sno Bonneau</td>
<td>C/- Councillor’s Rooms Cairns City Council PO Box 359 CAIRNS QLD 4870</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Objection No.</td>
<td>Name/Organisation</td>
<td>Address</td>
<td>Date Received</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>430</td>
<td>M L &amp; J Gunzburg</td>
<td>3-39 Clifton Rd CLIFTON BEACH QLD 4879</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>431</td>
<td>P Braby</td>
<td>M/S 16 CONONDALE QLD 4552</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>432</td>
<td>John Beaby</td>
<td>M/S 16 CONONDALE QLD 4552</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>433</td>
<td>R J &amp; A T Cork</td>
<td>Wittacork Tesch Rd WITTA via MALENY QLD 4552</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>434</td>
<td>G C Heinemann</td>
<td>PO Box 690 CHARLEVILLE QLD 4470</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>435</td>
<td>G G Collins</td>
<td>PO Box 140 CHARLEVILLE QLD 4470</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>436</td>
<td>Diana Ward</td>
<td>C/- Charleville Toyworld &amp; Furniture 80 Galatea St CHARLEVILLE QLD 4470</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>437</td>
<td>The Hon Merri Rose MLA</td>
<td>PO Box 581 PALM BEACH QLD 4221</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Minister for Emergency Services</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Member for Currumbin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>438</td>
<td>David Dalgleish MLA Member for Hervey Bay</td>
<td>Shop 3 357 Esplanade SCARNESS QLD 4655</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>439</td>
<td>Robert &amp; Sandra Brown &amp; Family</td>
<td>7 Stirling St ROCHEDALE SOUTH QLD 4123</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>440</td>
<td>Maroochy Shire Council</td>
<td>PO Box 76 NAMBOUR QLD 4560</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>441</td>
<td>S Ward &amp; G Limpus</td>
<td>PO Box 99 MIRIWINNI QLD 4871</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Objection No. (QRC/OBJ...)</td>
<td>Name/Organisation</td>
<td>Address</td>
<td>Date Received</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>442</td>
<td>R &amp; V Ridolfi</td>
<td>PO Box 16</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MIRIWINNI QLD 4871</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>443</td>
<td>P Azzopardi</td>
<td>Price Road</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BARTLE FRERE QLD 4871</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>444</td>
<td>F J Azzopardi</td>
<td>Price Road</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BARTLE FRERE QLD 4871</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>445</td>
<td>K A Owens</td>
<td>Bramston Beach Road</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MIRIWINNI QLD 4871</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>446</td>
<td>David Owen Wilkins</td>
<td>PO Box 156</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BABINDA QLD 4861</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>447</td>
<td>Angelina Rosa Wilkins</td>
<td>PO Box 156</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BABINDA QLD 4861</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>448</td>
<td>R M Harvey</td>
<td>Greer Road</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MIRIWINNI QLD 4871</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>449</td>
<td>C Smith</td>
<td>10 Greer Road</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MIRIWINNI QLD 4871</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>450</td>
<td>Suzanne Atkinson</td>
<td>PO Box 91</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MIRIWINNI QLD 4871</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>451</td>
<td>J Musumeci</td>
<td>Hanley Road</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MIRIWINNI QLD 4871</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>452</td>
<td>Les Maxwell</td>
<td>45 Whitman Street</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MIRIWINNI QLD 4871</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>453</td>
<td>Michael Scott</td>
<td>40 Bruce Highway</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MIRIWINNI QLD 4871</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>454</td>
<td>Julie Catton</td>
<td>Lot 190 Woopen Creek Road</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MIRIWINNI QLD 4871</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>455</td>
<td>R J Catton</td>
<td>Lot 190 Woopen Creek Road</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MIRIWINNI QLD 4871</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>456</td>
<td>Sandra Bardini</td>
<td>PO Box 196</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MIRIWINNI QLD 4871</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Objection No.</td>
<td>Name/Organisation</td>
<td>Address</td>
<td>Date Received</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>457</td>
<td>Indecipherable</td>
<td>Waugh Pocket Road MIRIWINNI QLD 4871</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>458</td>
<td>C A Donaghy</td>
<td>35 Whitman Street MIRIWINNI QLD 4871</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>459</td>
<td>Lynette Cumming</td>
<td>16 Kruckow Street MIRIWINNI QLD 4871</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>460</td>
<td>B G Prove</td>
<td>Knust Road WOOPEN CREEK QLD 4871</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>461</td>
<td>I de Vere</td>
<td>Evans Road BRAMSTON BEACH QLD 4871</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>462</td>
<td>M Doyle</td>
<td>17 Jago Street BABINDA QLD 4861</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>463</td>
<td>Diana Jensen</td>
<td>17 Jago Street BABINDA QLD 4861</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>464</td>
<td>Daniella Parrack</td>
<td>BABINDA QLD 4861</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>465</td>
<td>R Edmonds</td>
<td>188 Munro Street BABINDA QLD 4861</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>466</td>
<td>M H Raddatz</td>
<td>76 Munro Street BABINDA QLD 4861</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>467</td>
<td>Billie Mansfield</td>
<td>70 Munro Street BABINDA QLD 4861</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>468</td>
<td>J Azzopardi</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>469</td>
<td>Jim Azzopardi</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>470</td>
<td>Ronald James Stager</td>
<td>PO Box 237 BABINDA QLD 4861</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>471</td>
<td>Indecipherable</td>
<td>BABINDA QLD 4861</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>472</td>
<td>Sandra De Somer</td>
<td>Greer Rd MIRIWINNI QLD 4861</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Objection No. (QRC/OBJ...)</td>
<td>Name/Organisation</td>
<td>Address</td>
<td>Date Received</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>473</td>
<td>V G Hind</td>
<td>158 Munro Street</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BABINDA QLD 4861</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>474</td>
<td>Estelle Withers</td>
<td>6 Weaver Street</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BABINDA QLD 4861</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>475</td>
<td>Norm Withers</td>
<td>6 Weaver Street</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BABINDA QLD 4861</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>476</td>
<td>A C Hind</td>
<td>158 Munro Street</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BABINDA QLD 4861</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>477</td>
<td>Maisie Smith</td>
<td>176 Munro Street</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BABINDA QLD 4861</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>478</td>
<td>Ray Smith</td>
<td>176 Munro Street</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BABINDA QLD 4861</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>479</td>
<td>Lyle Fremont</td>
<td>49 Eastwood Street</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BABINDA QLD 4861</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>480</td>
<td>Germano Fremont</td>
<td>49 Eastwood Street</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BABINDA QLD 4861</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>481</td>
<td>Gladys Muzer</td>
<td>Council Lane</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BABINDA QLD 4861</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>482</td>
<td>Indecipherable</td>
<td>BABINDA QLD 4861</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>483</td>
<td>R J Trembath</td>
<td>PO Box 204</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BABINDA QLD 4861</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>484</td>
<td>Karen Dobson</td>
<td>19 Clyde Road</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BABINDA QLD 4861</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>485</td>
<td>Margaret J Wiles</td>
<td>25 School Street</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BABINDA QLD 4861</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>486</td>
<td>Leon Miller</td>
<td>4 Greer Road</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MIRIWINNI QLD 4871</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>487</td>
<td>Indecipherable</td>
<td>MIRIWINNI QLD 4871</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>488</td>
<td>Indecipherable</td>
<td></td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>489</td>
<td>G F Wilson</td>
<td></td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Objection No.</td>
<td>Name/Organisation</td>
<td>Address</td>
<td>Date Received</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>490</td>
<td>A Destro</td>
<td>11 Weaver Street</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BABINDA QLD 4861</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>491</td>
<td>E M Hubbard</td>
<td></td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>492</td>
<td>A Conomos</td>
<td></td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>493</td>
<td>A Conomos</td>
<td></td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>494</td>
<td>Loretta Lizzio</td>
<td>80 Murno Street</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BABINDA QLD 4861</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>495</td>
<td>M Castlehouse</td>
<td>PO Box 346</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BABINDA QLD 4861</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>496</td>
<td>K Martin</td>
<td>21 Moretto Street</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BABINDA QLD 4861</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>497</td>
<td>Indecipherable</td>
<td></td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>498</td>
<td>George Tsakissiris</td>
<td>PO Box 303</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BABINDA QLD 4861</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>499</td>
<td>Joan Tsakissiris</td>
<td>PO Box 303</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BABINDA QLD 4861</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500</td>
<td>A G McMahon</td>
<td>53 Munro Street</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BABINDA QLD 4861</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>501</td>
<td>Mary Ligartti</td>
<td>MIRIWINNI QLD 4871</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>502</td>
<td>L R Cottone</td>
<td>Stager Road</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BABINDA QLD 4861</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>503</td>
<td>A Cottone</td>
<td>Stager Road</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BABINDA QLD 4861</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>504</td>
<td>James Stager</td>
<td>Stager Road</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BABINDA QLD 4861</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>505</td>
<td>Thomas Stager</td>
<td>3 Short Street</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BABINDA QLD 4861</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>506</td>
<td>Deanna Stager</td>
<td>Stager Road</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BABINDA QLD 4861</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Objection No. (QRC/OBJ...)</td>
<td>Name/Organisation</td>
<td>Address</td>
<td>Date Received</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>507</td>
<td>J Ballarino</td>
<td>PO Box 37</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MIRIWINNI QLD 4871</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>508</td>
<td>J E Tuttle</td>
<td>C/- Post Office</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MIRIWINNI QLD 4871</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>509</td>
<td>R J Harvey</td>
<td>71 Evans Street</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BRAMSTON BEACH QLD 4871</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>510</td>
<td>J M Wilkins</td>
<td>25 Whitman Street</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MIRIWINNI QLD 4871</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>511</td>
<td>Reis Millard</td>
<td>Bartle Frere Road</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BARTLE FRERE QLD 4861</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>512</td>
<td>Gwen Pollard</td>
<td>Pollard Farming Co</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Stager Road</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BABINDA QLD 4861</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>513</td>
<td>Daryl Pollard</td>
<td>Pollard Farming Co</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Stager Road</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BABINDA QLD 4861</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>514</td>
<td>Robert Pollard</td>
<td>Pollard Farming Co</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Stager Road</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BABINDA QLD 4861</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>515</td>
<td>Zelia R Wilkins &amp; C</td>
<td>PO Box 124</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>William Wilkins</td>
<td>MIRIWINNI QLD 4871</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>516</td>
<td>R Finney</td>
<td>Comnaughton Road</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PAWNGILLY QLD 4871</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>517</td>
<td>Margherita Robino</td>
<td>69 Whitman Street</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MIRIWINNI QLD 4871</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>518</td>
<td>M Kohn</td>
<td>Matthews Road</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MIRIWINNI QLD 4871</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>519</td>
<td>R Macklin</td>
<td>15 Kruckow Street</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MIRIWINNI QLD 4871</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>520</td>
<td>M Bury</td>
<td>13 Kruckow Street</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MIRIWINNI QLD 4871</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Objection No.</td>
<td>Name/Organisation</td>
<td>Address</td>
<td>Date Received</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>521</td>
<td>Bruce T Dobson</td>
<td>PO Box 1 MIRIWINNI QLD 4871</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>522</td>
<td>Deborah Millard</td>
<td>Bartle Frere Road BARTLE FRERE QLD 4861</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>523</td>
<td>R A Davies</td>
<td></td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>524</td>
<td>A L Davies</td>
<td></td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>525</td>
<td>Indecipherable</td>
<td></td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>526</td>
<td>Judy Dobson</td>
<td></td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>527</td>
<td>Rod Edwards</td>
<td>Hanley Road MIRIWINNI QLD 4871</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>528</td>
<td>Patsy Edwards</td>
<td></td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>529</td>
<td>Lynda Ridolfi</td>
<td></td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>530</td>
<td>Indecipherable</td>
<td></td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>531</td>
<td>Indecipherable</td>
<td>Buckland Rd MIRIWINNI QLD 4871</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>532</td>
<td>J &amp; L Kruckow</td>
<td>Greer Rd MIRIWINNI QLD 4871</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>533</td>
<td>Rosalie &amp; Fred Ducrot</td>
<td>Greer Rd MIRIWINNI QLD 4871</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>534</td>
<td>Ross Barbagallo</td>
<td>1 Sassafras St BRAMSTON BEACH QLD 4871</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>535</td>
<td>Ian Macklin</td>
<td>15 Kruckow St MIRIWINNI QLD 4871</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>536</td>
<td>Danny Stuart</td>
<td></td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>537</td>
<td>L Dass</td>
<td>29 Whitman St MIRIWINNI QLD 4871</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>538</td>
<td>Carmen Vicarioli</td>
<td>PO Box 113 MIRIWINNI QLD 4871</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Objection No. (QRC/OBJ...)</td>
<td>Name/Organisation</td>
<td>Address</td>
<td>Date Received</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>539</td>
<td>A Arnold</td>
<td>PO Box 13 MIRIWINNI QLD 4871</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>540</td>
<td>Joan A Arnold</td>
<td>PO Box 13 MIRIWINNI QLD 4871</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>541</td>
<td>Indecipherable</td>
<td>Hanley Rd MIRIWINNI QLD 4871</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>542</td>
<td>S Zammataro</td>
<td>Greer Rd MIRIWINNI QLD 4871</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>543</td>
<td>J Edwards</td>
<td>Hanley Rd MIRIWINNI QLD 4871</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>544</td>
<td>Adam Budge</td>
<td>Lot 1 Stager Rd MIRIWINNI QLD 4871</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>545</td>
<td>R Taylor</td>
<td>PO Box 177 MIRIWINNI QLD 4871</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>546</td>
<td>Jill Yeoman</td>
<td>Lot 1 Stager Rd MIRIWINNI QLD 4871</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>547</td>
<td>K N Withers</td>
<td>12 Kruckow St MIRIWINNI QLD 4871</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>548</td>
<td>Carrissa Matthews</td>
<td>PO Box 221 MIRIWINNI QLD 4871</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549</td>
<td>N Webster</td>
<td>PO Box 59 MIRIWINNI QLD 4871</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550</td>
<td>Rosa Ballarino</td>
<td>PO Box 9 MIRIWINNI QD 4871</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>551</td>
<td>Robynn &amp; Larry Butler</td>
<td>Greer Rd MIRIWINNI QLD 4871</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>552</td>
<td>P A O'Leary</td>
<td>Bartle Frere Rd Pawngilly Via MIRIWINNI QLD 4871</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Objection No. (QRC/OBJ...)</td>
<td>Name/Organisation</td>
<td>Address</td>
<td>Date Received</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>553</td>
<td>D O’Leary</td>
<td>Bartle Frere Rd Pawngilly Via MIRIWINNI QLD 4871</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>554</td>
<td>Indecipherable</td>
<td>Woopen Creek MIRIWINNI QLD 4871</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>555</td>
<td>Indecipherable</td>
<td>PO Box 181 MIRIWINNI QLD 4871</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>556</td>
<td>Verena &amp; R J Ghidella</td>
<td>PO Box 33 MIRIWINNI QLD 4871</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>557</td>
<td>W J Mason</td>
<td>3 Bartle Frere Rd MIRIWINNI QLD 4871</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>558</td>
<td>Linda James</td>
<td>PO Box 194 MIRIWINNI QLD 4871</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>559</td>
<td>Y O’Leary</td>
<td>Bartle Frere Rd MIRIWINNI QLD 4871</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>560</td>
<td>R G &amp; A G Yardley</td>
<td>Hosking Rd Pawngilly Via MIRIWINNI QLD 4871</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>561</td>
<td>J M Kruckow</td>
<td>19 Kruckow St MIRIWINNI QLD 4871</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>562</td>
<td>V C Donaghy</td>
<td>35 Whitman St MIRIWINNI QLD 4871</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>563</td>
<td>J Shoebridge</td>
<td>Matthews Rd MIRIWINNI QLD 4871</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>564</td>
<td>Bruce M Nichols</td>
<td>22 Evans Rd BRAMSTON BEACH QLD 4871</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>565</td>
<td>Indecipherable</td>
<td>BARTLE FRERE QLD 4861</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>566</td>
<td>T R Dellar</td>
<td>30 Angus St BABINDA QLD 4861</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Objection No. (QRC/OBJ...)</td>
<td>Name/Organisation</td>
<td>Address</td>
<td>Date Received</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>567</td>
<td>Marguerita Poppi</td>
<td>36 Bruce Hwy MIRIWINNII QLD 4871</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>568</td>
<td>Elspeth E Scott</td>
<td>40 Bruce Hwy MIRIWINNII QLD 4871</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>569</td>
<td>Sam Pappalardo</td>
<td>PO Box 48 MIRIWINNII QLD 4871</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>570</td>
<td>Lubo Todorov</td>
<td>6 Bartle Frere Rd BARTLE FRERE QLD 4861</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>571</td>
<td>G D Fourro</td>
<td>46 Bruce Hwy MIRIWINNII QLD 4871</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>572</td>
<td>A E &amp; C Davies</td>
<td>PO Box 208 MIRIWINNII QLD 4871</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>573</td>
<td>C D Scott</td>
<td>79 Whitman St MIRIWINNII QLD 4871</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>574</td>
<td>Nola Williams</td>
<td>Woopen Creek Rd Via MIRIWINNII QLD 4871</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>575</td>
<td>Desley Matkovich</td>
<td>Lot 192 Woopen Creek Rd Via MIRIWINNII QLD 4871</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>576</td>
<td>Indecipherable</td>
<td>Menzies Road BARTLE FRERE QLD 4871</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>577</td>
<td>B G Spataro</td>
<td>PO Box 64 MIRIWINNII QLD 4871</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>578</td>
<td>M Seawright</td>
<td>15 Evans Rd BRAMSTON BEACH QLD 4871</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>579</td>
<td>J R Della Ricca</td>
<td>Lot 1 Waughs Pocket Rd MIRIWINNII QLD 4871</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>580</td>
<td>I Della Ricca</td>
<td>Bruce Hwy Waughs Pocket MIRIWINNII QLD 4871</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Objection No. (QRC/OBJ...)</td>
<td>Name/Organisation</td>
<td>Address</td>
<td>Date Received</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>581</td>
<td>Con Della Ricca</td>
<td>Lot 1 Waughs Pocket Rd MIRIWINNI QLD 4871</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>582</td>
<td>Indecipherable</td>
<td></td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>583</td>
<td>Indecipherable</td>
<td></td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>584</td>
<td>Patricia Andrews</td>
<td>PO Box 202 MIRIWINNI QLD 4871</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>585</td>
<td>Paul Sganzerl</td>
<td>81 Whitman St MIRIWINNI QLD 4871</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>586</td>
<td>Annette Sganzerl</td>
<td>81 Whitman St MIRIWINNI QLD 4871</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>587</td>
<td>G R King</td>
<td></td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>588</td>
<td>J King</td>
<td></td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>589</td>
<td>E King</td>
<td></td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>590</td>
<td>R De Andrea</td>
<td>PO Box 76 MIRIWINNI QLD 4871</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>591</td>
<td>Ron Stovell</td>
<td>PO Box 3 BABINDA QLD 4861</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>592</td>
<td>Indecipherable</td>
<td></td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>593</td>
<td>Sybil Gould</td>
<td></td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>594</td>
<td>R J Finney</td>
<td>PO Box 57 MIRIWINNI QLD 4871</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>595</td>
<td>Jette Stovell</td>
<td>PO Box 3 BABINDA QLD 4861</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>596</td>
<td>Indecipherable</td>
<td></td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>597</td>
<td>Mervyn Lloyd</td>
<td>PO Box 300 BABINDA QLD 4861</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>598</td>
<td>Heather Lloyd</td>
<td>Box 300 BABINDA QLD 4861</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Objection No. (QRC/OBJ...)</td>
<td>Name/Organisation</td>
<td>Address</td>
<td>Date Received</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>599</td>
<td>Graham Lloyd</td>
<td>PO Box 300 BABINDA QLD 4861</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>600</td>
<td>Kevin Lloyd</td>
<td>PO Box 300 BABINDA QLD 4861</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>601</td>
<td>Indecipherable</td>
<td>1191 Oxley Rd OXLEY QLD 4075</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>602</td>
<td>Kay Jago</td>
<td>Stager Road BABINDA QLD 4861</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>603</td>
<td>Ken Jago</td>
<td>Stager Rd BABINDA QLD 4861</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>604</td>
<td>Michael Jago</td>
<td>PO Box 224 Stager Rd BABINDA QLD 4861</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>605</td>
<td>Mark Gillett</td>
<td>PO Box 34 MAPLETON QLD 4560</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>606</td>
<td>Mia Lacy</td>
<td>14 Hope St CLIFTON BEACH QLD 4879</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>607</td>
<td>Etheridge Shire Council</td>
<td>St George St GEORGETOWN QLD 4871</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>608</td>
<td>Fassifern Valley Branch</td>
<td>(E R Titmarsh – President)</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pauline Hanson’s One Nation</td>
<td>M/S 461 Morwincha Rd Via KALBAR</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>QLD 4309</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>609</td>
<td>Bruce M Nichols</td>
<td>PO Box 30 MIRIWINNI QLD 4871</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>610</td>
<td>John &amp; Monica Maxwell</td>
<td>1 Conemarra Ct CONONDALE QLD 4552</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>611</td>
<td>Joe &amp; Kay Herron</td>
<td>MS 16 MALEY QLD 4552</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>612</td>
<td>Peter Jensen</td>
<td>53 Ellison St CLIFTON BEACH QLD 4879</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Objection No. (QRC/OBJ...)</td>
<td>Name/Organisation</td>
<td>Address</td>
<td>Date Received</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>613</td>
<td>Lynda M Reid</td>
<td>PO Box 33&lt;br&gt;CLIFTON BEACH QLD 4879</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>614</td>
<td>Garth Gray</td>
<td>Josephine Falls Rd&lt;br&gt;BARTLE FRERE QLD 4861</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>615</td>
<td>R Page</td>
<td>4 Ingham St&lt;br&gt;OXLEY QLD 4075</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>616</td>
<td>M H Page</td>
<td>4 Ingham St&lt;br&gt;OXLEY QLD 4075</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>617</td>
<td>Garth Page</td>
<td>4 Ingham St&lt;br&gt;OXLEY QLD 4075</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>618</td>
<td>Joel Grant</td>
<td>55 Colwel St&lt;br&gt;OXLEY QLD 4075</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>619</td>
<td>O J Manz &amp; M Manz</td>
<td>67 Ellen St&lt;br&gt;OXLEY QLD 4075</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>620</td>
<td>C A Evans</td>
<td>36 Calston St&lt;br&gt;OXLEY QLD 4075</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>621</td>
<td>A J Evans</td>
<td>36 Calston St&lt;br&gt;OXLEY QLD 4075</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>622</td>
<td>Catherine Boyes</td>
<td>64 Price St&lt;br&gt;OXLEY QLD 4075</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>623</td>
<td>S &amp; D G Carmody</td>
<td>39 Lawson St&lt;br&gt;OXLEY QLD 4075</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>624</td>
<td>Indecipherable</td>
<td>Cliveden Ave&lt;br&gt;CORINDA QLD 4075</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>625</td>
<td>Michelle Barkley</td>
<td>110 Englefield Rd&lt;br&gt;OXLEY QLD 4075</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>626</td>
<td>B &amp; W Weller</td>
<td>26 Ellen St&lt;br&gt;OXLEY QLD 4075</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>627</td>
<td>Keith &amp; Audrey Bowring</td>
<td>124 Englefield Rd&lt;br&gt;OXLEY QLD 4075</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Objection No. (QRC/OBJ...)</td>
<td>Name/Organisation</td>
<td>Address</td>
<td>Date Received</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>628</td>
<td>D C Martin &amp; J M Richardson</td>
<td>69 Irwin Tce</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>OXLEY QLD 4075</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>629</td>
<td>A Farrell &amp; C A Devantier</td>
<td>96 Irwin Tce</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>OXLEY QLD 4075</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>630</td>
<td>P Stuart</td>
<td>26 Kendall St</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>OXLEY QLD 4075</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>631</td>
<td>Michael Polities</td>
<td>54 Lyon Ave</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>OXLEY QLD 4075</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>632</td>
<td>L W Walsh</td>
<td>33 Susannah St</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>OXLEY QLD 4075</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>633</td>
<td>J Massey</td>
<td>20 Kendall St</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>OXLEY QLD 4075</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>634</td>
<td>C Nowak</td>
<td>892 Oxley Rd</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>OXLEY QLD 4075</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>635</td>
<td>D M &amp; A F Holland</td>
<td>57 Kendall St</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>OXLEY QLD 4075</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>636</td>
<td>B E Shadforth</td>
<td>12 Campbell Tce</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>OXLEY QLD 4075</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>637</td>
<td>K T Shadforth</td>
<td>12 Campbell Tce</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>OXLEY QLD 4075</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>638</td>
<td>V Sainty</td>
<td>14 Epworth St</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>OXLEY QLD 4075</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>639</td>
<td>Indecipherable</td>
<td>40 Irwin Tce</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>OXLEY QLD 4075</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>640</td>
<td>R D &amp; M Kerridge</td>
<td>76 O'Connor St</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>OXLEY QLD 4075</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>641</td>
<td>C Spencer</td>
<td>34 Brittain St</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>OXLEY QLD 4075</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>642</td>
<td>D Miller &amp; Joan Miller</td>
<td>6 Munbilla St</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>OXLEY QLD 4075</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>643</td>
<td>M Westby</td>
<td>77 Irwin Tce</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>OXLEY QLD 4075</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Objection No. (QRC/OBJ...)</td>
<td>Name/Organisation</td>
<td>Address</td>
<td>Date Received</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>644</td>
<td>T J Cantwell</td>
<td>19 Kendall St OXLEY QLD 4075</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>645</td>
<td>Catriona Terry</td>
<td>94 Irwin Tce OXLEY QLD 4075</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>646</td>
<td>L Thorpe</td>
<td>58 Tavistock St OXLEY QLD 4075</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>647</td>
<td>G Cuskelcy</td>
<td>18 Irwin Tce OXLEY QLD 4075</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>648</td>
<td>Mark Rigby</td>
<td>23 Epworth St OXLEY QLD 4075</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>649</td>
<td>P &amp; D L Paget</td>
<td>28 Statton St OXLEY QLD 4075</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>650</td>
<td>D Buchanan</td>
<td>42 Oxley Station Rd OXLEY QLD 4075</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>651</td>
<td>D &amp; S Jackson</td>
<td>60 William Tce OXLEY QLD 4075</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>652</td>
<td>V &amp; M Moroz</td>
<td>29 Cook St OXLEY QLD 4075</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>653</td>
<td>Greta Davis</td>
<td>71 Upolu Esp CLIFTON BEACH QLD 4879</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>654</td>
<td>Richard John &amp; Nola Smith</td>
<td>41 Arlington Esp CLIFTON BEACH QLD 4879</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>655</td>
<td>Roger Ladbrook</td>
<td>36 Rudder St CLIFTON BEACH QLD 4879</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>656</td>
<td>A Mohun</td>
<td>101 Upolu Esp CLIFTON BEACH QLD 4879</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>657</td>
<td>E Ladbrook</td>
<td>36 Rudder St CLIFTON BEACH QLD 4879</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Objection No. (QRC/OBJ...)</td>
<td>Name/Organisation</td>
<td>Address</td>
<td>Date Received</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>658</td>
<td>J E Sutherland</td>
<td>7 Undine St CLIFTON BEACH QLD 4879</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>659</td>
<td>J Sutherland</td>
<td>7 Undine St CLIFTON BEACH QLD 4879</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>660</td>
<td>T M &amp; S E Dacey</td>
<td>5 Michaelmas Cl CLIFTON BEACH QLD 4879</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>661</td>
<td>K A Sedgman</td>
<td>19 Saxon St CLIFTON BEACH QLD 4879</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>662</td>
<td>Wilma Nelson</td>
<td>19 Saxon St CLIFTON BEACH QLD 4879</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>663</td>
<td>R Wilkins</td>
<td>2 Escape Cl CLIFTON BEACH QLD 4879</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>664</td>
<td>P L Briggs</td>
<td>33 Beaver St CLIFTON BEACH QLD 4879</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>665</td>
<td>J W Willoughby</td>
<td>35 Clifton Rd CLIFTON BEACH QLD 4870</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>666</td>
<td>R W Kelly</td>
<td>18 Thais St PALM COVE QLD 4879</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>667</td>
<td>Sheila Ann Mullens</td>
<td>29 Clifton Rd CLIFTON BEACH QLD 4879</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>668</td>
<td>Bernard E Mullins</td>
<td>29 Clifton Rd CLIFTON BEACH QLD 4879</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>669</td>
<td>Kerryn Hart</td>
<td>PO Box 164 CLIFTON BEACH QLD 4879</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Objection No. (QRC/OBJ...)</td>
<td>Name/Organisation</td>
<td>Address</td>
<td>Date Received</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>670</td>
<td>Gregory E Hart</td>
<td>PO Box 164 CLIFTON BEACH QLD 4879</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>671</td>
<td>K. Todor</td>
<td>PO Box 16 CLIFTON BEACH QLD 4879</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>672</td>
<td>Paul McKenna</td>
<td>22 Stream Ave CLIFTON BEACH QLD 4879</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>673</td>
<td>R. A. Roberts</td>
<td>Lot 44 Hope St CLIFTON BEACH QLD 4879</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>674</td>
<td>I A &amp; R L Cowan</td>
<td>10 Linden St CLIFTON BEACH QLD 4879</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>675</td>
<td>C Charalombous</td>
<td>1 Evergreen St CLIFTON BEACH QLD 4879</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>676</td>
<td>Dean Wynn</td>
<td>31 Clifton Rd CLIFTON BEACH QLD 4879</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>677</td>
<td>Sharon Wynn</td>
<td>31 Clifton Rd CLIFTON BEACH QLD 4879</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>678</td>
<td>Ivana &amp; Rick Patalano</td>
<td>57 Upolu Esp CLIFTON BEACH QLD 4879</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>679</td>
<td>Colin Toll</td>
<td>5 Melissa Cl CLIFTON BEACH QLD 4879</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>680</td>
<td>Anna Marie Boettcher</td>
<td>PO Box 38 CLIFTON BEACH QLD 4879</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Objection No. (QRC/OBJ...)</td>
<td>Name/Organisation</td>
<td>Address</td>
<td>Date Received</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>681</td>
<td>D M McConachie</td>
<td>27 Gibson Cl CLIFTON BEACH QLD 4879</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>682</td>
<td>Garth &amp; Patricia Dale</td>
<td>5 Agincourt Cl CLIFTON BEACH QLD 4879</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>683</td>
<td>R N Stewart</td>
<td>Lot 17 Unity St CLIFTON BEACH QLD 4879</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>684</td>
<td>Doris Pyster</td>
<td>86 Cedar Rd PALM COVE QLD 4879</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>685</td>
<td>Daniel Lowry</td>
<td>86 Cedar Rd PALM COVE QLD 4879</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>686</td>
<td>Ryan Lowry</td>
<td>86 Cedar Rd PALM COVE QLD 4879</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>687</td>
<td>Kathryn Lowry</td>
<td>86 Cedar Rd PALM COVE QLD 4879</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>688</td>
<td>Bernadette Lowry</td>
<td>86 Cedar Rd PALM COVE QLD 4879</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>689</td>
<td>Michael J G Lowry</td>
<td>86 Cedar Rd PALM COVE QLD 4879</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>690</td>
<td>W S Parker</td>
<td>2 Linden St CLIFTON BEACH QLD 4879</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>691</td>
<td>Indecipherable</td>
<td>18 Endeavour Rd CLIFTON BEACH QLD 4879</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>692</td>
<td>D K Davis</td>
<td>71 Upolu Esp CLIFTON BEACH QLD 4879</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>693</td>
<td>Robert James Hughes</td>
<td>115 Arlington Esp CLIFTON BEACH QLD 4879</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Objection No. (QRC/OBJ...)</td>
<td>Name/Organisation</td>
<td>Address</td>
<td>Date Received</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>694</td>
<td>James Edward Hughes</td>
<td>115 Arlington Esp</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CLIFTON BEACH QLD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4879</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>695</td>
<td>Barbara Fay Hughes</td>
<td>115 Arlington Esp</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CLIFTON BEACH QLD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4879</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>696</td>
<td>F Dinan</td>
<td>15 Thais St</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PALM COVE QLD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4879</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>697</td>
<td>J &amp; P Cartmer</td>
<td>9 Talpa Cl</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PALM COVE QLD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4879</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>698</td>
<td>Helen Bond</td>
<td>3 Hope St</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CLIFTON BEACH QLD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4879</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>699</td>
<td>M &amp; B Brigden</td>
<td>4 Egmont Cl</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CLIFTON BEACH QLD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4879</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>700</td>
<td>L Monteiro</td>
<td>1/20 Terebra St</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PALM COVE QLD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4879</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>701</td>
<td>Bev Lynn</td>
<td>PO Box 45</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CLIFTON BEACH QLD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4879</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>702</td>
<td>F W Johnson</td>
<td>24 Endeavour Rd</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CLIFTON BEACH QLD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4879</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>703</td>
<td>John Paul &amp; C McFadden</td>
<td>13 Rudder St</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CLIFTON BEACH QLD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4879</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>704</td>
<td>Pamela Burrows</td>
<td>29 Bramble St</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CLIFTON BEACH QLD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4879</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>705</td>
<td>V W &amp; B A Clow</td>
<td>19 Thais St</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PALM COVE QLD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4879</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>706</td>
<td>J R &amp; B J Young</td>
<td>17 Lämbus St</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PALM COVE QLD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4879</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Objection No. (QRC/OBJ...)</td>
<td>Name/Organisation</td>
<td>Address</td>
<td>Date Received</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>707</td>
<td>Jennifer Roberts</td>
<td>11 Pellowe St</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CLIFTON BEACH QLD 4879</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>708</td>
<td>E M &amp; P J Jenkins</td>
<td>6 Thetford Cl</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CLIFTON BEACH QLD 4879</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>709</td>
<td>S Brouwer &amp; S Mobbs</td>
<td>17 St Crispin St</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CLIFTON BEACH QLD 4879</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>710</td>
<td>Amanda Savage</td>
<td>11 Lambus St</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PALM COVE QLD 4879</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>711</td>
<td>Crystal Waters Community Co-</td>
<td>MS 16</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>operative Ltd.</td>
<td>MALENY Q 4552</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>712</td>
<td>Nance &amp; Ian Fleiter</td>
<td>MS 16</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MALENY Q 4552</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>713</td>
<td>Greg, Joyce, Jennifer and Tyrell</td>
<td>“Witta Park”</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Newton</td>
<td>PO Box 82</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MALENY Q 4552</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>714</td>
<td>Kevin J Franzi</td>
<td>2655 Eumundi Road</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>KENILWORTH Q 4574</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>715</td>
<td>J Franzi</td>
<td>2655 Eumundi Road</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>KENILWORTH Q 4574</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>716</td>
<td>Allan Leslie &amp; Gwendoline Ivy</td>
<td>3 Anne Street</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Welch</td>
<td>KENILWORTH Q 4574</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>717</td>
<td>G D, P M and A R Lyon</td>
<td>Aherns Road</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MS 16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MALENY Q 4552</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>718</td>
<td>Colin James and Marie Jean</td>
<td>Lot 5</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cunningham</td>
<td>Eastern Mary River Road</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CONONDALE Q 4552</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>719</td>
<td>Mary and Lloyd Fleiter and Des</td>
<td>MS 16</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bochow</td>
<td>CONONDALE Q 4552</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Objection No. (QRC/OBJ...)</td>
<td>Name/Organisation</td>
<td>Address</td>
<td>Date Received</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>720</td>
<td>Leslie Gordon Fisher</td>
<td>18 Maleny Road</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>KENILWORTH Q 4574</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>721</td>
<td>Patria Cardle</td>
<td>Crystal Waters</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MS 16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MALENY Q 4552</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>722</td>
<td>Ian Dooley</td>
<td>Crystal Waters</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MS 16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MALENY Q 4552</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>723</td>
<td>John Stuart</td>
<td>6 Margaret Street</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>KENILWORTH Q 4574</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>724</td>
<td>Kaye M Stuart</td>
<td>6 Margaret Street</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>KENILWORTH Q 4574</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>725</td>
<td>Paul and Cheryl Farley</td>
<td>PO Box 167</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>KENILWORTH Q 4574</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>726</td>
<td>Geoffrey William Wellington</td>
<td>“Waterloo”</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Belli Park</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>VIA EUMUNDI Q 4562</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>727</td>
<td>Rose L Wellington</td>
<td>“Waterloo”</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Belli Park</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>VIA EUMUNDI Q 4562</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>728</td>
<td>Les and Shirley Moreland</td>
<td>PO Box 23</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>KENILWORTH Q 4574</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>729</td>
<td>Multi signed</td>
<td></td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>730</td>
<td>Bernard Victor McKay</td>
<td>11 Brooloo Road</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>KENILWORTH Q 4574</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>731</td>
<td>Frederick B Thomsen</td>
<td>Mary Valley Road</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BROOLOO Q 4574</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>732</td>
<td>Nicola Scott and John</td>
<td>Lot 5</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Osborne</td>
<td>Corks Pocket Road</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Reesville</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MALENY Q 4552</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>733</td>
<td>Huguette Gaudard</td>
<td>Harpers Creek Road</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CONONDALE Q 4552</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Objection No. (QRC/OBJ...)</td>
<td>Name/Organisation</td>
<td>Address</td>
<td>Date Received</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>734</td>
<td>C M Gwin</td>
<td>Lot 46 Crystal Waters MS 16 MALEY Q 4552</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>735</td>
<td>James C Hassall</td>
<td>34 Phillip Street KENILWORTH Q 4574</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>736</td>
<td>Olive F Hassall</td>
<td>34 Phillip Street KENILWORTH Q 4574</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>737</td>
<td>Fay A Miller</td>
<td>57 Charles Street KENILWORTH Q 4574</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>738</td>
<td>H W and A R Porter</td>
<td>53 Elizabeth Street KENILWORTH Q 4574</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>739</td>
<td>Mrs Hessel Galloway</td>
<td>15 Phillip Street KENILWORTH Q 4574</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>740</td>
<td>Belli Community Hall Association Inc.</td>
<td>(Margaret Long - Secretary) Browns Road BELLQ 4562</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>741</td>
<td>Mrs Lin Fairlie</td>
<td>177 Witta Road MALEY Q 4552</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>742</td>
<td>John R and Pauline S Marsden</td>
<td>4 Beech Lane EUDLO Q 4554</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>743</td>
<td>Karen, Ian and R Malcolm</td>
<td>“Nyari” Lot 11 Mossy Bank Road EUDLO Q 4554</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>744</td>
<td>Karina Cornwall</td>
<td>PO Box 230 EUDLO Q 4554</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>745</td>
<td>Eudlo &amp; Ilkley District Community Association Inc.</td>
<td>(Alan Hammond - Chairman) PO Box 37</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>EUDLO Q 4554</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>746</td>
<td>Val Hammond</td>
<td>PO Box 19 EUDLO Q 4554</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>747</td>
<td>Alan Hammond</td>
<td>PO Box 19 EUDLO Q 4554</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Objection No. (QRC/OBJ...)</td>
<td>Name/Organisation</td>
<td>Address</td>
<td>Date Received</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>748</td>
<td>Kevin Lang and Christopher Salomon</td>
<td>77 Brooks Road EUDLO Q 4554</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>749</td>
<td>Mervyn and Shirley Joan Thomas</td>
<td>Lot 1 Mossybank Road EUDLO Q 4554</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>750</td>
<td>Eudlo Public Hall and Recreational Grounds Association Inc.</td>
<td>(Mary Anne Cummins – President) Rosebed Street EUDLO Q 4554</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>751</td>
<td>Mary Anne Cummins</td>
<td>Lot 5 Nobles Road EUDLO Q 4554</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>752</td>
<td>M Liddle</td>
<td>PO Box 632 MALEY Q 4552</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>753</td>
<td>D Douglas</td>
<td>PO Box 632 MALEY Q 4552</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>754</td>
<td>Nicole Price</td>
<td>221 North Maleny Road MALEY Q 4552</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>755</td>
<td>Sharon Bruce</td>
<td>PO Box 764 MALEY Q 4552</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>756</td>
<td>Mary Lydon Whipple</td>
<td>Aherns Road CONONDALE Q 4552</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>757</td>
<td>J L Payne</td>
<td>9 Cedar Grove Court MALEY Q 4552</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>758</td>
<td>Leon and Yvonne Taylor</td>
<td>180 Witta Road MALEY Q 4552</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>759</td>
<td>S Summers</td>
<td>PO Box 149 MALEY Q 4552</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>760</td>
<td>Lorelle Kingsbury</td>
<td>‘Elamon Cottage’ Maleny-Kenilworth Road CONONDALE QLD 4552</td>
<td>10.5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>761</td>
<td>Michael Kingsbury</td>
<td>‘Elamon Cottage’ Maleny-Kenilworth Road CONONDALE QLD 4552</td>
<td>10.05.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Objection No. (QRC/OBJ...)</td>
<td>Name/Organisation</td>
<td>Address</td>
<td>Date Received</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>762</td>
<td>Teraze Danann</td>
<td>10 Maleny-Stanley River Road</td>
<td>10.05.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MALENY QLD 4552</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>763</td>
<td>Steven Lang</td>
<td>Lot 12 Curramore Road</td>
<td>10.05.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MALENY QLD 4552</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>764</td>
<td>Margaret and H K Thompson</td>
<td>Cooke Road MS 16</td>
<td>10.05.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MALENY QLD 4552</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>765</td>
<td>N Bocher</td>
<td>MS 16</td>
<td>10.05.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MALENY QLD 4552</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>766</td>
<td>DR and PL Slight</td>
<td>203 Maleny-Kenilworth Road</td>
<td>10.05.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>WITTA MALENY QLD 4552</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>767</td>
<td>Lynda and Mark Craig</td>
<td>PO Box 669 MALENY QLD 4552</td>
<td>10.05.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>768</td>
<td>Blanchard Family</td>
<td>15 Rosella Road</td>
<td>10.05.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MALENY QLD 4552</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>769</td>
<td>Alvina E Arthurs</td>
<td>35 Rosella Road</td>
<td>10.05.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MALENY QLD 4552</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>770</td>
<td>Elsie M Brimblecombe</td>
<td>PO Box 184 MALENY QLD 4552</td>
<td>10.05.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>771</td>
<td>RJ and ML Taylor</td>
<td>199 Kenilworth Road</td>
<td>10.05.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>WITTA MALENY QLD 4552</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>772</td>
<td>CR and JK Titheradge</td>
<td>Lot 14 Cooke Road</td>
<td>10.05.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>WITTA MALENY QLD 4552</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>773</td>
<td>Andrew Harwood</td>
<td>PO Box 764 MALENY QLD 4552</td>
<td>10.05.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>774</td>
<td>Multi signed</td>
<td>BELLI QLD</td>
<td>10.05.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>775</td>
<td>Don and Rhonda Lyons</td>
<td>2 Beech Street/10 Kings Lane</td>
<td>10.05.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MALENY QLD 4552</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Objection No:</td>
<td>Name/Organisation</td>
<td>Address</td>
<td>Date Received</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 776          | Letter and Petition from a group of residents in Witta, Conondale, Reesville, Booroobin and Belthorpe | C/- John Chiarotto  
12 Moffitt Court  
MALENY QLD 4552 | 10.05.99 |
| 777          | Margaret Brewis                          | 4 Ritsie Street  
ROCHDALE SOUTH QLD 4123 | 10.05.99 |
| 778          | W Vincent                               | 12 Rhonda Street  
ROCHDALE SOUTH QLD 4123 | 10.05.99 |
| 779          | Rosemary Roach                           | 20 Packman Avenue  
ROCHDALE SOUTH QLD 4123 | 10.05.99 |
| 780          | John Roach                              | 20 Packman Avenue  
ROCHDALE SOUTH QLD 4123 | 10.05.99 |
| 781          | D and J Richardson                      | 5 Kingsley Street  
ROCHDALE SOUTH QLD 4123 | 10.05.99 |
| 782          | Dennis, Narelle, Ben and Adam Robinson   | PO Box 43  
ROCHDALE SOUTH QLD 4123 | 10.05.99 |
| 783          | Lynn Minton                             | 14 Tentori Court  
ROCHDALE SOUTH QLD 4123 | 10.05.99 |
| 784          | B Holmes                                | 24 Pamela Crescent  
WOODRIDGE QLD 4114 | 10.05.99 |
| 785          | Len Birthisel                           | 50 Marlene Street  
MT GRAVATT QLD 4122 | 10.05.99 |
| 786          | Joseph Moloney                          | 28 Melina Street  
SALISBURY QLD 4109 | 10.05.99 |
| 787          | Multi signed                            | 4 Park Street  
BOONAH QLD 4310 | 10.05.99 |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Objection No.</th>
<th>Name/Organisation</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Date Received</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>788</td>
<td>Neighbourhood Watch (Aspley Grove)</td>
<td>(Margaret Fisher - Area Coordinator)</td>
<td>10.05.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>789</td>
<td>Kilkivan Shire Council</td>
<td>PO Box 9 KILKIVAN QLD 4600</td>
<td>10.05.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>790</td>
<td>Multi signed</td>
<td></td>
<td>10.05.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>791</td>
<td>Caroline Nicholls</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>792</td>
<td>Cunningham Branch NPA</td>
<td>(Gerard Walsh – Secretary) MS 848</td>
<td>10.05.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>WARWICK QLD 4370</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>793</td>
<td>Mayor G F Andrews</td>
<td>Murweh Shire Council</td>
<td>10.05.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PO Box 22 CHARLEVILLE QLD 4470</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>794</td>
<td>Crestmead Community Centre</td>
<td>PO Box 597 MARSDEN PARK QLD 4132</td>
<td>10.05.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>795</td>
<td>Ann and Dave Garlinge</td>
<td>PO Box 785 HERVEY BAY QLD 4655</td>
<td>10.05.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>796</td>
<td>Gary Roberts</td>
<td>PO Box 116 MIRIWINNI QLD 4870</td>
<td>10.05.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>797</td>
<td>Phil Reeves MLA Member for Mansfield</td>
<td>PO Box 6481 UPPER MT GRAVATT QLD 4122</td>
<td>10.05.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>798</td>
<td>B Dawson</td>
<td>2 Enfield Street MT GRAVATT EAST QLD 4122</td>
<td>10.05.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>799</td>
<td>Palm Island Aboriginal Council</td>
<td>(Josephine Geia - Chairperson) Main Street</td>
<td>10.05.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PALM ISLAND QLD 4816</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>800</td>
<td>N P A (Maleny Branch) and The Greens (Maleny Branch)</td>
<td></td>
<td>10.05.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Objection No.</td>
<td>Name/Organisation</td>
<td>Address</td>
<td>Date Received</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>801</td>
<td>Councillor Vivienne Coleman</td>
<td>Councillors' Office Caloundra City Council PO Box 117 CALOUNDRA QLD 4551</td>
<td>10.05.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>802</td>
<td>Councillor David M Lawler</td>
<td>“Hillview” MS 848 WARWICK QLD 4370</td>
<td>10.05.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>803</td>
<td>W A Ingham</td>
<td>22 Valeena Street ROCHEDALE SOUTH QLD 4123</td>
<td>10.05.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>804</td>
<td>Babinda District Community Association Inc</td>
<td>(Denise McCormack - Secretary) PO Box 92 BABINDA QLD 4861</td>
<td>10.05.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>805</td>
<td>Pauline Hanson’s One Nation</td>
<td>(B Smith - Vice-President) PO Box 428 IPSWICH QLD 4305</td>
<td>10.05.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>806</td>
<td>D F Bagnall</td>
<td>23 Pandeen Road ROCHEDALE SOUTH QLD 4123</td>
<td>10.05.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>807</td>
<td>M Berg</td>
<td>25 Pandeen Road ROCHEDALE SOUTH QLD 4123</td>
<td>10.05.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>808</td>
<td>Minister for Emergency Services (as per fax stamp)</td>
<td></td>
<td>10.05.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>809</td>
<td>John Woodward</td>
<td></td>
<td>10.05.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>810</td>
<td>Bruce Laming MLA Member for Mooloolah</td>
<td>PO Box 798 MOOLOOLABA QLD 4557</td>
<td>10.05.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>811</td>
<td>Jim Dwyer</td>
<td>“Burnleigh” MS 612 KINGAROY QLD 4610</td>
<td>10.05.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>812</td>
<td>John Mickel State Member for Logan</td>
<td>Shop 10 Marsden Park Chambers Flat Road MARSDEN QLD 4132</td>
<td>10.05.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Objection No. (QRC/OBJ...)</td>
<td>Name/Organisation</td>
<td>Address</td>
<td>Date Received</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>813</td>
<td>Councillor Russell Lutton</td>
<td>Logan City Council&lt;br&gt;PO Box 226&lt;br&gt;WOODRIDGE QLD 4114</td>
<td>10.05.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>814</td>
<td>Queensland Nationals</td>
<td>PO Box 5940&lt;br&gt;WEST END QLD 4101</td>
<td>10.05.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>815</td>
<td>Fraser's Cycle and Sports</td>
<td>108 Alfred Street&lt;br&gt;CHARLEVILLE QLD 4470</td>
<td>10.05.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>816</td>
<td>C Waller</td>
<td>18 Thornburgh Street&lt;br&gt;OXLEY QLD 4075</td>
<td>10.05.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>817</td>
<td>Mabel Evans</td>
<td>78 Oxley Station Road&lt;br&gt;OXLEY QLD 4075</td>
<td>10.05.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>818</td>
<td>M Hall and E Derksen</td>
<td>31 Susannah Street&lt;br&gt;OXLEY QLD 4075</td>
<td>10.05.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>819</td>
<td>Peter Middleton</td>
<td>14 Ingham Street&lt;br&gt;OXLEY QLD 4075</td>
<td>10.05.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>820</td>
<td>Desley A Middleton</td>
<td>14 Ingham Street&lt;br&gt;OXLEY QLD 4075</td>
<td>10.05.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>821</td>
<td>Janelle Middleton</td>
<td>14 Ingham Street&lt;br&gt;OXLEY QLD 4075</td>
<td>10.05.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>822</td>
<td>E Tokody</td>
<td>140 Englefield Road&lt;br&gt;OXLEY QLD 4075</td>
<td>10.05.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>823</td>
<td>N S Middleton</td>
<td>14 Ingham Street&lt;br&gt;OXLEY QLD 4075</td>
<td>10.05.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>824</td>
<td>Bernadine McKean</td>
<td>10 Undine Street&lt;br&gt;CLIFTON BEACH QLD 4879</td>
<td>10.05.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>825</td>
<td>Indecipherable</td>
<td>6 Flynn Close&lt;br&gt;CLIFTON BEACH QLD 4879</td>
<td>10.05.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>826</td>
<td>A Pouchol</td>
<td>14 Baines Street&lt;br&gt;CLIFTON BEACH QLD 4879</td>
<td>10.05.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Objection No. (QRC/OBJ...)</td>
<td>Name/Organisation</td>
<td>Address</td>
<td>Date Received</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>827</td>
<td>J Law</td>
<td>PO Box 659&lt;br&gt;MALEY QLD 4552</td>
<td>10.05.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>828</td>
<td>Indecipherable</td>
<td>PO Box 232&lt;br&gt;MALEY QLD 4552</td>
<td>10.05.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>829</td>
<td>Sandra and Ian Hodgkinson</td>
<td>Lot 1 Pinto Drive&lt;br&gt;CONONDALE QLD 4552</td>
<td>10.05.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>830</td>
<td>Lyn Fellowes</td>
<td>Lot 4 Eastern Mary River Road&lt;br&gt;CONONDALE QLD 4552</td>
<td>10.05.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>831</td>
<td>Ronald Trevor Fellowes</td>
<td>Lot 4 Eastern Mary River Road&lt;br&gt;CONONDALE QLD 4552</td>
<td>10.05.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>832</td>
<td>Geoff and Mary Smith</td>
<td>PO Box 226&lt;br&gt;MALEY QLD 4552</td>
<td>10.05.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>833</td>
<td>Peter and Patricia Brunton</td>
<td>33 Witta Road&lt;br&gt;WITTA QLD 4552</td>
<td>10.05.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>834</td>
<td>Yaroomba Progress Association</td>
<td>(Basil Page – President)&lt;br&gt;19 Wunnunga Crescent&lt;br&gt;YAROOMBA QLD 4573</td>
<td>10.05.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>835</td>
<td>Murray J O’Flynn</td>
<td>352 Highlands Road&lt;br&gt;EUDLO QLD 4554</td>
<td>10.05.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>836</td>
<td>WD and WE Hodge</td>
<td>PO Box 61&lt;br&gt;EUDLO QLD 4554</td>
<td>10.05.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>837</td>
<td>The Booroobin School</td>
<td>PO Box 660&lt;br&gt;MALEY QLD 4552</td>
<td>10.05.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>837A</td>
<td>M H and J I O’Flynn</td>
<td>352 Highlands Rd&lt;br&gt;EUDLO QLD 4554</td>
<td>10.05.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>838</td>
<td>Newman Family</td>
<td>Lot 16 Perrins Road&lt;br&gt;EUDLO QLD 4554</td>
<td>10.05.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>839</td>
<td>Susan Orwell</td>
<td></td>
<td>10.05.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>840</td>
<td>Charleville Radio and TV</td>
<td></td>
<td>10.05.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Objection No. (QRC/OBJ...)</td>
<td>Name/Organisation</td>
<td>Address</td>
<td>Date Received</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>841</td>
<td>Caboolture Shire Council</td>
<td>PO Box 159 CABOOLTURE QLD 4510</td>
<td>10.05.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>842</td>
<td>Wayne De Graaf</td>
<td>9 Cygnus Court REGENTS PARK QLD 4118</td>
<td>10.05.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>843</td>
<td>Graeme White</td>
<td>PO Box 171 KENILWORTH QLD 4574</td>
<td>10.05.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>844</td>
<td>Bill Feldman MLA Member for Caboolture</td>
<td>Suite 1, 71 King Street CABOOLTURE QLD 4510</td>
<td>10.05.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>845</td>
<td>South West Regional Development Association Inc</td>
<td>(Des Niesler – Secretary) PO Box 57 QUILPIE QLD 4480</td>
<td>10.05.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>846</td>
<td>Andrew Stallard</td>
<td>11 Derby Court YAMANTO QLD 4305</td>
<td>10.05.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>847</td>
<td>Yandina and District Community Association</td>
<td>(Meg Woods – Secretary) c/- 91 Elouera Drive YANDINA QLD 4561</td>
<td>10.05.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>848</td>
<td>The Liberal Party of Australia (Queensland Division)</td>
<td>PO Box 216 LUTWYCHE QLD 4030</td>
<td>10.05.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>849</td>
<td>Peter Furness</td>
<td>Ilkley Road EUDLO QLD 4554</td>
<td>10.05.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>850</td>
<td>Suzanne Furness</td>
<td>Ilkley Road EUDLO QLD 4554</td>
<td>10.05.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>851</td>
<td>Eudlo and Ilkley Landcare Group Inc</td>
<td>(Suzy Furness – Secretary) PO Box 122 EUDLO QLD 4554</td>
<td>10.05.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>852</td>
<td>Chris Jameson</td>
<td>PO Box 88 EUDLO QLD 4554</td>
<td>10.05.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>853</td>
<td>J A and D J Bywaters</td>
<td>Rayaland PO Box 33 EUDLO QLD 4554</td>
<td>10.05.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Objection No.:</td>
<td>Name/Organisation</td>
<td>Address</td>
<td>Date Received</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 854           | R Struckel and E Campbell | 3 Rudder Street
CLIFTON BEACH QLD 4879       | 10.05.99       |
| 855           | Joe Falchetti           | Raffles Court
11/51 McCormack Street
MANUNDA QLD 4870             | 10.05.99       |
| 856           | Thomas John Wagner      | 182 Parry Street
CHARLEVILLE QLD 4470          | 10.05.99       |
| 857           | Alison McMeniman        | 15 Baker Street
CHARLEVILLE QLD 4470          | 10.05.99       |
| 858           | Bernadette M Doyle      | 169 Parry Street
CHARLEVILLE QLD 4470          | 10.05.99       |
| 859           | Margaret O'Dempsey      | 21 Elliott Street
CHARLEVILLE QLD 4470          | 10.05.99       |
| 860           | Emily Jane Toms         | 11 Burke Street
CHARLEVILLE QLD 4470          | 10.05.99       |
| 861           | Charleville Branch of the National Party | PO Box 131
CHARLEVILLE QLD 4470         | 10.05.99       |
| 862           | Paul Lucas MLA Member for Lytton | Shop 2B
84 Florence Street
WYNNUM QLD 4178               | 10.05.99       |
| 863           | Griffin Jones           | 73 Alfred Street
CHARLEVILLE QLD 4470          | 10.05.99       |
| 864           | Ken and Natalie Hammond | 59 Mount Coombe Road
KULANGOOR QLD 4560            | 10.05.99       |
| 865           | Lyn Warwick             | PO Box 157
STRATFORD QLD 4870            | 10.05.99       |
| 866           | Gary Heinemann          |                                  | 10.05.99       |
| 867           | Janette Heinemann       |                                  | 10.05.99       |
| 868           | Margaret Duckworth      | 14 Keitel Close
BRIDGEMAN DOWNS QLD 4035      | 10.05.99       |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Objection No. (QRC/OBJ...)</th>
<th>Name/Organisation</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Date Received</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>869</td>
<td>Australian Labor Party</td>
<td>PO Box 5032&lt;br&gt;WEST END QLD 4101</td>
<td>10.05.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>870</td>
<td>Boonah Shire Council</td>
<td>PO Box 97&lt;br&gt;BOONAH QLD 4310</td>
<td>10.05.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>871</td>
<td>Blackall Range Land Use Planning Association Inc</td>
<td>PO Box 27&lt;br&gt;MAPLETON QLD 4560</td>
<td>10.05.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>872</td>
<td>Clayfield EEC – Australian Labor Party</td>
<td></td>
<td>10.05.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>873</td>
<td>Roma Town Council</td>
<td>(Russell J Hood - Chief Executive Officer)&lt;br&gt;PO Box 118&lt;br&gt;ROMA QLD 4455</td>
<td>10.05.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>874</td>
<td>Lem Andjew</td>
<td></td>
<td>10.05.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>875</td>
<td>Croydon Shire Council</td>
<td>PO Box 17&lt;br&gt;CROYDON QLD 4871</td>
<td>10.05.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>876</td>
<td>Sharyn and T P Flood</td>
<td></td>
<td>10.05.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>877</td>
<td>Lisa Dunham</td>
<td>Lot 17 Chinaman Creek Road&lt;br&gt;CAMBROON QLD 4552</td>
<td>10.05.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>878</td>
<td>Conondale Community Forum</td>
<td>(Peter Pamment – Chair)&lt;br&gt;C/- Krucks Road&lt;br&gt;CONONDALE QLD 4552</td>
<td>10.05.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>879</td>
<td>R and F Linke</td>
<td>PO Box 634&lt;br&gt;CHARLEVILLE QLD 4470</td>
<td>10.05.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>880</td>
<td>Bruce Allan Head</td>
<td>Springvale&lt;br&gt;BRIGALOW QLD 4412</td>
<td>10.05.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>881</td>
<td>Margaret Ashworth</td>
<td>24 Yule Avenue&lt;br&gt;CLIFTON BEACH QLD 4879</td>
<td>10.05.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>882</td>
<td>T S Ashworth</td>
<td>24 Yule Avenue&lt;br&gt;CLIFTON BEACH QLD 4879</td>
<td>10.05.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Objection No.</td>
<td>Name/Organisation</td>
<td>Address</td>
<td>Date</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>883</td>
<td>T J Ashworth</td>
<td>24 Yule Avenue</td>
<td>10.05.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CLIFTON BEACH QLD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4879</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>884</td>
<td>Adam Budge &amp; Jill Yeoman</td>
<td>Lot 1 Stager Rd</td>
<td>10.05.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BABINDA QLD 4871</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
May 7, 1999.

The Chairman,
Electoral Commission of Queensland,
Level 6, Forestry House,
160 Mary Street,
Brisbane. Q. 4000

Dear Sir,

A group of residents from South East Mackay have asked me to forward to you a letter and petition objecting to their exclusion from the proposed seat of Mackay Central.

The residents live in an area bounded by the southern side of Bridge Road, the Airport Reserve and the Pacific Ocean. This area of east Mackay has always been part of the State seat of Mackay since its proclamation.

The residents organised a petition and canvassed the area over the Anzac Day and Labour Day long week-ends.

The canvassers advised me that there are 299 homes in this suburb with only 275 currently occupied. Ten of these homes are occupied by foreign nationals.

A total of 184 homes signed the petition objecting to their exclusion from the proposed seat of Mackay Central which represents 67% of the houses in this area.

This is a high response rate considering the number of people who would have been absent over these long week-ends. The canvassers estimate the current population of the area is approximately 450, residents not 612 as indicated on the current roll.

Two former blocks of units have been converted to tourist holiday units which might explain the difference in the number of people enrolled on the electoral roll and what the canvassers believe live in the area.
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Only 3% of the residents declined to sign the petition.

Attached is a letter from Mr Kevin Casey of 6 Irving Street, Mackay together with the signed petition from residents in the area objecting to the proposed changes.

If you require further information on this matter, please contact Mr Kevin Casey on telephone 49575763.

Yours sincerely,

Tim Mulherin

TIM MULHERIN, M.L.A.
Member for Mackay
ELECTORS OF PART OF SOUTH-EAST MACKAY

6 Irving St
MACKAY
QLD.4740.
May 5, 1999
Ph 49575763

The Chairman,
Electoral Commission of Queensland,
Level 6, Forestry House,
160 Mary St.,
BRISBANE QLD.4000.

Dear Sir,

I write on behalf of the attached 284 petitioners who are residents of that part of South and East Mackay which is bounded on the East by the Coral Sea, on the North by Bridge Road, on the West by the Mackay Airport and on the South by the Baker’s Creek swamplands.

We are objecting to our area of South and East Mackay being placed in the Electorate of Mirani under the proposed new Electorate Boundaries.

Our community of interest is with the Southern and Eastern suburbs of Mackay and as can be seen by a proper study of the relevant maps we have no direct contact with any other parts of the Mirani Electorate as we are completely separated from them by the Mackay Airport and the Baker’s Creek swamp.

Referring to your own Report wherein on page 14 you state that “...the inclusion of Mackay Airport in Mirani is less than ideal...” we respectfully suggest that the inclusion of our suburb which is entirely East of the Mackay Airport is not only ridiculous but contrary to the general intent of your stated intent to not divide suburbs.

Our children go to School in Mackay, our shopping is done in Mackay, our area contains many of the recreational areas of Mackay, our social activities are in Mackay, we worship in Mackay Churches and are an integral part of that Community.

We believe that a perfectly natural boundary for the Southern part of Mackay Electorate in this area would be the extension of Boundary St from it’s intersection with Milton St. easterly to the Baker’s Creek swamplands and from the same point northerly along Milton St to it’s intersection with Archibald St and from there to follow the new boundary proposals westerly.

This would then leave the Mackay Airport and our suburban area in Mackay Electorate which has always been our natural location and is already acknowledged as a natural boundary by the Mackay City Council as a Ward boundary separating our suburb from the Council’s rural areas.
Our area is now fully developed by way of reclamation and sub-division and because of current environment laws and the location of the airport there is no chance of further expansion of residential dwellings in this location.

I would point out that the petitioners represent the substantial majority of the area’s residents and in fact the petitioners found that hardly anyone declined to sign. The figure was about 3% which is far less than the number who regularly don’t vote or who vote informally.

The other thing that the petition canvassers, all of whom were residents of the area noted was that the no of people living in the area was nowhere near the number enrolled. This is supported by the following data compiled by the petition canvassers:-

- There are 299 homes in this suburb
- Only 275 of these are currently occupied
- 10 of these are occupied by foreign nationals
- Residents of 184 homes signed the petition conducted over a long weekend-67% (no-one home at the others)
- Canvassers estimate the current population of the area to be approx. 450
- Only 3% declined to sign (less than the No. who don’t vote)
- The area contains Mackay’s major Hotel (Ocean International), the new Illawong Lakes Tourist Resort and Mackay’s largest Tourist Caravan Park and will continue to lose residential areas to the Tourist Industry.
- Two former unit blocks are now being used as Holiday Units.

Everyone canvassed expressed surprise that whoever living in Brisbane had made the recommendation obviously had no idea of the local situation.

We trust that you will act on our petition as requested,

Yours Sincerely on behalf of the petitioners,

KEVIN CASEY
PETITION

We the under-signed being residents of South and East Mackay living in the area bound by on the East by the Coral Sea, on the North by Bridge Road, on the West by the Mackay Airport and on the South by the Baker's Creek swamplands respectfully request that the Queensland Electoral Commission retains our area in the Electorate of MACKAY.  QRC/035421

This small pocket of electors is an integral part of the South and East Mackay Community socially, educationally, recreationally and in all aspects of business, sport and community activities and is completely isolated from any other areas of Mirani and has therefore no community of interest whatsoever with that Electorate.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>ADDRESS</th>
<th>SIGNATURE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kevin John Casey</td>
<td>6 IRVING ST</td>
<td>K.Casey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patricia M. Casey</td>
<td>6 IRVING ST</td>
<td>P.Casey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Susan C. Turnbull</td>
<td>5 IRVING ST</td>
<td>S.Turnell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robert P. Turnbull</td>
<td>5 IRVING ST</td>
<td>R.Turnell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maree F. Lamb</td>
<td>17 IRVING ST</td>
<td>M.Lamb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alec G. Lamb</td>
<td>17 IRVING ST</td>
<td>A.Lamb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pat Watts</td>
<td>32 IRVING ST</td>
<td>P.Watts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. Watts</td>
<td>32 IRVING ST</td>
<td>A.Watts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. McKenzie</td>
<td>27 IRVING ST</td>
<td>S.McKen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. Burges</td>
<td>22 IRVING ST</td>
<td>J.Burges</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev Miller</td>
<td>14 IRVING ST</td>
<td>R.Miller</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. H. Holm</td>
<td>14 IRVING ST</td>
<td>P.Holm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G. Leed</td>
<td>10 IRVING ST</td>
<td>G.Leed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pauline McKinnon</td>
<td>15 ELAMANG ST</td>
<td>P.McKinno</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alex McKinnon</td>
<td>15 ELAMANG ST</td>
<td>A.McKinno</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. Littleton</td>
<td>23 ELAMANG ST</td>
<td>J.Littlet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T. Swinny</td>
<td>14 ELAMANG ST</td>
<td>T.Swinny</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W. Mackay</td>
<td>2 IRVING ST</td>
<td>W.Mackay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Georganina Reddatt</td>
<td>8 IRVING ST</td>
<td>G.Reddatt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tim Doolan</td>
<td>215 GOLDSMITH ST</td>
<td>T.Doolan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mary Doolan</td>
<td>215 GOLDSMITH ST</td>
<td>M.Doolan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glorvina Reep</td>
<td>78 ARMOUR</td>
<td>G.Reep</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ron Reep</td>
<td>78 ARMOUR</td>
<td>R.Reep</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W. G. Ryan</td>
<td>209 ARMOUR</td>
<td>W.G.Ryan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jon Smith</td>
<td>266 ARMOUR</td>
<td>J.Smith</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jenny Smith</td>
<td>15 CREEDIN ST</td>
<td>J.Smith</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mary West</td>
<td>18 CREEDIN ST</td>
<td>M.West</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trincie West</td>
<td>18 CREEDIN ST</td>
<td>T.West</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Craig Martin</td>
<td>18 CREEDIN ST</td>
<td>C.Martin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robert West</td>
<td>18 CREEDIN ST</td>
<td>R.West</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# PETITION

We the under-signed being residents of South and East Mackay living in the area bound by on the East by the Coral Sea, on the North by Bridge Road, on the West by the Mackay Airport and on the South by the Baker's Creek swamplands respectfully request that the Queensland Electoral Commission retains our area in the Electorate of MACKAY.

QRCA0ST 421

This small pocket of electors is an integral part of the South and East Mackay Community socially, educationally, recreationally and in all aspects of business, sport and community activities and is completely isolated from any other areas of Mirani and has therefore no community of interest whatsoever with that Electorate.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>ADDRESS</th>
<th>SIGNATURE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T. Brown</td>
<td>1 IRVING ST</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. Brown</td>
<td>1 IRVING ST</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. Devlin</td>
<td>7 IRVING ST</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. Devlin</td>
<td>7 IRVING ST</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. Bugela</td>
<td>3 IRVING ST</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G. Bishop P</td>
<td>19 IRVING ST</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M. Bishop</td>
<td>19 IRVING ST</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. Hawkins</td>
<td>28 IRVING ST</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. Donovan</td>
<td>24 IRVING ST</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. Donovan</td>
<td>24 IRVING ST</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W. McRae</td>
<td>31 IRVING ST</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M. D. Jordan</td>
<td>30 IRVING ST</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K. Banks</td>
<td>28 IRVING ST</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R. Marks</td>
<td>28 IRVING ST</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. Oliver</td>
<td>20 IRVING ST</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. Youngman</td>
<td>16 GARDEN ST</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. Brown</td>
<td>17 ELPHINSTON ST</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. Mclean</td>
<td>27 ELPHINSTON ST</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. Shailer</td>
<td>22 GARDEN ST</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. Kelly</td>
<td>28 CREDIN ST</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. Kelly</td>
<td>28 CREDIN ST</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. Kelly</td>
<td>24 GARDEN ST</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. Vardy</td>
<td>35 CREDIN ST</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. Williams</td>
<td>34 CREDIN ST</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G. Williams</td>
<td>34 CREDIN ST</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M. J. Mathews</td>
<td>47 CREDIN ST</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K. Mathews</td>
<td>47 CREDIN ST</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MARY TANDY</td>
<td>51 CREDIN ST</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MARY EYES</td>
<td>39 CREDIN ST</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PETITION

We the under-signed being residents of South and East Mackay living in the area bound by on the East by the Coral Sea, on the North by Bridge Road, on the West by the Mackay Airport and on the South by the Baker's Creek swamplands respectfully request that the Queensland Electoral Commission retains our area in the Electorate of MACKAY.

This small pocket of electors is an integral part of the South and East Mackay Community socially, educationally, recreationally and in all aspects of business, sport and community activities and is completely isolated from any other areas of Mirani and has therefore no community of interest whatsoever with that Electorate.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>ADDRESS</th>
<th>SIGNATURE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>THOMAS CAREY</td>
<td>3 KEELAN ST</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VICKI CAREY</td>
<td>8 KEELAN ST MACKAY</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GLENNY FRANK HANICH</td>
<td>4 KEELAN ST MACKAY</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JUANITA LAMAD</td>
<td>3 KEELAN ST MACKAY</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REN LATCHER</td>
<td>3 KEELAN ST MACKAY</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MARY LAMB</td>
<td>3 KEELAN ST MACKAY</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRS CHRISTINE TOTHERELL</td>
<td>6 KEELAN ST MACKAY</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRAD JONES</td>
<td>7 KEELAN ST MACKAY</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEIL TUCKY</td>
<td>7 KEELAN ST MACKAY</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DAVID EVANS</td>
<td>9 KEELAN ST MACKAY</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAMILLE EYRE</td>
<td>9 KEELAN ST MACKAY</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MARIAN PRIBETT</td>
<td>15 KEELAN ST</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANTHONY BADE</td>
<td>15 KEELAN ST</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEONIE MÖDER</td>
<td>18 KEELAN ST</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KEN CUMMINGS</td>
<td>18 KEELAN ST</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANNE O'BRIEN</td>
<td>19 KEELAN ST</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LORNA RICHARDSON</td>
<td>21 KEELAN ST</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUE REID</td>
<td>21 KEELAN ST</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. RICHARDSON</td>
<td>21 KEELAN ST</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W. RICHARDSON</td>
<td>23 KEELAN ST</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. CUMMINGS</td>
<td>23 KEELAN ST</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M.J. MILTENBURG</td>
<td>25 KEELAN ST</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JULIE MILTENBURG</td>
<td>25 KEELAN ST</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COLIN REASON</td>
<td>29 KEELAN ST</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DELMA REASON</td>
<td>29 KEELAN ST</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H. M. HALL WITH</td>
<td>31 KEELAN ST</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IAN RICHARDSON</td>
<td>31 KEELAN ST</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MARY THOMAS</td>
<td>31 KEELAN ST</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BARBARA WILKINSON</td>
<td>33 KEELAN ST</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAY RICHARDSON</td>
<td>35 KEELAN ST</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PETITION

We the under-signed being residents of South and East Mackay living in the area bound by on the East by the Coral Sea, on the North by Bridge Road, on the West by the Mackay Airport and on the South by the Baker's Creek swamplands respectfully request that the Queensland Electoral Commission retains our area in the Electorate of MACKAY.

This small pocket of electors is an integral part of the South and East Mackay Community socially, educationally, recreationally and in all aspects of business, sport and community activities and is completely isolated from any other areas of Mirani and has therefore no community of interest whatsoever with that Electorate.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>ADDRESS</th>
<th>SIGNATURE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Denise Gunning</td>
<td>39 Keelan St.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nicole Miller</td>
<td>42 Keelan St.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jason Vlaeminck</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Smedley</td>
<td>32 Keelan St.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V. Smedley</td>
<td>32 Keelan St.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T. Hammond</td>
<td>30 Keelan St.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. Hammond</td>
<td>30 Keelan St.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. McKandie</td>
<td>6 Keelan St.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M. McKandie</td>
<td>6 Keelan St.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. Russe</td>
<td>20 Keelan St.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N. Powell</td>
<td>20 Keelan St.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. Powell</td>
<td>10 Keelan St.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. Black</td>
<td>12 Keelan St.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. J. Shield</td>
<td>12 Keelan St.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. Lewis</td>
<td>12 Keelan St.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I. Jones</td>
<td>10 Keelan St.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. Franettovich</td>
<td>4 Keelan St.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. Jones</td>
<td>10 Keelan St.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T. Fortescue</td>
<td>8 Digby St.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F. Appleton</td>
<td>6 Keelan St.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. Armstrong</td>
<td>16 Queens St.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W. Rix</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. Galey</td>
<td>20 Queens St.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. Galey</td>
<td>20 Queens St.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. Smith</td>
<td>20 Queens St.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. Smith</td>
<td>20 Queens St.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. Copley</td>
<td>20 Queens St.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. Gilchrist</td>
<td>30 Queens St.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sharon Rix</td>
<td>38 Keelan St.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David Rix</td>
<td>38 Keelan St.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PETITION

We the under-signed being residents of South and East Mackay living in the area bound by on the East by the Coral Sea, on the North by Bridge Road, on the West by the Mackay Airport and on the South by the Baker's Creek swamplands respectfully request that the Queensland Electoral Commission retains our area in the Electorate of MACKAY.

This small pocket of electors is an integral part of the South and East Mackay Community socially, educationally, recreationally and in all aspects of business, sport and community activities and is completely isolated from any other areas of Mirani and has therefore no community of interest whatsoever with that Electorate.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>ADDRESS</th>
<th>SIGNATURE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ODETTIE C. CASEY</td>
<td>45 Bridge Rd.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dick Lancaster</td>
<td>63 Bridge Rd.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pat Biddle</td>
<td>61 Bridge Rd.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. Wood</td>
<td>61 Bridge Rd.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alan Trevor Kerr</td>
<td>37 Bridge Rd.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raelene Mar Kerr</td>
<td>37 Bridge Rd.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K. Taylor</td>
<td>33 Bridge Rd.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kayley Lauder</td>
<td>23 Bridge Rd.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. Redd</td>
<td>23 Bridge Rd.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sue Ann Shearer</td>
<td>23 Bridge Rd.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. Peacock</td>
<td>23 Bridge Rd.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. Bob</td>
<td>23 Bridge Rd.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. Hansen</td>
<td>15 Bridge Rd.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T. Hansen</td>
<td>15 -</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G. Ristic</td>
<td>13 Bridge Rd.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. King</td>
<td>359 Berkeley St.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T. King</td>
<td>15 -</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. Sturrock</td>
<td>24 Beverly St.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T. Paterson</td>
<td>20 Beverley St.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H. Baik</td>
<td>20 Beverley St.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W. Miller</td>
<td>4 Beverley St.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. Miller</td>
<td>4 Beverley St.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T. Mylles</td>
<td>2 Bridge Rd.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. Moylan</td>
<td>71 Bridge Rd.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. Morgan</td>
<td>14 Morgan Dr.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T. Kinn</td>
<td>134 Long Dr.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G. Kirk</td>
<td>141 Long Dr.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PETITION

We the under-signed being residents of South and East Mackay living in the area bound by on the East by the Coral Sea, on the North by Bridge Road, on the West by the Mackay Airport and on the South by the Baker's Creek swamplands respectfully request that the Queensland Electoral Commission retains our area in the Electorate of MACKAY.

This small pocket of electors is an integral part of the South and East Mackay Community socially, educationally, recreationally and in all aspects of business, sport and community activities and is completely isolated from any other areas of Mirani and has therefore no community of interest whatsoever with that Electorate.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>ADDRESS</th>
<th>SIGNATURE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sam Pratt</td>
<td>10 Beverley St</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wendy McFlay</td>
<td>10 Secord St, Mirani</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Margaret Toque</td>
<td>11A Beverley St</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dave Vogel</td>
<td>11A Beverley St</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pam Crawford</td>
<td>21 Beverley St</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diane Nixon</td>
<td>31 Beverley St</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name Nixon</td>
<td>31 Beverley St</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kathy How</td>
<td>31 Beverley St</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jocelyn Elliott</td>
<td>22 Beverley St</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natalie Ward</td>
<td>12 Beverley St</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diane Rooney</td>
<td>8 Beverley St</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lynn Rooney</td>
<td>8 Beverley St</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jenny Bone</td>
<td>311 Goldsmith St</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stu Vauter</td>
<td>223 Goldsmith St</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aggie</td>
<td>229 Goldsmith St</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P O'Sullivan</td>
<td>232 Goldsmith St</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melissa Blood</td>
<td>232 Goldsmith St</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S Barker</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matt Miller</td>
<td>220 Goldsmith St</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tamara Purduc</td>
<td>226 Goldsmith St</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don Wicking</td>
<td>3 Irving St</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adam Peckover</td>
<td>3 Irving St</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dorothy Ewing</td>
<td>N.E. Irving St</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lennard Pole</td>
<td>2 Flamang St</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pete Kyttanen</td>
<td>26 Irving St</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G Hepple</td>
<td>26 Irving St</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M Conleus</td>
<td>27 Irving St</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D Conleus</td>
<td>29 Irving St</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bruce</td>
<td>31 Flamang St</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EM O'Brien</td>
<td>5 Flamang St</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PETITION

We the under-signed being residents of South and East Mackay living in the area bound by on the East by the Coral Sea, on the North by Bridge Road, on the West by the Mackay Airport and on the South by the Baker’s Creek swamplands respectfully request that the Queensland Electoral Commission retains our area in the Electorate of MACKAY.

This small pocket of electors is an integral part of the South and East Mackay Community socially, educationally, recreationally and in all aspects of business, sport and community activities and is completely isolated from any other areas of Mirani and has therefore no community of interest whatsoever with that Electorate.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>ADDRESS</th>
<th>SIGNATURE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Don Weston</td>
<td>103 Scott St</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lorraine Weston</td>
<td>103 Scott St</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robert Asher</td>
<td>93 Scott St</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iris O’Neill</td>
<td>93 Sun St</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ralph Mapley</td>
<td>93 Scott St</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bernadare Barnes</td>
<td>91 Scott St</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alison Harell</td>
<td>87 Scott St</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tania Kempin</td>
<td>99 Scott St</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michael Kempin</td>
<td>99 Scott St</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Len Calle</td>
<td>107 Scott St</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Calle</td>
<td>107 Scott St</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>George Thurl</td>
<td>109 Scott St</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peet Penny</td>
<td>111 Scott St</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frank Penny</td>
<td>111 Scott St</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alh Dary</td>
<td>113 Scott St</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. McLeod</td>
<td>110 Scott St</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R. Best</td>
<td>110 Scott St</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M. Sargent</td>
<td>114 Scott St</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. Sargent</td>
<td>114 Scott St</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M. Ramsay</td>
<td>116 Scott St</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O. Komschol</td>
<td>116 Scott St</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Williams</td>
<td>60 Petrie St</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. Helemus</td>
<td>58 Petrie St</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. Jones</td>
<td>58 Petrie St</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T. Pawling</td>
<td>44 Petrie St</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M. Davidson</td>
<td>37 Petrie St</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. Edwards</td>
<td>37 Petrie St</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. Mapley</td>
<td>93 Scott St</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G. D. Chapman</td>
<td>82 Scott St</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. W. Williams</td>
<td>84 Scott St</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PETITION

We the under-signed being residents of South and East Mackay living in the area bound by on the East by the Coral Sea, on the North by Bridge Road, on the West by the Mackay Airport and on the South by the Baker’s Creek swamplands respectfully request that the Queensland Electoral Commission retains our area in the Electorate of MACKAY.

This small pocket of electors is an integral part of the South and East Mackay Community socially, educationally, recreationally and in all aspects of business, sport and community activities and is completely isolated from any other areas of Mirani and has therefore no community of interest whatsoever with that Electorate.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>ADDRESS</th>
<th>SIGNATURE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P. PENKLIS</td>
<td>30 DIBGY ST, MKY</td>
<td>P. Penkli</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. PENKLIS</td>
<td>30 DIBGY ST, MKY</td>
<td>E. Penkli</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. MACKAY</td>
<td>24 DIBGY ST, MKY</td>
<td>E. Mackay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. MACKAY</td>
<td>34 DIBGY ST, MKY</td>
<td>S. Mackay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R. FORD</td>
<td>32 DIBGY ST, MKY</td>
<td>R. Ford</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M. FORD</td>
<td>32 DIBGY ST, MKY</td>
<td>M. Ford</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. WATERS</td>
<td>25 DIBGY ST, MKY</td>
<td>E. Waters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M. WATERS</td>
<td>25 DIBGY ST, MKY</td>
<td>M. Waters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M. PATERSON</td>
<td>25 DIBGY ST, MKY</td>
<td>M. Paterson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. WILKINS</td>
<td>23 DIBGY ST, MKY</td>
<td>D. Wilkins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. WILKINS</td>
<td>23 DIBGY ST, MKY</td>
<td>E. Wilkins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R. SLATTERY</td>
<td>21 DIBGY ST, MKY</td>
<td>R. Slattery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M. SLATTERY</td>
<td>21 DIBGY ST, MKY</td>
<td>M. Slattery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N. PARKINSON</td>
<td>16 DIBGY ST</td>
<td>N. Parkinson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. TODD</td>
<td>11 DIBGY ST</td>
<td>J. Todd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. TODD</td>
<td>11 DIBGY ST</td>
<td>J. Todd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. NICHOLS</td>
<td>9 DIBGY ST</td>
<td>D. Nichols</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T. BURCHETT</td>
<td>7 DIBGY ST</td>
<td>T. Burchett</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KATINA STIRLING</td>
<td>7 DIBGY ST</td>
<td>K. Stirling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LUCY CALE</td>
<td>7 DIBGY ST</td>
<td>L. Calle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LINDIE HUNT</td>
<td>5 DIBGY ST</td>
<td>L. Hunt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JASON SITWELL</td>
<td>3 DIBGY ST</td>
<td>J. Sitwell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M. THOMPSON</td>
<td>6 DIBGY ST, MKY</td>
<td>M. Thompson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N. KENT</td>
<td>16 DIBGY ST, MKY</td>
<td>N. Kent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LUCY FALCONER</td>
<td>26 DIBGY ST MKY</td>
<td>L. Falconer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HELEN SOLOMEE</td>
<td>28 DIBGY ST</td>
<td>H. Solomoe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JENNIFER MACDONALD</td>
<td>28 DIBGY ST</td>
<td>J. Macdonald</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JAVELLE DURAND</td>
<td>JINACONI DR</td>
<td>J. Durand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. &amp; JEREE</td>
<td>ILLAWONG DE</td>
<td>B. &amp; Jere</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. FODD</td>
<td>ILLAWONG DE</td>
<td>D. Fodd</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PETITION

We the under-signed being residents of South and East Mackay living in the area bound by on the East by the Coral Sea, on the North by Bridge Road, on the West by the Mackay Airport and on the South by the Baker's Creek swamplands respectfully request that the Queensland Electoral Commission retains our area in the Electorate of MACKAY.

This small pocket of electors is an integral part of the South and East Mackay Community socially, educationally, recreationally and in all aspects of business, sport and community activities and is completely isolated from any other areas of Mirani and has therefore no community of interest whatsoever with that Electorate.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>ADDRESS</th>
<th>SIGNATURE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A. T. SAKI</td>
<td>17 BEVERLEY ST</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. HODGSON</td>
<td>38 BEVERLEY ST</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. BURGEH</td>
<td>28 BEVERLEY ST</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. TAFFREN</td>
<td>28 BEVERLEY ST</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. BAYLOR</td>
<td>5 BEVERLEY ST</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. PAYNE</td>
<td>5 BEVERLEY ST</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. O'FRIE</td>
<td>18 BEVERLEY ST</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. S. GRANT</td>
<td>209 HOLLAHILL ST</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K. HODSON</td>
<td>215 BODSMITH ST</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. GUTTENIDGE</td>
<td>227 BODSMITH ST</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K. NGUYEN</td>
<td>231 BODSMITH ST</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T. TAYLOR</td>
<td>434 BEVERLEY ST</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. SWAN</td>
<td>216 BODSMITH ST</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. SWAN</td>
<td>216 BODSMITH ST</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K. DOW</td>
<td>216 BODSMITH ST</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. DOW</td>
<td>216 BODSMITH ST</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PETITION

We the under-signed being residents of South and East Mackay living in the area bound by on the East by the Coral Sea, on the North by Bridge Road, on the West by the Mackay Airport and on the South by the Baker’s Creek swamplands respectfully request that the Queensland Electoral Commission retains our area in the Electorate of MACKAY.

This small pocket of electors is an integral part of the South and East Mackay Community socially, educationally, recreationally and in all aspects of business, sport and community activities and is completely isolated from any other areas of Mirani and has therefore no community of interest whatsoever with that Electorate.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>ADDRESS</th>
<th>SIGNATURE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Daughter</td>
<td>90 Scott St</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neil Brunn</td>
<td>90 Scott St</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roger Martin</td>
<td>101 Scott St</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miriam Martin</td>
<td>101 Scott St</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M. Lindsay</td>
<td>115 Scott St</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. Clear 1</td>
<td>117 Scott St</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M. Bone</td>
<td>36 Pena St</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M. Baker</td>
<td>42 PEAPE FE ST</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M. Baker</td>
<td>42 PEAPE FE ST</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. Palmer</td>
<td>53 PETRIE STREET</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S.E. Harp</td>
<td>97 Scott St</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Donna Morgan</td>
<td>104 Scott STREET</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thornton</td>
<td>106 Scott St</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jeanette Harwood</td>
<td>108 Scott St</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. Adcock</td>
<td>106 Scott St</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M. Kavanagh</td>
<td>105 Scott St</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X. Kavanagh</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PETITION

We the under-signed being residents of South and East Mackay living in the area bound by on the East by the Coral Sea, on the North by Bridge Road, on the West by the Mackay Airport and on the South by the Baker’s Creek swamplands respectfully request that the Queensland Electoral Commission retains our area in the Electorate of MACKAY.

This small pocket of electors is an integral part of the South and East Mackay Community socially, educationally, recreationally and in all aspects of business, sport and community activities and is completely isolated from any other areas of Mirani and has therefore no community of interest whatsoever with that Electorate.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>ADDRESS</th>
<th>SIGNATURE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A. SHEEHAN</td>
<td>50 CREOLIN ST</td>
<td>A. Grace</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. GRACE</td>
<td>87 HAMILTON ST</td>
<td>A. Grace</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. SHEEHAN</td>
<td>50 CREOLIN ST</td>
<td>P. Sheehan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T. HOWELL</td>
<td>25 CREOLIN ST</td>
<td>T. Powell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M. HOWELL</td>
<td>25 CREOLIN ST</td>
<td>M. Powell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. RUSSELL</td>
<td>19 CREOLIN ST</td>
<td>C. Russell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. CLAYWOOD</td>
<td>5 CREOLIN ST</td>
<td>J. Claywood</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHESE PENDRAGAS</td>
<td>8 EMING ST</td>
<td>C. Pendragas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JIMMY MILES</td>
<td>3 FLAMING CT</td>
<td>J. Miles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RED MILES</td>
<td>3 FLAMING CT</td>
<td>R. Miles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KARE MILES</td>
<td>3 FLAMING CT</td>
<td>K. Miles</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
7 May 1999

The Chairperson
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Level 6, Forestry House
160 Mary Street
BRISBANE QLD 4000
Tel: (07) 3227 6219
Fax: (07) 3229 7391

Dear Sir,

RE: PROPOSED QUEENSLAND ELECTORAL BOUNDARIES

OBJECTION

1. I, Peter William Wellington, formally object to the proposed electoral boundary (April 1999) of NICKLIN and hereby propose an alternative that more effectively meets the criteria noted in section 46(1) of the Act.

INTRODUCTION

2. This objection relates specifically to the electorate of NICKLIN and the direct flow-on effect to the electorates of NOOSA, NANANGO and GLASSHOUSE.

3. In general terms, the redistribution of the electoral boundaries for those electorates in the Sunshine Coast regional area is agreed and the Commission should be congratulated on adequately meeting most requirements. The increase of one electorate, from five to six with four electorates along the coast and two hinterland electorates seems the most reasonable and effective solution for the Sunshine Coast region. The objection therefore is about the localised aspects of the proposed changes and results in proposed minor boundary changes to the electorate of NICKLIN and three adjacent electorates.

[Signature]

Peter Wellington MP
Member for NICKLIN
PO Box 265 Nambour
QLD 4560
Phone: (07) 54 416 933 Fax: (07) 54 416 255
THE PROBLEM

4. A number of towns and immediate surrounds, located in Maroochy Shire that are outside the proposed NICKLIN electorate have a strong community of interest with Nambour which is the centre and heart of the NICKLIN electorate. These towns and immediate surrounds include Eudlo, Kenilworth, Belli Park, Mapleton and Kureelpa.

5. Many residents of Eudlo, Kenilworth, Belli Park, Mapleton and Kureelpa work in Nambour, conduct businesses in Nambour, send their children to state and private schools and TAFE in Nambour. They belong to community groups and sporting organisations in Nambour; they use the Nambour hospital and dental clinic; they conduct their financial affairs in Nambour where there are banks. Many residents of the abovementioned towns attend shows, plays and concerts in Nambour; they shop in Nambour and many have relatives in retirement villages and nursing homes in Nambour.

6. Eudlo, Kenilworth, Belli Park Mapleton and Kureelpa have strong geographical links to NICKLIN because they are all on the eastern side of the Great Dividing Range. There are good standard connecting roads between these towns and Nambour, whereas there are no direct roads connecting them with areas in Nanango and Glasshouse. This would make electorally servicing these towns from Nanango and Glasshouse difficult.

7. It has been pointed out in Commission documents that “local government boundaries frequently reflect and may even help to create, communities of interest.” This is particularly so in this predominantly rural area where it is suggested that it is advantageous to use local government boundaries as far as possible. All the abovementioned towns are in Maroochy Shire whereas the Mooloolah area is in Caloundra Shire.

8. It is felt that splitting towns should be avoided and in this respect the towns and their immediate surround of Eudlo, Montville, Mapleton and Yandina, would be better off consolidated and not split as has been proposed by the Commission.

9. In the north east section of the proposed electorate of NICKLIN, which includes Lake Weyba Downs, Doonan and part of Bamundi, there are strong social, tourism and economic ties to Noosa rather than to Nambour.

PROPOSAL

10. The suggested proposal can be divided into three distinct parts. These are shown in Maps 2 and 3 (enclosed). Map 1 shows the relationship of the Sunshine Coast electorates.
a. **Southern Boundary.** Delete Mooloolah; include Eudlo West. Follow the Maroochy (N)/Caloundra (S) Shire boundary.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>NOW</th>
<th>2005</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Delete</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD 3120309</td>
<td>634</td>
<td>746</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD 3120311-A</td>
<td>453</td>
<td>616</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD 3120303-A</td>
<td>246</td>
<td>392</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>-1333</td>
<td>-1754</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Include</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD 3112512</td>
<td>+379</td>
<td>+381</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**NICKLIN NET RESULT**
-955 vs. -1373

**GLASSHOUSE NET RESULT**
+955 vs. +1373

b. **West Boundary.** Delete NIL. Include: Kenilworth; Kidaman Creek; Kureelpa; Kiamo and Belli Park.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>NOW</th>
<th>2005</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Include</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD 3110504</td>
<td>431</td>
<td>437</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD 3110404</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>224</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD 3110406</td>
<td>363</td>
<td>442</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD 3110409</td>
<td>278</td>
<td>350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD 3110401-A</td>
<td>349</td>
<td>420</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD 3110408</td>
<td>264</td>
<td>276</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD 3110411</td>
<td>301</td>
<td>339</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD 3110503-A</td>
<td>358</td>
<td>381</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD 3110502</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>333</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD 3110401</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td>2762</td>
<td>3202</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**NICKLIN NET RESULT**
+2762 vs. +3202

**NANANGO NET RESULT**
-2762 vs. -3202

---
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[Signature]
c.  

*North Boundary (to Noosa).* Delete: Yandina Creek; Verridale, Eumundi, Eerwah Vale and Weyba Downs. Include: Nil.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ELECTORS</th>
<th>NOW</th>
<th>2005</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>i.e. Delete (from NICKLIN)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD 3112404-A</td>
<td>510</td>
<td>534</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD 3112405</td>
<td>735</td>
<td>833</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD 3112408</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD 3112 409B</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>1363</td>
<td>1542</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Delete (from NANANGO) |     |      |
| CD 3110410           | 256 | 258  |
| CD 3110402-A         | 159 | 388  |
|TOTAL                 | 415 | 646  |

NICKLIN Net Result = -1363 -1542
NANANGO Net Result = -415 -646
NOOSA Net Result = +1778 +2188

**OBSERVATION PROPOSAL FIGURES**

11.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ELECTORATE</th>
<th>PROPOSAL CHANGE A+B+C</th>
<th>NOW</th>
<th>2005</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>QRC</td>
<td>Wellington</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NICKLIN</td>
<td>+444</td>
<td>25540</td>
<td>25984</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GLASSHOUSE</td>
<td>+955</td>
<td>24640</td>
<td>25595</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOOSA</td>
<td>+1778</td>
<td>24706</td>
<td>26484</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NANANGO</td>
<td>-3177</td>
<td>26917</td>
<td>23740</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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COMMENT

12. Proposed elector figures as at now are within limits. Proposed elector figures as at 2005 for Nicklin, Glasshouse and Noosa are above MAXIMUM limit, which is similar to that proposed by QRC.

ADJUSTMENTS

13. In order to correct the high forecast figures for the year 2005, if warranted, it may be necessary to slightly rearrange boundaries. Some suggestions follow:

a. The towns of Tin Can Bay and Rainbow Beach in the northeast could be included in Maryborough. This would boost the numbers for Maryborough, which have declined over recent years. Maryborough has a superior regional hospital, excellent school and other major facilities that are presently under utilised.

b. Gympie would lose the abovementioned two coastal towns which would decrease the pressure on its hospital and town facilities. Gympie could pick up the northern end of Noosa Shire including the hinterland towns of Cooran, Kin Kin and Pomona. These currently Noosa hinterland towns have historic, social and geographic links with Gympie. Many residents in these towns shop in Gympie and have family links to the town.

c. Noosa could lose these northern hinterland towns and gain the entire tourism town of Eumundi as well as the “up-market” settlements of Doonan and Lake Weyba to the east. Lake Weyba is presently in the Noosa electorate (pre 1999) and has a strong community of interest geographically, socially and emotionally with Noosa.

CONCLUSION

14. The people living in Eudlo, Kenilworth, Belli Park, Mapleton and Kureelpa have over many years considered themselves to be an integral and important part of Maroochy Shire. By excluding them from Nicklin it would alienate them and leave them in virtual “no-man’s land”. By adopting the suggested solution, which meets the quota figure requirements, a more suitable and satisfactory community-of-interest outcome is achieved.

Yours faithfully,

PETER WELLINGTON MLA
Wellington Proposal - Boundary Description

Nicklin

Notes for Map 3.

Start Point:

Commence at the intersection of the Bruce Highway and Keil Mountain Road, Keil Mountain proceeding south to the Maroochy Shire-Caloundra City local government boundaries. (ie no change)

Thence follow the Maroochy Shire-Caloundra City boundary due west to Little Yabba Creek at SF FTY 1638.

Then proceed directly north across the State Forest to the Maroochy Shire boundary. This is followed north and north-east to the Mary River and then due east still following the shire boundary to Eerwah Vale. Leave the shire boundary and proceed south-east crossing Kenilworth Road along Gold Creek Road to North Arm at the Bruce Highway.

Proceed east from North Arm along the North Arm-Yandina Road to the Sunshine Motorway. Turn south down Sunshine Motorway along QEC proposed boundary to the Maroochy River and then turn west following the proposed boundary no change, to the start point or the Bruce Highway.
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Thursday, 6th May 1999

Hon Justice J P Shanahan
Chairperson
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE 9001

Dear Justice Shanahan

I wish to lodge a formal objection to the proposal by your Commission to change the name of the Rockhampton electorate to Rockhampton Central.

Historically, apart from a period of 11 years when the city was divided into two electorates of Rockhampton North and Rockhampton South, the name of Rockhampton has applied to this electorate since 1865.

As there is no other electorate commencing with 'Rockhampton', the addition of the word 'Central' to its title is superfluous.

The surrounding electorates of Fitzroy and Keppel, whilst including constituents from within the city of Rockhampton, do not detract or cause any confusion which would require a name change to add 'Central' to this electorate's name.

I would therefore urge that your Commission reconsider this proposed name change with a view to the current name of 'Rockhampton' being retained for this electorate.

Yours sincerely

[Signature]

ROBERT SCHWARTEN
30 April 1999

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

Dear Sirs

Please find attached a petition from residents of Thuringowa objecting to the proposed changes in the State electoral boundaries.

Proper government is having an elected member from your community to represent you and be your voice in Parliament. Someone who understands and is a part of that community, not someone so distanced from you that they have no knowledge of your needs.

The present Act seems to place more importance on each elected member having the same number of constituents voting for them. This might seem quite sensible in theory but in reality it does not work. A city member would have a small area of just a few streets of suburbs in his electorate with very little diversity in the problems, whereas a country seat like Charters Towers or Hinchinbrook is very expansive with enormous diversity. It places extra burden on the local member of these country areas while making life very nice indeed for his city colleagues.

Surely numbers should not be the deciding factor in electoral boundaries, where is the human element? These people need proper representation in Parliament. I believe the Act needs to be reviewed and amendments made before any decisions regarding changes to electoral boundaries are made.

Yours faithfully

Brian Griffin
The Petition of the Citizens of the State Electorate of Thuringowa
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569 Signatures
PETITION QRC/085

To:- The Queensland Redistribution Commission

The Petition of the citizens of the electorate of Thuringowa draws to the attention of the Commission the proposed redistribution of boundaries from the electorate of Thuringowa to Hinchinbrook. The citizens of the northern beach suburbs from the Bohle River to Rollingstone relate entirely to the city of Townsville/Thuringowa for business, shopping, entertainment, schools, hospitals and services.

The petitioners believe that their elected Member should be their voice in Parliament and they cannot be truely represented by a Member who is hundreds of kilometers away and has no relationship with their community.

Your petitioners therefore request the Commission to not treat us as numbers on a map but realise we are a community with special interests and needs. We demand that the boundaries remain unchanged and we remain a part of Thuringowa.

Chief Petitioner:- Mr Brian Thomas Griffin, Lot 12 Hencamp Creek Road, Rollingstone Queensland 4816

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>ADDRESS</th>
<th>SIGNATURE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>J. Houlihan</td>
<td>60 MARUN ST, BACAL R.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. Wilkings</td>
<td>MILL RD YABULI</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. Elliott</td>
<td>119 NATHAN ST, BROOK</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K. Bower</td>
<td>45 MORE RD BLACK RUR</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K. Parsons</td>
<td>31 HANSON CRESCENT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T. Jones</td>
<td>25 JAMAICA AVE EAST</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N. Rees</td>
<td>1770CEAN PDE BACAL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M. Borcher</td>
<td>3 SCOTT ST BINGHAM</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. Wright</td>
<td>37 JAMILA AVE GLENBANK</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. J. Rees</td>
<td>33 J ST Laid</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. Norton</td>
<td>50 SIMPSON ST</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. Marshall</td>
<td>3 VERKHOMSKI</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. Paterson</td>
<td>152, BACAL R. R.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V. Trueman</td>
<td>6, WANBRO ST, RASHUSSEN</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G. Mutch</td>
<td>139 GEANEY LANE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. Collin</td>
<td>1160 RON R. R., KELS.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. Wilkings</td>
<td>LOT 20 MILL RD YABULI</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. Claes</td>
<td>8 MAE PTE, HEATLEY</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M. Hooper</td>
<td>80 GEANEY ST GLENBANK</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. Robertson</td>
<td>174 NATHAN ST CRANBROOK</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W. Brown</td>
<td>169 MILL RD BACK R.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W. Turner</td>
<td>169 MILL RD BACK R.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PETITION

To:- The Queensland Redistribution Commission

The Petition of the citizens of the electorate of Thuringowa draws to the attention of the Commission the proposed redistribution of boundaries from the electorate of Thuringowa to Hinchinbrook. The citizens of the northern beach suburbs from the Bohle River to Rollingstone relate entirely to the city of Townsville/Thuringowa for business, shopping, entertainment, schools, hospitals and services.

The petitioners believe that their elected Member should be their voice in Parliament and they cannot be truely represented by a Member who is hundreds of kilometers away and has no relationship with their community.

Your petitioners therefore request the Commission to not treat us as numbers on a map but realise we are a community with special interests and needs. We demand that the boundaries remain unchanged and we remain a part of Thuringowa.

Chief Petitioner:- Mr Brian Thomas Griffin, Lot 12 Hencamp Creek Road, Rollingstone Queensland 4816

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>ADDRESS</th>
<th>SIGNATURE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Brian Griffin</td>
<td>12 Hencamp Creek Rd Rollingstone</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jonelle Griffin</td>
<td>12 HENCAMP CREEK RD ROLLINGSTONE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limon Brown</td>
<td>2 PO BOX COURT BALGAL Q</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hana Tikao</td>
<td>13 ACHERON DR, BALGAL Q</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michael Rooney</td>
<td>11 JUSTAN ST, BALGAL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Garrett</td>
<td>3 LOOKOUT RD PALUMA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graham Garrett</td>
<td>3 LOOKOUT RD PALUMA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robert McPherson</td>
<td>15 ACHERON  RD, BALGAL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fred Reesky</td>
<td>LOT 33 HEN Camp Creek Rd</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bernie Mears</td>
<td>13 ACHERON, DR BALGAL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lizanne A Von Lali</td>
<td>90 BALGAL BEACH Rd, R. Stone</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nicola Kervin</td>
<td>13 BROADFORD ST DEROOGAN</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colin Assenten</td>
<td>53 ESPARAND 3, BEACH</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Todie Barn</td>
<td>LOT 28 Collect Rd R. Stone</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gertrud Delmarte</td>
<td>86 MABO AVE, R. Stone</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Karen Freeman</td>
<td>LOT 7 HENCAMP CREEK R. STONEN</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Val Jameson</td>
<td>2 MARLIN ST, BALGAL BEACH</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fear Freun</td>
<td>33 THE ESPLANADE, BALGAL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leanne Shool</td>
<td>84 Ocean Pole Balgal Beach</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Andrew Toms</td>
<td>7 TAPINAS AVE, KIRINDA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Justin Brenton</td>
<td>39 MYSTIC AVE ROLLINGSTONE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lisa Anderson</td>
<td>LOT 7600 MILL RD MUTUARIE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
To:- The Queensland Redistribution Commission

The Petition of the citizens of the electorate of Thuringowa draws to the attention of the Commission the proposed redistribution of boundaries from the electorate of Thuringowa to Hinchinbrook. The citizens of the northern beach suburbs from the Bohle River to Rollingstone relate entirely to the city of Townsville/Thuringowa for business, shopping, entertainment, schools, hospitals and services.

The petitioners believe that their elected Member should be their voice in Parliament and they cannot be truely represented by a Member who is hundreds of kilometers away and has no relationship with their community.

Your petitioners therefore request the Commission to not treat us as numbers on a map but realise we are a community with special interests and needs. We demand that the boundaries remain unchanged and we remain a part of Thuringowa.

Chief Petitioner:- Mr Brian Thomas Griffin, Lot 12 Hencamp Creek Road, Rollingstone Queensland 4816

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>ADDRESS</th>
<th>SIGNATURE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Brigitte Florence</td>
<td>183 Forestry Rd, Bluewater Park</td>
<td>Brileane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A.R. FIEDLER</td>
<td>84 HERMES ST TOOMURRA BEACH</td>
<td>MILLER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N. ROBINSON</td>
<td>58 TOOOAKA BOHRO BLUEWATER</td>
<td>NICOLA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. CLIFFE</td>
<td>5 Darling Road, Jensen</td>
<td>FAITH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. MCLACHLAN</td>
<td>6-30 Furono Park, Furono Park</td>
<td>Graber</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P.M. VAUGHAN</td>
<td>14 ISLAND ST, SAUNDERS BEACH</td>
<td>MILLER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joanne LAVEY</td>
<td>14 ISLAND ST, SAUNDERS BEACH</td>
<td>Joanne</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. NIELSEN</td>
<td>6 CARMEN CLOSE, FURONOA</td>
<td>NIELSEN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. NOLLEY</td>
<td>7 FURONOA PARK-WAY</td>
<td>Norley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. HANKER</td>
<td>37 BORTON ST, MYSTIC SAUND</td>
<td>P. HANKER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T. LEWIS</td>
<td>39 BORTON ST, MYSTIC SAUND</td>
<td>t. lewis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. DUNES</td>
<td>3 ENDURANCE PL, BLUEWATER</td>
<td>DUNES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T. PARIO</td>
<td>91 TECNO, ABEACAN BEACH</td>
<td>Pario</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. YOUNG</td>
<td>4 ARABIAN R, BLACK RIVER</td>
<td>Young</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. CONDE</td>
<td>22 COLUMBIA PLACE</td>
<td>CONDE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. BUNNIE</td>
<td>19 LODESTONE DUE, B'WATER</td>
<td>BUNNIE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. BUNNIE</td>
<td>19 LODESTONE DUE, B'WATER</td>
<td>BUNNIE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. PARRY</td>
<td>419 ST JENSON</td>
<td>PARRY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. DAVIES</td>
<td>197 FOREST PL, ROLL RIVER</td>
<td>Davies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. BAKER</td>
<td>22 FOREST PL, ROLL RIVER</td>
<td>Baker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. VINCENT</td>
<td>41 ST. SCAUNI, BOBIE</td>
<td>VINCENT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. DALTONABNE</td>
<td>2 CAY ST, SAUNDERS BEACH</td>
<td>DALTONABNE</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PETITION

To:- The Queensland Redistribution Commission

The Petition of the citizens of the electorate of Thuringowa draws to the attention of the Commission the proposed redistribution of boundaries from the electorate of Thuringowa to Hinchinbrook. The citizens of the northern beach suburbs from the Bohle River to Rollingstone relate entirely to the city of Townsville/Thuringowa for business, shopping, entertainment, schools, hospitals and services.

The petitioners believe that their elected Member should be their voice in Parliament and they cannot be truely represented by a Member who is hundreds of kilometers away and has no relationship with their community.

Your petitioners therefore request the Commission to not treat us as numbers on a map but realise we are a community with special interests and needs. We demand that the boundaries remain unchanged and we remain a part of Thuringowa.

Chief Petitioner:- Mr Brian Thomas Griffin, Lot 12 Hencamp Creek Road, Rollingstone Queensland 4816

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>ADDRESS</th>
<th>SIGNATURE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M. DE-GUNA</td>
<td>11 Bannan St. Bohle Beach</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G. LAITHAM</td>
<td>17 McKinnon Rd. Black River</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T. WRIGHT</td>
<td>172 Wake Dam Bush Road</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. WHEELER</td>
<td>117 Cains Rd. Buhland Beach</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. HARDIN</td>
<td>110 Cains Rd. Buhland Beach</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. P. THOMAS</td>
<td>180 Toolakea Pch Rd.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. DAVENPORT</td>
<td>29 Toolakea Pch Rd.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. CORRISS</td>
<td>1 Moore Rd. Black River</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. PEGGINS</td>
<td>179 Bush Rd. Townsville</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. HAY</td>
<td>169 Mt Hood Arx Way</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. DICKSON</td>
<td>29 Toolakea Pch Rd.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. GARDNER</td>
<td>1 Moore Rd. Black River</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. BROGGITT</td>
<td>87 Bush Rd. Townsville</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. HAY</td>
<td>109 Mt Hood Arx Way</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. O'KEEFE</td>
<td>81 Jensen Rd. Jensen</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MORGAN TOGI</td>
<td>81 Jensen Rd. Jensen</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TREVOR HAWKES</td>
<td>10 Lotus Ct. Buhland Beach</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GEORGE ARNOLD</td>
<td>S Court Dr. Buhland Beach</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. WIDEC</td>
<td>17 Court Dr. Buhland Beach</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. CULLIN</td>
<td>Hillview Rd. Kerfna</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. REIDMAN</td>
<td>3 Cains Rd. Buhland Beach</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. MATTHEYSON</td>
<td>14 Cains Rd. Buhland Beach</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. CAMPBELL</td>
<td>35 Bea Park Dr. Deagon</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M. O'KEEFE</td>
<td>79 Haynes Rd. Jensen</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
To:- The Queensland Redistribution Commission

The Petition of the citizens of the electorate of Thuringowa draws to the attention of the Commission the proposed redistribution of boundaries from the electorate of Thuringowa to Hinchinbrook. The citizens of the northern beach suburbs from the Bohle River to Rollingstone relate entirely to the city of Townsville/Thuringowa for business, shopping, entertainment, schools, hospitals and services.

The petitioners believe that their elected Member should be their voice in Parliament and they cannot be truly represented by a Member who is hundreds of kilometers away and has no relationship with their community.

Your petitioners therefore request the Commission to not treat us as numbers on a map but realise we are a community with special interests and needs. We demand that the boundaries remain unchanged and we remain a part of Thuringowa.

Chief Petitioner:- Mr Brian Thomas Griffin, Lot 12 Hencamp Creek Road, Rollingstone Queensland 4816

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>ADDRESS</th>
<th>SIGNATURE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rob OKeeffe</td>
<td>79 Haynes Rd, Townsville</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T. Kershner</td>
<td>7, Park View, Townsville</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John M. Edwards</td>
<td>6, Jamaica Ct, Redbank</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Margie Pagani</td>
<td>30 Jamaica Cres, Bushland</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sharon Pasko</td>
<td>30 Jamaica Cres, Bushland</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fabian</td>
<td>16 Lions Ct, Bushland Beach</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N. Readen</td>
<td>41 Miller Parkview Mt Low</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. Jones</td>
<td>39 Bennett Rd, Mt Low</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. Leckie</td>
<td>3, Bennett Rd, Mt Low</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. Leckie</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. Jones</td>
<td>39 Bennett Rd, Mt Low</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. Moessingl</td>
<td>7, Overshot Ct, Bucasia</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. Ray</td>
<td>4, Kamerong Ct, Ginella Beach</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. Funky</td>
<td>7, Sandakan Ct, Ginella Beach</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. Sullivan</td>
<td>7, Sandakan Ct, Bucasia</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M. Johnson</td>
<td>16 Courts Di Bushland Beach</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. Gill</td>
<td>138, Mt Col, Mt Low</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. Turner</td>
<td>432 Torban Rd, Jezelbrook</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. Turner</td>
<td>482, Jezel Rd, Jezelbrook</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M. Elliman</td>
<td>138, Mt Col, Jezelbrook</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. Elliman</td>
<td>13, Bucasia Dve, Jezelbrook</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. Doyle</td>
<td>2, 163 Brown St</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PETITION

To:- The Queensland Redistribution Commission

The Petition of the citizens of the electorate of Thuringowa draws to the attention of the Commission the proposed redistribution of boundaries from the electorate of Thuringowa to Hinchinbrook. The citizens of the northern beach suburbs from the Bohle River to Rollingstone relate entirely to the city of Townsville/Thuringowá for business, shopping, entertainment, schools, hospitals and services.

The petitioners believe that their elected Member should be their voice in Parliament and they cannot be truely represented by a Member who is hundreds of kilometers away and has no relationship with their community.

Your petitioners therefore request the Commission to not treat us as numbers on a map but realise we are a community with special interests and needs. We demand that the boundaries remain unchanged and we remain a part of Thuringowa.

Chief Petitioner:- Mr Brian Thomas Griffin, Lot 12 Hencamp Creek Road, Rollingstone Queensland 4816

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>ADDRESS</th>
<th>SIGNATURE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>K. Lowland</td>
<td>19 Gatwick St</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. Lowland</td>
<td>63 Beau Park Drive</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. Lowland</td>
<td>62 Beau Park Drive</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N. McPhile</td>
<td>1 Kinnaird St</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O. Wakeham</td>
<td>9 Kinnaird St</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. West</td>
<td>2 Dane Clms</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. Leadbetter</td>
<td>54 Beau Park Drive</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. Florence</td>
<td>15 Kathleen Ct Deagon</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. Leigh</td>
<td>15 Kathleen Ct Deagon</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R. Van Eerde</td>
<td>39 Mt. Low Parkway, Mt. Low</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. Griffin</td>
<td>16 Bicaldo Ct Deagon</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M. Clinten</td>
<td>14 Bicaldo Ct Deagon</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. Wilson</td>
<td>5 Bicaldo Ct Deagon</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G. Woods</td>
<td>7 Bicaldo Ct Deagon</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. Woods</td>
<td>7 Bicaldo Ct Deagon</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. van Eerde</td>
<td>11 Bicaldo Ct Deagon</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. van Eerde</td>
<td>11 Bicaldo Court Deagon</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. van Eerde</td>
<td>127 Bluewater Dr. Bluewater</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N. van Eerde</td>
<td>127 Bluewater Drive. Bluewater</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PETITION

To:- The Queensland Redistribution Commission

The Petition of the citizens of the electorate of Thuringowa draws to the attention of the Commission the proposed redistribution of boundaries from the electorate of Thuringowa to Hinchinbrook. The citizens of the northern beach suburbs from the Bohle River to Rollingstone relate entirely to the city of Townsville/Thuringowa for business, shopping, entertainment, schools, hospitals and services.

The petitioners believe that their elected Member should be their voice in Parliament and they cannot be truely represented by a Member who is hundreds of kilometers away and has no relationship with their community.

Your petitioners therefore request the Commission to not treat us as numbers on a map but realise we are a community with special interests and needs. We demand that the boundaries remain unchanged and we remain a part of Thuringowa.

Chief Petitioner:- Mr Brian Thomas Griffin, Lot 12 Hencamp Creek Road, Rollingstone Queensland 4816

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>ADDRESS</th>
<th>SIGNATURE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Brendan Dow</td>
<td>126 CHEVEY AVE TULLI</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kippily Hadden</td>
<td>26 CHASKER RD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gary Christie</td>
<td>11 CAMPLIN CT, DEERAGUN</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. T. Rine</td>
<td>4 CAMPLIN CT, CARINGBA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robert</td>
<td>4 CAMPLIN CT, DEERAGUN</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Howard Rine</td>
<td>4 CAMPLIN CT, DEERAGUN</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. Wind</td>
<td>3 CAMPLIN CT, DEERAGUN</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Queen Gipstuck</td>
<td>3 CAMPLIN COURT, DEERAGUN</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. Tofilerd</td>
<td>41 ST. PAUL STREET</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. Box</td>
<td>1 CAMPLIN CT, DEERAGUN</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. Marden</td>
<td>1 CAMPLIN CT, DEERAGUN</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. Riwell</td>
<td>37 BEAU PARK DEERAGUN</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. Hayward</td>
<td>41 BEAU PARK BOUNCE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charles</td>
<td>41 BEAU PARK BOHE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. Higgins</td>
<td>43 BEAU PARK DEERAGUN</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. Higgins</td>
<td>43 BEAU PARK DEERAGUN</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K. Lobbegeiger</td>
<td>47 BEAU PARK DR, DEERAGUN</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K. Wegsmann</td>
<td>51 BEAU PARK DR, DEERAGUN</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N. Lubben</td>
<td>57 BEAU PARK DEERAGUN</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. Kraay</td>
<td>59 BEAU PARK DR, DEERAGUN</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M. Dann</td>
<td>59 BEAU PARK DR, DEERAGUN</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K. Rowland</td>
<td>59 BEAU PARK DR, DEERAGUN</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
To:- The Queensland Redistribution Commission

The Petition of the citizens of the electorate of Thuringowa draws to the attention of the Commission the proposed redistribution of boundaries from the electorate of Thuringowa to Hinchinbrook. The citizens of the northern beach suburbs from the Bohle River to Rollingstone relate entirely to the city of Townsville/Thuringowa for business, shopping, entertainment, schools, hospitals and services.

The petitioners believe that their elected Member should be their voice in Parliament and they cannot be truely represented by a Member who is hundreds of kilometers away and has no relationship with their community.

Your petitioners therefore request the Commission to not treat us as numbers on a map but realise we are a community with special interests and needs. We demand that the boundaries remain unchanged and we remain a part of Thuringowa.

Chief Petitioner:- Mr Brian Thomas Griffin, Lot 12 Hencamp Creek Road, Rollingstone Queensland 4816

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>ADDRESS</th>
<th>SIGNATURE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T. Rice</td>
<td>1 GATWICK ST DEERAGUN</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W. Beasley</td>
<td>4 GATWICK ST DEERAGUN</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. &amp; B. Hart</td>
<td>3 GATWICK ST DEERAGUN</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. &amp; J. Henahall</td>
<td>5 GATWICK ST DEERAGUN</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. O. Robinson</td>
<td>6 GATWICK ST DEERAGUN</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. Keenan</td>
<td>6 GATWICK ST DEERAGUN</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. Allman</td>
<td>7 GATWICK ST DEERAGUN</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F. Martinez</td>
<td>12 GATWICK ST DEERAGUN</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R. Rust</td>
<td>14 GATWICK ST DEERAGUN</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. Smeelie</td>
<td>11 GATWICK STREET DEERAGUN</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T. Swan</td>
<td>15 GATWICK ST DEERAGUN</td>
<td>J. O. Byran</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R. Swan</td>
<td>15 GATWICK ST DEERAGUN</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M. Byers</td>
<td>16 GATWICK ST DEERAGUN</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G. Byers</td>
<td>16 GATWICK ST DEERAGUN</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M. Humphries</td>
<td>20 GATWICK ST DEERAGUN</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G. Gallagher</td>
<td>28 GATWICK ST DEERAGUN</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. Henshall</td>
<td>15 FAULKNER ST DEERAGUN</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W. Davis</td>
<td>12 FAULKNER ST DEERAGUN</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gary Stein</td>
<td>11 FAULKNER ST DEERAGUN</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tricia Hosking</td>
<td>9 FAULKNER ST DEERAGUN</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eugene Betea</td>
<td>7 FAULKNER ST DEERAGUN</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diana Clarke</td>
<td>6 FAULKNER ST DEERAGUN</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PETITION

To:- The Queensland Redistribution Commission

The Petition of the citizens of the electorate of Thuringowa draws to the attention of the Commission the proposed redistribution of boundaries from the electorate of Thuringowa to Hinchinbrook. The citizens of the northern beach suburbs from the Bohle River to Rollingstone relate entirely to the city of Townsville/Thuringowa for business, shopping, entertainment, schools, hospitals and services.

The petitioners believe that their elected Member should be their voice in Parliament and they cannot be truly represented by a Member who is hundreds of kilometers away and has no relationship with their community.

Your petitioners therefore request the Commission to not treat us as numbers on a map but realise we are a community with special interests and needs. We demand that the boundaries remain unchanged and we remain a part of Thuringowa.

Chief Petitioner:- Mr Brian Thomas Griffin, Lot 12 Hencamp Creek Road, Rollingstone Queensland 4816

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>ADDRESS</th>
<th>SIGNATURE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>R. COTROVE</td>
<td>11 TANIA COURT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M. BOGGS</td>
<td>3 TANIA CRT DEERAGUN</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K. JOHNSTON</td>
<td>12 TANIA CRT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R. JOHNSTON</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. DAIVISON</td>
<td>6 KAYLEEN CRT.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. DAIVISON</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. SEANG</td>
<td>2 KAYLEEN CRT.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G. SEANG</td>
<td>2 KAYLEEN CRT.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. PETERSON</td>
<td>11 KAYLEEN CRT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. PETERSON</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W. SMITH</td>
<td>17 KAYLEEN CRT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G. SMITH</td>
<td>17 KAYLEEN COURT.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. FOUNTON</td>
<td>19 Kayleen at Bohle</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. THOMPSON</td>
<td>32 Kayleen (t Deeragun</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. THOMPSON</td>
<td>32 Kayleen (t Deeragun</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G. IRWIN</td>
<td>20 Griffey St Deeragun</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K. EASTHAKE</td>
<td>30 Griffey St Deeragun</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. MAITLAND</td>
<td>20 Griffey St Deeragun</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R. HENDERSON</td>
<td>14 Griffey St Deeragun</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T. PRICE</td>
<td>11 Griffey St Deeragun</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. PRICE</td>
<td>9 Griffey St Deeragun</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. PRICE</td>
<td>9 Griffey St Deeragun</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PETITION

To:- The Queensland Redistribution Commission

The Petition of the citizens of the electorate of Thuringowa draws to the attention of the Commission the proposed redistribution of boundaries from the electorate of Thuringowa to Hinchinbrook. The citizens of the northern beach suburbs from the Bohle River to Rollingstone relate entirely to the city of Townsville/Thuringowa for business, shopping, entertainment, schools, hospitals and services.

The petitioners believe that their elected Member should be their voice in Parliament and they cannot be truly represented by a Member who is hundreds of kilometers away and has no relationship with their community.

Your petitioners therefore request the Commission to not treat us as numbers on a map but realise we are a community with special interests and needs. We demand that the boundaries remain unchanged and we remain a part of Thuringowa.

Chief Petitioner:- Mr Brian Thomas Griffin, Lot 12 Hencamp Creek Road, Rollingstone Queensland 4816

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>ADDRESS</th>
<th>SIGNATURE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>John Walker</td>
<td>26 Kayleen Crt Deeralgun</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrs Walker</td>
<td>26 Kayleen Crt Deeralgun</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denis Wilkinson</td>
<td>24 Kayleen Court Deeralgun</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June Wilkinson</td>
<td>24 Kayleen Court Deeralgun</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. Price</td>
<td>29 Texas Rd Jenden</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F. Egana</td>
<td>2/27 Kayleen Court</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. Giampa</td>
<td>2/27 Kayleen Crt</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G. Bouracq</td>
<td>2/25 Kayleen Crt</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. Thorburn</td>
<td>23 Kayleen Crt</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. McCluskey</td>
<td>23 Kayleen Crt</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R. Manning</td>
<td>3 Samuel Court</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R. Cirgiante</td>
<td>3 Nunua St.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. Fitzgerald</td>
<td>4 Samuel Crt Deeralgun</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R. Fitzgerald</td>
<td>4 Samuel Crt Deeralgun</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. Barton</td>
<td>20 Kayleen Crt Deeralgun</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W. Coates</td>
<td>2 Tania Crt Deeralgun</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K. Cavanagh</td>
<td>2 Tania Crt Deeralgun</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. Goodie</td>
<td>5 Tania Crt Deeralgun</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T. Goodge</td>
<td>5 Tania Crt Deeralgun</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. Ramm</td>
<td>9 Tania Crt Deeralgun</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. Ramm</td>
<td>9 Tania Crt Deeralgun</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T. Wehrwe</td>
<td>1 Tania Crt Bohole</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
To:- The Queensland Redistribution Commission

The Petition of the citizens of the electorate of Thuringowa draws to the attention of the Commission the proposed redistribution of boundaries from the electorate of Thuringowa to Hinchinbrook. The citizens of the northern beach suburbs from the Bohle River to Rollingstone relate entirely to the city of Townsville/Thuringowa for business, shopping, entertainment, schools, hospitals and services.

The petitioners believe that their elected Member should be their voice in Parliament and they cannot be truly represented by a Member who is hundreds of kilometers away and has no relationship with their community.

Your petitioners therefore request the Commission to not treat us as numbers on a map but realise we are a community with special interests and needs. We demand that the boundaries remain unchanged and we remain a part of Thuringowa.

Chief Petitioner:- Mr Brian Thomas Griffin, Lot 12 Hencamp Creek Road, Rollingstone Queensland 4816

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>ADDRESS</th>
<th>SIGNATURE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N. Coulton</td>
<td>3 Faulkner St Deagon</td>
<td>Coulton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V. Coulter</td>
<td>3 Faulkner St Deagon</td>
<td>Coulter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. Toon</td>
<td>236 Griffee St</td>
<td>Toon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. Toon</td>
<td>236 11 Griffee Street Bohle</td>
<td>Toon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M. Linn</td>
<td>5 Jarac Crt Deagon</td>
<td>Linn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. Moulden</td>
<td>1 Jarac Crt Deagon</td>
<td>Moulden</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. Utta</td>
<td>4 Chesney Mount Low</td>
<td>Utta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T. Quinnan</td>
<td>33 Chesney Rd Mt Low</td>
<td>Quinnan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. Quinnan</td>
<td>33 Adderley St Milow</td>
<td>Quinnan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. Haylock</td>
<td>41 Chesney Rd Mt Low</td>
<td>Haylock</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T. A. Haylock</td>
<td>41 Coley Rd Mt Low</td>
<td>Haylock</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D.S. Spalding</td>
<td>39 Chesney</td>
<td>Spalding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. Smith</td>
<td>33 Adderley</td>
<td>Smith</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V. Barclay</td>
<td>43 Chesney</td>
<td>Barclay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. Finch</td>
<td>34 Chesney Rd</td>
<td>Finch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. Finch</td>
<td>34 Chesney Rd</td>
<td>Finch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. Finch</td>
<td>34 Chesney Rd</td>
<td>Finch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T. Pearmain</td>
<td>30 Chesney Rd</td>
<td>Pearmain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. Ferguson</td>
<td>30 Chesney Rd Ld</td>
<td>Ferguson</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PETITION

To:- The Queensland Redistribution Commission

The Petition of the citizens of the electorate of Thuringowa draws to the attention of the Commission the proposed redistribution of boundaries from the electorate of Thuringowa to Hinchinbrook. The citizens of the northern beach suburbs from the Bohle River to Rollingstone relate entirely to the city of Townsville/Thuringowa for business, shopping, entertainment, schools, hospitals and services.

The petitioners believe that their elected Member should be their voice in Parliament and they cannot be truly represented by a Member who is hundreds of kilometers away and has no relationship with their community.

Your petitioners therefore request the Commission to not treat us as numbers on a map but realise we are a community with special interests and needs. We demand that the boundaries remain unchanged and we remain a part of Thuringowa.

Chief Petitioner:- Mr Brian Thomas Griffin, Lot 12 Hencamp Creek Road, Rollingstone Queensland 4816

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>ADDRESS</th>
<th>SIGNATURE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C. Heathcote</td>
<td>63 Forestry Rd, Blanatural</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. Merle</td>
<td>166 Ocean Pde, Mystic Sands</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. Pace</td>
<td>Capricorn Ave</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. Rendell</td>
<td>Palmyra Ave</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. Cairns</td>
<td>4 Wind Dunes Cres, Mystic Sands</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. Delnan</td>
<td>57 The Esplanade, Bungalow</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M. Blake</td>
<td>3 Fanning Cres, Mystic Sands</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phil Conn</td>
<td>69 Aberg St, Tinnis</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kate Evans</td>
<td>69 Altoa St, Tinncos</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natalie Greenero</td>
<td>49 Moonahoe Drive</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. Cundy</td>
<td>25 Bardon St, Mystic Sands</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. Nandor</td>
<td>3 Chelsea Ave</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alison Taschott</td>
<td>40 Huilbly Cres, Bluewater</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. Carnes</td>
<td>277 Ocean Parade</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kevin Nield</td>
<td>83 The Esplanade, Bungalow</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shonie Steele</td>
<td>38 Marlin St, Bungalow</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rowan Turner</td>
<td>112 Bungalow Cres, Bungalow</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lynn O'Kane</td>
<td>5 Pebble Beach Ct, Bungalow</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John O'Kane</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. Henderson</td>
<td>9 Bunya St, Bulahra Beach</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. Henson</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. Meashon</td>
<td>9 The Unes G. Moreton</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PETITION

To:- The Queensland Redistribution Commission

The Petition of the citizens of the electorate of Thuringowa draws to the attention of the Commission the proposed redistribution of boundaries from the electorate of Thuringowa to Hinchinbrook. The citizens of the northern beach suburbs from the Bohle River to Rollingstone relate entirely to the city of Townsville/Thuringowa for business, shopping, entertainment, schools, hospitals and services.

The petitioners believe that their elected Member should be their voice in Parliament and they cannot be truly represented by a Member who is hundreds of kilometers away and has no relationship with their community.

Your petitioners therefore request the Commission to not treat us as numbers on a map but realise we are a community with special interests and needs. We demand that the boundaries remain unchanged and we remain a part of Thuringowa.

Chief Petitioner:- Mr Brian Thomas Griffin, Lot 12 Hencamp Creek Road, Rollingstone Queensland 4816

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>ADDRESS</th>
<th>SIGNATURE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>J. W. Brown</td>
<td>2 Ronaldo Court, Breakfast Hill</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. G. Lovi</td>
<td>1 Ronaldo Court, Breakfast Hill</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R. Charleston</td>
<td>7 Tuscin St, Breakfast Hill</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. Wilson</td>
<td>31 Vales Rd, Jensen</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H. Henry</td>
<td>5 Trestlet Ct, Mystery Sands</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W. George</td>
<td>13 Purryn Pde, Purryn Park</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M. Taylor</td>
<td>2/5 The Esplanade, Balgal Beach</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. Smith</td>
<td>178 Balgal Reach Rd</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. Farlow</td>
<td>15 Arundel Dr, Bolivar</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. Perry</td>
<td>5/6 Marion St, Balgal Beach</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N. Farlow</td>
<td>91 Mystic Sands, Rollingstone</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H. Underwood</td>
<td>11 Clare Ave, Miami</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G. Steele</td>
<td>38 Marion St, Balgal Beach</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. Lound</td>
<td>152 Beach Rd, Richmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. Lound</td>
<td>152 Balgal Beach Rd, Airlie</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. Alihan</td>
<td>12 Tracey St, Balgal Beach</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. Moody</td>
<td>32u Balgal Beach Rd</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. Breadwood</td>
<td>Lot 2-9 Hencamp Creek Rd</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R. Lane</td>
<td>7 Strand Dr, Romailla Beach</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. Wod</td>
<td>65 Marion St, beach</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. Baker</td>
<td>53 Mareeba</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. Walker</td>
<td>51 Esplanade, Balgal Beach</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
To: The Queensland Redistribution Commission

The Petition of the citizens of the electorate of Thuringowa draws to the attention of the Commission the proposed redistribution of boundaries from the electorate of Thuringowa to Hinchinbrook. The citizens of the northern beach suburbs from the Bohle River to Rollingstone relate entirely to the city of Townsville/Thuringowa for business, shopping, entertainment, schools, hospitals and services.

The petitioners believe that their elected Member should be their voice in Parliament and they cannot be truly represented by a Member who is hundreds of kilometers away and has no relationship with their community.

Your petitioners therefore request the Commission to not treat us as numbers on a map but realise we are a community with special interests and needs. We demand that the boundaries remain unchanged and we remain a part of Thuringowa.

Chief Petitioner:- Mr Brian Thomas Griffin, Lot 12 Hencamp Creek Road, Rollingstone Queensland 4816

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>ADDRESS</th>
<th>SIGNATURE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C. BEAUS</td>
<td>54 MARLIN ST. BAGAL BEACH</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q. Paddell</td>
<td>144 TURKANA BEACH RD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. Murfey</td>
<td>69 MACAULAY AV. BAGAL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. Vargasi</td>
<td>44 MARLET ST. BAGAL BEACH</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G. King</td>
<td>43 DUNARI ST. COQUABRA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H. Wilson</td>
<td>2A ACHEON DR. BAGAL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R. Wilson</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G.A. Morley</td>
<td>82 MARLIN ST. BAGAL BEACH</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M. Cornor</td>
<td>193 BAGAL 1 BEACH RD. BAGAL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H. Attwood</td>
<td>LAKES VAN PARK T. VILLE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. Attwood</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. Santel</td>
<td>6 AUGUSTA DR. ROLLINGSTONE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. Thomas</td>
<td>VALE RD. MUTAREE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V. Gyvrik</td>
<td>6 ROLL COURT BAGAL BEACH</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. Winder</td>
<td>7 SALMON DR. JUDEBEACH</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V. Vafiades</td>
<td>17 BAHAMA CRT MT LOU</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. Cameron</td>
<td>96 BAGAL KEN RD &amp; STONE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. Donaldson</td>
<td>79 BAGAL BEACH RD &amp; STONE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M. Nave</td>
<td>17 DOMINO CIR. CAMPBELL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colin Pace</td>
<td>PAGE RD ROLLINGSTONE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joseph Battazzo</td>
<td>HEN CAMP C.K.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raymond Pace</td>
<td>PAGE RD ROLLINGSTONE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PETITION

To:- The Queensland Redistribution Commission

The Petition of the citizens of the electorate of Thuringowa draws to the attention of the Commission the proposed redistribution of boundaries from the electorate of Thuringowa to Hinchinbrook. The citizens of the northern beach suburbs from the Bohle River to Rolllingstone relate entirely to the city of Townsville/Thuringowa for business, shopping, entertainment, schools, hospitals and services.

The petitioners believe that their elected Member should be their voice in Parliament and they cannot be truly represented by a Member who is hundreds of kilometers away and has no relationship with their community.

Your petitioners therefore request the Commission to not treat us as numbers on a map but realise we are a community with special interests and needs. We demand that the boundaries remain unchanged and we remain a part of Thuringowa.

Chief Petitioner:- Mr Brian Thomas Griffin, Lot 12 Hencamp Creek Road, Rollingstone Queensland 4816

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>ADDRESS</th>
<th>SIGNATURE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jim Hunt</td>
<td>59 Mystic Ave.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Betty Bell</td>
<td>Pace Road, Rollingstone</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keith Bell</td>
<td>Pace Road, Rollingstone</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natalie Pace</td>
<td>Bali Beach Rd. Rollingstone</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H. M. Weight</td>
<td>1 Reasby St, Rollingstone</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H. Thomas</td>
<td>21 Barton St, Mystic Sands</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. Wilson</td>
<td>75 Mystic Ave, Rollingstone</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M. Dunnett</td>
<td>21a Bangal Beach Rd.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. Muller</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charity Holder</td>
<td>37 Mystic Ave, Rollingstone</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joyce Moore</td>
<td>166 Ocean Pde, Mystic Sands</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collopy</td>
<td>281 Bangal Head Road</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W. Parker</td>
<td>9 Heardon St, Townsville</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G. Dunnett</td>
<td>210 Bangal Beach Rd, Rollingstone</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. Hinke</td>
<td>Dreamtime Cott, Townsville</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. Weight</td>
<td>147 Bangal Reach Rd.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M. Milos</td>
<td>31 Rollingstone St, Rollingstone</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M. Naun</td>
<td>18 Cavill Cott, Kiwanin</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. Berre</td>
<td>Hencamp Creek, Bruxner</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. J. Dunne</td>
<td>46 Mystic Ave, Brooke Park</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PETITION

To:- The Queensland Redistribution Commission

The Petition of the citizens of the electorate of Thuringowa draws to the attention of the Commission the proposed redistribution of boundaries from the electorate of Thuringowa to Hinchinbrook. The citizens of the northern beach suburbs from the Bohle River to Rollingstone relate entirely to the city of Townsville/Thuringowa for business, shopping, entertainment, schools, hospitals and services.

The petitioners believe that their elected Member should be their voice in Parliament and they cannot be truely represented by a Member who is hundreds of kilometers away and has no relationship with their community.

Your petitioners therefore request the Commission to not treat us as numbers on a map but realise we are a community with special interests and needs. We demand that the boundaries remain unchanged and we remain a part of Thuringowa.

Chief Petitioner:- Mr Brian Thomas Griffin, Lot 12 Hencamp Creek Road, Rollingstone Queensland 4816

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>ADDRESS</th>
<th>SIGNATURE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S. Single</td>
<td>27 Mann St West End, Townsville</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. Tubman</td>
<td>202 Mt Low Parkway, Mt Low</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. Cutler</td>
<td>56 Acheron PR, BALGAL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M. Dickens</td>
<td>217 Balgol, Bully Rd, R'tane</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. Waters</td>
<td>23 Acheron PR, BALGAL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. Ferreiras</td>
<td>27 Berton St, MYSTIC SANDS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. Mark</td>
<td>29 Rollingstone, Kalkie</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. Batten</td>
<td>18 Lonsdale St, Rollingstone</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. Slater</td>
<td>18 Houston Dr, BALGAL, BEACH</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. Firth</td>
<td>90 MYSTIC AV, MYSTIC SANDS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. Raymoaje</td>
<td>12 ARMIDALE AVE, Kalkie</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. Foster</td>
<td>181 OCEAN PDE, R'STONE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. Fitzpatrick</td>
<td>186 BALGOL RD, BALGAL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. E. McLean</td>
<td>15 3 BALGAL RPD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M. A. Cameron</td>
<td>96 BALGOL BEACH RD, R'STONE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M. Thurner</td>
<td>275 Driscoll Rd, DALVALE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. Davis</td>
<td>118 CORAL BAY RD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. Kennedy</td>
<td>53 NOVEMBER ST</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. Gurke</td>
<td>180 BALGOL BEACH RD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. Gurke</td>
<td>180 BALGOL BEACH RD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**PETITION**

To: The Queensland Redistribution Commission

The Petition of the citizens of the electorate of Thuringowa draws to the attention of the Commission the proposed redistribution of boundaries from the electorate of Thuringowa to Hinchinbrook. The citizens of the northern beach suburbs from the Bohle River to Rollingstone relate entirely to the city of Townsville/Thuringowa for business, shopping, entertainment, schools, hospitals and services.

The petitioners believe that their elected Member should be their voice in Parliament and they cannot be truly represented by a Member who is hundreds of kilometers away and has no relationship with their community.

Your petitioners therefore request the Commission to not treat us as numbers on a map but realise we are a community with special interests and needs. We demand that the boundaries remain unchanged and we remain a part of Thuringowa.

Chief Petitioner: Mr Brian Thomas Griffin, Lot 12 Hencamp Creek Road, Rollingstone Queensland 4816

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>ADDRESS</th>
<th>SIGNATURE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S. Moncur</td>
<td>Mr. Margaret</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. Moncur</td>
<td>Mt Margaret</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. Fitzgerald</td>
<td>Black Queen</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. Naile</td>
<td>12圆形街 Balaclava Beach</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. Muller</td>
<td>Hencamp Cr Rd</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. Hagan</td>
<td>30 Mystic Ave Balaclava</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. Morgan</td>
<td>lot 28, Colleen St, Heron</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. Baker</td>
<td>1302 Paradise, Balaclava</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G. Watters</td>
<td>1302 Ocean Parade, Balaclava</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. Potter</td>
<td>60 O’Connor Rd N’stone</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. Thaynes</td>
<td>63 Mystic Ave, Rollingstone</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N. Waddell</td>
<td>1302 Marine Pde, N’stone</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R. Ramsbottom</td>
<td>7 Twyst Court, Ratan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. Wessels</td>
<td>8 Armidale Ave Mystic</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. Lennox</td>
<td>Ponders Rd, Rollingstone</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. Thomason</td>
<td>New Road, Rollingstone</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R. Jamison</td>
<td>2 McManus St, Balaclava Beach</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. Hanley</td>
<td>4 Macar St, Balaclava</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. Hagan</td>
<td>30 Mystic Ave, Balaclava</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G. Diekmann</td>
<td>23 Madeline St, Balaclava</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K. Gray</td>
<td>25 Mt Margaret</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. Ciszek</td>
<td>3 Justin St, Balaclava</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PETITION

To:- The Queensland Redistribution Commission

The Petition of the citizens of the electorate of Thuringowa draws to the attention of the Commission the proposed redistribution of boundaries from the electorate of Thuringowa to Hinchinbrook. The citizens of the northern beach suburbs from the Bohle River to Rollingstone relate entirely to the city of Townsville/Thuringowa for business, shopping, entertainment, schools, hospitals and services.

The petitioners believe that their elected Member should be their voice in Parliament and they cannot be truly represented by a Member who is hundreds of kilometers away and has no relationship with their community.

Your petitioners therefore request the Commission to not treat us as numbers on a map but realise we are a community with special interests and needs. We demand that the boundaries remain unchanged and we remain a part of Thuringowa.

Chief Petitioner:- Mr Brian Thomas Griffin, Lot 12 Hencamp Creek Road, Rollingstone Queensland 4816

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>ADDRESS</th>
<th>SIGNATURE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S. Davis</td>
<td>3 Qualock Street, Balgal Beach</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G. MacGregor</td>
<td>1 Macadamia Grn, Milawa</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Jones</td>
<td>9 Andrews Ct, Kirwan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T. Jackson</td>
<td>41 Mystic Ave, Rollingstone</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. Edwards</td>
<td>41 Elderslie Rd, Balgal Beach</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. Vale</td>
<td>Old Mt Spec Rd, Mundingburra</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. J. Dodge</td>
<td>3 Lake Ct, Alice River</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K.C. Curran</td>
<td>108 Balgal Rd, Balgal Rd, R.Stone</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. Cronin</td>
<td>187 Ocean Pde, Mundingburra</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N. Cronin</td>
<td>183 Ocean Pde, R.Stone</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. Arden</td>
<td>1675 Elliott Rd, Mundingburra</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Wall</td>
<td>90 Balgal Rd, R.Stone</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sue Barnes</td>
<td>13 Acheron Drive, Balgal Rd, Acheson</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kell Green</td>
<td>33 The Espanade, Balgal Rd, Acheson</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V. Lee</td>
<td>29 Oldinn Rd, Road, Acheson,</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V. Abber</td>
<td>13 Acheron Drive, R.Stone</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. Willson</td>
<td>73 Elderfield Rd, Balgal Rd, Acheson</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M. Buckton</td>
<td>90 Music Ave, Rollingstone</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. Quinn</td>
<td>1, Hencamp Creek Rd, R.Stone</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stella Hay</td>
<td>3 Cole Court, Balgal Beach</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H. J. Reid</td>
<td>41 P.O. Mundingburra</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K. Blake</td>
<td>3 Fanning Ct.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# PETITION

To:- The Queensland Redistribution Commission

The Petition of the citizens of the electorate of Thuringowa draws to the attention of the Commission the proposed redistribution of boundaries from the electorate of Thuringowa to Hinchinbrook. The citizens of the northern beach suburbs from the Bohle River to Rollingstone relate entirely to the city of Townsville/Thuringowa for business, shopping, entertainment, schools, hospitals and services.

The petitioners believe that their elected Member should be their voice in Parliament and they cannot be truely represented by a Member who is hundreds of kilometers away and has no relationship with their community.

Your petitioners therefore request the Commission to not treat us as numbers on a map but realise we are a community with special interests and needs. We demand that the boundaries remain unchanged and we remain a part of Thuringowa.

Chief Petitioner:- Mr Brian Thomas Griffin, Lot 12 Hencamp Creek Road, Rollingstone Queensland 4816

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>ADDRESS</th>
<th>SIGNATURE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A. Smith</td>
<td>204 Forestry Rd.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. Jones</td>
<td>27 Tooralba Beach Rd.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H. Moloney</td>
<td>33 Tolaaker Rd.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. Ellis</td>
<td>16 Darley Rd.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O. Hart</td>
<td>452 Farley Rd.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. Street</td>
<td>69 Bluewater Dr.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. Lovett</td>
<td>79 Bluewater Dr.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. Streat</td>
<td>10 Bluewater Dr.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G. Marasin</td>
<td>Bihlsen, Bluewater</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G. Roggero</td>
<td>12 Endeavour Rd. Bluewater</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. Dickerson</td>
<td>29 Tolaaker Rd.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M. Lenz</td>
<td>9 Phillip St.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. Vertue</td>
<td>458 Forestry Rd.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. Smith</td>
<td>39 Forestry Rd.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. Lane</td>
<td>26 Darley Rd.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. Turner</td>
<td>368 Forestry Rd.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. E. Long</td>
<td>12 Fireman Dr. Mt Low</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. Kelly</td>
<td>110 Tolaaker Rd.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. Breale</td>
<td>451 Fokey Rd.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R. Breale</td>
<td>15 Tolaaker Beach Rd.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T. Gordon</td>
<td>16 Phillips St. Bluewater</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M. Smith</td>
<td>1 Darley Road Bluewater</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PETITION

To:- The Queensland Redistribution Commission

The Petition of the citizens of the electorate of Thuringowa draws to the attention of the Commission the proposed redistribution of boundaries from the electorate of Thuringowa to Hinchinbrook. The citizens of the northern beach suburbs from the Bohle River to Rollingstone relate entirely to the city of Townsville/Thuringowa for business, shopping, entertainment, schools, hospitals and services.

The petitioners believe that their elected Member should be their voice in Parliament and they cannot be truely represented by a Member who is hundreds of kilometers away and has no relationship with their community.

Your petitioners therefore request the Commission to not treat us as numbers on a map but realise we are a community with special interests and needs. We demand that the boundaries remain unchanged and we remain a part of Thuringowa.

Chief Petitioner:- Mr Brian Thomas Griffin, Lot 12 Hencamp Creek Road, Rollingstone Queensland 4816.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>ADDRESS</th>
<th>SIGNATURE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>R. CROOKS</td>
<td>16A BLUEWATER DRIVE B'WATER</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G. BEARLEY</td>
<td>31 TOOLAKA BEACH ROAD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F. BULLMORE</td>
<td>LOT 75 DISC PK BLUEWATER</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K. MOSS</td>
<td>39B FORESTERY RD.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R. HARDACRE</td>
<td>21 MAWSON ST BLUEWATER</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T. RODINSON</td>
<td>49 FORESTERY RD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. LOWS</td>
<td>119 FORESTERY RD BLUEWATER</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T. BLACK</td>
<td>206 BLUEWATER Drive</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. CREWES</td>
<td>206 Endovanny Rd BLUEWATER</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M. CLEMENTS</td>
<td>HILLYVIEW STATION 4, P.O.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F. CLEMENTS</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. FREEMAN</td>
<td>336 FORESTERY Rd BLUEWATER</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. MAULATTI</td>
<td>85 TOOLAKA BEACH Rd.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. WILDE</td>
<td>6/6 BLUEWATER Rd.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T. JENKINS</td>
<td>466 FOREST RD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. BROWN</td>
<td>326 FORESTERY Rd BLUEWATER</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. WILDE</td>
<td>8 FORESTERY Rd BLUEWATER</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N. ATKINSON</td>
<td>41 SAILWATER Dr ORMEEBEACH</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. HAMMOND</td>
<td>224 FORESTERY RD BLUEWATER</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G. CHAPMAN</td>
<td>44 FORESTERY RD BLUEWATER</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W. WILDE</td>
<td>96 T.HE ESPIONADE TOOLAKA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. CUMMINS</td>
<td>356 FORESTERY Rd BLUEWATER</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PETITION

To:- The Queensland Redistribution Commission

The Petition of the citizens of the electorate of Thuringowa draws to the attention of the Commission the proposed redistribution of boundaries from the electorate of Thuringowa to Hinchinbrook. The citizens of the northern beach suburbs from the Bohle River to Rollingstone relate entirely to the city of Townsville/Thuringowa for business, shopping, entertainment, schools, hospitals and services.

The petitioners believe that their elected Member should be their voice in Parliament and they cannot be truely represented by a Member who is hundreds of kilometers away and has no relationship with their community.

Your petitioners therefore request the Commission to not treat us as numbers on a map but realise we are a community with special interests and needs. We demand that the boundaries remain unchanged and we remain a part of Thuringowa.

Chief Petitioner:- Mr Brian Thomas Griffin, Lot 12 Hencamp Creek Road, Rollingstone Queensland 4816

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>ADDRESS</th>
<th>SIGNATURE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GREG PERCIVAL</td>
<td>C/PD BLUEWATER</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BARBARA WATSON</td>
<td>PO BOX 396, BLUEWATER</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERAINE MINTER</td>
<td>PO BOX 207, BLUEWATER</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DUE (Aged)</td>
<td>84 FORESTRY RD, BLUEWATER</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>in Early</td>
<td>4/18 FORESTRY RD, BLUEWATER</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERICA DYER</td>
<td>51 TOLUAKA CIR, BLUEWATER</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CALMIRI</td>
<td>11 LINDEN CR, BLUEWATER</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>with DAVIES</td>
<td>627 FORESTRY RD, BLUEWATER</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JANICE FREEMAN</td>
<td>PO BOX 468, BLUEWATER</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MARIE ANNE LUCE</td>
<td>66 FORESTRY RD, BLUEWATER</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T. BOOYD</td>
<td>16 TOOLEKEA CIR, BLUEWATER</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. LEA</td>
<td>72 JAMES ST, BLUEWATER</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. FAULKES</td>
<td>82 JAMES ST, BLUEWATER</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G STIFTER</td>
<td>20 TOOLEKEA CIR, BLUEWATER</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M RICHARDS</td>
<td>210 FORESTRY RD, BLUEWATER</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D LINCOLN</td>
<td>20 FORESTRY RD, BLUEWATER</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K BRASINGAN</td>
<td>67 KING ST, BLUEWATER</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J CLARKE</td>
<td>5 SALAMANDER STOWA, BLUEWATER</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K. PATTY</td>
<td>87 TOOLEKEA CIR, BLUEWATER</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C LAUNTON</td>
<td>116 BLUEWATER RD, BLUEWATER</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. SCALLER</td>
<td>5 SALMAN DRUG</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. SUTHERS</td>
<td>204 FORESTRY RD, BLUEWATER</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PETITION

To:- The Queensland Redistribution Commission

The Petition of the citizens of the electorate of Thuringowa draws to the attention of the Commission the proposed redistribution of boundaries from the electorate of Thuringowa to Hinchinbrook. The citizens of the northern beach suburbs from the Bohle River to Rollingstone relate entirely to the city of Townsville/Thuringowa for business, shopping, entertainment, schools, hospitals and services.

The petitioners believe that their elected Member should be their voice in Parliament and they cannot be truly represented by a Member who is hundreds of kilometers away and has no relationship with their community.

Your petitioners therefore request the Commission to not treat us as numbers on a map but realise we are a community with special interests and needs. We demand that the boundaries remain unchanged and we remain a part of Thuringowa.

Chief Petitioner:- Mr Brian Thomas Griffin, Lot 12 Hencamp Creek Road, Rollingstone Queensland 4816

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>ADDRESS</th>
<th>SIGNATURE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>J. FARRINGTON</td>
<td>209 FORESTRY RD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G. NERING</td>
<td>35 SEAWATER DRIVE TOOMA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K. GORDON</td>
<td>16 PHILLIPS ST</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G. MCDONALD</td>
<td>37 BLUETOWERS DRIVE GABOR</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. HEPPLE</td>
<td>18/191 BLUETOWERS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. STONEHAM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. ROBINSON</td>
<td>25 MAWSONS SE BLUETOWERS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. MCNICOL</td>
<td>40 PHILLIPS ST KEVENER</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. MURISON</td>
<td>16 DITTON ST BLUETOWERS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. DIDDLE</td>
<td>11 HOMESTEAD CRT. BLUETOWERS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K. BROWN</td>
<td>22 ELODOROUGH RD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. ARSON</td>
<td>56 TRENCH RD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. MCLEDO</td>
<td>23 JAMES ST BLUETOWERS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M. GRAY</td>
<td>18 DITTON ST BLUETOWERS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N. ANTONY</td>
<td>BLUE MOUNTAIN DR BLUETOWERS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I. KING</td>
<td>71 PURONO PARKWAY</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M. H. GIBSON</td>
<td>8 DITTON ST BLUETOWERS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. DAVIS</td>
<td>11 TULLOCH PARK</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O. J. LEE</td>
<td>374 TULLOCH AVE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K. WARD</td>
<td>16 ELYOKA BLUETOWERS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. MORGAN</td>
<td>38 PHILLIPS ST BLUETOWERS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**PETITION**

To:- The Queensland Redistribution Commission

The Petition of the citizens of the electorate of Thuringowa draws to the attention of the Commission the proposed redistribution of boundaries from the electorate of Thuringowa to Hinchinbrook. The citizens of the northern beach suburbs from the Bohle River to Rollingstone relate entirely to the city of Townsville/Thuringowa for business, shopping, entertainment, schools, hospitals and services.

The petitioners believe that their elected Member should be their voice in Parliament and they cannot be truely represented by a Member who is hundreds of kilometers away and has no relationship with their community.

Your petitioners therefore request the Commission to not treat us as numbers on a map but realise we are a community with special interests and needs. We demand that the boundaries remain unchanged and we remain a part of Thuringowa.

Chief Petitioner:- Mr Brian Thomas Griffin, Lot 12 Hencamp Creek Road, Rollingstone Queensland 4816

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>ADDRESS</th>
<th>SIGNATURE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mack Smith</td>
<td>5 MAUHOON ST, HIRWAN</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chris Turner</td>
<td>14 LEWIN CRT, MELBOURNE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erin Geagan</td>
<td>310 ROSE ST, NORTHWARD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shelley Ashworth</td>
<td>19 WEDDEL DR, ANNANDALE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David Ashworth</td>
<td>WEDDL DR, ANNANDALE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Julian Malcolm</td>
<td>34 BECK DR, CONDON QLD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peter D Coulam</td>
<td>51 GLADYS ST, KALSO</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joyce Jarrold</td>
<td>ROLLINGSTONE QLD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alan Jinks</td>
<td>5 FINCH COURT, HIRWAN</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milia Walker</td>
<td>QHERALD ST, KOURILBA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duley Copey</td>
<td>36 THOMPSON RD, BEACH ROCK</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steve Jinks</td>
<td>36 PEIRSON AV, BLACK RIVER</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albert Lewis</td>
<td>13 HUNTINGTON CT, HIRWAN</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kym Lawson</td>
<td>54 BOWLER RIVER RD, CRANBERRY</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Casey Damage</td>
<td>146 BURT ST, MUNDINGUPPA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ruth Hopper</td>
<td>1 75 BAYSWATER RD, HAY PARK</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milly Reeney</td>
<td>810 HIGH STREET, CAGANAS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PETITION

To:- The Queensland Redistribution Commission

The Petition of the citizens of the electorate of Thuringowa draws to the attention of the Commission the proposed redistribution of boundaries from the electorate of Thuringowa to Hinchinbrook. The citizens of the northern beach suburbs from the Bohle River to Rollingstone relate entirely to the city of Townsville/Thuringowa for business, shopping, entertainment, schools, hospitals and services.

The petitioners believe that their elected Member should be their voice in Parliament and they cannot be truely represented by a Member who is hundreds of kilometers away and has no relationship with their community.

Your petitioners therefore request the Commission to not treat us as numbers on a map but realise we are a community with special interests and needs. We demand that the boundaries remain unchanged and we remain a part of Thuringowa.

Chief Petitioner:- Mr Brian Thomas Griffin, Lot 12 Hencamp Creek Road, Rollingstone Queensland 4816

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>ADDRESS</th>
<th>SIGNATURE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>W. JOHNSTON</td>
<td>35 Howison Dr, Balgal Beach</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anne McRae</td>
<td>5 Farming Court, Mystic Sands</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heni Robison</td>
<td>3 10th Street, Varsity Lakes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. COGAN</td>
<td>55 The Esplanade, Balgal</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T. LENOX</td>
<td>Ponderosa Rd Rollingstone</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M. FITZPATRICK</td>
<td>5 3 Mystic Ave, Mystic Sands</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PETITION

To:- The Queensland Redistribution Commission

The Petition of the citizens of the electorate of Thuringowa draws to the attention of the Commission the proposed redistribution of boundaries from the electorate of Thuringowa to Hinchinbrook. The citizens of the northern beach suburbs from the Bohle River to Rollingstone relate entirely to the city of Townsville/Thuringowa for business, shopping, entertainment, schools, hospitals and services.

The petitioners believe that their elected Member should be their voice in Parliament and they cannot be truly represented by a Member who is hundreds of kilometers away and has no relationship with their community.

Your petitioners therefore request the Commission to not treat us as numbers on a map but realise we are a community with special interests and needs. We demand that the boundaries remain unchanged and we remain a part of Thuringowa.

Chief Petitioner:- Mr Brian Thomas Griffin, Lot 12 Hencamp Creek Road, Rollingstone Queensland 4816

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>ADDRESS</th>
<th>SIGNATURE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>J. UPRICHARD</td>
<td>Cotton Rd, Rollingstone</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. M. DOUG</td>
<td>5 Fanning Court, Hughenden</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Lang</td>
<td>13 Rollingstone St, Rollingstone</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. SANDERS</td>
<td>18A Balgal Beach Rd</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. STAFFORD</td>
<td>9 Fitzpatrick Crt Balgal</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. OSBORN</td>
<td>9 Fitzpatrick Crt Balgal</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gordon Daddel</td>
<td>18 Augus Tadrive</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peter Waddell</td>
<td>18 Augus Tadrive</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tom Muir</td>
<td>2d/3 Eulabank St</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PETITION

To:- The Queensland Redistribution Commission

The Petition of the citizens of the electorate of Thuringowa draws to the attention of the Commission the proposed redistribution of boundaries from the electorate of Thuringowa to Hinchinbrook. The citizens of the northern beach suburbs from the Bohle River to Rollingstone relate entirely to the city of Townsville/Thuringowa for business, shopping, entertainment, schools, hospitals and services.

The petitioners believe that their elected Member should be their voice in Parliament and they cannot be truely represented by a Member who is hundreds of kilometers away and has no relationship with their community.

Your petitioners therefore request the Commission to not treat us as numbers on a map but realise we are a community with special interests and needs. We demand that the boundaries remain unchanged and we remain a part of Thuringowa.

Chief Petitioner:- Mr Brian Thomas Griffin, Lot 12 Hencamp Creek Road, Rollingstone Queensland 4816

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>ADDRESS</th>
<th>SIGNATURE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>John Page</td>
<td>Pace Road, Rollingstone</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gladys Page</td>
<td>Pace Road, Rollingstone</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antonina Rattazzo</td>
<td>HencoMpark, Rollingstone</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PETITION

To:- The Queensland Redistribution Commission

The Petition of the citizens of the electorate of Thuringowa draws to the attention of the Commission the proposed redistribution of boundaries from the electorate of Thuringowa to Hinchinbrook. The citizens of the northern beach suburbs from the Bohle River to Rollingstone relate entirely to the city of Townsville/Thuringowa for business, shopping, entertainment, schools, hospitals and services.

The petitioners believe that their elected Member should be their voice in Parliament and they cannot be truely represented by a Member who is hundreds of kilometers away and has no relationship with their community.

Your petitioners therefore request the Commission to not treat us as numbers on a map but realise we are a community with special interests and needs. We demand that the boundaries remain unchanged and we remain a part of Thuringowa.

Chief Petitioner:- Mr Brian Thomas Griffin, Lot 12 Hencamp Creek Road, Rollingstone Queensland 4816

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>ADDRESS</th>
<th>SIGNATURE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B Hardy</td>
<td>LOT 8+5 ROLLINGSTONE NO 616</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D Hardy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J Ward</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M Armstrong</td>
<td>E1F AMH NTW</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M Valentij</td>
<td>56 ALBION DR, BANGALI BEACH</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R Lowne</td>
<td>15 BONNEIT ROAD MT LAW</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B Rice</td>
<td>15 BONNEIT ROAD MT LAW</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L Uprichard</td>
<td>GOTTANI RD ROLLINGSTONE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T Uprichard</td>
<td>GOTTANI RD ROLLINGSTONE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PETITION

To:- The Queensland Redistribution Commission

The Petition of the citizens of the electorate of Thuringowa draws to the attention of the Commission the proposed redistribution of boundaries from the electorate of Thuringowa to Hinchinbrook. The citizens of the northern beach suburbs from the Bohle River to Rollingstone relate entirely to the city of Townsville/Thuringowa for business, shopping, entertainment, schools, hospitals and services.

The petitioners believe that their elected Member should be their voice in Parliament and they cannot be truely represented by a Member who is hundreds of kilometers away and has no relationship with their community.

Your petitioners therefore request the Commission to not treat us as numbers on a map but realise we are a community with special interests and needs. We demand that the boundaries remain unchanged and we remain a part of Thuringowa.

Chief Petitioner:- Mr Brian Thomas Griffin, Lot 12 Hencamp Creek Road, Rollingstone Queensland 4816

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>ADDRESS</th>
<th>SIGNATURE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B. VAN EERDE</td>
<td>4 RAINFALL COURT DEERAGUN 4816</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. VAN EERDE</td>
<td>4 BIRANO COURT DEERAGUN</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
To:- The Queensland Redistribution Commission

The Petition of the citizens of the electorate of Thuringowa draws to the attention of the Commission the proposed redistribution of boundaries from the electorate of Thuringowa to Hinchinbrook. The citizens of the northern beach suburbs from the Bohle River to Rollingstone relate entirely to the city of Townsville/Thuringowa for business, shopping, entertainment, schools, hospitals and services.

The petitioners believe that their elected Member should be their voice in Parliament and they cannot be truely represented by a Member who is hundreds of kilometers away and has no relationship with their community.

Your petitioners therefore request the Commission to not treat us as numbers on a map but realise we are a community with special interests and needs. We demand that the boundaries remain unchanged and we remain a part of Thuringowa.

Chief Petitioner:- Mr Brian Thomas Griffin, Lot 12 Hencamp Creek Road, Rollingstone Queensland 4816

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>ADDRESS</th>
<th>SIGNATURE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bridle Beach</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**PETITION**

To:- The Queensland Redistribution Commission

The Petition of the citizens of the electorate of Thuringowa draws to the attention of the Commission the proposed redistribution of boundaries from the electorate of Thuringowa to Hinchinbrook. The citizens of the northern beach suburbs from the Bohle River to Rollingstone relate entirely to the city of Townsville/Thuringowa for business, shopping, entertainment, schools, hospitals and services.

The petitioners believe that their elected Member should be their voice in Parliament and they cannot be truely represented by a Member who is hundreds of kilometers away and has no relationship with their community.

Your petitioners therefore request the Commission to not treat us as numbers on a map but realise we are a community with special interests and needs. We demand that the boundaries remain unchanged and we remain a part of Thuringowa.

Chief Petitioner:- Mr Brian Thomas Griffin, Lot 12 Hencamp Creek Road, Rollingstone Queensland 4816

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>ADDRESS</th>
<th>SIGNATURE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DIANA ROOS</td>
<td>6 Coral St Saunderson Beach</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NORBERT VON DER HEIDE</td>
<td>6 Coral St, Saunderson Beach</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KWIRK</td>
<td>54 Coral St, Saunderson Beach</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N. CHAPIA</td>
<td>23 Reef St, Saunderson Beach</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N. DORRELL</td>
<td>35 Coral St Saunderson Beach</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M. ROSS</td>
<td>2 Island St, Saunderson Beach</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. DUNN</td>
<td>41 Reef St, Saunderson Beach</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. MACARTHUR</td>
<td>19 Coral St, Saunderson Beach</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAM HANSON</td>
<td>5145 Highway 8, Aitken Plateau</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MICK PRENNAY</td>
<td>Shakeson Cres, Saunderson Beach</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VIRGIL RYAN</td>
<td>95 Coral St Saunderson Beach</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JOHN CRANE</td>
<td>18 Lagoon Cres, Saunderson Beach</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. EMILY</td>
<td>21 Jogonda Cres, Sungold-street</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G. ALEXANDER</td>
<td>18 Coral St, Saunderson Beach</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. RICHARDS</td>
<td>15 James Dr, Aitken Plateau</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I. BROWN</td>
<td>4 Coral St Saunderson Beach</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M. MILLER</td>
<td>24 Coral St Saunderson Beach</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N. BICKERS</td>
<td>14 Coral St, Saunderson Beach</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PETITION

To:- The Queensland Redistribution Commission

The Petition of the citizens of the electorate of Thuringowa draws to the attention of the Commission the proposed redistribution of boundaries from the electorate of Thuringowa to Hinchinbrook. The citizens of the northern beach suburbs from the Bohle River to Rollingstone relate entirely to the city of Townsville/Thuringowa for business, shopping, entertainment, schools, hospitals and services.

The petitioners believe that their elected Member should be their voice in Parliament and they cannot be truely represented by a Member who is hundreds of kilometers away and has no relationship with their community.

Your petitioners therefore request the Commission to not treat us as numbers on a map but realise we are a community with special interests and needs. We demand that the boundaries remain unchanged and we remain a part of Thuringowa.

Chief Petitioner:- Mr Brian Thomas Griffin, Lot 12 Hencamp Creek Road, Rollingstone Queensland 4816

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>ADDRESS</th>
<th>SIGNATURE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NOELINE ANTON</td>
<td>4 BLUE MOUNTAIN DR, BLUEWATER</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TREVOR BELLAR</td>
<td>30 DARLEY RD, BLUEWATER</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CARRIE MILLER</td>
<td>29 CARMEN CLOSE RN WATER</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DAZA WHITTLE</td>
<td>BLUEWATER COUNTRY, BLUEWATER</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JIMMY FLETCHER</td>
<td>31 SPONGHELL SR, YABUL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IAN ROGERS</td>
<td>177 MACS ROAD, TOOLARA BEACH</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GERRY WEEDON</td>
<td>28 BONN OFT ROAD, B Championship</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The Petition of the citizens of the electorate of Thuringowa draws to the attention of the Commission the proposed redistribution of boundaries from the electorate of Thuringowa to Hinchinbrook. The citizens of the northern beach suburbs from the Bohle River to Rollingstone relate entirely to the city of Townsville/Thuringowa for business, shopping, entertainment, schools, hospitals and services.

The petitioners believe that their elected Member should be their voice in Parliament and they cannot be truely represented by a Member who is hundreds of kilometers away and has no relationship with their community.

Your petitioners therefore request the Commission to not treat us as numbers on a map but realise we are a community with special interests and needs. We demand that the boundaries remain unchanged and we remain a part of Thuringowa.

Chief Petitioner: - Mr Brian Thomas Griffin, Lot 12 Hencamp Creek Road, Rollingstone Queensland 4816

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>ADDRESS</th>
<th>SIGNATURE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Margaret Muir</td>
<td>53 Court St Dr Bushland Beach</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robert Muir</td>
<td>69 Court St Dr Bushland Beach</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kumbunny Horntier</td>
<td>3 Mostyn Dr Bushland Beach</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dorothy Sward</td>
<td>Bluestone Park, Toonumbarra</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. Enniss</td>
<td>Byth Eleventh Toonumbarra</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. M. White</td>
<td>47 Court St Dr Bushland B.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. Smith</td>
<td>57 Court St Dr Bushland B.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. Bourne</td>
<td>11 Kuringa Crf. Bushland B.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
To:- The Queensland Redistribution Commission

The Petition of the citizens of the electorate of Thuringowa draws to the attention of the Commission the proposed redistribution of boundaries from the electorate of Thuringowa to Hinchinbrook. The citizens of the northern beach suburbs from the Bohle River to Rollingstone relate entirely to the city of Townsville/Thuringowa for business, shopping, entertainment, schools, hospitals and services.

The petitioners believe that their elected Member should be their voice in Parliament and they cannot be truely represented by a Member who is hundreds of kilometers away and has no relationship with their community.

Your petitioners therefore request the Commission to not treat us as numbers on a map but realise we are a community with special interests and needs. We demand that the boundaries remain unchanged and we remain a part of Thuringowa.

Chief Petitioner:- Mr Brian Thomas Griffin, Lot 12 Hencamp Creek Road, Rollingstone Queensland 4816

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>ADDRESS</th>
<th>SIGNATURE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kathy Shaw</td>
<td>75 Veales Rd Jensen</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David Shaw</td>
<td>75 Veales Rd Jensen</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Veron Hunter</td>
<td>127 Allen Rd Jensen</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L G Hunter</td>
<td>127 Hennis Rd Jensen</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pat J Keane</td>
<td>842 Black River Rd</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evol J Keane</td>
<td>842 Black River Rd</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gertie M Keane</td>
<td>842 Black River Rd</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David P Keane</td>
<td>842 Black River Rd</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

07 MAY 1999
Queensland Redistribution Commission  
Locked Bag 3300  
BRISBANE QLD 4001

Facsimile: 3229 7391

Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.

Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely

[Signature]

[Address]

16 MAY 1999

Received
... May 1999

The Commissioner
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

Dear Sir,

I wish to lodge an objection to the proposal to include the beachside suburbs of Palm Cove and Clifton Beach in the State Electorate of Cook.

As a resident of Palm Cove / Clifton Beach, I fail to see what possible community of interest I would have with the electorate of Cook which is based on Cape York Peninsula and Torres Strait.

Currently Palm Cove and Clifton Beach are in the State Electorate of Barron River, and that is where I would prefer them to stay.

This electorate includes the rest of the beachside suburbs which make up the Northern Beaches area of Cairns and I can see no sense in splitting this geographical area.

I urge you to please reconsider your proposal and return both Palm Cove and Clifton Beach into the electorate of Barron River.

Yours sincerely

[Signature]

10 MAY 1999
2. May 1999

The Commissioner
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

Dear Sir,

I wish to lodge an objection to the proposal to include the beachside suburbs of Palm Cove and Clifton Beach in the State Electorate of Cook.

As a resident of Palm Cove / Clifton Beach, I fail to see what possible community of interest I would have with the electorate of Cook which is based on Cape York Peninsula and Torres Strait.

Currently Palm Cove and Clifton Beach are in the State Electorate of Barron River, and that is where I would prefer them to stay.

This electorate includes the rest of the beachside suburbs which make up the Northern Beaches area of Cairns and I can see no sense in splitting this geographical area.

I urge you to please reconsider your proposal and return both Palm Cove and Clifton Beach into the electorate of Barron River.

Yours sincerely

[Signature]

10 MAY 1999

RECEIVED
8 May 1999

The Commissioner
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

Dear Sir,

I wish to lodge an objection to the proposal to include the beachside suburbs of Palm Cove and Clifton Beach in the State Electorate of Cook.

As a resident of Palm Cove / Clifton Beach, I fail to see what possible community of interest I would have with the electorate of Cook which is based on Cape York Peninsula and Torres Strait.

Currently Palm Cove and Clifton Beach are in the State Electorate of Barron River, and that is where I would prefer them to stay.

This electorate includes the rest of the beachside suburbs which make up the Northern Beaches area of Cairns and I can see no sense in splitting this geographical area.

I urge you to please reconsider your proposal and return both Palm Cove and Clifton Beach into the electorate of Barron River.

Yours sincerely

[Signature]
7 May 1999

Dear Sir,

PROPOSED CHANGES TO BARRON RIVER ELECTORATE

I am writing in relation to the proposal to remove the townships of Palm Cove and Clifton Beach from the Barron River electorate and placing them into Cook.

I write as a resident of Clifton Beach and as the Cairns City Council elected representative for the Cairns Beaches suburbs which include the aforementioned townships.

As there was very little general knowlege in the community of the proposed changes which I believe are quite significant, I have attempted to raise awareness of the matter and arranged information and discussion meetings in both Palm Cove and Clifton Beach.

Both meetings were given details of the proposed changes and discussion and input was encouraged from participants. The Clifton Beach meeting was attended by Barron River sitting member, Dr Lesley Clark, former member, Ms Lyn Warwick and a representative from Member for Cook, Mr Steve Brehaur’s office. Dr Clark encouraged residents to write to you to express their concerns. I have also received a large number of telephone enquiries and comments.

Despite an explanation and acceptance that reviews are necessary to maintain equality of numbers in electorates, all comments received to the proposal to remove the two townships from Barron River have been very negative.

The main concern, which I personally concur with, is that Palm Cove and Clifton Beach have absolutely no community of interest with the Torres Strait and Cape York communities in the Cook electorate.

The two townships are geographically and in every other way part of the Cairns Beaches precinct and identity which are well recognised as a logical extension of Cairns City, and residents cannot imagine identifying with other areas which are predominately rural with very different needs, expectations and focus.

Residents and businesses in the townships either work in, shop, conduct business and promote themselves as part of the extended Cairns, and everyone I have spoken with has said they do not, and cannot imagine identifying with the Cook electorate.

Councillors' Rooms Cairns City Council, 151 Abbott Street, Cairns PO Box 359 Cairns Q. Australia 4870
Phone (070) 502 662 Fax (070) 502 603
The reality of Palm Cove and Clifton Beach as being an extension of Cairns City and the Beaches Precinct was recognised during the Cairns / Mulgrave Council amalgamation when the Cairns' boundary was adjusted and extended to recognise the identity of beaches to the north of the city as having a community of interest with the city and other beaches.

Council's divisional boundaries also recognise the two townships as a logical extension of other beaches and the divisional boundaries are drawn accordingly.

Should this proposal go ahead it would also mean that the local councillor and the Council would have to deal with two State representatives as most plans, works and services in the area cover many townships.

Despite an understanding of the requirements of your commission to regularly review boundaries, and having discussed the proposal at length, I am unable to support the concept as there is such a strong identity with the other beach suburbs and the city.

Discussion at one of the meetings led to me being requested to respectfully suggest to you that as changes are necessary, could you please consider including an area with a more rural and common community of interest with the balance of the Cook electorate rather than the clearly suburban areas of Palm Cove and Clifton Beach. I hope that you will consider this suggestion which was supported by all meeting attendees.

I appreciate that in these circumstances residents may feel a traditional or emotional tie to a certain seat, but from the feedback and discussions I have had I am convinced beyond doubt that the concerns and arguments of residents in this instance are well founded, logical and genuine.

I therefore ask personally and on behalf of the many constituents who have contacted me, that you please reconsider your proposal with a view to considering areas more appropriate to become part of the Cook electorate, and allow the townships of Palm Cove to remain in the logical electorate of Barron River.

On behalf of my constituents, I thank you in anticipation of your action in this matter.

Yours sincerely

Cr Sno Bonneau
CAIRNS CITY COUNCILLOR
Resident,
7 Saxon St. Clifton Beach
May 1999

The Commissioner
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

Dear Sir,

I wish to lodge an objection to the proposal to include the beachside suburbs of Palm Cove and Clifton Beach in the State Electorate of Cook.

As a resident of Palm Cove / Clifton Beach, I fail to see what possible community of interest I would have with the electorate of Cook which is based on Cape York Peninsula and Torres Strait.

Currently Palm Cove and Clifton Beach are in the State Electorate of Barron River, and that is where I would prefer them to stay.

This electorate includes the rest of the beachside suburbs which make up the Northern Beaches area of Cairns and I can see no sense in splitting this geographical area.

I urge you to please reconsider your proposal and return both Palm Cove and Clifton Beach into the electorate of Barron River.

Yours sincerely
RE: PROPOSED QUEENSLAND ELECTORAL BOUNDARIES  
REDISTRIBUTION  
PROPOSED SEAT OF NANANGO

I would like to strongly voice my discontent at the proposed Queensland Electoral Boundaries Redistribution of the Seat of Nanango and the effect this will have on my community of Conondale.

The hinterland communities including my community of Conondale have no ties to the community of Kingaroy or the district of Nanango and feel it would be detrimental for the areas of Conondale, Crystal Waters, Witta, Belthorpe, Booroobin, Reeseville and Kenilworth to be included within these electoral boundaries.

I believe it would be beneficial for the proposed boundary between Glasshouse and Nanango to be redrawn to include the above communities in Glasshouse.

There is a strong community of interest between these communities and Maleny, the major town in the area. They are joined by geographic and topographic features and share communality and homogeneity through agricultural, pastoral and tourism industries. Maleny is the shopping, business, cultural and social centre of our area.

All these communities have little or no communality with Nanango. The mountains of the Great Dividing Range form a complete barrier to communication and communality with Nanango.

I hope you look favourably upon my objection and the suggested alternative.

Yours sincerely,

Paula Braby

m/s. 16  
Conondale 4552

Address details:
RE: PROPOSED QUEENSLAND ELECTORAL BOUNDARIES REDISTRIBUTION
PROPOSED SEAT OF NANANGO

I would like to strongly voice my discontent at the proposed Queensland Electoral Boundaries Redistribution of the Seat of Nanango and the effect this will have on my community of Conondale.

The hinterland communities including my community of Conondale have no ties to the community of Kingaroy or the district of Nanango and feel it would be detrimental for the areas of Conondale, Crystal Waters, Witta, Beldorpe, Booroobin, Reeseville and Kenilworth to be included within these electoral boundaries.

I believe it would be beneficial for the proposed boundary between Glasshouse and Nanango to be redrawn to include the above communities in Glasshouse.

There is a strong community of interest between these communities and Maleny, the major town in the area. They are joined by geographic and topographic features and share communality and homogeneity through agricultural, pastoral and tourism industries. Maleny is the shopping, business, cultural and social centre of our area.

All these communities have little or no communality with Nanango. The mountains of the Great Dividing Range form a complete barrier to communication and communality with Nanango.

I hope you look favourably upon my objection and the suggested alternative.

Yours sincerely,

[Signature]

Address details: m/s 16 CONONDALE 4552
Queensland Redistribution Committee
Locked Bag 3300
Brisbane Qld 9001

Fax 07 32297391  
QRC/035 433

Dear Sir/Madam

RE: Proposed Boundary of Glasshouse and Nanango Electorates

We are dismayed and horrified at the proposed boundaries of the above mentioned electorates. The proposed boundary will put our property, a dairy farm, just outside the new electorate of Glasshouse and in the electorate of Nanango.

Our objections to the proposed changes are as follows.

The areas west of Maleny included in the Nanango electorate have no community of interest with anybody west of the Conondale Ranges. Maleny, Witta and Conondale are, and have always been, part of the Caloundra City Council local government area and as such have a strong community of interest with the Sunshine Coast.

The thought of this area having to attract the attention of a Member who will most probably come from and have an office in Kingaroy will be daunting, given that the infrastructure for this area, schools, hospital, fire brigade etc. Is provided by Maleny which will be outside the Nanango electorate.

We strongly object to this ridiculous change and demand that the west Maleny, Witta and Conondale areas be restored to a Sunshine Coast electorate that includes the town of Maleny and that the Nanango electorate extend no further east than the Conondale Ranges and the Caloundra City Boundary.

Yours faithfully,

RJ & AT Cork

ELECTORAL REDUCTION
QUEENSLAND
10 MAY 1999
RECEIVED
7th May, 1999

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 4001

OLD REDISTRIBUTION COMMISSION

Please accept this document from me and treat it as my official objection to the Electorate Redistribution of the Warrego District. I object most strongly to the proposed redistribution for the following purposes.

1. I believe that the Commission has not seriously considered Charleville's connection to the East Coast. Presently nearly all Government direction, information and departmental contacts come from Roma or Brisbane. The current boundaries allow us to have a say through these easily accessible avenues in regard to our future. I feel the new proposed boundary will hinder our voice in this regard. I also feel that this applies to both transport and freight facilities which run the same eastern route from Brisbane e.g. If Charleville needs Government Representation regarding rail facilities I believe we would be disadvantaged because of the proposed future Parliament Members offices lie on the Longreach to Rockhampton line causing a conflict of interest.

2. It is my belief that the commissions' computer has worked with only population figures with no regard to geographical distances and productivity of the areas involved. I feel that Charleville will be chopped out of the Warrego Electorate and stuck with Gregory to make up numbers at the expense of our needs and access to facilities.

Please consider:

1. Adding 34,000km2 to Gregory Electorate is a large piece of Queensland for the Minister to cover (it is not a drive from the Sunshine Coast to Brisbane). I feel Charleville will be disadvantaged due to the geographical remoteness of our town. In fact we will not be close to or within the heavier populated areas of the Gregory Electorate where at present I am situated in an area where towns are of approximately the same population and share the same geographical needs. We presently share and compete on a common basis for access to existing facilities - which is definitely more preferable.

2. I would like the Commission to consider moving the boundaries north not south to keep the electorate of Warrego uniform with its town and Councils having similar population and needs. Tackling a large, sparsely populated area on to the extreme boundary edge of an electorate that has its most heavily populated areas over 600km away would critically hinder the smaller populated areas in all Government
matters. (No equality due to different needs of the areas within the proposed Gregory Boundary changes.)

3. As Warrego elector's needs will remain, their demand for direct access to their Member will not diminish. Therefore, it is going to cost the Member (taxpayer) considerable extra cost in travel and accommodation. Therefore, again, it would make economic sense to allow a third electoral office in Charleville. In the event of boundary changes going ahead this would be imperative.

Thanking you for the opportunity to appeal against these changes.

Yours faithfully,

[Signature]

ESC Heinemann
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ELECTORAL COMMISSION
Queensland
10 May 1999
RECEIVED
FROM: D. C. ELECTRIX
07 46541187

TO: Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001
Ph. 1800 801 665
Fax. 07 3229 7391

QLD REDISTRIBUTION COMMISSION

QRC/08J 4 35

Please accept this document from me and treat it as my official objection to the Electorate Redistribution of the Warrego District.
I object most strongly to the proposed redistribution for the following reasons:

1. I believe that the Commission has not seriously considered Charleville's connection to the East Coast. Presently nearly all Government direction, information and departmental contacts come from Roma or Brisbane. The current boundaries allow us to have a say through these easily accessible avenues in regard to our future. I feel the new proposed boundary will hinder our voice in this regard. I also feel that this applies to both transport and freight facilities which run the same eastern route from Brisbane e.g. If Charleville needs Government Representation regarding rail facilities I believe we would be disadvantaged because of the proposed future Parliament Members' offices lie on the Longreach to Rockhampton line causing a conflict of interest.

2. It is my belief that the commissions' computer has worked with only population figures with no regard to geographical distances and productivity of the areas involved. I feel that Charleville will be chopped out of the Warrego Electorate and stuck with Gregory to make up numbers at the expense of our needs and access to facilities.

Please consider:
1. Adding 34,000 km² to Gregory Electorate is a large piece of Queensland for the minister to cover (it is not a drive from the Sunshine Coast to Brisbane). I feel Charleville will be disadvantaged due to the geographical remoteness of our town. In fact we will not be close to or within the heavier populated areas of the Gregory Electorate where at present I am situated in an area where towns are of approximately the same population and share the same geographical needs. We presently share and compete on a common basis for access to existing facilities - which is definitely more preferable.

2. I would like the Commission to consider moving the boundaries north north south to keep the electorate of Warrego uniform with its town and Councils having similar population and needs. Tackling a large, sparsely populated area on to the extreme boundary edge of an electorate that has its most heavily populated areas over 600km away would critically hinder the smaller populated areas in all Government matters. (No equality due to different needs of the areas within the proposed Gregory Boundary changes.)

3. As Warrego electors' needs will remain, their demand for direct access to their member will not diminish. Therefore, it is going to cost the Member (taxpayer) considerable extra cost in travel and accommodation. Therefore, again, it would make economic sense to allow a third electoral office in Charleville. In the event of boundary changes going ahead this would be imperative.

Thanking you for the opportunity to appeal against these changes.
FROM: CHARLEVILLE TOYWORLD & FURNITURE
80 GALATEA STREET
CHARLEVILLE QLD 4470
Ph. (07) 4654 2877 Fax (07) 4654 2899

TO: Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300:
BRISBANE QLD 9001
Ph. 1800 801 665
Fax. 07 3229 7391

Please accept this document from me and treat it as my official objection to the Electorate Redistribution of the Warrego District.
I object most strongly to the proposed redistribution for the following reasons:

1. I believe that the Commission has not seriously considered Charleville's connection to the East Coast. Presently nearly all Government direction, information and departmental contacts come from Roma or Brisbane. The current boundaries allow us to have a say through these easily accessible avenues in regard to our future. I feel the new proposed boundary will hinder our voice in this regard. I also feel that this applies to both transport and freight facilities which run the same eastern route from Brisbane e.g. If Charleville needs Government Representation regarding rail facilities I believe we would be disadvantaged because of the proposed future Parliament Members offices lie on the Longreach to Rockhampton line causing a conflict of interest.

2. It is my belief that the commissions' computer has worked with only population figures with no regard to geographical distances and productivity of the areas involved. I feel that Charleville will be chopped out of the Warrego Electorate and stuck with Gregory to make up numbers at the expense of our needs and access to facilities.

Please consider:
1. Adding 34,000 km² to Gregory Electorate is a large piece of Queensland for the minister to cover (it is not a drive from the Sunshine Coast to Brisbane). I feel Charleville will be disadvantaged due to the geographical remoteness of our town. In fact we will not be close to or within the heavier populated areas of the Gregory Electorate where at present I am situated in an area where towns are of approximately the same population and share the same geographical needs. We presently share and compete on a common basis for access to existing facilities - which is definitely more preferable.

2. I would like the Commission to consider moving the boundaries north not south to keep the electorate of Warrego uniform with its town and Councils having similar population and needs. Tackling a large, sparsely populated area on to the extreme boundary edge of an electorate that has its most heavily populated areas over 600km away would critically hinder the smaller populated areas in all Government matters. (No equality due to different needs of the areas within the proposed Gregory Boundary changes.)

3. As Warrego electors' needs will remain, their demand for direct access to their member will not diminish. Therefore, it is going to cost the Member (taxpayer) considerable extra cost in travel and accommodation. Therefore, again, it would make economic sense to allow a third electoral office in Charleville. In the event of boundary changes going ahead this would be imperative.

Thanking you for the opportunity to appeal against these changes.

Sincerely,
Diana Ward.

[Signature]

ELECTORAL COMMISSION
QUEENSLAND
10 MAY 1999
RECEIVED
The Hon. Merri Rose MLA
Minister for Emergency Services
Member for Currumbin

7 May 1999

Queensland Redistribution Committee
ECQ
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE 9001

Dear Commissioners

Please find attached an objection to the redistribution proposed by the Commission for the State seat of Currumbin.

It is submitted that the redistribution proposed by the Redistribution Committee only removes approximately 30 electors, when the electorate is over a thousand above quota.

Further, enrolment growth in Currumbin is significantly above the State average, which means Currumbin will grow further above the State mean as a percentage of enrolment.

It is proposed that the solution is to devolve significant growth areas to the neighbouring electorate of Burleigh – which has below average enrolment and no substantial growth areas currently within the electorate.

I commend the submission to you.

With kind regards.

Yours sincerely,

Hon. Merri Rose
Minister for Emergency Services
Member for Currumbin
SUMMISSION TO THE ALP REDISTRIBUTION COMMITTEE
APRIL 1999

OBJECTIONS TO THE REDISTRIBUTION PROPOSED BY ECQ

Electoral District of CURRUMBIN

Summary

The redistribution proposed by the ECQ makes effectively no change to the boundaries of Currimbin.

The change straightens out a "bubble" on the northern boundary, removing the portion of the suburb of Reedy Creek which currently falls within the electorate (see Map 1 - comparison of electoral boundaries).

This removes approximately 30 electors from Currimbin (from Electrac).

ECQ's proposed redistribution does not address the:

- current above average enrolment in the electorate (+3.94% @ 30/9/88)
- above average population growth in the electorate (Currimbin: 10.1% cf. Gold Coast: 3.7%)

From an above average base of electors, Currimbin will continue to grow in excess of the Average District Enrolment due to the high growth rate in some sections of the electorate. Currimbin Valley has the highest growth rate on the Coast (Gold Coast Bulletin 13/4/59).

At the same time, the neighbouring Burleigh electorate (currently 3.97% below Avg. District Enrolment) has limited capacity for maintaining growth in enrolments. The redistribution has provided only established residential areas (Miami) for inclusion in the Burleigh electorate, rather than Inland growth corridors.

The Tallebudgera Valley is such a growth corridor - with natural transport linkages and community of interest with West Burleigh.

Proposal

That the ECQ revise the northern boundary of the Currimbin electorate such that the Tallebudgera Valley become a part of the Burleigh electorate (see Map - revised boundaries Currimbin).
ELECTORAL DISTRICT DETAILS

Location: southern Gold Coast
Area: 146 sq. km.
Classification: Provincial
Enrolment as at 30/09/98: 25,514 (3.84% above quota)
Enrolment Growth Rate: 10.10% (cf. 8.93% State mean)

ORIGINAL SUBMISSION

The original submission regarding the redistribution made a case for significant boundary adjustment to slow down the rate of growth of enrolments in the Currimbim electorate.

The submission sought to shift the northern boundary of Currimbim southwards, to incorporate the Tallebudgera Valley in the Burleigh electorate.

The objective was to place a growth area -- Tallebudgera Valley -- that has community interest with West Burleigh within the Burleigh electorate, thereby slowing enrolment growth in Currimbim whilst providing a capacity for growth in Burleigh.

PROPOSAL OF ECO

Boundary Change

In the redistribution as released, the boundary adjustment for Currimbim removes the final segment of Reedy Creek from the electorate -- approximately 30 electors.

It is located on the northern boundary with the Burleigh electorate.

This area is rural in character and small in area, with little scope for significant development and growth in population.

Comments by ECO

The ECO stated that Currimbim is 4.3% over quota as at December 1998, with expected growth to 5.5% over quota by 2005.
Growth in Currimbin

Currimbin's growth rate is accelerating within increased urban/semi-rural development in the hinterland of the electorate, particularly the Currimbin Valley.

Recent ABS statistics show an increase in the Currimbin Valley of more than 1500 people over a two-year period.

These growth rates continue to be sustained with significant housing development occurring in Currimbin Waters, Currimbin Valley, Tallabudgera Valley and Tallabudgera.

The ECQ would seem to underestimate the capacity for growth in these areas, estimating Currimbin to be only 5.5% over quota in 2005.

Growth in Burleigh

By including the coastal strip of Miami in an expanded Burleigh electorate, the ECQ is expanding the electorate to the established urban areas on Burleigh's northern boundary, rather than incorporating hinterland growth areas on the south/south-west boundary.

The ECQ predicted Burleigh would be 7.1% under quota by 2005 from the current 3.25 below quota, highlighting the lack of growth areas.

PROPOSAL BY MEMBER FOR CURRUMBIN

Tallebudgera Valley – Community of Interest

Tallebudgera Valley and environs is serviced by the Tallebudgera Creek Rd. and the Tallebudgera Connection Rd.

These roads connect to the West Burleigh Rd. and Burleigh Shopping Town. Commercial development around West Burleigh Rd/Reedy Creek Rd has strengthened the commercial focus of this area for the Tallebudgera Valley.

Revised boundary

It is proposed that the northern boundary of the Currimbin electorate be redrawn from the intersection of Tallebudgera Connection Rd/Gulneas Creek Rd.

The boundary should follow Trees Rd to Ducas Rd., Syndicate Rd and then to Tallebudgera Creek; then follow Tallebudgera Creek west to the western boundary.
Effect on number of Electors

This proposal would reduce the number of electors by approximately 1,400 (from Electrac).

This would reduce the total electors to approx. 24,200 - 1.4% above quota.

With avg. annual growth rates of 10.1%, the total electors in 2005 would be approx. 2.1% over (current) quota.

Conclusion

The Gold Coast is among the fastest growing regions of the State, with the southern end of the Coast experiencing above average growth.

To avoid revision of the northern boundary now avoids the obvious need for a more balanced division between Burleigh and Currumbin of the growth areas of the hinterland of both electorates.

Without such a redistribution, both electorates will continue to be skewed in terms of elector numbers.

Resolution can be achieved by incorporating Tallebudgera Valley in the Burleigh electorate:

QRCLOBJ 437
Boom times for valley

The Gold Coast has recorded top billing as the State’s most rapidly growing area with an average annual population increase of 3.7 per cent. More than 10,000 people settled on the Coast between 1996 and 1997.

The Coast is home to 326,665 people with the Carrum Downs area experiencing the largest increase of more than 1500 people.

The rise in population saw 2920 homes approved last year. Tourism room takings totalled $246 million for 1998, says the report.

QRC/ OBT 437
7 May 1999

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
Brisbane 9001

Fax: 07 3229 7391

Dear Sir or Madam,

Reference is made to the Queensland Redistribution Commission’s proposed Queensland Electoral Districts, April 1999.

Until now I have not made official comment on the proposed redistribution of Queensland Electoral Boundaries as I am of the opinion that the current boundaries of the Hervey Bay Electorate are satisfactory. I have had no difficulty in representing this community. The number of electors nor the physical area of the electorate have not created any problems in regard to representation.

However, I am fully aware that the Commission is bound by the provisions in the Electoral Act 1992 and that, whilst the Act remains in its present form, the review of the Electoral Boundaries must take place. I am not in total agreement with the Act in regard to the provisions for allotting electoral boundaries; this is an issue that I will follow up through the appropriate channels and is of no concern to the Commission at this point in time.

Upon studying the proposal I must advise that some of the areas proposed to be associated with the Maryborough Electoral District are inappropriate. A large percentage of the current Hervey Bay Electors proposed to be included in the new Maryborough Electoral Boundaries align themselves completely with Hervey Bay. Many of these people reside close to the City of Hervey Bay and are distant from the City of Maryborough.

With the proposed amendments, some electors who would currently only need to travel a few kilometres to visit the Hervey Bay Electorate Office would need to travel 30 to 40 kilometres to visit the Maryborough Electorate Office.

Concern is also raised that some close knit communities would be split in two by the proposed Electoral Divisions and representation from the local State Members would be divided.
As the Member for Hervey Bay I have established an amicable, close working relationship with the Hervey Bay City Council. The people of Hervey Bay (which includes people proposed to be removed from the Hervey Bay Electorate and included in the Maryborough Electorate) are aware of this relationship and have expressed their fear that, if representation was to be made through the Maryborough Electorate Office over matters that concern Hervey Bay, there could be a division of loyalty and lack of satisfactory outcome.

As it is my wish to represent my Electorate as they wish to be represented I sought the individual views of the electors who would be affected by the change. The response was overwhelming and provided a clear indication that the vast majority of these members of the Hervey Bay community wish to remain within the Hervey Bay Electorate. The response comes from people who shop and work in Hervey Bay (not Maryborough). As you are aware, the vast majority of the public are more inclined to discuss their concerns, either over the telephone or in person, in preference to putting pen to paper and therefore rarely provide their objection in the written form. My office has been inundated with Hervey Bay Electors voicing their concerns over the possibility of being included in the Maryborough Electorate.

These particular members of the community, generally, were not particularly concerned over the realignment of boundaries when the issue was first brought to their attention as they could not imagine that it would affect their particular locality. It was obvious to them that, if logic prevailed, they could only reasonably be aligned with Hervey Bay. Any other suggestion would seem ludicrous and therefore not a likely scenario.

Now that they are aware of the proposal, and realise the possibility of being represented by the Maryborough Electorate, there has been a cry for assistance to have the proposal amended.

It appears that the entire reason for the boundary change is due to the increase in the Hervey Bay electoral population. A lot of the decision has been made off the predicted increase to the year 2005. Hervey Bay was once able to claim that it was one of the fastest growing cities in Australia and, if the projected population was based on those figures, the calculation would be inaccurate. Hervey Bay’s growth rate has slowed dramatically. The following table will indicate increase/decrease to Hervey Bay’s Electoral Roll so far this year:

<p>| | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>January 1999</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>increase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>February 1999</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>increase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March 1999</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>increase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April 1999</td>
<td>365</td>
<td>decrease</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

These figures are taken directly from the Electoral Roll updates provided to Electorate Offices by CITEC.

Hervey Bay has a high unemployment rate causing the reduction in people moving to the area. This also has an impact on our young (and not so young) adults who are forced to leave the area in search of employment.
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In addition to this, 248 letters that I sent to electors in selected localities within the Hervey Bay Electorate were returned as the people were no longer at that address. This large percentage of unclaimed mail indicates that the actual electors residing in the Hervey Bay Electorate differs from the statistical figures. It is obvious that these particular people have not recorded a change of address with the Electoral Office.

"Community of interest" is a very significant factor and should be high in priority when considering a change to the Electoral Boundaries. There is a comment published in the Redistribution Proposal quoted from the EARC proposal which I completely agree with, and believe should be more fully considered in regard to the Electoral Act. This quote is:

"Local government boundaries frequently reflect, and may even help to create, communities of interest. This is especially so in rural areas where many local government areas correspond to a single town or smaller settlement and its closely connected hinterland. Electors are usually well aware of local government boundaries, and readily orientate to electoral boundaries that coincide with them. Consequently, and especially in rural areas, local government areas have in some cases been used as appropriate community of interest 'building blocks' for the current distribution."

This comment is in line with my beliefs as to how boundaries should be decided. There should be common boundaries (although the areas encompassed would vary in size) between local government, state government and federal government. I would even go so far as to state that rural fire divisions, neighborhood watch, progress associations, etc. should also be aligned using common boundaries. At the moment there are boundaries heading in many, haphazard and different directions. This is something that should be considered, but it is unfortunately not something that the Commission is obliged to follow at this time. The Department of Natural Resources has just completed a review of localities, their names and boundaries. It is my belief that Local Government boundaries should follow the same lines and not cut through designated localities. It is also my belief that State Government boundaries should follow the same principle.

The April 1999 proposal for Queensland Electoral Districts, in the Hervey Bay Electorate, cuts through the designated localities of Bunya Creek, Sunshine Acres, Nikenbah, Urraween, Craignish and Toogoom. This only assists in making the boundary maze even more complicated. I would like to see a review of all boundaries, initially aligned with these locality/suburb boundaries, followed by local government, then state government, then federal, with no boundaries crossing through the smaller designated areas. Maybe we could start to create this uniformity within this current review.

My objection to the proposed redistribution is not in total. There are some localities included in the current boundaries of the Hervey Bay Electorate which would be better aligned with other Electorates.

Aldershot residents are much closer to the City of Maryborough. In fact, if they wish to visit Hervey Bay they are more likely to travel through Maryborough to get to Hervey Bay than to take the alternate route through Howard/Torbanlea. Aldershot is included in the local authority area of Hervey Bay which, to me, also seems to be against the "community of interest" of those residents. I personally believe that this locality should be realigned with Maryborough at both local and state level.
Buxtonville, Childers, Goodwood, Walkers Point and Woodgate are all located well north of Hervey Bay and their “community of interest” would be aligned with Bundaberg in preference to Hervey Bay. As the Commission has proposed, the inclusion of these localities within the Burnett boundaries would appear far more appropriate.

There is also a very small proportion of Maryborough residents currently included within the Hervey Bay Electorate Boundaries. I agree, of course, that these residents should be located within the Maryborough Electorate.

However, areas (or part thereof) such as Burrum, Burrum Heads, Craignish, Dundowran, Howard, Nikenbah, Takura, Toogoom and Torbanlea have a far stronger “community of interest” with Hervey Bay. These areas (or part of these areas) have been proposed for inclusion within the Maryborough Electorate boundaries. I surveyed the electors of these particular localities to ascertain their view. A summary of their responses (by percentage of the total number of responses received, a total of 1,350) is outlined in the following table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Locality</th>
<th>Prefer to be in the Hervey Bay Electorate</th>
<th>Prefer to be in the Maryborough Electorate</th>
<th>Don’t mind which Electorate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Burrum</td>
<td>93.10%</td>
<td>3.45%</td>
<td>3.45%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burrum Heads</td>
<td>91.94%</td>
<td>4.84%</td>
<td>3.23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Craignish</td>
<td>98.71%</td>
<td>0.96%</td>
<td>0.32%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dundowran</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Howard</td>
<td>85.34%</td>
<td>8.38%</td>
<td>6.28%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nikenbah</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Takura</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toogoom</td>
<td>98.66%</td>
<td>1.34%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Torbanlea</td>
<td>75.56%</td>
<td>15.56%</td>
<td>8.89%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td><strong>95.15%</strong></td>
<td><strong>2.98%</strong></td>
<td><strong>1.87%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As you can see by this survey, the vast majority of the general public wish to remain within the Hervey Bay Electorate Boundaries.

The Commission is obviously bound by the Electoral Act 1992 and therefore must ensure that all Electorates remain within the tolerance of the estimated quota. May I, at this point, re-state my belief that the estimated enrolment for Hervey Bay Electorate at June 2005 is inaccurate as the growth rate has slowed dramatically causing a very slight growth rate, if any at all.

According to the Commission’s estimated enrolments, an average of 28,704 is required for each Electorate. The Hervey Bay enrolments currently stand at 27,982.

If Aldershot, Buxtonville, Childers, Goodwood, Maryborough, Walkers Point and Woodgate were removed from the Hervey Bay Electorate this figure would reduce by 1,271 electors, leaving a current total of 26,711 electors in the Hervey Bay Electorate. The number of electors removed from Hervey Bay would equate to 1,703 in the year 2005 using the Commission’s calculation of electoral growth.
The Commission has estimated that Hervey Bay enrolments will increase by 34% in the next five years, yet it has estimated that the Maryborough enrolments will increase by only 12%. Migration to Hervey Bay was extensive at one time, but this is no longer the case. Furthermore, electoral population increases when young adults already residing in the locality come of age and add their name to the Electoral Roll. As Hervey Bay has a high elderly population, the number of additional electors obtained in this way is greatly limited.

If the Commission estimated a more realistic increase in the Hervey Bay Electorate, say 12% as with Maryborough, the total number of electors estimated in 2005 would be 29,916. With the average quota estimated at 28,704 it is clear that the Hervey Bay Electorate could retain the localities of Burrum, Burrum Heads,Craigish, Dundowran, Howard, Nikenbah, Takura, Toogoom and Torbanlea and still remain within the 10% tolerance of the estimated quota.

I respectfully request that the Commission consider the possibility of realigning the Hervey Bay Electorate Boundaries to encompass Fraser Island and follow the Great Sandy Strait to the Mary River. The boundary to then follow the Mary River to encompass the locality of Prawle (which is currently within the Hervey Bay Electorate) and then follow the Locality Boundaries of Tandora, Susan River, Walligan, Takura, Beelbi Creek, Burrum Town, Torbanlea, Howard, Burrum River and Burrum Heads as indicated on the maps enclosed herewith.

I congratulate the Commission on the extensive work carried out on the redistribution of Queensland’s Electoral Boundaries, and on the comprehensive and detailed report submitted. The issue of “community of interest” is an extremely important one, as I am sure the Commission is aware, and is most relevant in the case for Hervey Bay.

I trust that the Commission will recognise the problems associated with the April 1999 proposal for redistribution of electoral boundaries and will encompass the changes noted herein to reflect a true spirit of “community of interest”. If you wish to discuss this submission, or any aspect thereof

Sincerely,

David Dalgleish MLA
Member for Hervey Bay
Robert & Sandra Brown & Family
7 Stirling St
Rochdale St 4123
Federal Division: Fadden
State District: Springwood.

To Whom It May Concern:

We would like to lodge our objection to the change in the electoral boundaries for our electorate. We have been working very closely with the local members of both the council and state we are already in the throngs of getting things done with their help and now we are suppose to start all over again with someone we don’t know didn’t vote for and is inexcusable by public transport.

There is a huge gap of vacant land and farm properties between, we are now to be tagged onto the Outskirts to become a forgotten community, with a member so far away from local council to even help out or voice an opinion and the two do work together in a lot of cases.

Why we are giving a vote and then told we are not going to get who we voted for is beyond me. The whole process seems like a waste of time and money and the only people to get hurt is the people who live in the small minority you have moved.

It seems you should come up with a better system as you can’t keep changing the boundaries when the spirit moves.

Yours truly,

[Signature]

Robert & Sandra Brown.
His Honour JP Judge Shanahan
Chairperson
State Redistribution Commission
Forestry House
160 Mary Street
BRISBANE QLD 4000

Dear Sir

REALIGNMENT OF STATE ELECTORAL BOUNDARIES

I am writing to advise that Maroochy Shire Council intends to make a submission to the State Redistribution Commission of Queensland on the proposed changes to electoral boundaries in Maroochy Shire.

Council is concerned that the realignment of communities such as Kenilworth and Eumundi would impact on their normal area of community interest of the Sunshine Coast.

We respectfully request that you accept our full submission on Wednesday 12 May 1999.

Yours faithfully,

GARY EHSMAN
MANAGER ADMINISTRATION

Please quote our file reference number on your reply for fast tracking within Council.
To The Electoral Commission for Qld.,
P.O. Box 1393, E.E.G.
Brisbane, Qld 4001.

Dear Sir,

RE: THE MULGRAVE REDISTRIBUTION

I object to the proposed redistribution of part of Mulgrave into the electorate of the Tablelands. This is an extremely wet area with special needs and we feel the electorate of Mulgrave can serve us much better than that of the Tablelands. Conditions here have nothing in common with the Tablelands, and there is no local access from this part of Mulgrave to the Tablelands.

We would lose our major tourist attraction, and we feel that the extra funding necessary for the Josephine Falls projects would be in jeopardy should the it be lumped in with Tablelands projects. Also, with the new boundary many people who live in Mulgrave will have their farms in the Tablelands electorate. This does not make sense nor does it appear to benefit the people of the electorate in any way.

Yours Faithfully,

Sue M. Ward

13 May 1999
Dear Commissioner,

This is to object to the proposed redistribution of Boundaries where it refers to the part of Mulgrave now being considered for Annexation to the Tableland Electorate.

The Mulgrave electorate has served us well and we have been happy with the Boundaries as they stand. To split the township of Miriwinni thereby having Residents in two different electorates will certainly not be an ideal situation. The area will dispossess people living at Waugh Pocket, Woopen Creek, Bartle Freer and Stagers Road.

The Bellend-Ker range is a natural barrier between the two electorates and should remain there. The preference of the people should be of the utmost importance, no benefit would apply to these constituents should the Annexation go ahead.

Your's Faithfully

[Signature]

P. Ciparoli

To The Electoral Commission for Qld.,
P.O. Box 1393,
Brisbane, Qld 4001.

Dear Sir,

RE: THE MULGRAVE REDISTRIBUTION

I object to the proposed redistribution of part of Mulgrave into the electorate of the Tablelands. This is an extremely wet area with special needs and we feel the electorate of Mulgrave can serve us much better than that of the Tablelands.

Conditions here have nothing in common with the Tablelands, and there is no local access from this part of Mulgrave to the Tablelands. We would lose our major tourist attraction, and we feel that the extra funding necessary for the Josephine Falls projects would be in jeopardy should the it be lumped in with Tablelands projects. Also, with the new boundary many people who live in Mulgrave will have their farms in the Tablelands electorate. This does not make sense nor does it appear to benefit the people of the electorate in any way.

Yours Faithfully,

[Signature]

P. Ciparoli

Bartle Freer, 4871.
Dear Commissioner,

This is to object to the proposed redistribution of Boundaries where-as it refers to the part of Mulgrave now being considered for Annexation to the Table Land Electorate.

The Mulgrave electorate has served us well and we have been happy with the Boundaries as they stand. To split the township of Miriwinini thereby having Residents in two different electorates will certainly not be an ideal situation.
The area will dispossess people living at Waugh’Pocket, Woopen Creek, Bartle-Frere and Stagers Road.
The Bellend-Ker range is a natural barrier between the two electorates and Should remain there. The preference of the people should be of the utmost Importance. No benefit would apply to these constituents should the Annexation go ahead.

Your's Faithfully

[Signature]

[Address]

10 MAY 1999

THE QUEENSLAND REDISTRIBUTION COMMISSIONER

Dear Commissioner,

This is to object to the proposed redistribution of Boundaries where-as it refers to the part of Mulgrave now being considered for Annexation to the Table Land Electorate.

The Mulgrave electorate has served us well and we have been happy with the Boundaries as they stand. To split the township of Miriwinini thereby having Residents in two different electorates will certainly not be an ideal situation.
The area will dispossess people living at Waugh’Pocket, Woopen Creek, Bartle-Frere and Stagers Road.
The Bellend-Ker range is a natural barrier between the two electorates and Should remain there. The preference of the people should be of the utmost Importance. No benefit would apply to these constituents should the Annexation go ahead.

Your's Faithfully

[Signature]

[Address]
To The Electoral Commission for Qld.,
P.O. Box 1393,
Brisbane, Qld 4001.

Dear Sir,

RE: THE MULGRAVE REDISTRIBUTION

I object to the proposed redistribution of part of Mulgrave into the electorate of the Tablelands. This is an extremely wet area with special needs and we feel the electorate of Mulgrave can serve us much better than that of the Tablelands. Conditions here have nothing in common with the Tablelands, and there is no local access from this part of Mulgrave to the Tablelands.

We would lose our major tourist attraction, and we feel that the extra funding necessary for the Josephine Falls projects would be in jeopardy should the it be lumped in with Tablelands projects. Also, with the new boundary many people who live in Mulgrave will have their farms in the Tablelands electorate.

This does not make sense nor does it appear to benefit the people of the electorate in any way.

Yours Faithfully,

David Owen Wilkins
PO Box 156
BAINDIA QLD 4861

To The Electoral Commission for Qld.,
P.O. Box 1393,
Brisbane, Qld 4001.

Dear Sir,

RE: THE MULGRAVE REDISTRIBUTION

I object to the proposed redistribution of part of Mulgrave into the electorate of the Tablelands. This is an extremely wet area with special needs and we feel the electorate of Mulgrave can serve us much better than that of the Tablelands. Conditions here have nothing in common with the Tablelands, and there is no local access from this part of Mulgrave to the Tablelands.

We would lose our major tourist attraction, and we feel that the extra funding necessary for the Josephine Falls projects would be in jeopardy should the it be lumped in with Tablelands projects. Also, with the new boundary many people who live in Mulgrave will have their farms in the Tablelands electorate.

This does not make sense nor does it appear to benefit the people of the electorate in any way.

Yours Faithfully,

Angela Rosa Wilkins
PO Box 156
BAINDIA QLD 4861
To The Electoral Commission for Qld.,
P.O. Box 1393, E.C.Q.
Brisbane, Qld 4001.

Dear Sir,

RE: THE MULGRAVE REDISTRIBUTION

I object to the proposed redistribution of part of Mulgrave into the electorate of the Tablelands. This is an extremely wet area with special needs and we feel the electorate of Mulgrave can serve us much better than that of the Tablelands. Conditions here have nothing in common with the Tablelands, and there is no local access from this part of Mulgrave to the Tablelands.

We would lose our major tourist attraction, and we feel that the extra funding necessary for the Josephine Falls projects would be in jeopardy should the it be lumped in with Tablelands projects. Also, with the new boundary many people who live in Mulgrave will have their farms in the Tablelands electorate. This does not make sense nor does it appear to benefit the people of the electorate in any way.

Yours Faithfully,

R. M. Harvey

Miriwinni

THE QUEENSLAND REDISTRIBUTION COMMISSIONER

Dear Commissioner,

This is to object to the proposed redistribution of Bounderies where-as it refers to the part of Mulgrave now being considered for Annexation to the TableLand Electorate.

The Mulgrave electorate has served us well and we have been happy with the Bounderies as they stand. To split the township of Miriwinni thereby having Residents in two different electorates will certainly not be an ideal situation. The area will dispossess people living at Waugh’Pocket, Woopen Creek, Bartle–Frere and Stagers Road. The Bellend–Ker range is a natural barrier between the two electorates and Should remain there. The preference of the people should be of the utmost Importance. No benefit would apply to these constituents should the Annexation go ahead:

Yours Faithfully,

C. Smith
10 Greer RD
Miriwinni, Q. 4871
THE QUEENSLAND REDISTRIBUTION COMMISSIONER.

Dear Commissioner,

This is to object to the proposed redistribution of Bounderies where-as it refers to the part of Mulgrave now being considered for Annexation to the Table Land Electorate.

The Mulgrave electorate has served us well and we have been happy with the Bounderies as they stand. To split the township of Miriwinui thereby having Residents in two different electorates will certainly not be an ideal situation. The area will dispossess people living at Waugh'Pocket, Woopen Creek, Barle-Frere and Stagers Road. The Bellend-Ker range is a natural barrier between the two electorates and Should remain there. The preference of the people should be of the utmost importance. No benefit would apply to these constituents should the Annexation go ahead.

Yours Faithfully

[Signature]

To The Electoral Commission for Qld.,
P.O. Box 1393,
Brisbane, Qld 4001.

Dear Sir,

RE: THE MULGRAVE REDISTRIBUTION

I object to the proposed redistribution of part of Mulgrave into the electorate of the Tablelands. This is an extremely wet area with special needs and we feel the electorate of Mulgrave can serve us much better than that of the Tablelands. Conditions here have nothing in common with the Tablelands, and there is no local access from this part of Mulgrave to the Tablelands.

We would lose our major tourist attraction, and we feel that the extra funding necessary for the Josephine Falls projects would be in jeopardy should the it be lumped in with Tablelands projects. Also, with the new boundary many people who live in Mulgrave will have their farms in the Tablelands electorate. This does not make sense nor does it appear to benefit the people of the electorate in any way.

Yours Faithfully,

[Signature]
To The Electoral Commission for Qld.,
P.O. Box 1393,
Brisbane, Qld 4001.

Dear Sir,

**RE: THE MULGRAVE REDISTRIBUTION**

I object to the proposed redistribution of part of Mulgrave into the electorate of the Tablelands. This is an extremely wet area with special needs and we feel the electorate of Mulgrave can serve us much better than that of the Tablelands. Conditions here have nothing in common with the Tablelands, and there is no local access from this part of Mulgrave to the Tablelands.

We would lose our major tourist attraction, and we feel that the extra funding necessary for the Josephine Falls projects would be in jeopardy should the it be lumped in with Tablelands projects. Also, with the new boundary many people who live in Mulgrave will have their farms in the Tablelands electorate. This does not make sense nor does it appear to benefit the people of the electorate in any way.

Yours Faithfully,

Les McGuell

45 Whitman St

MIRK IWIN

---

To The Electoral Commission for Qld.,
P.O. Box 1393,
Brisbane, Qld 4001.

Dear Sir,

**RE: THE MULGRAVE REDISTRIBUTION**

I object to the proposed redistribution of part of Mulgrave into the electorate of the Tablelands. This is an extremely wet area with special needs and we feel the electorate of Mulgrave can serve us much better than that of the Tablelands. Conditions here have nothing in common with the Tablelands, and there is no local access from this part of Mulgrave to the Tablelands.

We would lose our major tourist attraction, and we feel that the extra funding necessary for the Josephine Falls projects would be in jeopardy should the it be lumped in with Tablelands projects. Also, with the new boundary many people who live in Mulgrave will have their farms in the Tablelands electorate. This does not make sense nor does it appear to benefit the people of the electorate in any way.

Yours Faithfully,

Michael Scott

40 Bruce Hwy

4871
To The Electoral Commission for Qld.,
P.O. Box 1393,
Brisbane, Qld 4001.

Dear Sir,

RE: THE MULGRAVE REDISTRIBUTION

I object to the proposed redistribution of part of Mulgrave into the electorate of the Tablelands. This is an extremely wet area with special needs and we feel the electorate of Mulgrave can serve us much better than that of the Tablelands.

Conditions here have nothing in common with the Tablelands, and there is no local access from this part of Mulgrave to the Tablelands.

We would lose our major tourist attraction, and we feel that the extra funding necessary for the Josephine Falls projects would be in jeopardy should the it be lumped in with Tablelands projects. Also, with the new boundary many people who live in Mulgrave will have their farms in the Tablelands electorate. This does not make sense nor does it appear to benefit the people of the electorate in any way.

Yours Faithfully,

[Signature]

To The Electoral Commission for Qld.,
P.O. Box 1393,
Brisbane, Qld 4001.

Dear Sir,

RE: THE MULGRAVE REDISTRIBUTION

I object to the proposed redistribution of part of Mulgrave into the electorate of the Tablelands. This is an extremely wet area with special needs and we feel the electorate of Mulgrave can serve us much better than that of the Tablelands.

Conditions here have nothing in common with the Tablelands, and there is no local access from this part of Mulgrave to the Tablelands.

We would lose our major tourist attraction, and we feel that the extra funding necessary for the Josephine Falls projects would be in jeopardy should the it be lumped in with Tablelands projects. Also, with the new boundary many people who live in Mulgrave will have their farms in the Tablelands electorate. This does not make sense nor does it appear to benefit the people of the electorate in any way.

Yours Faithfully,

[Signature]
THE QUEENSLAND REDISTRIBUTION COMMISSIONER

Dear Commissioner,

This is to object to the proposed redistribution of Boundaries where-as it refers to the part of Mulgrave now being considered for Annexation to the Table Land Electorate.

The Mulgrave electorate has served us well and we have been happy with the Boundaries as they stand. To split the township of Miriwinni thereby having Residents in two different electorates will certainly not be an ideal situation. The area will dispossess people living at Waugh Pocket, Woopen Creek, Bartle-Freer and Stagers Road. The Bellend-Ker range is a natural barrier between the two electorates and should remain there. The preference of the people should be of the utmost importance, No benefit would apply to these constituents should the Annexation go ahead.

Your's Faithfully

name:  S A N D R A  B A R D I N I
address: P.O. 196
MIRIWINNI Q. 4871

THE QUEENSLAND REDISTRIBUTION COMMISSIONER

Dear Commissioner,

This is to object to the proposed redistribution of Boundaries where-as it refers to the part of Mulgrave now being considered for Annexation to the Table Land Electorate.

The Mulgrave electorate has served us well and we have been happy with the Boundaries as they stand. To split the township of Miriwinni thereby having Residents in two different electorates will certainly not be an ideal situation. The area will dispossess people living at Waugh Pocket, Woopen Creek, Bartle-Freer and Stagers Road. The Bellend-Ker range is a natural barrier between the two electorates and should remain there. The preference of the people should be of the utmost importance, No benefit would apply to these constituents should the Annexation go ahead.

Your's Faithfully

name:  S A N D R A  B A R D I N I
address: P.O. 196
MIRIWINNI Q. 4871

signature:  Waugh Pocket Road
MIRIWINNI
To The Electoral Commission for Qld.,
P.O. Box 1393,
Brisbane, Qld 4001.

Dear Sir,

RE: THE MULGRAVE REDISTRIBUTION

I object to the proposed redistribution of part of Mulgrave into the electorate of the Tablelands. This is an extremely wet area with special needs and we feel the electorate of Mulgrave can serve us much better than that of the Tablelands. Conditions here have nothing in common with the Tablelands, and there is no local access from this part of Mulgrave to the Tablelands.

We would lose our major tourist attraction, and we feel that the extra funding necessary for the Josephine Falls projects would be in jeopardy should the it be lumped in with Tablelands projects. Also, with the new boundary many people who live in Mulgrave will have their farms in the Tablelands electorate.

This does not make sense nor does it appear to benefit the people of the electorate in any way.

Yours Faithfully,

[Signature]

To The Electoral Commission for Qld.,
P.O. Box 1393,
Brisbane, Qld 4001.

Dear Sir,

RE: THE MULGRAVE REDISTRIBUTION

I object to the proposed redistribution of part of Mulgrave into the electorate of the Tablelands. This is an extremely wet area with special needs and we feel the electorate of Mulgrave can serve us much better than that of the Tablelands. Conditions here have nothing in common with the Tablelands, and there is no local access from this part of Mulgrave to the Tablelands.

We would lose our major tourist attraction, and we feel that the extra funding necessary for the Josephine Falls projects would be in jeopardy should the it be lumped in with Tablelands projects. Also, with the new boundary many people who live in Mulgrave will have their farms in the Tablelands electorate.

This does not make sense nor does it appear to benefit the people of the electorate in any way.

Yours Faithfully,

[Signature]
To The Electoral Commission for Qld.,
P.O. Box 1393, Brisbane, Qld 4001.

Dear Sir,

RE: THE MULGRAVE REDISTRIBUTION

I object to the proposed redistribution of part of Mulgrave into the electorate of the Tablelands. This is an extremely wet area with special needs and we feel the electorate of Mulgrave can serve us much better than that of the Tablelands. Conditions here have nothing in common with the Tablelands, and there is no local access from this part of Mulgrave to the Tablelands.

We would lose our major tourist attraction, and we feel that the extra funding necessary for the Josephine Falls projects would be in jeopardy should the it be lumped in with Tablelands projects. Also, with the new boundary many people who live in Mulgrave will have their farms in the Tablelands electorate.

This does not make sense nor does it appear to benefit the people of the electorate in any way.

Yours Faithfully,

[Signature]

KNUT RD WOOPEN CREEK

The Queensland Redistribution Commissioner

Dear Commissioner,

This is to object to the proposed redistribution of Boundaries where-as it refers to the part of Mulgrave now being considered for Annexation to the Table Land Electorate.

The Mulgrave electorate has served us well and we have been happy with the Boundaries as they stand.

To split the township of Miriwinni thereby having Residents in two different electorates will certainly not be an ideal situation.

The area will dispossess people living at Waugh's Pocket, Woopen Creek, Barle-Frere and Stagers Road.

The Bellend-Ker range is a natural barrier between the two electorates and Should remain there. The preference of the people should be of the utmost Importance. No benefit would apply to these constituents should the Annexation go ahead.

Yours Faithfully

[Signature]

[Address]
THE QUEENSLAND REDISTRIBUTION COMMISSIONER

Dear Commissioner,

This is to object to the proposed redistribution of Boundaries where-as it refers to the part of Mulgrave now being considered for Annexation to the Tableland Electorate.

The Mulgrave electorate has served us well and we have been happy with the Boundaries as they stand. To split the township of Miriwinni thereby having Residents in two different electorates will certainly not be an ideal situation. The area will dispossess people living at Waugh’Pocket, Woopen Creek, Bartle – Frere and Stagers Road. The Bellenden-Ker range is a natural barrier between the two electorates and Should remain there. The preference of the people should be of the utmost Importance, No benefit would apply to these constituents should the Annexation go ahead.

name

address.

Your’s Faithfully

Diana Jensen

Babinah.
THE QUEENSLAND REDISTRIBUTION COMMISSIONER

Dear Commissioner,

This is to object to the proposed redistribution of Boundaries where-as it refers to the part of Mulgrave now being considered for Annexation to the Tableland Electorate.

The Mulgrave electorate has served us well and we have been happy with the Boundaries as they stand. To split the township of Miriwinini thereby having Residents in two different electorates will certainly not be an ideal situation. The area will dispossess people living at Waugh'Pocket, Woopen Creek, Bartle Frere and Stager Road. The Bellenden-Ker range is a natural barrier between the two electorates and Should remain there. The preference of the people should be of the utmost Importance. No benefit would apply to these constituents should the Annexation go ahead.

Your's Faithfully

[Name]

[Address]

Queensland Redistribution

THE QUEENSLAND REDISTRIBUTION COMMISSIONER

Dear Commissioner,

This is to object to the proposed redistribution of Boundaries where-as it refers to the part of Mulgrave now being considered for Annexation to the Tableland Electorate.

The Mulgrave electorate has served us well and we have been happy with the Boundaries as they stand. To split the township of Miriwinini thereby having Residents in two different electorates will certainly not be an ideal situation. The area will dispossess people living at Waugh'Pocket, Woopen Creek, Bartle Frere and Stager Road. The Bellenden-Ker range is a natural barrier between the two electorates and Should remain there. The preference of the people should be of the utmost Importance. No benefit would apply to these constituents should the Annexation go ahead.

Your's Faithfully

[Name]

[Address]
THE QUEENSLAND REDISTRIBUTION COMMISSIONER

Dear Commissioner,

This is to object to the proposed redistribution of Boundaries where-as it refers to the part of Mulgrave now being considered for Annexation to the Tableland Electorate.

The Mulgrave electorate has served us well and we have been happy with the Boundaries as they stand. To split the township of Miriwinni thereby having Residents in two different electorates will certainly not be an ideal situation. The area will dispossess people living at Waugh"Pocket, Woopen Creek, Bartle-Frere and Stager Road. The Bellenden-Ker range is a natural barrier between the two electorates and Should remain there. The preference of the people should be of the utmost Importance, No benefit would apply to these constituents should the Annexation go ahead.

Your’s Faithfully

name

address.

THE QUEENSLAND REDISTRIBUTION COMMISSIONER

Dear Commissioner,

This is to object to the proposed redistribution of Boundaries where-as it refers to the part of Mulgrave now being considered for Annexation to the Tableland Electorate.

The Mulgrave electorate has served us well and we have been happy with the Boundaries as they stand. To split the township of Miriwinni thereby having Residents in two different electorates will certainly not be an ideal situation. The area will dispossess people living at Waugh"Pocket, Woopen Creek, Bartle-Frere and Stager Road. The Bellenden-Ker range is a natural barrier between the two electorates and Should remain there. The preference of the people should be of the utmost Importance, No benefit would apply to these constituents should the Annexation go ahead.

Your’s Faithfully

name

address.
To The Electoral Commission for Qld.,
P.O. Box 1393,
Brisbane, Qld 4001.

Dear Sir,

RE: THE MULGRAVE REDISTRIBUTION

I object to the proposed redistribution of part of Mulgrave into the electorate of the Tablelands. This is an extremely wet area with special needs and we feel the electorate of Mulgrave can serve us much better than that of the Tablelands. Conditions here have nothing in common with the Tablelands, and there is no local access from this part of Mulgrave to the Tablelands.

We would lose our major tourist attraction, and we feel that the extra funding necessary for the Josephine Falls projects would be in jeopardy should the it be lumped in with Tablelands projects. Also, with the new boundary many people who live in Mulgrave will have their farms in the Tablelands electorate.

This does not make sense nor does it appear to benefit the people of the electorate in any way.

Yours Faithfully,

J. Azzopardi
THE QUEENSLAND REDISTRIBUTION COMMISSIONER

Dear Commissioner,

This is to object to the proposed redistribution of Boundaries where-as it refers to the part of Mulgrave now being considered for Annexation to the Tableland Electorate.

The Mulgrave electorate has served us well and we have been happy with the Boundaries as they stand. To split the township of Miriwinini thereby having Residents in two different electorates will certainly not be an ideal situation. The area will dispossess people living at Waugh Pocket, Woopen Creek, Bartle–Frere and Stager Road. The Bellenden-Ker range is a natural barrier between the two electorates and Should remain there. The preference of the people should be of the utmost Importance. No benefit would apply to these constituents should the Annexation go ahead.

Yours Faithfully

Ronald James STAGER
STAGER ROAD BABINDA Q 4861
P.O. BOX 237
BABINDA Q 4861

THE QUEENSLAND REDISTRIBUTION COMMISSIONER

Dear Commissioner,

This is to object to the proposed redistribution of Boundaries where-as it refers to the part of Mulgrave now being considered for Annexation to the Tableland Electorate.

The Mulgrave electorate has served us well and we have been happy with the Boundaries as they stand. To split the township of Miriwinini thereby having Residents in two different electorates will certainly not be an ideal situation. The area will dispossess people living at Waugh Pocket, Woopen Creek, Bartle–Frere and Stager Road. The Bellenden-Ker range is a natural barrier between the two electorates and Should remain there. The preference of the people should be of the utmost Importance. No benefit would apply to these constituents should the Annexation go ahead.

Yours Faithfully

R. J. McLeod
BABINDA
THE QUEENSLAND REDISTRIBUTION COMMISSIONER

Dear Commissioner,

This is to object to the proposed redistribution of Boundaries where-as it refers to the part of Mulgrave now being considered for Annexation to the Tableland Electorate.

The Mulgrave electorate has served us well and we have been happy with the Boundaries as they stand. To split the township of Miriwinni thereby having Residency in two different electorates will certainly not be an ideal situation. The area will dispossess people living at Waugh Pock, Woopen Creek, Bartle-Frere and Stager Road. The Bellenden-Ker range is a natural barrier between the two electorates and Should remain there. The preference of the people should be of the utmost Importance, No benefit would apply to these constituents should the Annexation go ahead.

Your's Faithfully

name
address.

[Signature]

MIRIWINNI

THE QUEENSLAND REDISTRIBUTION COMMISSIONER

Dear Commissioner,

This is to object to the proposed redistribution of Boundaries where-as it refers to the part of Mulgrave now being considered for Annexation to the Tableland Electorate.

The Mulgrave electorate has served us well and we have been happy with the Boundaries as they stand. To split the township of Miriwinni thereby having Residency in two different electorates will certainly not be an ideal situation. The area will dispossess people living at Waugh Pock, Woopen Creek, Bartle-Frere and Stager Road. The Bellenden-Ker range is a natural barrier between the two electorates and Should remain there. The preference of the people should be of the utmost Importance, No benefit would apply to these constituents should the Annexation go ahead.

Your's Faithfully

name
address.

[Signature]
THE QUEENSLAND REDISTRIBUTION COMMISSIONER

Dear Commissioner,

This is to object to the proposed redistribution of Boundaries where-as it refers to the part of Mulgrave now being considered for Annexation to the Tableland Electorate.

The Mulgrave electorate has served us well and we have been happy with the Boundaries as they stand. To split the township of Miriwin in thereby having Residents in two different electorates will certainly not be an ideal situation. The area will dispossess people living at Waugh’Pocket, Woopen Creek, Bartle-Frere and Stager Road. The Bellenden-Ker range is a natural barrier between the two electorates and Should remain there. The preference of the people should be of the utmost Importance, No benefit would apply to these constituents should the Annexation go ahead.

Your’s Faithfully

Estelle White
6 Beaver Street
Balanda

name
address.
THE QUEENSLAND REDISTRIBUTION COMMISSIONER

Dear Commissioner,

This is to object to the proposed redistribution of Boundaries where-as it refers to the part of Mulgrave now being considered for Annexation to the Tableland Electorate.

The Mulgrave electorate has served us well and we have been happy with the Boundaries as they stand. To split the township of Miriwinni thereby having Residents in two different electorates will certainly not be an ideal situation. The area will dispossess people living at Waugh Pocket, Woopen Creek, Bartle-Frere and Stager Road.

The Bellenden-Ker range is a natural barrier between the two electorates and Should remain there. The preference of the people should be of the utmost Importance, No benefit would apply to these constituents should the Annexation go ahead.

Your’s Faithfully

[Signature]

[Name]

[Address]

THE QUEENSLAND REDISTRIBUTION COMMISSIONER

Dear Commissioner,

This is to object to the proposed redistribution of Boundaries where-as it refers to the part of Mulgrave now being considered for Annexation to the Tableland Electorate.

The Mulgrave electorate has served us well and we have been happy with the Boundaries as they stand. To split the township of Miriwinni thereby having Residents in two different electorates will certainly not be an ideal situation. The area will dispossess people living at Waugh Pocket, Woopen Creek, Bartle-Frere and Stager Road.

The Bellenden-Ker range is a natural barrier between the two electorates and Should remain there. The preference of the people should be of the utmost Importance, No benefit would apply to these constituents should the Annexation go ahead.

Your’s Faithfully

[Signature]

[Name]

[Address]
THE QUEENSLAND REDISTRIBUTION COMMISSIONER

Dear Commissioner,

This is to object to the proposed redistribution of bounderies where-as it refers to the part of Mulgrave now being considered for Annexation to the Tableland Electorate.

The Mulgrave electorate has served us well and we have been happy with the bounderies as they stand. To split the township of Miriwinni thereby having residents in two different electorates will certainly not be an ideal situation. The area will dispossess people living at Waugh’ Pocket, Woopen Creek, Bartle-Frere and Stager Road.

The Bellenden-Ker range is a natural barrier between the two electorates and should remain there. The preference of the people should be of the utmost importance, no benefit would apply to these constituents should the annexation go ahead.

Your’s Faithfully,

Ray Smith
176 Monkro St
BABINDA 4861

THE QUEENSLAND REDISTRIBUTION COMMISSIONER

Dear Commissioner,

This is to object to the proposed redistribution of bounderies where-as it refers to the part of Mulgrave now being considered for Annexation to the Tableland Electorate.

The Mulgrave electorate has served us well and we have been happy with the bounderies as they stand. To split the township of Miriwinni thereby having residents in two different electorates will certainly not be an ideal situation. The area will dispossess people living at Waugh’ Pocket, Woopen Creek, Bartle-Frere and Stager Road.

The Bellenden-Ker range is a natural barrier between the two electorates and should remain there. The preference of the people should be of the utmost importance, no benefit would apply to these constituents should the annexation go ahead.

Your’s Faithfully,

Lyle Tremont
49 Eastwood St
BABINDA 4861
THE QUEENSLAND REDISTRIBUTION COMMISSIONER

Dear Commissioner,

This is to object to the proposed redistribution of Boundaries where-as it refers to the part of Mulgrave now being considered for Annexation to the Tableland Electorate.

The Mulgrave electorate has served us well and we have been happy with the Boundaries as they stand. To split the township of Miriwinni thereby having Residents in two different electorates will certainly not be an ideal situation. The area will dispossess people living at Waugh'Pocket, Woopen Creek, Bartle-Frere and Stager Road. The Bellenden-Ker range is a natural barrier between the two electorates and Should remain there. The preference of the people should be of the utmost Importance, No benefit would apply to these constituents should the Annexation go ahead.

Your’s Faithfully

[signature]

name

address.

[signature]

name

address.

[signature]
THE QUEENSLAND REDISTRIBUTION COMMISSIONER

Dear Commissioner,

This is to object to the proposed redistribution of Boundaries where-as it refers to the part of Mulgrave now being considered for Annexation to the Tableland Electorate.

The Mulgrave electorate has served us well and we have been happy with the Boundaries as they stand. To split the township of Miriwinni thereby having Residents in two different electorates will certainly not be an ideal situation. The area will dispossess people living at Waugh'Pocket, Woopen Creek, Bartle-Frere and Stager Road.

The Bellenden-Ker range is a natural barrier between the two electorates and should remain there. The preference of the people should be of the utmost importance. No benefit would apply to these constituents should the Annexation go ahead.

Your’s Faithfully

name
W. Yokobole
address
Babinda

THE QUEENSLAND REDISTRIBUTION COMMISSIONER

Dear Commissioner,

This is to object to the proposed redistribution of Boundaries where-as it refers to the part of Mulgrave now being considered for Annexation to the Tableland Electorate.

The Mulgrave electorate has served us well and we have been happy with the Boundaries as they stand. To split the township of Miriwinni thereby having Residents in two different electorates will certainly not be an ideal situation. The area will dispossess people living at Waugh'Pocket, Woopen Creek, Bartle-Frere and Stagers Road.

The Bellenden-Ker range is a natural barrier between the two electorates and should remain there. The preference of the people should be of the utmost importance. No benefit would apply to these constituents should the Annexation go ahead.

Your’s Faithfully

name
R. J. TREMBATH
address
P.O. Box 204
BABINDA
QRC 483
THE QUEENSLAND REDISTRIBUTION COMMISSIONER

Dear Commissioner,

This is to object to the proposed redistribution of Bounderies where-as it refers to the part of Mulgrave now being considered for Annexation to the Tableland Electorate.

The Mulgrave electorate has served us well and we have been happy with the Bounderies as they stand. To split the township of Mirriwini thereby having Residents in two different electorates will certainly not be an ideal situation. The area will dispossess people living at Waugh's Pocket, Woopen Creek, Bartle-Freer and Stager Road.

The Bellenden-Ker range is a natural barrier between the two electorates and Should remain there. The preference of the people should be of the utmost Importance, No benefit would apply to these constituents should the Annexation go ahead.

Name

Your's Faithfully

[Signature]

19 Clyde Road
Balonne 4861. N.Q.
THE QUEENSLAND REDISTRIBUTION COMMISSIONER

Dear Commissioner,

This is to object to the proposed redistribution of

Bounderies where-as it refers to the part of Mulgrave now being considered for

Annexation to the Tableland Electorate.

The Mulgrave electorate has served us well and we have been happy with the

Bounderies as they stand. To split the township of Miriwinna thereby having

Residents in two different electorates will certainly not be an ideal situation.

The area will dispossess people living at Waugh’ Pocket, Woopen Creek,

Bartle–Frere and Stager Road.

The Bellenden-Ker range is a natural barrier between the two electorates and

Should remain there. The preference of the people should be of the utmost

Importance, No benefit would apply to these constituents should the

Annexation go ahead.

Your’s Faithfully

[Signature]

[Name]

[Address]

QRC|OBJ 486

THE QUEENSLAND REDISTRIBUTION COMMISSIONER

Dear Commissioner,

This is to object to the proposed redistribution of

Bounderies where-as it refers to the part of Mulgrave now being considered for

Annexation to the Tableland Electorate.

The Mulgrave electorate has served us well and we have been happy with the

Bounderies as they stand. To split the township of Miriwinna thereby having

Residents in two different electorates will certainly not be an ideal situation.

The area will dispossess people living at Waugh’ Pocket, Woopen Creek,

Bartle–Frere and Stager Road.

The Bellenden-Ker range is a natural barrier between the two electorates and

Should remain there. The preference of the people should be of the utmost

Importance, No benefit would apply to these constituents should the

Annexation go ahead.

Your’s Faithfully

[Signature]

[Name]

[Address]

QRC|OBJ 487

MIRIWINNI
THE QUEENSLAND REDISTRIBUTION COMMISSIONER

Dear Commissioner,

This is to object to the proposed redistribution of Boundaries where-as it refers to the part of Mulgrave now being considered for Annexation to the Tableland Electorate.

The Mulgrave electorate has served us well and we have been happy with the Boundaries as they stand. To split the township of Miriwinni thereby having Residents in two different electorates will certainly not be an ideal situation. The area will dispossess people living at Waugh’Pocket, Woopen Creek, Bartle-Frere and Stagers Road. The Bellenden-Ker range is a natural barrier between the two electorates and Should remain there. The preference of the people should be of the utmost Importance. No benefit would apply to these constituents should the Annexation go ahead.

name
address.

Your’s Faithfully

[Signature]

THE QUEENSLAND REDISTRIBUTION COMMISSIONER

Dear Commissioner,

This is to object to the proposed redistribution of Boundaries where-as it refers to the part of Mulgrave now being considered for Annexation to the Tableland Electorate.

The Mulgrave electorate has served us well and we have been happy with the Boundaries as they stand. To split the township of Miriwinni thereby having Residents in two different electorates will certainly not be an ideal situation. The area will dispossess people living at Waugh’Pocket, Woopen Creek, Bartle-Frere and Stagers Road. The Bellenden-Ker range is a natural barrier between the two electorates and Should remain there. The preference of the people should be of the utmost Importance. No benefit would apply to these constituents should the Annexation go ahead.

name
address.

Your’s Faithfully

[Signature]
THE QUEENSLAND REDISTRIBUTION COMMISSIONER

Dear Commissioner,

This is to object to the proposed redistribution of Boundaries where-as it refers to the part of Mulgrave now being considered for Annexation to the Tableland Electorate.

The Mulgrave electorate has served us well and we have been happy with the Boundaries as they stand. To split the township of Miriwinni thereby having Residents in two different electorates will certainly not be an ideal situation. The area will dispossess people living at Waugh's Pocket, Woopen Creek, Bartle-Frere and Stagers Road. The Bellenden-Ker range is a natural barrier between the two electorates and Should remain there. The preference of the people should be of the utmost Importance, No benefit would apply to these constituents should the Annexation go ahead.

Yours Faithfully

[Signature]

name

A. DESTRO

address.

11 WERNER ST

BABINDA 4861.
THE QUEENSLAND REDISTRIBUTION COMMISSIONER

Dear Commissioner,

This is to object to the proposed redistribution of Boundaries where-as it refers to the part of Mulgrave now being considered for Annexation to the Tableland Electorate.

The Mulgrave electorate has served us well and we have been happy with the Boundaries as they stand. To split the township of Miriwinni thereby having Residents in two different electorates will certainly not be an ideal situation. The area will dispossess people living at Waugh'Pocket, Woopen Creek, Bartle-Frere and Stager Road. The Bellenden-Ker range is a natural barrier between the two electorates and Should remain there. The preference of the people should be of the utmost Importance. No benefit would apply to these constituents should the Annexation go ahead.

name

Your's Faithfully

address.

A Conomos

QC / OBJ 493

THE QUEENSLAND REDISTRIBUTION COMMISSIONER

Dear Commissioner,

This is to object to the proposed redistribution of Boundaries where-as it refers to the part of Mulgrave now being considered for Annexation to the Tableland Electorate.

The Mulgrave electorate has served us well and we have been happy with the Boundaries as they stand. To split the township of Miriwinni thereby having Residents in two different electorates will certainly not be an ideal situation. The area will dispossess people living at Waugh'Pocket, Woopen Creek, Bartle-Frere and Stager Road. The Bellenden-Ker range is a natural barrier between the two electorates and Should remain there. The preference of the people should be of the utmost Importance. No benefit would apply to these constituents should the Annexation go ahead.

name

Your's Faithfully

address.

A Conomos

QC / OBJ 493
THE QUEENSLAND REDISTRIBUTION COMMISSIONER

Dear Commissioner,

This is to object to the proposed redistribution of Boundaries where-as it refers to the part of Mulgrave now being considered for Annexation to the Tableland Electorate.

The Mulgrave electorate has served us well and we have been happy with the Boundaries as they stand. To split the township of Miriwinna thereby having Residents in two different electorates will certainly not be an ideal situation. The area will dispossess people living at Waugh Pocket, Woopen Creek, Bartle-Freere and Stager Road. The Bellenden-Ker range is a natural barrier between the two electorates and Should remain there. The preference of the people should be of the utmost importance, No benefit would apply to these constituents should the Annexation go ahead.

Your’s Faithfully

name Loretta Lizzi
address 80 Munro St
Babinda.
THE QUEENSLAND REDISTRIBUTION COMMISSIONER

Dear Commissioner,

This is to object to the proposed redistribution of Boundaries, where-as it refers to the part of Mulgrave now being considered for Annexation to the Tableland Electorate.

The Mulgrave electorate has served us well and we have been happy with the Boundaries as they stand. To split the township of Miriwinini thereby having Residents in two different electorates will certainly not be an ideal situation. The area will dispossess people living at Waugh’Pocket, Woopen Creek, Bartle-Frere and Stager Road. The Bellenden-Ker range is a natural barrier between the two electorates and Should remain there. The preference of the people should be of the utmost Importance, No benefit would apply to these constituents should the Annexation go ahead.

Yours Faithfully

K. Martin
21 Moretto St.,
Babinpa, 4861

QRC 1055 496
THE QUEENSLAND REDISTRIBUTION COMMISSIONER

Dear Commissioner,

This is to object to the proposed redistribution of Boundaries where-as it refers to the part of Mulgrave now being considered for Annexation to the Tableland Electorate.

The Mulgrave electorate has served us well and we have been happy with the Boundaries as they stand. To split the township of Miriwinni thereby having Residents in two different electorates will certainly not be an ideal situation. The area will dispossess people living at Waugh Pocket, Woopen Creek, Bartle-Frere and Stager Road. The Bellenden-Ker range is a natural barrier between the two electorates and Should remain there. The preference of the people should be of the utmost Importance, No benefit would apply to these constituents should the Annexation go ahead.

Your’s Faithfully

name
George Tsakissiris
address.
P.O. Box 3-3
Babinda 4861.

THE QUEENSLAND REDISTRIBUTION COMMISSIONER

Dear Commissioner,

This is to object to the proposed redistribution of Boundaries where-as it refers to the part of Mulgrave now being considered for Annexation to the Tableland Electorate.

The Mulgrave electorate has served us well and we have been happy with the Boundaries as they stand. To split the township of Miriwinni thereby having Residents in two different electorates will certainly not be an ideal situation. The area will dispossess people living at Waugh Pocket, Woopen Creek, Bartle-Frere and Stager Road. The Bellenden-Ker range is a natural barrier between the two electorates and Should remain there. The preference of the people should be of the utmost Importance, No benefit would apply to these constituents should the Annexation go ahead.

Your’s Faithfully

name
Joan Tsakissiris
address.
P.O. Box 303
Babinda 4861.
THE QUEENSLAND REDISTRIBUTION COMMISSIONER

Dear Commissioner,

This is to object to the proposed redistribution of Boundaries where-as it refers to the part of Mulgrave now being considered for Annexation to the Tableland Electorate.

The Mulgrave electorate has served us well and we have been happy with the Boundaries as they stand. To split the township of Miriwinni thereby having Residents in two different electorates will certainly not be an ideal situation. The area will dispossess people living at Waugh Pocket, Woopen Creek, Bartle-Frere and Stagers Road. The Bellenden-Ker range is a natural barrier between the two electorates and Should remain there. The preference of the people should be of the utmost Importance. No benefit would apply to these constituents should the Annexation go ahead.

A.G. McMahon

53 MMRO ST
BABINDA

Your's Faithfully

[Signature]

THE QUEENSLAND REDISTRIBUTION COMMISSIONER

Dear Commissioner,

This is to object to the proposed redistribution of Boundaries where-as it refers to the part of Mulgrave now being considered for Annexation to the Table Land Electorate.

The Mulgrave electorate has served us well and we have been happy with the Boundaries as they stand. To split the township of Miriwinni thereby having Residents in two different electorates will certainly not be an ideal situation. The area will dispossess people living at Waugh Pocket, Woopen Creek, Bartle Frere and Stagers Road. The Bellenden-Ker range is a natural barrier between the two electorates and Should remain there. The preference of the people should be of the utmost Importance. No benefit would apply to these constituents should the Annexation go ahead.

[Signature]

name

address.

[Signature]

name

address.
To The Electoral Commission for Qld.,
P.O. Box 1393, E.C.G.
Brisbane, Qld 4001.

Dear Sir,

RE: THE MULGRAVE REDISTRIBUTION

I object to the proposed redistribution of part of Mulgrave into the electorate of the Tablelands. This is an extremely wet area with special needs and we feel the electorate of Mulgrave can serve us much better than that of the Tablelands. Conditions here have nothing in common with the Tablelands, and there is no local access from this part of Mulgrave to the Tablelands.

We would lose our major tourist attraction, and we feel that the extra funding necessary for the Josephine Falls projects would be in jeopardy should the it be lumped in with Tablelands projects. Also, with the new boundary many people who live in Mulgrave will have their farms in the Tablelands electorate.

This does not make sense nor does it appear to benefit the people of the electorate in any way.

Yours Faithfully,

L R COTTONE
(STAGER ROAD BASINDA)

---

To The Electoral Commission for Qld.,
P.O. Box 1393, E.C.G.
Brisbane, Qld 4001.

Dear Sir,

RE: THE MULGRAVE REDISTRIBUTION

I object to the proposed redistribution of part of Mulgrave into the electorate of the Tablelands. This is an extremely wet area with special needs and we feel the electorate of Mulgrave can serve us much better than that of the Tablelands. Conditions here have nothing in common with the Tablelands, and there is no local access from this part of Mulgrave to the Tablelands.

We would lose our major tourist attraction, and we feel that the extra funding necessary for the Josephine Falls projects would be in jeopardy should the it be lumped in with Tablelands projects. Also, with the new boundary many people who live in Mulgrave will have their farms in the Tablelands electorate.

This does not make sense nor does it appear to benefit the people of the electorate in any way.

Yours Faithfully,

A COTTONE
(STAGER ROAD BASINDA)
To The Electoral Commission for Qld.,
P.O. Box 1393,
Brisbane, Qld 4001.

Dear Sir,

RE: THE MULGRAVE REDISTRIBUTION

I object to the proposed redistribution of part of Mulgrave into
the electorate of the Tablelands. This is an extremely wet area
with special needs and we feel the electorate of Mulgrave can serve
us much better than that of the Tablelands.
Conditions here have nothing in common with the Tablelands, and
there is no local access from this part of Mulgrave to the
Tablelands.
We would lose our major tourist attraction, and we feel that the
extra funding necessary for the Josephine Falls projects would be
in jeopardy should it be lumped in with Tablelands projects.
Also, with the new boundary, many people who live in Mulgrave will
have their farms in the Tablelands electorate.
This does not make sense, nor does it appear to benefit the people
of the electorate in any way.

Yours Faithfully,

[Signature]

JAMES STAGER
STAGER ROAD
BABINDA.

To The Electoral Commission for Qld.
P.O. Box 1393,
Brisbane, Qld 4001.

Dear Sir,

RE: THE MULGRAVE REDISTRIBUTION

I object to the proposed redistribution of part of Mulgrave into
the electorate of the Tablelands. This is an extremely wet area
with special needs and we feel the electorate of Mulgrave can serve
us much better than that of the Tablelands.
Conditions here have nothing in common with the Tablelands, and
there is no local access from this part of Mulgrave to the
Tablelands.
We would lose our major tourist attraction, and we feel that the
extra funding necessary for the Josephine Falls projects would be
in jeopardy should it be lumped in with Tablelands projects.
Also, with the new boundary, many people who live in Mulgrave will
have their farms in the Tablelands electorate.
This does not make sense, nor does it appear to benefit the people
of the electorate in any way.

Yours Faithfully,

[Signature]

THOMAS STAGER
STAGER ROAD 39 SHORT ST
BABINDA.
To The Electoral Commission for Qld.,
P.O. Box 1393,
Brisbane, Qld 4001.

Dear Sir,

RE: THE MULGRAVE REDISTRIBUTION

I object to the proposed redistribution of part of Mulgrave into the electorate of the Tablelands. This is an extremely wet area with special needs and we feel the electorate of Mulgrave can serve us much better than that of the Tablelands. Conditions here have nothing in common with the Tablelands, and there is no local access from this part of Mulgrave to the Tablelands.

We would lose our major tourist attraction, and we feel that the extra funding necessary for the Josephine Falls projects would be in jeopardy should the it be lumped in with Tablelands projects. Also, with the new boundary many people who live in Mulgrave will have their farms in the Tablelands electorate.

This does not make sense nor does it appear to benefit the people of the electorate in any way.

Yours Faithfully,

P.S. Once again PEOPLE have not been considered. A very peculiar line has been drawn to cut off a small group of people from their community. This causes great difficulties upon them when accessing their State Representatives. It also groups them with others with whom they have little in common agriculturally and socially.

Deanna Stager
STAGER ROAD
BABINDA 4861

To The Electoral Commission for Qld.,
P.O. Box 1393,
Brisbane, Qld 4001.

Dear Sir,

RE: THE MULGRAVE REDISTRIBUTION

I object to the proposed redistribution of part of Mulgrave into the electorate of the Tablelands. This is an extremely wet area with special needs and we feel the electorate of Mulgrave can serve us much better than that of the Tablelands. Conditions here have nothing in common with the Tablelands, and there is no local access from this part of Mulgrave to the Tablelands.

We would lose our major tourist attraction, and we feel that the extra funding necessary for the Josephine Falls projects would be in jeopardy should the it be lumped in with Tablelands projects. Also, with the new boundary many people who live in Mulgrave will have their farms in the Tablelands electorate.

This does not make sense nor does it appear to benefit the people of the electorate in any way.

Yours Faithfully,

J. Bollano
P.O. Box 37
To The Electoral Commission for Qld.,
P.O. Box 1393,
Brisbane, Qld 4001.

Dear Sir,

RE: THE MULGRAVE REDISTRIBUTION

I object to the proposed redistribution of part of Mulgrave into the electorate of the Tablelands. This is an extremely wet area with special needs and we feel the electorate of Mulgrave can serve us much better than that of the Tablelands. Conditions here have nothing in common with the Tablelands, and there is no local access from this part of Mulgrave to the Tablelands.

We would lose our major tourist attraction, and we feel that the extra funding necessary for the Josephine Falls projects would be in jeopardy should the it be lumped in with Tablelands projects. Also, with the new boundary many people who live in Mulgrave will have their farms in the Tablelands electorate. This does not make sense nor does it appear to benefit the people of the electorate in any way.

Yours Faithfully,

J. E. Tuttle
Cl. P. O. Muriwai 4871.
To The Electoral Commission for Qld.,
P.O. Box 1393,
Brisbane, Qld. 4001.

Dear Sir,

RE: THE MULGRAVE REDISTRIBUTION

I object to the proposed redistribution of part of Mulgrave into the electorate of the Tablelands. This is an extremely wet area with special needs and we feel the electorate of Mulgrave can serve us much better than that of the Tablelands. Conditions here have nothing in common with the Tablelands, and there is no local access from this part of Mulgrave to the Tablelands.

We would lose our major tourist attraction, and we feel that the extra funding necessary for the Josephine Falls projects would be in jeopardy should the it be lumped in with Tablelands projects. Also, with the new boundary many people who live in Mulgrave will have their farms in the Tablelands electorate.

This does not make sense nor does it appear to benefit the people of the electorate in any way.

Yours Faithfully,

QRC | OBS 511

R. Millard
R. Millard

To The Electoral Commission for Qld.,
P.O. Box 1393,
Brisbane, Qld. 4001.

Dear Sir,

RE: THE MULGRAVE REDISTRIBUTION

I object to the proposed redistribution of part of Mulgrave into the electorate of the Tablelands. This is an extremely wet area with special needs and we feel the electorate of Mulgrave can serve us much better than that of the Tablelands. Conditions here have nothing in common with the Tablelands, and there is no local access from this part of Mulgrave to the Tablelands.

We would lose our major tourist attraction, and we feel that the extra funding necessary for the Josephine Falls projects would be in jeopardy should the it be lumped in with Tablelands projects. Also, with the new boundary many people who live in Mulgrave will have their farms in the Tablelands electorate.

This does not make sense nor does it appear to benefit the people of the electorate in any way.

Yours Faithfully,

QRC | OBS 511

R. Millard
R. Millard
To The Electoral Commission for Qld.,
P.O. Box 1393,
Brisbane, Qld 4001.

Dear Sir,

RE: THE MULGRAVE REDISTRIBUTION

I object to the proposed redistribution of part of Mulgrave into the electorate of the Tablelands. This is an extremely wet area with special needs and we feel the electorate of Mulgrave can serve us much better than that of the Tablelands. Conditions here have nothing in common with the Tablelands, and there is no local access from this part of Mulgrave to the Tablelands.

We would lose our major tourist attraction, and we feel that the extra funding necessary for the Josephine Falls projects would be in jeopardy should the it be lumped in with Tablelands projects. Also, with the new boundary many people who live in Mulgrave will have their farms in the Tablelands electorate.

This does not make sense nor does it appear to benefit the people of the electorate in any way.

Yours Faithfully,

Gwen Pollard
POLLARD FARMING CO. STAGE ROAD
BABINDA

To The Electoral Commission for Qld.,
P.O. Box 1393,
Brisbane, Qld 4001.

Dear Sir,

RE: THE MULGRAVE REDISTRIBUTION

I object to the proposed redistribution of part of Mulgrave into the electorate of the Tablelands. This is an extremely wet area with special needs and we feel the electorate of Mulgrave can serve us much better than that of the Tablelands. Conditions here have nothing in common with the Tablelands, and there is no local access from this part of Mulgrave to the Tablelands.

We would lose our major tourist attraction, and we feel that the extra funding necessary for the Josephine Falls projects would be in jeopardy should the it be lumped in with Tablelands projects. Also, with the new boundary many people who live in Mulgrave will have their farms in the Tablelands electorate.

This does not make sense nor does it appear to benefit the people of the electorate in any way.

Yours Faithfully,

Daryl Pollard
POLLARD FARMING CO. STAGE ROAD

RECEIVED
To The Electoral Commission for Qld.,
P.O. Box 1393,
Brisbane, Qld 4001.

Dear Sir,

RE: THE MULGRAVE REDISTRIBUTION

I object to the proposed redistribution of part of Mulgrave into the electorate of the Tablelands. This is an extremely wet area with special needs and we feel the electorate of Mulgrave can serve us much better than that of the Tablelands.
Conditions here have nothing in common with the Tablelands, and there is no local access from this part of Mulgrave to the Tablelands.
We would lose our major tourist attraction, and we feel that the extra funding necessary for the Josephine Falls projects would be in jeopardy should the it be lumped in with Tablelands projects.
Also, with the new boundary many people who live in Mulgrave will have their farms in the Tablelands electorate.
This does not make sense nor does it appear to benefit the people of the electorate in any way.

Yours Faithfully,

Robert Polly
Pollyard Farming Co
Stabler Rd
Babinda

To The Electoral Commission for Qld.,
P.O. Box 1393,
Brisbane, Qld 4001.

Dear Sir,

RE: THE MULGRAVE REDISTRIBUTION

I object to the proposed redistribution of part of Mulgrave into the electorate of the Tablelands. This is an extremely wet area with special needs and we feel the electorate of Mulgrave can serve us much better than that of the Tablelands.
Conditions here have nothing in common with the Tablelands, and there is no local access from this part of Mulgrave to the Tablelands.
We would lose our major tourist attraction, and we feel that the extra funding necessary for the Josephine Falls projects would be in jeopardy should the it be lumped in with Tablelands projects.
Also, with the new boundary many people who live in Mulgrave will have their farms in the Tablelands electorate.
This does not make sense nor does it appear to benefit the people of the electorate in any way.

Yours Faithfully,

Julia R. Mclellan
P.O. Box 124, Murrinfrac 4871
B/H.

P.O. Box 124, Murrinfrac 4871 0740676468
To The Electoral Commission for Qld.,
P.O. Box 1393,
Brisbane, Qld 4001.

Dear Sir,

RE: THE MULGRAVE REDISTRIBUTION

I object to the proposed redistribution of part of Mulgrave into the electorate of the Tablelands. This is an extremely wet area with special needs and we feel the electorate of Mulgrave can serve us much better than that of the Tablelands. Conditions here have nothing in common with the Tablelands, and there is no local access from this part of Mulgrave to the Tablelands.

We would lose our major tourist attraction, and we feel that the extra funding necessary for the Josephine Falls projects would be in jeopardy should the it be lumped in with Tablelands projects. Also, with the new boundary many people who live in Mulgrave will have their farms in the Tablelands electorate.

This does not make sense nor does it appear to benefit the people of the electorate in any way.

Yours Faithfully,

[Signature]

To The Electoral Commission for Qld.,
P.O. Box 1393,
Brisbane, Qld 4001.

Dear Sir,

RE: THE MULGRAVE REDISTRIBUTION

I object to the proposed redistribution of part of Mulgrave into the electorate of the Tablelands. This is an extremely wet area with special needs and we feel the electorate of Mulgrave can serve us much better than that of the Tablelands. Conditions here have nothing in common with the Tablelands, and there is no local access from this part of Mulgrave to the Tablelands.

We would lose our major tourist attraction, and we feel that the extra funding necessary for the Josephine Falls projects would be in jeopardy should the it be lumped in with Tablelands projects. Also, with the new boundary many people who live in Mulgrave will have their farms in the Tablelands electorate.

This does not make sense nor does it appear to benefit the people of the electorate in any way.

Yours Faithfully,

[Signature]
To The Electoral Commission for Qld.,
P.O. Box 1393,
Brisbane, Qld 4001.

Dear Sir,

RE: THE MULGRAVE REDISTRIBUTION

I object to the proposed redistribution of part of Mulgrave into
the electorate of the Tablelands. This is an extremely wet area
with special needs and we feel the electorate of Mulgrave can serve
us much better than that of the Tablelands.
Conditions here have nothing in common with the Tablelands, and
there is no local access from this part of Mulgrave to the
Tablelands.
We would lose our major tourist attraction, and we feel that the
extra funding necessary for the Josephine Falls projects would be
in jeopardy should the it be lumped in with Tablelands projects.
Also, with the new boundary many people who live in Mulgrave will
have their farms in the Tablelands electorate.
This does not make sense nor does it appear to benefit the people
of the electorate in any way.

Yours Faithfully,

[Signature]

To The Electoral Commission for Qld.,
P.O. Box 1393,
Brisbane, Qld 4001.

Dear Sir,

RE: THE MULGRAVE REDISTRIBUTION

I object to the proposed redistribution of part of Mulgrave into
the electorate of the Tablelands. This is an extremely wet area
with special needs and we feel the electorate of Mulgrave can serve
us much better than that of the Tablelands.
Conditions here have nothing in common with the Tablelands, and
there is no local access from this part of Mulgrave to the
Tablelands.
We would lose our major tourist attraction, and we feel that the
extra funding necessary for the Josephine Falls projects would be
in jeopardy should the it be lumped in with Tablelands projects.
Also, with the new boundary many people who live in Mulgrave will
have their farms in the Tablelands electorate.
This does not make sense nor does it appear to benefit the people
of the electorate in any way.

Yours Faithfully,

[Signature]
To The Electoral Commission for Qld.,
P.O. Box 1393,
Brisbane, Qld 4001.

Dear Sir,

RE: THE MULGRAVE REDISTRIBUTION

I object to the proposed redistribution of part of Mulgrave into the electorate of the Tablelands. This is an extremely wet area with special needs and we feel the electorate of Mulgrave can serve us much better than that of the Tablelands.
Conditions here have nothing in common with the Tablelands, and there is no local access from this part of Mulgrave to the Tablelands.

We would lose our major tourist attraction, and we feel that the extra funding necessary for the Josephine Falls projects would be in jeopardy should the it be lumped in with Tablelands projects. Also, with the new boundary many people who live in Mulgrave will have their farms in the Tablelands electorate.

This does not make sense nor does it appear to benefit the people of the electorate in any way.

Yours Faithfully,

[Signature]

13 KRIICKOW ST
MIRIWINNI QLA 4871

THE QUEENSLAND REDISTRIBUTION COMMISSIONER

E.C.Q.

10 MAY 1999

Dear Commissioner,

This is to object to the proposed redistribution of Boundaries where-as it refers to the part of Mulgrave now being considered for Annexation to the Table Land Electorate.

The Mulgrave electorate has served us well and we have been happy with the Boundaries as they stand. To split the township of Miriwinni thereby having Residents in two different electorates will certainly not be an ideal situation. The area will dispossess people living at Waugh's Pocket, Woopen Creek, Bartle-Frere and Stagers Road.
The Bellend-Ker range is a natural barrier between the two electorates and Should remain there. The preference of the people should be of the utmost Importance. No benefit would apply to these constituents should the Annexation go ahead.

Yours Faithfully

[Signature]

BRUCE DOBSON

QRC/065 520

QRC/065 521

Box 1
MIRIWINNI
Dear Commissioner,

This is to object to the proposed redistribution of Bounderies where-as it refers to the part of Mulgrave now being considered for Annexation to the Tableland Electorate.

The Mulgrave electorate has served us well and we have been happy with the Bounderies as they stand. To split the township of Miriwinni thereby having Residents in two different electorates will certainly not be an ideal situation. The area will dispossess people living at Waugh’s Pocket, Woopen Creek, Bartle-Frere and Stagers Road. The Bellenden-Ker range is a natural barrier between the two electorates and should remain there. The preference of the people should be of the utmost Importance, No benefit would apply to these constituents should the Annexation go ahead.

Your's Faithfully

[Signature]

DEBORAH MILLARD
BARTLE-FREERE R.D.
BARTLE-FREERE.

To The Electoral Commission for Qld.,
P.O. Box 1393,
Brisbane, Qld 4001.

Dear Sir,

RE: THE MULGRAVE REDISTRIBUTION

I object to the proposed redistribution of part of Mulgrave into the electorate of the Tablelands. This is an extremely wet area with special needs and we feel the electorate of Mulgrave can serve us much better than that of the Tablelands. Conditions here have nothing in common with the Tablelands, and there is no local access from this part of Mulgrave to the Tablelands.

We would lose our major tourist attraction, and we feel that the extra funding necessary for the Josephine Falls projects would be in jeopardy should the it be lumped in with Tablelands projects. Also, with the new boundary many people who live in Mulgrave will have their farms in the Tablelands electorate. This does not make sense nor does it appear to benefit the people of the electorate in any way.

Yours Faithfully,

[Signature]
To The Electoral Commission for Qld.,
P.O. Box 1393,
Brisbane, Qld 4001.

Dear Sir,

RE: THE MULGRAVE REDISTRIBUTION

I object to the proposed redistribution of part of Mulgrave into the electorate of the Tablelands. This is an extremely wet area with special needs and we feel the electorate of Mulgrave can serve us much better than that of the Tablelands. Conditions here have nothing in common with the Tablelands, and there is no local access from this part of Mulgrave to the Tablelands.

We would lose our major tourist attraction, and we feel that the extra funding necessary for the Josephine Falls projects would be in jeopardy should the it be lumped in with Tablelands projects. Also, with the new boundary many people who live in Mulgrave will have their farms in the Tablelands electorate.

This does not make sense nor does it appear to benefit the people of the electorate in any way.

Yours Faithfully,

A.C. Davies

---

To The Electoral Commission for Qld.,
P.O. Box 1393,
Brisbane, Qld 4001.

Dear Sir,

RE: THE MULGRAVE REDISTRIBUTION

I object to the proposed redistribution of part of Mulgrave into the electorate of the Tablelands. This is an extremely wet area with special needs and we feel the electorate of Mulgrave can serve us much better than that of the Tablelands. Conditions here have nothing in common with the Tablelands, and there is no local access from this part of Mulgrave to the Tablelands.

We would lose our major tourist attraction, and we feel that the extra funding necessary for the Josephine Falls projects would be in jeopardy should the it be lumped in with Tablelands projects. Also, with the new boundary many people who live in Mulgrave will have their farms in the Tablelands electorate.

This does not make sense nor does it appear to benefit the people of the electorate in any way.

Yours Faithfully,

R. Brown
To The Electoral Commission for Qld.,
P.O. Box 1393;...
Brisbane, Qld 4001.

Dear Sir,

RE: THE MULGRAVE REDISTRIBUTION

I object to the proposed redistribution of part of Mulgrave into the electorate of the Tablelands. This is an extremely wet area with special needs and we feel the electorate of Mulgrave can serve us much better than that of the Tablelands. Conditions here have nothing in common with the Tablelands, and there is no local access from this part of Mulgrave to the Tablelands.

We would lose our major tourist attraction, and we feel that the extra funding necessary for the Josephine Falls projects would be in jeopardy should the it be lumped in with Tablelands projects. Also, with the new boundary many people who live in Mulgrave will have their farms in the Tablelands electorate.

This does not make sense nor does it appear to benefit the people of the electorate in any way.

Yours Faithfully,

Judy Robinson

To The Electoral Commission for Qld.,
P.O. Box 1393,
Brisbane, Qld 4001.

Dear Sir,

RE: THE MULGRAVE REDISTRIBUTION

I object to the proposed redistribution of part of Mulgrave into the electorate of the Tablelands. This is an extremely wet area with special needs and we feel the electorate of Mulgrave can serve us much better than that of the Tablelands. Conditions here have nothing in common with the Tablelands, and there is no local access from this part of Mulgrave to the Tablelands.

We would lose our major tourist attraction, and we feel that the extra funding necessary for the Josephine Falls projects would be in jeopardy should the it be lumped in with Tablelands projects. Also, with the new boundary many people who live in Mulgrave will have their farms in the Tablelands electorate.

This does not make sense nor does it appear to benefit the people of the electorate in any way.

Yours Faithfully,

Rod Edwards
To The Electoral Commission for Qld.,
P.O. Box 1393,
Brisbane, Qld 4001.

Dear Sir,

RE: THE MULGRAVE REDISTRIBUTION

I object to the proposed redistribution of part of Mulgrave into the electorate of the Tablelands. This is an extremely wet area with special needs and we feel the electorate of Mulgrave can serve us much better than that of the Tablelands.

Conditions here have nothing in common with the Tablelands, and there is no local access from this part of Mulgrave to the Tablelands.

We would lose our major tourist attraction, and we feel that the extra funding necessary for the Josephine Falls projects would be in jeopardy should the it be lumped in with Tablelands projects. Also, with the new boundary many people who live in Mulgrave will have their farms in the Tablelands electorate.

This does not make sense nor does it appear to benefit the people of the electorate in any way.

Yours Faithfully, 

[Signature]

---

To The Electoral Commission for Qld.,
P.O. Box 1393,
Brisbane, Qld 4001.

Dear Sir,

RE: THE MULGRAVE REDISTRIBUTION

I object to the proposed redistribution of part of Mulgrave into the electorate of the Tablelands. This is an extremely wet area with special needs and we feel the electorate of Mulgrave can serve us much better than that of the Tablelands.

Conditions here have nothing in common with the Tablelands, and there is no local access from this part of Mulgrave to the Tablelands.

We would lose our major tourist attraction, and we feel that the extra funding necessary for the Josephine Falls projects would be in jeopardy should the it be lumped in with Tablelands projects. Also, with the new boundary many people who live in Mulgrave will have their farms in the Tablelands electorate.

This does not make sense nor does it appear to benefit the people of the electorate in any way.

Yours Faithfully,

[Signature]
To The Electoral Commission for Qld.,
P.O. Box 1393,
Brisbane, Qld 4001.

Dear Sir,

RE: THE MULGRAVE REDISTRIBUTION

I object to the proposed redistribution of part of Mulgrave into the electorate of the Tablelands. This is an extremely wet area with special needs and we feel the electorate of Mulgrave can serve us much better than that of the Tablelands. Conditions here have nothing in common with the Tablelands, and there is no local access from this part of Mulgrave to the Tablelands.

We would lose our major tourist attraction, and we feel that the extra funding necessary for the Josephine Falls projects would be in jeopardy should the it be lumped in with Tablelands projects. Also, with the new boundary many people who live in Mulgrave will have their farms in the Tablelands electorate. This does not make sense nor does it appear to benefit the people of the electorate in any way.

Yours Faithfully,
To The Electoral Commission for Qld.,
P.O. Box 1393,
Brisbane, Qld 4001.

Dear Sir,

RE: THE MULGRAVE REDISTRIBUTION

I object to the proposed redistribution of part of Mulgrave into
the electorate of the Tablelands. This is an extremely wet area
with special needs and we feel the electorate of Mulgrave can serve
us much better than that of the Tablelands.
Conditions here have nothing in common with the Tablelands, and
there is no local access from this part of Mulgrave to the
Tablelands.
We would lose our major tourist attraction, and we feel that the
extra funding necessary for the Josephine Falls projects would be
in jeopardy should they be lumped in with Tablelands projects.
Also, with the new boundary many people who live in Mulgrave will
have their farms in the Tablelands electorate.
This does not make sense nor does it appear to benefit the people
of the electorate in any way.

Yours Faithfully,

[Signature]

[Address]

To The Electoral Commission for Qld.,
P.O. Box 1393,
Brisbane, Qld 4001.

Dear Sir,

RE: THE MULGRAVE REDISTRIBUTION

I object to the proposed redistribution of part of Mulgrave into
the electorate of the Tablelands. This is an extremely wet area
with special needs and we feel the electorate of Mulgrave can serve
us much better than that of the Tablelands.
Conditions here have nothing in common with the Tablelands, and
there is no local access from this part of Mulgrave to the
Tablelands.
We would lose our major tourist attraction, and we feel that the
extra funding necessary for the Josephine Falls projects would be
in jeopardy should they be lumped in with Tablelands projects.
Also, with the new boundary many people who live in Mulgrave will
have their farms in the Tablelands electorate.
This does not make sense nor does it appear to benefit the people
of the electorate in any way.

Yours Faithfully,

[Signature]

[Address]
To The Electoral Commission for Qld.,
P.O. Box 1393,
Brisbane, Qld 4001.

Dear Sir,

RE: THE MULGRAVE REDISTRIBUTION

I object to the proposed redistribution of part of Mulgrave into the electorate of the Tablelands. This is an extremely wet area with special needs and we feel the electorate of Mulgrave can serve us much better than that of the Tablelands. Conditions here have nothing in common with the Tablelands, and there is no local access from this part of Mulgrave to the Tablelands.

We would lose our major tourist attraction, and we feel that the extra funding necessary for the Josephine Falls projects would be in jeopardy should the it be lumped in with Tablelands projects. Also, with the new boundary many people who live in Mulgrave will have their farms in the Tablelands electorate. This does not make sense nor does it appear to benefit the people of the electorate in any way.

Yours Faithfully,

Ross Babagallo
Brownton Beach

To The Electoral Commission for Qld.,
P.O. Box 1393,
Brisbane, Qld 4001.

Dear Sir,

RE: THE MULGRAVE REDISTRIBUTION

I object to the proposed redistribution of part of Mulgrave into the electorate of the Tablelands. This is an extremely wet area with special needs and we feel the electorate of Mulgrave can serve us much better than that of the Tablelands. Conditions here have nothing in common with the Tablelands, and there is no local access from this part of Mulgrave to the Tablelands.

We would lose our major tourist attraction, and we feel that the extra funding necessary for the Josephine Falls projects would be in jeopardy should the it be lumped in with Tablelands projects. Also, with the new boundary many people who live in Mulgrave will have their farms in the Tablelands electorate. This does not make sense nor does it appear to benefit the people of the electorate in any way.

Yours Faithfully,
To The Electoral Commission for Qld.,
P.O. Box 1393,
Brisbane, Qld 4001.

Dear Sir,

RE: THE MULGRAVE REDISTRIBUTION

I object to the proposed redistribution of part of Mulgrave into the electorate of the Tablelands. This is an extremely wet area with special needs and we feel the electorate of Mulgrave can serve us much better than that of the Tablelands. Conditions here have nothing in common with the Tablelands, and there is no local access from this part of Mulgrave to the Tablelands.

We would lose our major tourist attraction, and we feel that the extra funding necessary for the Josephine Falls projects would be in jeopardy should the it be lumped in with Tablelands projects. Also, with the new boundary many people who live in Mulgrave will have their farms in the Tablelands electorate. This does not make sense nor does it appear to benefit the people of the electorate in any way.

Yours Faithfully,

Danny Stuart

To The Electoral Commission for Qld.,
P.O. Box 1393,
Brisbane, Qld 4001.

Dear Sir,

RE: THE MULGRAVE REDISTRIBUTION

I object to the proposed redistribution of part of Mulgrave into the electorate of the Tablelands. This is an extremely wet area with special needs and we feel the electorate of Mulgrave can serve us much better than that of the Tablelands. Conditions here have nothing in common with the Tablelands, and there is no local access from this part of Mulgrave to the Tablelands.

We would lose our major tourist attraction, and we feel that the extra funding necessary for the Josephine Falls projects would be in jeopardy should the it be lumped in with Tablelands projects. Also, with the new boundary many people who live in Mulgrave will have their farms in the Tablelands electorate. This does not make sense nor does it appear to benefit the people of the electorate in any way.

Yours Faithfully,
To The Electoral Commission for Qld.,
P.O. Box 1393,
Brisbane, Qld 4001.

Dear Sir,

RE: THE MULGRAVE REDISTRIBUTION

I object to the proposed redistribution of part of Mulgrave into the electorate of the Tablelands. This is an extremely wet area with special needs and we feel the electorate of Mulgrave can serve us much better than that of the Tablelands. Conditions here have nothing in common with the Tablelands, and there is no local access from this part of Mulgrave to the Tablelands.

We would lose our major tourist attraction, and we feel that the extra funding necessary for the Josephine Falls projects would be in jeopardy should the it be lumped in with Tablelands projects. Also, with the new boundary many people who live in Mulgrave will have their farms in the Tablelands electorate.

This does not make sense nor does it appear to benefit the people of the electorate in any way.

Yours Faithfully,

[Signature]

To The Electoral Commission for Qld.,
P.O. Box 1393,
Brisbane, Qld 4001.

Dear Sir,

RE: THE MULGRAVE REDISTRIBUTION

I object to the proposed redistribution of part of Mulgrave into the electorate of the Tablelands. This is an extremely wet area with special needs and we feel the electorate of Mulgrave can serve us much better than that of the Tablelands. Conditions here have nothing in common with the Tablelands, and there is no local access from this part of Mulgrave to the Tablelands.

We would lose our major tourist attraction, and we feel that the extra funding necessary for the Josephine Falls projects would be in jeopardy should the it be lumped in with Tablelands projects. Also, with the new boundary many people who live in Mulgrave will have their farms in the Tablelands electorate.

This does not make sense nor does it appear to benefit the people of the electorate in any way.

Yours Faithfully,
To The Electoral Commission for Qld.,
P.O. Box 1393,
Brisbane, Qld 4001.

Dear Sir,

RE: THE MULGRAVE REDISTRIBUTION

I object to the proposed redistribution of part of Mulgrave into
the electorate of the Tablelands. This is an extremely wet area
with special needs and we feel the electorate of Mulgrave can serve
us much better than that of the Tablelands.
Conditions here have nothing in common with the Tablelands, and
there is no local access from this part of Mulgrave to the
Tablelands.
We would lose our major tourist attraction, and we feel that the
extra funding necessary for the Josephine Falls projects would be
in jeopardy should the it be lumped in with Tablelands projects.
Also, with the new boundary many people who live in Mulgrave will
have their farms in the Tablelands electorate.
This does not make sense nor does it appear to benefit the people
of the electorate in any way.

Yours Faithfully,

[Signature]

To The Electoral Commission for Qld.,
P.O. Box 1393,
Brisbane, Qld 4001.

Dear Sir,

RE: THE MULGRAVE REDISTRIBUTION

I object to the proposed redistribution of part of Mulgrave into
the electorate of the Tablelands. This is an extremely wet area
with special needs and we feel the electorate of Mulgrave can serve
us much better than that of the Tablelands.
Conditions here have nothing in common with the Tablelands, and
there is no local access from this part of Mulgrave to the
Tablelands.
We would lose our major tourist attraction, and we feel that the
extra funding necessary for the Josephine Falls projects would be
in jeopardy should the it be lumped in with Tablelands projects.
Also, with the new boundary many people who live in Mulgrave will
have their farms in the Tablelands electorate.
This does not make sense nor does it appear to benefit the people
of the electorate in any way.

Yours Faithfully,
To The Electoral Commission for Qld.,
P.O. Box 1393,
Brisbane, Qld 4001.

Dear Sir,

RE: THE MULGRAVE REDISTRIBUTION

I object to the proposed redistribution of part of Mulgrave into the electorate of the Tablelands. This is an extremely wet area with special needs and we feel the electorate of Mulgrave can serve us much better than that of the Tablelands. Conditions here have nothing in common with the Tablelands, and there is no local access from this part of Mulgrave to the Tablelands.

We would lose our major tourist attraction, and we feel that the extra funding necessary for the Josephine Falls projects would be in jeopardy should the it be lumped in with Tablelands projects. Also, with the new boundary many people who live in Mulgrave will have their farms in the Tablelands electorate.

This does not make sense nor does it appear to benefit the people of the electorate in any way.

Yours Faithfully,

[Signature]

THE QUEENSLAND REDISTRIBUTION COMMISSIONER

Dear Commissioner,

This is to object to the proposed redistribution of Boundaries where-as it refers to the part of Mulgrave now being considered for Annexation to the Table Land Electorate.

The Mulgrave electorate has served us well and we have been happy with the Boundaries as they stand. To split the township of Miriwinni thereby having

Residents in two different electorates will certainly not be an ideal situation. The area will dispossess people living at Waugh'Pocket, Woopen Creek, Bartle-Freer and Stagers Road.

The Bellend-Ker range is a natural barrier between the two electorates and Should remain there. The preference of the people should be of the utmost Importance. No benefit would apply to these constituents should the Annexation go ahead.

Your's Faithfully

[Signature]
THE QUEENSLAND REDISTRIBUTION COMMISSIONER

Dear Commissioner,

This is to object to the proposed redistribution of Bounderies where-as it refers to the part of Mulgrave now being considered for Annexation to the Table Land Electorate.

The Mulgrave electorate has served us well and we have been happy with the Bounderies as they stand. To split the township of Miriwinini thereby having Residents in two different electorates will certainly not be an ideal situation. The area will dispossess people living at Waugh Pocket, Woopen Creek, Bartle-Frere and Staggers Road. The Bellend-Ker range is a natural barrier between the two electorates and should remain there. The preference of the people should be of the utmost importance. No benefit would apply to these constituents should the Annexation go ahead.

Your's Faithfully

NAME

ADAM BUDGE
LOT 1 STAGGER RD
MIRIWININI

PH: 0419 649 281

To The Electoral Commission for Qld.,
P.O. Box 1393,
Brisbane, Qld 4001.

Dear Sir,

RE: THE MULGRAVE REDISTRIBUTION

I object to the proposed redistribution of part of Mulgrave into the electorate of the Tablelands. This is an extremely wet area with special needs and we feel the electorate of Mulgrave can serve us much better than that of the Tablelands. Conditions here have nothing in common with the Tablelands, and there is no local access from this part of Mulgrave to the Tablelands.

We would lose our major tourist attraction, and we feel that the extra funding necessary for the Josephine Falls projects would be in jeopardy should the it be lumped in with Tablelands projects. Also, with the new boundary many people who live in Mulgrave will have their farms in the Tablelands electorate.

This does not make sense nor does it appear to benefit the people of the electorate in any way.

Yours Faithfully,

R TAYLOR
PO BOX 177 MIRIWININI 4871
THE QUEENSLAND REDISTRIBUTION COMMISSIONER

Dear Commissioner,

This is to object to the proposed redistribution of Boundaries where-as it refers to the part of Mulgrave now being considered for Annexation to the Table Land Electorate.

The Mulgrave electorate has served us well and we have been happy with the Boundaries as they stand. To split the township of Mirriwinni thereby having Residents in two different electorates will certainly not be an ideal situation. The area will dispossess people living at Waugh`Pocket, Woppen Creek, Bartle -Frere and Stagers Road. The Bellend-Ker range is a natural barrier between the two electorates and Should remain there. The preference of the people should be of the utmost Importance. No benefit would apply to these constituents should the Annexation go ahead.

Your's Faithfully

JILL YEOMAN
LOT 1 STAGER RD
MIRRIWINNI

To The Electoral Commission for Qld.,
P.O. Box 1393,
Brisbane, Qld 4001.

Dear Sir,

RE: THE MULGRAVE REDISTRIBUTION

I object to the proposed redistribution of part of Mulgrave into the electorate of the Tablelands. This is an extremely wet area with special needs and we feel the electorate of Mulgrave can serve us much better than that of the Tablelands.
Conditions here have nothing in common with the Tablelands, and there is no local access from this part of Mulgrave to the Tablelands.
We would lose our major tourist attraction, and we feel that the extra funding necessary for the Josephine Falls projects would be in jeopardy should the it be lumped in with Tablelands projects. Also, with the new boundary many people who live in Mulgrave will have their farms in the Tablelands electorate.
This does not make sense nor does it appear to benefit the people of the electorate in any way.

Yours Faithfully,

K N WITHERS
12 KRUCKOW ST.
MIRRIWINNI
THE QUEENSLAND REDISTRIBUTION COMMISSIONER

Dear Commissioner,

This is to object to the proposed redistribution of Boundaries where-as it refers to the part of Mulgrave now being considered for Annexation to the Table Land Electorate.

The Mulgrave electorate has served us well and we have been happy with the Boundaries as they stand. To split the township of Miriwinmi thereby having Residents in two different electorates will certainly not be an ideal situation. The area will dispossess people living at Waugh’s Pocket, Woopen Creek, Bartle-Frere and Stages Road.
The Bellend-Ker range is a natural barrier between the two electorates and Should remain there. The preference of the people should be of the utmost Importance. No benefit would apply to these constituents should the Annexation go ahead.

Your’s Faithfully

name

CARRISSA MATTHEWS
P.O. Box 321
MIRIWINMI 4870

To The Electoral Commission for Qld.,
P.O. Box 1393,
Brisbane, Qld 4001.

Dear Sir,

RE: THE MULGRAVE REDISTRIBUTION

I object to the proposed redistribution of part of Mulgrave into the electorate of the Tablelands. This is an extremely wet area with special needs and we feel the electorate of Mulgrave can serve us much better than that of the Tablelands.
Conditions here have nothing in common with the Tablelands, and there is no local access from this part of Mulgrave to the Tablelands.
We would lose our major tourist attraction, and we feel that the extra funding necessary for the Josephine Falls projects would be in jeopardy should the it be lumped in with Tablelands projects. Also, with the new boundary many people who live in Mulgrave will have their farms in the Tablelands electorate.
This does not make sense nor does it appear to benefit the people of the electorate in any way.

Yours Faithfully,

name

N. WEBSTER
P.O. Box 59
MIRIWINMI 4870
THE QUEENSLAND REDISTRIBUTION COMMISSIONER

Dear Commissioner,

This is to object to the proposed redistribution of Boundaries where-as it refers to the part of Mulgrave now being considered for Annexation to the Table Land Electorate.

The Mulgrave electorate has served us well and we have been happy with the Boundaries as they stand. To split the township of Miriwinini thereby having Residents in two different electorates will certainly not be an ideal situation. The area will dispossess people living at Waugh\' pocket, Woopen Creek, Bartle-Fre and Stagers Road.

The Bellend-Ker range is a natural barrier between the two electorates and should remain there. The preference of the people should be of the utmost importance. No benefit would apply to these constituents should the Annexation go ahead.

Your's Faithfully

NAME: Rosa Ballarino
ADDRESS: PO Box 9, Miriwinini 4871

Bartle Frere Rd.
Miriwinini.

THE QUEENSLAND REDISTRIBUTION COMMISSIONER

Dear Commissioner,

This is to object to the proposed redistribution of Boundaries where-as it refers to the part of Mulgrave now being considered for Annexation to the Table Land Electorate.

The Mulgrave electorate has served us well and we have been happy with the Boundaries as they stand. To split the township of Miriwinini thereby having Residents in two different electorates will certainly not be an ideal situation. The area will dispossess people living at Waugh\'pocket, Woopen Creek, Bartle-Frere and Stagers Road.

The Bellend-Ker range is a natural barrier between the two electorates and should remain there. The preference of the people should be of the utmost importance. No benefit would apply to these constituents should the Annexation go ahead.

Your's Faithfully

NAME: Kellie \& Lorna Butler
ADDRESS: Greer Road, Miriwinini.
THE QUEENSLAND REDISTRIBUTION COMMISSIONER

Dear Commissioner,

This is to object to the proposed redistribution of Boundaries where-as it refers to the part of Mulgrave now being considered for Annexation to the Table Land Electorate.

The Mulgrave electorate has served us well and we have been happy with the Boundaries as they stand. To split the township of Miriwinni thereby having Residents in two different electorates will certainly not be an ideal situation. The area will dispossess people living at Waugh’ Pocket, Woopen Creek, Bartle-Frere and Stagers Road. The Bellend-Ker range is a natural barrier between the two electorates and Should remain there. The preference of the people should be of the utmost Importance, No benefit would apply to these constituents should the Annexation go ahead.

Your’s Faithfully

(name)

(address)

THE QUEENSLAND REDISTRIBUTION COMMISSIONER

Dear Commissioner,

This is to object to the proposed redistribution of Boundaries where-as it refers to the part of Mulgrave now being considered for Annexation to the Table Land Electorate.

The Mulgrave electorate has served us well and we have been happy with the Boundaries as they stand. To split the township of Miriwinni thereby having Residents in two different electorates will certainly not be an ideal situation. The area will dispossess people living at Waugh’ Pocket, Woopen Creek, Bartle-Frere and Stagers Road. The Bellend-Ker range is a natural barrier between the two electorates and Should remain there. The preference of the people should be of the utmost Importance, No benefit would apply to these constituents should the Annexation go ahead.

Your’s Faithfully

(name)

(address)
To The Electoral Commission for Qld.,
P.O. Box 1393,
Brisbane, Qld 4001.

Dear Sir,

RE: THE MULGRAVE REDISTRIBUTION

I object to the proposed redistribution of part of Mulgrave into the electorate of the Tablelands. This is an extremely wet area with special needs and we feel the electorate of Mulgrave can serve us much better than that of the Tablelands.

Conditions here have nothing in common with the Tablelands, and there is no local access from this part of Mulgrave to the Tablelands.

We would lose our major tourist attraction, and we feel that the extra funding necessary for the Josephine Falls projects would be in jeopardy should the it be lumped in with Tablelands projects. Also, with the new boundary many people who live in Mulgrave will have their farms in the Tablelands electorate.

This does not make sense nor does it appear to benefit the people of the electorate in any way.

Yours Faithfully,

[Signature]

To The Electoral Commission for Qld.,
P.O. Box 1393,
Brisbane, Qld 4001.

Dear Sir,

RE: THE MULGRAVE REDISTRIBUTION

I object to the proposed redistribution of part of Mulgrave into the electorate of the Tablelands. This is an extremely wet area with special needs and we feel the electorate of Mulgrave can serve us much better than that of the Tablelands.

Conditions here have nothing in common with the Tablelands, and there is no local access from this part of Mulgrave to the Tablelands.

We would lose our major tourist attraction, and we feel that the extra funding necessary for the Josephine Falls projects would be in jeopardy should the it be lumped in with Tablelands projects. Also, with the new boundary many people who live in Mulgrave will have their farms in the Tablelands electorate.

This does not make sense nor does it appear to benefit the people of the electorate in any way.

Yours Faithfully,
To The Electoral Commission for Qld.,
P.O. Box 1393,
Brisbane, Qld 4001.

Dear Sir,

RE: THE MULGRAVE REDISTRIBUTION

I object to the proposed redistribution of part of Mulgrave into the electorate of the Tablelands. This is an extremely wet area with special needs and we feel the electorate of Mulgrave can serve us much better than that of the Tablelands. Conditions here have nothing in common with the Tablelands, and there is no local access from this part of Mulgrave to the Tablelands.

We would lose our major tourist attraction, and we feel that the extra funding necessary for the Josephine Falls projects would be in jeopardy should the it be lumped in with Tablelands projects. Also, with the new boundary many people who live in Mulgrave will have their farms in the Tablelands electorate.

This does not make sense nor does it appear to benefit the people of the electorate in any way.

Yours Faithfully,

[Signature]

[Address]

THE QUEENSLAND REDISTRIBUTION COMMISSIONER

Dear Commissioner,

This is to object to the proposed redistribution of Boundaries where-as it refers to the part of Mulgrave now being considered for Annexation to the Table Land Electorate.

The Mulgrave electorate has served us well and we have been happy with the Boundaries as they stand. To split the township of Miriwinni thereby having

Residents in two different electorates will certainly not be an ideal situation. The area will dispossess people living at Waugh'Pocker, Woopen Creek, Bartle-Frere and Stagers Road. The Bellend-Ker range is a natural barrier between the two electorates and should remain there. The preference of the people should be of the utmost importance, no benefit would apply to these constituents should the Annexation go ahead.

Your's Faithfully

[Signature]

[Address]
THE QUEENSLAND REDISTRIBUTION COMMISSIONER

Dear Commissioner,

This is to object to the proposed redistribution of Boundaries where-as it refers to the part of Mulgrave now being considered for Annexation to the Table Land Electorate.

The Mulgrave electorate has served us well and we have been happy with the Boundaries as they stand. To split the township of Miriwinni thereby having Residents in two different electorates will certainly not be an ideal situation. The area will dispossess people living at Waugh' Pocket, Woopen Creek, Bartle-Frere and Stagers Road.

The Bellend-Ker range is a natural barrier between the two electorates and Should remain there. The preference of the people should be of the utmost Importance. No benefit would apply to these constituents should the Annexation go ahead.

Your's Faithfully

[Signature]

KINDA JAMES
PO BOX 194
MIRIWINNI 4871

THE QUEENSLAND REDISTRIBUTION COMMISSIONER

Dear Commissioner,

This is to object to the proposed redistribution of Boundaries where-as it refers to the part of Mulgrave now being considered for Annexation to the Table Land Electorate.

The Mulgrave electorate has served us well and we have been happy with the Boundaries as they stand. To split the township of Miriwinni thereby having Residents in two different electorates will certainly not be an ideal situation. The area will dispossess people living at Waugh’ Pocket, Woopen Creek, Bartle-Frere and Stagers Road.

The Bellend-Ker range is a natural barrier between the two electorates and Should remain there. The preference of the people should be of the utmost Importance. No benefit would apply to these constituents should the Annexation go ahead.

Your's Faithfully

[Signature]

[Name]
[Address]
THE QUEENSLAND REDISTRIBUTION COMMISSIONER

Dear Commissioner,

This is to object to the proposed redistribution of Boundaries where-as it refers to the part of Mulgrave now being considered for Annexation to the Table Land Electorate.

The Mulgrave electorate has served us well and we have been happy with the Boundaries as they stand. To split the township of Miriwinni thereby having Residents in two different electorates will certainly not be an ideal situation. The area will dispossess people living at Waugh's Pocket, Woopen Creek, Bartle-Frere and Stagers Road. The Bellend-Ker range is a natural barrier between the two electorates and Should remain there. The preference of the people should be of the utmost Importance, No benefit would apply to these constituents should the Annexation go ahead.

name

Your’s Faithfully

R.G. & A.G. YARDEY
HASKING ROAD
PAUNGILLY
VIA MIRIWINNI 84871

To The Electoral Commission for Qld.,
P.O. Box 1393,
Brisbane, Qld 4001.

Dear Sir,

RE: THE MULGRAVE REDISTRIBUTION

I object to the proposed redistribution of part of Mulgrave into the electorate of the Tablelands. This is an extremely wet area with special needs and we feel the electorate of Mulgrave can serve us much better than that of the Tablelands.

Conditions here have nothing in common with the Tablelands, and there is no local access from this part of Mulgrave to the Tablelands.

We would lose our major tourist attraction, and we feel that the extra funding necessary for the Josephine Falls projects would be in jeopardy should the it be lumped in with Tablelands projects. Also, with the new boundary many people who live in Mulgrave will have their farms in the Tablelands electorate. This does not make sense nor does it appear to benefit the people of the electorate in any way.

Yours Faithfully,

K. M. Kustin
in Kustin
To The Electoral Commission for Qld.,
P.O. Box 1393,
Brisbane, Qld 4001.

Dear Sir,

RE: THE MULGRAVE REDISTRIBUTION

I object to the proposed redistribution of part of Mulgrave into the electorate of the Tablelands. This is an extremely wet area with special needs and we feel the electorate of Mulgrave can serve us much better than that of the Tablelands.
Conditions here have nothing in common with the Tablelands, and there is no local access from this part of Mulgrave to the Tablelands.
We would lose our major tourist attraction, and we feel that the extra funding necessary for the Josephine Falls projects would be in jeopardy should it be lumped in with Tablelands projects.
Also, with the new boundary many people who live in Mulgrave will have their farms in the Tablelands electorate.
This does not make sense nor does it appear to benefit the people of the electorate in any way.

Yours Faithfully,

V.C. Byng
35 White St
Pinawa

---

To The Electoral Commission for Qld.,
P.O. Box 1393,
Brisbane, Qld 4001.

Dear Sir,

RE: THE MULGRAVE REDISTRIBUTION

I object to the proposed redistribution of part of Mulgrave into the electorate of the Tablelands. This is an extremely wet area with special needs and we feel the electorate of Mulgrave can serve us much better than that of the Tablelands.
Conditions here have nothing in common with the Tablelands, and there is no local access from this part of Mulgrave to the Tablelands.
We would lose our major tourist attraction, and we feel that the extra funding necessary for the Josephine Falls projects would be in jeopardy should it be lumped in with Tablelands projects.
Also, with the new boundary many people who live in Mulgrave will have their farms in the Tablelands electorate.
This does not make sense nor does it appear to benefit the people of the electorate in any way.

Yours Faithfully,
Dear Commissioner,

This is to object to the proposed redistribution of Boundaries where-as it refers to the part of Mulgrave now being considered for Annexation to the Table Land Electorate.

The Mulgrave electorate has served us well and we have been happy with the Boundaries as they stand. To split the township of Miriwinni thereby having Residents in two different electorates will certainly not be an ideal situation. The area will dispossess people living at Waugh Pocket, Woopen Creek, Bartle-Freere and Stagers Road. The Bellend-Ker range is a natural barrier between the two electorates and should remain there. The preference of the people should be of the utmost importance. No benefit would apply to these constituents should the Annexation go ahead.

May 3, 1999

[Signature]

To The Electoral Commission for Qld.,
P.O. Box 1393,
Brisbane, Qld 4001.

Dear Sir,

RE: THE MULGRAVE REDISTRIBUTION

I object to the proposed redistribution of part of Mulgrave into the electorate of the Tablelands. This is an extremely wet area with special needs and we feel the electorate of Mulgrave can serve us much better than that of the Tablelands.

Conditions here have nothing in common with the Tablelands, and there is no local access from this part of Mulgrave to the Tablelands.

We would lose our major tourist attraction, and we feel that the extra funding necessary for the Josephine Falls projects would be in jeopardy should the it be lumped in with Tablelands projects. Also, with the new boundary many people who live in Mulgrave will have their farms in the Tablelands electorate.

This does not make sense nor does it appear to benefit the people of the electorate in any way.

Yours Faithfully,

[Signature]
To The Electoral Commission for Qld.,
P.O. Box 1393,
Brisbane, Qld 4001.

Dear Sir,

RE: THE MULGRAVE REDISTRIBUTION

I object to the proposed redistribution of part of Mulgrave into the electorate of the Tablelands. This is an extremely wet area with special needs and we feel the electorate of Mulgrave can serve us much better than that of the Tablelands. Conditions here have nothing in common with the Tablelands, and there is no local access from this part of Mulgrave to the Tablelands.

We would lose our major tourist attraction, and we feel that the extra funding necessary for the Josephine Falls projects would be in jeopardy should the it be lumped in with Tablelands projects. Also, with the new boundary many people who live in Mulgrave will have their farms in the Tablelands electorate. This does not make sense nor does it appear to benefit the people of the electorate in any way.

Yours Faithfully,

[Signature]

To The Electoral Commission for Qld.,
P.O. Box 1393,
Brisbane, Qld 4001.

Dear Sir,

RE: THE MULGRAVE REDISTRIBUTION

I object to the proposed redistribution of part of Mulgrave into the electorate of the Tablelands. This is an extremely wet area with special needs and we feel the electorate of Mulgrave can serve us much better than that of the Tablelands. Conditions here have nothing in common with the Tablelands, and there is no local access from this part of Mulgrave to the Tablelands.

We would lose our major tourist attraction, and we feel that the extra funding necessary for the Josephine Falls projects would be in jeopardy should the it be lumped in with Tablelands projects. Also, with the new boundary many people who live in Mulgrave will have their farms in the Tablelands electorate. This does not make sense nor does it appear to benefit the people of the electorate in any way.

Yours Faithfully,

[Signature]
To The Electoral Commission for Qld.,
P.O. Box 1393,
Brisbane, Qld 4001.

Dear Sir,

RE: THE MULGRAVE REDISTRIBUTION

I object to the proposed redistribution of part of Mulgrave into the electorate of the Tablelands. This is an extremely wet area with special needs and we feel the electorate of Mulgrave can serve us much better than that of the Tablelands.
Conditions here have nothing in common with the Tablelands, and there is no local access from this part of Mulgrave to the Tablelands.

We would lose our major tourist attraction, and we feel that the extra funding necessary for the Josephine Falls projects would be in jeopardy should the it be lumped in with Tablelands projects. Also, with the new boundary many people who live in Mulgrave will have their farms in the Tablelands electorate.

This does not make sense nor does it appear to benefit the people of the electorate in any way.

Yours Faithfully,

Elspeth E Scott

40 Bruce Hwy
Miriwinni 4871.

THE QUEENSLAND REDISTRIBUTION COMMISSIONER

Dear Commissioner,

This is to object to the proposed redistribution of

Boundaries where-as it refers to the part of Mulgrave now being considered for

Annexation to the Table Land Electorate.

The Mulgrave electorate has served us well and we have been happy with the

Boundaries as they stand. To split the township of Miriwinne thereby having

Residents in two different electorates will certainly not be an ideal situation.
The area will dispossess people living at Waugh Pocket, Woopen Creek,
Bartle-Frere and Stagers Road.
The Bellend-Ker range is a natural barrier between the two electorates and
Should remain there. The preference of the people should be of the utmost
Importance. No benefit would apply to these constituents should the
Annexation go ahead.

Yours Faithfully

name

address.

Sam Pagalde

P.O. Box 48
Miriwinni 4871.
To The Electoral Commission for Qld.,
P.O. Box 1393,
Brisbane, Qld 4001.

Dear Sir,

RE: THE MULGRAVE REDISTRIBUTION

I object to the proposed redistribution of part of Mulgrave into the electorate of the Tablelands. This is an extremely wet area with special needs and we feel the electorate of Mulgrave can serve us much better than that of the Tablelands. Conditions here have nothing in common with the Tablelands, and there is no local access from this part of Mulgrave to the Tablelands.

We would lose our major tourist attraction, and we feel that the extra funding necessary for the Josephine Falls projects would be in jeopardy should the it be lumped in with Tablelands projects. Also, with the new boundary many people who live in Mulgrave will have their farms in the Tablelands electorate.

This does not make sense nor does it appear to benefit the people of the electorate in any way.

Yours Faithfully,

LUDZI TOGOROV

---

To The Electoral Commission for Qld.,
P.O. Box 1393,
Brisbane, Qld 4001.

Dear Sir,

RE: THE MULGRAVE REDISTRIBUTION

I object to the proposed redistribution of part of Mulgrave into the electorate of the Tablelands. This is an extremely wet area with special needs and we feel the electorate of Mulgrave can serve us much better than that of the Tablelands. Conditions here have nothing in common with the Tablelands, and there is no local access from this part of Mulgrave to the Tablelands.

We would lose our major tourist attraction, and we feel that the extra funding necessary for the Josephine Falls projects would be in jeopardy should the it be lumped in with Tablelands projects. Also, with the new boundary many people who live in Mulgrave will have their farms in the Tablelands electorate.

This does not make sense nor does it appear to benefit the people of the electorate in any way.

Yours Faithfully,

G.D. FOURRO

46 BRUCE HIGHWAY,
MIRRIWINNI.
THE QUEENSLAND REDISTRIBUTION COMMISSIONER

Dear Commissioner,

This is to object to the proposed redistribution of Bounderies where-as it refers to the part of Mulgrave now being considered for Annexation to the Table Land Electorate.

The Mulgrave electorate has served us well and we have been happy with the Bounderies as they stand. To split the township of Miriwinini thereby having Residents in two different electorates will certainly not be an ideal situation. The area will dispossess people living at Waugh’ Pocket, Woopen Creek, Bartle-Frere and Stagers Road.

The Bellend-Ker range is a natural barrier between the two electorates and Should remain there. The preference of the people should be of the utmost Importance, No benefit would apply to these constituents should the Annexation go ahead.

Your’s Faithfully,

[Signature]

To The Electoral Commission for Qld.,
P.O. Box 1393,
Brisbane, Qld 4001.

Dear Sir,

RE: THE MULGRAVE REDISTRIBUTION

I object to the proposed redistribution of part of Mulgrave into the electorate of the Tablelands. This is an extremely wet area with special needs and we feel the electorate of Mulgrave can serve us much better than that of the Tablelands. Conditions here have nothing in common with the Tablelands, and there is no local access from this part of Mulgrave to the Tablelands.

We would lose our major tourist attraction, and we feel that the extra funding necessary for the Josephine Falls projects would be in jeopardy should the be lumped in with Tablelands projects. Also, with the new boundary many people who live in Mulgrave will have their farms in the Tablelands electorate. This does not make sense nor does it appear to benefit the people of the electorate in any way.

Yours Faithfully,

[Signature]
THE QUEENSLAND REDISTRIBUTION COMMISSIONER

Dear Commissioner,

This is to object to the proposed redistribution of Boundaries where-as it refers to the part of Mulgrave now being considered for Annexation to the Table Land Electorate.

The Mulgrave electorate has served us well and we have been happy with the Boundaries as they stand. To split the township of Miriwinini thereby having Residents in two different electorates will certainly not be an ideal situation. The area will dispossess people living at Waugh's Pocket, Woopen Creek, Bartle-Frere and Stagers Road. The Bellend-Ker range is a natural barrier between the two electorates and should remain there. The preference of the people should be of the utmost importance. No benefit would apply to these constituents should the Annexation go ahead.

Yours Faithfully

Mrs. Williams
Woopen Creek Rd.
Miriwinini

To The Electoral Commission for Qld.,
P.O. Box 1393,
Brisbane, Qld 4001.

Dear Sir,

RE: THE MULGRAVE REDISTRIBUTION

I object to the proposed redistribution of part of Mulgrave into the electorate of the Tablelands. This is an extremely wet area with special needs and we feel the electorate of Mulgrave can serve us much better than that of the Tablelands. Conditions here have nothing in common with the Tablelands, and there is no local access from this part of Mulgrave to the Tablelands.

We would lose our major tourist attraction, and we feel that the extra funding necessary for the Josephine Falls projects would be in jeopardy should the it be lumped in with Tablelands projects. Also, with the new boundary many people who live in Mulgrave will have their farms in the Tablelands electorate. This does not make sense nor does it appear to benefit the people of the electorate in any way.

Yours Faithfully,

Desley Maltaseni

LOT 192
WOOPEN CREEK RD.
VIA MIRIWIRRI.

E.C.Q. 009689
To The Electoral Commission for Qld.,
P.O. Box 1393,
Brisbane, Qld 4001. QRC/OBJ 576

Dear Sir,

RE: THE MULGRAVE REDISTRIBUTION

I object to the proposed redistribution of part of Mulgrave into
the electorate of the Tablelands. This is an extremely wet area
with special needs and we feel the electorate of Mulgrave can serve
us much better than that of the Tablelands.
Conditions here have nothing in common with the Tablelands, and
there is no local access from this part of Mulgrave to the
Tablelands.

We would lose our major tourist attraction, and we feel that the
extra funding necessary for the Josephine Falls projects would be
in jeopardy should the it be lumped in with Tablelands projects.
Also, with the new boundary many people who live in Mulgrave will
have their farms in the Tablelands electorate.

This does not make sense nor does it appear to benefit the people
of the electorate in any way.

Yours Faithfully,

R.C. Turner

THE QUEENSLAND REDISTRIBUTION COMMISSIONER

Dear Commissioner,

This is to object to the proposed redistribution of

Boundaries where-as it refers to the part of Mulgrave now being considered for

Annexation to the Tableland Electorate.

The Mulgrave electorate has served us well and we have been happy with the

Boundaries as they stand. To split the township of Miriwinni thereby having

Residents in two different electorates will certainly not be an ideal situation.
The area will dispossess people living at Waugh’Pocket, Woopen Creek,

Bartle-Frere and Stagers Road.
The Bellenden-Ker range is a natural barrier between the two electorates and
Should remain there. The preference of the people should be of the utmost
Importance, No benefit would apply to these constituents should the
Annexation go ahead.

Your’s Faithfully

[Signature]

name

address.

B.B. Spatare

[Address]

Miriwinni
To The Electoral Commission for Qld.,
P.O. Box 1393,
Brisbane, Qld 4001.

Dear Sir,

RE: THE MULGRAVE REDISTRIBUTION

I object to the proposed redistribution of part of Mulgrave into the electorate of the Tablelands. This is an extremely wet area with special needs and we feel the electorate of Mulgrave can serve us much better than that of the Tablelands. Conditions here have nothing in common with the Tablelands, and there is no local access from this part of Mulgrave to the Tablelands.

We would lose our major tourist attraction, and we feel that the extra funding necessary for the Josephine Falls projects would be in jeopardy should the it be lumped in with Tablelands projects. Also, with the new boundary many people who live in Mulgrave will have their farms in the Tablelands electorate. This does not make sense nor does it appear to benefit the people of the electorate in any way.

Yours Faithfully,

[Signature]

QRC|OB5 578

---

To The Electoral Commission for Qld.,
P.O. Box 1393,
Brisbane, Qld 4001.

Dear Sir,

RE: THE MULGRAVE REDISTRIBUTION

I object to the proposed redistribution of part of Mulgrave into the electorate of the Tablelands. This is an extremely wet area with special needs and we feel the electorate of Mulgrave can serve us much better than that of the Tablelands.

Conditions here have nothing in common with the Tablelands, and there is no local access from this part of Mulgrave to the Tablelands.

We would lose our major tourist attraction, and we feel that the extra funding necessary for the Josephine Falls projects would be in jeopardy should the it be lumped in with Tablelands projects. Also, with the new boundary many people who live in Mulgrave will have their farms in the Tablelands electorate. This does not make sense nor does it appear to benefit the people of the electorate in any way.

Yours Faithfully,

[Signature]

QRC|OB5 579
To The Electoral Commission for Qld.,
P.O. Box 1393,
Brisbane, Qld 4001.

Dear Sir,

RE: THE MULGRAVE REDISTRIBUTION

I object to the proposed redistribution of part of Mulgrave into the electorate of the Tablelands. This is an extremely wet area with special needs and we feel the electorate of Mulgrave can serve us much better than that of the Tablelands. Conditions here have nothing in common with the Tablelands, and there is no local access from this part of Mulgrave to the Tablelands.

We would lose our major tourist attraction, and we feel that the extra funding necessary for the Josephine Falls projects would be in jeopardy should the it be lumped in with Tablelands projects. Also, with the new boundary many people who live in Mulgrave will have their farms in the Tablelands electorate. This does not make sense nor does it appear to benefit the people of the electorate in any way.

Yours Faithfully, 

[Signature]

To The Electoral Commission for Qld.,
P.O. Box 1393,
Brisbane, Qld 4001.

Dear Sir,

RE: THE MULGRAVE REDISTRIBUTION

I object to the proposed redistribution of part of Mulgrave into the electorate of the Tablelands. This is an extremely wet area with special needs and we feel the electorate of Mulgrave can serve us much better than that of the Tablelands. Conditions here have nothing in common with the Tablelands, and there is no local access from this part of Mulgrave to the Tablelands.

We would lose our major tourist attraction, and we feel that the extra funding necessary for the Josephine Falls projects would be in jeopardy should the it be lumped in with Tablelands projects. Also, with the new boundary many people who live in Mulgrave will have their farms in the Tablelands electorate. This does not make sense nor does it appear to benefit the people of the electorate in any way.

Yours Faithfully, 

[Signature]
To The Electoral Commission for Qld.,
P.O. Box 1393,
Brisbane, Qld 4001.

Dear Sir,

RE: THE MULGRAVE REDISTRIBUTION

I object to the proposed redistribution of part of Mulgrave into the electorate of the Tablelands. This is an extremely wet area with special needs and we feel the electorate of Mulgrave can serve us much better than that of the Tablelands. Conditions here have nothing in common with the Tablelands, and there is no local access from this part of Mulgrave to the Tablelands.

We would lose our major tourist attraction, and we feel that the extra funding necessary for the Josephine Falls projects would be in jeopardy should the it be lumped in with Tablelands projects. Also, with the new boundary many people who live in Mulgrave will have their farms in the Tablelands electorate.

This does not make sense nor does it appear to benefit the people of the electorate in any way.

Yours Faithfully,

[Signature]

To The Electoral Commission for Qld.,
P.O. Box 1393,
Brisbane, Qld 4001.

Dear Sir,

RE: THE MULGRAVE REDISTRIBUTION

I object to the proposed redistribution of part of Mulgrave into the electorate of the Tablelands. This is an extremely wet area with special needs and we feel the electorate of Mulgrave can serve us much better than that of the Tablelands. Conditions here have nothing in common with the Tablelands, and there is no local access from this part of Mulgrave to the Tablelands.

We would lose our major tourist attraction, and we feel that the extra funding necessary for the Josephine Falls projects would be in jeopardy should the it be lumped in with Tablelands projects. Also, with the new boundary many people who live in Mulgrave will have their farms in the Tablelands electorate.

This does not make sense nor does it appear to benefit the people of the electorate in any way.

Yours Faithfully,

[Signature]
To The Electoral Commission for Qld.,
P.O. Box 1393,
Brisbane, Qld 4001.

Dear Sir,

RE: THE MULGRAVE REDISTRIBUTION

I object to the proposed redistribution of part of Mulgrave into the electorate of the Tablelands. This is an extremely wet area with special needs and we feel the electorate of Mulgrave can serve us much better than that of the Tablelands.

Conditions here have nothing in common with the Tablelands, and there is no local access from this part of Mulgrave to the Tablelands.

We would lose our major tourist attraction, and we feel that the extra funding necessary for the Josephine Falls projects would be in jeopardy should the it be lumped in with Tablelands projects. Also, with the new boundary many people who live in Mulgrave will have their farms in the Tablelands electorate.

This does not make sense nor does it appear to benefit the people of the electorate in any way.

Yours Faithfully,

Patricia Andrews
P O Box 202
MIRIWINI

Bruce Henry

To The Electoral Commission for Qld.,
P.O. Box 1393,
Brisbane, Qld 4001.

Dear Sir,

RE: THE MULGRAVE REDISTRIBUTION

I object to the proposed redistribution of part of Mulgrave into the electorate of the Tablelands. This is an extremely wet area with special needs and we feel the electorate of Mulgrave can serve us much better than that of the Tablelands.

Conditions here have nothing in common with the Tablelands, and there is no local access from this part of Mulgrave to the Tablelands.

We would lose our major tourist attraction, and we feel that the extra funding necessary for the Josephine Falls projects would be in jeopardy should the it be lumped in with Tablelands projects. Also, with the new boundary many people who live in Mulgrave will have their farms in the Tablelands electorate.

This does not make sense nor does it appear to benefit the people of the electorate in any way.

Yours Faithfully,

Paul Scanlon
81 Whitman St
MIRIWINI
To The Electoral Commission for Qld.,
P.O. Box 1393,
Brisbane, Qld 4001.

Dear Sir,

RE: THE MULGRAVE REDISTRIBUTION

I object to the proposed redistribution of part of Mulgrave into the electorate of the Tablelands. This is an extremely wet area with special needs and we feel the electorate of Mulgrave can serve us much better than that of the Tablelands. Conditions here have nothing in common with the Tablelands, and there is no local access from this part of Mulgrave to the Tablelands. We would lose our major tourist attraction, and we feel that the extra funding necessary for the Josephine Falls projects would be in jeopardy should the it be lumped in with Tablelands projects. Also, with the new boundary many people who live in Mulgrave will have their farms in the Tablelands electorate. This does not make sense nor does it appear to benefit the people of the electorate in any way.

Yours Faithfully,

[Signature]

Annette Sganzerla
81 Whitman St
MIRIWINNA. Q. 4871

To The Electoral Commission for Qld.,
P.O. Box 1393,
Brisbane, Qld 4001.

Dear Sir,

RE: THE MULGRAVE REDISTRIBUTION

I object to the proposed redistribution of part of Mulgrave into the electorate of the Tablelands. This is an extremely wet area with special needs and we feel the electorate of Mulgrave can serve us much better than that of the Tablelands. Conditions here have nothing in common with the Tablelands, and there is no local access from this part of Mulgrave to the Tablelands. We would lose our major tourist attraction, and we feel that the extra funding necessary for the Josephine Falls projects would be in jeopardy should the it be lumped in with Tablelands projects. Also, with the new boundary many people who live in Mulgrave will have their farms in the Tablelands electorate. This does not make sense nor does it appear to benefit the people of the electorate in any way.

Yours Faithfully,

[Signature]
To The Electoral Commission for Qld.,
P.O. Box 1393,
Brisbane, Qld 4001.

Dear Sir,

RE: THE MULGRAVE REDISTRIBUTION

I object to the proposed redistribution of part of Mulgrave into the electorate of the Tablelands. This is an extremely wet area with special needs and we feel the electorate of Mulgrave can serve us much better than that of the Tablelands. Conditions here have nothing in common with the Tablelands, and there is no local access from this part of Mulgrave to the Tablelands.

We would lose our major tourist attraction, and we feel that the extra funding necessary for the Josephine Falls projects would be in jeopardy should the it be lumped in with Tablelands projects. Also, with the new boundary many people who live in Mulgrave will have their farms in the Tablelands electorate.

This does not make sense nor does it appear to benefit the people of the electorate in any way.

Yours Faithfully,

[Signature]

QRC | OBJ 588

To The Electoral Commission for Qld.,
P.O. Box 1393,
Brisbane, Qld 4001.

Dear Sir,

RE: THE MULGRAVE REDISTRIBUTION

I object to the proposed redistribution of part of Mulgrave into the electorate of the Tablelands. This is an extremely wet area with special needs and we feel the electorate of Mulgrave can serve us much better than that of the Tablelands. Conditions here have nothing in common with the Tablelands, and there is no local access from this part of Mulgrave to the Tablelands.

We would lose our major tourist attraction, and we feel that the extra funding necessary for the Josephine Falls projects would be in jeopardy should the it be lumped in with Tablelands projects. Also, with the new boundary many people who live in Mulgrave will have their farms in the Tablelands electorate.

This does not make sense nor does it appear to benefit the people of the electorate in any way.

Yours Faithfully,

[Signature]

QRC | OBJ 589
To The Electoral Commission for Qld.,
P.O. Box 1393,
Brisbane, Qld 4001.  QRC/JOBJ 590

19 MAY 1999

Dear Sir,

RE: THE MULGRAVE REDISTRIBUTION

I object to the proposed redistribution of part of Mulgrave into the electorate of the Tablelands. This is an extremely wet area with special needs and we feel the electorate of Mulgrave can serve us much better than that of the Tablelands. Conditions here have nothing in common with the Tablelands, and there is no local access from this part of Mulgrave to the Tablelands.

We would lose our major tourist attraction, and we feel that the extra funding necessary for the Josephine Falls projects would be in jeopardy should the it be lumped in with Tablelands projects. Also, with the new boundary many people who live in Mulgrave will have their farms in the Tablelands electorate. This does not make sense nor does it appear to benefit the people of the electorate in any way.

Yours Faithfully,

R. DeAndrea

14 Kruckow Street
P.O. Box 76
Miriwinni Q 4871

THE QUEENSLAND REDISTRIBUTION COMMISSIONER

Dear Commissioner,

This is to object to the proposed redistribution of Boundaries where-as it refers to the part of Mulgrave now being considered for Annexation to the Table Land Electorate.

The Mulgrave electorate has served us well and we have been happy with the Boundaries as they stand. To split the township of Miriwinni thereby having Residents in two different electorates will certainly not be an ideal situation. The area will dispossess people living at Waugh Pocket, Woopen Creek, Bartle-Frere and Stagers Road. The Bellend-Ker range is a natural barrier between the two electorates and should remain there. The preference of the people should be of the utmost importance. No benefit would apply to these constituents should the Annexation go ahead.

Yours Faithfully,

Ron Stavick

Lot 3 Stavick Road
Cairns Q. 4871

009708
To The Electoral Commission for Qld.,
P.O. Box 1393,
Brisbane, Qld 4001.

Dear Sir,

RE: THE MULGRAVE REDISTRIBUTION

I object to the proposed redistribution of part of Mulgrave into the electorate of the Tablelands. This is an extremely wet area with special needs and we feel the electorate of Mulgrave can serve us much better than that of the Tablelands. Conditions here have nothing in common with the Tablelands, and there is no local access from this part of Mulgrave to the Tablelands.

We would lose our major tourist attraction, and we feel that the extra funding necessary for the Josephine Falls projects would be in jeopardy should the it be lumped in with Tablelands projects. Also, with the new boundary many people who live in Mulgrave will have their farms in the Tablelands electorate.

This does not make sense nor does it appear to benefit the people of the electorate in any way.

Yours Faithfully,

Carolyn Harris

10 May 1999

To The Electoral Commission for Qld.,
P.O. Box 1393,
Brisbane, Qld 4001.

Dear Sir,

RE: THE MULGRAVE REDISTRIBUTION

I object to the proposed redistribution of part of Mulgrave into the electorate of the Tablelands. This is an extremely wet area with special needs and we feel the electorate of Mulgrave can serve us much better than that of the Tablelands. Conditions here have nothing in common with the Tablelands, and there is no local access from this part of Mulgrave to the Tablelands.

We would lose our major tourist attraction, and we feel that the extra funding necessary for the Josephine Falls projects would be in jeopardy should the it be lumped in with Tablelands projects. Also, with the new boundary many people who live in Mulgrave will have their farms in the Tablelands electorate.

This does not make sense nor does it appear to benefit the people of the electorate in any way.

Yours Faithfully,

Sybil Gould

10 May 1999
Dear Commissioner,

This is to object to the proposed redistribution of Boundaries where-as it refers to the part of Mulgrave now being considered for Annexation to the Table Land Electorate.

The Mulgrave electorate has served us well and we have been happy with the Boundaries as they stand. To split the township of Miriwinni thereby having Residents in two different electorates will certainly not be an ideal situation. The area will dispossess people living at Waugh/Pocket, Woopen Creek, Bartle-Frere and Stagers Road.

The Bellend-Ker range is a natural barrier between the two electorates and should remain there. The preference of the people should be of the utmost importance. No benefit would apply to these constituents should the Annexation go ahead.

Name: R.S. Finney
Address: P.O. Box 57
Miriwinni Q 4871

10 May 1999

THE QUEENSLAND REDISTRIBUTION COMMISSIONER

Dear Commissioner,

This is to object to the proposed redistribution of Boundaries where-as it refers to the part of Mulgrave now being considered for Annexation to the Table Land Electorate.

The Mulgrave electorate has served us well and we have been happy with the Boundaries as they stand. To split the township of Miriwinni thereby having Residents in two different electorates will certainly not be an ideal situation. The area will dispossess people living at Waugh/Pocket, Woopen Creek, Bartle-Frere and Stagers Road.

The Bellend-Ker range is a natural barrier between the two electorates and should remain there. The preference of the people should be of the utmost importance. No benefit would apply to these constituents should the Annexation go ahead.

Name: Jette Stone
Address: Lot 3, Stager Rd - P.O. Box 3
Babinda Q 4861

10 May 1999
To The Electoral Commission for Qld.,
P.O. Box 1393,  
Brisbane, Qld 4001.  

Dear Sir,

RE: THE MULGRAVE REDISTRIBUTION

I object to the proposed redistribution of part of Mulgrave into the electorate of the Tablelands. This is an extremely wet area with special needs and we feel the electorate of Mulgrave can serve us much better than that of the Tablelands. Conditions here have nothing in common with the Tablelands, and there is no local access from this part of Mulgrave to the Tablelands.

We would lose our major tourist attraction, and we feel that the extra funding necessary for the Josephine Falls projects would be in jeopardy should the it be lumped in with Tablelands projects. Also, with the new boundary many people who live in Mulgrave will have their farms in the Tablelands electorate.

This does not make sense nor does it appear to benefit the people of the electorate in any way.

Yours Faithfully,

[Handwritten notes:]
- Geographically, this redistribution is isolate from the rest of the proposed electorate. Access, member is made difficult to become a rural dump.
- Will alienate people in a community sense. Does not advance a sense of community. Much needed is today world.
- Access to local member difficult.
- Divides community in plethora of ways - economic advancement via tourism planning - lobbying for change in any area.

[Handwritten signature]
THE QUEENSLAND REDISTRIBUTION COMMISSIONER

Dear Commissioner,

This is to object to the proposed redistribution of Boundaries where-as it refers to the part of Mulgrave now being considered for Annexation to the Table Land Electorate.

The Mulgrave electorate has served us well and we have been happy with the Boundaries as they stand. To split the township of Miriwinin thereby having Residents in two different electorates will certainly not be an ideal situation. The area will dispossess people living at Waugh Pocket, Woopen Creek, Bartle-Frere and Staggers Road.

The Bellend-Ker range is a natural barrier between the two electorates and Should remain there. The preference of the people should be of the utmost Importance, No benefit would apply to these constituents should the Annexation go ahead.

Your’s Faithfully

name address

P.O. Box 300 HOWARD KENNEDY DRIVE
BABINIDA BABINIDA

10 MAY 1999
THE QUEENSLAND REDISTRIBUTION COMMISSIONER

Dear Commissioner,

This is to object to the proposed redistribution of

Boundaries where-as it refers to the part of Mulgrave now being considered for

Annexation to the Table Land Electorate.

The Mulgrave electorate has served us well and we have been happy with the

Boundaries as they stand. To split the township of Miriwinna thereby having

Residents in two different electorates will certainly not be an ideal situation.
The area will dispossess people living at Waugh Pocket, Woopen Creek,
Bartle-Frere and Stagers Road.
The Bellend-Ker range is a natural barrier between the two electorates and
Should remain there. The preference of the people should be of the utmost
Importance, No benefit would apply to these constituents should the
Annexation go ahead.

Your’s Faithfully

name

address

HEATHER LLOYD
Box 300
HOWARD KENNEDY DRIVE
ROBINSON QSL.

S M Y 1 9 3 9

QRC OBJ 598

RECEIVED
THE QUEENSLAND REDISTRIBUTION COMMISSIONER

Dear Commissioner,

This is to object to the proposed redistribution of Boundaries where-as it refers to the part of Mulgrave now being considered for Annexation to the Table Land Electorate.

The Mulgrave electorate has served us well and we have been happy with the Boundaries as they stand. To split the township of Miriwinni thereby having Residents in two different electorates will certainly not be an ideal situation. The area will dispossess people living at Waugh'Pocket, Woopen Creek, Bartle-Frere and Stagers Road. The Bellend-Ker range is a natural barrier between the two electorates and Should remain there. The preference of the people should be of the utmost Importance. No benefit would apply to these constituents should the Annexation go ahead.

Graham Lloyd
P.O. Box 300 Howard Kennedy Drive Babinda Babinda

Name
Address

Your's Faithfully

19 MAY 1999

QRC | 085 599
Dear Commissioner,

This is to object to the proposed redistribution of Boundaries where-as it refers to the part of Mulgrave now being considered for Annexation to the Table Land Electorate.

The Mulgrave electorate has served us well and we have been happy with the Boundaries as they stand. To split the township of Miriwinini thereby having Residents in two different electorates will certainly not be an ideal situation. The area will dispossess people living at Waugh's Pocket, Woopen Creek, Bartle-Freer and Stagers Road.

The Bellend-Ker range is a natural barrier between the two electorates and should remain there. The preference of the people should be of the utmost importance, no benefit would apply to these constituents should the Annexation go ahead.

Your's Faithfully

[Signature]

name

address

P.O. Box 300
BASINDA

KEVIN LLOYD
HONARY KENNELEY, DRIVE
BASINDA

10 MAY 1999

QRC O73 600
Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.

Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely

[Signature]

1131 Oxley Road, Oxley QLD 4075
To the Queensland Redistribution Commissioner

Dear Commissioner,

This is to object to the proposed redistribution of boundaries relating to the Mulgrave and Tablelands electorates.

As a resident of Stager Road in the Babinda district I strongly object to now being considered to be in the Tablelands electorate.

The Bellenden Ker range is a natural barrier between the two electorates. The proposed new boundaries would dispossess the electors in the Miriwnni area, Waugh Pocket, Woopen Creek, Bartle Frere and Stager Road.

Preference of the people should be of the utmost important. Considering there is a mountain range between the two electorates, no benefit would apply to the constituents on the Eastern side of this range. We would be the forgotten electors of Tablelands.

Please reconsider this proposed amendment to the existing Mulgrave and Tablelands electorates.

Yours faithfully,

[Nam Jago]

Kay Jago

Stager Road

Babinda 4861

10 May 1999
To the Queensland Redistribution Commissioner

Dear Commissioner,

This is to object to the proposed redistribution of boundaries relating to the Mulgrave and Tablelands electorates.

As a resident of Stager Road in the Babinda district I strongly object to now being considered to be in the Tablelands electorate.

The Bellenden Ker range is a natural barrier between the two electorates. The proposed new boundaries would dispossess the electors in the Miriwnni area, Waugh Pocket, Woopen Creek, Bartle Frere and Stager Road.

Preference of the people should be of the utmost important. Considering there is a mountain range between the two electorates, no benefit would apply to the constituents on the Eastern side of this range. We would be the forgotten electors of Tablelands.

Please reconsider this proposed amendment to the existing Mulgrave and Tablelands electorates.

Yours faithfully,

K. Jago
KEN JAGO
STAGER ROAD
BABINDA 4861
To the Queensland Redistribution Commissioner

Dear Commissioner,

This is to object to the proposed redistribution of boundaries relating to the Mulgrave and Tablelands electorates.

As a resident of Stager Road in the Babinda district I strongly object to now being considered to be in the Tablelands electorate.

The Bellenden Ker range is a natural barrier between the two electorates. The proposed new boundaries would dispossess the electors in the Miriwnni area, Waugh Pocket, Woopen Creek, Bartle Frere and Stager Road.

Preference of the people should be of the utmost important. Considering there is a mountain range between the two electorates, no benefit would apply to the constituents on the Eastern side of this range. We would be the forgotten electors of Tablelands.

Please reconsider this proposed amendment to the existing Mulgrave and Tablelands electorates.

Yours faithfully,

Michael Jago
P.O. Box 224
Stager Road
Babinda 4861
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked bag 3300
Brisbane QLD 4001

Dear Sir/Madam,

I wish to record my objections to proposed boundary changes in the Blackall range district.

The exclusion from their current electorates of areas to the west of Maleny and Mapleton townships, and their inclusion in the proposed electorate of Nanango do not appear to me to meet at least three sections of the Electoral act.

Wooths, Witta and Reesville in the Maleny area, and the communities along Obi Obi, Delicia, College, and Forest roads in the Mapleton area are economically and socially part of the Sunshine Coast hinterland communities which they adjoin. These areas and their inhabitants have very little in common with the towns and rural areas on the western side of the Dividing range which comprise the bulk of the proposed Nanango electorate. The proposed boundary changes thus compromise the communities of interest of the areas referred to.

If these areas are to be excluded from their current electorates, the boundaries should where possible follow natural boundaries. The western escarpment of the Blackall Ranges, or indeed, the forestry west of Kenilworth seem a more appropriate boundary than dodging around property lines in a rural residential area.

The current electoral boundaries follow shire boundaries to a much greater degree than the proposed new boundaries. A ratepayer in Conondale or Kenilworth can expect less efficient representation to local government under the proposed redistribution than a ratepayer in the South Burnett.

While not being a supporter of the campaign for a hinterland shire, I suggest that the proposed local boundaries of such a shire could be used to extend north the proposed electorate of Glasshouse. This would conform more closely with current and possible future shire boundaries.

The Hinterland Shire campaigners perceive the area as having a common community of interest, and they do have strong local support. Such a model would at least ensure residents representation from someone within two hours drive of their homes.

Thank you for considering these objections.

Yours Sincerely,

Mark Gillett

9/5/99
10th May 1999

The Commissioner
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

Dear Sir,

I wish to lodge an objection to the proposal to include the beachside suburbs of Palm Cove and Clifton Beach in the State Electorate of Cook.

As a resident of Palm Cove / Clifton Beach, I fail to see what possible community of interest I would have with the electorate of Cook which is based on Cape York Peninsula and Torres Strait.

Currently Palm Cove and Clifton Beach are in the State Electorate of Barron River, and that is where I would prefer them to stay.

This electorate includes the rest of the beachside suburbs which make up the Northern Beaches area of Cairns and I can see no sense in splitting this geographical area.

I urge you to please reconsider your proposal and return both Palm Cove and Clifton Beach into the electorate of Barron River.

Yours sincerely

[Signature]

Mia Lacy.
Our Ref: CN2-199-05-05-FdW.doc
Your Ref: QRC1085607

5 May, 1999

The Electoral Commissioner
ELECTORAL COMMISSION OF QUEENSLAND
GPO Box 1393,
Brisbane Q 4001 CDE D28

Dear Sir/Madam

RE: Proposed amendments to State Electoral Boundaries

I refer to the recently advertised proposals to amend the State Electoral Boundaries whereby the whole of the area of Etheridge Shire is proposed to be removed from the COOK electorate and placed in the CHARTERS TOWERS electorate.

Etheridge Shire has only a minimal number of voters and Council has directed that you be advised most strenuously of Council's firm objection to the proposed redistribution in its current form.

The new proposed boundaries would isolate Etheridge shire from its traditional and developmental community of interest which resides with the gulf shires consisting of the COOK electorate and the part of the MT ISA electorate.

Council sees its relocation to the Charters Towers electorate as a 'tacking on' of the area to an area with which the region has little connection and interest.

Many years of co-operative development with other gulf shires have concentrated on the Gulf Development Road Shires with which Council and the communities share a common linkage, common tourism, transport, planning, economic, social and infrastructure strategies.

To link the region into Charters Towers would result in a negation of the many efforts to present a common regional approach based on communities of shared interest that have been built up over many years.

You are strongly urged to reconsider the proposed placement of the Shire. Council's preference is to remain in the COOK electorate.

Yours faithfully

F.M.A. de WAARD
CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER
Dear Judge F. P. Shanahan,

Chair of Qld Electoral Redistribution Commission
Level 6,
James House
160 Mary St
Brisbane.

I note with interest your proposal changes to boundary changes of the Lockyer Electorate.

The loss of the Beltchfort area to Toowoomba electorate in our opinion was a fair decision.

The new establishment of new electorate of Maranoa, which allows part of Lockyer to expand most. Ipswich West to expand, has been well received.

We have reservations with the retention of Greenbank and Flagstone areas in Lockyer. These electors have been disfranchised from Lockyer electorate. See their location plus the necessity to travel through numerous electorates to gain access to Lockyer Office.

We in our opinion suggest that Greenbank and Flagstone areas be included in Bravelynt Electorate close to their location.
Continued

and compatible with this region, we strongly suggest they be included in Brand seat.

We also suggest location and panel structure that boundary include all Boonah Shire in Lockyer electorate.

We strongly suggest consideration be given to this proposal, as this would be compatible with voting for Local Government elections.

Yours faithfully

E.R. Liston
President

Lewis Bauer
Vice President

Lassiter Valley Branch
Pauline Hanson
One Nation

Phone E.R. Liston 07-54637370
Lewis Bauer 07-54631973

ELECTORAL COMMISSION
QUEENSLAND

9 MAY 1999

RECEIVED

QRC OBJ 608
The Electoral Commission / Queensland
P.O. Box 1393
BRISBANE, Q. 4001

RE: Changing Electoral Boundaries
Southern Moreton Shire.

Dear Madame or Sir:

Having been property owners and having raised three children in Miriwinia/ Breamston Beach, we have observed more than a few State governments come and go. The Democratic process lives on. HOWEVER, when your Commission attempts to divide Miriwinia voters right down the very middle of the street, the sublime becomes the rediculous. Such a bias smacks of the Joh, gerrymander! Could this be a new such manipulation in the making? I would look inside your Commission for those who would subvert Democracy for their own means and THROW THEM OUT. I totally oppose your proposal.

Yours Faithfully,

[Signature]
(Bruce V. Nichols)
P.O. Box 30
Miriwinia, 4871. Q.

E.C.Q.
009726
19 MAY 1999
RECEIVED
Dear Sir,

My Wife and I record our protest at the proposed changes to the Electoral boundaries. We have no wish to become part of Nanango Shire for the following reasons:

1/ Geographically we are separated from Nanango Shire by the Conondale range.

2/ It is inevitable that if the proposed change comes into effect our State representative would base himself/herself in Kingaroy. As currently there is no road access through the above range it would mean a 430 km round trip to see our representative.

3/ This last situation would also apply in reverse with the again inevitable result we would only ever see him/her at election time.

4/ With a population in the order of 20,000 voters in the Nanango area compared to less than 1,800 in the Mary Valley area adjacent to Conondale it become obvious where his or her priorities would lie.

5/ The needs of the people of the Conondale region who are spread out in a country environment mainly consisting of dairy farms has little in common with the relatively densely populated areas of urban sprawl which shows up in the linked towns of Woodford, Kilcoy and Kingaroy.
6) The people of Conondale have a long standing relationship with the Hinterland ranging from the Black Hall Range down through the Glass House mountains.

7) For common sense reasons we are administered on a local basis by the Caloundra City Council which lies to the east and is easily accessible. The same common sense reasons should apply to this issue.

If the proposed boundary change is an exercise in balancing the number of voters in each Electorate then surely it makes more sense to move the present Nanango Shire boundary further north.

We would be pleased if you would take notice of our objections to becoming part of an inappropriate boundary change and have us included in a fold were our elected representative can be in a position to be an adequate representative for us all.

Yours Faithfully,

John and Monica Maxwell.
Dear Sir,

We wish to express our absolute dissatisfaction with the proposed realignment of the electoral boundaries affecting our area of Condobillo. We feel sure that it would be absolutely impossible for a parliamentary representative to give justice to this small group of people, geographically isolated from the rest of the electorate.

The geographical division alienates our community in relation to education, social management, health, local government issues, primary industry management, law enforcement, employment power. All these services in our area are directly linked to coastal management. This distribution of electors is a typical sign of absolute ignorance of the part of the commission, not taking into account the importance of geographical boundaries.

We are disgusted that communities have been separated, when there is absolutely no need for it. Roads should never be used for electoral boundaries unless it is suited to a geographical community split in country areas.

Joe & Kay Horn.
... May 1999

The Commissioner
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

Dear Sir,

I wish to lodge an objection to the proposal to include the beachside suburbs of Palm Cove and Clifton Beach in the State Electorate of Cook.

As a resident of Palm Cove / Clifton Beach, I fail to see what possible community of interest I would have with the electorate of Cook which is based on Cape York Peninsula and Torres Strait.

Currently Palm Cove and Clifton Beach are in the State Electorate of Barron River, and that is where I would prefer them to stay.

This electorate includes the rest of the beachside suburbs which make up the Northern Beaches area of Cairns and I can see no sense in splitting this geographical area.

I urge you to please reconsider your proposal and return both Palm Cove and Clifton Beach into the electorate of Barron River.

Yours sincerely

[Signature]

10 MAY 1999

RECEIVED
9 May 1999

The Queensland Redistribution Commission
Fax 07 3229 7391
Brisbane

To the Commissioners

I wish to lodge my strong objection to the proposed inclusion of Clifton Beach and Palm Cove into the State Electorate of Cook.

I am a resident of the Northern strip of Buchans Point and at last year’s State Elections was made aware of what it feels like to be disenfranchised by a totally inappropriate electoral boundary.

Until arriving at my local polling booth I was totally unaware that my electorate was Cook. The pamphlet I received from the State Electoral Commission indicated that they too seemed unaware, as the pamphlet did not include any information appropriate to the electorate of Cook.

At no time did I receive any information from candidates standing for election in Cook - like me they no doubt had no idea that 10 houses on the very edge of Palm Cove would by some anomaly be included in Cook. The result of all this was that on arriving at the local polling booth I had to register an absentee vote and scurry around trying to find some information on the people and parties of Cook Electorate. At the time both my husband and myself questioned the inappropriate electoral boundary.

We are now locally governed by the Cairns City Council having been handed over from Port Douglas Shire (another anomaly!!) and our concerns and interests obviously lie with the urban area of Cairns and regions. We have no community of interest with the heavily rural based areas that make up the majority of the Cook Electorate.

I consider that instead of a change that involves more disenfranchised electors it would be much more appropriate to draw the electoral boundary north of Ellis Beach. This would obviate an extraordinary breach of electors rights whereby electors of Clifton Beach, Palm Cove, and Buchans Point are obliged to vote for representatives that have no ability or incentive to enunciate these electors' concerns in the parliament.

Yours sincerely,

Lynda M Reid
From: "bfhouse" <bfhouse@fastinternet.net.au>
To: "Electoral Commission" <ecq@ecq.qld.gov.au>
Date: Thu, May 6, 1999 8:31 am
Subject: Mulgrave

Dear Sir,

RE: MULGRAVE REDISTRIBUTION

I wish to congratulate you for having totally united a community, Labour, Liberal, National party and One Nation are all working hand in hand since Saturday the First of May, which was the first time most of us had heard of the proposed boundary changes to the State Electorate of Mulgrave. Well done sir, I didn't think it was possible!

Time is now short to get objections in, but have no fear sir, the area is up in arms, angry as a swarm of disturbed wasps and as industrious as a nest of ants that has been poked with a stick!

There are wild romours circulating of electoral skullduggery, turning Mulgrave into a "blue ribbon" Labour seat, and one chap who talked to Mr Pitt claimed that it was a pay-back to the people who dared to vote for the Nationals and that new One Nation mob. It’s only been a few days. What will be around by the end of the week? Fear not! I will let you know.

The amount of letters to be received by the Editors of the Cairns Post and the Innsfail Advocate will have the poor chaps buried in correspondence for a week at least, many have been published already, and more to come. It is a hot topic and has been on the front page twice so far in 5 days. We have the TV coming down in the next couple of days, and there has been a story of the reallocation of the Northern Beaches into the Cook electorate last night (several minutes worth). The tone was certainly not favourable

After perusing the E.C.Q. "Home Page" on the internet I noticed 2 things.

1) There is no mention of the proposed boundary changes any where that I could see, I am sure that you are unaware of this and will have this oversight speedily rectified.

2) There is mentioned a section which lays out the criteria for boundary changes,[ sec 45 + 46 Electoral Act 1992] I request you to put in a requisition for a topographical map of our area as one of the criteria is "land forms and topography". If you had such a map you would clearly be able to see that there is a mountain range between the 2 areas including the highest mountain in the state[ this is only one of the 4 criteria that have been disregarded, out of the 7 listed].

I hope that you will not delay in correcting this oversight, and now that you have had these points drawn to your attention we feel sure the matter will be quickly settled.

Looking forward to your reply
Yours,
Garth Gray (formerly Wolsey).
G.P.Gray(Wolsey) Josephine Falls Rd Bartle Frere
07 4067 6309

QR C OBJ 614
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE  QLD  4001

Facsimile:  3229 7391

Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to trapeze all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.

Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely

.......................................................... (signature)

4 INGHAM STREET, OXLEY. 4075 (address)

..........................................................
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE  QLD  4001
Facsimile: 3229 7391

Dear Commissioner,

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.

Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely,

.................................................. (signature)

4 INGHAM STREET, OXLEY.  4075.  (address)

..................................................
Dear Commissioner,

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.

Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely,

............................... (signature)

4 INGHAM STREET, OXLEY, 4075. (address)
Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.

Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely

Joel Grundy
35 Colwell St, Oxley 4075
Dear Commissioner,

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.

Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely,

[Signature]

67 Ellen St

Oxley QLD 4075

ELECTORAL COMMISSION
QUEENSLAND

10 MAY 1999
RECEIVED
Queensland Redistribution Commission  
Locked Bag 3300  
BRISBANE QLD 4001
Facsimile: 3229 7391

Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.

Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely

[signature]

[address]

[Postmark: 30 April 1999]
Queensland Redistribution Commission  
Locked Bag 3300  
BRISBANE  QLD  4001  

Facsimile: 3229 7391

Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.

Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely

......................................................  (signature)

......................................................  (address)

......................................................  Oxley  4075

ELECTORAL COMMISSION  
QUEENSLAND

10 MAY 1999

RECEIVED
Queensland Redistribution Commission  
Locked Bag 3300  
BRISBANE  QLD  4001  

Facsimile: 3229 7391

Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.

Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely

[Signature]

64 Price St

Oxley  Q  4075

Electoral Commissioner
Queensland

10 May 1999

Received
Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.

Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely

[Signature]

[Address]

Oxley
Dear Commissioner,

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.

Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely,

[Signature]

[Cruising Ave] (address)

[Corinda] 4075
Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.

Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely

[Signature]

[Address]

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 4001

Facsimile: 3229 7391

10 MAY 1999

RECEIVED
April 1999

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 4001

Facsimile: 3229 7391

Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traiipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.

Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely

B. Holder

26 Ellen St
Oxley

ELECTORAL COMMISSION
QUEENSLAND
1 MAY 1999
RECEIVED
Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to trample all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.

Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely

Keith Bowden

18 Queensland Rd

Address

April 1999

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 4001

Facsimile: 3229 7391
April 1999

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 4001

Facsimile: 3229 7391

QRC OBJ 628

Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.

Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely

[Signature]

J.M. Richardson

69 IRWINDALE TCE
OXLEY QLD 4075

DC - MARTIN

ELECTORAL COMMISSION
QUEENSLAND

10 MAY 1999

RECEIVED
Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.

Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely

[Signature]

C. L. Devantier

96 Irwin Tce

Oxley

10 May 1999
Queensland Redistribution Commission  
Locked Bag 3300  
BRISBANE QLD 4001  

Facsimile: 3229 7391  

Dear Commissioner  

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.

Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely

[Signature]

26 Kendall St  
Oxley 4075

10 May 1999

RECEIVED
Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.

Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely

__________________________
(signature)

__________________________
(address)

54 Lyon Ave, Oxley, Q 4075
Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.

Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely

[Signature]

33 Susannah Street

Oxley, QLD 4075
Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.

Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely

[Signature]

20 Kendall St
Oxley
Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.

Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely

[Signature]

[Address]

082, OXLEY QLD

OXLEY 4075

ELECTORAL COMMISSION
QUEENSLAND

10 MAY 1999

RECEIVED
Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traipline all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.

Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely

[Signature]

[Address]

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 4001

Facsimile: 3229 7391
Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.

Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely

B. Shadforth

.............................................................. (signature)

.............................................................. (address)

.............................................................. OXLEY 4075
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 4001

Facsimile: 3229 7391

Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.

Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely

[Signature]

12 Campbell Terrace
Oxley 4075
Queensland Redistribution Commission  
Locked Bag 3300  
BRISBANE  QLD  4001  

Facsimile:  3229 7391  

Dear Commissioner,

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.

Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely,

........................................ (signature)

14 Spencer St  
Oxley  4075
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE  QLD  4001

Facsimile: 3229 7391

Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.

Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely

[Signature]

To

[Address]

Oxley Q 4075

ELECTORAL COMMISSION
QUEENSLAND

10 MAY 1999

RECEIVED
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE  QLD  4001

Facsimile: 3229 7391

Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.

Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely

[Signature]

76 E. Conner St. Oxley

Electoral Commission
QUEENSLAND
10 May 1999

RECEIVED
April 1999

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE  QLD  4001

Facsimile:  3229 7391

Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traiipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.

Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely

........................................ (signature)  B.B.

34 Brittain Street..............................(address)

Oxley, Brisbane, QLD
April 1999

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 4001

Facsimile: 3229 7391

Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traiipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.

Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely

[Signature]

6 Munbilla St

OXLEY 4075
Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to tramp all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.

Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely

................................. (signature)

................................. (address)

................................. Oxley, 4075
Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.

Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely

[Signature]

[Address]

April 1999
Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.

Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely

[Signature]

[Address]

[Postcode]
Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to trample all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.

Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely

[Signature]

[Address]

Oxley 4075
Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to tramp all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.

Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely

G. Costello

18...I.W.I.N.T.E.F...(address)

Oxley
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 4001

Facsimile: 3229 7391

Dear Commissioner,

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.

Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely,

............................................ (signature)

............................................ (address)

............................................

Electional Commission
QUEENSLAND

10 MAY 1999

RECEIVED
April 1999

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE  QLD 4001

Facsimile: 3229 7391

Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.

Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely

[Signature]

26, STATTON STREET (address)

OXLEY 4075, BRISBANE QLD

ELECTORAL COMMISSION
QUEENSLAND

10 MAY 1999

RECEIVED
Queensland Redistribution Commission  
Locked Bag 3300  
BRISBANE  QLD  4001  
Facsimile: 3229 7391  

30 April 1999  

Dear Commissioner,

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.

Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely,

[Signature]

[Address]

(Dymphna Buchanan)
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE  QLD 4001

Facsimile: 3229 7391

Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.

Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely

[Signature]

60 William Tce

Oxley 4075

ELECTORAL COMMISSION
QUEENSLAND

10 MAY 1999

RECEIVED
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE  QLD  4001

Facsimile: 3229 7391

Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traiipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.

Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely

[Signature]

[Address]

Queensland Redistribution Commission

10 May 1999

Received
5th May 1999

The Commissioner
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

Dear Sir,

I wish to lodge an objection to the proposal to include the beachside suburbs of Palm Cove and Clifton Beach in the State Electorate of Cook.

As a resident of Palm Cove / Clifton Beach, I fail to see what possible community of interest I would have with the electorate of Cook which is based on Cape York Peninsula and Torres Strait.

Currently Palm Cove and Clifton Beach are in the State Electorate of Barron River, and that is where I would prefer them to stay.

This electorate includes the rest of the beachside suburbs which make up the Northern Beaches area of Cairns and I can see no sense in splitting this geographical area.

I urge you to please reconsider your proposal and return both Palm Cove and Clifton Beach into the electorate of Barron River.

Yours sincerely

[Signature]

ELECTORAL COMMISSION
QUEENSLAND
10 MAY 1999
RECEIVED
May 1999

The Commissioner
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

Dear Sir,

I wish to lodge an objection to the proposal to include the beachside suburbs of Palm Cove and Clifton Beach in the State Electorate of Cook.

As a resident of Palm Cove / Clifton Beach, I fail to see what possible community of interest I would have with the electorate of Cook which is based on Cape York Peninsula and Torres Strait.

Currently Palm Cove and Clifton Beach are in the State Electorate of Barron River, and that is where I would prefer them to stay.

This electorate includes the rest of the beachside suburbs which make up the Northern Beaches area of Cairns and I can see no sense in splitting this geographical area.

I urge you to please reconsider your proposal and return both Palm Cove and Clifton Beach into the electorate of Barron River.

Yours sincerely

Richard John Smith

10 May 1999
Q. May 1999

The Commissioner
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

Dear Sir,

I wish to lodge an objection to the proposal to include the beachside suburbs of Palm Cove and Clifton Beach in the State Electorate of Cook.

As a resident of Palm Cove / Clifton Beach, I fail to see what possible community of interest I would have with the electorate of Cook which is based on Cape York Peninsula and Torres Strait.

Currently Palm Cove and Clifton Beach are in the State Electorate of Barron River, and that is where I would prefer them to stay.

This electorate includes the rest of the beachside suburbs which make up the Northern Beaches area of Cairns and I can see no sense in splitting this geographical area.

I urge you to please reconsider your proposal and return both Palm Cove and Clifton Beach into the electorate of Barron River.

Yours sincerely

[Signature]

10 May 1999
... May 1999

The Commissioner  
Queensland Redistribution Commission  
Locked Bag 3300  
BRISBANE QLD 9001  

Dear Sir,

I wish to lodge an objection to the proposal to include the beachside suburbs of Palm Cove and Clifton Beach in the State Electorate of Cook.

As a resident of Palm Cove / Clifton Beach, I fail to see what possible community of interest I would have with the electorate of Cook which is based on Cape York Peninsula and Torres Strait.

Currently Palm Cove and Clifton Beach are in the State Electorate of Barron River, and that is where I would prefer them to stay.

This electorate includes the rest of the beachside suburbs which make up the Northern Beaches area of Cairns and I can see no sense in splitting this geographical area.

I urge you to please reconsider your proposal and return both Palm Cove and Clifton Beach into the electorate of Barron River.

Yours sincerely
May 1999

The Commissioner
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

Dear Sir,

I wish to lodge an objection to the proposal to include the beachside suburbs of Palm Cove and Clifton Beach in the State Electorate of Cook.

As a resident of Palm Cove / Clifton Beach, I fail to see what possible community of interest I would have with the electorate of Cook which is based on Cape York Peninsula and Torres Strait.

Currently Palm Cove and Clifton Beach are in the State Electorate of Barron River, and that is where I would prefer them to stay.

This electorate includes the rest of the beachside suburbs which make up the Northern Beaches area of Cairns and I can see no sense in splitting this geographical area.

I urge you to please reconsider your proposal and return both Palm Cove and Clifton Beach into the electorate of Barron River.

Yours sincerely

[Signature]

10 May 1999

RECEIVED
... May 1999

The Commissioner
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

Dear Sir,

I wish to lodge an objection to the proposal to include the beachside suburbs of Palm Cove and Clifton Beach in the State Electorate of Cook.

As a resident of Palm Cove / Clifton Beach, I fail to see what possible community of interest I would have with the electorate of Cook which is based on Cape York Peninsula and Torres Strait.

Currently Palm Cove and Clifton Beach are in the State Electorate of Barron River, and that is where I would prefer them to stay.

This electorate includes the rest of the beachside suburbs which make up the Northern Beaches area of Cairns and I can see no sense in splitting this geographical area.

I urge you to please reconsider your proposal and return both Palm Cove and Clifton Beach into the electorate of Barron River.

Yours sincerely
... May 1999

The Commissioner
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

Dear Sir,

I wish to lodge an objection to the proposal to include the beachside suburbs of Palm Cove and Clifton Beach in the State Electorate of Cook.

As a resident of Palm Cove / Clifton Beach, I fail to see what possible community of interest I would have with the electorate of Cook which is based on Cape York Peninsula and Torres Strait.

Currently Palm Cove and Clifton Beach are in the State Electorate of Barron River, and that is where I would prefer them to stay.

This electorate includes the rest of the beachside suburbs which make up the Northern Beaches area of Cairns and I can see no sense in splitting this geographical area.

I urge you to please reconsider your proposal and return both Palm Cove and Clifton Beach into the electorate of Barron River.

Yours sincerely

[Signature]

10 MAY 1999

RECEIVED
5. May 1999

The Commissioner
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

Dear Sir,

We wish to lodge an objection to the proposal to include the beachside suburbs of Palm Cove and Clifton Beach in the State Electorate of Cook.

As a resident of Palm Cove/Clifton Beach, I fail to see what possible community of interest we would have with the electorate of Cook which is based on Cape York Peninsula and Torres Strait.

Currently Palm Cove and Clifton Beach are in the State Electorate of Barron River, and that is where I would prefer them to stay.

This electorate includes the rest of the beachside suburbs which make up the Northern Beaches area of Cairns and I can see no sense in splitting this geographical area.

I urge you to please reconsider your proposal and return both Palm Cove and Clifton Beach into the electorate of Barron River.

Yours sincerely

[Signature]

ELECTORAL COMMISSION
QUEENSLAND

10 MAY 1999

RECEIVED
... May 1999

The Commissioner
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

Dear Sir,

I wish to lodge an objection to the proposal to include the beachside suburbs of Palm Cove and Clifton Beach in the State Electorate of Cook.

As a resident of Palm Cove / Clifton Beach, I fail to see what possible community of interest I would have with the electorate of Cook which is based on Cape York Peninsula and Torres Strait.

Currently Palm Cove and Clifton Beach are in the State Electorate of Barron River, and that is where I would prefer them to stay.

This electorate includes the rest of the beachside suburbs which make up the Northern Beaches area of Cairns and I can see no sense in splitting this geographical area.

I urge you to please reconsider your proposal and return both Palm Cove and Clifton Beach into the electorate of Barron River.

Yours sincerely
May 1999

The Commissioner
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

Dear Sir,

I wish to lodge an objection to the proposal to include the beachside suburbs of Palm Cove and Clifton Beach in the State Electorate of Cook.

As a resident of Palm Cove / Clifton Beach, I fail to see what possible community of interest I would have with the electorate of Cook which is based on Cape York Peninsula and Torres Strait.

Currently Palm Cove and Clifton Beach are in the State Electorate of Barron River, and that is where I would prefer them to stay.

This electorate includes the rest of the beachside suburbs which make up the Northern Beaches area of Cairns and I can see no sense in splitting this geographical area.

I urge you to please reconsider your proposal and return both Palm Cove and Clifton Beach into the electorate of Barron River.

Yours sincerely
06. May 1999

The Commissioner
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

Dear Sir,

I wish to lodge an objection to the proposal to include the beachside suburbs of Palm Cove and Clifton Beach in the State Electorate of Cook.

As a resident of Palm Cove / Clifton Beach, I fail to see what possible community of interest I would have with the electorate of Cook which is based on Cape York Peninsula and Torres Strait.

Currently Palm Cove and Clifton Beach are in the State Electorate of Barron River, and that is where I would prefer them to stay.

This electorate includes the rest of the beachside suburbs which make up the Northern Beaches area of Cairns and I can see no sense in splitting this geographical area.

I urge you to please reconsider your proposal and return both Palm Cove and Clifton Beach into the electorate of Barron River.

Yours sincerely
... May 1999

The Commissioner
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

Dear Sir,

I wish to lodge an objection to the proposal to include the beachside suburbs of Palm Cove and Clifton Beach in the State Electorate of Cook.

As a resident of Palm Cove / Clifton Beach, I fail to see what possible community of interest I would have with the electorate of Cook which is based on Cape York Peninsula and Torres Strait.

Currently Palm Cove and Clifton Beach are in the State Electorate of Barron River, and that is where I would prefer them to stay.

This electorate includes the rest of the beachside suburbs which make up the Northern Beaches area of Cairns and I can see no sense in splitting this geographical area.

I urge you to please reconsider your proposal and return both Palm Cove and Clifton Beach into the electorate of Barron River.

Yours sincerely

[Signature]

ELECTORAL COMMISSION
QUEENSLAND
10 MAY 1999
RECEIVED
... May 1999

The Commissioner
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

Dear Sir,

I wish to lodge an objection to the proposal to include the beachside suburbs of Palm Cove and Clifton Beach in the State Electorate of Cook.

As a resident of Palm Cove / Clifton Beach, I fail to see what possible community of interest I would have with the electorate of Cook which is based on Cape York Peninsula and Torres Strait.

Currently Palm Cove and Clifton Beach are in the State Electorate of Barron River, and that is where I would prefer them to stay.

This electorate includes the rest of the beachside suburbs which make up the Northern Beaches area of Cairns and I can see no sense in splitting this geographical area.

I urge you to please reconsider your proposal and return both Palm Cove and Clifton Beach into the electorate of Barron River.

Yours sincerely

[Signature]

[Stamp: ELECTORAL COMMISSION QUEENSLAND
10 MAY 1999
RECEIVED]
May 1999

The Commissioner
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

Dear Sir,

I wish to lodge an objection to the proposal to include the seaside suburbs of Palm Cove and Clifton Beach in the State Electorate of Cook.

As a resident of Palm Cove / Clifton Beach, I fail to see what possible community of interest I would have with the electorate of Cook which is based on Cape York Peninsula and Torres Strait.

Currently Palm Cove and Clifton Beach are in the State Electorate of Barron River, and that is where I would prefer them to stay.

This electorate includes the rest of the seaside suburbs which make up the Northern Beaches area of Cairns and I can see no sense in splitting this geographical area.

I urge you to please reconsider your proposal and return both Palm Cove and Clifton Beach into the electorate of Barron River.

Yours sincerely

[Signature]

Queensland Redistribution Commission
10 MAY 1999
RECEIVED
... May 1999

The Commissioner
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

Dear Sir,

I wish to lodge an objection to the proposal to include the beachside suburbs of Palm Cove and Clifton Beach in the State Electorate of Cook.

As a resident of Palm Cove / Clifton Beach, I fail to see what possible community of interest I would have with the electorate of Cook which is based on Cape York Peninsula and Torres Strait.

Currently Palm Cove and Clifton Beach are in the State Electorate of Barron River, and that is where I would prefer them to stay.

This electorate includes the rest of the beachside suburbs which make up the Northern Beaches area of Cairns and I can see no sense in splitting this geographical area.

I urge you to please reconsider your proposal and return both Palm Cove and Clifton Beach into the electorate of Barron River.

Yours sincerely

[Signature]

Electoral Commission
QUEENSLAND
10 MAY 1999
RECEIVED
... May 1999

The Commissioner
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

Dear Sir,

I wish to lodge an objection to the proposal to include the seaside suburbs of Palm Cove and Clifton Beach in the State Electorate of Cook.

As a resident of Palm Cove / Clifton Beach, I fail to see what possible community of interest I would have with the electorate of Cook which is based on Cape York Peninsula and Torres Strait.

Currently Palm Cove and Clifton Beach are in the State Electorate of Barron River, and that is where I would prefer them to stay.

This electorate includes the rest of the seaside suburbs which make up the Northern Beaches area of Cairns and I can see no sense in splitting this geographical area.

I urge you to please reconsider your proposal and return both Palm Cove and Clifton Beach into the electorate of Barron River.

Yours sincerely

[Signature]

[Stamp: RECEIVED]

[Stamp: 10 MAY 1999]
The Commissioner
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

Dear Sir,

I wish to lodge an objection to the proposal to include the beachside suburbs of Palm Cove and Clifton Beach in the State Electorate of Cook.

As a resident of Palm Cove / Clifton Beach, I fail to see what possible community of interest I would have with the electorate of Cook which is based on Cape York Peninsula and Torres Strait.

Currently Palm Cove and Clifton Beach are in the State Electorate of Barron River, and that is where I would prefer them to stay.

This electorate includes the rest of the beachside suburbs which make up the Northern Beaches area of Cairns and I can see no sense in splitting this geographical area.

I urge you to please reconsider your proposal and return both Palm Cove and Clifton Beach into the electorate of Barron River.

Yours sincerely

[Signature]

E.C.O.
009787

ELECTORAL COMMISSION
QUEENSLAND
10 MAY 1999
RECEIVED
07. May 1999

The Commissioner
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

Dear Sir,

I wish to lodge an objection to the proposal to include the beachside suburbs of Palm Cove and Clifton Beach in the State Electorate of Cook.

As a resident of Palm Cove / Clifton Beach, I fail to see what possible community of interest I would have with the electorate of Cook which is based on Cape York Peninsula and Torres Strait.

Currently Palm Cove and Clifton Beach are in the State Electorate of Barton River, and that is where I would prefer them to stay.

This electorate includes the rest of the beachside suburbs which make up the Northern Beaches area of Cairns and I can see no sense in splitting this geographical area.

I urge you to please reconsider your proposal and return both Palm Cove and Clifton Beach into the electorate of Barron River.

Yours sincerely
07 May 1999

The Commissioner
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

Dear Sir,

I wish to lodge an objection to the proposal to include the seaside suburbs of Palm Cove and Clifton Beach in the State Electorate of Cook.

As a resident of Palm Cove / Clifton Beach, I fail to see what possible community of interest I would have with the electorate of Cook which is based on Cape York Peninsula and Torres Strait.

Currently Palm Cove and Clifton Beach are in the State Electorate of Barron River, and that is where I would prefer them to stay.

This electorate includes the rest of the seaside suburbs which make up the Northern Beaches area of Cairns and I can see no sense in splitting this geographical area.

I urge you to please reconsider your proposal and return both Palm Cove and Clifton Beach into the electorate of Barron River.

Yours sincerely,

[Signature]
07 May 1999

The Commissioner
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

Dear Sir,

I wish to lodge an objection to the proposal to include the beachside suburbs of Palm Cove and Clifton Beach in the State Electorate of Cook.

As a resident of Palm Cove/Clifton Beach, I fail to see what possible community of interest I would have with the electorate of Cook which is based on Cape York Peninsula and Torres Strait.

Currently Palm Cove and Clifton Beach are in the State Electorate of Barron River, and that is where I would prefer them to stay.

This electorate includes the rest of the beachside suburbs which make up the Northern Beaches area of Cairns and I can see no sense in splitting this geographical area.

I urge you to please reconsider your proposal and return both Palm Cove and Clifton Beach into the electorate of Barron River.

Yours sincerely

[Signature]
... May 1999

The Commissioner
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

Dear Sir,

I wish to lodge an objection to the proposal to include the seaside suburbs of Palm Cove and Clifton Beach in the State Electorate of Cook.

As a resident of Palm Cove / Clifton Beach, I fail to see what possible community of interest I would have with the electorate of Cook which is based on Cape York Peninsula and Torres Strait.

Currently Palm Cove and Clifton Beach are in the State Electorate of Barron River, and that is where I would prefer them to stay.

This electorate includes the rest of the seaside suburbs which make up the Northern Beaches area of Cairns and I can see no sense in splitting this geographical area.

I urge you to please reconsider your proposal and return both Palm Cove and Clifton Beach into the electorate of Barron River.

Yours sincerely

[Signature]

[Stamp: Electoral Commission Queensland
10 May 1999
RECEIVED]
... May 1999

The Commissioner
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

Dear Sir,

We wish to lodge an objection to the proposal to include the beachside suburbs of Palm Cove and Clifton Beach in the State Electorate of Cook.

As a resident of Palm Cove / Clifton Beach, I fail to see what possible community of interest I would have with the electorate of Cook which is based on Cape York Peninsula and Torres Strait.

Currently Palm Cove and Clifton Beach are in the State Electorate of Barron River, and that is where we would prefer them to stay.

This electorate includes the rest of the beachside suburbs which make up the Northern Beaches area of Cairns and we can see no sense in splitting this geographical area.

We urge you to please reconsider your proposal and return both Palm Cove and Clifton Beach into the electorate of Barron River.

Yours sincerely

IAN ARTHUR COWAN

10 MULLEN ST
ELECTORAL OFFICE
BRISBANE
(07) 4879...

7.5.99

E.C.O.
009794

QRCL0BS 674
7th May 1999

The Commissioner
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

Dear Sir,

I wish to lodge an objection to the proposal to include the beachside suburbs of Palm Cove and Clifton Beach in the State Electorate of Cook.

As a resident of Palm Cove / Clifton Beach, I fail to see what possible community of interest I would have with the electorate of Cook which is based on Cape York Peninsula and Torres Strait.

Currently Palm Cove and Clifton Beach are in the State Electorate of Barron River, and that is where I would prefer them to stay.

This electorate includes the rest of the beachside suburbs which make up the Northern Beaches area of Cairns and I can see no sense in splitting this geographical area.

I urge you to please reconsider your proposal and return both Palm Cove and Clifton Beach into the electorate of Barron River.

Yours sincerely,
6 May 1999

The Commissioner
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

Dear Sir,

I wish to lodge an objection to the proposal to include the beachside suburbs of Palm Cove and Clifton Beach in the State Electorate of Cook.

As a resident of Palm Cove / Clifton Beach, I fail to see what possible community of interest I would have with the electorate of Cook which is based on Cape York Peninsula and Torres Strait.

Currently Palm Cove and Clifton Beach are in the State Electorate of Barron River, and that is where I would prefer them to stay.

This electorate includes the rest of the beachside suburbs which make up the Northern Beaches area of Cairns and I can see no sense in splitting this geographical area.

I urge you to please reconsider your proposal and return both Palm Cove and Clifton Beach into the electorate of Barron River.

Yours sincerely

[Signature]

[Stamp: Electoral Commission Queensland 10 May 1999 Received]
6 May 1999

The Commissioner
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

Dear Sir,

I wish to lodge an objection to the proposal to include the beachside suburbs of Palm Cove and Clifton Beach in the State Electorate of Cook.

As a resident of Palm Cove / Clifton Beach, I fail to see what possible community of interest I would have with the electorate of Cook which is based on Cape York Peninsula and Torres Strait.

Currently Palm Cove and Clifton Beach are in the State Electorate of Barron River, and that is where I would prefer them to stay.

This electorate includes the rest of the beachside suburbs which make up the Northern Beaches area of Cairns and I can see no sense in splitting this geographical area.

I urge you to please reconsider your proposal and return both Palm Cove and Clifton Beach into the electorate of Barron River.

Yours sincerely

[Signature]

E.C.Q.
009791

QRc | OBS 677

ELECTORAL COMMISSION
QUEENSLAND

10 MAY 1999
May 1999

The Commissioner  
Queensland Redistribution Commission  
Locked Bag 3300  
BRISBANE QLD 9001

Dear Sir,

I wish to lodge an objection to the proposal to include the seaside suburbs of Palm Cove and Clifton Beach in the State Electorate of Cook.

As a resident of Palm Cove / Clifton Beach, I fail to see what possible community of interest I would have with the electorate of Cook which is based on Cape York Peninsula and Torres Strait.

Currently Palm Cove and Clifton Beach are in the State Electorate of Barron River, and that is where I would prefer them to stay.

This electorate includes the rest of the seaside suburbs which make up the Northern Beaches area of Cairns and I can see no sense in splitting this geographical area.

I urge you to please reconsider your proposal and return both Palm Cove and Clifton Beach into the electorate of Barron River.

Yours sincerely

[Signature]

[Stamp: ELECTORAL COMMISSION QUEENSLAND  10 MAY 1999]
The Commissioner
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
Brisbane Qld 9001

Dear Sir/Madam,

PROPOSED REDISTRIBUTION - BARRON RIVER AND COOK

I wish to record my strong objections to the proposal to remove my community from the electorate of Barron River and to include it in the electorate of Cook.

I live in Clifton Beach, a community that is an integral part of the social and economic community of Barron River. The Clifton Beach community is totally removed in every aspect of life from those who constitute the Cook electorate.

I appeal to your common sense in this matter. Should the proposed plan prevail, my family and I will feel disenfranchised and there will be a continued and undesirable growth in the cynicism of the community toward government.

Yours faithfully

Colin Toll
Citizen

[Stamp]
10 May 1999
RECEIVED
7 May 1999

The Commissioner
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

Dear Sir,

I wish to lodge an objection to the proposal to include the beachside suburbs of Palm Cove and Clifton Beach in the State Electorate of Cook.

As a resident of Palm Cove / Clifton Beach, I fail to see what possible community of interest I would have with the electorate of Cook which is based on Cape York Peninsula and Torres Strait.

Currently Palm Cove and Clifton Beach are in the State Electorate of Barron River, and that is where I would prefer them to stay.

This electorate includes the rest of the beachside suburbs which make up the Northern Beaches area of Cairns and I can see no sense in splitting this geographical area.

I urge you to please reconsider your proposal and return both Palm Cove and Clifton Beach into the electorate of Barron River.

Yours sincerely

Anna Marie Boettcher
27 Gibson Close
Clifton Beach
Q 4879

2 May 1999

The Commissioner
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

Dear Sir,

I wish to lodge an objection to the proposal to include the beachside suburbs of Palm Cove and Clifton Beach in the State Electorate of Cook.

As a resident of Palm Cove/Clifton Beach, I fail to see what possible community of interest I would have with the electorate of Cook which is based on Cape York Peninsula and Torres Strait.

Currently Palm Cove and Clifton Beach are in the State Electorate of Barron River, and that is where I would prefer them to stay.

This electorate includes the rest of the beachside suburbs which make up the Northern Beaches area of Cairns and I can see no sense in splitting this geographical area.

demographically homogeneous

I urge you to please reconsider your proposal and return both Palm Cove and Clifton Beach into the electorate of Barron River.

Yours sincerely

D. M. McCauley

[Signature]

ELECTORAL COMMISSION
QUEENSLAND

10 MAY 1999

RECEIVED
6 May 1999

The Commissioner
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

Dear Sir,

I wish to lodge an objection to the proposal to include the beachside suburbs of Palm Cove and Clifton Beach in the State Electorate of Cook.

As a resident of Palm Cove / Clifton Beach, I fail to see what possible community of interest I would have with the electorate of Cook which is based on Cape York Peninsula and Torres Strait.

Currently Palm Cove and Clifton Beach are in the State Electorate of Barron River, and that is where I would prefer them to stay.

This electorate includes the rest of the beachside suburbs which make up the Northern Beaches area of Cairns and I can see no sense in splitting this geographical area.

I urge you to please reconsider your proposal and return both Palm Cove and Clifton Beach into the electorate of Barron River.

Yours sincerely

G. P. DALE
5 Agincourt Close
CLIFTON BEACH
QLD 4879

............................................................

GARTH & PATRICIA DALE
6 May 1999

The Commissioner
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

Dear Sir,

I wish to lodge an objection to the proposal to include the beachside suburbs of Palm Cove and Clifton Beach in the State Electorate of Cook.

As a resident of Palm Cove / Clifton Beach, I fail to see what possible community of interest I would have with the electorate of Cook which is based on Cape York Peninsula and Torres Strait.

Currently Palm Cove and Clifton Beach are in the State Electorate of Barron River, and that is where I would prefer them to stay.

This electorate includes the rest of the beachside suburbs which make up the Northern Beaches area of Cairns and I can see no sense in splitting this geographical area.

I urge you to please reconsider your proposal and return both Palm Cove and Clifton Beach into the electorate of Barron River.

Yours sincerely

[Signature]

[Stamp: ELECTORAL OFFICE]

10 MAY 1999

RECEIVED
4th May 1999

The Commissioner
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

Dear Sir,

I wish to lodge an objection to the proposal to include the seaside suburbs of Palm Cove and Clifton Beach in the State Electorate of Cook.

As a resident of Palm Cove/Clifton Beach, I fail to see what possible community of interest I would have with the electorate of Cook which is based on Cape York Peninsula and Torres Strait.

Currently Palm Cove and Clifton Beach are in the State Electorate of Barron River, and that is where I would prefer them to stay.

This electorate includes the rest of the seaside suburbs which make up the Northern Beaches area of Cairns and I can see no sense in splitting this geographical area.

I urge you to please reconsider your proposal and return both Palm Cove and Clifton Beach into the electorate of Barron River.

Yours sincerely

(Davis Pusty)

86 Cedar Road
Palm Cove
Cairns
QLD 4870

E.C.G.
009923

QR/1085 686

ELECTORAL COMMISSION
QUEENSLAND
10 MAY 1993
RECEIVED
May 1999

The Commissioner  
Queensland Redistribution Commission  
Locked Bag 3300  
BRISBANE QLD 9001

Dear Sir,

I wish to lodge an objection to the proposal to include the beachside suburbs of Palm Cove and Clifton Beach in the State Electorate of Cook.

As a resident of Palm Cove / Clifton Beach, I fail to see what possible community of interest I would have with the electorate of Cook which is based on Cape York Peninsula and Torres Strait.

Currently Palm Cove and Clifton Beach are in the State Electorate of Barron River, and that is where I would prefer them to stay.

This electorate includes the rest of the beachside suburbs which make up the Northern Beaches area of Cairns and I can see no sense in splitting this geographical area.

I urge you to please reconsider your proposal and return both Palm Cove and Clifton Beach into the electorate of Barron River.

Yours sincerely,

(Daniel Lowy)

ELECTORAL COMMISSION  
QUEENSLAND  
10 MAY 1999  
RECEIVED
4 May 1999

The Commissioner
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

Dear Sir,

I wish to lodge an objection to the proposal to include the beachside suburbs of Palm Cove and Clifton Beach in the State Electorate of Cook.

As a resident of Palm Cove / Clifton Beach, I fail to see what possible community of interest I would have with the electorate of Cook which is based on Cape York Peninsula and Torres Strait.

Currently Palm Cove and Clifton Beach are in the State Electorate of Barron River, and that is where I would prefer them to stay.

This electorate includes the rest of the beachside suburbs which make up the Northern Beaches area of Cairns and I can see no sense in splitting this geographical area.

I urge you to please reconsider your proposal and return both Palm Cove and Clifton Beach into the electorate of Barron River.

Yours sincerely

[Signature]

[Note: The signature appears to be Ryan Lowry]
4th May 1999

The Commissioner
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

Dear Sir,

I wish to lodge an objection to the proposal to include the beachside suburbs of Palm Cove and Clifton Beach in the State Electorate of Cook.

As a resident of Palm Cove / Clifton Beach, I fail to see what possible community of interest I would have with the electorate of Cook which is based on Cape York Peninsula and Torres Strait.

Currently Palm Cove and Clifton Beach are in the State Electorate of Barron River, and that is where I would prefer them to stay.

This electorate includes the rest of the beachside suburbs which make up the Northern Beaches area of Cairns and I can see no sense in splitting this geographical area.

I urge you to please reconsider your proposal and return both Palm Cove and Clifton Beach into the electorate of Barron River.

Yours sincerely

(Kathryn Lowey)
Dear Sir,

I wish to lodge an objection to the proposal to include the seaside suburbs of Palm Cove and Clifton Beach in the State Electorate of Cook.

As a resident of Palm Cove / Clifton Beach, I fail to see what possible community of interest I would have with the electorate of Cook which is based on Cape York Peninsula and Torres Strait.

Currently Palm Cove and Clifton Beach are in the State Electorate of Barron River, and that is where I would prefer them to stay.

This electorate includes the rest of the seaside suburbs which make up the Northern Beaches area of Cairns and I can see no sense in splitting this geographical area.

I urge you to please reconsider your propsal and return both Palm Cove and Clifton Beach into the electorate of Barron River.

Yours sincerely,

[Signature]

Mike Lowry
Beveridge Lowry
Ryan Lowry
Daniel Lowry
Davis Puyder
The Commissioner  
Queensland Redistribution Commission  
Locked Bag 3300  
BRISBANE QLD 9001  

Dear Sir,  

I wish to lodge an objection to the proposal to include the beachside suburbs of Palm Cove and Clifton Beach in the State Electorate of Cook.  

As a resident of Palm Cove / Clifton Beach, I fail to see what possible community of interest I would have with the electorate of Cook which is based on Cape York Peninsula and Torres Strait.  

Currently Palm Cove and Clifton Beach are in the State Electorate of Barron River, and that is where I would prefer them to stay.  

This electorate includes the rest of the beachside suburbs which make up the Northern Beaches area of Cairns and I can see no sense in splitting this geographical area.  

I urge you to please reconsider your proposal and return both Palm Cove and Clifton Beach into the electorate of Barron River.  

Yours sincerely  

Michael J G Lowry
Dear Sir,

I wish to lodge an objection to the proposal to include the beachside suburbs of Palm Cove and Clifton Beach in the State Electorate of Cook.

As a resident of Palm Cove / Clifton Beach, I fail to see what possible community of interest I would have with the electorate of Cook which is based on Cape York Peninsula and Torres Strait.

Currently Palm Cove and Clifton Beach are in the State Electorate of Barron River, and that is where I would prefer them to stay.

This electorate includes the rest of the beachside suburbs which make up the Northern Beaches area of Cairns and I can see no sense in splitting this geographical area.

I urge you to please reconsider your proposal and return both Palm Cove and Clifton Beach into the electorate of Barron River.

Yours sincerely

[Signature]

[Stamp: Electoral Commission Queensland, 10 May 1999, Received]
The Commissioner  
Queensland Redistribution Commission  
Locked Bag 3300  
BRISBANE QLD 9001

Dear Sir,

I wish to lodge an objection to the proposal to include the beachside suburbs of Palm Cove and Clifton Beach in the State Electorate of Cook.

As a resident of Palm Cove / Clifton Beach, I fail to see what possible community of interest I would have with the electorate of Cook which is based on Cape York Peninsula and Torres Strait.

Currently Palm Cove and Clifton Beach are in the State Electorate of Barron River, and that is where I would prefer them to stay.

This electorate includes the rest of the beachside suburbs which make up the Northern Beaches area of Cairns and I can see no sense in splitting this geographical area.

I urge you to please reconsider your proposal and return both Palm Cove and Clifton Beach into the electorate of Barron River.

Yours sincerely

[Signature]

18 Endeavour Rd, Clifton Beach 4879
6/05/99

The Commissioner,
Qld Redistribution Commission,
Locked Bag 3300,
BRISBANE.
QLD. 9001.

Dear Sir,

I wish to lodge an objection to the proposal to combine the beach suburbs of both Clifton Beach & Palm Cove, post code 4879, with an unrelated area of Cape York.

We are a suburb of Cairns - Have grown up with them & have always been interconnected.

We share a suburban bus service together a town based taxi service - Have a common SES [State Emergency System] - The Beaches are patrolled by Life savers that patrol all beaches, together with a suburban road network.

We share Power - water - Garbage collection - Sewerage etc.

Then some "Bunny" in Brisbane draws a line & says we have more in common with Cooktown Thursday Island & all parts North.

Someone does not know his geography.

The average resident works within the area or Cairns travelling there daily & is not interested in being anything else but part of the electorate of Barron River.

Yours faithfully,

[Signature]

D.K Davis.
... May 1999

The Commissioner
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

Dear Sir,

I wish to lodge an objection to the proposal to include the seaside suburbs of Palm Cove and Clifton Beach in the State Electorate of Cook.

As a resident of Palm Cove / Clifton Beach, I fail to see what possible community of interest I would have with the electorate of Cook which is based on Cape York Peninsula and Torres Strait.

Currently Palm Cove and Clifton Beach are in the State Electorate of Barron River, and that is where I would prefer them to stay.

This electorate includes the rest of the seaside suburbs which make up the Northern Beaches area of Cairns and I can see no sense in splitting this geographical area.

I urge you to please reconsider your proposal and return both Palm Cove and Clifton Beach into the electorate of Barron River.

Yours sincerely

Robert James Hughes

Electoral Commission
Queensland

10 May 1999

Received
May 1999

The Commissioner
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

Dear Sir,

I wish to lodge an objection to the proposal to include the seaside suburbs of Palm Cove and Clifton Beach in the State Electorate of Cook.

As a resident of Palm Cove / Clifton Beach, I fail to see what possible community of interest I would have with the electorate of Cook which is based on Cape York Peninsula and Torres Strait.

Currently Palm Cove and Clifton Beach are in the State Electorate of Barron River, and that is where I would prefer them to stay.

This electorate includes the rest of the seaside suburbs which make up the Northern Beaches area of Cairns and I can see no sense in splitting this geographical area.

I urge you to please reconsider your proposal and return both Palm Cove and Clifton Beach into the electorate of Barron River.

Yours sincerely

James Edward Hughes

ELECTORAL COMMISSION
QUEENSLAND

10 MAY 1999

RECEIVED
5th May 1999

The Commissioner
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

Dear Sir,

I wish to lodge an objection to the proposal to include the beachside suburbs of Palm Cove and Clifton Beach in the State Electorate of Cook.

As a resident of Palm Cove / Clifton Beach, I fail to see what possible community of interest I would have with the electorate of Cook which is based on Cape York Peninsula and Torres Strait.

Currently Palm Cove and Clifton Beach are in the State Electorate of Barron River, and that is where I would prefer them to stay.

This electorate includes the rest of the beachside suburbs which make up the Northern Beaches area of Cairns and I can see no sense in splitting this geographical area.

I urge you to please reconsider your proposal and return both Palm Cove and Clifton Beach into the electorate of Barron River.

Yours sincerely

Barbara Fay HUGHES
... May 1999

The Commissioner
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

Dear Sir,

I wish to lodge an objection to the proposal to include the beachside suburbs of Palm Cove and Clifton Beach in the State Electorate of Cook.

As a resident of Palm Cove / Clifton Beach, I fail to see what possible community of interest I would have with the electorate of Cook which is based on Cape York Peninsula and Torres Strait.

Currently Palm Cove and Clifton Beach are in the State Electorate of Barron River, and that is where I would prefer them to stay.

This electorate includes the rest of the beachside suburbs which make up the Northern Beaches area of Cairns and I can see no sense in splitting this geographical area.

I urge you to please reconsider your proposal and return both Palm Cove and Clifton Beach into the electorate of Barron River.

Yours sincerely

.............................................................
5 May 1999

The Commissioner
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

Dear Sir,

I wish to lodge an objection to the proposal to include the beachside suburbs of Palm Cove and Clifton Beach in the State Electorate of Cook.

As a resident of Palm Cove / Clifton Beach, I fail to see what possible community of interest I would have with the electorate of Cook which is based on Cape York Peninsula and Torres Strait.

Currently Palm Cove and Clifton Beach are in the State Electorate of Barron River, and that is where I would prefer them to stay.

This electorate includes the rest of the beachside suburbs which make up the Northern Beaches area of Cairns and I can see no sense in splitting this geographical area.

I urge you to please reconsider your proposal and return both Palm Cove and Clifton Beach into the electorate of Barron River.

Yours sincerely
½ May 1999

The Commissioner
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

Dear Sir,

I wish to lodge an objection to the proposal to include the beachside suburbs of Palm Cove and Clifton Beach in the State Electorate of Cook.

As a resident of Palm Cove / Clifton Beach, I fail to see what possible community of interest I would have with the electorate of Cook which is based on Cape York Peninsula and Torres Strait.

Currently Palm Cove and Clifton Beach are in the State Electorate of Barron River, and that is where I would prefer them to stay.

This electorate includes the rest of the beachside suburbs which make up the Northern Beaches area of Cairns and I can see no sense in splitting this geographical area.

I urge you to please reconsider your proposal and return both Palm Cove and Clifton Beach into the electorate of Barron River.

Yours sincerely

Helen Bond
H. May 1999

The Commissioner
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

Dear Sir,

I wish to lodge an objection to the proposal to include the seaside suburbs of Palm Cove and Clifton Beach in the State Electorate of Cook.

As a resident of Palm Cove / Clifton Beach, I fail to see what possible community of interest I would have with the electorate of Cook which is based on Cape York Peninsula and Torres Strait.

Currently Palm Cove and Clifton Beach are in the State Electorate of Barron River, and that is where I would prefer them to stay.

This electorate includes the rest of the seaside suburbs which make up the Northern Beaches area of Cairns and I can see no sense in splitting this geographical area.

I urge you to please reconsider your proposal and return both Palm Cove and Clifton Beach into the electorate of Barron River.

Yours sincerely

B. M. Bragg
5 May 1999

The Commissioner
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

Dear Sir,

I wish to lodge an objection to the proposal to include the beachside suburbs of Palm Cove and Clifton Beach in the State Electorate of Cook.

As a resident of Palm Cove / Clifton Beach, I fail to see what possible community of interest I would have with the electorate of Cook which is based on Cape York Peninsula and Torres Strait.

Currently Palm Cove and Clifton Beach are in the State Electorate of Barron River, and that is where I would prefer them to stay.

This electorate includes the rest of the beachside suburbs which make up the Northern Beaches area of Cairns and I can see no sense in splitting this geographical area.

I urge you to please reconsider your proposal and return both Palm Cove and Clifton Beach into the electorate of Barron River.

Yours sincerely

[Signature]

Monteiro

ELECTORAL COMMISSION
QUEENSLAND

10 MAY 1999
... May 1999

The Commissioner
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

Dear Sir,

I wish to lodge an objection to the proposal to include the beachside suburbs of Palm Cove and Clifton Beach in the State Electorate of Cook.

As a resident of Palm Cove / Clifton Beach, I fail to see what possible community of interest I would have with the electorate of Cook which is based on Cape York Peninsula and Torres Strait.

Currently Palm Cove and Clifton Beach are in the State Electorate of Barron River, and that is where I would prefer them to stay.

This electorate includes the rest of the beachside suburbs which make up the Northern Beaches area of Cairns and I can see no sense in splitting this geographical area.

I urge you to please reconsider your proposal and return both Palm Cove and Clifton Beach into the electorate of Barron River.

Yours sincerely

[Signature]

QRC 065 701

ELECTORAL COMMISSION
QUEENSLAND

10 MAY 1999

RECEIVED
6 May 1999

The Commissioner
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

Dear Sir,

I wish to lodge an objection to the proposal to include the beachside suburbs of Palm Cove and Clifton Beach in the State Electorate of Cook.

As a resident of Palm Cove / Clifton Beach, I fail to see what possible community of interest I would have with the electorate of Cook which is based on Cape York Peninsula and Torres Strait.

Currently Palm Cove and Clifton Beach are in the State Electorate of Barron River, and that is where I would prefer them to stay.

This electorate includes the rest of the beachside suburbs which make up the Northern Beaches area of Cairns and I can see no sense in splitting this geographical area.

I urge you to please reconsider your proposal and return both Palm Cove and Clifton Beach into the electorate of Barron River.

Yours sincerely

[Signature]

10 MAY 1999

RECEIVED
... May 1999

The Commissioner
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

Dear Sir,

I wish to lodge an objection to the proposal to include the seaside suburbs of Palm Cove and Clifton Beach in the State Electorate of Cook.

As a resident of Palm Cove / Clifton Beach, I fail to see what possible community of interest I would have with the electorate of Cook which is based on Cape York Peninsula and Torres Strait.

Currently Palm Cove and Clifton Beach are in the State Electorate of Barron River, and that is where I would prefer them to stay.

This electorate includes the rest of the seaside suburbs which make up the Northern Beaches area of Cairns and I can see no sense in splitting this geographical area.

I urge you to please reconsider your proposal and return both Palm Cove and Clifton Beach into the electorate of Barron River.

Yours sincerely

[Signature]

John Paul McFadden.
4 May 1999

The Commissioner
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

Dear Sir,

I wish to lodge an objection to the proposal to include the seaside suburbs of Palm Cove and Clifton Beach in the State Electorate of Cook.

As a resident of Palm Cove / Clifton Beach, I fail to see what possible community of interest I would have with the electorate of Cook which is based on Cape York Peninsula and Torres Strait.

Currently Palm Cove and Clifton Beach are in the State Electorate of Barron River, and that is where I would prefer them to stay.

This electorate includes the rest of the seaside suburbs which make up the Northern Beaches area of Cairns and I can see no sense in splitting this geographical area.

I urge you to please reconsider your proposal and return both Palm Cove and Clifton Beach into the electorate of Barron River.

Yours sincerely,

[Signature]

[Name]
May 1999

The Commissioner
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

Dear Sir,

I wish to lodge an objection to the proposal to include the seaside suburbs of Palm Cove
and Clifton Beach in the State Electorate of Cook.

As a resident of Palm Cove / Clifton Beach, I fail to see what possible community of interest I
would have with the electorate of Cook which is based on Cape York Peninsula and Torres
Strait.

Currently Palm Cove and Clifton Beach are in the State Electorate of Barron River, and that is
where I would prefer them to stay.

This electorate includes the rest of the seaside suburbs which make up the Northern
Beaches area of Cairns and I can see no sense in splitting this geographical area.

I urge you to please reconsider your proposal and return both Palm Cove and Clifton Beach into
the electorate of Barron River.

Yours sincerely

[Signature]

[Date]

Queensland Commission for Equal Opportunity

[Date]

[Seal]
... May 1999

The Commissioner
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

Dear Sir,

I wish to lodge an objection to the proposal to include the beachside suburbs of Palm Cove and Clifton Beach in the State Electorate of Cook.

As a resident of Palm Cove / Clifton Beach, I fail to see what possible community of interest I would have with the electorate of Cook which is based on Cape York Peninsula and Torres Strait.

Currently Palm Cove and Clifton Beach are in the State Electorate of Barron River, and that is where I would prefer them to stay.

This electorate includes the rest of the beachside suburbs which make up the Northern Beaches area of Cairns and I can see no sense in splitting this geographical area.

I urge you to please reconsider your proposal and return both Palm Cove and Clifton Beach into the electorate of Barron River.

Yours sincerely

[Signature]

ELECTORAL COMMISSION
QUEENSLAND
10 MAY 1999
RECEIVED
4. May 1999

The Commissioner
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

Dear Sir,

I wish to lodge an objection to the proposal to include the seaside suburbs of Palm Cove and Clifton Beach in the State Electorate of Cook.

As a resident of Palm Cove / Clifton Beach, I fail to see what possible community of interest I would have with the electorate of Cook which is based on Cape York Peninsula and Torres Strait.

Currently Palm Cove and Clifton Beach are in the State Electorate of Barron River, and that is where I would prefer them to stay.

This electorate includes the rest of the seaside suburbs which make up the Northern Beaches area of Cairns and I can see no sense in splitting this geographical area.

I urge you to please reconsider your proposal and return both Palm Cove and Clifton Beach into the electorate of Barron River.

Yours sincerely

[Signature]

JENNIFER ROBERTS
... May 1999

The Commissioner
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

Dear Sir,

I wish to lodge an objection to the proposal to include the beachside suburbs of Palm Cove and Clifton Beach in the State Electorate of Cook.

As a resident of Palm Cove / Clifton Beach, I fail to see what possible community of interest I would have with the electorate of Cook which is based on Cape York Peninsula and Torres Strait.

Currently Palm Cove and Clifton Beach are in the State Electorate of Barron River, and that is where I would prefer them to stay.

This electorate includes the rest of the beachside suburbs which make up the Northern Beaches area of Cairns and I can see no sense in splitting this geographical area.

I urge you to please reconsider your proposal and return both Palm Cove and Clifton Beach into the electorate of Barron River.

Yours sincerely

[Signature]
The Commissioner
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

Dear Sir,

I wish to lodge an objection to the proposal to include the beachside suburbs of Palm Cove and Clifton Beach in the State Electorate of Cook.

As a resident of Palm Cove / Clifton Beach, I fail to see what possible community of interest I would have with the electorate of Cook which is based on Cape York Peninsula and Torres Strait.

Currently Palm Cove and Clifton Beach are in the State Electorate of Barron River, and that is where I would prefer them to stay.

This electorate includes the rest of the beachside suburbs which make up the Northern Beaches area of Cairns and I can see no sense in splitting this geographical area.

I urge you to please reconsider your proposal and return both Palm Cove and Clifton Beach into the electorate of Barron River.

Yours sincerely,

[Signature]

10 May 1999
5th May 1999

The Commissioner
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

Dear Sir,

I wish to lodge an objection to the proposal to include the beachside suburbs of Palm Cove and Clifton Beach in the State Electorate of Cook.

As a resident of Palm Cove / Clifton Beach, I fail to see what possible community of interest I would have with the electorate of Cook which is based on Cape York Peninsula and Torres Strait.

Currently Palm Cove and Clifton Beach are in the State Electorate of Barron River, and that is where I would prefer them to stay.

This electorate includes the rest of the beachside suburbs which make up the Northern Beaches area of Cairns and I can see no sense in splitting this geographical area.

I urge you to please reconsider your proposal and return both Palm Cove and Clifton Beach into the electorate of Barron River.

Yours sincerely

[Signature]
7th May 1999

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300,
BRISBANE 4001

Dear Sirs,

We are writing to protest most strongly about the boundary redistribution whereby Conondale and Crystal Waters would be part of the Nanango electorate.

It does not make sense for Crystal Waters and Conondale to be part of Nanango, which has little or nothing in common with our part of the world, and thereby "cut off" from our Hinterland neighbours. It would, surely, be more efficient and politically correct to place Witta, Reesville, Conondale and Crystal Waters with Glasshouse as in previous electorate distributions.

We urge you to reconsider the proposed boundary redistribution which will seriously disadvantage members of this electorate.

Yours sincerely,

Crystal Waters
MS16 Maleny
4552
Dear Sir,

We are writing to object to the new redistribution of electoral boundaries in Queensland. We live at Banyandah just a stones throw from the Sunshine Coast. Under the new boundaries we have been put in Nanango.

We believe that we are too far away from the centre of the electorate. We would not see our member often enough who ever he or she might be.

We live on the Sunshine coast hinterland, its all our trading at the coast & the hinterland.

Our area is much more suited to a coastal seat rather than one area further inland.

I have lived in Banyandah for 65 years, I know the feeling of the people in the area. The general feeling of the people is that they want to stay on a coastal seat.

Yours sincerely,

[Signature]

[Stamp] 10 MAY 1999

[Stamp] RECEIVED
Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE 4001

5/5/99

Dear Commissioners,

We have lived and farmed in Maleny for twenty-five years, and we are extremely distressed by the newly proposed electoral boundaries. We feel that your duty of care to ensure that community of interests are kept within one electorate has been forsaken. We feel that by splitting Maleny in half hinders our rights to be represented adequately in state government for the following reasons:

(1) Access to our state member and our member to us will be quite difficult
   (a) STD calls
   (b) no public transport available to electorate office

(2) Confusion and extra work at Maleny polling booth

(3) Loss of community of interest
   (a) our children attend Maleny primary and high schools,
   (b) we use the Maleny Soldiers Memorial Hospital, Maleny Chemist, and local doctors
   (c) we rely on Maleny Police, firebrigade and SES

(4) Loss of business interests
   (a) Dairy Co-op, Farm Barn, Pump House, etc
   (b) Veterinary, electrical, plumbing services, etc
   (c) Postoffice - our postcode is the Maleny postcode
   (d) Maleny Newsagency and local newspaper - Range News

(5) Loss of cultural interests - sporting groups, Scouts and Guides, Barung Landcare, Community Centre, and Show Society.

We ask that you reconsider the electoral boundaries to ensure that all of Maleny is kept together for the sake of good, fair representation. Community of interests should not be divided. We have nothing in common with the Nanango electorate!!

Yours faithfully,

Greg Newton, Joyce Newton, Jennifer Newton, and Tyrell Newton
The Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

Queensland Electoral Redistribution

I note that the town of Kenilworth is proposed to be included in the new electorate of Nanango along with other small towns situated in the Mary Valley, Brooloo and Conondale.

I wish to strenuously object to this arrangement on the grounds that the towns of Kenilworth, Brooloo and Conondale have no community of interest with the towns of the Nanango area and the area shown as included in the proposed electorate. Rather they are physically, commercially and socially connected to the areas of Nambour, Gympie, Maroochydore and the Sunshine Coast Hinterland area.

In addition:

1. These three towns rely for their major commerce on the coastal towns of Gympie, Nambour and Maleny. They have no direct road connection with the Nanango area and no business or social connection with that area.

2. For these towns the Health Support Systems are all based in Nambour, Maleny or Gympie. Such services as hospitals, specialist services and aged facilities are all located East of our towns, never in a Westward direction.

3. Gaining access to the Parliamentarian for these towns would be very difficult as all access roads run in an Easterly direction. Likewise, servicing this area would prove difficult for the elected incumbent.

I therefore, request that the redistribution of electoral boundaries include the towns of Kenilworth, Brooloo and Conondale in an area based on the Coast.

Sincerely

Signature

Name KEVIN J. FRANZI

Address 2655 Eumundi Rd
KENILWORTH, QLD 4574

Date 6.5.99
Queensland Electoral Redistribution

I note that the town of Kenilworth is proposed to be included in the new electorate of Nanango along with other small towns situated in the Mary Valley, Brooloo and Conondale.

I wish to strenuously object to this arrangement on the grounds that the towns of Kenilworth, Brooloo and Conondale have no community of interest with the towns of the Nanango area and the area shown as included in the proposed electorate. Rather they are physically, commercially and socially connected to the areas of Nambour, Gympie, Maroochydore and the Sunshine Coast Hinterland area.

In addition:

1. These three towns rely for their major commerce on the coastal towns of Gympie, Nambour and Maleny. They have no direct road connection with the Nanango area and no business or social connection with that area.

2. For these towns the Health Support Systems are all based in Nambour, Maleny or Gympie. Such services as hospitals, specialist services and aged facilities are all located East of our towns, never in a Westward direction.

3. Gaining access to the Parliamentarian for these towns would be very difficult as all access roads run in an Easterly direction. Likewise, servicing this area would prove difficult for the elected incumbent.

I therefore, request that the redistribution of electoral boundaries include the towns of Kenilworth, Brooloo and Conondale in an area based on the Coast.

Sincerely

Signature  
J. Frangini

Name  
J. FRANZI

Address  
2655 EUMUNDI RD  
KENILWORTH

Date  
6/5/99
The Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

Queensland Electoral Redistribution

I note that the town of Kenilworth is proposed to be included in the new electorate of Nanango along with other small-towns situated in the Mary Valley, Brooloo and Conondale.

I wish to strenuously object to this arrangement on the grounds that the towns of Kenilworth, Brooloo and Conondale have no community of interest with the towns in the Nanango area and the area shown as included in the proposed electorate. Rather they are physically, commercially and socially connected to the areas of Nambour, Gympie, Maroochydore and the Sunshine Coast Hinterland area.

In addition:

1. These three towns rely for their major commerce on the coastal towns of Gympie, Nambour and Maleny. They have no direct road connection with the Nanango area and no business or social connection with that area.

2. For these towns the Health Support Systems are all based in Nambour, Maleny or Gympie. Such services as hospitals, specialist services and aged facilities are all located East of our towns, never in a Westward direction.

3. Gaining access to the Parliamentarian for the area would be very difficult as road access all run in an Easterly direction. Likewise, servicing this area would prove difficult for the elected incumbent.

I therefore, request that the redistribution of electoral boundaries include the towns of Kenilworth, Brooloo and Conondale in an area based on the Coast.

Sincerely

A. L. G. T. Welch

Signature

G. T. Welch  A. L. Welch

Name

Allan Leslie Welch

Address

3 Anne Street

Date

4-5-99

Kenilworth 4574

Ald.
The Secretary  
Queensland Redistribution Commission  
Locked Bag 3300  
BRISBANE 9001  
Fax. 3225 2601  
Ph. 1800 801665

RE: PROPOSED QUEENSLAND ELECTORAL BOUNDARIES REDISTRIBUTION
PROPOSED SEAT OF NANANGO

I would like to strongly voice my discontent at the proposed Queensland Electoral Boundaries Redistribution of the Seat of Nanango and the effect this will have on my community of Conondale.

The hinterland communities including my community of Conondale have no ties to the community of Kingaroy or the district of Nanango and feel it would be detrimental for the areas of Conondale, Crystal Waters, Witta, Belthorpe, Booroobin, Reeseville and Kenilworth to be included within these electoral boundaries.

I believe it would be beneficial for the proposed boundary between Glasshouse and Nanango to be redrawn to include the above communities in Glasshouse.

There is a strong community of interest between these communities and Maleny, the major town in the area. They are joined by geographic and topographic features and share communality and homogeneity through agricultural, pastoral and tourism industries. Maleny is the shopping, business, cultural and social centre of our area.

All these communities have little or no communality with Nanango. The mountains of the Great Dividing Range form a complete barrier to communication and communality with Nanango.

I hope you look favourably upon my objection and the suggested alternative.

Yours sincerely,

G. D. Lyon
A. R. Lyon

Address details:

10 MAY 1999
CONONDALE COMMUNITY FORUM
4 MAY 1999

RESPONSE TO AMENDMENTS TO
PROPOSED QUEENSLAND ELECTORAL BOUNDARIES APRIL 1999

SEAT OF NANANGO

Attendees of the Conondale Community Forum held on 4 May raised the following fears and concerns regarding the proposed amendments to the Queensland Electoral Boundaries and the proposed Seat of Nanango and would like their strong opposition, highlighted in these concerns, to be brought to the attention of the Queensland Redistribution Commission and the State Electoral Commission.

Communication:

* Distance to communicate with Member of Parliament based in Kingaroy
* No direct transport route between Conondale and Kingaroy.
* Cost of STD telephone calls between Conondale and Kingaroy.
* Media representation of the area all based on the coast not in Kingaroy.
* If, as is likely, the local Member is not keen to come to us, we will have to go to him. Where is the quick, safe road to Kingaroy?
* If present proposal becomes fact, two electoral offices should be maintained by Member.
* Interest of a representative in Kingaroy in the issues of our local area would be limited.

Education:

* Local Member in Kingaroy has no knowledge of individual school communities.
* Schools can’t easily get together.
* Children may be in different electorates i.e. high school and primary children.
* The costs associated with children being involved in small school activities such as sports, Tournament of Minds, etc., and travelling to participate in such activities within their district would be exorbitant.

Waters:

* Rivers run different directions both sides of the hills ... totally different catchment areas i.e. Burnett/Mary.
* Residents in Nanango pay for all dam water .. particularly farmers.
* Our catchment areas affect the Coast not the other side of the range. How will our rivers in the dam issues be affected if our power base is burned?
Employment cont.:

* What Kingaroy needs regarding employment will not be identical to what Conondale needs.

Political Power:

* Priorities of Kingaroy area will be preferred to Conondale area ... much smaller number of voters.
* Will the elected representative spend time in our area?
* Conondale residents will have very little influence in the State Government sphere of operations

Electrical Power:

* Conondale is already on low priority during power problems - How will our needs be affected during periods of blackout, load sharing, etc., in relation to the needs of a much greater population base.

Emergency Services:

* Where are headquarters to be? How will both sides of the range bear up in emergency ... access?
* Will need to split organisation to cope with different situations.

Industrial Relations:

* Workers find work in high density populations on the Coast ... never further west.
* All Government offices and institutions relevant to us are situated on the Coast.

Roads:

* The impracticality of having isolated representation
* How will our priorities for roads fare against those determined on the bulk of the electorate which has a different geographical orientation?
* What about our current roads, bridges, culverts, etc. These need attention now! Kingaroy representative may not be able to visit to recognise problem in the event of flood, etc.
* How long would it take a representative from Kingaroy to impact on our roads?
* Government plans to allow “little used” roads to revert to dirt, will make it even harder to drive to
CONONDALE COMMUNITY FORUM 4 MAY 1999
RESPONSE TO AMENDMENTS TO
PROPOSED QUEENSLAND ELECTORAL BOUNDARIES APRIL 1999

Suggested Amendments to boundaries of the proposed new electorate of
GLASSHOUSE

An outline of Conondale

The small settlements of Conondale and Crystal Waters are enclosed within the ridges and valleys of the west side of the Maleny-Mapleton plateau.

Conondale has a long-time connection with Maleny. Both were developed simultaneously in the late 1800s-early 1900s for forestry and agricultural purposes. Maleny is the business, shopping, social, sporting and cultural centre of the area, with mail service to Conondale originating in Maleny and both sharing the same postcode.

There is only one shop, a convenience store/petrol pump in Conondale and one State Primary school. Most Conondale children attend Conondale State School and then carry on to either Maleny State High School or Kenilworth P-10 State School.

Conondale has little, if any, communality with Nanango. The mountains of the Great Dividing Range form a complete barrier to communication and communality. The road from Conondale passes through Maleny in one direction, then a long, circuitous route before assessing the towns and villages of Nanango electorate. In the other direction, the road from Conondale passes through Kenilworth and continues eastwards away from the Nanango electorate.

The proposal involves adding the communities of Witta, Conondale, Crystal Waters, Belthorpe and Baaroonbin into the electorate of GLASSHOUSE. The electorate now has 24,540 electors. The change effects approximately 2,000 electors. The lower end of the GLASSHOUSE electorate could be incorporated into the Caboolture end of Pumicestone.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proposed Electorate</th>
<th>198 enrol. (deviation)</th>
<th>2005 enrol. (deviation)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Glasshouse</td>
<td>24,640 (0.5%)</td>
<td>32,166 (+1.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nanango</td>
<td>26,917 (+8%)</td>
<td>30,183 (+5.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nicklin</td>
<td>25,540 (+3%)</td>
<td>35,837 (+24/9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pumicestone</td>
<td>22,979 (-7%)</td>
<td>32,624 (+13%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Glasshouse will be average. It is expected to grow above the preferred 10%. Nanango now has more electors than Glasshouse, but is not expected to grow as much. Pumicestone is now well below Glasshouse, but is expected to grow to exceed the 10% limit.

Information supplied by the Sunshine Coast Rural Landholders Association.
The Queensland Redistribution Commission  
Locked Bag 3300  
BRISBANE QLD 9001  

Queensland Electoral Redistribution  

I note that the town of Kenilworth is proposed to be included in the new electorate of Nanango along with other small towns situated in the Mary Valley, Brooloo and Conondale.  

I wish to strenuously object to this arrangement on the grounds that the towns of Kenilworth, Brooloo and Conondale have no community of interest with the towns in the Nanango area and the area shown as included in the proposed electorate. Rather they are physically, commercially and socially connected to the areas of Nambour, Gympie, Maroochydore and the Sunshine Coast Hinterland area. In addition:  

1. These three towns rely for their major commerce on the coastal towns of Gympie, Nambour and Maleny. They have no direct road connection with the Nanango area and no business or social connection with that area.  

2. For these towns the Health Support Systems are all based in Nambour, Maleny or Gympie. Such services as hospitals, specialist services and aged facilities are all located East of our towns, never in a Westward direction.  

3. Gaining access to the Parliamentarian for the area would be very difficult as road access all run in an Easterly direction. Likewise, servicing this area would prove difficult for the elected encumbant.  

I therefore, request that the redistribution of electoral boundaries include the towns of Kenilworth, Brooloo and Conondale in an area based on the Coast. 

Sincerely  

Signature  

WJ Cunningham  

Name  

Name Jean Cunningham  

Date  

5/5/99  

Address  

LOT 5 EASTERN MARY RIVER ROAD CONONDale QLD  

MS 16  

MAlEny 4552
Dear Sir or Madam,

I am writing to express my genuine concern if the proposed change to Electoral Boundaries changing Conondale Shire residents to the Nanango Shire.

Geographically - this is just so obvious how far away it is from Conondale - we would be better off being closer to Parliament House. Brisbane than to a further drive to Parliament House. A phone call to our representative. A phone call to Brisbane is cheaper.

How often would we ever see our members to see our roads, our school problems or our employment concerns?

All our daily health, shopping & facilities required are done in Maleny, Nanango, Caloundra.

No one would travel to Kingaroy for any service in daily life or for local government meetings.

I feel you must reconsider this change.

Sincerely,

Mary Fleiter

Des Bochow
The Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

Queensland Electoral Redistribution

I note that the town of Kenilworth is proposed to be included in the new electorate of Nanango along with other small towns situated in the Mary Valley, Brooloo and Conondale.

I wish to strenuously object to this arrangement on the grounds that the towns of Kenilworth, Brooloo and Conondale have no community of interest with the towns in the Nanango area and the area shown as included in the proposed electorate. Rather they are physically, commercially and socially connected to the areas of Nambour, Gympie, Maroochydore and the Sunshine Coast Hinterland area. In addition:

1. These three towns rely for their major commerce on the coastal towns of Gympie, Nambour and Maleny. They have no direct road connection with the Nanango area and no business or social connection with that area.

2. For these towns the Health Support Systems are all based in Nambour, Maleny or Gympie. Such services as hospitals, specialist services and aged facilities are all located East of our towns, never in a Westward direction.

3. Gaining access to the Parliamentarian for these towns would be very difficult as all access roads run in an Easterly direction. Likewise, servicing this area would prove difficult for the elected incumbent.

I therefore, request that the redistribution of electoral boundaries include the towns of Kenilworth, Brooloo and Conondale in an area based on the Coast.

Sincerely

Signature

Name Leslie Gordon Fisher
Address 18 Maleny Rd
Date 6th May 1999

ELECTORAL COMMISSION
QUEENSLAND
10 MAY 1999

RECEIVED
7th May 1999

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300,
BRISBANE 4001

Dear Sirs,

I am writing to protest most strongly about the boundary redistribution whereby Conondale and Crystal Waters would be part of the Nanango electorate.

It makes no sense whatsoever to split off our community from our Hinterland cousins. It would be more efficient and politically correct to place Witta, Reesville, Conondale and Crystal Waters with Glasshouse as previously. Our address is a Maleny address (MS16 Maleny) so why would we be split off from our natural neighbours?

I urge you to reconsider this very foolhardy boundary redistribution which will seriously disadvantage members of this electorate.

Yours sincerely,

Patria Cardle
47 Crystal Waters
MS16 Maleny
4552
7th May 1999

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300,
BRISBANE  4001

Dear Sirs,

We are writing to protest most strongly about the boundary redistribution whereby Conondale and Crystal Waters would be part of the Nanango electorate.

It does not make sense for Crystal Waters and Conondale to be part of Nanango, which has little or nothing in common with our part of the world, and thereby "cut off" from our Hinterland neighbours. It would, surely, be more efficient and politically correct to place Witta, Reesville, Conondale and Crystal Waters with Glasshouse as in previous electorate distributions.

We urge you to reconsider the proposed boundary redistribution which will seriously disadvantage members of this electorate.

Yours sincerely,

Crystal Waters
MS16 Maleny
4552

(Ian Dooley: Lot 31)
The Queensland Redistribution Commission  
Locked Bag 3300  
BRISBANE QLD 9001

Queensland Electoral Redistribution

I note that the town of Kenilworth is proposed to be included in the new electorate of Nanango along with other small towns situated in the Mary Valley, Brooloo and Conondale.

I wish to strenuously object to this arrangement on the grounds that the towns of Kenilworth, Brooloo and Conondale have no community of interest with the towns in the Nanango area and the area shown as included in the proposed electorate. Rather they are physically, commercially and socially connected to the areas of Nambour, Gympie, Maroochydore and the Sunshine Coast Hinterland area.

In addition:

1. These three towns rely for their major commerce on the coastal towns of Gympie, Nambour and Maleny. They have no direct road connection with the Nanango area and no business or social connection with that area.

2. For these towns the Health Support Systems are all based in Nambour, Maleny or Gympie. Such services as hospitals, specialist services and aged facilities are all located East of our towns, never in a Westward direction.

3. Gaining access to the Parliamentarian for the area would be very difficult as road access all run in an Easterly direction. Likewise, servicing this area would prove difficult for the elected incumbent.

I therefore, request that the redistribution of electoral boundaries include the towns of Kenilworth, Brooloo and Conondale in an area based on the Coast.

Sincerely

J.R. Stuart

Signature

Name JOHN STUART  
Address 6 MARGARET STREET  
KENILWORTH 4574  
Date 07-05-99
The Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE  QLD  9001

Queensland Electoral Redistribution

I note that the town of Kenilworth is proposed to be included in the new electorate of Nanango along with other small towns situated in the Mary Valley, Brooloo and Conondale.

I wish to strenuously object to this arrangement on the grounds that the towns of Kenilworth, Brooloo and Conondale have no community of interest with the towns in the Nanango area and the area shown as included in the proposed electorate. Rather they are physically, commercially and socially connected to the areas of Nambour, Gympie, Maroochydore and the Sunshine Coast Hinterland area.
In addition:

1. These three towns rely for their major commerce on the coastal towns of Gympie, Nambour and Maleny. They have no direct road connection with the Nanango area and no business or social connection with that area.

2. For these towns the Health Support Systems are all based in Nambour, Maleny or Gympie. Such services as hospitals, specialist services and aged facilities are all located East of our towns, never in a Westward direction.

3. Gaining access to the Parliamentarian for the area would be very difficult as road access all run in an Easterly direction. Likewise, servicing this area would prove difficult for the elected encumbant.

I therefore, request that the redistribution of electoral boundaries include the towns of Kenilworth, Brooloo and Conondale in an area based on the Coast.

Sincerely

Signature  Kaye Stuart

Name  Kaye Stuart  Address  6 Margaret St
Date  7/5/99  Kenilworth 4574
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Level 6 Forestry House
160 Mary Street
BRISBANE Q 4000

We have noted that the town of Kenilworth is proposed to be included in the new electorate of Nanango along with other small towns situated in the Mary Valley, Brooloo and Conondale.

We wish to strenuously object to this arrangement for the following reasons:

1. Kenilworth, Brooloo and Conondale are farming and timber areas situated in the Mary Valley. They are aligned in a business and tourist sense with the Sunshine Coast Hinterland area.
2. These three towns rely for their major commerce on the coastal towns of Gympie, Nambour and Maleny. They have no road connection with the Nanango area and no business or social connection with that area.
3. Our roads lead to the hinterland towns, the Sunshine Coast towns and the Bruce Highway. We have no ties with the Nanango area as that area is serviced by a major highway inland from us and over the mountain range from us.
4. Newspapers covering this area are located on the coast. We get no news of the area covered in the Nanango Electorate and therefore have no business, social or emotional connection with that area.
5. In terms of climate, we enjoy a semi tropical environment and the Nanango Electorate is essentially the drier inland Queensland area. These factors alone suggest that we should be grouped with areas of similar needs and similar demands.
6. Placing us in the Nanango Electorate would tend to isolate us electorally from the Maroochy Shire Council and other towns in that Shire. Electoral boundaries should be aligned to the Local Government boundaries.

We are aware that the Commission is concerned with 'making the numbers right' and as this is a low population area, we believe that with some massaging of other boundaries the difference in numbers of population can be made up.

To include our three towns in an electoral area which isolates us on business, transport, social and economic bases is particularly bad and we request that this area of distribution be redrawn.

C Farley
P V Farley

Paul & Cheryl
The Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

Queensland Electoral Redistribution

I note that the town of Kenilworth is proposed to be included in the new electorate of Nanango along with other small towns situated in the Mary Valley, Brooloo and Conondale.

I wish to strenuously object to this arrangement on the grounds that the towns of Kenilworth, Brooloo and Conondale have no community of interest with the towns of the Nanango area and the area shown as included in the proposed electorate. Rather they are physically, commercially and socially connected to the areas of Nambour, Gympie, Maroochydore and the Sunshine Coast Hinterland area.

In addition:

1. These three towns rely for their major commerce on the coastal towns of Gympie, Nambour and Maleny. They have no direct road connection with the Nanango area and no business or social connection with that area.

2. For these towns the Health Support Systems are all based in Nambour, Maleny or Gympie. Such services as hospitals, specialist services and aged facilities are all located East of our towns, never in a Westward direction.

3. Gaining access to the Parliamentarian for these towns would be very difficult as all access roads run in an Easterly direction. Likewise, servicing this area would prove difficult for the elected incumbent.

I therefore, request that the redistribution of electoral boundaries include the towns of Kenilworth, Brooloo and Conondale in an area based on the Coast.

Sincerely

Signature

Name

Address

Date

10 MAY 1999

RECEIVED
Queensland Electoral Redistribution

I note that the town of Kenilworth is proposed to be included in the new electorate of Nanango along with other small towns situated in the Mary Valley, Brooloo and Conondale.

I wish to strenuously object to this arrangement on the grounds that the towns of Kenilworth, Brooloo and Conondale have no community of interest with the towns of the Nanango area and the area shown as included in the proposed electorate. Rather they are physically, commercially and socially connected to the areas of Nambour, Gympie, Maroochydore and the Sunshine Coast Hinterland area.

In addition:

1. These three towns rely for their major commerce on the coastal towns of Gympie, Nambour and Maleny. They have no direct road connection with the Nanango area and no business or social connection with that area.

2. For these towns the Health Support Systems are all based in Nambour, Maleny or Gympie. Such services as hospitals, specialist services and aged facilities are all located East of our towns, never in a Westward direction.

3. Gaining access to the Parliamentarian for these towns would be very difficult as all access roads run in an Easterly direction. Likewise, servicing this area would prove difficult for the elected incumbent.

I therefore, request that the redistribution of electoral boundaries include the towns of Kenilworth, Brooloo and Conondale in an area based on the Coast.

Sincerely

R.L. Wellington

Signature

Name Rose Lyle Wellington

Address "Waterloo"

Date 7-5-99

Via Tanundri 4562
P.O. BOX 23
KENILWORTH 4574

6 MAY 1999

REDISTRIBUTION COMMISSION
LOCKED BAG 3300
BRISBANE 9001

Dear Members

We wish to express our strongest opposition to the inclusion of the township of Kenilworth and district in the new proposed electorate of Nanango.

There is no community of interest between the Kenilworth District and most of the remainder of the proposed electorate that is not already in the Maroochydore Shire. Our community of interest lies with the greater part of the Maroochydore Shire. Government and non-government organizations which provide services and infrastructure are based in either the Maroochydore Shire at Nambour or the Sunshine Coast or within the Coolum Shire at Gympie. We would not use any health or medical services in any of the major centres such as Kingaroy in the new electorate.

Kenilworth is in the Sunshine Coast Hinterland on the western side of the Maroochydore Shire but our economic development, infrastructure and service provision is linked inextricably with centres to the east of Kenilworth not to the west.

Social interests as represented by sporting clubs, service groups, arts groups etc are sustained through contact with like groups in Nambour, Gympie and on the Sunshine Coast, supporting the strength of the existing community of interest.

We ask that our objections be noted and acted upon so that Kenilworth remains as part of the electorate of NICKLIN.

Yours sincerely
LES and SHIRLEY MORELAND

[Signature]

Queensland Electoral Commission
10 MAY 1999
RECEIVED
We, The undersigned hereby express our opposition to the inclusion of our residences and properties into the electorate of NANANGO. For the benefit of our community we respectfully request that the boundaries are aligned to include the North West portion of Maroochy Shire in the electorate of NICKLIN.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PRINT NAME</th>
<th>ADDRESS</th>
<th>SIGN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RUDINIO DEO</td>
<td>66, 001 RD, KENILWORTH</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alisa Gillinawy</td>
<td>15, PHILIP RD, KENILWORTH</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAROL FOWLER</td>
<td>17, ELIZABETH ST, KENILWORTH</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DAVID FORSTER</td>
<td>17, ELIZABETH ST, KENILWORTH</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRANE WRIGHT</td>
<td>PO BOX 171, KENILWORTH</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sonya Fitzpatrick</td>
<td>Mary Valley Rd, Brooloo</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GLEN WOLTER</td>
<td>Mary Creek Rd, KENILWORTH</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. Jordan</td>
<td>Maleny Rd, KENILWORTH</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. WEBSTER</td>
<td>Hunsley Rd, II</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FREDDERIANI</td>
<td>Maleny Rd, KENILWORTH</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M. McINTOSH</td>
<td>BRYANT RD, MBK</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. Laffey</td>
<td>KENILWORTH</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ross FRIEDEN</td>
<td>Margaret St, KENILWORTH</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F. ROSMAN</td>
<td>CORRAGINE RD, KENILWORTH</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

10 MAY 1999
The Queensland Redistribution Commission  
160 Mary St  
BRISBANE

We, the undersigned hereby express our opposition to the inclusion of our residences and properties into the electorate of NANANGO. For the benefit of our community we respectfully request that the boundaries are aligned to include the North West portion of Maroochy Shire in the electorate of NICKLIN.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PRINT NAME</th>
<th>ADDRESS</th>
<th>SIGN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MARK HOYES</td>
<td>WALLI MT ROAD KENILWORTH</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Margaret Goeths</td>
<td>CoLoabine Ck Rd Kenilworth</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hazel Woods</td>
<td>Falls Ck Rd 081 081</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jim Powell</td>
<td>190 Gold Ck Rd, NTH, ARM</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kerrie Long</td>
<td>4. Angus Cresceh, Kureela</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sue Eastwood</td>
<td>Kenilworth Common Rd Kenilworth</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jan Scotth</td>
<td>Walli Mt Rd, Kworth</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sharon Owens</td>
<td>Apt Prapore St, Kenilworth</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LH Smith</td>
<td>8. Mount Cwm, Kinbarra</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greg Woods</td>
<td>Zall Ck Rd Oli Oli Kenilworth</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

Queensland Electoral Redistribution

I note that the town of Kenilworth is proposed to be included in the new electorate of Nanango along with other small towns situated in the Mary Valley, Brooloo and Conondale.

I wish to strenuously object to this arrangement on the grounds that the towns of Kenilworth, Brooloo and Conondale have no community of interest with the towns of the Nanango area and the area shown as included in the proposed electorate. Rather they are physically, commercially and socially connected to the areas of Nambour, Gympie, Maroochydore and the Sunshine Coast Hinterland area.

In addition:

1. These three towns rely for their major commerce on the coastal towns of Gympie, Nambour and Maleny. They have no direct road connection with the Nanango area and no business or social connection with that area.

2. For these towns the Health Support Systems are all based in Nambour, Maleny or Gympie. Such services as hospitals, specialist services and aged facilities are all located East of our towns, never in a Westward direction.

3. Gaining access to the Parliamentarian for these towns would be very difficult as all access roads run in an Easterly direction. Likewise, servicing this area would prove difficult for the elected incumbent.

I therefore, request that the redistribution of electoral boundaries include the towns of Kenilworth, Brooloo and Conondale in an area based on the Coast.

This is not about objecting to change but rather an appropriate and reasonable decision being made.

Sincerely

Signature

Name

Address

Date

11 Brooloo Rd
Kenilworth Q.

Electoral Commission
QUEENSLAND
10 MAY 1999

[Signature]

[Name]

[Address]

[Date]
Queensland Electoral Redistribution

I note that the town of Kenilworth is proposed to be included in the new electorate of Nanango along with other small towns situated in the Mary Valley, Brooloo and Conondale.

I wish to strenuously object to this arrangement on the grounds that the towns of Kenilworth, Brooloo and Conondale have no community of interest with the towns of the Nanango area and the area shown as included in the proposed electorate. Rather they are physically, commercially and socially connected to the areas of Nambour, Gympie, Maroochydore and the Sunshine Coast Hinterland area.

In addition:

1. These three towns rely for their major commerce on the coastal towns of Gympie, Nambour and Maleny. They have no direct road connection with the Nanango area and no business or social connection with that area.

2. For these towns the Health Support Systems are all based in Nambour, Maleny or Gympie. Such services as hospitals, specialist services and aged facilities are all located East of our towns, never in a Westward direction.

3. Gaining access to the Parliamentarian for these towns would be very difficult as all access roads run in an Easterly direction. Likewise, servicing this area would prove difficult for the elected incumbent.

I therefore, request that the redistribution of electoral boundaries include the towns of Kenilworth, Brooloo and Conondale in an area based on the Coast.

Sincerely

Signature

[Signature]

Name

Frederick B. Thomson

Address

[Address]

Date 7/5/99

[Stamp: ELECTORAL COMMISSION QUEENSLAND 10 MAY 1999 REACHED]
NICOLA SCOTT AND JOHN OSBORNE, Lot 5 Corks Pocket Road, Reesville, Maleny, Q. 4552. PH/FAX; 07 5499 9471

10th May, 1999

Queensland Electoral Commission
Brisbane

QRCl0BSJ 732

Dear Madam/Sir,

I wish to express my strong opposition to your moving of the electoral boundaries to exclude Reesville, Witta, Conondale, Crystal Waters, Bellthorpe and Booroobin from the Maleny area.

I am proud to be associated with Maleny and am involved with its community, and Maleny is incidentally 8 minutes drive from us, whereas I have never in my life visited Esk, Blackbutt, Kingaroy, and Wamuran, which are geographically remote from us, and am sure they have never heard of Reesville.

You clearly have no understanding of the importance of a community in society.

I am also appalled that decisions can be taken # way without referral with any decent notice to the residents who are affected, and who will actually be doing the electing.

I request that you reconsider this redistribution, and allow the first mentioned areas, including Reesville, to remain within the electorate and community of Maleny.

Yours sincerely,

NICOLA SCOTT & JOHN OSBORNE
The Secretary
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE 9001
Fax. 3225 2601
Ph. 1800 801665

RE: PROPOSED QUEENSLAND ELECTORAL BOUNDARIES
REDISTRIBUTION
PROPOSED SEAT OF NANANGO

I would like to strongly voice my discontent at the proposed Queensland Electoral Boundaries Redistribution of the Seat of Nanango and the effect this will have on my community of Conondale.

The hinterland communities including my community of Conondale have no ties to the community of Kingaroy of the district of Nanango and feel it would be detrimental for the areas of Conondale, Crystal Waters, Witta, Belthorpe, Booroobin, Reeserville and Kenilworth to be included within these electoral boundaries.

I believe it would be beneficial for the proposed boundary between Glasshouse and Nanango to be redrawn to include the above communities in Glasshouse.

There is a strong community of interest between these communities and Maleny, the major town in the area. They are joined by geographic and topographic features and share communality and homogeneity through agricultural, pastoral and tourism industries. Maleny is the shopping, business, cultural and social centre of our area.

All these communities have little or no communality with Nanango. The mountains of the Great Dividing Range form a complete barrier to communication and communality with Nanango.

I hope you look favourably upon my objection and the suggested alternative.

Yours sincerely,

[Signature]

Huguite Gaudard
Harveys Ck Rd
Conondale 4552

Address details:
The Secretary  
Queensland Redistribution Commission  
Locked Bag 3300  
BRISBANE 9001  
Fax: 3225 2601  
Ph. 1800 801665

RE: PROPOSED QUEENSLAND ELECTORAL BOUNDARIES  
REDISTRIBUTION  
PROPOSED SEAT OF NANANGO

I would like to strongly voice my discontent at the proposed Queensland Electoral Boundaries Redistribution of the Seat of Nanango and the effect this will have on my community of Conondale.

The hinterland communities including my community of Conondale have no ties to the community of Kingaroy or the district of Nanango and feel it would be detrimental for the areas of Conondale, Crystal Waters, Witta, Belthorpe, Booroobin, Reeseville and Kenilworth to be included within these electoral boundaries.

I believe it would be beneficial for the proposed boundary between Glasshouse and Nanango to be redrawn to include the above communities in Glasshouse.

There is a strong community of interest between these communities and Maleny, the major town in the area. They are joined by geographic and topographic features and share communality and homogeneity through agricultural, pastoral and tourism industries. Maleny is the shopping, business, cultural and social centre of our area.

All these communities have little or no communality with Nanango. The mountains of the Great Dividing Range form a complete barrier to communication and communality with Nanango.

I hope you look favourably upon my objection and the suggested alternative.

Yours sincerely,

[Signature]

Address Details:

[Signature]  
LOT 46  
CRYSTAL WATERS  
S - 16
The Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

Queensland Electoral Redistribution

I note that the town of Kenilworth is proposed to be included in the new electorate of Nanango along with other small towns situated in the Mary Valley, Brooloo and Conondale.

I wish to strenuously object to this arrangement on the grounds that the towns of Kenilworth, Brooloo and Conondale have no community of interest with the towns in the Nanango area and the area shown as included in the proposed electorate. Rather they are physically, commercially and socially connected to the areas of Nambour, Gympie, Maroochydore and the Sunshine Coast Hinterland area.

In addition:

1. These three towns rely for their major commerce on the coastal towns of Gympie, Nambour and Maleny. They have no direct road connection with the Nanango area and no business or social connection with that area.

2. For these towns the Health Support Systems are all based in Nambour, Maleny or Gympie. Such services as hospitals, specialist services and aged facilities are all located East of our towns, never in a Westward direction.

3. Gaining access to the Parliamentarian for the area would be very difficult as road access all run in an Easterly direction. Likewise, servicing this area would prove difficult for the elected encumbant.

I therefore, request that the redistribution of electoral boundaries include the towns of Kenilworth, Brooloo and Conondale in an area based on the Coast.

Sincerely

J. C. Hassall

Signature

Name JAMES C. HASSALL Address 34 PHILLIP ST.
Date 5-5-99 KENILWORTH 4574
The Queensland Redistribution Commission  
Locked Bag 3300  
BRISBANE QLD 9001

Queensland Electoral Redistribution

I note that the town of Kenilworth is proposed to be included in the new electorate of Nanango along with other small towns situated in the Mary Valley, Brooloo and Conondale.

I wish to strenuously object to this arrangement on the grounds that the towns of Kenilworth, Brooloo and Conondale have no community of interest with the towns in the Nanango area and the area shown as included in the proposed electorate. Rather they are physically, commercially and socially connected to the areas of Nambour, Gympie, Maroochydore and the Sunshine Coast Hinterland area.

In addition:

1. These three towns rely for their major commerce on the coastal towns of Gympie, Nambour and Maleny. They have no direct road connection with the Nanango area and no business or social connection with that area.

2. For these towns the Health Support Systems are all based in Nambour, Maleny or Gympie. Such services as hospitals, specialist services and aged facilities are all located East of our towns, never in a Westward direction.

3. Gaining access to the Parliamentarian for the area would be very difficult as road access all run in an Easterly direction. Likewise, servicing this area would prove difficult for the elected incumbent.

I therefore, request that the redistribution of electoral boundaries include the towns of Kenilworth, Brooloo and Conondale in an area based on the Coast.

Sincerely

[Signature]

Name OLIVE F. HASSALL  
Address  
Date 5-5-99

Electorate Commission  
QUEENSLAND  
10 MAY 1999  
RECEIVED

34 PHILLIP ST  
KENILWORTH 4574
RE: INCLUSION OF KENILWORTH & DISTRICT IN THE PROPOSED ELECTORATE OF NANANGO

Having checked the boundaries of the proposed new electorate of Nanango, I hereby lodge my strong objection to the transfer of Kenilworth and district from its present situation in the electorate of Kicklin to Nanango.

The grounds for my objection are that the Redistribution Commission has failed to follow the Legislative Criteria for determining electoral boundaries .... namely these points —

(a) COMMUNITY OF INTEREST

Economic. There is no economic connection between the Kenilworth district and the Brisbane Valley and further west.

Main shopping centres for household and business needs are Nambour, Karoochydore and Gympie.

Predominantly, primary products, such as milk, sand, gravel, timber, are transported east to the Bruce Highway to mills, factories and building sites on the Sunshine Coast and beyond.

Social. Sporting clubs are linked to the Sunshine Coast region. Medical services are accessed at S’shine Coast hospitals, and with doctors and specialists based on or visiting the S’shine Coas. The frail aged seek care at S’shine Coast nursing homes. Schools are linked to the S’shine Coast region. Further education is available through S’shine Coast University and Cooloola-Sunshine TAFE.

Apart from local events, the closest and favoured entertainment venues are along the S’shine Coast.

Regional. Kenilworth is part of the Maroochy Shire, at the centre of the S’shine Coast. Contact with Government and other support services is made through S’shine Coast agencies.

For tourist purposes, Kenilworth is marketed as part of the "Sunshine Coast Hinterland" — NOT THE DOORSTEP OF THE BRISBANE VALLEY.

(b) COMMUNICATION AND TRAVEL

Between Kenilworth and the towns of the Brisbane Valley lie the Conondale Ranges, the Jimna Range, the Kandanga and Amamoor Ranges, and the Brisbane Range, with large tracts of State Forests and National Parks. Therefore, roads between Kenilworth and the Brisbane Valley are long and circuitous due to the topography of the region.
2.

(b) COMMUNICATION AND TRAVEL (Cont)

Phone charges to the Brisbane Valley and beyond are STD. The S'shine Coast Hinterland relies on Channel 7 S.C. Coast and WIN Television for local news and advertising. No signal emanates from the Brisbane Valley.

No Brisbane Valley newspaper circulates in this area.

(c) PHYSICAL FEATURES

The ranges already mentioned (part of the Great Divide), plus the forests, form a natural barrier to easy communication and community of interest between Kenilworth and the Brisbane Valley.

(d) BOUNDARIES OF EXISTING ELECTORAL DISTRICTS

During the past 30 years or so, Kenilworth has been affected by electoral boundary changes, becoming part of Landsborough, Coorooroo, Glasshouse and Nicklin electorates respectively over those years, but all were based with the Sunshine Coast and the immediate hinterland. Never has the area been incorporated into an electorate extending west.

The elected member for the proposed Nanango electorate would have a very unwieldy area to serve, and an electoral office in, say, Nanango or Kingaroy would be difficult to access from the Sunshine Coast Hinterland.

I submit that the aforesaid observations prove that none of the Legislative Criteria has been adhered to by the Commission in determining boundaries which place the Kenilworth area in the new Nanango electorate. My objections are also pertinent to other parts of the Maroochy Shire, such as Belli, and areas around and to the west of Bumundi and Yandina, for which there will be strenuous representations for remaining in a more relevant Sunshine Coast electorate.

I look forward to a more realistic arrangement of electoral boundaries for Kenilworth and district.

Yours faithfully,

[Fay A. Miller]

57 Charles Street, KENILWORTH, 4574.
(Life-time resident of Maroochy Shire.)
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE  QLD  9001

Dear Sirs,

We live in Kenilworth situated in the hinterland of the Maroochy Shire, and we wish to protest most vehemently about the changes in the Electoral Boundaries for this area - namely, removing us from the Electorate of Nicklin and placing us in the seat of Nanango.

Our objections are as follows:-

(1)  We had no economic, social or regional interests with that area.

(2)  Because of population distribution the member must surely come from the Burnett area, so more problems arise from this fact. All telephone calls would be STD, instead of local as is the case at present, when our member lives and works in Nambour. If people wished to see their member it would be a three and a half hours journey both ways, necessitating a whole day's travel. On the other side of the coin, how often would the member attend functions in our area such as night meetings (P. C. Meetings, S.M.S. Meetings)?

(3)  All our Interests lie within the Nambour area e.g. Shopping, Medical (Nambour general Hospital, Selangor Private Hospital) various Medial Specialists, a variety of High Schools, TAFE College, and many Sporting Activities.

Therefore we hope that common sense will prevail, and we will be left in the seat of Nicklin where all our interests lie.

Yours faithfully,

[Signatures]

H. W. PORTER

A. R. PORTER

Residential Address  53 Elizabeth Street
KENILWORTH.  Qld.  4574
The Queensland Redistribution Commission  
Locked Bag 3300  
BRISBANE QLD 9001  

Queensland Electoral Redistribution

I note that the town of Kenilworth is proposed to be included in the new electorate of Nanango along with other small towns situated in the Mary Valley, Brooloo and Conondale.

I wish to strenuously object to this arrangement on the grounds that the towns of Kenilworth, Brooloo and Conondale have no community of interest with the towns in the Nanango area and the area shown as included in the proposed electorate. Rather they are physically, commercially and socially connected to the areas of Nambour, Gympie, Maroochydore and the Sunshine Coast Hinterland area.

In addition:

1. These three towns rely for their major commerce on the coastal towns of Gympie, Nambour and Maleny. They have no direct road connection with the Nanango area and no business or social connection with that area.

2. For these towns the Health Support Systems are all based in Nambour, Maleny or Gympie. Such services as hospitals, specialist services and aged facilities are all located East of our towns, never in a Westward direction.

3. Gaining access to the Parliamentarian for the area would be very difficult as road access all run in an Easterly direction. Likewise, servicing this area would prove difficult for the elected encumbant.

I therefore, request that the redistribution of electoral boundaries include the towns of Kenilworth, Brooloo and Conondale in an area based on the Coast.

Sincerely  

[Signature]

Name  

[Name]

Date  

[Date]
5 May 1999

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

Dear Sir/Madam

The members of the Belli Community Hall Association Inc. wish to express their complete opposition to the boundaries for the seats of Nicklin and Nanango proposed by the Queensland Redistribution Commission in its report of April 1999.

The members of our community are absolutely opposed to the inclusion of the Belli area, Kenilworth and parts of Eumundi in the new electorate of Nanango.

We raise the following issues with respect to this opposition:

1. There is no community of interest between the Eumundi-Belli-Kenilworth areas and those of the remainder of the electorate. At best there is some community of interest with portions of the Maroochy Shire in the mid and south eastern parts of the proposed electorate.

2. Most of the organisations, both government and non-government which provide services to the Belli area are based in Maroochy and/or Noosa Shire. Maroochydore and Nambour in particular are major regional service centres for the entire Sunshine Coast, with government primary health services such as mental health services, community health and non-government services such as Lifeline, Lions Emergency Accommodation Service, Regional Housing Council being based in these locations. These services are expected to extend to the Eumundi-Belli-Kenilworth areas. Excising these rural areas from the electorate, and including them in the remote area of another electorate, will invariably lead to greater inequities and dislocation in service provision.

3. The increasing partnership and cooperation between all levels of government requires some consistency in areas services and developed. Increasing the number of representatives which require consultation, lobbying and participation in proposed infrastructure development results in less efficient and effective planning and implementation processes. As a case in point residents are particularly
concerned with difficulties which will arise in the provision and maintenance of roads, in particular the Eumundi-Kenilworth road and its proposed upgrade.

4. For many years non-government and community organisations on the Sunshine Coast have been working to achieve greater consistency between boundaries for electorates, Shire Council and both federal and state government departments. This has been sought to ensure better coordination of infrastructure, service and economic development. This proposed boundary change is totally opposed to this effort, and will result in greater impost on the time and resources of the many voluntary community organisations which work hard to develop and maintain important community services.

5. The size and lack of what appears to be a clear community of interest across the entire proposed electorate of Nanango will place many people in a position of being unable to access their elected representative in an effective and economical manner. Telephone calls across the electorate will not be charged at a local call cost, and distance alone will result in many people, particularly those who are economically disadvantaged, being unable to exercise their democratic rights to representation.

In conclusion, we wish to express our total opposition to the inclusion of Belli in the proposed electorate of Nanango, and advise that we are of the opinion that the Belli area should be included in the electorate of Nicklin.

YOURS FAITHFULLY

Margaret Long - Secretary
Belli Community Hall Association Inc.
I wish to lodge an objection to the proposed changes to the electoral boundaries of the Maleny region on the basis that the changes would not be within the spirit of the Electoral Act.

My objection concerns the splitting of parts of the Maleny plateau from the bulk of the area, namely the areas to the south west and north west known as Reeseville and Witta respectively.

Under Section 46(1) of the Act consideration is to be given to the following:
(a) the extent to which there is a community of economic, social, regional, or other interests within each proposed electoral district;
(b) the ways of communication and travel within each proposed electoral district;
(c) the physical features of each proposed electoral district;
(d) the boundaries of existing electoral districts

In the proposed boundaries these obviously have not been taken into consideration.

What community of interest can these areas possibly have with an electorate based on Nanango? The residents of Maleny would travel to the coast, to Nambour or to Beerwah, on route to Brisbane, much more regularly than to Nanango. How is a boundary fence a physical feature along which an electoral boundary of some length can logically be created?

On p5 of the document it is stated that" The Commission is of the view that changes to existing electoral boundaries should be kept to a minimum where possible". It is not only possible but strongly desirable that this be followed in the case of the Maleny district.

The population of the Reeseville and Witta parts of Maleny would not amount to more than 2000 and so could easily be removed from the proposed Nanango electorate which is 8.7% over the quota. Likewise there is no problem caused by the addition of these voters to the Glasshouse electorate which is listed as –0.5%.

On p5 it states “The Commission has also placed considerable weight on local government boundaries…” but obviously not enough in this case. The whole of the Maleny end of the range is in the Caloundra City boundaries and as I have indicated above the small numbers involved do not satisfy the “where it has been forced to do so because of quota requirements.”

As I have indicated there are a number of very strong reasons why this proposed boundary should be changed to include the whole of the Maleny plateau in the one electorate. I trust that that you will give my views careful consideration.

Yours faithfully,

[Signature]

(QSC & W PAILIO)
The Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked bag 3300,
Brisbane,
Q'ld., 9001.

To the Commissioner or who it may concern.

I, John Robert Marsden, and I, Pauline Sandra Marsden, strongly object to being included in the proposed new electorate of Glasshouse. We are residents of the town, and district of Eudlo. The proposed boundary for the new electorate would split the town, and district of Eudlo in half.
By placing us in the proposed new electorate we would be placed in a position in which we would be divorced from sharing in and contributing to any decision making of an economical, social, or administrative nature pertaining to our town, the town of Eudlo which is our community hub.
We draw your attention to Section 46 (1) and (2) of the act. The boundary proposed for the new electorate of Glasshouse clearly contravenes the intent of this section.

Yours sincerely,

John R. Marsden.  

Pauline S. Marsden.
The Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE 9001

Dear Sir/Madam,

I am writing to express my strong objection to the local boundary for the proposed new electorate of Glasshouse. As a resident of the Eudlo community, I am concerned that the proposal uses an arbitrary physical boundary to separate members of the community in terms of their electoral representation. I consider that I live and work as a resident of the Sunshine Coast however this proposal would suggest that I am part of the Brisbane North region - an area with which I have little affiliation or community interest.

Our township may be little but we possess a strong sense of community. The number of residents living on the western side of the railway, is relatively small in number but we relate to the township in terms of local services i.e. school, shop, post office, recreation and the rail services as do the residents on the eastern side. The proposed division will also complicate any relationship with our elected representatives where only some members of our established community belong to a given electorate.

I believe Section 46 (1) & (2) of the Act support my objections. At the recent Community Meeting it was suggested that the new boundary should follow the existing border between Maroochy Shire and Caloundra City. I support this recommendation, as it will preserve the integrity of the community.

I am sending a copy of this letter to both Peter Wellington and Bruce Laming so that they are also aware of my objection.

Yours faithfully,

Karen Malcolm

[Signature]

ELECTORAL COMMISSION
QUEENSLAND

10 MAY 1999

RECEIVED
Dear Sir,

I wish to object to the redistribution affecting the township of Endlo by splitting the community along the central railway line. The community is made up of the town and outlying residences and to divide these into two electorates would make the present community spirit which exists; such a division would affect detrimentally activities such as hard core activities which benefit the residents and the environment.

In my opinion it would be a far more sensible division if redistribution was made along the local government boundaries where there is already separation.

Yours faithfully,

[Signature]

Karinia Cornass
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
Brisbane 9001

30th April 1999

RE: PROPOSED QUEENSLAND ELECTORAL BOUNDARIES

Dear Sir,

At a recent public meeting of the Eudlo & Ilkley District Community Association (EIDCA), it was moved and unanimously supported that an objection be lodged regarding the location of proposed electoral boundaries dividing the electorates of Glasshouse and Nicklin in the community of Eudlo.

EIDCA believes the Commission has not given due consideration to the legislative criteria set out in section 46(1) of the Act when considering the location of such boundaries in this instance.

In particular, the use of the railway line as the boundary divides our community to the point where:

a) There is more than a century of established settlement on both sides of the railway line which has identified Eudlo township as its centre. This township is where families access the services of post, school, shop, community hall and sporting events. The proposed boundary would split this economic, social and regional interest within our community.

b) Residents west of this line are physically isolated from the rest of the proposed electorate of Glasshouse. The area is landlocked to the west, north and south by the Blackall and Mooloolah Ranges. Access from this area can only be through Eudlo township. Residents must drive through the electorate of Nicklin to get to the electorate of Glasshouse.

c) The areas east and west of the proposed boundary currently exist as part of Division Two of the Maroochy Shire and are represented by the same Councillor. This would appear to conflict with the recommended consideration of local government boundaries under section 46(2) of the Act.

EIDCA would like the Commission to strongly consider the established boundary between Maroochy Shire and Caloundra City as the preferred state electoral boundary. This boundary has traditionally defined the community of interest of Eudlo District, both topographically, socially and electorally.

Yours faithfully,

[Signature]

Alan Hammond
CHAIRMAN E.I.D.C.A.
PETITION

TO: The Queensland Electoral Commissioner:

The Petition of electors of the Division of Mooloolah draws to your attention their concerns regarding the proposed changes to the State Electoral Boundaries, in particular, the proposed changes to the Nicklin and Glasshouse electorates.

We rely on the following issues which we consider have not been given due consideration under Section 46(1) of the Act in the establishment of the proposed boundaries:

- The proposed boundaries would divide the economic, social and regional interests of the Eudlo community.
- Vehicular travel from the proposed Glasshouse electorate (i.e., west of the rail line) must pass through the Nicklin electorate to access the remaining Glasshouse electorate to the south.
- The proposed boundary does not consider the natural topography where the proposed Glasshouse electorate west of the rail line in Eudlo is physically isolated from the main body of the Division by a mountain range.
- The proposed electoral boundary does not recognise the established shire boundary which exists immediately to the south of the community and follows the mountain range mentioned above.

Your petitioners therefore request that the Queensland Electoral Commission alter the proposed boundaries between the electorates of Nicklin and Glasshouse by following where possible, the shire boundary between Mooloolah and Eudlo communities as required under Section 46(1) of the Act.

PRINCIPAL PARTITIONER:
EUDLO & ILKLEY DISTRICT COMMUNITY ASSOCIATION INC.
PO BOX 37 EUDLO QLD 4554

FULL NAME  ADDRESS  SIGNATURE

CHRIS DICKENS 19, LONSDALE RD, EUDLO  
MAUREEN STAWITZ 98, LONSDALE RD, EUDLO  
JUGI STEWART 264, WILSON RD, EUDLO  
PAM MILLER 38, ANZAC RD, EUDLO  
SUE KENT 19, INKELY RD, EUDLO  
ASSY STICKLAND  TOLSON RD, EUDLO  
A. DAWES 834, HIGHLANDS RD, EUDLO  
H. KIMBER 39, RAMPART RD, EUDLO  
C. KENDALL 274, HIGHLANDS RD, EUDLO  
H. O. FLYNN 352, HIGHLANDS RD, EUDLO  
R. J. RIDDLE  P.O. BOX 170, EUDLO  
J. F. TROY  P.O. BOX 41, EUDLO  
R. M. BAILEY  P.O. BOX 155, EUDLO  
C. D. HENDRY  PERRINS RD, EUDLO  
D. BALLAND 98, ISKELL RD, EUDLO  
DEBORAH DENNIS 22, EUDLO SCH RD, EUDLO  
ROBERT DENNIS 22, EUDLO SCH RD, EUDLO  
PETER DENNIS  BAXAND SYDNEY  
KELLY DENNIS  BAXAND SYDNEY
PETITION

TO: The Queensland Electoral Commissioner:

The Petition of electors of the Division of Mooloolah draws to your attention their concerns regarding the proposed changes to the State Electoral Boundaries, in particular, the proposed changes to the Nicklin and Glasshouse electorates.

We rely on the following issues which we consider have not been given due consideration under Section 46(1) of the Act in the establishment of the proposed boundaries:

- The proposed boundaries would divide the economic, social and regional interests of the Eudlo community.
- Vehicular travel from the proposed Glasshouse electorate (ie west of the rail line) must pass through the Nicklin electorate to access the remaining Glasshouse electorate to the south.
- The proposed boundary does not consider the natural topography where the proposed Glasshouse electorate west of the rail line in Eudlo is physically isolated from the main body of the Division by a mountain range.
- The proposed electoral boundary does not recognise the established shire boundary which exists immediately to the south of the community and follows the mountain range mentioned above.

Your petitioners therefore request that the Queensland Electoral Commission alter the proposed boundaries between the electorates of Nicklin and Glasshouse by following where possible, the shire boundary between Mooloolah and Eudlo communities as required under Section 46(1) of the Act.

PRINCIPAL PARTITIONER:
EUDLO & ILKLEY DISTRICT COMMUNITY ASSOCIATION INC.
PO BOX 37 EUDLO QLD 4554

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FULL NAME</th>
<th>ADDRESS</th>
<th>SIGNATURE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ismire Dean</td>
<td>Narragoot Rd Palmwoods</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Narelle Davison</td>
<td>27 Longwood Rd, Eudlo</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ewan Earlin</td>
<td>5 Calis Av, Eudlo</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Narelle Bradburn</td>
<td>Narragoot Rd, Eudlo</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kay Sheridan</td>
<td>Ash Lane Eudlo</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bronwyn Ritchie</td>
<td>Allum Rd Eudlo</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Les Poole</td>
<td>Nickley Rd</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al. Oder</td>
<td>ex TeC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jawhie Callahan</td>
<td>7 Carlis Av, Eudlo</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Betty Malins</td>
<td>Hopevale Rd</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. Van Der Mant</td>
<td>Robinson Rd</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. Davies</td>
<td>Narragoot Rd, Eudlo</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. Marshall</td>
<td>Narragoot Rd, Eudlo</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mary Ryan</td>
<td>Coolrin Rd, Eudlo</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. Duce</td>
<td>Lot 19 Rambert Rd, Eudlo</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peter Bateeman</td>
<td>Slaughteryard Rd, Eudlo</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heather Jones</td>
<td>Mossbank Rd, Eudlo</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PETITION

TO: The Queensland Electoral Commissioner:

The Petition of electors of the Division of Mooloolah draws to your attention their concerns regarding the proposed changes to the State Electoral Boundaries, in particular, the proposed changes to the Nicklin and Glasshouse electorates.

We rely on the following issues which we consider have not been given due consideration under Section 46(1) of the Act in the establishment of the proposed boundaries:

- The proposed boundaries would divide the economic, social and regional interests of the Eudlo community.
- Vehicular travel from the proposed Glasshouse electorate (ie west of the rail line) must pass through the Nicklin electorate to access the remaining Glasshouse electorate to the south.
- The proposed boundary does not consider the natural topography where the proposed Glasshouse electorate west of the rail line in Eudlo is physically isolated from the main body of the Division by a mountain range.
- The proposed electoral boundary does not recognise the established shire boundary which exists immediately to the south of the community and follows the mountain range mentioned above.

Your petitioners therefore request that the Queensland Electoral Commission alter the proposed boundaries between the electorates of Nicklin and Glasshouse by following where possible, the shire boundary between Mooloolah and Eudlo communities as required under Section 46(1) of the Act.

PRINCIPAL PARTITIONER:
EUDLO & ILKLEY DISTRICT COMMUNITY ASSOCIATION INC.
PO BOX 37 EUDLO QLD 4554

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FULL NAME</th>
<th>ADDRESS</th>
<th>SIGNATURE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Karina Cornwall</td>
<td>56 Rahbert Rd Eudlo</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gary O'Neill</td>
<td>2 Wilson Rd Ilkley</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patricia Algar</td>
<td>Lot 29 Bernard Rd Eudlo</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raymond Algar</td>
<td>59 Sullivan Rd Eudlo</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stephen Kirby</td>
<td>8 Beach Lane, Eudlo</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anna Rode</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PETITION

TO: The Queensland Electoral Commissioner:

The Petition of electors of the Division of Mooloolah draws to your attention their concerns regarding the proposed changes to the State Electoral Boundaries, in particular, the proposed changes to the Nicklin and Glasshouse electorates.

We rely on the following issues which we consider have not been given due consideration under Section 46(1) of the Act in the establishment of the proposed boundaries:

- The proposed boundaries would divide the economic, social and regional interests of the Eudlo community.
- Vehicular travel from the proposed Glasshouse electorate (i.e. west of the rail line) must pass through the Nicklin electorate to access the remaining Glasshouse electorate to the south.
- The proposed boundary does not consider the natural topography where the proposed Glasshouse electorate west of the rail line in Eudlo is physically isolated from the main body of the Division by a mountain range.
- The proposed electoral boundary does not recognise the established shire boundary which exists immediately to the south of the community and follows the mountain range mentioned above.

Your petitioners therefore request that the Queensland Electoral Commission alter the proposed boundaries between the electorates of Nicklin and Glasshouse by following where possible, the shire boundary between Mooloolah and Eudlo communities as required under Section 46(1) of the Act.

PRINCIPAL PARTITIONER:
EUDLO & ILLKLEY DISTRICT COMMUNITY ASSOCIATION INC.
PO BOX 37 EUDLO QLD 4554

FULL NAME | ADDRESS | SIGNATURE
---|---|---
Jane Murphy | 36 Anzac Rd Eudlo |
JLG | 32 Mc Gillchrist Rd EUDLO |
Hanne Elizabeth Duffy | 32 Anzac Rd Eudlo |
Debbie Lawrence | Lot 25 Perkins Rd Eudlo |
Irene Archeridge | 227 Highlands Rd Eudlo |
Karen Ellis | Johnson Rd Eudlo |
P Mckittrick | 1 P Bamber Rand Eudlo |
JJ O'Mara | 141 Highlands Rd Eudlo |
J Rikimann | Mc Gillchrist Rd Eudlo |
J Von Magius | Lot 2 Anzac Rd Eudlo |
ASH Lane EUDLO |
SHANG JINCE | COWEN Rd EUDLO |
Patricia | 256 McCarty Road Eudlo |
Robert Tinnavuk | Eudlo |
Gary Fagan | 3 Corus Ave Eudlo |
Fiona Fagan | 169 Mc Gillchrist Rd Eudlo |
Helene Mayer | PO Box 161 Eudlo |
Judy Mason | PO Box 178 Eudlo |
Pat Shear | Q O Box 236 |
Kathryn Fagan | P O Box 167 |

ALAN HAMMOND CHAIRMAN

[Signature]
PETITION

TO: The Queensland Electoral Commissioner:

The Petition of electors of the Division of Mooloolah draws to your attention their concerns regarding the proposed changes to the State Electoral Boundaries, in particular, the proposed changes to the Nicklin and Glasshouse electorates.

We rely on the following issues which we consider have not been given due consideration under Section 46(1) of the Act in the establishment of the proposed boundaries:

- The proposed boundaries would divide the economic, social and regional interests of the Eudlo community.
- Vehicular travel from the proposed Glasshouse electorate (ie west of the rail line) must pass through the Nicklin electorate to access the remaining Glasshouse electorate to the south.
- The proposed boundary does not consider the natural topography where the proposed Glasshouse electorate west of the rail line in Eudlo is physically isolated from the main body of the Division by a mountain range.
- The proposed electoral boundary does not recognise the established shire boundary which exists immediately to the south of the community and follows the mountain range mentioned above.

Your petitioners therefore request that the Queensland Electoral Commission alter the proposed boundaries between the electorates of Nicklin and Glasshouse by following where possible, the shire boundary between Mooloolah and Eudlo communities as required under Section 46(1) of the Act.

PRINCIPAL PARTITIONER:
EUDLO & ILKLEY DISTRICT COMMUNITY ASSOCIATION INC.
PO BOX 37 EUDLO QLD 4554

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FULL NAME</th>
<th>ADDRESS</th>
<th>SIGNATURE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>John Blakesley</td>
<td>189 Williams Rd Eudlo</td>
<td>/ John Blakesley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Mickle</td>
<td>420 Eudlo Rd</td>
<td>/ J Mickle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doreen Watkins</td>
<td>80 Wauchope St Eudlo</td>
<td>/ D Watkins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Janice Eades</td>
<td>120 Brook St Eudlo</td>
<td>/ M Eades</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anne Osborn &amp; Mc Gilchrist Rd, Eudlo</td>
<td>100 Highbank Rd.Eudlo</td>
<td>/ A Osborn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anne Osborn</td>
<td>100 Highbank Rd. Eudlo</td>
<td>/ A Osborn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K Walters</td>
<td>28 Eudlo Rd</td>
<td>/ K Walters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jennie Finnigan</td>
<td>5 Ramsper Rd Eudlo</td>
<td>/ J Finnigan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brian Sheehy</td>
<td>46 Highfield Rd Eudlo</td>
<td>/ B Sheehy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michael Henry</td>
<td>400 Highfield Rd Eudlo</td>
<td>/ M Henry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carmel Lane</td>
<td>400 Highfield Rd Eudlo</td>
<td>/ C Lane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T Thistle</td>
<td>17 High Bank Rd Eudlo Qld</td>
<td>/ T Thistle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ian McCall</td>
<td>Lot 21 Highfields Rd Eudlo</td>
<td>/ Ian McCall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denise Connolly</td>
<td>29 Ramsper Rd Eudlo</td>
<td>/ D Connolly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P Sullivan</td>
<td>11 Beach Rd Eudlo</td>
<td>/ P Sullivan</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PETITION

TO: The Queensland Electoral Commissioner:

The Petition of electors of the Division of Mooloolah draws to your attention their concerns regarding the proposed changes to the State Electoral Boundaries, in particular, the proposed changes to the Nicklin and Glasshouse electorates.

We rely on the following issues which we consider have not been given due consideration under Section 46(1) of the Act in the establishment of the proposed boundaries:

- The proposed boundaries would divide the economic, social and regional interests of the Eudlo community.
- Vehicular travel from the proposed Glasshouse electorate (ie west of the rail line) must pass through the Nicklin electorate to access the remaining Glasshouse electorate to the south.
- The proposed boundary does not consider the natural topography where the proposed Glasshouse electorate west of the rail line in Eudlo is physically isolated from the main body of the Division by a mountain range.
- The proposed electoral boundary does not recognise the established shire boundary which exists immediately to the south of the community and follows the mountain range mentioned above.

Your petitioners therefore request that the Queensland Electoral Commission alter the proposed boundaries between the electorates of Nicklin and Glasshouse by following where possible, the shire boundary between Mooloolah and Eudlo communities as required under Section 46(1) of the Act.

PRINCIPAL PARTY: EUDLO & ILKLEY DISTRICT COMMUNITY ASSOCIATION INC.
PO BOX 37 EUDLO QLD 4554

ALAN HAMMOND CHAIRMAN

FULL NAME | ADDRESS | SIGNATURE
--- | --- | ---
Tricia Geerle | Anzac Rd | Eudlo
Iosely McCulloch | Nobiles Rd | Eudlo
Mary Ann McCluskey | Cooee Rd | Eudlo
Barbara McMillan | Highlands Rd | Eudlo
Vic Costas | Robinson Rd | Eudlo
Jonna Jones | Nobiles Rd | Eudlo
ANSON THOMAS | Mossybank Rd | Eudlo
Roxanne Higgison | Robinson Rd | Eudlo
Karen Hall | Carle Ave | Eudlo
Pam Hickey | Highlands Rd | Eudlo
Mel Finnie | Bakers Rd | Eudlo
Don Maskall | Mossybank Rd | Eudlo
ALAN HUCK | Greenlands Rd | Eudlo
M. Parsons | Bakers Rd | Eudlo
C. Connell | Mossybank Rd | Eudlo
T. Thorley | Mossybank Rd | Eudlo
A. Marnie | Rosedale St | Eudlo
A. Fogg | Slaughter St | Eudlo
A. Rogers | 30th September Rd | Eudlo

A. Rogers
PETITION

TO: The Queensland Electoral Commissioner:

The Petition of electors of the Division of Mooloolah draws to your attention their concerns regarding the proposed changes to the State Electoral Boundaries, in particular, the proposed changes to the Nicklin and Glasshouse electorates.

We rely on the following issues which we consider have not been given due consideration under Section 46(1) of the Act in the establishment of the proposed boundaries:

- The proposed boundaries would divide the economic, social and regional interests of the Eudlo community.
- Vehicular travel from the proposed Glasshouse electorate (ie west of the rail line) must pass through the Nicklin electorate to access the remaining Glasshouse electorate to the south.
- The proposed boundary does not consider the natural topography where the proposed Glasshouse electorate west of the rail line in Eudlo is physically isolated from the main body of the Division by a mountain range.
- The proposed electoral boundary does not recognise the established shire boundary which exists immediately to the south of the community and follows the mountain range mentioned above.

Your petitioners therefore request that the Queensland Electoral Commission alter the proposed boundaries between the electorates of Nicklin and Glasshouse by following where possible, the shire boundary between Mooloolah and Eudlo communities as required under Section 46(1) of the Act.

PRINCIPAL PARTITIONER:
EUDLO & ILKLEY DISTRICT COMMUNITY ASSOCIATION INC.
PO BOX 37 EUDLO QLD 4554

[Signatures]

FULL NAME | ADDRESS | SIGNATURE
--- | --- | ---
L.T. Winge | 130 Tealings Rd, Eudlo | 
Guy Rambur | Rambur Rd, Eudlo | 
Dou Gavel | Lots, Binya Rd, Eudlo | 
Juanita Richards | Lot 42, Rosebed St, Eudlo | 
Mick Bottomley | Eudlo Mill | 
Barbara Tutin | 2 Ash Ave, Eudlo | 
Sandra Garcia | Noble's Rd, Eudlo | 
Michael | | 
David Hunter | 171 Ilkley Rd, Eudlo | 
Sandy A. Willib | 19 Rex Equest Rd, Eudlo | 
Jen & Dom | 22 Rosebed St, Eudlo | 
Carrick | | 
Rod J. | | 
Ian Jenkins | Hamorgan Rd, Eudlo | 
Beverly Topp | 15 Rosebed St, Eudlo | 
Charm Connolly | Rambur Rd, Eudlo | 
Linda Brown | Wilson Rd, Eudlo |
# PETITION

TO: The Queensland Electoral Commissioner:

The Petition of electors of the Division of Mooloolah draws to your attention their concerns regarding the proposed changes to the State Electoral Boundaries, in particular, the proposed changes to the Nicklin and Glasshouse electorates.

We rely on the following issues which we consider have not been given due consideration under Section 46(1) of the Act in the establishment of the proposed boundaries:

- The proposed boundaries would divide the economic, social and regional interests of the Eudlo community.
- Vehicular travel from the proposed Glasshouse electorate (i.e., west of the rail line) must pass through the Nicklin electorate to access the remaining Glasshouse electorate to the south.
- The proposed boundary does not consider the natural topography where the proposed Glasshouse electorate west of the rail line in Eudlo is physically isolated from the main body of the Division by a mountain range.
- The proposed electoral boundary does not recognise the established shire boundary which exists immediately to the south of the community and follows the mountain range mentioned above.

Your petitioners therefore request that the Queensland Electoral Commission alter the proposed boundaries between the electorates of Nicklin and Glasshouse by following where possible, the shire boundary between Mooloolah and Eudlo communities as required under Section 46(1) of the Act.

**PRINCIPAL PARTITIONER:**
EUDLO & ILKLEY DISTRICT COMMUNITY ASSOCIATION INC.
PO BOX 37 EUDLO QLD 4554

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FULL NAME</th>
<th>ADDRESS</th>
<th>SIGNATURE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Al Hammond</td>
<td>PO BOX 19 EUDLO</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENITA GRAY</td>
<td>9 ROSEBED ST EUDLO</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. CARTER</td>
<td>297 B.O. BOX 324</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHILIP RUSCH</td>
<td>40-41 Ranzac Rd EUDLO</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MURRAY O'LYNN</td>
<td>352 HIGHLANDS RD EUDLO</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAUL MITCHELL</td>
<td>17 SCHOOL RD EUDLO</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GRANT HAWKEN</td>
<td>69 SCHOOL RD EUDLO</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EO JEREMY</td>
<td>15 ROSEBED ST EUDLO</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hansie MURCHIE</td>
<td>419 PERRINS RD MOOLOOLAH</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STAN HOCK</td>
<td>RAMPERTS RD EUDLO</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BARR HOCK</td>
<td>11 11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DES SCARP</td>
<td>189 HIGHLANDS RD EUDLO</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lynne</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. CORTOT</td>
<td>9 RANZAC RD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHRIS JHIGG</td>
<td>MOSSY BANK RD EUDLO</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EARL WATSON</td>
<td>124 PERRINS RD EUDLO</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sandra POLLOCK</td>
<td>207 ILKLEY ROAD EUDLO</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEBRA SMITH</td>
<td>52 ANZAC ROAD EUDLO</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BOBBY FOSTER</td>
<td>20/21 ARBOUR RD EUDLO</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Debra ENGLE</td>
<td>110 226 HIGHLANDS RD EUDLO</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ALAN HAMMOND CHAIRMAN
PETITION

TO: The Queensland Electoral Commissioner:

The Petition of electors of the Division of Mooloolah draws to your attention their concerns regarding the proposed changes to the State Electoral Boundaries, in particular, the proposed changes to the Nicklin and Glasshouse electorates.

We rely on the following issues which we consider have not been given due consideration under Section 46(1) of the Act in the establishment of the proposed boundaries:

- The proposed boundaries would divide the economic, social and regional interests of the Eudlo community.
- Vehicular travel from the proposed Glasshouse electorate (ie west of the rail line) must pass through the Nicklin electorate to access the remaining Glasshouse electorate to the south.
- The proposed boundary does not consider the natural topography where the proposed Glasshouse electorate west of the rail line in Eudlo is physically isolated from the main body of the Division by a mountain range.
- The proposed electoral boundary does not recognise the established shire boundary which exists immediately to the south of the community and follows the mountain range mentioned above.

Your petitioners therefore request that the Queensland Electoral Commission alter the proposed boundaries between the electorates of Nicklin and Glasshouse by following where possible, the shire boundary between Mooloolah and Eudlo communities as required under Section 46(1) of the Act.

PRINCIPAL PARTITIONER:
EUDLO & ILKLEY DISTRICT COMMUNITY ASSOCIATION INC.
PO BOX 37 EUDLO QLD 4554

FULL NAME  ADDRESS  SIGNATURE

BARBARA JOYCE SELOMBE  PO BOX 115 EUDLO  B. Selombe

MAXIMILIAN E. BONOMAS  23 CASSOWARY ST AUBOA  B. Bonda

ROBIN EVAN SELOMBE  LOT 4 MOOLOOLAH RD EUDLO  A. Selombe

PAMELA EVAN SELOMBE CLARK  LOT 4 MOOLOOLAH RD EUDLO  C. Clark

ALAN HAMMOND CHAIRMAN
PETITION

TO: The Queensland Electoral Commissioner:

The Petition of electors of the Division of Mooloolah draws to your attention their concerns regarding the proposed changes to the State Electoral Boundaries, in particular, the proposed changes to the Nicklin and Glasshouse electorates.

Your petitioners therefore request that the Queensland Electoral Commission alter the proposed boundaries between the electorates of Nicklin and Glasshouse by following, where possible, the shire boundary between Mooloolah and Eudlo communities as required under Section 46(1) of the Act.

NAME AND ADDRESS OF PRINCIPAL PETITIONER: (This must appear on the front page of every petition)

(Here follows the signatures)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FULL NAME</th>
<th>ADDRESS</th>
<th>SIGNATURE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cocaine Fegan</td>
<td>3 Coral Ave, Eudlo</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pam Miller</td>
<td>39 Ferrine Rd, Eudlo</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Andy Wallace</td>
<td>16-15, Coral Ave, Eudlo</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steve Bisset</td>
<td>Robert Ave, Eudlo</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L Gibson</td>
<td>35 Tytheleigh Rd, Balmoral</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Margaret Bradbury</td>
<td>Mooloolah Rd, Eudlo</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ruth Barber</td>
<td>Mossybark Rd, Eudlo</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paul McGechrist</td>
<td>Lots 2, Eudlo</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ivan James Noyce</td>
<td>Lot 201, Eudlo</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C L Dent</td>
<td>128 Wilson Rd, Eudlo</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Judy Svensen</td>
<td>107 Slaughter Rd, Eudlo</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glyn Hammond</td>
<td>117 Noble Rd, Eudlo</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L Anne Ward</td>
<td>230 Highlands Rd, Eudlo</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rod Tooldane</td>
<td>15475 McEwen Rd, Eudlo</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M Herbert</td>
<td>5 Ash Lane, Balmoral</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helen Firth</td>
<td>31 School Rd, Eudlo</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L Kimball</td>
<td>Lots 215, Andac Rd, Eudlo</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>William J. McEwen</td>
<td>193 Highlands Rd, Eudlo</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matilda Chapman</td>
<td>20 Highland Rd, Eudlo</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hermione Ashwell</td>
<td>132 Wilson Rd, Eudlo</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joy Thompson</td>
<td>544 O'Connell Rd, Balmoral</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PETITION

TO: The Queensland Electoral Commissioner:

The Petition of electors of the Division of Mooloolah draws to your attention their concerns regarding the proposed changes to the State Electoral Boundaries, in particular, the proposed changes to the Nicklin and Glasshouse electorates.

We rely on the following issues which we consider have not been given due consideration under Section 46(1) of the Act in the establishment of the proposed boundaries:
- The proposed boundaries would divide the economic, social and regional interests of the Eudlo community.
- Vehicular travel from the proposed Glasshouse electorate (ie west of the rail line) must pass through the Nicklin electorate to access the remaining Glasshouse electorate to the south.
- The proposed boundary does not consider the natural topography where the proposed Glasshouse electorate west of the rail line in Eudlo is physically isolated from the main body of the Division by a mountain range.
- The proposed electoral boundary does not recognise the established shire boundary which exists immediately to the south of the community and follows the mountain range mentioned above.

Your petitioners therefore request that the Queensland Electoral Commission alter the proposed boundaries between the electorates of Nicklin and Glasshouse by following where possible, the shire boundary between Mooloolah and Eudlo communities as required under Section 46(1) of the Act.

PRINCIPAL PARTITIONER:
EUDLO & ILKLEY DISTRICT COMMUNITY ASSOCIATION INC.
PO BOX 37 EUDLO QLD 4554

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FULL NAME</th>
<th>ADDRESS</th>
<th>SIGNATURE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lisa Wilkins</td>
<td>27 McGilchrist Rd Eudlo</td>
<td>Wilkins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROYALINE BOULLARD</td>
<td>232 Ilkley Road Eudlo</td>
<td>Boulard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROBERT BOULLARD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steve Robbins</td>
<td>23 Camner Rd Eudlo</td>
<td>Robbins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eleanor Ward</td>
<td>230 Highlands Rd Eudlo</td>
<td>Ward</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. Garlick</td>
<td>12 Wilson Rd Eudlo</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I Rodgers</td>
<td>Robinson Rd Eudlo</td>
<td>Rodgers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pam Hendley</td>
<td>Perrins Rd Eudlo</td>
<td>Hendley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norm Botter</td>
<td>Rawbens Road Eudlo</td>
<td>Botter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PV Thomas</td>
<td>49 Sutherland Rd Rangebrook</td>
<td>Thomas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paula Griffith</td>
<td>Hobby Bank Rd Eudlo</td>
<td>Griffith</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C.J. van Pold</td>
<td>447 Wilson Rd Eudlo</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bryan Eaton</td>
<td>333 Highlands Rd Eudlo</td>
<td>Eaton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jack O'Neill</td>
<td>70 Eudlo School Rd Eudlo</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virginia Russell</td>
<td>Mooloolah Rd Eudlo</td>
<td>Russell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anthony Kanowski</td>
<td>32 Sullivan Rd Eudlo</td>
<td>Kanowski</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Debbie Tommelin</td>
<td>Corden Rd Eudlo</td>
<td>Tommelin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A Napper</td>
<td>McGilchrist Rd Eudlo</td>
<td>Napper</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PETITION

TO: The Queensland Electoral Commissioner:

The Petition of electors of the Division of Mooloolah draws to your attention their concerns regarding the proposed changes to the State Electoral Boundaries, in particular, the proposed changes to the Nicklin and Glasshouse electorates.

We rely on the following issues which we consider have not been given due consideration under Section 46(1) of the Act in the establishment of the proposed boundaries:

- The proposed boundaries would divide the economic, social and regional interests of the Eudlo community.
- Vehicular travel from the proposed Glasshouse electorate (ie west of the rail line) must pass through the Nicklin electorate to access the remaining Glasshouse electorate to the south.
- The proposed boundary does not consider the natural topography where the proposed Glasshouse electorate west of the rail line in Eudlo is physically isolated from the main body of the Division by a mountain range.
- The proposed electoral boundary does not recognise the established shire boundary which exists immediately to the south of the community and follows the mountain range mentioned above.

Your petitioners therefore request that the Queensland Electoral Commission alter the proposed boundaries between the electorates of Nicklin and Glasshouse by following where possible, the shire boundary between Mooloolah and Eudlo communities as required under Section 46(1) of the Act.

PRINCIPAL PARTITIONER:
EUDLO & ILKLEY DISTRICT COMMUNITY ASSOCIATION INC.
PO BOX 37 EUDLO QLD 4554

FULL NAME | ADDRESS | SIGNATURE
--- | --- | ---
GORDON CHURCH | 42 Nicholls Rd Yandina |
ROBERT LEATHMANN | 56 Ramsden Rd EUDLO |
GLORIA EATON | 333 Highlands Rd EUDLO |
ALAN & VAL HAMMOND
PO BOX 19 EUDEO Q 4554 Telephone / Fax 07 5445 0618

6th May 1999

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
Brisbane 9001

Dear Sir,

RE: PROPOSED QUEENSLAND ELECTORAL BOUNDARIES

I wish to object to the location of proposed electoral boundaries dividing the electorates of Glasshouse and Nicklin in the community of Eudlo.

I believe the Commission has not given due consideration to the Legislative Criteria set out in section 46(1) of the Act in this instance.

In particular I regard the use of the railway line as the boundary to be divisive within our community to the point where:

a) There has been a century old established community of interest by the residents west of the rail line with Eudlo community. This township is where families’ access the services of post, school, shop, community hall and sporting events. The proposed boundary would split this economic, social and regional interest within our community.

b) Residents west of this line are physically isolated from the rest of the electorate of Glasshouse. The area is landlocked to the west, north and south by the Blackall and Mooloolah Ranges. Access from this area can only be through Eudlo township. Residents must drive through the electorate of Nicklin to get to the electorate of Glasshouse.

c) The areas east and west of the proposed boundary currently exist as part of Division Two of the Maroochy Shire and are represented by the same Councillor. This would appear to conflict with the recommended consideration of local government boundaries under section 46(2) of the Act.

I would like the Commission to strongly consider the established boundary between Maroochy Shire and Caloundra City as the preferred state electoral boundary. This boundary has traditionally defined the communities of interest of Eudlo District and Mooloolah District, both topographically, socially and electorally.

Yours faithfully

Val Hammond
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
Brisbane 9001

Dear Sir, RE: PROPOSED QUEENSLAND ELECTORAL BOUNDARIES

I wish to object to the location of proposed electoral boundaries dividing the electorates of Glasshouse and Nicklin in the community of Eudlo.

I believe the Commission has not given due consideration to the Legislative Criteria set out in section 46(1) of the Act in this instance.

In particular I regard the use of the railway line as the boundary to be divisive within our community to the point where:

a) There has been a century old established community of interest by the residents west of the rail line with Eudlo community. This township is where families' access the services of post, school, shop, community hall and sporting events. The proposed boundary would split this economic, social and regional interest within our community.

b) Residents west of this line are physically isolated from the rest of the electorate of Glasshouse. The area is landlocked to the west, north and south by the Blackall and Mooloolah Ranges. Access from this area can only be through Eudlo township. Residents must drive through the electorate of Nicklin to get to the electorate of Glasshouse.

c) The areas east and west of the proposed boundary currently exist as part of Division Two of the Maroochy Shire and are represented by the same Councillor. This would appear to conflict with the recommended consideration of local government boundaries under section 46(2) of the Act.

I would like the Commission to strongly consider the established boundary between Maroochy Shire and Caloundra City as the preferred state electoral boundary. This boundary has traditionally defined the communities of interest of Eudlo District and Mooloolah District, both topographically, socially and electorally.

Yours faithfully

Alan Hammond
6TH May 1999

The Chairman
The Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
Brisbane QLD 9001

Dear Sir, RE proposed new electorate of Glasshouse boundaries.

We live on the west side of the railway line, near the township of Eudlo. We are told that with the creation of the new electorate of Glasshouse, we will be in this electorate, yet the west side of the railway line will still be in the electorate of Nicklin.

We would like to object strongly to this splitting of this small township in this way.

Our objection is based on the following criteria:
Section 46(1) of the Act, which states that the Commission must give consideration to the social and other interests within each proposed electoral district, as well as the ways of communication and travel, and to the physical features of the area.

The small pocket west of the railway at Eudlo is:
1. Part of the social fabric of the main township.
2. It has no other travel access other than through Eudlo.
3. The power and telecommunications lines come through Eudlo.
4. The school, rail station, general store and post office are all on the east side of the line.
5. There is no social interaction even with the next town south, Mooloolah, simply because the access is through Eudlo.
6. Basically all of the social interaction is to the northern town of Nambour, where the local shire council is situated.

Section 46(2) of the Act, which states that the Commission may also consider the boundaries of local government etc. The local government which controls the area is based in the Nicklin electorate, as also is the local area of business and regional interest.

Basically, the few hundred people that would be affected by this redistribution, in the small land-locked area west of the railway line at Eudlo, have absolutely no connections, socially or commercially, with the rest of the proposed electorate.

We object most strongly to this change, and beg you to review the boundary situation.

Yours faithfully,

Kevin Lang, Christopher Salomon.
The Queensland Redistribution Commission,  
Locked Bag 3300,  
BRISBANE, Qld. 9001.

Dear Sir/Madam,

We strongly object to the township of Eudlo being divided into two electorates by the proposed new Electorate of Glasshouse.

Please note :-

Section 46(1) of the Act

The Commission must give consideration to the following matters when drawing the boundaries of proposed electoral districts:

a) The extent to which there is a community of economic, social, regional or other interest within each proposed electoral district.

b) The ways of communication and travel within each proposed electoral district.

c) The physical features of each proposed electoral district.

d) Boundaries of existing electoral districts.

Section 46(2) of the Act

The commission may also consider the boundaries of local government areas to the extent that it is satisfied that there is a community of economic, social, regional or other interests within each local government area.

Yours faithfully,

[Signature]

Shirley Joan Thomas
May, 1999-05-09

Queensland Redistribution Commission,
Locked Bag 3300,
BRISBANE QLD 9001

Dear Sir,

Re: Proposed Queensland Electoral Boundaries

Our Committee officially expressed concern and at the proposed changes to the Electoral Boundaries. This would in effect split the whole community and in our case, split our Committee. At present the Hall and Grounds are a single entity run on behalf of the community as a whole by our elected Committee.

On behalf of the community we strongly object on so many grounds that it would be pointless to enumerate them here, except the following which were brought forward at a special community meeting on this very real problem facing the Eudlo community as a result of your proposed changes.

We believe the Commission has not given due consideration the Legislative Criteria set out in Section 46(1) of the Act in this instance.

In particular we regard the use of the railway line as the boundary to be divisive within our community to the point where:

1. There has been a century old established community of interest by the residents west of the rail line with Eudlo community. This township is where families access the services of post, school, shop, community hall and sporting events. The proposed boundary would split this economic, social and regional interest within our community.

2. Residents west of this line are physically isolated from the rest of the electorate of Glasshouse. The area is landlocked to the west, north and south by the Blackall and Mooloolah ranges. Access from this area can only be through Eudlo township. Residents must drive through the electorate of Nicklin to get to the electorate of Glasshouse.

Secretary: Mrs. M. Bradburn  Tel: (07) 5445 9858  Treasurer: Mrs. S. Rode  Tel:
(07) 5445 9220
President: Mrs. M. Cummins  Tel: (07) 5445 9965
3. The areas east and west of the proposed boundary current exist as part of Division Two of the Maroochy Shire and are represented by the Same Councillor. This would appear to conflict with the recommended consideration of local government boundaries under Section 46(2) of the Act.

We would therefore sincerely urge the Commission to consider the established boundary between Maroochy Shire and Caloundra City as the preferred state electoral boundary. This boundary has traditionally defined the communities of interest of Eudlo District and Mooloolah District, both topographically, socially and electorally.

Yours faithfully

Mary Anne Cummins (Marion)
President

[Signature]

ELECTORAL COMMISSION
QUEENSLAND

10 MAY 1999

QRC OBJ 750
Queensland Redistribution Commission,
Locked Bag 3300,
BRISBANE QLD 9001

Dear Sir,

Re: Proposed Queensland Electoral Boundaries

I am very concerned as a private individual to learn of the proposed changes to our Electoral Boundaries, and whereas I understand that this is simply a demographic decision, I feel a bit more concern for communities should also have been part of the parameters of this change.

Eudlo is a small community, not divided down the middle by a railway line, and socially, economically and culturally we are a community. Now with the proposed changes we are divided. This really is not a good change to our community, isolating our Western area, not only from the Eudlo community but because of its situation, separating it from the new Electorate of Glasshouse.

Please seriously consider what you are doing and take good note of the submission from our Eudlo & Ilkley District Communit & Association Inc.

Yours faithfully,

MARY ANNE CUMMINS
Queensland Redistribution Commission,
Locked Bag 3300
Brisbane
Q 9001

Dear Queensland Redistribution Commission,

Please register my: **OBJECTION to the PROPOSED ELECTORAL BOUNDARY CHANGES.**

The three relevant areas of the Electoral Act to which I object are:

• 1. By removing the Witta and Reesville areas from Maleny, the "community of interest" of the plateau is fractured.

• 2. The natural boundaries (range or river) have not been followed.

• 3. Local authority boundaries have not been adhered to.

Please take note that because of such short notice, there are many more OBJECTIONS which could not be posted in time. Nevertheless this community is unified in their agreement that **CHANGES IN THE ELECTORAL BOUNDARY MUST NOT BE ALLOWED TO FRAGMENT THIS COMMUNITY.**

I therefore request that you take heed of the letters of protest that you receive; that you make a proper survey of people's opinions; that you redistribute the boundaries to maintain a high level of "community interest"; that you follow natural boundaries; and adhere to the local authorities boundaries.

NO, we do not want your proposed boundaries!

Yours Faithfully,

D. Douglas

Dr M. Liddle

[Receipt stamp: 19 MAY 1999]
Dear Queensland Redistribution Commission,

Please register my: **OBJECTION to the PROPOSED ELECTORAL BOUNDARY CHANGES.**

The three relevant areas of the Electoral Act to which I object are:

1. By removing the Witta and Reesville areas from Maleny, the "community of interest" of the plateau is fractured.

2. The natural boundaries (range or river) have not been followed.

3. Local authority boundaries have not been adhered to.

Please take note that because of such short notice, there are many more OBJECTIONS which could not be posted in time. Nevertheless this community is unified in their agreement that CHANGES IN THE ELECTORAL BOUNDARY MUST NOT BE ALLOWED TO FRAGMENT THIS COMMUNITY.

I therefore request that you take heed of the letters of protest that you receive; that you make a proper survey of people's opinions; that you redistribute the boundaries to maintain a high level of "community interest"; that you follow natural boundaries; and adhere to the local authorities boundaries.

NO, we do not want your proposed boundaries!

Yours Faithfully,

D. Douglas

Dr M. Liddle
Queensland Redistribution Commission,
Locked Bag 3300,
Brisbane Qld 9001.

Re: Electoral Boundary Realignment

Dear Sir or Madame,

I am writing to you in regards to the recent redistribution of electoral boundaries in the Maleny area. I believe that the proposed new boundaries fail to consider the community interests of the Maleny area. The proposed new electoral boundaries act to splinter our community by dividing the town of Maleny from its rural neighbours to the north and west.

The new boundaries shift many of us to the seat of Nanango, some two hours drive west from our home, on the other side of the Great Dividing Range. The residents of Nanango, because of their geographic location, experience a completely different lifestyle with different priorities based on their environmental conditions (economic, natural, social, agricultural). Our views will become a minority as they will not be the same views held by those at Nanango, as they are faced with totally different circumstances.

Furthermore, the proposed use of North Maleny Road as an electoral boundary is completely absurd as it divides neighbours into different seats, resulting in some being disadvantaged.

I hope and expect those in the Queensland Redistribution Commission will listen and act on the desires of the residences of Maleny and environs, and ensure our community remains as one.

Yours truly,

Nicole Price
Queensland Redistribution Commission,
Locked Bag 3300,
Brisbane Qld 9001.

Re: Electoral Boundary Realignment

Dear Sir or Madame,

I am writing to you in regards to the recent redistribution of electoral boundaries in the Maleny area. I believe that the proposed new boundaries fail to consider the community interests of the Maleny area. The proposed new electoral boundaries act to splinter our community by dividing the town of Maleny from its rural neighbours to the north and west.

The new boundaries shift many of us to the seat of Nananago, some two hours drive west from our home, on the other side of the Great Dividing Range. The residents of Nananago, because of their geographic location, experience a completely different lifestyle with different priorities based on their environmental conditions (economic, natural, social, agricultural). Our views will become a minority as they will not be the same views held by those at Nananago, as they are faced with totally different circumstances.

Furthermore, the proposed use of North Maleny Road as an electoral boundary is completely absurd as it divides neighbours into different seats, resulting in some being disadvantaged.

I hope and expect those in the Queensland Redistribution Commission will listen and act on the desires of the residences of Maleny and environs, and ensure our community remains as one.

Yours truly,

Sharon Bruce
7 May 1999

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked bag 3300
BRISBANE Q 9001

I wish to object to being included in the electoral district of Nanango.

I feel that I should be in an electoral district where I have some chance of meeting and knowing my representative. The Nanango district is separated from my home by a mountain range (or more) and is not in any way part of the community in which I live. Being included with those people so far away in distance, travelling time and interests seems ludicrous to me.

I have not visited any of the places in the Nanango electorate, except to travel through, for many years.

Please reverse this absurd decision.

Yours faithfully,

Mary Lydon Whipple

Mary Lydon Whipple
9 Cedar Grove Court  
MALEY. Q 4552  
7/5/99

Queensland Redistribution Commission  
Locked Bag 3300  
BRISBANE. Q. 9001

Dear Sir

It has been drawn to my attention that there is a proposal to change the State electoral boundaries of the Nicklin electorate.

I wish to record a strong objection to this proposal for the following reasons:

the proposed boundaries do not follow any logical natural lines and, in fact, completely ignore the historical practice of using geographical landmarks; it seems to me that lines have been drawn on a map without using any commonsense and without consideration of splitting the Range community down the middle; once again, we witness insensitive authorities creating artificial zonings;

this is a unique community and we do not welcome even the suggestion of a fragmentation that could lead to a potential conflict of interests; the areas of Witta, Reesville, Booroobin etc have much more in common with Maleny than with the communities in the proposed change;

I fail to understand why the Government would consider these proposed changes without a close study of the community to be affected, with particular emphasis on the interests, needs, and interaction of the people concerned.

Yours faithfully

(Mrs J.L. Payne)
7 May, 1999

Queensland Redistribution Commission,
Locked Bag 3300,
BRISBANE Q 9001

Dear Commissioner,

Re: Proposed State Electoral Boundary Changes

As residents of the Witta area, we strongly object to the proposed boundary changes which would separate Witta from Maleny.

Reasons for the objections are as follows:

1. Maleny is a strong community and Witta is integral to that community.

2. The areas of Reesville, Conondale, Crystal Waters, Bellthorpe and Booroobin are also an integral part of the community interest which is Maleny.

3. The proposed change would fracture this strong community interest.

4. The natural boundaries of the Blackall Range and its close environs have not been followed.

5. Local authority boundaries have not been adhered to.

Your further consideration of the matter with a view to the above would be to the benefit of the community involved.

Yours sincerely,

Leon and Yvonne Taylor.
Dear Madam/Sir,

I draw a lot about Maleny, and nothing whatsoever about Ed Blackbutt Karana.

I urge you not to change existing electoral boundaries that fairly represent a geographical or social divide.

Re:ville is not.

10 Box 149
Maleny 4552
7/5/99

QR 1085 759
009862
McLennan, I familiarise means an informed vote. CRC/03/759.

Apart from community feelings against the proposed change, surely it is the role of the Redistriction Commission to pursue the knowledge above.

Yours faithfully,

[Signature]
Dear Sir/Madam,

I would like to strongly voice my discontent at the proposed Queensland Electoral Boundaries Redistribution of the seat of Nanango and the effect this will have on my community of Conondale.

We, the community of Conondale, have no ties to the community of Kingaroy or the district of Nanango. The major town in the area is Maleny and we have a strong community link with the Maleny community. These communities are joined by geographic and topographic features. The mountains of the Great Dividing Range form a complete barrier to the west. We would indeed feel isolated to the community of Nanango.

I would like to offer an alternative, that our community could be included in the Glass House electorate. I can only hope that common sense will prevail - I look to you to favourably consider the suggested alternative.

Yours faithfully,

[Signature]

Lorelle Kingsbury
Michael King

Coomadale, 4552
6.5.99

To Secretary

Electoral Redistribution Commission

Brisbane

Dear Sir/Madam,

re: Proposed boundary change

seat of Nanango

It has come to my attention that council is to be made part of the Nanango division in the proposed electoral boundary change.

I wish to lodge a strong objection to the proposed boundary change - Coonamble is separated from the Nanango area by a mountain range - it has no conceivable connection physically or otherwise to the Nanango electorate.

The Coonamble area deals with Maleny and the coastal areas for commerce, communications, and all services, and the proposed alignment would completely alienate Coonamble from the major commercial centre of Kingaroy, etc., in the Nanango area. The main voting seat is in Kingaroy.

It would be much more workable to link Coonamble with Kingaroy, and move the Nanango boundary north to incorporate the area into Nanango which utilises the commercial centre in that area.

I would hope that common sense prevails, and my objection is noted and fully acted upon.

Yours faithfully,

[Signature]
To: Hon. St. Andrews,

I personally have an objection to the proposed electoral boundary changes that separate Pitta, Reesville, Conondale, Crystal Waters, Beekhope and Baaroodin from Maleny.

This decision would fracture Maleny’s community of interest. We are a community of people that have common interests and work well as a team. We do not want to be separated. To do so would show a complete lack of understanding and regard for the residents, community groups, goals, interests and proposed community ideals taking shape for the future.

Please extend the Glasshouse Reservoir boundaries to include Pitta, Reesville, Conondale, Crystal Waters, Beekhope and Baaroodin in the Maleny area.

Thankyou.

Terry Darnam

QRC 1055 762

5 MAY 1999
RECEIVED
The Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
Brisbane,
Qld.

Dear Sir/Madam,

I am writing to express my opposition to proposed electoral changes for the areas just West of Maleny.

Under the proposed changes the rural communities of Witta, Reeserville, Crystal Waters/Conondale, Bellthorpe and Booroobin will be assigned to the electorate of Nanango; whereas the community and business centre for these districts, which is Maleny, will be part of the new seat of Glasshouse.

I am a resident of Witta, but class myself as a Maleny person. The town of Maleny is where I shop, where I visit the library (this year I will be organising the first Maleny Festival of Books and Writing in conjunction with the library), my doctor, nearly all of my services. It is my community, I look to its welfare and it to mine.

Geographically there is a range of mountains (The Conondales) between Maleny and Nanango. These mountains are sparsely inhabited and present a natural barrier between our communities, and the natural line for any electorate division. We, on the East of the Mountains, have no wish to be lumped into an electorate with the people who live to the West of them, not because of any dislike for these people but because we share no political, geographical or community interest with them.

I am a very strong believer in participatory democracy. To have as my representative a member of parliament whose area of concern stretches far to the West of here is a travesty. The very suggestion of it demonstrates a failure on the part of the Electoral Commission to undertake proper research of the communities it is making decisions for. The ridiculousness of its arbitrary decision makes me question if the Commission is qualified to perform the task it has been given.

I ask you to immediately reverse this proposal and to join the areas of Witta; Reeserville, Crystal Waters/Conondale, Bellthorpe and Booroobin with that of the rest of Maleny, in the seat of Glasshouse.

Yours Faithfully,

Steven Lang.

cc Peter Wellington.  

Christine Francis.
The Electoral Commissioner,
Qld Re. Distribution Commission
Locked Bag 3800
Brisbane.

Re Proposed Redistribution of
Electoral Boundaries in Queensland.

We the undersigned Electors wish to voice our objections to be included in the seat of Nanango.  We believe the Witta Area together with Comandale, Reesville, Belthorps and Booroobin have no links to Nanango. Business links are to Maleny and the Coast. The same with schooling etcetera. We are separated by a mountain Range. The Road network is not conducive to travel to Kingaroy and the other areas. In short there is no community of interest. Local Government Areas are entirely different.

It would not be difficult to include these areas in Glasshouse, then moving the Southern end of Glasshouse further South.

Yours faithfully,

Margaret Thompson
M. Thompson
The Electoral Commissioner,
Old Re-distribution Commission,
Locked Bag 3300
Brisbane.

Re. Changes to Electoral Boundaries of Old.

I would like to register my objection to Crows Nest being included in the seat of Samago. It makes no sense to include the District I conduct in the South Burnett. There is little commonality of interest. The Main Range forms a distinct barrier and roads are too tedious to draw people in that direction.

Crows Nest has always done business through trading, the links through production Education etc. are clearly aligned to trading.

I would ask these drawing the Boundaries to rethink the exercise in the interest of the community.

Yours faithfully,

Mark Ward

21 MAY 1999

RECEIVED
08 May 1999

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

Dear Sir/Madam

We refer to the proposed changes to the electoral boundary which places Witta and Reesville in the Nanango Electorate, and wish to place on record our strongest possible objection to this proposal.

The relevant sections of the Electoral Act have definitely not been considered in relation to the north/western portion of the boundary which alienates us from Maleny, a mere 6 kilometres by road from our home. The town of Maleny is our community of interest where our children attend school, where we do our shopping, and where most of our social and community interests are based. We have absolutely no community of interest with the towns in the Nanango Electorate such as Esk, Blackbut, Kingaroy etc. on the other side of the Great Dividing Range.

Also natural boundaries such as range or river have not been followed, nor have local authority boundaries been adhered to.

We respectfully request you give serious consideration to the proposed section of the boundary which effects all the residents of Witta and Reesville, and alter it to allow us to remain part of Maleny.

Yours sincerely,

D R & P L SLIGHT
To whom it may concern,

My name is Lynda Craig, my husband Mark Craig live on Bridge Creek Rd Maleny.

We both strongly disagree with the proposed electoral boundary changes.

How ridiculous to divide up our community to try and split up neighborhoods.

You will receive a lot of opposition to this stupid, thoughtless proposal.

Yours...

Lynda • Mark Craig.

16 MAY 1999
Election Redistribution Commission, 
Locked Bag 3300, 
Brisbane 9001

To: Mrs. Blanchard, 
15 Rosella Road, Maleny, 4552, 
QRC OBS 768

Dear Mrs. Blanchard,

My husband and I have just discovered that our property, situated at 15 Rosella Road off Baron Pocket Road, and being the only road on the left hand side off the Baron Pocket Dam, has been included in the new boundary changes for the Nanango seat.

Imagine my horror when I found out about this change. I was born in the original Maleny Soldiers Memorial Hospital. I went to school for 12 years at the Maleny State School. I and my husband have been settled in this area for the past 17 years. All our children attended the Maleny Primary School and the Maleny High School.

May parents (my mother also born in Maleny) reside in Nanango. At Maleny and I have several family members, brothers, sisters, aunts, cousins, who all reside in the Maleny area. Naturally, I would prefer to vote on issues that affect the area I live, work and shop in.

There are twenty properties in this road, the majority of the owners either renting out their property or living in other areas. There are only five properties where the owners reside. We are entitled to vote, especially as we are situated only 3-5 kms from the town area. This should be our right!

I, myself, would much prefer the close proximity of earmarks for the best of Maleny than having to travel 3+ hours to a new member in Nanango seat.

I would have thought that a more appropriate boundary would have been Bridge Creek Road heading into Palm Street. Please note my strong objection to this change.

Yours sincerely,
Julie Blanchard
Dear Sir,

Today I found out in dismay, that we in the above street are to be rezoned into the NAMINGO voting area.

While as I can understand boundaries have to be made when introducing new voting areas such as Grasshouse, errors can be made, such as ours. We are the only street on the left hand side of Grass Rocket road. There are twenty houses in the road, 15 are develop, of these five are rented, and of the 10 others are owned by people living in another voting area, also we are only 3.5 km from the centre of Maleny.
I was informed that the boundary goes
of Baroon Pocket Road from the dam...cuts across property boundaries to Fair St.
Therefore, I would have thought the boundary
would have been Baroon Creek Road which
leads into YMM 57.

The boundary voting area 6 acts as a buffer
between Baroon Pocket Road & Goodrum's Grove. I feel
who one has to represent this area, cannot
reasonably have the interests of the farming community
and the coastal dwellers (as we are) fairly represented.
He/She will be run off by both.

Therefore, I request you review your
boundary for the new area of Glasshouse.

Yours sincerely,

[Signature]

P.S. Also about any of us including yours, terrains
and etcetera, are we all closely associated with what
we our local members...it would have at least a
three hour drive whereas Glasshouse would only the
30 minutes. etc.
Queensland Redistribution Commission
P.O. Box 184
Maleny Q. 4552
7 May 1999

Dear Sirs,

I wish to lodge an appeal against the proposed electoral boundary changes which affect the Maleny district.

As often in the past lack of sensitivity has led white settlement in this country to ignore natural boundaries and affinities.

As we are aware of many of our past mistakes now, it is quite disturbing to find that yet again, marks are to be drawn on the map of our state which make no sense in terms of natural boundaries, the territory of community interests and behaviours, or the other artificial joinings already in force.

No wonder there is alienation amongst our people when the agencies which represent us persist in splitting us away from an abiding sense of place which our community has developed over time.

Yours sincerely,

Elsie M Brimblecombe
08 May 1999

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

Dear Sir/Madam

We refer to the proposed changes to the electoral boundary which places Witta and Reesville in the Nanango Electorate, and wish to place on record our strongest possible objection to this proposal.

The relevant sections of the Electoral Act have definitely not been considered in relation to the north/western portion of the boundary which alienates us from Maleny, a mere 6 kilometres by road from our home. The town of Maleny is our community of interest where we do our shopping, and where most of our social and community interests are based. We have absolutely no community of interest with the towns in the Nanango Electorate such as Esk, Blackbutt, Kingaroy etc. on the other side of the Great Dividing Range.

Also natural boundaries such as range or river have not been followed, nor have local authority boundaries been adhered to.

We respectfully request you give serious consideration to the proposed section of the boundary which affects all the residents of Witta and Reesville, and alter it to allow us to remain part of Maleny.

Yours sincerely,

R J & M L TAYLOR
08 May 1999

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

Dear Sir/Madam

We refer to the proposed changes to the electoral boundary which places Witta and Reesville in the Nanango Electorate, and wish to place on record our strongest possible objection to this proposal.

The relevant sections of the Electoral Act have definitely not been considered in relation to the north/western portion of the boundary which alienates us from Maleny, a mere 9 kilometres by road from our home. The town of Maleny is our community of interest where our children will attend school, where we do our shopping, and where most of our social and community interests are based. We have absolutely no community of interest with the towns in the Nanango Electorate such as Esk, Blackbutt, Kingaroy etc. on the other side of the Great Dividing Range.

Also natural boundaries such as range or river have not been followed, nor have local authority boundaries been adhered to.

We respectfully request you give serious consideration to the proposed section of the boundary which effects all the residents of Witta and Reesville, and alter it to allow us to remain part of Maleny.

Yours sincerely,

C R & J K TITHERADGE
Queensland Redistribution Commission,
Locked Bag 3300,
Brisbane Qld 4001.

Re: Electoral Boundary Realignment

Dear Sir or Madame,

I am writing to you in regards to the recent redistribution of electoral boundaries in the Maleny area. I believe that the proposed new boundaries fail to consider the community interests of the Maleny area. The proposed new electoral boundaries act to splinter our community by dividing the town of Maleny from its rural neighbours to the north and west.

The new boundaries shift many of us to the seat of Nananago, some two hours drive west from our home, on the other side of the Great Dividing Range. The residents of Nananago, because of their geographic location, experience a completely different lifestyle with different priorities based on their environmental conditions (economic, natural, social, agricultural). Our views will become a minority as they will not be the same views held by those at Nananago, as they are faced with totally different circumstances.

Furthermore, the proposed use of North Maleny Road as an electoral boundary is completely absurd as it divides neighbours into different seats, resulting in some being disadvantaged.

I hope and expect those in the Queensland Redistribution Commission will listen and act on the desires of the residences of Maleny and environs, and ensure our community remains as one.

Yours truly,

Andrew Harwood
We, the undersigned hereby express our opposition to the inclusion of our residences and properties into the electorate of Nanango. For the benefit of our community we respectfully request that the boundaries are aligned to include the North West portion of Maroochy Shire in the electorate of Nicklin.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PRINT NAME</th>
<th>ADDRESS</th>
<th>SIGN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A. SAEGOOD</td>
<td>Oaky Creek Rd.</td>
<td>Afzaz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>James Heggie</td>
<td>Newmarket Hill Rd, Belli Park</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. Lark</td>
<td>Fleetwood Rd, Belli Park</td>
<td>Lyle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. Scanlon</td>
<td>31 Browns Rd, Belli</td>
<td>Going</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jamie Willmott</td>
<td>Jersey Rd, Belli</td>
<td>Phillips</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. Wiltshire</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marie Wilson</td>
<td>Browns Rd, Belli</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mick Hardman</td>
<td>107 Ceylon Rd, Cooroy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Karyn James</td>
<td>Cedar Ci Rd, Belli</td>
<td>James</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Katrina Thompson</td>
<td>2-20 Browns Rd, Belli</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sue Brann</td>
<td>330 Kemier Rd</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deborah Hargie</td>
<td>555 Skyline Ct Rd</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. Williams</td>
<td>63000 Rd, Imbil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graham Miller</td>
<td>2495 Eumundi Rd, Kemilworth</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amy Simpson</td>
<td>Peacock Rd, Maleny</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kim Neath</td>
<td>Oakey Road, Cheviot</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lyne Holt</td>
<td>Jorgensen Rd, Belli</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lauren McGeevy</td>
<td>Naranjard Rd, Belli</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steph George</td>
<td>Browns Rd, Belli</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The Queensland Redistribution Commission  
160 Mary St  
BRISBANE

We, The undersigned hereby express our opposition to the inclusion of our residences and properties into the electorate of NANANGO. For the benefit of our community we respectfully request that the boundaries are aligned to include the North West portion of Maroochy Shire in the electorate of NICKLIN.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PRINT NAME</th>
<th>ADDRESS</th>
<th>SIGN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Roxi Smith</td>
<td>145 Fairview Drive Eumundi</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rachael Dobie</td>
<td>114 Plantwood Rd BELLi PARK</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David Gille</td>
<td>180 Browns Road BELLi</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K. Paterson</td>
<td>Newspaper St. Hill BELLi</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V. Allsop</td>
<td>Murray St BELLi</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G. Payne</td>
<td>CEDAR CREEK BELLi</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N. Cross</td>
<td>114. Firewood Rd BELLi Park</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M. Mcgrew</td>
<td>Navan Rd BELLi Park</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R. Field</td>
<td>BELLi Park</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. Cross</td>
<td>BELLi Park</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. Hall</td>
<td>m</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| N. O'Keefe | 920 Gymnadi Kennworth Rd BELLi Park | Y. Tull |}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PRINT NAME</th>
<th>ADDRESS</th>
<th>SIGN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Peter Corro</td>
<td>1181 KENNETH RD BELLi</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Karen Baine</td>
<td>32 CEDAR CRK RD BELLi</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M. Brady</td>
<td>P.O. Box 429 2626</td>
<td>P.O. Box 429 2626</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patrick</td>
<td>408 CEDAR CRK RD BELLi</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. Porter</td>
<td>BELLi Park</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. Munson</td>
<td>908 Cedar Crk Rd BELLi</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The Queensland Redistribution Commission
160 Mary St
BRISBANE

QRC 10BJ 774

We, The undersigned hereby express our opposition to the inclusion of our residences and properties into the electorate of NANANGO.
For the benefit of our community we respectfully request that the boundaries are aligned to include the North West portion of Maroochy Shire in the electorate of NICKLIN.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PRINT NAME</th>
<th>ADDRESS</th>
<th>SIGN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>D. L. Dart</td>
<td>1771 KENILWORTH RD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bega Park</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. Agnew</td>
<td>1730 KENILWORTH RD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BELLi PARK</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N. Porter</td>
<td>84 BROWNS RD BELLi</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. McLennon</td>
<td>143 BROWNS RD BELLi</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M. Thompson</td>
<td>2-20 BROWNS RD BELLi</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H. R. Rwell</td>
<td>920 KENILWORTH RD BELLi PARK</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G. Riddell</td>
<td>502 CEDAR GREE RD BELLi</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. Porter</td>
<td>84 BROWNS RD BELLi</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRS. C. Gill</td>
<td>183 BROWNS RD BELLi</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G. McGeevy</td>
<td>NARANGA RD BELLi PK.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z. Le Mont</td>
<td>KENILWORTH RD BELLi</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. O'Callan</td>
<td>Cedar Creek Rd.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K. Varley</td>
<td>22 BROWNS RD BELLi</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Sparrow</td>
<td>121ouncy Rd BELLi</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. Giralt</td>
<td>Langfield Rd.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. W. Luke</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. Williams</td>
<td>160 KENILWORTH RD BELLi</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G. Mullen</td>
<td>64 BROWNS RD BELLi</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The Queensland Redistribution Commission  
160 Mary St  
BRISBANE  

We, The undersigned hereby express our opposition to the inclusion of our residences and properties into the electorate of NANANGO. For the benefit of our community we respectfully request that the boundaries are aligned to include the North West portion of Maroochy Shire in the electorate of NICKLIN.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PRINT NAME</th>
<th>ADDRESS</th>
<th>SIGN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BRETT FLINT</td>
<td>LOT 4 HORETHEN AVE BELL PL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAT HAMPTON</td>
<td>WALKER CR ELLIOTSTOWN HAMILTON</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DAVID HOLT</td>
<td>CARRINGTON RD ELLIOTSTOWN</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SANDEE BELL</td>
<td>SKYING CR RD BELL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JOHN BOLSBY</td>
<td>SORREN'S CAME RY</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUSAN MARTIN</td>
<td>FUELTWOOD RD BELL PARK</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RUSSELL HUNT</td>
<td>KENILWORTH RD POOKA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. PHILLIN</td>
<td>KENILWORTH RD GORANDA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. S. APP</td>
<td>LOT 5 BOWRRA RD BELL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. VARLEY</td>
<td>22 BOWRRA RD BELL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G. PEARCE</td>
<td>LOT 13 CHERRY LANE BELL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUSAN BLOMDEN</td>
<td>93 FUELTWOOD RD BELL PARK</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P.J. CLEARY</td>
<td>16 BOWRRA RD BELL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I. HAWKES</td>
<td>LOT 2 EDEN RD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M. S. LONG</td>
<td>BELL POOKA ELLIOTSTOWN</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. APRA</td>
<td>LOT 5 BOWRRA RD BELL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. CHILTON</td>
<td>KENILWORTH RD POOKA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H. MCDONALD</td>
<td>LOT 7 KENILWORTH RD BELL POOKA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M. CARTER</td>
<td>NO FUELTWOOD RD BELL PARK</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The Queensland Redistribution Commission  
160 Mary St  
BRISBANE

We, The undersigned hereby express our opposition to the inclusion of our residences and properties into the electorate of NANANGO. For the benefit of our community we respectfully request that the boundaries are aligned to include the North West portion of Maroochy Shire in the electorate of NICKLIN.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PRINT NAME</th>
<th>ADDRESS</th>
<th>SIGN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DES KING</td>
<td>130 OAK CREEK RD ALMEMBAH</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. TARREY</td>
<td>175 SOUTHERN MN</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STEW SUREH</td>
<td>330 FLEETWOOD RD BEN</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. FROST</td>
<td>11 BROWN'S RD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. FROST</td>
<td>111 BROWN'S RD BELL RD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAT SUREH</td>
<td>FLEETWOOD RD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JORDY WALKIN</td>
<td>172 KENILWORTH RD BELL P</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JARAN SMITH</td>
<td>FLEETWOOD RD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T. CHAMPNEY</td>
<td>80 KENILWORTH RD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T. WILSON</td>
<td>KENILWORTH RD BELL P</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SHOWERY</td>
<td>141 KENILWORTH RD BELL P</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. GARRICK</td>
<td>141 KENILWORTH RD BELL P</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

QRC 1085 774
We, The undersigned hereby express our opposition to the inclusion of our residences and properties into the electorate of NANANGO.
For the benefit of our community we respectfully request that the boundaries are aligned to include the North West portion of Maroochy Shire in the electorate of NICKLIN.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PRINT NAME</th>
<th>ADDRESS</th>
<th>SIGN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Veronica Spicer</td>
<td>Kenilworth-Emundir Rd Kenilworth</td>
<td>Veronica</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shirley Moreland</td>
<td>21 Phillip Street Kenilworth 4576</td>
<td>S. Moreland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neville Long</td>
<td>Brawns Rd Belli Park Emundin</td>
<td>N. L. Long</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robert Barcello</td>
<td>Belli Park via Emundin</td>
<td>R. Barcello</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charlie Cobb</td>
<td>Cedar Creek Road Belli Park via Emundin 4572</td>
<td>C. Cobb</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Dear Mr. Glassgoes,

I am writing to express my concern with the development of a new housing complex near our area. Our present life cannot be compared to the one we have now.

How does the elected member feel about a new housing complex? We have lived in this area for 22 years, and our business (Hakewy Veterinary Services) is important to the area. As to show holidays, we are very upset, as we have been given an extra show holiday, but it is not enough. Many of the Hakewy area businesses are not affected in areas that are not.
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Electoral Commission of Queensland
Level 6, 160 Mary St
Brisbane

Re: Proposed Glasshouse Electorate - Suggested Amendments

I submit the enclosed documents for your consideration. They are...

1) a joint submission from the Maleny branch of two political parties,

2) petition pages bearing a significant number of signatures of electors in the present seat of Nicklin

3) letters from individuals and community group,

all of which ask that the eastern boundaries of the proposed Glasshouse electorate be amended.

The thrust of these documents is that the areas of Witta, Conondale, Reesville, Booroobin and Bethorpe, which the Commission proposes to include in the Nanango electorate, should instead be in the proposed new electorate of Glasshouse.

I thank the Commission, on behalf of the petition signatories, for the opportunity of being able to comment in this matter.

Yours faithfully

[Signature]

John Chiarotto
A petition
seeking changes
in the proposed electorate of
Glasshouse
A petition to the Queensland Redistribution Commission

We, the undersigned electors, at present of the electorate of Nicklin, and of the proposed electorates of Glasshouse and Nanango, draw to your attention...

1 The boundaries of the new electorate of Glasshouse, as proposed by the Commission, locate the communities of Witta, Reeseville, Conondale, Bellthorpe and Booroobin in the adjoining electorate of Nanango.

2 We object to this proposal, and ask that the proposed boundary between Glasshouse and Nanango be re-drawn to include these communities in Glasshouse, for the following reasons...

There is a strong Community of Interest between these places and Maleny, the major town in the area. They are joined by geographic and topographic features and share communality and homogeneity through agricultural, pastoral and tourism industries. Maleny is the shopping, business, cultural and social centre.

All have little or no communality with Nanango. The mountains of the Great Dividing Range form a complete barrier to communication and communality with Nanango.


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name(printed)</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Signature</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>R. Jackson</td>
<td>Flesser Rd, Reeseville</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. Hall</td>
<td>Landsroad Rd, Maleny</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M. S. Stevenson</td>
<td>Maleny Chambers, Maleny</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. H. Norris</td>
<td>Flesser Rd, Reeseville</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. Jackson</td>
<td>13 Curramore Rd, Maleny</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russell Williams</td>
<td>1 Lawrence Place</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terry Newton</td>
<td>31 Bunya St, Maleny</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robin Doyle</td>
<td>2 Centenary Pl</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bessie Humphreys</td>
<td>Uppet Cedar Rd, Conondale</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. J. Jones</td>
<td>7 Centenary Pl</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roslyn McRae</td>
<td>58 Montville Rd, Maleny</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M. W. Lyall</td>
<td>131 Landsroad Rd, Maleny</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pat Stacey</td>
<td>49 Tamarind St, Maleny</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eddie Curran</td>
<td>Witta Ave, Maleny</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peter Oliver</td>
<td>35 Bunya St, Maleny</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gwyn Oliver</td>
<td>33 Bunya St, Maleny</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edna Burgum</td>
<td>6 Bunya St, Maleny</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dave Smith</td>
<td>5 Macdonald Dr, Maleny</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Billie Goodwin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marilyn O'Brien</td>
<td>18/12 Feij Rd, Maleny</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roy Lippert</td>
<td>84 Stanley Rd, Maleny</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shirley McMillan</td>
<td>59 Stanley Rd, Maleny</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Muller</td>
<td>67 Bunya St, Maleny</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Betty Gisler</td>
<td>47 Feij Rd, Maleny</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A petition to the Queensland Redistribution Commission

We, the undersigned electors, at present of the electorate of Nicklin, and of the proposed electorates of Glasshouse and Nanango, draw to your attention...

1. The boundaries of the new electorate of Glasshouse, as proposed by the Commission, locate the communities of Witta, Reeseville, Conondale, Bellthorpe and Booroobin in the adjoining electorate of Nanango.

2. We object to this proposal, and ask that the proposed boundary between Glasshouse and Nanango be re-drawn to include these communities in Glasshouse, for the following reasons...

There is a strong Community of Interest between these places and Maleny, the major town in the area. They are joined by geographic and topographic features and share communality and homogeneity through agricultural, pastoral and tourism industries. Maleny is the shopping, business, cultural and social centre.

All have little or no communality with Nanango. The mountains of the Great Dividing Range form a complete barrier to communication and communality with Nanango.

Principle petitioner John Chiariotto 12 Moffitt Court Maleny 23/4/1999

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name(printed)</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Signature</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>J.K. West</td>
<td>Lot 1, Howard Rd, Maleny</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trevor Sommerville</td>
<td>Lots 2, Cook Rd, Witta</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T.B. M.R. Lape</td>
<td>Stanley River Road.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David Probyn</td>
<td>65 Witta Rd, Witta</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jim Atkinson</td>
<td>3 Lawrence, Peanut Maleny</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bridget Sarks</td>
<td>S.B., Fic st, Maleny</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alf Ashton</td>
<td>Old Symm Rd, Conondale</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F.M. Stewart</td>
<td>39 Watson, LA, Reeseville</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R.J. D. Whiteman</td>
<td>39 Watson, LA, Reeseville</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N.Y. Woodhouse</td>
<td>1905 Stanley Rd, Robs.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shelly Wright</td>
<td>39 Currarong Rd, Witta</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roya Thomson</td>
<td>4 Tamarind St, Maleny</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T. Chiariotto</td>
<td>12 Moffitt Court Maleny</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russell Williams</td>
<td>13 Currarong Rd, Witta</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A petition to the Queensland Redistribution Commission

We, the undersigned electors, at present of the electorate of Nicklin, and of the proposed electorates of Glasshouse and Nanango, draw to your attention...

1. The boundaries of the new electorate of Glasshouse, as proposed by the Commission, locate the communities of Witta, Reesville, Conondale, Bellthorpe and Booroobin in the adjoining electorate of Nanango.

2. We object to this proposal, and ask that the proposed boundary between Glasshouse and Nanango be re-drawn to include these communities in Glasshouse, for the following reasons...

There is a strong Community of Interest between these places and Maleny, the major town in the area. They are joined by geographic and topographic features and share communality and homogeneity through agricultural, pastoral and tourism industries. Maleny is the shopping, business, cultural and social centre.

All have little or no communality with Nanango. The mountains of the Great Dividing Range form a complete barrier to communication and communality with Nanango.


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name(printed)</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Signature</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M.F. Baker</td>
<td>90 Burrum Rd Maleny</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H.M. NICHOLSON</td>
<td>LOT 4 PENNACE RD WEST</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. HORN</td>
<td>158 Hawi Views Rd Maleny</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. MANNING</td>
<td>279 Bar &amp; Kool Rd</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M. CONNOR</td>
<td>28 STANLEY RIVER RD MALeny</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROBERT MORAN</td>
<td>85 TREEHAVEN WAY MALeny</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NANCY BAKER</td>
<td>90 Burrum Rd Maleny</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M. GORDON</td>
<td>32 Nadi Ln Maleny</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. JANHANON</td>
<td>32 Nadi Ln Maleny</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. THOMAS</td>
<td>39 Mayfair View Maleny</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R. NICHOLSON</td>
<td>J.S. Torr Maleny</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P.B. ROLLINS</td>
<td>Reaua Hill Rd Maleny</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. HENDRIK</td>
<td>87 Witta Rd Maleny</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A.G. BISHOP</td>
<td>98 North Maleny Rd</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. BISHOP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REG DANIEL</td>
<td>86 Macadamia Dr Maleny</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVA DANIEL</td>
<td>86 Macadamia Dr Maleny</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. GILMORE</td>
<td>47 Old Maleny Rd</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N. GILMORE</td>
<td>47 Old Maleny Rd</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A petition to the Queensland Redistribution Commission

We, the undersigned electors, at present of the electorate of Nicklin, and of the proposed electorates of Glasshouse and Nanango, draw to your attention...

1. The boundaries of the new electorate of Glasshouse, as proposed by the Commission, locate the communities of Witta, Reeseville, Conondale, Bellthorpe and Boorooabin in the adjoining electorate.

2. We object to this proposal, and ask that the proposed boundary between Glasshouse and Nanango be re-drawn to include these communities in Glasshouse, for the following reasons...

There is a strong Community of Interest between these places and Maleny, the major town in the area. They are joined by geographic and topographic features and share communality and homogeneity through agricultural, pastoral and tourism industries. Maleny is the shopping, business, cultural and social centre.

All have little or no communality with Nanango. The mountains of the Great Dividing Range form a complete barrier to communication and communality with Nanango.


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name(printed)</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Signature</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H. F. JONES</td>
<td>19 Mt. View Rd. MALTBY</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G. B. JONES</td>
<td>174 Mt. View Rd. MALENY</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLAIRE BOOTH</td>
<td>LOT 1, McCARTHY GUTTE RD.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BERTIE V. EDGAR</td>
<td>LOT 1, McCARTHY GUTTE RD.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R. KINGS</td>
<td>20 Moffitt Court, Maleny</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAREE RUSSELL</td>
<td>304 CANDLEMT DRIVE PEACHESNE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A petition to the Queensland Redistribution Commission

We, the undersigned electors, at present of the electorate of Nicklin, and of the proposed electorates of Glasshouse and Nanango, draw to your attention...

1 The boundaries of the new electorate of Glasshouse, as proposed by the Commission, locate the communities of Wittia, Reeseville, Conondale, Bellthorpe and Booroobin in the adjoining electorate.

2 We object to this proposal, and ask that the proposed boundary between Glasshouse and Nanango be re-drawn to include these communities in Glasshouse, for the following reasons...

There is a strong Community of Interest between these places and Maleny, the major town in the area. They are joined by geographic and topographic features and share communality and homogeneity through agricultural, pastoral and tourism industries. Maleny is the shopping, business, cultural and social centre.

All have little or no communality with Nanango. The mountains of the Great Dividing Range form a complete barrier to communication and communality with Nanango.


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name(printed)</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Signature</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M. COLLINS</td>
<td>106 G Begin Rd Maleny</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I. ILLICIC</td>
<td>96 Rudole Dr Maleny</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R. OLIVER</td>
<td>1A Fulpi St Maleny</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. GREGORY</td>
<td>366 Reesville Road</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. REGGER</td>
<td>8 Moffitt Crt Maleny</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U. REEGER</td>
<td>8 Moffitt Crt Maleny</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. BROWN</td>
<td>8 Kondiare Rd Maleny</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. HENDERSON</td>
<td>19 Cord St Maleny</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. RICHARDSON</td>
<td>13 Tullawarr Rd Maleny</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. REDMOND</td>
<td>12 Madi Lane Maleny</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. HEMMER</td>
<td>63 Tullawarr Rd Maleny</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. BUTTERFIELD</td>
<td>26 Madi Lane Maleny</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M. J. HOWARD</td>
<td>89 Maple St Maleny</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M. PENNY</td>
<td>56 Reesville St</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G. PENNINDY</td>
<td>194t Maleny</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K. HAYES</td>
<td>106 Corks Plt Rd Reeseville</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. COLLE</td>
<td>12a Centenary Drive</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. MOUNTFIDGE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A petition to the Queensland Redistribution Commission

We, the undersigned electors, at present of the electorate of Nicklin, and of the proposed electorates of Glasshouse and Nanango, draw to your attention...

1 The boundaries of the new electorate of Glasshouse, as proposed by the Commission, locate the communities of Witta, Reeseville, Conondale, Bellthorpe and Booroobin in the adjoining ELECTORATE.

2 We object to this proposal, and ask that the proposed boundary between Glasshouse and Nanango be re-drawn to include these communities in Glasshouse, for the following reasons...

There is a strong Community of Interest between these places and Maleny, the major town in the area. They are joined by geographic and topographic features and share communality and homogeneity through agricultural, pastoral and tourism industries. Maleny is the shopping, business, cultural and social centre.

All have little or no communality with Nanango. The mountains of the Great Dividing Range form a complete barrier to communication and communality with Nanango.


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name(printed)</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Signature</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>J. E. King</td>
<td>33 Kingsland Maleny</td>
<td>J. E. King</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jan Tilden</td>
<td>10 Conenmury Dr. Maleny</td>
<td>J. Tilden</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. M. Woods</td>
<td>102 Minview Rd Maleny</td>
<td>E. M. Woods</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. Shelton</td>
<td>42 Crystal Waters Conondale</td>
<td>J. Shelton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N. Forsman</td>
<td>51 Crystal Lakes Conondale</td>
<td>N. Forsman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G. Baxter</td>
<td>10 Azelecast Maleny</td>
<td>G. Baxter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K. Martin</td>
<td>176x South St Maleny</td>
<td>K. Martin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David Fraser</td>
<td>Corks Pocket Rd. Maleny</td>
<td>D. Fraser</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bruce Sitch</td>
<td>235 Reeseville Rd Maleny</td>
<td>A. Sitch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. Sitch</td>
<td>&quot; &quot;</td>
<td>B. Sitch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W. Gullan</td>
<td>81 Reeseville Rd</td>
<td>W. Gullan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. Phillips</td>
<td>110 Macadamia Dr Maleny</td>
<td>A. Phillips</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V. Miller</td>
<td>242 Balaclava Rd Maleny</td>
<td>V. Miller</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sue Williamson</td>
<td>50 Maleny Westworth Rd</td>
<td>Sue Williamson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delwyn Whitfield</td>
<td>37 Sternberg Rd Witta</td>
<td>Delwyn Whitfield</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norma Austin</td>
<td>Scotts Rd Booroobin</td>
<td>N. Austin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sue Webb</td>
<td>Montville Rd Maleny</td>
<td>S. Webb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Martina Jenkyn</td>
<td>31 Macadamia Dr Maleny</td>
<td>M. Jenkyn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annettes Jackson</td>
<td>5 Cooke Rd Maleny</td>
<td>Annettes Jackson</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A petition to the Queensland Redistribution Commission

We, the undersigned electors, at present of the electorate of Nicklin, and of the proposed electorates of Glasshouse and Nanango, draw to your attention...

1 The boundaries of the new electorate of Glasshouse, as proposed by the Commission, locate the communities of Witta, Reesville, Conondale, Bellthorpe and Booroobin in the adjoining district.

2 We object to this proposal, and ask that the proposed boundary between Glasshouse and Nanango be redrawn to include these communities in Glasshouse, for the following reasons...

There is a strong Community of Interest between these places and Maleny, the major town in the area. They are joined by geographic and topographic features and share communality and homogeneity through agricultural, pastoral and tourism industries. Maleny is the shopping, business, cultural and social centre.

All have little or no communality with Nanango. The mountains of the Great Dividing Range form a complete barrier to communication and communality with Nanango.


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name(printed)</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Signature</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>W.M. Mills</td>
<td>32 Obi Vale Maleny</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. Hendry</td>
<td>8 Gump Rd. Landborough</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. Chester</td>
<td>31 Flaxton Dr. Mapleton</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. Chester</td>
<td>31 Flaxton Dr. Mapleton</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M. Ricketts</td>
<td>Doyle Rd., Reesville</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T. McCullagh</td>
<td>Stanley River Rd.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. Morris</td>
<td>330 Reesville Rd., Maleny</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R. Kendle</td>
<td>6 Commodore Ave. Maleny</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. Briggs</td>
<td>140 Reesville Rd., Maleny</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. Viney</td>
<td>Kidmarlvs Qld Rd, Maleny</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D.J. Phillips</td>
<td>cn. - Doyle Road, Engle La.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. Hunt</td>
<td>48 Century Drive Maleny</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R.A. Snell</td>
<td>55 McCartney Rd., Maleny</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. O'Doherty</td>
<td>16 Curramore Rd. Maleny</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M. O'Doherty</td>
<td>16 Curramore Rd. Maleny</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Janie Long Stope</td>
<td>125 Dayin Rd, Maleny</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. Caddell</td>
<td>3/14 Jewel St., Maleny</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R. Westhall</td>
<td>47 J. Kennedy Rd., Conondale</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. Price</td>
<td>29 McCullagh Rd., Maleny</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A petition to the Queensland Redistribution Commission

We, the undersigned electors, at present of the electorate of Nicklin, and of the proposed electorates of Glasshouse and Nanango, draw to your attention...

1. The boundaries of the new electorate of Glasshouse, as proposed by the Commission, locate the communities of Witta, Reesville, Conondale, Bellthorpe and Booroobin in the adjoining electorate of Nanango.

2. We object to this proposal, and ask that the proposed boundary between Glasshouse and Nanango be re-drawn to include these communities in Glasshouse, for the following reasons...

There is a strong Community of Interest between these places and Maleny, the major town in the area. They are joined by geographic and topographic features and share communality and homogeneity through agricultural, pastoral and tourism industries. Maleny is the shopping, business, cultural and social centre.

All have little or no communality with Nanango. The mountains of the Great Dividing Range form a complete barrier to communication and communality with Nanango.

Principle petitioner John Chiariotto 12 Moffitt Court Maleny 23/4/1999

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name(printed)</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Signature</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I. Thompson</td>
<td>31 Old Lovers Rd Maleny</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jacqui Thompson</td>
<td>As Above</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. Goodison</td>
<td>Lot 1 Pinto Drive Conondale</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K. Lamont</td>
<td>2A Park Rd Maleny</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N. Connolly</td>
<td>Maleny Creek Ed Maleny</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M. Hamner &amp; Partners</td>
<td>Park Road Maleny</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. Marshalls</td>
<td>1560 Cavan Drive Maleny</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annette Cork</td>
<td>Tesch Road Witta</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. Easington-Whyte</td>
<td>11 Beach St Maleny</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R. Redmayne</td>
<td>25 Old Lane Maleny</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thelma Condon</td>
<td>46 Water Lane Reesville</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kym McLauchlan</td>
<td>Lot 7 Kennedy D Conondale</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A petition to the Queensland Redistribution Commission

We, the undersigned electors, at present of the electorate of Nicklin, and of the proposed electorates of Glasshouse and Nanango, draw to your attention...

1. The boundaries of the new electorate of Glasshouse, as proposed by the Commission, locate the communities of Witta, Reesville, Conondale, Bellthorpe and Booroobin in the adjoining electorate of Nanango.

2. We object to this proposal, and ask that the proposed boundary between Glasshouse and Nanango be re-drawn to include these communities in Glasshouse, for the following reasons...

   There is a strong Community of Interest between these places and Maleny, the major town in the area. They are joined by geographic and topographic features and share communality and homogeneity through agricultural, pastoral and tourism industries. Maleny is the shopping, business, cultural and social centre.

   All have little or no communality with Nanango. The mountains of the Great Dividing Range form a complete barrier to communication and communality with Nanango.

Principle petitioner John Chiaretti 12 Moffitt Court Maleny 23/4/1999

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name(printed)</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Signature</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>J. D.</td>
<td>9 Lake End North St.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. J.</td>
<td>25 Witta Rd. Witta</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. Munro</td>
<td>2 Cherry St. Maleny</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K. Thorn</td>
<td>Reesville P.O. Maleny</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. Wallace</td>
<td>109 Mountview Maleny</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. Dominan</td>
<td>10 Greville River Rd.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eileen Baker</td>
<td>2 Margaret St. Witta</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reena Bowman</td>
<td>125 Moree Ave Scone</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. Evans</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. Payne</td>
<td>141 Landalshoh Rd.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June A. Singleton</td>
<td>138 Landalshoh Rd. Maleny</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. L. Enright</td>
<td>Coles Place Maleny</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. Stevenson</td>
<td>1 Macadamia Dr.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steven</td>
<td>1 Macadamia Dr.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. Willmsham</td>
<td>13 Rockingham Rd. Witta</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. Barber</td>
<td>25 Witta Rd. Maleny</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K. March</td>
<td>454 Macadamia Rd. Rochester</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. Winters</td>
<td>21 Fry St. Maleny</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Name(printed)          | Address                           | Signature |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>J. D.</td>
<td>9 Lake End North St.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. J.</td>
<td>25 Witta Rd. Witta</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. Munro</td>
<td>2 Cherry St. Maleny</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K. Thorn</td>
<td>Reesville P.O. Maleny</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. Wallace</td>
<td>109 Mountview Maleny</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. Dominan</td>
<td>10 Greville River Rd.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eileen Baker</td>
<td>2 Margaret St. Witta</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reena Bowman</td>
<td>125 Moree Ave Scone</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. Evans</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. Payne</td>
<td>141 Landalshoh Rd.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June A. Singleton</td>
<td>138 Landalshoh Rd. Maleny</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. L. Enright</td>
<td>Coles Place Maleny</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. Stevenson</td>
<td>1 Macadamia Dr.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steven</td>
<td>1 Macadamia Dr.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. Willmsham</td>
<td>13 Rockingham Rd. Witta</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. Barber</td>
<td>25 Witta Rd. Maleny</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K. March</td>
<td>454 Macadamia Rd. Rochester</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. Winters</td>
<td>21 Fry St. Maleny</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Name(printed)          | Address                           | Signature |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>J. D.</td>
<td>9 Lake End North St.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. J.</td>
<td>25 Witta Rd. Witta</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. Munro</td>
<td>2 Cherry St. Maleny</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K. Thorn</td>
<td>Reesville P.O. Maleny</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. Wallace</td>
<td>109 Mountview Maleny</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. Dominan</td>
<td>10 Greville River Rd.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eileen Baker</td>
<td>2 Margaret St. Witta</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reena Bowman</td>
<td>125 Moree Ave Scone</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. Evans</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. Payne</td>
<td>141 Landalshoh Rd.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June A. Singleton</td>
<td>138 Landalshoh Rd. Maleny</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. L. Enright</td>
<td>Coles Place Maleny</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. Stevenson</td>
<td>1 Macadamia Dr.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steven</td>
<td>1 Macadamia Dr.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. Willmsham</td>
<td>13 Rockingham Rd. Witta</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. Barber</td>
<td>25 Witta Rd. Maleny</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K. March</td>
<td>454 Macadamia Rd. Rochester</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. Winters</td>
<td>21 Fry St. Maleny</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A petition to the Queensland Redistribution Commission

We, the undersigned electors, at present of the electorate of Nicklin, and of the proposed electorates of Glasshouse and Nanango, draw to your attention...

1 The boundaries of the new electorate of Glasshouse, as proposed by the Commission, locate the communities of Witta, Reeseville, Conondale, Bellthorpe and Booroobin in the adjoining electorate of Nanango.

2 We object to this proposal, and ask that the proposed boundary between Glasshouse and Nanango be re-drawn to include these communities in Glasshouse, for the following reasons...

There is a strong Community of Interest between these places and Maleny, the major town in the area. They are joined by geographic and topographic features and share communality and homogeneity through agricultural, pastoral and tourism industries. Maleny is the shopping, business, cultural and social centre.

All have little or no communality with Nanango. The mountains of the Great Dividing Range form a complete barrier to communication and communality with Nanango.


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name(printed)</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Signature</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SIA F.E. SIMMONS</td>
<td>5, CRYSTAL WATERS, MALENY</td>
<td>R. Simmonds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W. WILLIAM</td>
<td>13, CUNNINGHAM RD, WITTA</td>
<td>W. Reed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N. REED</td>
<td>32, MEADOW RD, MALENY</td>
<td>W. Reed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M. WEDDLING</td>
<td>30, O'DONALD MANLEY</td>
<td>F. Weddilng</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. W.</td>
<td>5, CUNNINGHAM RD, WITTA</td>
<td>F. Weddilng</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. THOMAS</td>
<td>174, REESEVILLE RD, MALENY</td>
<td>B. Thomas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M. THOMAS</td>
<td>174, REESEVILLE RD, MALENY</td>
<td>M. Thomas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. MORGAN</td>
<td>22, KENILWORTH RD, MALENY</td>
<td>D. Morgan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H. MORGAN</td>
<td>19, STANLEY RIVER ROAD, MALENY</td>
<td>H. Morgan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T. MORGAN</td>
<td>22, KENILWORTH RD, MALENY</td>
<td>T. Morgen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. MORGAN</td>
<td>2, CHEERY ST, MALENY</td>
<td>C. Morgan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. MORGAN</td>
<td>ABBEY RIDGE, MALENY</td>
<td>A. Morgan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. MORGAN</td>
<td>REESEVILLE RD, MALENY</td>
<td>D. Morgan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. MORGAN</td>
<td>153, KENILWORTH RD, MALENY</td>
<td>J. Morgan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. SVENSSON</td>
<td>LOT 4, CURTIS STREET RD, MALENY</td>
<td>D. Svensson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. SVENSSON</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. KERMAN</td>
<td>67, CRYSTAL WATERS, CONONDALE</td>
<td>S. Kerman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E.G. CENYARD</td>
<td>LOT 5, COOKE RD, WITTA</td>
<td>E.G. Cenyard</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A petition to the Queensland Redistribution Commission

We, the undersigned electors, at present of the electorate of Nicklin, and of the proposed electorates of Glasshouse and Nanango, draw to your attention...

1 The boundaries of the new electorate of Glasshouse, as proposed by the Commission, locate the communities of Witta, Reesville, Conondale, Bellthorpe and Booroobin in the adjoining electorate of Nanango.

2 We object to this proposal, and ask that the proposed boundary between Glasshouse and Nanango be re-drawn to include these communities in Glasshouse, for the following reasons...

There is a strong Community of Interest between these places and Maleny, the major town in the area. They are joined by geographic and topographic features and share communality and homogeneity through agricultural, pastoral and tourism industries. Maleny is the shopping, business, cultural and social centre.

All have little or no communality with Nanango. The mountains of the Great Dividing Range form a complete barrier to communication and communality with Nanalgo.


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name(printed)</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Signature</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Christopher Cantele</td>
<td>45 Watson Lane Reesville</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luke Howlett</td>
<td>82 Kuddle St Reesville</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keith Ruddle</td>
<td>82 Kuddle St Reesville</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Cantele</td>
<td>Queens Rd, Maleny</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marion Williams</td>
<td>Bancoo Pocket W.M. Maleny 4552</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rob Formby</td>
<td>72 Kings St Maleny</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jean Madden</td>
<td>72 Gardener St Maleny</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Cansino</td>
<td>1 Margaret St Witta</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sue Leake</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rob Stewart</td>
<td>72 Puddle Dr Reesville</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rita Scaifeon</td>
<td>9 Majula C/8 Maleny</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edmund Kroppmen</td>
<td>2 Tamand St Maleny</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D.P. Johnson</td>
<td>10 Majula C/8 Maleny</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keith Hyndad</td>
<td>65 Tamand St Maleny</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carey Gordon</td>
<td>8a Tamand St Witta</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cathy Galley</td>
<td>72 Kings St Maleny</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lyn Morris</td>
<td>Ridekman Ck Rd Witta</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. Collier</td>
<td>Hamilton Rd Conondale</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A petition to the Queensland Redistribution Commission

We, the undersigned electors, at present of the electorate of Nicklin, and of the proposed electorates of Glasshouse and Nanango, draw to your attention...

1 The boundaries of the new electorate of Glasshouse, as proposed by the Commission, locate the communities of Witta, Reeseville, Conondale, Bellthorpe and Booroobin in the adjoining

2 We object to this proposal, and ask that the proposed boundary between Glasshouse and Nanango be re-drawn to include these communities in Glasshouse, for the following reasons...

There is a strong Community of Interest between these places and Maleny, the major town in the area. They are joined by geographic and topographic features and share community and homogeneity through agricultural, pastoral and tourism industries. Maleny is the shopping, business, cultural and social centre.

All have little or no communality with Nanango. The mountains of the Great Dividing Range form a complete barrier to communication and communality with Nanango.


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name(printed)</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Signature</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Robert Webster</td>
<td>76 Baring St Maleny</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Byrne</td>
<td>103 Randale Drive Maleny</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>stereo</td>
<td>103 Randale Drive Maleny</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robert James Taylor</td>
<td>199 Kenilworth Rd Witta Maleny</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brian Beaton</td>
<td>1880 Stanley River Rd Maleny</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anne Lenchenberg</td>
<td>Lots 57 Moolinglame Witta Maleny</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peter Lenchenberg</td>
<td>Lots 57 Moolinglame Witta Maleny</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M.R. Gudmunsson</td>
<td>1670 Stanley River Rd Maleny</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Janette Vesce</td>
<td>1670 Stanley River Rd Maleny</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terry Campbell</td>
<td>1670 Stanley River Rd Maleny</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David Gould</td>
<td>Kenilworth Rd Conondale</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J.A. Jones</td>
<td>12 Maleny</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>William Pettit</td>
<td>25 Macadam Rd Maleny</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E.J. Castelaars</td>
<td>25 Hill St Maleny</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bruce Ringer</td>
<td>38 Mountain View Rd Maleny</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michelle Sessions</td>
<td>95 Methuins Rd Maleny</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hans Mertens</td>
<td>192 Kenilworth Rd Witta</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tim Humphries</td>
<td>18 Reeseville Rd Maleny</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tim Humphries</td>
<td>18 Reeseville Rd Maleny</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A petition to the Queensland Redistribution Commission

We, the undersigned electors, at present of the electorate of Nicklin, and of the proposed electorates of Glasshouse and Nanango, draw to your attention...

1. The boundaries of the new electorate of Glasshouse, as proposed by the Commission, locate the communities of Witta, Reesville, Conondale, Bellthorpe and Booroobin in the adjoining electorate of Nanango.

2. We object to this proposal, and ask that the proposed boundary between Glasshouse and Nanango be re-drawn to include these communities in Glasshouse, for the following reasons...

There is a strong Community of Interest between these places and Maleny, the major town in the area. They are joined by geographic and topographic features and share community and homogeneity through agricultural, pastoral and tourism industries. Maleny is the shopping, business, cultural and social centre.

All have little or no communality with Nanango. The mountains of the Great Dividing Range form a complete barrier to communication and communality with Nanango.

Principal petitioner John Chiarotto 12 Moffitt Court Maleny 23/4/1999

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name(printed)</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Signature</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A. ROELE</td>
<td>89 Witta Rd</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. ROGERS</td>
<td>89 Witta Rd</td>
<td>E. ROGERS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K.F. RUSSELL</td>
<td>Curramore Rd, Witta</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M.J. RUSSELL</td>
<td>Curramore Rd, Witta</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S.M. COOK</td>
<td>Police Rd, Maleny</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V.G. ROSSER</td>
<td>Police Rd, Maleny</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. SAVERS</td>
<td>Lot 2, Neelan Rd, Maleny</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. JACKSON</td>
<td>129 Reesville Rd, Maleny</td>
<td>A. JACKSON</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L.F. TAYLOR</td>
<td>130 Witta Rd, Maleny</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y. TAYLOR</td>
<td>120 Witta Rd, Maleny</td>
<td>Y. TAYLOR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. TAYLOR</td>
<td>120 Reesville Rd, Maleny</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T.A. NEWIN</td>
<td>Witta Road, Maleny</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. CAMPBELL</td>
<td>Reesville Rd, Maleny</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G. STAMPF</td>
<td>1 Murray Lane, Maleny</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. TODD</td>
<td>Bunyeroo Lane, Maleny</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. TAYLOR</td>
<td>3 Witta Rd, Maleny</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R. MCCARTHY</td>
<td>2/Beecroft Rd, Maleny</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A.C. GAINES</td>
<td>Lot 4, Conondale Rd, Witta</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A petition to the Queensland Redistribution Commission

We, the undersigned electors, at present of the electorate of Nicklin, and of the proposed electorates of Glasshouse and Nanango, draw to your attention...

1 The boundaries of the new electorate of Glasshouse, as proposed by the Commission, locate the communities of Witta, Reeseville, Conondale, Bellthorpe and Booroobin in the adjoining electorate of Nanango.

2 We object to this proposal, and ask that the proposed boundary between Glasshouse and Nanango be re-drawn to include these communities in Glasshouse, for the following reasons...

There is a strong Community of Interest between these places and Maleny, the major town in the area. They are joined by geographic and topographic features and share communality and homogeneity through agricultural, pastoral and tourism industries. Maleny is the shopping, business, cultural and social centre.

All have little or no communality with Nanango. The mountains of the Great Dividing Range form a complete barrier to communication and communality with Nanango.


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name(printed)</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Signature</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bradley</td>
<td>BARMER BRIDGE RD CONONDALE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. H. McIntyre</td>
<td>&quot;TALLARA&quot; BURNETT LANE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T. J. McIntyre</td>
<td>MALENY</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. Coulson</td>
<td>MALENY</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. Calvson</td>
<td>MALENY</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. J. Wilson</td>
<td>87 REESVILLE ROAD, REESVILLE MALENY</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T. H. Wilson</td>
<td>87 REESVILLE ROAD, REESVILLE MALENY</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. H. Probyn</td>
<td>65 WITTA ROAD, WITTA MALENY</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. Rose</td>
<td>REESVILLE RD, MALENY</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. Rose</td>
<td>REESVILLE RD, MALENY</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. Henderson</td>
<td>33 TAMARIND ST, MALENY</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. Henderson</td>
<td>33 TAMARIND ST, MALENY</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. Henderson</td>
<td>33 TAMARIND ST, MALENY</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G. C.</td>
<td>100 SANDON RD, MALENY</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R. J. Rix</td>
<td>130 SANDON RD, MALENY</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. Mallet</td>
<td>&quot;VILADULKA&quot; MALENY</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June Blower</td>
<td>LOT 1 ENGLISH RD, MALENY</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denise Howan</td>
<td>33 TAMARIND ST, MALENY</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A petition to the
Queensland Redistribution Commission

We, the undersigned electors, at present of the electorate of Nicklin, and of the proposed electorates of Glasshouse and Nanango, draw to your attention...

1 The boundaries of the new electorate of Glasshouse, as proposed by the Commission, locate the communities of Witta, Reeseville, Conondale, Bellthorpe and Booroobin in the adjoining electorate of Nanango.

2 We object to this proposal, and ask that the proposed boundary between Glasshouse and Nanango be re-drawn to include these communities in Glasshouse, for the following reasons...

There is a strong Community of Interest between these places and Maleny, the major town in the area. They are joined by geographic and topographic features and share communality and homogeneity through agricultural, pastoral and tourism industries. Maleny is the shopping, business, cultural and social centre.

All have little or no communality with Nanango. The mountains of the Great Dividing Range form a complete barrier to communication and communality with Nanango.

Principle petitioner John Chiarotto 12 Moffit Court Maleny 23/4/1999

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name(printed)</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Signature</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rod Gale</td>
<td>105 Maleny Rd Forest</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>James Devitt</td>
<td>7 Lawrence Rd Maleny</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. Tudorin</td>
<td>1 Vaucluse View Maleny</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R. Header</td>
<td>&quot;Table View&quot; Lot 45 Smiths Rd Wkna</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. B. Packer</td>
<td>2 Burgess Ave Maleny</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V. A. Packer</td>
<td>2 Burgess Ave Maleny</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. F. Williams</td>
<td>Lot 3 125 Melross Rd Maleny</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. Swanwick</td>
<td>Lot 16 St Helens Ave Rd</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. L. Payne</td>
<td>9 Cedar Grove Court Maleny</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. Harbin</td>
<td>95 McCarthy Rd Maleny</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. B. Packer</td>
<td>Tavesville Road Maleny</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. K. Headman</td>
<td>8 Magpie Ave Maleny 4552</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lorraine Diffy</td>
<td>58 Maple St Maleny 4552</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hannah Williams</td>
<td>3 More Conness Ave Maleny</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sean Williams</td>
<td>3 More Conness Ave Maleny</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peter Cock</td>
<td>Backview Lane Maleny</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T. Kendall</td>
<td>Lot 3 Ahern Rd Conondale</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jim Kendall</td>
<td>Lot 3 Ahern Rd Conondale</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A petition to the Queensland Redistribution Commission

We, the undersigned electors, at present of the electorate of Nicklin, and of the proposed electorates of Glasshouse and Nanango, draw to your attention...

1 The boundaries of the new electorate of Glasshouse, as proposed by the Commission, locate the communities of Witta, Reeseville, Conondale, Bellthorpe and Booroobin in the adjoining ELECTORATE...

2 We object to this proposal, and ask that the proposed boundary between Glasshouse and Nanango be re-drawn to include these communities in Glasshouse, for the following reasons...

There is a strong Community of Interest between these places and Maleny, the major town in the area. They are joined by geographic and topographic features and share communality and homogeneity through agricultural, pastoral and tourism industries. Maleny is the shopping, business, cultural and social centre.

All have little or no communality with Nanango. The mountains of the Great Dividing Range form a complete barrier to communication and communality with Nanango.

Principle petitioner John Chiarotto 12 Moffit Court Maleny 23/4/1999

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name(printed)</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Singature</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GARRY SLOAN</td>
<td>Cooke Rd Witta</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROBERT CREEK</td>
<td>Tesch Rd Witta</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Murita Hust</td>
<td>lot 13 Telopea Rd Witta</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helen Baker</td>
<td>lot 2 Kidaman Creek Rd Witta</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vladimir John Emich</td>
<td>Lot 1 Tateral Rd Witta</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DESLEY CAINING</td>
<td>246 Kenworth Rd Witta</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MalcolmLuck</td>
<td>34 Witta Road Witta</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sherelle Boltwick</td>
<td>Lot 1(60m back Rd Maleny)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Donna Fritz</td>
<td>Bergann Rd Witta</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLIVE CHARDON</td>
<td>15/1 Kidaman Creek Rd</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JOHN ROE</td>
<td>Mckean Rd Kenilworth</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MICK GUNSTON</td>
<td>Lot 5 Boolooma Ck Rd</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVE WITNEY</td>
<td>lot 7 Ancell Rd Witta</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simone Dernier</td>
<td>Lot 6E, Sunnyside Rd Witta</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Berkelightbody</td>
<td>Oehrnich Rd Witta</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+. DOLS</td>
<td>TETOBERS AVE Witta</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leigh Carter</td>
<td>24 Bergann Rd Witta</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michelle Huth</td>
<td>Lot 2 Million Rd Witta</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harry Rollins</td>
<td>887 Kenilworth Rd Witta</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Juliea Rollins</td>
<td>257 Kenilworth Rd Witta</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A petition to the Queensland Redistribution Commission

We, the undersigned electors, at present of the electorate of Nicklin, and of the proposed electorates of Glasshouse and Nanango, draw to your attention...

1 The boundaries of the new electorate of Glasshouse, as proposed by the Commission, locate the communities of Witta, Reeseville, Conondale, Bellthorpe and Booroobin in the adjoining ELECTORATE.

2 We object to this proposal, and ask that the proposed boundary between Glasshouse and Nanango be re-drawn to include these communities in Glasshouse, for the following reasons...

There is a strong Community of Interest between these places and Maleny, the major town in the area. They are joined by geographic and topographic features and share communality and homogeneity through agricultural, pastoral and tourism industries. Maleny is the shopping, business, cultural and social centre.

All have little or no communality with Nanango. The mountains of the Great Dividing Range form a complete barrier to communication and communality with Nanango.


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name(printed)</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Signature</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stewart</td>
<td>STRANBING RD WITTA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tony Edmundstone</td>
<td>M/516 Currans Rd Witta</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ann Falsone</td>
<td>177 WITTA RD WITTA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mike Gray</td>
<td>NOTHING 1000 WITTA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Zinn</td>
<td>FREEMAN COURT WITTA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percy Zinn</td>
<td>FREEMAN COURT WITTA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frank McNulty</td>
<td>THE RETREAT WITTA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adrian Smith</td>
<td>Cooke Rd WITTA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gwend McEachen</td>
<td>Currans Rd WITTA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keri Swallow</td>
<td>CIVIC RD WITTA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dave Ryan</td>
<td>Currans Rd WITTA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liz Swanson</td>
<td>S Measberg Rd WITTA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Margaret Allen</td>
<td>58 WITTA RD WITTA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Allen</td>
<td>56 WITTA RD WITTA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Les's Sounen</td>
<td>1/16 54 WITTA RD WITTA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Libby McNichols</td>
<td>WITTA RG RD WITTA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gregory Scott</td>
<td>Lot 3, Anael Rd WITTA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fran Tickle</td>
<td>UPPER CANDY R. RD MALENY</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kelly Nash</td>
<td>OEHMICHEN RD WITTA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Andrew</td>
<td>L. 11 REEVE KILM RD WITTA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A petition to the Queensland Redistribution Commission

We, the undersigned electors, at present of the electorate of Nicklin, and of the proposed electorates of Glasshouse and Nanango, draw to your attention...

1. The boundaries of the new electorate of Glasshouse, as proposed by the Commission, locate the communities of Witta, Reeseville, Conondale, Bellthorpe and Booroobin in the adjoining ELECTORATE.

2. We object to this proposal, and ask that the proposed boundary between Glasshouse and Nanango be re-drawn to include these communities in Glasshouse, for the following reasons...

There is a strong Community of Interest between these places and Maleny, the major town in the area. They are joined by geographic and topographic features and share communality and homogeneity through agricultural, pastoral and tourism industries. Maleny is the shopping, business, cultural and social centre.

All have little or no communality with Nanango. The mountains of the Great Dividing Range form a complete barrier to communication and communality with Nanango.

Principle petitioner John Chiaretti 12 Moffitt Court Maleny 23/4/1999

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name(printed)</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Signature</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Peter Wiklert</td>
<td>Currumurr Rd Witta</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maryy Quenn</td>
<td>191 Witta Rd</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gregory Arbathost</td>
<td>Bakers Rd Conondale</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Queen Arbathost</td>
<td>&quot;&quot;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fraser Reitley</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maggie Tribe</td>
<td>Coke Rd</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chris Russell</td>
<td>John Cooks Pechet Rd Rd</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phil Fritz</td>
<td>Birgara Rd</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Dixon</td>
<td>Nurse Rd Witta</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carmel Allwood</td>
<td>Coke Rd M.T.O.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drena H. Sheehan</td>
<td>R&amp;D Rd W.G.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P Pomerekne &quot;RETREAT&quot; Sy Witta Rd</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V Chipuscott</td>
<td>Lot 11 Sternberg Rd Witta</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W Briding</td>
<td>PO Box 257 Maleny</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D Wilson</td>
<td>PC Box 66 Maleny</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L Torreelli</td>
<td>Upper Cedar Creek Rd</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J Robertson</td>
<td>Birgarn Rd Witta</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L Bundeen</td>
<td>Badaan Creek Rd Witta</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D Falland</td>
<td>Lot 3/134 Witta Road Maleny</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Karen Lusk</td>
<td>Birgarn Rd Maleny</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A petition to the Queensland Redistribution Commission

We, the undersigned electors, at present of the electorate of Nicklin, and of the proposed electorates of Glasshouse and Nanango, draw to your attention...

1. The boundaries of the new electorate of Glasshouse, as proposed by the Commission, locate the communities of Witta, Reeseville, Conondale, Bellthorpe and Booroobin in the adjoining ELECTORATE.

2. We object to this proposal, and ask that the proposed boundary between Glasshouse and Nanango be re-drawn to include these communities in Glasshouse, for the following reasons...

   There is a strong Community of Interest between these places and Maleny, the major town in the area. They are joined by geographic and topographic features and share communality and homogeneity through agricultural, pastoral and tourism industries. Maleny is the shopping, business, cultural and social centre.

   All have little or no communality with Nanango. The mountains of the Great Dividing Range form a complete barrier to communication and communality with Nanango.


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name(printed)</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Signature</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Madonna Pruecknute</td>
<td>Lot 4, The Retreat, Witta</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quinette Jackson</td>
<td>Lots 5, Cook Rd, Witta</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kevin Sommerville</td>
<td>Teusch Rd, Witta</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. C. Alexander</td>
<td>Ravenswood Rd, Witta</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melinda Rankin</td>
<td>42 Park Rd, Nambour</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>William Rankin</td>
<td>642 Park Rd, Nambour</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. B. J. 4/14/1957</td>
<td>28 Bercann Rd, Witta</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. Gillijsen</td>
<td>Lot 31, Cumilla Rd, Witta</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. White</td>
<td>Lot 59, Cumilla Rd, Nambour</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. Foxwoldt</td>
<td>Lot 11, Teething Ave, Witta</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brian Bilby</td>
<td>Lot 7, Teething Ave, Witta</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brett Davies</td>
<td>Lot 23, Cooke Rd, Witta</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R. G. Turner</td>
<td>Lot 2, Bercann's Rd, Witta</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. Nickerson</td>
<td>354, Maleny Rd, Kenilworth Rd</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pauline Clayton</td>
<td>Lot 40, Cumuald Rd, Witta</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enid Sigre</td>
<td>388, Kenilworth Rd, Witta</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beverley Harden</td>
<td>28, Scotts Rd, Glasshouse, Witta</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heather Stroud</td>
<td>Lot 20, Withber Rd, Witta</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peter Van Nie</td>
<td>Witta</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coen</td>
<td>Lot 7, Shell Rd, Witta</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAME</td>
<td>ADDRESS</td>
<td>SIGNATURE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MARGE CLARKE</td>
<td>Lot 2 Curramore Rd. WITTA</td>
<td>McKay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marie Spiteri</td>
<td>6 Freeman Cr., WITTA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dale &amp; Patty Slight</td>
<td>203 Maleny-Kenilworth Rd.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAME</td>
<td>ADDRESS</td>
<td>SIGNATURE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MARIAN BATTING</td>
<td>5 KOALA CRT. WITTA QLD</td>
<td>M. A. Battling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BERNARD BATTING</td>
<td>5 KOALA CRT. WITTA QLD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DONALD GREENFIELD</td>
<td>26 WITTA RD. QLD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IRIS DAWS</td>
<td>747 RAVENSBURG DR. WITTA QLD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PATRICIA DAWS</td>
<td>47 RAVENSBURG DR. WITTA QLD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEVIS TILINDER</td>
<td>14 COOK RD WITTA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sime Tillander</td>
<td>11 NOEL MARTIN</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CONVIE MARTIN</td>
<td>245 KENILWORTH RD. WITTA</td>
<td>C. Martin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ruth Osberger</td>
<td>245 KENILWORTH RD. WITTA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHRIS OSSIMA</td>
<td>245 KENILWORTH RD. WITTA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PETER MULLIN</td>
<td>25 COOK RD WITTA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AL THORNBURG</td>
<td>254 MALORY KENILWORTH RD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MICHAEL BROADBY</td>
<td>1628 GEMMA CRT. WITTA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GEOFF BROADBY</td>
<td>69 GEMMA CRT. WITTA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VENDA MCGARRA</td>
<td>LOGAN BRIDGE RD. COONABURNE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jessica Williams</td>
<td>CURRENAN RD. WITTA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russell Williams</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Derek Partun</td>
<td>30 STEINBERG ROAD. WITTA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Christine Partun</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keruran Partun</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. C. SPENCER</td>
<td>3 KOALA CRT.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G. SCENCEA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leticia Vander Heuel</td>
<td>'AVALON' BOX 1400 PARKES QLD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terry Keere</td>
<td>'AVALON' BOX 1400 PARKES QLD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VINCE STEVENS</td>
<td>39 WITTA QLD. WITTA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R. STEVENS</td>
<td>39 WITTA QLD. WITTA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R. A. FREEMAN</td>
<td>4 SCHULTZ RD. WITTA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


A petition to the Queensland Redistribution Commission

We, the undersigned electors, at present of the electorate of Nicklin, and of the proposed electorates of Glasshouse and Nanango, draw to your attention...

1. The boundaries of the new electorate of Glasshouse, as proposed by the Commission, locate the communities of Witts, Reeseville, Conondale, Bellthorpe and Booroobin in the adjoining electorate.

2. We object to this proposal, and ask that the proposed boundary between Glasshouse and Nanango be re-drawn to include these communities in Glasshouse, for the following reasons...

There is a strong Community of Interest between these places and Maleny, the major town in the area. They are joined by geographic and topographic features and share communality and homogeneity through agricultural, pastoral and tourism industries. Maleny is the shopping, business, cultural and social centre.

All have little or no communality with Nanango. The mountains of the Great Dividing Range form a complete barrier to communication and communality with Nanango.


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name(printed)</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Signature</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>E.J. LIDDICOAT</td>
<td>Lot 2 Allen's Rd Conondale</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N. LIDDICOAT</td>
<td>Lot 2 Allen's Rd Conondale</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T. BOYCE</td>
<td>Lot 2 Allen's Rd Conondale</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. SCOTT</td>
<td>46 Appaloosa Dr</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M. LINDON</td>
<td>Allen's Rd Conondale</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N. ENGLISH</td>
<td>Cookes Rd Conondale</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. SMILEY</td>
<td>Curramore Rd Witts</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. SMILEY</td>
<td>Curramore Rd Witts</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M. SMILEY</td>
<td>Curramore Rd Witts</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A petition to the Queensland Redistribution Commission

We, the undersigned electors, at present of the electorate of Nicklin, and of the proposed electorates of Glasshouse and Nanango, draw to your attention...

1 The boundaries of the new electorate of Glasshouse, as proposed by the Commission, locate the communities of Witta, Reesville, Conondale, Bellthorpe and Booroobin in the adjoining electorate.

2 We object to this proposal, and ask that the proposed boundary between Glasshouse and Nanango be re-drawn to include these communities in Glasshouse, for the following reasons...

There is a strong Community of Interest between these places and Maleny, the major town in the area. They are joined by geographic and topographic features and share communality and homogeneity through agricultural, pastoral and tourism industries. Maleny is the shopping, business, cultural and social centre.

All have little or no communality with Nanango. The mountains of the Great Dividing Range form a complete barrier to communication and communality with Nanango.


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name(printed)</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Singature</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M Carty</td>
<td>School Res, Kanilworth Rd Conondale</td>
<td>Carty</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S Cowan</td>
<td>63 Crystal Waters</td>
<td>Cowan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M Lockett Stayler</td>
<td>Lot 2 Pinto Dr, Conondale</td>
<td>Stayler</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gaby Luft</td>
<td>Box 564 Maleny 4552</td>
<td>Luft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K R Lang</td>
<td>53 Crystal Waters</td>
<td>Lang</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E Green</td>
<td>Harper Ck Rd Conondale</td>
<td>Green</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I Pearson</td>
<td>Harper Ck Rd Conondale</td>
<td>Pearson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N J Brown</td>
<td></td>
<td>Brown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W J English</td>
<td>Creek Rd Conondale Maleny</td>
<td>English</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A D Ensby</td>
<td>Lot 12 Kentworth Rd Conondale</td>
<td>Ensby</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F Blattie</td>
<td>5 Centenary Ave Conondale</td>
<td>Blattie</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N H Vanden Hover</td>
<td>6 Conondale Ck, Conondale</td>
<td>Vanden</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F Steinz</td>
<td>Conondale</td>
<td>Steinz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M Walsh</td>
<td>Lt 1 Harpers Ck Rd</td>
<td>Walsh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M Howard</td>
<td>A Herns Rd Conondale</td>
<td>Howard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D Day</td>
<td>Lot 18 Pinto Drive Con</td>
<td>Day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V Bucholz</td>
<td>Cairns</td>
<td>Bucholz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allen Lyon</td>
<td>A Herns Rd Conondale A R Lyon</td>
<td>Lyon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M O'Kane</td>
<td>Lot 2 Crystal Waters</td>
<td>O'Kane</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A petition to the Queensland Redistribution Commission

We, the undersigned electors, at present of the electorate of Nicklin, and of the proposed electorates of Glasshouse and Nanango, draw to your attention...

1 The boundaries of the new electorate of Glasshouse, as proposed by the Commission, locate the communities of Witta, Reeseville, Conondale, Bellthorpe and Booroobin in the adjoining ELECTORATE.

2 We object to this proposal, and ask that the proposed boundary between Glasshouse and Nanango be re-drawn to include these communities in Glasshouse, for the following reasons...

There is a strong Community of Interest between these places and Maleny, the major town in the area. They are joined by geographic and topographic features and share communality and homogeneity through agricultural, pastoral and tourism industries. Maleny is the shopping, business, cultural and social centre.

All have little or no communality with Nanango. The mountains of the Great Dividing Range form a complete barrier to communication and communality with Nanango.


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name(printed)</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Signature</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>K. Scarborough</td>
<td>Alimu Rd Conondale</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M. Conan</td>
<td>C3 Re-line Water</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. Rupple</td>
<td>Lot 74 CW</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K. Wyper</td>
<td>Lot 73 Crystal Water</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. Wrede</td>
<td>Conondale Conondale</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. Fraser</td>
<td>23 Crystal Waters</td>
<td>C. Fraser</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. Collins</td>
<td>Grigor Rd Conondale</td>
<td>L. Collins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. Ensberg</td>
<td>Conondale</td>
<td>D. Ensberg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R. Jung</td>
<td>Lot 5 Applebox DRV</td>
<td>R. Jung</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. Nancarrow</td>
<td>Chirrup Av Conondale</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. Chapman</td>
<td>Aheren Rd Conondale</td>
<td>D. Chapman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M. Chapman</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A petition to the Queensland Redistribution Commission

We, the undersigned electors, at present of the electorate of Nicklin, and of the proposed electorates of Glasshouse and Nanango, draw to your attention...

1. The boundaries of the new electorate of Glasshouse, as proposed by the Commission, locate the communities of Witta, Reesville, Conondale, Bellthorpe and Booroobin in the adjoining ELECTORATE.

2. We object to this proposal, and ask that the proposed boundary between Glasshouse and Nanango be re-drawn to include these communities in Glasshouse, for the following reasons...

There is a strong Community of Interest between these places and Maleny, the major town in the area. They are joined by geographic and topographic features and share communality and homogeneity through agricultural, pastoral and tourism industries. Maleny is the shopping, business, cultural and social centre.

All have little or no communality with Nanango. The mountains of the Great Dividing Range form a complete barrier to communication and communality with Nanango.


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name(printed)</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Signature</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A. Vogel</td>
<td>Conondale</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. Dyj</td>
<td>Conondale</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. Beamsang</td>
<td>Conondale</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phil Ponting</td>
<td>Conondale</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sandra Price</td>
<td>Conondale</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ivy Wyrk</td>
<td>Conondale</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ken Graham</td>
<td>Conondale</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norma Sowor</td>
<td>Conondale</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robert Mundy</td>
<td>Conondale</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kim Murray</td>
<td>Conondale</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Donna Romerene</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. Clayfield</td>
<td>58 Crystal Hills, Conexion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ian Beith</td>
<td>M. 8.16. Maleny</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kay Harron</td>
<td>Conondale</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helenang</td>
<td>Conondale</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fiona Keating</td>
<td>Conondale</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. Vylla Van der Helix</td>
<td>Conondale</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R. Cox</td>
<td>Conondale</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A petition to the Queensland Redistribution Commission

We, the undersigned electors, at present of the electorate of Nicklin, and of the proposed electorates of Glasshouse and Nanango, draw to your attention...

1. The boundaries of the new electorate of Glasshouse, as proposed by the Commission, locate the communities of Witta, Reeseville, Conondale, Bellthorpe and Booroobin in the adjoining electorate.

2. We object to this proposal, and ask that the proposed boundary between Glasshouse and Nanango be re-drawn to include these communities in Glasshouse, for the following reasons...

There is a strong Community of Interest between these places and Maleny, the major town in the area. They are joined by geographic and topographic features and share communality and homogeneity through agricultural, pastoral and tourism industries. Maleny is the shopping, business, cultural and social centre.

All have little or no communality with Nanango. The mountains of the Great Dividing Range form a complete barrier to communication and communality with Nanango.


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name(printed)</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Signature</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jason Edmond</td>
<td>Lot 9 Pinto Dr Conondale</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jeff Smith</td>
<td>Lot 2 Cedar Ct Rl Conondale</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bob Small</td>
<td>7 York St Maleny 4569</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jeff Smith</td>
<td>Lot 9 Maleny Rd Conondale</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R Swain</td>
<td>33 Crystal Waters</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A Harron</td>
<td>Herron Lane Conondale</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J A Blunt</td>
<td>Lot 2 Alassin Dr Conondale</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yvonne Wall</td>
<td>Lot 5 Pinto Drive Conondale</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michael Allen</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noel Brown</td>
<td>Harpers Creek Rd Conondale</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>James Barry</td>
<td>Conness Rd Conondale</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Julie Hurren</td>
<td>Lot 5 Humans Rd Conondale</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L Buzzard</td>
<td>Lot 12 Conness Rd Conondale</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edith Vogel</td>
<td>Conondale</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nola C Shear</td>
<td>Kenilworth Rd Conondale</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jacqui Dinan</td>
<td>Conness Creek Rd Conondale</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bob Smalley</td>
<td>Lot 2 Cookes Rd Conondale</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arnold Mithi</td>
<td>Conness Creek Rd Conondale</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Bray</td>
<td>Mel Conondale</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Margaret Brewis  
4 Ritsie Street  
Rochedale South Q 4123  

6th May 1999  

The Commissioner  
Queensland Redistribution Commission  
Locked Bag 3300  
Brisbane Qld 9001

Dear Sir

Re: Electoral Boundary Changes for Rochedale South

Since moving to the area in 1996 I have enjoyed interacting with my community in Springwood, Underwood and Rochedale. In 1997 I purchased a property in Rochedale South to enable me to continue to interact with the people and businesses I had come to know and trust. I shop weekly in Rochedale and support the businesses in Springwood.

I am more than dismayed at the draft boundary changes that will divide my community and place me in an electorate that I have little in common with and no regular contact. The Rochedale South area is very defined and does not have any natural links to Mansfield, Wishart, Chandler or Sheldon.

I do not do business with the Brisbane City Council as my property is located within the boundaries of the Logan City Council and this proposed change will further isolate me from issues relevant to where I have chosen to invest.

I wish to formerly object to the proposed boundary changes which will remove me from the electorate of Springwood.

Yours faithfully

Margaret Brewis
The Old Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
Brisbane

12 Rhonda Street
Rochdale South
7/5/99

E.C.Q.
009834

QR:035 778

Dear Sirs,

I object to my area being put into a new electorate.

Firstly, Rochdale has more in common with Springwood, excluding the close areas, than the same City Council. We have nothing in common with Toowong who is nowhere near neither in the same local Council so interests are not the same. They have City buses we do not!

Yours faithfully,

(mrs) W Vincent
Qld Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
Brisbane  Q  9001

To Whom It May Concern,

I wish to lodge an objection to the redistribution of the state seat of Springwood on the following grounds;

I believe that the close knit community of Rochedale South has little in common with the seat of Mansfield.

The proposed boundaries have split Rochedale South in two, dividing P&C Associations, Neighborhood Watch and other groups with common interests, which are supported by our local M.L.A.

I have lived Rochedale South for most of the past 15 years and it is like living in a country town. Splitting it up would be like splitting Roma or Beenleigh down the middle. Problems would be created for residents of Rochedale South not knowing which M.L.A. to deal with.

I believe the seat of Springwood should follow the boundaries of Logan City with the top end at Priestdale Road as it is now. (Even the Federal Electorate of Fadden, which takes in the Gold Coast, encompasses all of Rochedale South.)

I ask that the final redistribution be reconsidered to save our community from being torn apart.

Yours sincerely,

Rosemary Roach.
Qld Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
Brisbane Q 9001

To Whom It May Concern,

I wish to lodge an objection to the redistribution of the state seat of Springwood on the following grounds;

I believe that the close knit community of Rochedale South has little in common with the seat of Mansfield.

The proposed boundaries have split Rochedale South in two, dividing P&C Associations, Neighborhood Watch and other groups with common interests, which are supported by our local M.L.A.

I have lived and worked in Rochedale South for most of the past 15 years and it is like living in a country town. Splitting it up would be like splitting Roma or Beenleigh down the middle. Problems would be created for residents of Rochedale South not knowing which M.L.A. to deal with.

I believe the seat of Springwood should follow the boundaries of Logan City with the top end at Priestdale Road as it is now. (Even the Federal Electorate of Fadden, which takes in the Gold Coast, encompasses all of Rochedale South.)

I ask that the final redistribution be reconsidered to save our community from being torn apart.

Yours sincerely,

John Roach.
5 Kingsley Street,  
Rochedale South 4123.  


The Old Redistribution Commission,  
Brisbane.

Dear Sir,  

re: Draft Boundary Changes.  

We have been advised by the member of Springwood, Grant Musgrave, M.L.A., of proposed changes which would divide the community of Rochedale South in two.

We wish to object to the proposal of division of Rochedale South and being incorporated in the new seat of Burbanth. We believe Rochedale South should remain in the state seat of Springwood.

Yours faithfully,  

D. J. Richardson  
per D. Richardson.
PO Box 43 (3 Burnie Court)
Rochdale South Qld 4123

6 May 1999

Qld Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 4001

Dear Sir

We the undersigned who are residents and registered voters of 3 Burnie Court
Rochdale South object to the draft boundary changes which would put us in the new
seat of Burbank.

Yours faithfully

[Signature]
Dennis Robinson

[Signature]
Narelle Robinson

[Signature]
Ben Robinson

[Signature]
Adam Robinson

[Stamp]
ELECTORAL COMMISSION
QUEENSLAND
10 MAY 1999
RECEIVED
The Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
Brisbane Q 9001

5 April 1999

To the Manager,

Dear Sir/Madam

I would like to put in writing my objection to the changing of the Electoral Boundary where I live.

I reside in Rochedale South - Springfield Electorate - not Burbank. We have very little in common with the suburbs listed in this district. Not only myself but quite a few others feel the same. Why split the suburb? We have enough problems as it is trying to get things done. Seeing that we're on the outer end of the boundary why would they split us out in the new election we would just be pushed aside.

No! This should not happen - we are and should be left in the Springfield Electorate - not Burbank.

Yours Truly, Lynn Minton.
24 Pamela Cres
WOODRIDGE 4114
5th May 1999

The Commissioner
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE 9001

Dear Sir,

I would like to express my will to stay in the Electorate of Woodridge and not to be transferred over to Stretton.

It is ridiculous to put so few houses between this side of the Karawatha Park boundary and the Railway line into Stretton, when we have been Woodridge for years and the older people know where they are to go to vote without any hassles. This pocket is sure to be forgotten as it is out of the way of the rest of Stretton.

Where would we be voting if the new proposal is adopted? If it is in Algester or somewhere just as stupid it will be impossible for people without a car to go there to vote. Being all the way over here we do not know who are the members of the different parties, as the majority of party members only consider the people in their immediate area and forget the far flung boundaries, which we appear to be in such a large proposed area.

I feel you should be made accountable to the public for all the disruptions you cause every time the ALP get into power. Do they do this rot to ensure their continued rule?

Yours faithfully

(B. Holmes)
(Mrs) B Holmes
Len BIRTHISEL
50 Marlene St
MT GRAVATT 4122

Mr Des O’Shea
Electoral Commissioner
Electoral Commission of Queensland
160 Mary St
BRISBANE Q 4000

Dear Mr O’Shea

I wish to comment on the proposed redistribution.

As a resident of Mount Gravatt (but considered as Mt Gravatt East) I believe that this area should be located within the electorate of Mount Gravatt as proposed in the recent redistribution.

It would appear sensible that the electorate the bears the name of Mount Gravatt should encompass as much of the suburb as possible.

Yours sincerely

[Signature]

Len BIRTHISEL

7 May 1999

ELECTORAL COMMISSION
QUEENSLAND

10 MAY 1999

RECEIVED
6 May 1999

Mr D O'Shea
Electoral Commissioner (ECQ)
160 Mary Street
BRISBANE QLD 4000

Dear Sir,

I am disappointed to learn that the part of Salisbury I live in has been moved out of the Mt Gravatt electorate.

We have been in this electorate for decades and our local Neighbourhood Watch has a very close relationship with our State Member.

Many of the children in this area got to the Robertson State School which I understand is in the Mt Gravatt Electorate.

We shop at Sunnybank and Garden City which is in the Mt Gravatt electorate. The people in this small section of Salisbury have a great interest in the forest in our backyard - Toohey Forest, the body of which you have left in the Mt Gravatt electorate.

Given our strong historical association with the Mt Gravatt community and our shared community of interest with other suburbs that comprise the Mt Gravatt electorate, I request that you look beyond the lines on the map and allow this small area of Salisbury bounded by Orange Grove and Kessels road to remain where it presently is.

Yours sincerely,

Joseph Moloney
28 Melina Street
Salisbury  Qld 4109
We, the undersigned residents of Boonah Shire wish to advise that we are concerned that the electorate which we have been included in is to be named Beaudesert. We do not believe that Beaudesert is an appropriate name for an electorate which includes our shire. We suggest that Teviot would be a more appropriate name since this is part of the name of a creek which flows through both shires.

YOURS SINCERELY

[Signatures]

3 Andrew Ave, Boonah
335 Anakie Rosevale Rd, Anakie
124 J. Bell Rd, Boonah 4310
154 Sterry Rd, Boonah
40 Elizabeth St, Anakie
150 Bogie Rd, Clumber 4309
Stevan Fox Carney Creek Road Boonah
J. Purcell Kalbar
O M Harvey Boonah

[Signatures]
May 5, 1999

Mrs Trudy Aurisch
Secretary
Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

Dear Mrs Aurisch,

RE: PROPOSED STATE ELECTORAL BOUNDARY REDISTRIBUTION - ASPELY/CHERMSIDE WEST

We are extremely concerned at the proposed changes in the State Electoral boundaries in this area, for the following reasons:-

(a) The existing area is close knit and for this reason the local residents cannot understand why the existing boundaries need to change.

(b) The residents also consider themselves as being part of one community.

(c) The recently established Aspley Grove Neighbourhood Watch is well supported and proactive. This is due to the interest and support of the residents living within the existing electoral boundaries.

(d) Upon reference to the electoral map of the area boundary lines seem to follow major arterial roads etc. any departure from this will make ease of reference confusing.

The above issues are only an indication of the overall concerns held by voters in this area particularly when the elected member Mr John Goss MLA is so highly regarded. The loss of his integrity and professionalism will not be received lightly by residents affected by the proposed changes.

A process is currently in place to canvass support from local residents who will indicate their intent by signing a formalised petition in confirmation of their objection to the redistribution of the State Electoral Boundary in question.

Should you wish to discuss this formal objection further, please feel free to contact any of the following:-

1. Margaret Fisher
   Area Coordinator, Neighbourhood Watch (Aspley Grove)
   Tel: 3359 1169 (B) or 3263 9965 (H)

2. Angela Laffey
   Secretary, Neighbourhood Watch (Aspley Grove)
   Tel: 3221 1122 (W) or 3862 7187

3. Colin Laffey
   Tel: 0414 565 737 (B) or 3862 7187 (H)

Yours faithfully,

[Signature]

Margaret Fisher
Area Co-ordinator, Neighbourhood Watch (Aspley Grove)

ELECTORAL COMMISSION
QUEENSLAND

10 MAY 1999

RECEIVED
The Queensland Redistribution
Commission,
Locked Bag 3300,
BRISBANE QLD 9001

Dear Sir,

Proposed Electoral Districts

Your report on the proposed new electoral districts for Queensland was considered at a recent Council meeting, and I am directed to express this Council’s dissatisfaction with the Shire of Kilkivan being included in the electorate of Callide.

The Shire of Kilkivan has more community of interest with the electorate of Gympie than it has with the electorate of Callide which is currently based in Biloela. The eastern part of the Shire of Kilkivan is only some 12 km from the centre of the city of Gympie. The eastern part of the Shire of Kilkivan is in fact the northern extremity of the southeast Queensland area which includes Gympie city and the east and west, and as such the affinity of this Shire with that electorate far exceeds any affinity with the electorate of Callide.

It would appear to me that shifting the Kilkivan Shire electors from Callide to Gympie would not make either of those electorates have the greatest deviation from the estimated average, and as such is still within your acceptable limits.

Yours faithfully,

Ray C. Currie,
CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER.
PETITION

To:
The Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE  9001

Re:  The Seat of Cairns

The draft changes mean that the pensioners' cottages would no longer be in Cairns but would go into Barron River.

This is not suitable because pensioners could not get out to Barron River. Most don't have cars and they would have to catch two different buses and it would take a long time.

The pensioners do go into Cairns for all sorts of things and they find it easier.

The pensioners wish to stay in the seat of Cairns.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Signature</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Samantha Mathews</td>
<td>Pensioner Cottage 2/63-67 Pease St, Smithfield</td>
<td>L. Mathews</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gerald Cooper</td>
<td>Pensioner Cottage 1 Pease St, Annandale</td>
<td>G. Cooper</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. Kleinheist</td>
<td>Pensioner Cottage 4 Pease St, Mandora</td>
<td>L. Kleinheist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. G. Marchant</td>
<td>Unit 2 PC.</td>
<td>A. Marchant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dot Bournick</td>
<td>Unit 7 63-67 Pease St</td>
<td>D. Bournick</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ann Jansen</td>
<td>Pensioner Cottage 65-67 Pease St</td>
<td>A. Jansen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helen Jackson</td>
<td>Pensioner Cottage 24 63-67 Pease St, Smithfield</td>
<td>Helen Jackson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marie Whitehouse</td>
<td>Pensioner Unit 23 63-67 Pease St</td>
<td>M. Whitehouse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Margaret Rose-Fogarty</td>
<td>Unit 21 Pensioner Cottage</td>
<td>M. R. Fogarty</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T. Cranswick</td>
<td>Pensioner Cottage</td>
<td>T. Cranswick</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bert Davies</td>
<td>Pensioner Cottage</td>
<td>B. Davies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W. Reynolds</td>
<td>11 Pensioner Cottage</td>
<td>W. Reynolds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. Wedlock</td>
<td>Pensioner Cottage 15</td>
<td>L. Wedlock</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G. Bennett</td>
<td>Pensioner Cottage 15</td>
<td>G. Bennett</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G. Phillips</td>
<td>11 14</td>
<td>G. P. Phillips</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PETITION

To:
The Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE  9001

Re:  The Seat of Cairns

The draft changes mean that the pensioners' cottages would no longer be in Cairns but would go into Barron River.

This is not suitable because pensioners could not get out to Barron River. Most don’t have cars and they would have to catch two different buses and it would take a long time.

The pensioners do go into Cairns for all sorts of things and they find it easier.

The pensioners wish to stay in the seat of Cairns.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Signature</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M. CHALMERS</td>
<td>Peninsula Apts.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Unit 3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. Stevens</td>
<td>Birch St +</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Manunda</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M. DANMAOUR</td>
<td>9/46 Anderson St</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. BAINES</td>
<td>36 Rose St Edgehill</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y. ANSIN</td>
<td>9 Roberts St Manunda</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. WOOD</td>
<td>6/146 Anderson St</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N. KING</td>
<td>3 Mills Close</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. MACRAE</td>
<td>Atlantic Close Beaches</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. LANNAN</td>
<td>135C Woodward St</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROSS W. RYER</td>
<td>1140 Angelson St</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R. M. VALLABH</td>
<td>47 Hallowtree Co</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T. MILLS</td>
<td>4/1 Kevin St</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. HOLMES</td>
<td>Bellevue Cr. E. Hill</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. QUINLEY</td>
<td>Maysers St</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M. JACKSON</td>
<td>Millsers St</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PETITION

To: The Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE 9001

Re: The Seat of Cairns

The draft changes mean that the pensioners' cottages would no longer be in Cairns but would go into Barron River.

This is not suitable because pensioners could not get out to Barron River. Most don't have cars and they would have to catch two different buses and it would take a long time.

The pensioners do go into Cairns for all sorts of things and they find it easier.

The pensioners wish to stay in the seat of Cairns.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Signature</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aboriginal</td>
<td>22 Lalla St</td>
<td>Edmonton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sam Ken</td>
<td>156 Manas St</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steve Fother</td>
<td>154 Manas St</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T BOYES</td>
<td>26 LAE St</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scott Markel</td>
<td>127 Collins Ave</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lee Rowley</td>
<td>49 JENSEN St</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scott Mustard</td>
<td>4 MARS St</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colin Miganic</td>
<td>16 Casterways</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brett Hobson</td>
<td>4 GRANADA Ave</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paul Nesbit</td>
<td>73 MARTI ST BAHNEN</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anthony von Liger</td>
<td>110 RED RD TULLY</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jason Soper</td>
<td>MAYER ST</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Donna Walkin</td>
<td>MAYER ST</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jia Yeatman</td>
<td>MAYER ST</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lisa Brown</td>
<td>MAYER ST</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PETITION

To:
The Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE  9001

Re: The Seat of Cairns

The draft changes mean that the pensioners' cottages would no longer be in Cairns but would go into Barron River.

This is not suitable because pensioners could not get out to Barron River. Most don't have cars and they would have to catch two different buses and it would take a long time.

The pensioners do go into Cairns for all sorts of things and they find it easier.

The pensioners wish to stay in the seat of Cairns.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Signature</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>R. Arama</td>
<td>2/124 Mackintosh St</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T. Smith</td>
<td>Mayers Street</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R. Goddard</td>
<td>53 Battle St</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. Akuba</td>
<td>4173 Mayers St</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. Gebadi</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. Barrachough</td>
<td>18/153 Mayers St</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V. Elliott</td>
<td>34 Patience St.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. James</td>
<td>51 Mayers St</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. Ryan</td>
<td>51/191 Mayers St</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W. Baker</td>
<td>134 Pease St</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F. Baker</td>
<td>134 Pease St</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R. Baker</td>
<td>134 Pease St</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. M. Doel</td>
<td>136 Pease St</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carissa Young</td>
<td>140 Pease St</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PETITION

To:
The Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE 9001

Re: The Seat of Cairns

The draft changes mean that the pensioners’ cottages would no longer be in Cairns but would go into Barron River.

This is not suitable because pensioners could not get out to Barron River. Most don’t have cars and they would have to catch two different buses and it would take a long time.

The pensioners do go into Cairns for all sorts of things and they find it easier.

The pensioners wish to stay in the seat of Cairns.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Signature</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Shelique Young</td>
<td>140 Pease St.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lasa Docherty</td>
<td>112 Pease St.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R. Blake</td>
<td>146 Pease St.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leon Paton</td>
<td>19 Pioneer St.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. Lenane</td>
<td>3-23 Pioneer St.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. Andrews</td>
<td>1/23 Pioneer St.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
7 May 1999

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9901

Dear Sir

I would appreciate it if an article that was published in the ‘Warwick Daily News’ dated Wednesday, 5 May 1999 copy of which is enclosed with this letter could be considered.

I hope that the Commission will consider and endorse my view of Toolburra being the name for the new Warwick based State Electorate instead of Condamine.

I thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Yours faithfully

Caroline Nicholls

Caroline Nicholls

ELECTORAL COMMISSION
QUEENSLAND
10 MAY 1999
RECEIVED
LONG-time Warwick area resident Caroline Nicholls has come out in support of moves to stop the State Electoral Commission replacing the Warwick state electorate name with Condamine.

The proposed electorate includes most of the Warwick area in addition to Stanthorpe and Goondiwindi.

Mrs Nicholls, 91, lived at Cunningham and Pratten before retiring to Akooramak.

Toolburra should be the name for the new state electorate including the Warwick area.

I support the Warwick Shire councilors who disagreed with the name Condamine when the issue was discussed at last week's general meeting.

Condamine is the name of a town (south of Miles) well away from the electorate and also the name of a former state electorate on the Western Downs.

The name Toolburra is ideal for the electorate as the Leslie brothers made their first head station at Toolburra, west of Warwick, in 1840.

Patrick Leslie brought his sheep and cattle and some convicts to Toolburra.

In the Warwick Story, Father Joe McKee said that an 1847 tender for Toolburra estimated the area as 60,000 acres.

The estimated grazing capability of Toolburra in 1847 was 500 cattle and 15,000 sheep.

My family owned the homestead block of Toolburra (at Cunningham, 20 kilometres west of Warwick) from 1923 to 1939.

Shire objects to ‘Condamine’

SOUTHERN Downs and Leslie were two suggested names for the State electorate put forward at the monthly Warwick Shire Council meeting.

In a major change to State electoral boundaries, Goondiwindi has been included with Stanthorpe and Warwick in the Warwick electorate which has been renamed Condamine.

A small section of Warwick Shire — in the Pratten/Grey mare/Arara and Leyburn areas — is included in the adjoining Cunningham electorate under proposed changes.

Councillor Sue Cowley said if the name Warwick was not acceptable, they should come up with a name better than Condamine.

"Southern Downs" could be acceptable, the Southern Downs Tourist Association covers Warwick as well as Stanthorpe," Cr. Cowley said.

The Council will object to the proposed boundaries and seek the inclusion of all of Warwick shire in an electorate named Warwick.
Objection to Redistribution
Warwick area

Cunningham branch
National Party
c/- Gerard Walsh
M.S. 848
Warwick, 4370

The Queensland
Redistribution Commission,
Locked Bag 3300,
Brisbane, 9001

Dear Sir/Madam,

I write to you on behalf of the Cunningham branch of the National Party to object to the western boundary of the proposed electorate of Condaminie and object to the name of the electorate.

The people of our branch area, west of Warwick, including the districts of Cunningham, Bony Mountain, Wheatvale, Pratten, Greymare and Thane have again been 'split' or changed by a Federal or State Redistribution.

It seems all or part of the western part of Warwick (formerly of Rosenthal) shire "makes up the numbers" at the time of redistributions.

The community of interest of our area is primarily with Warwick Shire and the town of Warwick. One could estimate that 98% of the business from our area is done in Warwick.

The major roads lead to Warwick.
Our area is split between Condamine and Cunningham electorates, it has split community groups between electorates which surely is not desirable.

The proposed Condamine electorate goes 200 kilometres south-west of the major centre—Warwick—yet districts around 25 kilometres from Warwick are not in the proposal Condamine electorate.

The use of the name Condamine is a matter of great concern.

Why can’t an electorate with the historic town of Warwick as the major centre be called Warwick.

In Brisbane, electorates are called after a suburb yet in the country you change an historic electorate name away from the name of the largest town in the electorate—a growing centre of population.

Historically, Condamine is a name associated with Dalby and Chinchilla. Vic Sullivan and Brian Cittleproud were members for Condamine for decades.

People relate the name Condamine to a town south-west of Chinchilla, hundreds of kilometres from Warwick, the biggest town in the proposed electorate of Condamine.

The Condamine River is only in the Condamine
electorate (as proposed) for a short distance, there are many river catchments in the electorate.

Our branch supports the retention of the name Warwick and believes if you must change the name, there are other options such as Southern Downs and Leslie.

Southern Downs is the name of the tourist association which covers 80% of the electorate. Leslie is the name of the pioneering brothers who brought stock to the area in 1840.

Hoping you can consider this objection and leave all Warwick shire in an electorate which shall continue to be called Warwick.

Yours sincerely,

Gerard J. C. Walsh
Secretary Cunningham Branch N.P.A
M.S. 848, Warwick

ELECTORAL COMMISSION
QUEENSLAND
10 MAY 1999
RECEIVED
Dear Sir,

RE: Proposed Queensland Electoral Districts

The proposed changes to Queensland’s Electoral Boundaries was discussed at the most recent Meeting of my Council.

I wish to offer to you a most strenuous objection on behalf of Council to the proposal to move the Shire of Murweh from the Electorate of Warrego to that of Gregory.

My objection is lodged on the fact that the proposals have not seriously taken into account the following criteria:-

(a) Community of Economic, Social and Regional Interests

In almost every aspect of day to day life of our community examined by Council, it is practically impossible to find any common area of interest with other Shires in the Electorate of Gregory.

Our “community of interest” has developed since early settlement and it is common knowledge that all of our activities have a direct relationship with major centres of supply to the east.

It is equally acknowledged that most of the shires within Gregory, commencing with our nearest neighbour to the north, have their direct relationships with centres of supply to the Central Queensland area.

Indeed in a most extensive review carried out to our northern Shire boundary with Tambo Shire, this fact was a major point in the decision to shift the boundary to allow some of our properties who had this declared “community of interest” to be transferred away from Murweh, who had interests to our east.

We now find that our whole Shire is to be forced to completely change our historically developed areas of interest, to a new area.

A MEMBER OF SOUTH WEST R.E.D.
This is unjust and unfair to expect our community to be faced with this situation, particularly at this time.

I would like to briefly highlight some of the concerns expressed in various areas.

Regional Development
My Council, some years ago instigated the formation of Regional Development and Co-operation in this area, and over the years we have, in conjunction with Paroo, Bulloo and Quilpie Shires, been participating in South West Regional Economic Development in all aspects of community activities.

The redistribution proposes to completely split this area by the removal of Murweh and Quilpie Shires.

This region of four (4) Shires has been in existence, developing and protecting close links since Federation.

Tourism
Most Shires in Western Queensland are members of the Outback Regional Tourism Authority and within this Authority sub-regions have been developed. Once again, our four (4) Shires form a southern district sub-region.

Roads
Our major access road is the Warrego Highway to the East and Charleville has an office which deals in its activities through the Roma District Office. Most Shires in Gregory have their Main Roads Division making processes through to Central Queensland.

Electricity
Our Shire is in the Electricity Board area of South West Power, centred in Dalby and our distribution network emanates from the east. The majority of the Gregory Electorate is part of the Capricornia Electricity Board network from Rockhampton.

Health
Charleville is the centre of a Regional Health Council which again has the control of Shires in our present region.

Education
Our TAFE College in Charleville is a campus of the Roma TAFE system. Our School education system is controlled through the Roma Office. Our school age children receiving further education go to boarding schools and colleges in the South East corner. A large number of similar students from throughout Gregory obtain further education in the Rockhampton area.

(b) Communication and Travel
Our telecommunication linkages historically are through Roma, Toowoomba and Brisbane.

Our Air Services in the main are to and from Brisbane, Roma, Charleville.
Our Railways services are solely to the eastern centres of Toowomba and Brisbane and all our freight on both road and rail comes from there.

Our coach and bus services come from Brisbane, although we are also on a western networks run from Brisbane to Mt. Isa and Darwin.

Because of our belief that the review of Electoral Districts did not take sufficient account of the foregoing matters, my Council requests that there be no change to our situation and that we remain in the Warrego Electorate to maintain our "Community of Interest".

Yours faithfully,

G.F. ANDREWS
MAYOR
5th May, 1999

The Secretary,
Queensland Redistribution Commission,
Locked Bag 3300,
BRISBANE 9001

Dear Sir/Madam,

As the Chair of the Management Committee of the Crestmead Community Centre, I wish to raise several objections to the boundaries proposed in the recent State redistribution because of the impact it would have on Crestmead.

It would be desirable if the Crestmead Community Centre could remain, along with the suburb of Crestmead, in the proposed Logan electorate. The current proposal to sever Crestmead along Julie Street is unfortunate. This Centre works for the whole community. It has links with the Logan West, Park Ridge and Jimboomba areas, and to link us with Woodridge is an inappropriate realignment. For example, the Centre runs a very successful over 40's Club and it draws its membership predominantly from the proposed Logan seat.

You would appreciate that the people who comprise our community are battlers, and unfortunately your proposal divides that concentration. We have enjoyed representation in the past from having a single representative at both the State and Federal levels.

We would ask that you retain the Crestmead suburb fully within the proposed Logan seat, and make any population adjustment to Woodridge in the Kingston area that now includes the proposed Waterford. Waterford could then pick up the required numbers of people from Beenleigh.

It is our Committee's view that this would be a fairer arrangement for our community.

Yours sincerely,

BOB SPEED,
CHAIR,
MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE,
CRESTMead COMMUNITY CENTRE.
P O Box 785
Hervey Bay Queensland 4655

Telephone 07 41 282 847

6 May 1999

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
Brisbane Queensland 9001

Dear Sir

Our Member for Parliament Mr David Dalgleish MLA has brought to our attention the proposed boundary changes for our area, Dundowran Hervey Bay.

We are very much against this proposal as we feel that the whole of the Hervey Bay City Council area should be dealt with by the local Member for Parliament. Issues and concerns for our area would be more suitably dealt with by our local Member than the Member for Maryborough. Also should someone in our area wish to put themselves forward for consideration as a Candidte local issues would be more relevant than those in Maryborough.

Yours faithfully

Ann and Dave Garlinge

cc Mr David Dalgleish MLA
Member for Hervey Bay
Electoral Commission of Queensland
PO Box 1383
BRISBANE QLD 4001

Dear madam/sir,

I object to the proposed redistribution of part of Mulgrave into the electorate of Tablelands.

The community of interest of the coastal lowland where I live does not include the Tableland area.

Whilst both locations are dependent on agriculture the climate of the coast is significantly different leading to different issues associated with primary production. The main industries of this area are growing sugar cane, bananas and other tropical fruits which require the warm wet coastal climate of the lowlands. For example, whilst sugar cane is being grown on the tablelands now, the production problems facing coastal producers such as steadily declining sugar yield is not shared by tableland producers.

Tourism is another industry we are trying to promote. Whilst many other areas are also promoting tourism including the tablelands, and there are examples of joint promotional strategies, the coastal area south of Cairns competes with the tablelands to establish its own destination identity and for a share of the tourist dollar.

We are not the rural rump of Mulgrave. Whilst Mulgrave includes large urban areas they are contiguous with a significant rural population which shares similar transport connections and community centres linkages. For example a large part of my household economy depends upon connections with Cairns. Therefore our present inclusion in Mulgrave fits well with my interests. The same can be said for many of our neighbours who depend upon markets and employment in Cairns. Innisfail and Babinda are similarly important for other households in this district. We do not have any significant economic linkages with the tablelands area and would indeed become the rural rump of that electorate. Without issues in common so as to be able to develop alliances with other interest groups on the tablelands we would be condemned to political oblivion hoping surrounding MLA’s would be willing to consider our issues with those of their direct constituents.
As we have no linkages to the tableland we would be dependent upon receiving all of our government services from providers based outside our electorate. For example this would include health services, government agency services, police services, education services and family and individual support services. Even my post office box would be outside the electorate. This may seem only an administrative problem but in the real world where resources are limited and needing to be targeted to politically determined priorities it only makes it harder for us to have our voices heard.

Would our MLA be expected and resourced to set up an office near us perhaps at Babin or Innisfail? If not, we are unlikely to ever set foot in their office. Many constituents seek the assistance of their MLA to resolve an issue concerning government business. We would have to make at least a five hour round trip to access the tableland centres as they are completely out of the way for us. **We have no reason to go there otherwise.** People I know on the Tablelands say they never come to our district, as they either drive south via Innisfail or to Cairns via Gordonvale or Smithfield, never needing to travel between Gordonvale or Innisfail. The five hours travel I referred to, up and down steep range roads equals 5 hours X hourly rate for loss of income plus approx 300 km X $x per km. What does that equal in terms of cost to see one’s MLA? At present I can visit my MLA in either Innisfail or Cairns when I go there to conduct other business. Transport costs are especially prohibitive for rural people on low incomes.

In conclusion I reiterate that by dividing us electorally from the community and districts upon which we are mutually dependent will seriously reduce the value of our vote. I urge the Commission to find a better way to balance electorate numbers and ensure my community remains in the electorate which can best support our needs. However if you do intend to continue with these proposed changes, I request a Social Impact Assessment is undertaken to ensure the impacts on all sectors of the community are understood so it will be clear who wins and who loses from this proposal.

Gary Roberts
7th May 1999

The Commissioner
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE Q 9001

Dear Sir,

RE: State Redistribution - Proposed Name Retention

I strongly believe the name of the current Electorate of Mansfield should remain as Mansfield.

The name chosen for the new electorate, being Burbank, does not seem to reflect the population bases of the electorate. The suburb of Burbank has on the roll a voting population of 839; a mere 3.2% of the electorate. While geographically, it may take up a proportion of the electorate, the naming of the electorate by the suburb name (Burbank), creates enormous confusion in the electoral process. The suburb of Burbank is also significantly removed geographically from the main population centres of the electorate.

Most of the people living in the suburb of Mansfield still live in this electorate with some 4467 Mansfield voters, from a total of 5961, being 74.9% are still located within the new electorate. Nearly 75% of the topography of the suburb of Mansfield still remains in the electorate proposed as Burbank. To change the name because a quarter of the suburbs’ residents have been removed from the electorate does not appear necessary. In the case of Greenslopes, the name has been retained even though parts of the suburb of Greenslopes are no longer in the electorate.

There are strong historical links for the area to be known as Mansfield. This includes the name Mansfield, while being the name of a suburb, is also the name of a popular former Governor of Queensland, Sir Allen Mansfield, who once lived in the area. The Mansfield Primary School was the very first school in Queensland which had been opened by a Governor, being Sir Allen Mansfield. This school still remains in the new electorate proposed as Burbank.

.../2
It could be argued to retain the name of the electorate not only for the amount of residents from the Mansfield suburb, but also because of the historical significance of the person it was named after.

A community group established in 1997 which covers the area of southern Mansfield, Wishart and Mackenzie names itself, Community Centre Mansfield and District. This illustrates that the community identifies with the name Mansfield even if they are not from that suburb.

If the Commission does not accept the argument regarding Mansfield, I respectfully suggest that the electorate should not be named after any other suburb within it. This leads to much confusion with the residents not from the chosen suburb and in this case, it would mean some 96.8% of the electorate. May I suggest the name of Newnham, after one of the major roads which bisects four of the major population centres.

Yours sincerely,

Phil Reeves MLA
Member for Mansfield
6 May 1999

Mr D O'Shea
Electoral Commissioner Qld
160 Mary Street
BRISBANE QLD 4000

Dear Mr O'Shea,

I wish to express how pleased I am about the decision to place more of Mt Gravatt East into the electorate of Mt Gravatt.

It is important to include the people of Mt Gravatt East in an electorate they easily identify with.

I am the President of the Mt Gravatt Neighbourhood Centre and I deal with the people of Mt Gravatt and Mt Gravatt East on a daily basis. This decision will be of benefit to all the local community.

Yours sincerely,

[Signature]

B Dawson
2 Enfield Street
Mt Gravatt East Qld 4122
4 May, 1999.

The Commissioner  
Queensland Electoral Redistribution Commission  
Locked Bag 3300  
BRISBANE  QLD  9001  

Dear Commissioner,

On behalf of this Council and our community, I express our sincere appreciation for the time and consideration you extended our community during the course of your recent review of electoral boundaries.

More pertinently, your comments as reflected in your determination (and as noted in the Section: Townsville Central) gave cause for this community to feel that our voice is also heard.

Matters such as this review have, till now, more often than not excluded this community from such process and in doing so denied the community a legitimate voice.

This community has a long and difficult path to tread in the ensuing years if it is to succeed in that endeavour and your determination to respect our submission in support of remaining a part of the Townsville Central Electorate is to be applauded.

We feel more confident as a result and are now able to set about the reconstruction process so desperately sought and fought for.

Yours in trust.

 Josephine Geia  
Chairperson  
Palm Island Aboriginal Council
Suggested amendments
to boundaries of the proposed new electorate of Glasshouse.

A joint submission by branches of The National Party and The Greens, two political parties in the area.

9th May 1999

Contact persons:

John Chiarotto,
Electorate delegate
Maleny branch, National Party
07 54 999 379

Peter Erdmann
Convenor
Maleny Greens
07 54 943 171
Purpose of suggested amendments.

To add the communities of Witta, Conondale, Crystal Waters, Reesville, Belthorpe and Boorobin to the Glasshouse electorate - and balance the numbers by relocating the boundary between Glasshouse and Pumicestone.

Objective of suggested amendments

To maintain the strong community of interest that binds these communities with Maleny and each other, and other places in the Glasshouse electorate.

Suggested amendments.

Extend a portion of the western boundary of Glasshouse into Nanango - being that area marked in red on the enclosed map of Glasshouse as proposed by the Commission; and relocate a portion of the southern boundary with Pumicestone - being that area marked in blue on the enclosed map.

The proposed Glasshouse electorate now has 24,640 electors. The change means adding approximately 2,000 electors to the electorate.

The increase in electors in Glasshouse could be adjusted by a small change to the Glasshouse/Pumicestone boundary. Transferring 2,000 electors to Pumicestone (which is 7% under quota) would have the added benefit of reducing the effect of the present proposed boundary which divides Caboolture into two.

Electorate data.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proposed electorate</th>
<th>'98 enrol.(deviation)</th>
<th>after suggest change(approx)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Glasshouse</td>
<td>24,640 (0.5%)</td>
<td>24,640</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nanango</td>
<td>26,917 (+8.9%)</td>
<td>24,917</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pumicestone</td>
<td>22,979 (-7%)</td>
<td>24,979</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Support for change

We understand that these suggested amendments are supported by other political parties that are lodging their own submissions. And that petitions and individual protest letters are being lodged by other groups and individuals.
Reasons for change

Witta & Reesville
Witta and Reesville have a long-time connection with Maleny. There is a strong community of interest, the three areas were developed simultaneously in the 1890s for forestry and agricultural purposes. Witta, Reesville and Maleny are joined by geographic and topographic features – they are adjacent areas on the Maleny-Mapleton plateau. They continue to be joined by common interests of dairying and tourism.

Maleny is the business, shopping, social, sporting and cultural centre of the area. There is only 1 shop, a convenience store/post office/petrol pump in Witta and no shop in Reesville.

The mail service to Witta & Reesville originate in Maleny, they share the postcode of Maleny. There are no schools in Witta or Reesville, children from these areas are mostly bussed to the schools in Maleny.

Witta and Reesville have little, if any, communality with Nanango. The mountains of the Great Dividing Range form a complete barrier to communication and communality. The road from Witta passes through Maleny in one direction, then by a long, circuitous route before accessing the towns and villages of Nanango electorate. In the other direction the road from Witta passes through Kenilworth and continues eastwards away from the Nanango electorate.

Conondale & Crystal Waters
The small settlements of Conondale and Crystal Waters are ensconced within the ridges and valleys of the west side of the Maleny-Mapleton plateau. With this single difference, they share the community of interest, communality and homogeneity that bind Witta and Reesville to Maleny; and the geographic and topographic features that separate them from Nanango.

Booroobin & Belthorpe
These two isolated communities are on the southern end of the Maleny-Mapleton plateau. They too, share the community of interest, communality and homogeneity that binds Witta to Maleny; and the geographic and topographic features that separate them from Nanango.

Resume
The suggested changes affect a small number of electors. Parameters of community of interest, homogeneity etc would be enhanced for communities in both Glasshouse, and Pumicestone. The political character of the three electorates would seem not to be changed. The suggested changes have the support of the four political parties in the area. There is significant community support for the suggested changes.
Councillors’ Office

10 May 1999

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Electoral Commission of Queensland
BRISBANE 4000

Dear Sir/Madam

I write as an elected Councillor of Caloundra City Council who represents the areas of Glenview, Mooloolah, Palmview and Landsborough.

I wish to lodge an objection to elements of the proposed boundaries for the seats of Nicklin and Glasshouse.

Currently, the proposed east/west boundary between these two seats takes little account of the significant communities of interest in this area. It severs the township of Mooloolah in half and separates the locality of Glenview from the locality of Mooloolah Valley. I have represented these areas for a period of six years and can assure you that there is considerable relationship between the areas in respect to matters of a social, economic and environmental nature. This boundary also divides in half the township of Eudlo, in Maroochy Shire.

It appears that the above situation could be relatively easily avoided if the boundary between the seats of Glasshouse and Nicklin, west of the Bruce Highway, was realigned to be largely commensurate with the northern boundary between Maroochy Shire and Caloundra City.

Such a proposal would ensure that these three distinct communities are each contained wholly within respective State Electoral seats. This scenario would also have the added benefit of minimising the considerable confusion and irritation experienced by voters when they discover that their town or locality is situated within differing State seats. This potential situation does little for the credibility of the State Government and associated perceptions about the State’s level of concern and knowledge about the impacts on small communities of such decisions.

Yours faithfully,

Cr Vivienne Coleman
Division 3- Caloundra City Council

[Signature]

10 MAY 1999

Caloundra City Council: 1 Omrah Avenue, Caloundra P.O. Box 117, Caloundra, Qld. 4551.
Telephone: (07) 5491 0211 Facsimile: (07) 5491 0900
Qld. Redistribution Commission,  
Locked Bag 3300,  
BRISBANE Q. 9001

Dear Sir/Madam,

re: Electoral Boundry changes in the Warwick and Cunningham Electorates

I write to strongly object to firstly the change of name of the Warwick electorate to Condamine. The Warwick electorate has been with us for many years, since 1860 I believe. Warwick is a well known name and the town Warwick centre of an industrial, rural and ever increasing tourist area. Warwick town is the centre in the Warwick electorate for business, rural activites and one of the largest centres in this area.

I believe the very largest majority of people in the Warwick electorate are happy with the present name and wished it left as is. Confusion will definitely occur if changed to Condamine because of the small town of Condamine north west of the warwick electorate.

Also the exclusion of Leyburn, Karara, Pratten and Greymare from the Warwick electorate is really ripping our shire and electorate apart. These districts have been part of the Warwick electorate for many years. Warwick town is there main centre but
most importantly you may be splitting groups up so that members of Parents and Citizens groups, Rural Fire Brigades and Hall Committees are in different state electorates. It is going to be very confusing for many people. The rural people have been kicked in the guts enough over the last ten years.

Please have a re-think on the Warwick electorate.

Yours faithfully

[Signature]
Cr. David M. Lawler

QRC/1085 802
To

THE QUEENSLAND REDISTRIBUTION COMMISSION
PI. # 3225 2601

From

WA INGHAM

22 VALLENA STREET
ROCHEDALE SOUTH

QRC 1085 803

This is to lodge my objection to the proposed boundary changes to remove us from the Springwood electorate to Burbank.

I cannot see what we have in common and would suggest to leave things as they are.

Yours sincerely,

WA INGHAM
BABINDA DISTRICT COMMUNITY ASSOCIATION INC (BDCA),
Address: PO Box 92, Babinda, Qld, 4861  (Fax - 07 40671446)

President: Arthur Stroud (Ph - 07 40675226); Vice President: Carl Lauridsen (Ph - 07 40671406); Secretary: Denise McCormack (Ph - 07 40671541); Treasurer: Wendy Nucifora (Ph - 07 40671514)

9 May 1999

FAX TO: Queensland Redistribution Commission
FROM: Denise McCormack, Secretary, BDCA

TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN,

I am writing on behalf of the Babinda District Community Association Inc (BDCA) to formally object to the proposed changes to the state electoral boundaries. The BDCA is a community organisation that was formed after a community workshop (where up to 300 people attended) to address a number of issues affecting Babinda. The BDCA was formed to address these issues and any others matters concerning Babinda district, and is seen as the voice of the community.

The changes to the electoral boundaries will divide Babinda district into two parts. Babinda is a close knit, cohesive community, and very supportive of its residents. Such a division of the district by the electoral boundaries is also likely to divide the cohesiveness of the district. Under the proposed State electoral boundary changes, about 1500 residents will be pushed out of the Mulgrave seat and into the Tablelands seat. Thus meaning that when residents going into the Tablelands seat had to visit their MP, they would need to travel approximately 1 hour just to do this.

The BDCA totally objects to the Babinda district being divided in two, as is proposed by the State electoral boundaries.

Yours sincerely

DENISE McCORMACK

Hon. Judge F. P. Shanahan
Chair of Queensland Electoral Redistribution Commission
Level 6
Forestry House
160 Mary Street
BRISBANE  4000

Dear Sir,

Thank you for the opportunity to reply to the proposed redistribution of the boundaries in the seat Lockyer & Beaudesert.

I must say I agree with the decision to included Withcott into the seat of Toowoomba South. The inclusion of some of the northern section of the seat of Lockyer into the new seat of Nanango is also a balanced decision.

There are, however, some problems and concerns that I would like to address within the remaining area of the seat of Lockyer.

The area in question is situated below Ipswich and Logan and bordering the seat of Beaudesert.

There is no road access from the western side of the electorate in to this section of the Lockyer without traversing three other electorates. The reason being, an extensive Mountain Range geographically separates this area from the Lockyer. Because there is no pass through the Teviot Range, it would suggest that the constituents in the Greenbank region commute into Brisbane and southern suburbs for work, recreation and shopping, rather than interact into the Lockyer region.
My argument therefore is that you should support a electoral boundary change encompassing the entire ridge of the Tivet Range (see map 1) from Ripley to Mt Goolman, Mt Blaine, Flinders Peak, Mt Welcome, Mt Fintoft, Mt Moy, and Sugarloaf, then on to the border. This would produce a community more balanced economically, socially, regionally and in tune with the interests within the district. This proposed boundary would also alleviate problems with communications and travel within the districts.

The area west of Greenbank is common to Logan, Archerfield, Waterford, Ipswich, and is being consumed into urban \ rural settlement. This is a natural progression because of the access to Public Transport.... Road, Rail. The extension of existing infrastructure such as, Road, Rail, Water, Sewerage, Power, Hospitals and Education facilities that presently exist or are planned to be developed in the Gold Coast Corridor. This would support the argument to exclude the area from Lockyer. In order to balance the 2000 or so votes here and to maintain common social, economic, regional and in tune with other interests we would suggest that the Kalbar, Aratula region remain in Lockyer.

Historically the Lockyer has strong heritage values linked to the original German settlement of this seat. This heritage is not shared at all by the new development spreading South and away from Brisbane and along the Gold Coast Corridor, however there is a common bond within the Lockyer district west of the Teviot Range.

We humbly submit this proposal in the hope that the concerns of so many interested people in the redistribution area are addressed.

Yours faithfully

[Signature]

Vice President
Pauline Hanson’s One Nation
Queensland
23 Pandem Rd
Rochdale South
8-5-99

Grant, Mr. Greville
Springwood

Re your letter regarding boundary changes for
Rochdale South.

We wish to object most vigorously about the
proposed alteration to our boundary, from Springwood to Burbank.

We have finally found stability as part of Springwood electorate,
when for many years, because we were the “last line” in
boundaries between areas, our needs were very often disregarded.

Surely a more suitable boundary line would be Royston Park.

Please forward our objection to the Queensland Redistribution Commission.

[Signature]

ELECTORAL COMMISSION
QUEENSLAND
10 MAY 1999
RECEIVED
25 Pandanus Rd
Re: your letter regarding boundary changes.

I wish to object most vigorously to the proposed allocation to your boundary, from Springwood to Burpengary.

We have, finally, found stability in the past of Springwood electorate, where for many years because we were the "last line" in boundaries between areas, our needs were very often disregarded.

Surely a more suitable boundary line would be Pandanus Road.

Please forward my objections to those who came up with these "brilliant ideas" with no consultation to the people concerned.

Yours sincerely,

[Signature]

Date: 10 May 1999

Electoral Commission
Queensland

Acknowledged
SUBMISSION TO THE QUEENSLAND REDISTRIBUTION
COMMITTEE OF THE ECQ – 7 MAY 1999

OBJECTIONS TO THE REDISTRIBUTION PROPOSED BY ECQ

Electoral District of CURRUMBIN

Summary

The redistribution proposed by the ECQ makes effectively no change to the boundaries of Currumbin.

The change straightens out a “bubble” on the northern boundary, removing the portion of the suburb of Reedy Creek which currently falls within the electorate (see Map 1 – comparison of electoral boundaries).

This removes approximately 30 electors from Currumbin (from Electrac).

ECQ’s proposed redistribution does not address the:

- current above average enrolment in the electorate (+3.94% @ 30/9/98)
- above average population growth in the electorate (Currumbin: 10.1% cf. Gold Coast: 3.7%)

From an above average base of electors, Currumbin will continue to grow in excess of the Average District Enrolment due to the high growth rate in some sections of the electorate. Currumbin Valley has the highest growth rate on the Coast (Gold Coast Bulletin 13/4/99).

At the same time, the neighbouring Burleigh electorate (currently 3.97% below Avg. District Enrolment) has limited capacity for maintaining growth in enrolments. The redistribution has provided only established residential areas (Miami) for inclusion in the Burleigh electorate, rather than inland growth corridors.

The Tallebudgera Valley is such a growth corridor - with natural transport linkages and community of interest with West Burleigh.

Proposal

That the ECQ revise the northern boundary of the Currumbin electorate such that the Tallebudgera Valley become a part of the Burleigh electorate (see Map – revised boundaries Currumbin).
QUEENSLAND REDISTRIBUTION COMMISSION

YOUR REF ORC/O

ELECTORATE OF CAIRNS

Dear Sir,

I wish to object to proposed changes affecting the above electorate.

(1) **Change of Name**

A new CBD shopping centre has opened which uses the business name, "Cairns Central". To a Cairns resident, the new electoral name is akin to naming Indooroopilly electorate "Indooroopilly Shopping Town".

To make things worse, "Cairns Central" shopping area was created by sale of government land, not by local government planning. The development drew business away from other shopping centres, as well as having a profound effect on existing CBD properties, thereby creating lasting divisions of opinion among local business people.

To name the new electorate "Cairns Central" is most inappropriate, and would re-open old wounds in the community.

(2) **Change of Boundary**

To me there would be logic in trying to retain the CBD and the older surrounding suburbs in the one electorate. An earlier redistribution took one of the older suburbs Whitfield, over into Barron River; the proposed new shift takes another older suburb, Edge Hill, over as well. I would prefer to see the CBD and the older suburbs in the one electorate, with a single member representing this area.

If this cannot be done because of the numbers, why not be honest and just draw a line east west through the centre of Cairns, call one side "North Cairns" and the other side "South Cairns", and have two members representing half of Cairns each.

Yours faithfully,

John Woodward

[Receipt stamp: 18 MAY 1999]
SHADOW MINISTER FOR PUBLIC WORKS AND HOUSING

10 May 1999

Electoral Commissioner
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE Q 9001

Dear Sir

I am writing in support of the submissions recently provided to you from the Moooloolah and Eudlo communities who object to the proposed boundary between Nicklin and Glasshouse electorates in this area.

I support their view that the local Government boundary should be used thus putting all of the Eudlo community (which is in Maroochy Shire) into Nicklin and all of the Moooloolah Valley (which is in Caloundra City) into Glasshouse.

I rely on the community of interest and existing identifiable boundaries to support this argument.

Yours sincerely,

BRUCE LAMING, MLA
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Level 6, Forestry House
160 Mary St.,
Brisbane QLD 4000
Ph. 1800 801 665
Fx 07 3229 7391

Re - Proposed Queensland Electoral Districts

I object to the commission’s proposal to split the existing electorate of Barambah in half and the subsequent composition of the proposed electorates of Nanango and Callide.

Points for the retention of Barambah.

Sect. 45 (1)
“Quota” - The commission’s own figures show Barambah is currently within tolerance and by 2005 would only be 1.6% (<400 electors) below tolerance. A minor adjustment, such as adding on Toogoolawah is all that would be required to rectify the situation, (which, would also address the problem of the existing Crows Nest possibly becoming slightly over tolerance).

Sect. 46 (1)
a) “Community of Interest” - Barambah consists of the region known as the South Burnett, incorporating similar economic pursuits, weather, climate and terrain, with primary production common throughout thus the population as a whole have much in common.
b) “Communication and Travel” - The existing could hardly be better. The majority of the population are covered by the one telephone book, receive the same radio and t.v. news and are within one hour drive on good roads to the centrally located electorate offices.
c) “Physical” - The electorate is not divided by major topographic hurdles thus enjoying convenient access throughout on good roads.
d) “Boundaries” - The existing boundaries incorporate mostly “whole of shire” areas and some convenient “part of shire” areas which, with some adjustment have served us well for the past 50 years.

Points Against Proposed Nanango.
Sect 46 (1)
a) “Community of Interest” - The proposal -
1/ Splits the South Burnett region in half.
2/ Includes Part of “Sunshine Coast Hinterland”
3/ Includes Part of “The Brisbane Valley”. Three separate areas of economic activity, weather, climate and terrain thus the potential for conflict of community interest between these areas for the elected member to cope with.
To QRC/O

b) "Communication and Travel" - Three areas, three phone books, with a lot of communication between the three at top STD prices. Three separate areas of Radio T.V. and Newspaper coverage. Two and three hours drive from the electoral office to Esk and Kenilworth respectively.

c) "Physical" - The electorate is divided into east and west by the mountain range necessitating a "go round, up and back" approach.

d) "Boundaries" - Three whole shires, several part shires, one part city and spread over three federal electorates with one and a half pages of fine print, intricate boundary descriptions - exceeded only marginally by the new descriptions for Lockyer with Tablelands running a close third.

Points Against Proposed Calidne.
Sect. 46 (1)

a) "Community of Interest" - The proposal splits the South Burnett region in half thus identifying the shires of Wondai, Margon, Cherbourg and Kilkivan (mainly dry land farming) as being in common with those of the Central and North Burnett, Calidne region and Kolan district (much of which is irrigation orientated).

b) "Communication and Travel" - The Report recognizes that Calidne is large at 68,722 sq kms. But this proposal amounts to an even larger area of >75,000 sq kms, magnifying the difficulties of communication and travel particularly for the people of the South Burnett who are proposed to be transferred from an electorate of 14,748 sq kms to one of somewhat >75,000 sq kms thus furthering the problem of diverse radio, t.v. and newspaper coverage.

c) "Physical" - As with Nanango this proposal will see parts of Calidne both sides of the range creating similar access problems.

IN SUMMARY

This objection is prompted by the Commission's proposal to eliminate an electoral district which currently complies with every aspect of the Act and will continue to comply with all aspects except for a forecast possible 1.6% shortfall in numbers by 2005, in favour of, in the case of Nanango, an electorate which fails to comply currently or in the future with any requirement other than quota and in the case of Calidne fails to comply with a number of the requirements of Sect. 46 (1)

Given the Commission's proposals are in response to declining population in the North and West, increasing population in the South East and along the Coastal strip, before making its final recommendation, consideration should be given to the possibility of extending the notional electorate value to 1% over 50,000 sq km, 2% over 100,000 sq km, 3% over 200,00 sq km and the number of electoral districts be increased to 90 in an effort to obviate the need for such dramatic boundary adjustments as currently proposed that, [given Table 3 forecasts], will in many cases be required again in 2005.

c.c Qld. Parl. Party Ldrs. & Elected Independents.

Sincerely,

Jim Dwyer
SUBMISSION

OBJECTIONS TO PROPOSED BOUNDARY
LOGAN ELECTORATE

I request consideration for a change to the proposals for the electorate of Logan.

Under the proposals, Logan will begin at Fifth Avenue, Marsden. I believe that a better community of interest boundary would be to excise from the proposed Logan, the area west of Fifth Avenue to Wembley Road, and include this in the proposed new Woodridge.

This would create a boundary with a community of interest with the Marsden area running along Wembley Road and Browns Plains Road.

In Crestmead, the currently proposed boundary along Julie Street to Kilby Street is not a community of interest. It would be preferable to have the boundary run from Julie Street to Augusta Street to Trulson Avenue which is a major thoroughfare in the Crestmead area. This would enhance community of interest whilst still retaining the growth areas of Crestmead and Marsden in the proposed new Woodridge electorate. This change will strengthen community of interest in Crestmead along major street boundaries.

A number of people have also indicated that the southern boundary for the proposed Logan in the east, should be the Logan River to Mount Lindesay Highway. This is because the area south of the Logan River namely Stockleigh has a greater community of interest with Jimboomba in the Beaudesert electorate. The people north of the Logan River rely upon the schools, shops and services in the proposed Logan. Accordingly, I would like a change to the southeastern boundary examined by the Commissioners.

Yours sincerely,

JOHN MICKEL, MLA
STATE MEMBER FOR LOGAN

16 MAY 1999

RECEIVED
Dear Sir

PROPOSED ELECTORAL REDISTRIBUTION - STATE SEAT OF WOODRIDGE

I write out of concern of the impact that the redistribution of the State Seat of Woodridge will have on the residents of the Woodridge area between Garfield Road and MacKay Street, Woodridge and the Trinder Park Nursing Home which is encompassed in this area.

For some time I have been concerned particularly about the Nursing Home and the fact that it was in a different seat to the rest of Woodridge. This created confusion amongst the elderly residents and I believe reduced their ability to be properly represented in State Parliament.

The State Member for Sunnybank did visit and service the Nursing Home which was in his electorate on occasions however as it was a different seat, it had an entirely different interest to those of the Woodridge area.

The proposed redistribution takes this a step further in that it could place approximately 800-1,000 residents of Woodridge and the old State Seat of Woodridge in a different seat to their neighbours.

The residents of Woodridge have a single community of interest, that is, the Woodridge area - The Woodridge Shopping Centre, the Woodridge Railway Station Precinct and Trinder Park Railway Station Precinct. This was recognised with the recent redistribution of Logan City/Brisbane City boundary in approximately 1995 where sections of Berrinba that were in the City of Brisbane were transferred to the City of Logan because it was recognised that their community of interest was Woodridge. They are separated by the rest of the Seat by a large environmentally sensitive area called the Karawatha Forest. This clearly delineates the Woodridge/Logan area from the Brisbane City area and also should separate the State Seat of Woodridge from the proposed Seat of Stretton.
I believe the proposed boundary will create confusion amongst local electors in that one side of the street, ie Garfield Road, will be in the Seat of Woodridge, the other will be in the Seat of Stretton. Possibly with Members of Parliament from two different political parties with no common ideology and no common community of interest.

I as a Local Councillor have been called upon many a time to explain the difference between the State, Federal and Local Government Seats to the community. I have represented this community at a Local Government level for fourteen years and believe that I know how they think and how they act and I am sure that this proposed redistribution will only create confusion and devalue the residents' representation in State Parliament.

Public transport is another issue which will serve as a barrier for access for these residents and their State Member. In recent times the State Member for Sunnybank has an office in Sunnybank Hills and whilst it can be accessed by train with difficulty, there is no local bus service. This makes it more difficult for the community to access their local member should he or she set up an office in the Sunnybank region which is more than likely the case as the majority of people they will represent will be in that area.

I believe for these reasons you should review this area and reposition it in the Woodridge Electorate. I understand that it will cause associated changes to adjoining Seats however my major concern is that the people who live in this area are represented by a member who has their interests at heart and who is accessible to these people.

I trust that you will take this into consideration when reviewing submissions on these boundaries.

Yours sincerely

Cr Russell Lutton
MEMBER FOR DIVISION 2
Monday May 10th, 1999

QUEENSLAND REDISTRIBUTION COMMISSION
LEVEL 6, FORESTRY HOUSE
160 MARY STREET, BRISBANE QLD 4000

Having had the opportunity to consider the proposed Queensland Electoral Districts - Reasons, Descriptions and Maps released by the Queensland Redistribution Commission, April 1999 the National Party of Australia - Queensland takes the opportunity to respond under Section 48(1)(b) of the Electoral Act.

In our initial submission to the Queensland Redistribution Commission the fundamental principle advocated by the Party was minimal change, whilst adhering to Section 45(1) of the Electoral Act - Proposed electoral redistribution must be within numerical limits.

We note that in drafting the proposed electoral district boundaries the Queensland Redistribution Commission [the Redistribution Commission] found it necessary to deviate from the projected quota limits in 16 of the 89 proposed electoral districts. It is clear that in doing so the Redistribution Commission has sought to minimise the impact of changes on existing communities. Had the strict numerical limits been more vigorously applied greater community dislocation would have been evidenced. We support the Redistribution Commission approach in this regard.

Overall we support the thrust of the Redistribution Commission's proposals - particularly the maintenance of the five large area electorates, although there is evidence of considerable change and disruption to some communities of interest brought about by the proposed electoral boundaries. *

Following consultation with Party Units, Local Government Authorities, local community groups and individuals we submit changes to specific proposed electoral boundaries that would enhance the Redistribution Commission's proposals keeping in mind criteria set out under Section 46(1) of the Act, namely to give consideration to the following matters when drawing the boundaries of the proposed electoral districts:

[a] the extent to which there is a community of economic, social, regional or other interest within each proposed electoral district;
[b] the ways of communication and travel within each proposed electoral district;
[c] the physical features of each proposed electoral district;
[d] the boundaries of existing electoral districts.

We strongly recommend to the Redistribution Commission that changes to the proposed boundaries should be minimal, and that the Redistribution Commission should resist massive change.
LARGE AREA SEATS - ELECTORAL DISTRICTS ABOVE 100,000KM² IN AREA

[COOK, CHARTERS TOWERS, GREGORY, MOUNT ISA, AND WARREGO]

There is a considerable amount of discomfort at the dislocation of the Winton, Jericho, Aramac and Murweh Shires from their communities of interest in the Gregory and Warrego electorates. The Party has looked carefully at the concerns of the communities in those areas and their desire to be retained in their present electorates consistent with the Party's initial Submission. However, at this stage of the process the changes which would be required are far too great. In the circumstances the Party suggests there be no change to the proposed boundaries.

We also draw the Redistribution Commission's attention to several negative comments we have received about the abolition of the electorate of Western Downs, and the proposed inclusion of the Shires of Roma, Bungil, Chinchilla and Murilla in the proposed electorate of Warrego. These comments are genuinely and sincerely made, but it is acknowledged that there were limited alternatives available to the Redistribution Commission.

NORTH QUEENSLAND ELECTORATES

[BARRON RIVER, BURDEKIN, CAIRNS CENTRAL, HINCHINBROOK, MACKAY CENTRAL, MIRANI, MULGRAVE, MUNDINGBURRA, TABLELANDS, TOWNSVILLE CENTRAL AND WHITSUNDAY]

Overall we support the Redistribution Commission's proposals in this area. However we submit the amendments outlined below:

With respect to the proposed electoral boundaries for the electorates of Burdekin, Whitsunday, Mackay and Mirani we submit that there should be no change to those boundaries proposed by the Redistribution Commission.

We have received a number of comments about the dislocation of some communities, namely - Collinsville and Scotsville from Whitsunday; the suburb of East Mackay bounded by Bridge Road, the Airport Reserve and the Pacific Ocean from the proposed electorate of Mirani; and, the suburb of Riversleigh bounded by the Pioneer River, Sams Road and the Bruce Highway from the proposed electorate of Mackay. After careful analysis of the numerical requirements it is recognised that the options for change are limited. In particular, in the proposed Mackay Central / Mirani electoral boundaries the Redistribution Commission has corrected existing anomalies.

With reference to the proposed electoral district boundaries for Hinchinbrook, the Party has received strong representations from individuals, communities and industry groupings from the South Johnstone sugar area arguing that the proposed boundaries have split the tightly-knit South Johnstone community between two proposed electorates - namely Hinchinbrook and Tablelands.

In the interest of maintaining a close relationship between the communities at the northern end of the proposed electorate, the Redistribution Commission is requested to consider the following transfer of CCDs from Tablelands to Hinchinbrook.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CCD</th>
<th>CURRENT POPULATION</th>
<th>PROJECTED POPULATION</th>
<th>FROM</th>
<th>TO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3012509</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>TABLELANDS</td>
<td>HINCHINBROOK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3012508</td>
<td>367</td>
<td>391</td>
<td>TABLELANDS</td>
<td>HINCHINBROOK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3012504</td>
<td>183</td>
<td>229</td>
<td>TABLELANDS</td>
<td>HINCHINBROOK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3012502 part</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>TABLELANDS</td>
<td>HINCHINBROOK</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Transfer of these CCDs would reunite the cane-growing communities of Wangan and Mundoo and surrounding areas with the South Johnstone community. In order to facilitate this reunification the Redistribution Commission is urged to consider the splitting of CCD 3012502 so that the area bounded by Henderson Drive, Kalbo Road the South Johnstone River, and east of the communities of Wangan and Mundoo will be transferred to Hinchinbrook.

The impact of such changes on the Tablelands electorate would be -

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLELANDS</th>
<th>CURRENT POPULATION</th>
<th>PROJECTED POPULATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>POPULATION</td>
<td>QUOTA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PROPOSED ELECTORATE TOTAL</td>
<td>24577</td>
<td>24769</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMENDED ELECTORATE TOTAL</td>
<td>23802</td>
<td>24769</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We note that the Redistribution Commission acknowledged in its Reasons that the proposed electoral boundaries of Hinchinbrook are "not ideal" due to the inclusion of communities to the north of Townsville in the proposed electorate of Hinchinbrook. We respectfully agree.

The lack of affinity between the residents of the existing Thuringowa electorate with those of the Hinchinbrook electorate is demonstrated in the following observations of community of interest concerns:

- the state primary school at Bohle which is in the proposed electorate of Hinchinbrook has a catchment area that includes students on the opposite side of the Highway, in the Thuringowa electorate;
- conversely, the State High School at Deeragun is west of the Highway, but services students east of the highway in the Hinchinbrook electorate;
- St Anthony's Catholic primary school at Cordelia Estate is located in Thuringowa, and services both proposed electorates;
- the Woodlands Shopping Centre in the proposed Thuringowa electorate draws its custom from people in both the proposed electorates of Hinchinbrook and Thuringowa;
- the bottom end of the proposed Hinchinbrook electorate comprises mainly of industrial, hobby farms and residential accommodation which contrasts with the commercial agricultural operations to be found throughout the rest of the electorate;
- the provision of local government services to the bottom end of the proposed Hinchinbrook electorate is at present linked to the Townsville region, and has little correlation with service delivery in areas such as Tully and Ingham.

As a result the Party urges the Redistribution Commission to consider the following transfer of CCDs from Hinchinbrook to Thuringowa electorates.
The cumulative effect of these changes to both the northern and southern boundaries of the Hinchinbrook electorate would be as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ORIGINAL ELECTORATE</th>
<th>CURRENT POPULATION</th>
<th>PROJECTED POPULATION</th>
<th>FROM</th>
<th>TO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3040804</td>
<td>547</td>
<td>786</td>
<td>HINCHINBROOK</td>
<td>THURINGOWA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3040809</td>
<td>273</td>
<td>620</td>
<td>HINCHINBROOK</td>
<td>THURINGOWA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3040810</td>
<td>425</td>
<td>910</td>
<td>HINCHINBROOK</td>
<td>THURINGOWA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3040812</td>
<td>737</td>
<td>1254</td>
<td>HINCHINBROOK</td>
<td>THURINGOWA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The transfer of electors from the suggested electoral district of Hinchinbrook back to their original electorate of Thuringowa as proposed would:

- redress community of interest concerns acknowledged by both the local community and the Redistribution Commission's itself;
- diminish voter confusion in this region;
- comply with quota requirements prescribed by the Act;
- establish the Black River as a distinct geographical boundary between the two electorates; and
- minimise change.

The impact of such changes upon the quota requirements of Thuringowa could then be addressed via the transfers outlined below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ORIGINAL ELECTORATE</th>
<th>CURRENT POPULATION</th>
<th>PROJECTED POPULATION</th>
<th>FROM</th>
<th>TO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3040806</td>
<td>543</td>
<td>578</td>
<td>THURINGOWA</td>
<td>MUNDINGBURRA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3040912</td>
<td>539</td>
<td>537</td>
<td>THURINGOWA</td>
<td>MUNDINGBURRA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3040803</td>
<td>620</td>
<td>636</td>
<td>THURINGOWA</td>
<td>MUNDINGBURRA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3040802</td>
<td>364</td>
<td>367</td>
<td>THURINGOWA</td>
<td>MUNDINGBURRA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This proposal would minimise voter confusion brought about by the proposed electoral boundaries by:
preserving the existing electoral boundary of Bamfords Lane between the electorates of Mundingburra and Thuringowa; and

further enhancing Bamfords Lane as a clearly recognisable divide between the electorates.

The impact of the amendments, in conjunction with those undertaken in relation to Hinchinbrook would be-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Thuringowa</th>
<th>Current Population</th>
<th>Projected Population</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Population</td>
<td>Quota</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed Electorate Total</td>
<td>23856</td>
<td>24769</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amended Electorate Total</td>
<td>23772</td>
<td>24769</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mundingburra</th>
<th>Current Population</th>
<th>Projected Population</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Population</td>
<td>Quota</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed Electorate Total</td>
<td>25100</td>
<td>24769</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amended Electorate Total</td>
<td>27166</td>
<td>24769</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Central Queensland Electorates

[Callide, Fitzroy, Gladstone, Keppel and Rockhampton Central]

Overall we support the thrust of the proposed changes. Concerns have been expressed as to the appropriateness of the proposed boundaries in relation to the Glendale and Glenlee areas transferred from Keppel to Fitzroy. However, it is recognised that quota requirements necessitated change.

Wide Bay and Burnett Area Electorates

[Burnett, Bundaberg, Gympie, Hervey Bay, Maryborough and Nanango]

Overall we are supportive of the Redistribution Commission’s proposed changes for this area. The Redistribution Commission’s attention is drawn to local concerns about the abolition of the current electorate of Barambah, and the mix of the communities that comprise the proposed electorate of Nanango. For example the local government Shires of Wondai, Murgon, Cherbourg Community, Kilkivan, Kingaroy, Nanango and Rosalie share a common bond which is known as the South Burnett. Whilst we empathise with the sentiments of the local communities in this region, no practical alternative is apparent without massive change, hence we suggest no change.

In relation to the proposed electorate boundaries between Gympie and Nanango, the Redistribution Commission in its Reasons noted the loss of part of the Cooloola Shire to Nanango from Gympie in order to meet quota
requirements. The Redistribution Commission has "placed considerable weight on local government boundaries, particularly in rural areas"; and also recorded its agreement with the comments made at page 4 of the EARC proposal.

'Local government boundaries frequently reflect, and may even help to create, communities of interest. This is especially so in rural areas where many local government areas correspond to a single town or smaller settlement and its closely connected hinterland. Electors are usually well aware of local government boundaries, and readily orientate to electoral boundaries that coincide with them. Consequently, and especially in rural areas, local government areas have in some cases been used as appropriate community of interest "building blocks" for the current distribution.'

The Party concurs in that approach and submits the following amendment to effect the re-unification of the Cooloola-Shire -

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CCD</th>
<th>CURRENT POPULATION</th>
<th>PROJECTED POPULATION</th>
<th>FROM</th>
<th>TO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3101312</td>
<td>423</td>
<td>522</td>
<td>NANANGO</td>
<td>GYMPIE</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The impact of this correction on the Nanango electorate would be -

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NANANGO</th>
<th>CURRENT POPULATION</th>
<th>PROJECTED POPULATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>POPULATION</td>
<td>QUOTA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PROPOSED ELECTORATE TOTAL</td>
<td>26917</td>
<td>24769</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMENDED ELECTORATE TOTAL</td>
<td>26494</td>
<td>24769</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To retain the integrity of the Gympie electorate in meeting quota requirements, the following transfer of CCDs would be recommended to the Redistribution Commission:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CCD</th>
<th>CURRENT POPULATION</th>
<th>PROJECTED POPULATION</th>
<th>FROM</th>
<th>TO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3101312</td>
<td>443</td>
<td>515</td>
<td>GYMPIE</td>
<td>NOOSA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Transfer of CCD 3101312 from the proposed Gympie electorate, would return the Mount Cooroy area to the Noosa electorate, of which it is presently a part. The cumulative impact of this amendment, and that between Gympie and Nanango would be -
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gympie</th>
<th>Current Population</th>
<th>Projected Population</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Population</td>
<td>Quota</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed Electorate Total</td>
<td>26967</td>
<td>24759</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amended Electorate Total</td>
<td>26947</td>
<td>24759</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Noosa</th>
<th>Current Population</th>
<th>Projected Population</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Population</td>
<td>Quota</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed Electorate Total</td>
<td>24706</td>
<td>24769</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amended Electorate Total</td>
<td>25149</td>
<td>24769</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Party submits that its suggestion is practical and achievable given that the Redistribution Commission's proposed electoral boundaries for Noosa already deviate from projected quota requirements.

With reference to the proposed electoral district boundaries of Hervey Bay and Maryborough the Redistribution Commission is asked to consider the following transfer of CCDs in order to enhance the community of interest of the Hervey Bay electorate.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CCD</th>
<th>Current Population</th>
<th>Projected Population</th>
<th>From</th>
<th>To</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3100107</td>
<td>453</td>
<td>725</td>
<td>Hervey Bay</td>
<td>Maryborough</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3100114</td>
<td>698</td>
<td>948</td>
<td>Maryborough</td>
<td>Hervey Bay</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Transfer of CCD 3100107 would result in the transfer of the small township and settlement of Toogoom to the electorate of Maryborough. Toogoom is very similar in composition to other townships moved into the Maryborough electorate, such as Howard, Torbanlea, Buxton, Woodgate and Burrum Heads. Each of these communities has its own unique identity and level of community awareness that is not reliant upon Hervey Bay.

The transfer of CCD 3100114 [or part thereof] would see the return of the Dundowran community to the Hervey Bay electorate. In the Redistribution Commissions proposal this community has been split between the electorates. The Dundowran Community Hall, new Dundowran Primary School, and Dundowran Industrial Estate would be located in the seat of Maryborough, whilst the people using these services would be located in the Hervey Bay electorate.
Due to the large size of CCD 3100114, the Redistribution Commission's may wish to consider splitting the CCD to produce a boundary that incorporates the Dundowran community without extending too far into the Maryborough electorate.

That amendment, whilst reuniting communities of interest split under the proposed electoral district boundaries would result in:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HERVEY BAY</th>
<th>CURRENT POPULATION</th>
<th>PROJECTED POPULATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>POPULATION</td>
<td>QUOTA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PROPOSED ELECTORATE TOTAL</td>
<td>23332</td>
<td>24769</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMENDED ELECTORATE TOTAL</td>
<td>23567</td>
<td>24769</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MARYBOROUGH</th>
<th>CURRENT POPULATION</th>
<th>PROJECTED POPULATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>POPULATION</td>
<td>QUOTA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PROPOSED ELECTORATE TOTAL</td>
<td>25768</td>
<td>24769</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMENDED ELECTORATE TOTAL</td>
<td>26533</td>
<td>24769</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SUNSHINE COAST AREA ELECTORATES

[CALOUNDRA, GLASSHOUSE, KAWANA, MAROOCHYDORE, NICKLIN AND NOOSA]

The Sunshine Coast region was a challenge because of the necessity to accommodate large population growth. We note that of the six proposed electorates for this region all exceeded the projected growth quota. We recognise the significant difficulties in attempting to comply with the requirements of the Act, and overall we are supportive of the Commission's proposals.

With respect to the boundaries of Maroochydore we submit that there is an opportunity to improve the community of interest, without significant consequences for its neighbouring proposed electorate of Nicklin.

The local community has called for the removal of the Sunshine Motorway as the boundary between the electorates of Maroochydore and Nicklin. Alternatively, the boundary could:

- travel north along the Maroochy River up to the existing boundary between the electorates of Maroochydore and Noosa,
- follow the existing boundary between the electorates of Maroochydore and Noosa north to the Yandina - Coolum Road, and
- travel east along the Yandina - Coolum Road to the point at which it intersects the boundary of the proposed electorate boundary for Noosa.

That proposal would: reduce voter confusion in the Pacific Paradise region; incorporate existing electoral boundaries, thereby reducing voter confusion; and establish the Maroochy River as a distinct geographical boundary between the Maroochydore and Nicklin electorates.

Similarly, amendment of the current proposed electorate boundary of Kiel Mountain Road to follow Paynter Creek would follow an existing Federal Electorate boundary, and would thereby diminish voter confusion in this region.

With respect to the proposed boundaries of the electorates of Glasshouse and Nanango we have received a number of negative comments and observations about the dislocation of communities of interest brought about by the proposed electoral boundaries. Whilst each is soundly based, their cumulative impact is such that massive change would be required to redress this situation.

THE AREA BETWEEN BRISBANE AND THE SUNSHINE COAST ELECTORATES

[KURWONGBAH, MURRUMBA, NARANGBA, PUMICESTONE AND REDCLIFFE]

We do not propose changes for this area.

SOUTHERN QUEENSLAND ELECTORATES

[CONDAMINE, CUNNINGHAM, DARLING DOWNS, LOCKYER, TOOWOOMBA NORTH AND TOOWOOMBA SOUTH]

Overall we are supportive of the Redistribution Commission’s proposals in this area. There is one anomaly we bring to the Redistribution Commission’s attention for consideration. Its correction has the strong support of the Crows Nest Shire Council and local community and involves the realignment of the boundary between the Darling Downs and Toowoomba North proposed electorates. An amendment to relocate the boundary along Meringandan Road, Donovan Road and Stevens Road to Happy Valley would reunite the community of interest between the Cabarlah region and the Crows Nest Shire.

This community of this area has a strong economic affinity with Crows Nest, which current electoral boundaries seek to unnecessarily disrupt. Such an amendment can be brought about by transfer of the following CCD, or part thereof:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CCD</th>
<th>CURRENT POPULATION</th>
<th>PROJECTED POPULATION</th>
<th>FROM</th>
<th>TO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3142211</td>
<td>388</td>
<td>508</td>
<td>TOOWOOMBA NORTH</td>
<td>DARLING DOWNS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Such an amendment would also decrease the likelihood of the Seat of Darling Downs deviating above the projected population quota, as demonstrated below:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DARLING DOWNS</th>
<th>CURRENT POPULATION</th>
<th>PROJECTED POPULATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>POPULATION</td>
<td>QUOTA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PROPOSED ELECTORATE TOTAL</td>
<td>23753</td>
<td>24769</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMENDED ELECTORATE TOTAL</td>
<td>24141</td>
<td>24769</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TOOWOOMBA NORTH</th>
<th>CURRENT POPULATION</th>
<th>PROJECTED POPULATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>POPULATION</td>
<td>QUOTA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PROPOSED ELECTORATE TOTAL</td>
<td>24885</td>
<td>24769</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMENDED ELECTORATE TOTAL</td>
<td>24477</td>
<td>24769</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**THE IPSWICH AREA ELECTORATES**

[BUNDAMBA, IPSWICH CENTRAL AND IPSWICH WEST]

We do not propose changes for this area.

**GOLD COAST AREA ELECTORATES**

[ALBERT, BROADWATER, BURLEIGH, CURRUMBIN, HELENSVALE, MUDGERRABA, ROBINA, SOUTHPORT AND SURFERS PARADISE]

Overall we are supportive of the Redistribution Commission's proposals in this area, with the exception of the proposed name of the electorate of Helensvale and the boundaries of the proposed electorate of Burleigh.

The name for the proposed electorate of Helensvale does not give a clear identification of the district, and it is not reflective of a town at the centre of the electorate.

Helensvale is a defined and bounded community, a large development estate. It is not a town. There is widespread community discontent with the proposed name both in rural areas within the proposed electorate and within the town of Nerang.

Helensvale is a closely settled suburban area and the name does not reflect the significant rural components of the proposed electorate.

The Party suggests the following possible names-

- **Gaven**

The name of Gaven is well recognised by local residents of the proposed electorate. Apart from this name being associated with the suburb of Gaven and the Gaven Forest, that section of the Pacific Highway which links the two
major population centres of the electorate - Nerang and Helensvale - is known to local residents as the Gaven Way.

♦ Coomera

The Coomera River provides the northernmost and south-west boundaries of the proposed electorate. A major geographical feature of the electorate, a network of tributaries leading into the Coomera River are to be found throughout the proposed electorate. The name Coomera was first adopted by early settlers to the district, and the local significance of the name was recognised by the Surveyor-General of New South Wales, Sir Thomas Mitchell in renaming the river Coomera in the mid-1800's.

In relation to the proposed electoral district of Burleigh, the Party endorses those comments offered by Mrs Judy Gamin MLA, Member for Burleigh. Refer attached document.

THE AREA BETWEEN BRISBANE AND THE GOLD COAST ELECTORATES

[BEAUNDESERT, CLEVELAND, LOGAN, REDLANDS, SPRINGWOOD, WATERFORD AND WOODRIDGE]

We do not propose changes for this area.

BRISBANE SOUTH OF THE BRISBANE RIVER ELECTORATES

[ALGESTER, BULIMBA, BURBANK, CAPALABA, CHATSWORTH, GREENSLOPES, INALA, LYTTON, MOUNT GRAVATT, MOUNT OMMANEY, SOUTH BRISBANE, STRETTON, YEERONGAPILLY]

We do not propose changes for this area, with exception for the name change for the proposed electorate of Burbank. As the Redistribution Commission noted in its Reasons, the proposed electorate of Burbank is 'based substantially on the existing electorate of Mansfield' - named after Sir Alan Mansfield, a former Governor and Chief Justice of Queensland. The Party submits that the proposed electorate of Burbank be named Mansfield.

BRISBANE NORTH OF THE BRISBANE RIVER ELECTORATES

[ASHGROVE, ASPLEY, BRISBANE CENTRAL, CLAYFIELD, EVERTON, FERNY GROVE, INDOOROOPILLY, MOGGILL, MOUNT COOT-THA, NUDGEE, SANDGATE AND STAFFORD]

We do not propose changes for this area.

Regards,

Ken Crooke
State Director
14th April 1999

QUEENSLAND REDISTRIBUTION COMMISSION

SUGGESTED NEW BOUNDARY BETWEEN BURLEIGH & MERRIMAC

The suggested change to northwest boundary of Burleigh is sensible and supported, as the new district of Burleigh will now contain the whole suburb of Miami. It also appears that the intention of the Redistribution Commission is to contain the whole suburb of Burleigh Waters into the district of Burleigh, which is also supported.

However, the new proposal shows up a minor anomaly whereby a small section of Burleigh Waters continues within the new district of Merrimac (Robina) i.e.

- the area between Bardon Avenue, Miami and Bermuda Street which comprises Miami Retirement Village and streets of Mackay, Whitehead and Beaconsfield Drives and associated cul-de-sacs.

If the subject area continues within Merrimac (Robina) it cannot be accessed from that electorate (there is no access off Bermuda Street) but must be accessed from Burleigh electorate (off Bardon Avenue).

It would therefore be logical to include this section of Burleigh Waters within the district of Burleigh, and thus remove all of Burleigh Waters from the new district of Merrimac (Robina). The difference in enrolment numbers into Burleigh and out of Merrimac (Robina) would be negligible.

This change can be easily effected by utilising an existing line from Bardon Avenue (south of the AIS Canoeing Facility) to the canal, then south of Lancelin Drive (Mermaid Waters) to Bermuda Street, and continuing along Bermuda Street to Christine Avenue.

To clarify the above proposition, I have provided an original copy of UBD Map13 which clearly shows a boundary such as I have described.

JUDY GAMIN MLA
Member for Burleigh
EXISTING PROPOSED

BOUNDARY

SUGGESTED NEW BOUNDARY AND INCLUSION INTO BURLEIGH
FROM: FRASER'S CYCLE & SPORTS WATCHMAKERS & JEWELLERS  
108 ALFRED STREET  
CHARLEVILLE 4470

TO: Queensland Redistribution Commission  
Locked Bag 3300  
BRISBANE QLD 9001  
Ph. 1800 801 665  
Fax. 07 3229 7391

QLD REDISTRIBUTION COMMISSION

Please accept this document from me and treat it as my official objection to the Electorate Redistribution of the Warrego District.

I object most strongly to the proposed redistribution for the following reasons:

1. I believe that the Commission has not seriously considered Charleville’s connection to the East Coast. Presently nearly all Government direction, information and departmental contacts come from Roma or Brisbane. The current boundaries allow us to have a say through these easily accessible avenues in regard to our future. I feel the new proposed boundary will hinder our voice in this regard. I also feel that this applies to both transport and freight facilities which run the same eastern route from Brisbane e.g. If Charleville needs Government Representation regarding rail facilities I believe we would be disadvantaged because of the proposed future Parliament Members offices lie on the Longreach to Rockhampton line causing a conflict of interest.

2. It is my belief that the commissions’ computer has worked with only population figures with no regard to geographical distances and productivity of the areas involved. I feel that Charleville will be chopped out of the Warrego Electorate and stuck with Gregory to make up numbers at the expense of our needs and access to facilities.

Please consider:

1. Adding 54,000 km² to Gregory Electorate is a large piece of Queensland for the minister to cover (it is not a drive from the Sunshine Coast to Brisbane). I feel Charleville will be disadvantaged due to the geographical remoteness of our town. In fact we will not be close to or within the heavier populated areas of the Gregory Electorate where at present I am situated in an area where towns are of approximately the same population and share the same geographical needs. We presently share and compete on a common basis for access to existing facilities - which is definitely more preferable.

2. I would like the Commission to consider moving the boundaries north and south to keep the electorate of Warrego uniform with its town and Councils having similar population and needs. Tucking a large, sparsely populated area on to the extreme boundary edge of an electorate that has its most heavily populated areas over 600km away would critically hinder the smaller populated areas in all Government matters. (No equality due to different needs of the areas within the proposed Gregory Boundary changes.)

3. As Warrego elector’s needs will remain, their demand for direct access to their member will not diminish. Therefore, it is going to cost the Member (taxpayer) considerable extra cost in travel and accommodation. Therefore, again, it would make economic sense to allow a third electoral office in Charleville. In the event of boundary changes going ahead this would be imperative.

Thanking you for the opportunity to appeal against these changes.
Queensland Redistribution Commission  
Locked Bag 3300  
BRISBANE  QLD  4001  

Facsimile: 3229 7391

Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traiipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.

Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely

................................. (signature)

................................. (address)

Oxley  4075

.............

ELECTORAL COMMISSION
QUEENSLAND

10 MAY 1999

RECEIVED
April 1999

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 4001

Facsimile: 3229 7391

Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.

Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely

[Signature]

[Address]

Election Nomination Form
Oxley

Queensland Electoral Commission
10 May 1999

RECEIVED
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 4001

Facsimile: 3229 7391

Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traiipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.

Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely

[Signature]

[Address]

10 MAY 1999

RECEIVED
Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to trample the all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.

Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely

[Signature]

[Address]

ELECTORAL COMMISSION
QUEENSLAND
10 MAY 1999
RECEIVED
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 4001

Facsimile: 3229 7391

Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.

Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely

[Signature]

[Address]

Oxley, Q 4075
April 1999

Queensland Redistribution Commission  
Locked Bag 3300  
BRISBANE QLD 4001  

Facsimile: 3229 7391  

OQLC ORJ 821

Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to traipse all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.

Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely

[Signature]

[Address]

14, Ingham Street
Oxley, Q 4075

ELECTORAL COMMISSION
QUEENSLAND
10 MAY 1999
RECEIVED
April 1999

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 4001

Facsimile: 3229 7391

Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to tramp all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.

Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely,

[Signature]

140 ENGLEFIELD ROAD
OXLEY QLD 4075

ELECTORAL COMMISSION
QUEENSLAND
10 MAY 1999
RECEIVED
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 4001

Facsimile: 3229 7391

Dear Commissioner

I am a resident of the suburb of Oxley in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney and wish to lodge an objection to the proposed boundary changes which puts part of my suburb into the electorate of Inala.

After many years of being a part of the Mt Ommaney electorate, I object strongly to the changes you propose to split the suburb of Oxley and place it into the electorate of Inala.

This will mean that the Oxley High School and Oxley State School will not be in the same electorate and that elderly people living in Oxley will have to tramp all the way to Inala to see their State government representative.

Why is it that the rest of the electorate remains intact but the most established area in the electorate is split?

A change means that we cannot lobby, as a whole suburb, our State government representative to achieve the things we need in our suburb.

Please reconsider your current proposal and leave all of Oxley where it is, in the State electorate of Mt Ommaney.

Yours sincerely

[Signature]

[Address]

April 1999
The Commissioner  
Queensland Redistribution Commission  
Locked Bag 3300  
BRISBANE QLD 9001

Dear Sir,

I wish to lodge an objection to the proposal to include the beachside suburbs of Palm Cove and Clifton Beach in the State Electorate of Cook.

As a resident of Palm Cove / Clifton Beach, I fail to see what possible community of interest I would have with the electorate of Cook which is based on Cape York Peninsula and Torres Strait.

Currently Palm Cove and Clifton Beach are in the State Electorate of Barron River, and that is where I would prefer them to stay.

This electorate includes the rest of the beachside suburbs which make up the Northern Beaches area of Cairns and I can see no sense in splitting this geographical area.

I urge you to please reconsider your proposal and return both Palm Cove and Clifton Beach into the electorate of Barron River.

Yours sincerely,

Bernardine McKeon (Mrs)
6th May 1999

The Commissioner
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

Dear Sir,

I wish to lodge an objection to the proposal to include the beachside suburbs of Palm Cove and Clifton Beach in the State Electorate of Cook.

As a resident of Palm Cove (Clifton Beach), I fail to see what possible community of interest I would have with the electorate of Cook which is based on Cape York Peninsula and Torres Strait.

Currently Palm Cove and Clifton Beach are in the State Electorate of Barron River, and that is where I would prefer them to stay.

This electorate includes the rest of the beachside suburbs which make up the Northern Beaches area of Cairns and I can see no sense in splitting this geographical area.

I urge you to please reconsider your proposal and return both Palm Cove and Clifton Beach into the electorate of Barron River.

Yours sincerely

[Signature]

This is ridiculous - we are part of Cairns City Council - we are a Cairns Beach Suburb - how can you move us to Cook Electorate?
26 May 1999

The Commissioner
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

Dear Sir,

I wish to lodge an objection to the proposal to include the beachside suburbs of Palm Cove and Clifton Beach in the State Electorate of Cook.

As a resident of Palm Cove / Clifton Beach, I fail to see what possible community of interest I would have with the electorate of Cook which is based on Cape York Peninsula and Torres Strait.

Currently Palm Cove and Clifton Beach are in the State Electorate of Barron River, and that is where I would prefer them to stay.

This electorate includes the rest of the beachside suburbs which make up the Northern Beaches area of Cairns and I can see no sense in splitting this geographical area.

I urge you to please reconsider your proposal and return both Palm Cove and Clifton Beach into the electorate of Barron River.

Yours sincerely

[Signature]
The Secretary
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE 9001
Fax. 3225 2601
Ph. 1800 801665

RE: PROPOSED QUEENSLAND ELECTORAL BOUNDARIES
REDISTRIBUTION
PROPOSED SEAT OF NANANGO

I would like to strongly voice my discontent at the proposed Queensland Electoral Boundaries Redistribution of the Seat of Nanango and the effect this will have on my community of Conondale.

The hinterland communities including my community of Conondale have no ties to the community of Kingaroy or the district of Nanango and feel it would be detrimental for the areas of Conondale, Crystal Waters, Witta, Belthorpe, Booroobin, Reeseville and Kenilworth to be included within these electoral boundaries.

I believe it would be beneficial for the proposed boundary between Glasshouse and Nanango to be redrawn to include the above communities in Glasshouse.

There is a strong community of interest between these communities and Maleny, the major town in the area. They are joined by geographic and topographic features and share communality and homogeneity through agricultural, pastoral and tourism industries. Maleny is the shopping, business, cultural and social centre of our area.

All these communities have little or no communality with Nanango. The mountains of the Great Dividing Range form a complete barrier to communication and communality with Nanango.

I hope you look favourably upon my objection and the suggested alternative.

Yours sincerely,

Address details: P.O Box 659 Maleny 4552

ELECTORAL COMMISSION
QUEENSLAND

10 MAY 1999

RECEIVED
CONONDALE COMMUNITY FORUM
4 MAY 1999

RESPONSE TO AMENDMENTS TO
PROPOSED QUEENSLAND ELECTORAL BOUNDARIES APRIL 1999

SEAT OF NANANGO

Attendees of the Conondale Community Forum held on 4 May raised the following fears and concerns regarding the proposed amendments to the Queensland Electoral Boundaries and the proposed Seat of Nanango and would like their strong opposition, highlighted in these concerns, to be brought to the attention of the Queensland Redistribution Commission and the State Electoral Commission.

Communication:

* Distance to communicate with Member of Parliament based in Kingaroy
* No direct transport route between Conondale and Kingaroy.
* Cost of STD telephone calls between Conondale and Kingaroy.
* Media representation of the area all based on the coast not in Kingaroy.
* If, as is likely, the local Member is not keen to come to us, we will have to go to him. Where is the quick, safe road to Kingaroy?
* If present proposal becomes fact, two electoral offices should be maintained by Member.
* Interest of a representative in Kingaroy in the issues of our local area would be limited.

Education:

* Local Member in Kingaroy has no knowledge of individual school communities.
* Schools can't easily get together.
* Children may be in different electorates i.e. high school and primary children.
* The costs associated with children being involved in small school activities such as sports, Tournament of Minds, etc., and travelling to participate in such activities within their district would be exorbitant.

Water:

* Rivers run different directions both sides of the hills ... totally different catchment areas i.e. Burnett/Mary.
* Residents in Nanango pay for all dam water .. particularly farmers.
* Our catchment areas affect the Coast not the other side of the range. How will our rivers in the dam issues be affected if our power base is burned?
Employment cont.:

* What Kingaroy needs regarding employment will not be identical to what Conondale needs.

Political Power:

* Priorities of Kingaroy area will be preferred to Conondale area ... much smaller number of voters.
* Will the elected representative spend time in our area?
* Conondale residents will have very little influence in the State Government sphere of operations

Electrical Power:

* Conondale is already on low priority during power problems - How will our needs be affected during periods of blackout, load sharing, etc, in relation to the needs of a much greater population base.

Emergency Services:

* Where are headquarters to be? How will both sides of the range bear up in emergency ... access?
* Will need to split organisation to cope with different situations.

Industrial Relations:

* Workers find work in high density populations on the Coast ... never further west.
* All Government offices and institutions relevant to us are situated on the Coast.

Roads:

* The impracticality of having isolated representation
* How will our priorities for roads fare against those determined on the bulk of the electorate which has a different geographical orientation?
* What about our current roads, bridges, culverts, etc. These need attention now! Kingaroy representative may not be able to visit to recognise problem in the event of flood, etc.
* How long would it take a representative from Kingaroy to impact on our roads?
* Government plans to allow "little used" roads to revert to dirt, will make it even harder to drive to
CONONDALE COMMUNITY FORUM 4 MAY 1999
RESPONSE TO AMENDMENTS TO
PROPOSED QUEENSLAND ELECTORAL BOUNDARIES APRIL 1999

Suggested Amendments to boundaries of the proposed new electorate of
GLASSHOUSE

An outline of Conondale

The small settlements of Conondale and Crystal Waters are enclosed within the ridges and valleys of the west side of the Maleny-Mapleton plateau.

Conondale has a long-time connection with Maleny. Both were developed simultaneously in the late 1800s-early 1900s for forestry and agricultural purposes. Maleny is the business, shopping, social, sporting and cultural centre of the area, with mail service to Conondale originating in Maleny and both sharing the same postcode.

There is only one shop, a convenience store/petrol pump in Conondale and one State Primary school. Most Conondale children attend Conondale State School and then carry on to either Maleny State High School or Kenilworth P-10 State School.

Conondale has little, if any, communality with Nanango. The mountains of the Great Dividing Range form a complete barrier to communication and communality. The road from Conondale passes through Maleny in one direction, then a long, circuitous route before assessing the towns and villages of Nanango electorate. In the other direction, the road from Conondale passes through Kenilworth and continues eastwards away from the Nanango electorate.

The proposal involves adding the communities of Witta, Conondale, Crystal Waters, Bethorpe and Booroobin into the electorate of GLASSHOUSE. The electorate now has 24,540 electors, the change effects approximately 2,000 electors. The lower end of the GLASSHOUSE electorate could be incorporated into the Caboolture end of Pumicestone.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proposed Electorate</th>
<th>198 enrol. (deviation)</th>
<th>2005 enrol. (deviation)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Glasshouse</td>
<td>24,640 (0.5%)</td>
<td>32,166 (+12%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nanango</td>
<td>26,917 (+8%)</td>
<td>30,183 (+5.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nicklin</td>
<td>25,540 (+3%)</td>
<td>35,837 (+24/9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pumicestone</td>
<td>22,979 (-7%)</td>
<td>32,624 (+13%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Glasshouse will be average. It is expected to grow above the preferred 10%. Nanango now has more electors than Glasshouse, but is not expected to grow as much. Pumicestone is now well below Glasshouse, but is expected to grow to exceed the 10% limit.

Information supplied by the Sunshine Coast Rural Landholders Association.
The Secretary  
Queensland Redistribution Commission  
Locked Bag 3300  
BRISBANE 9001  
Fax. 3225 2601  
Ph. 1800 801665

RE: PROPOSED QUEENSLAND ELECTORAL BOUNDARIES REDISTRIBUTION  
PROPOSED SEAT OF NANANGO

I would like to strongly voice my discontent at the proposed Queensland Electoral Boundaries Redistribution of the Seat of Nanango and the effect this will have on my community of Conondale.

The hinterland communities including my community of Conondale have no ties to the community of Kingaroy or the district of Nanango and feel it would be detrimental for the areas of Conondale, Crystal Waters, Witta, Belthorpe, Bocroobin, Reeseville and Kenilworth to be included within these electoral boundaries.

I believe it would be beneficial for the proposed boundary between Glasshouse and Nanango to be redrawn to include the above communities in Glasshouse.

There is a strong community of interest between these communities and Maleny, the major town in the area. They are joined by geographic and topographic features and share communality and homogeneity through agricultural, pastoral and tourism industries. Maleny is the shopping, business, cultural and social centre of our area.

All these communities have little or no communality with Nanango. The mountains of the Great Dividing Range form a complete barrier to communication and communality with Nanango.

I hope you look favourably upon my objection and the suggested alternative.

Yours sincerely,

[Signature]

Address details: P.O. Box 232  
Maleny QLD 4552

ELECTORAL COMMISSION  
QUEENSLAND  
10 MAY 1999  
RECEIVED
CONONDALE COMMUNITY FORUM
4 MAY 1999

RESPONSE TO AMENDMENTS TO
PROPOSED QUEENSLAND ELECTORAL BOUNDARIES APRIL 1999

SEAT OF NANANGO

Attendees of the Conondale Community Forum held on 4 May raised the following fears and concerns regarding the proposed amendments to the Queensland Electoral Boundaries and the proposed Seat of Nanango and would like their strong opposition, highlighted in these concerns, to be brought to the attention of the Queensland Redistribution Commission and the State Electoral Commission.

Communication:

* Distance to communicate with Member of Parliament based in Kingaroy
* No direct transport route between Conondale and Kingaroy.
* Cost of STD telephone calls between Conondale and Kingaroy.
* Media representation of the area all based on the coast not in Kingaroy.
* If, as is likely, the local Member is not keen to come to us, we will have to go to him. Where is the quick, safe road to Kingaroy?
* If present proposal becomes fact, two electoral offices should be maintained by Member.
* Interest of a representative in Kingaroy in the issues of our local area would be limited.

Education:

* Local Member in Kingaroy has no knowledge of individual school communities.
* Schools can’t easily get together.
* Children may be in different electorates i.e. high school and primary children.
* The costs associated with children being involved in small school activities such as sports, Tournament of Minds, etc., and travelling to participate in such activities within their district would be exorbitant.

Water:

* Rivers run different directions both sides of the hills ... totally different catchment areas i.e. Burnett/Mary.
* Residents in Nanango pay for all dam water ... particularly farmers.
* Our catchment areas affect the Coast not the other side of the range. How will our rivers in the dam issues be affected if our power base is burned?
Employment cont.:

* What Kingaroy needs regarding employment will not be identical to what Conondale needs.

Political Power:

* Priorities of Kingaroy area will be preferred to Conondale area ... much smaller number of voters.
* Will the elected representative spend time in our area?
* Conondale residents will have very little influence in the State Government sphere of operations.

Electrical Power:

* Conondale is already on low priority during power problems - How will our needs be affected during periods of blackout, load sharing, etc., in relation to the needs of a much greater population base.

Emergency Services:

* Where are headquarters to be? How will both sides of the range bear up in emergency ... access?
* Will need to split organisation to cope with different situations.

Industrial Relations:

* Workers find work in high density populations on the Coast ... never further west.
* All Government offices and institutions relevant to us are situated on the Coast.

Roads:

* The impracticality of having isolated representation
* How will our priorities for roads fare against those determined on the bulk of the electorate which has a different geographical orientation?
* What about our current roads, bridges, culverts, etc. These need attention now! Kingaroy representative may not be able to visit to recognise problem in the event of flood, etc.
* How long would it take a representative from Kingaroy to impact on our roads?
* Government plans to allow "little used" roads to revert to dirt, will make it even harder to drive to
CONONDALE COMMUNITY FORUM 4 MAY 1999
RESPONSE TO AMENDMENTS TO
PROPOSED QUEENSLAND ELECTORAL BOUNDARIES APRIL 1999

Suggested Amendments to boundaries of the proposed new electorate of

GLASSHOUSE

An outline of Conondale

The small settlements of Conondale and Crystal Waters are enclosed within the ridges and valleys of the west side of the Maleny-Mapleton plateau.

Conondale has a long-time connection with Maleny. Both were developed simultaneously in the late 1800s-early 1900s for forestry and agricultural purposes. Maleny is the business, shopping, social, sporting and cultural centre of the area, with mail service to Conondale originating in Maleny and both sharing the same postcode.

There is only one shop, a convenience store/petrol pump in Conondale and one State Primary school. Most Conondale children attend Conondale State School and then carry on to either Maleny State High School or Kenilworth P–10 State School.

Conondale has little, if any, communality with Nanango. The mountains of the Great Dividing Range form a complete barrier to communication and communality. The road from Conondale passes through Maleny in one direction, then a long, circuitous route before assessing the towns and villages of Nanango electorate. In the other direction, the road from Conondale passes through Kenilworth and continues eastwards away from the Nanango electorate.

The proposal involves adding the communities of Witto, Conondale, Crystal Waters, Belthorpe and Booroobin into the electorate of GLASSHOUSE. The electorate now has 24,540 electors, the change effects approximately 2,000 electors. The lower end of the GLASSHOUSE electorate could be incorporated into the Caboolture end of Pumicestone.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proposed Electorate</th>
<th>1998 enrol. (deviation)</th>
<th>2005 enrol. (deviation)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Glasshouse</td>
<td>24,640 (0.5%)</td>
<td>32,166 (+12%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nanango</td>
<td>26,917 (+8%)</td>
<td>30,183 (+5.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nicklin</td>
<td>25,540 (+3%)</td>
<td>35,837 (+24.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pumicestone</td>
<td>22,979 (-7%)</td>
<td>32,624 (+13%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Glasshouse will be average. It is expected to grow above the preferred 10%. Nanango now has more electors than Glasshouse, but is not expected to grow as much. Pumicestone is now well below Glasshouse, but is expected to grow to exceed the 10% limit.

Information supplied by the Sunshine Coast Rural Landholders Association.
The Secretary
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE 9001
Fax. 3225 2601
Ph. 1800 801665

RE: PROPOSED QUEENSLAND ELECTORAL BOUNDARIES
REDISTRIBUTION
PROPOSED SEAT OF NANANGO

I would like to strongly voice my discontent at the proposed Queensland Electoral Boundaries Redistribution of the Seat of Nanango and the effect this will have on my community of Conondale.

The hinterland communities including my community of Conondale have no ties to the community of Kingaroy or the district of Nanango and feel it would be detrimental for the areas of Conondale, Crystal Waters, Witta, Belthorpe, Booroobin, Reeveville and Kenilworth to be included within these electoral boundaries.

I believe it would be beneficial for the proposed boundary between Glasshouse and Nanango to be redrawn to include the above communities in Glasshouse.

There is a strong community of interest between these communities and Maleny, the major town in the area. They are joined by geographic and topographic features and share communality and homogeneity through agricultural, pastoral and tourism industries. Maleny is the shopping, business, cultural and social centre of our area.

All these communities have little or no communality with Nanango. The mountains of the Great Dividing Range form a complete barrier to communication and communality with Nanango.

I hope you look favourably upon my objection and the suggested alternative.

Yours sincerely,

[Signature]

Address details: 117 Pinto Dr, Conondale 4552

ELECTORAL COMMISSION
QUEENSLAND

10 MAY 1999
RECEIVED
RE: PROPOSED QUEENSLAND ELECTORAL BOUNDARIES REDISTRIBUTION
PROPOSED SEAT OF NANANGO

I would like to strongly voice my discontent at the proposed Queensland Electoral Boundaries Redistribution of the seat of Nanango and the effect this will have on my community of Conondale.

The hinterland communities, including my community of Conondale, have no ties to the community of Kingaroy or the district of Nanango. I feel it would be very detrimental for the areas of Conondale, Crystal Waters, Witta, Belthorpe, Booroobin, Reesville and Kenilworth to be included within these electoral boundaries.

Conondale presently shares a close relationship with Maleny, not only for many of our services, but also culturally. Our local authorities are based on the coast and that is the direction that governs our lifestyle. It makes far more sense for Conondale to be included within the Glasshouse boundaries than within the boundaries on the other side of the Great Dividing Range.

Please find attached documentation of our community’s concerns as raised at the Conondale Community Forum, together with a suggested alternative for our area. Please reply to this letter.

Yours sincerely

Mrs Lyn Fellowes
CONONDALE COMMUNITY FORUM
4 MAY 1999

RESPONSE TO AMENDMENTS TO
PROPOSED QUEENSLAND ELECTORAL BOUNDARIES APRIL 1999

SEAT OF NANANGO

Attendees of the Conondale Community Forum held on 4 May raised the following fears and concerns regarding the proposed amendments to the Queensland Electoral Boundaries and the proposed Seat of Nanango and would like their strong opposition, highlighted in these concerns, to be brought to the attention of the Queensland Redistribution Commission and the State Electoral Commission.

Communication:

* Distance to communicate with Member of Parliament based in Kingaroy
* No direct transport route between Conondale and Kingaroy.
* Cost of STD telephone calls between Conondale and Kingaroy.
* Media representation of the area all based on the coast not in Kingaroy.
* If, as is likely, the local Member is not keen to come to us, we will have to go to him. Where is the quick, safe road to Kingaroy?
* If present proposal becomes fact, two electoral offices should be maintained by Member.
* Interest of a representative in Kingaroy in the issues of our local area would be limited.

Education:

* Local Member in Kingaroy has no knowledge of individual school communities.
* Schools can't easily get together.
* Children may be in different electorates i.e. high school and primary children.
* The costs associated with children being involved in small school activities such as sports, Tournament of Minds, etc., and travelling to participate in such activities within their district would be exorbitant.

Water:

* Rivers run different directions both sides of the hills ... totally different catchment areas i.e. Burnett/Mary.
* Residents in Nanango pay for all dam water .. particularly farmers.
* Our catchment areas affect the Coast not the other side of the range. How will our rivers in the dam issues be affected if our power base is burned?.
CONONDALF COMMUNITY FORUM 4 MAY 1999
RESPONSE TO AMENDMENTS TO
PROPOSED QUEENSLAND ELECTORAL BOUNDARIES APRIL 1999
SEAT OF NANANGO

Employment cont.:

* What Kingaroy needs regarding employment will not be identical to what Conondale needs.

Political Power:

* Priorities of Kingaroy area will be preferred to Conondale area ... much smaller number of voters.
* Will the elected representative spend time in our area?
* Conondale residents will have very little influence in the State Government sphere of operations

Electrical Power:

* Conondale is already on low priority during power problems - How will our needs be affected during periods of blackout, load sharing, etc, in relation to the needs of a much greater population base.

Emergency Services:

* Where are headquarters to be? How will both sides of the range bear up in emergency ... access?
* Will need to split organisation to cope with different situations.

Industrial Relations:

* Workers find work in high density populations on the Coast ... never further west.
* All Government offices and institutions relevant to us are situated on the Coast.

Roads:

* The impracticality of having isolated representation
* How will our priorities for roads fare against those determined on the bulk of the electorate which has a different geographical orientation?
* What about our current roads, bridges, culverts, etc. These need attention now! Kingaroy representative may not be able to visit to recognise problem in the event of flood, etc.
* How long would it take a representative from Kingaroy to impact on our roads?
* Government plans to allow "little used" roads to revert to dirt. will make it even harder to drive to
CONONDALE COMMUNITY FORUM 4 MAY 1999
RESPONSE TO AMENDMENTS TO
PROPOSED QUEENSLAND ELECTORAL BOUNDARIES APRIL 1999

Suggested Amendments to boundaries of the proposed new electorate of
GLASSHOUSE

An outline of Conondale

The small settlements of Conondale and Crystal Waters are enclosed within the ridges and valleys of the west side of the Maleny-Mapleton plateau.

Conondale has a long-time connection with Maleny. Both were developed simultaneously in the late 1800s-early 1900s for forestry and agricultural purposes. Maleny is the business, shopping, social, sporting and cultural centre of the area, with mail service to Conondale originating in Maleny and both sharing the same postcode.

There is only one shop, a convenience store/petrol pump in Conondale and one State Primary school. Most Conondale children attend Conondale State School and then carry on to either Maleny State High School or Kenilworth P-10 State School.

Conondale has little, if any, communality with Nanango. The mountains of the Great Dividing Range form a complete barrier to communication and communality. The road from Conondale passes through Maleny in one direction, then a long, circuitous route before assessing the towns and villages of Nanango electorate. In the other direction, the road from Conondale passes through Kenilworth and continues eastwards away from the Nanango electorate.

The proposal involves adding the communities of Witta, Conondale, Crystal Waters, Belthorpe and Booroobin into the electorate of GLASSHOUSE. The electorate now has 24,540 electors. The change effects approximately 2,000 electors. The lower end of the GLASSHOUSE electorate could be incorporated into the Coobalture end of Pumicestone.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proposed Electorate</th>
<th>1998 enrol. (deviation)</th>
<th>2005 enrol. (deviation)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Glasshouse</td>
<td>24,640 (0.5%)</td>
<td>32,166 (+12.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nanango</td>
<td>26,917 (+8.5%)</td>
<td>30,183 (+5.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nicklin</td>
<td>25,540 (+3%)</td>
<td>35,837 (+24.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pumicestone</td>
<td>22,979 (-7%)</td>
<td>32,624 (+13%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Glasshouse will be average. It is expected to grow above the preferred 10%. Nanango now has more electors than Glasshouse, but is not expected to grow as much. Pumicestone is now well below Glasshouse, but is expected to grow to exceed the 10% limit.

Information supplied by the Sunshine Coast Rural Landholders Association.
RE: PROPOSED QUEENSLAND ELECTORAL BOUNDARIES REDISTRIBUTION

PROPOSED SEAT OF NANANGO

I wish to voice my discontent at the proposed Queensland Electoral Boundaries Redistribution for the seat of Nanango and highlight the negative effect this will have on the community of Conondale.

The Hinterland communities, including Conondale, Crystal Waters, Witta, Belthorpe, Booroobin, Reesville and Kenilworth have no relevant ties with Nanango or Kingaroy and I believe it would be detrimental to my community of Conondale to be included within the proposed electoral boundaries.

There is no direct transport route between Conondale & Kingaroy.

Representation for our area is based in Maleny & on the Coast. Our agricultural focus is completely different from Kingaroy. We have a close affiliation with Maleny, but not with the other side of the Great Dividing Range. The State member in Kingaroy is unlikely to give major consideration to Conondale’s needs when we would represent such a small part of his electorate, especially one that is so far removed geographically. There is no logic to this proposal other than numbers. Preferable options, such as Conondale being included within Glasshouse Boundaries are far more sensible. Services, health, schools and transport all pose enormous problems for our community if decision-makers are located so far away from the issues at hand.

Our community may be small in numbers, but we have strong views about this proposal. Please consider the options as stated by the Conondale Community Forum held on 4th May 1999.

Please reply to this letter at your earliest convenience.

Yours sincerely

Ronald Trevor Fellowes
Geoffrey and Mary Smith,
Box 226 P.O.
Maleny, 4552

Residential Address, Oxenham Lane,
6th May, 1999

The Secretary,
Qld Redistribution Commission,
Locked Bag 3300,
BRISBANE, 9001

Sir,

We wish to register our protest against the proposal to change our electoral status, without our knowledge or consent. As we do not take the newspapers, it was only by chance that we heard about this yesterday.

It seems ridiculous to move a whole section of voters from their natural area of interest to one so far away. There is a mountain range between this area and Nanango, the watershed is completely different as is the focus of the farming community. This area is part of the Sunshine Coast Hinterland and Nanango is quite remote from us.

Should we wish to speak to a local member in this proposed new area, we would have at least a four-hour drive one way. Any telephone calls would be long distance. Therefore all electors would be severely inconvenienced, almost to the point of being disenfranchised! Perhaps the taxpayer would be expected to pay up for a second electoral office in this immediate area?

Therefore we must stress our opposition to this plan.

Geoff Smith

Mary Smith

cc Peter Wellington
7 May 1999

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE 9001

Re: Proposed changes to State electoral boundary of Nicklin

My family and I live in Witta, a suburb of Maleny, an 8 minute drive away. Witta only has a general store and a sports ground, so Maleny is in reality our local community and shopping centre. We shop, bank, work, dine out, go to school, visit the library, seek entertainment, socialise, volunteer in community projects, etc. in Maleny. And now we are faced with the ludicrous decision to split Witta (and surrounding areas also dependent on Maleny) and place it in the State seat of Nanango. You have got to be joking!!!

As far as everyday communal living goes - we have nothing in common with Esk, Blackbut, Kingaroy and Wamuran. Indeed, the only time we even "visit" these places is when we go for a holiday to the Bunya Mountains.

When a local (ie. affecting Maleny) issue arises that affects our family, we would have to petition the Member for Nicklin to have a say, as "our" Member (ie. for Nanango) would have no jurisdiction over Maleny.

This decision in effect takes away our democratic voice - as the Member representing us would have no jurisdiction over the areas that affect our day to day lives. Should we wish to petition the Member for Nanango to intervene on our behalf, what support would the people of Esk lend? They would not have a clue as to what we were even talking about!! In fact, a phone call to "our local member", the Member for Nanango, would be an STD call!! Is this the aim of such a decision - to divide and conquer?? Taking away what little say we have will only generate great resistance!!

We recently attended a local community tree plant on the outskirts of Maleny along with many other residents from the areas of Witta, Reesville, Conondale, Booroobin and Maleny and worked side by side with our local member Peter Wellington. Would the member for Nanango attend a community event in Maleny and rub shoulders with his/her constituents? Would the member for Nanango come and speak to the Maleny Chamber of Commerce which includes many business and trades people from the areas of Conondale and Witta?
Should our local paper - The Range News (originating in Maleny and distributed to Conondale, Witta, Landsborough, Montville, Flaxton, Mapleton, etc.) cover issues affecting the people of Nicklin and/or the people of Nanango??

The local Ananda Marga River School on Bridge Creek Road would end up in Nanango with many of the student's parents in Nicklin - which Member would represent the school??

Why do we feel that someone took a map and drew lines on it without a thought to the communities they were dividing down the middle?

*Please re-consider, please consult the local community, and please get it right!! We are not against change, when it is for the betterment of all.*

*We have enough to do in our lives without constantly having to ensure our rights are not eroded by bureaucrats!!*

Sincerely

[Signatures]

Peter & Patricia Brunton

[Stamp: Electoral Commission Queensland 10 May 1999]
YAROOMBA PROGRESS ASSOCIATION INC
19 Wunununga Crescent
Yaroomba 4573
6th May 1999

President: Basil Page  54462115
Secretary: Warwick Peters  54463098

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
Brisbane 9001

Dear Sirs,

SUBMISSION re PROPOSED QUEENSLAND ELECTORAL BOUNDARIES
NCCSA/ MAROONCHYDOR

We note with concern the fragmented nature of the proposed new boundary which effectively splits Yaroomba in two and leaves its residents dealing with separate representatives.

Yaroomba is an important and independent area in its own right and it includes the large Hyatt Resort. It has always enjoyed a community of interest in the Coolum/Noosa district and has little association with Maroochydore decision making.

If it is considered for genuine numerical reasons that the boundary cannot remain as is south of Mount Coolum (which is still our preferred option) it is suggested it at least be moved to the simpler and more direct boundary between Yaroomba and Mount Coolum which takes in Tanah Streets East and West, Toolga Street, South Coolum Road through to the Motorway. This would at least avoid most of the complexities and fragmentation in the proposed route.

Yours faithfully

[Signature]

President

This letter was also Faxed today 6th May.
6 May, 1999

The Qld Redistribution Commissioner
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE 9001

Dear Commissioner

Re: The Proposed Redistribution Nicklin/Glasshouse.

I refer to the above and hereby lodge my objection to the proposed southern boundary of the electorate of Nicklin.

I believe that under Section 46(1) of the Electoral Act, the Commission is required to give consideration to the community of economic, social, regional and other interests within each proposed electoral district. It would appear that the Commission has neglected to do this in determining the southern boundary of Nicklin.

Eudlo has, as you will be aware, been divided into both Nicklin and Glasshouse electorates, as has the township of Mooloolah.

All of the electorate of Nicklin falls within the shire of Maroochy, that is with the exception of the small part of Mooloolah that has been thrown into this electorate.

The town of Eudlo is part of the Maroochy Shire and I believe is the only part of Maroochy Shire to be included in the electorate of Glasshouse.

This cut off part of Eudlo does not share a community of interest with the proposed Glasshouse electorate and I believe would not receive fair representation at a State level.

I therefore respectfully request that you adjust the boundary to follow the Maroochy Shire/Caloundra City boundary. Thus meeting your requirements for a community of interest for both Mooloolah and Eudlo.

Yours sincerely

Mr MJ O’Flynn
Dear Sir,

OBJECTION TO PROPOSED ELECTORAL REDISTRIBUTION
AT EUDLO

We would like to register an objection against the inclusion of the western part of the Eudlo district in the proposed electorate of Glasshouse, on the following grounds.

1 The Eudlo community on both the eastern and western side of the railway, centred around the Eudlo township, comprises of a unique precinct, which will be divided into two parts, if the proposed electoral boundary is to run along the railway. Up to this time, the character and identity of the precinct has been preserved by the involvement of residents from both sides of the railway, who have had a sense of common community goals. It is suggested, that this sense of a common community involvement will be undermined and that unified representation on state government issues will be eroded, by the division of the community into separate electoral districts, if the proposed electoral boundary is located along the railway.

Examples of community involvement in the Eudlo area are:

1.1 The Local Area Plan, which is an initiative of the Maroochy Shire Council as part of the Council's Strategic Plan. The Local Area Plan has been specially formulated, after a series of consultations with the Eudlo residents from both the town and surrounding district, to give direction to the future of Eudlo and retain its unique character.

1.2 The Eudlo Landcare Group, which is a voluntary organisation made up of local area residents, dedicated to the enhancement of the natural vegetation areas, in and around Eudlo.

1.3 The Eudlo Bush Fire Brigade, whose role is obvious.

2 The main access routes from the Eudlo area are northwards and easterly to centres in Maroochy Shire and Sunshine Coast generally. The connectivity to the south from Eudlo towards Glasshouse is poor; the alignment and standard of the roads being sub-standard.

Most residents commute to do business, work and shop in the towns to the north and the east of Eudlo, i.e. Palmwoods, Woombye, Nambour and Maroochydore, which are in the opposite direction to the Glasshouse district. The proposed Electorate of Glasshouse, in which it is intended to include the western part of Eudlo, will extend southwards to Caboolture, an area which has its centre of focus at Caboolture, rather than the Sunshine Coast hinterland.
By its very nature the topography of the Eudlo area is more similar to the area to the north than it is to the Glasshouse region. Eudlo is situated on the southern end of the Blackall Ranges, which lie in a north south direction in the Sunshine Coast hinterland, starting in the Eudlo area and travelling in a northerly direction towards Palmwoods, Woombye, Nambour and Yandina.

The general pattern of the streams and catchments the Eudlo area is also towards the north east. Eudlo Creek, for instance; drains into the Maroochy River at Maroochydore.

At the present time Eudlo is included in a Sunshine Coast electorate and is represented as part of that community, where state government matters are concerned. If, as is proposed, the eastern and western parts of Eudlo are to be in different electoral districts, the representations to the state government will loose impact and co-ordination.

In conclusion, it is suggested that a more practical location for the northern boundary of the proposed Electorate of Glasshouse would be the at the Maroochy Shire/Caloundra City boundary to the south of Eudlo, and that whole of Eudlo be included in the proposed Electorate of Nicklin.

Yours faithfully

W D Hodge & W E Hodge

11/12/77

QR 836

ELECTORAL COMMISSION
QUEENSLAND
10 MAY 1999
RECEIVED
Dear Sir / Madam,

Re: Proposed change to electoral boundary - transfer from Nicklin

We were not aware of the radical changes to the State seat in which our School is located.

We are also not even now specifically aware of whether the changes proposed affect the area in which the School is located, but suspect that it is, based on a recent description reported in a local weekly newspaper.

Our campus is located, as shown at the head of this letter on Maleny Stanley River Road, Booroobin. The campus is some 12.5 kms from Maleny on the northern side of the road.

Our Students are drawn from the Landsborough, Maleny, Witta, Conondale and Crystal Waters areas. Our natural base is the Maleny district.

We do not agree with any proposed change in the electoral boundaries which splits the responsibility for the communities in the above areas. The changes that appear to have been proposed must simply take account of numbers of electors, because it certainly has no bearing whatsoever on either the communities which are integral to this district or the natural topography of the area and which delineates the areas in which people live.

Conondale, Witta, Reasville, Booroobin, Cedarton, Maleny (and possibly to a lesser extent Bellthorpe) people, issues, problems, associations, roads, Policing, health, environments are all related and form part of the greater Maleny district. They should in no way be divided into separate State electorates and therefore in terms of State government representation.

Electorate boundaries must take account of the homogeneity of communities. State Forests and National Parks in this area more clearly define and separate the communities in the region.

If enrolled electors are the issue, then for an electorate based in Nanango, the Commission ought to look either north, south or west of that town. There is simply no connection in any way between Nanango, Kingaroy or the communities in that area with this area.
addition, the west of Great Dividing Ranges location of the centre of the proposed electorate of Nanango will mean that these areas will be absolutely peripheral to the interests of anyone living in the larger balance of such an electorate.

Based on the information available to us, the Commission ought to include the above locales into the new electorate of Glasshouse. Once again if numbers of electors are the issue, then areas to the south and west of the proposed new electorate of Glasshouse ought to be transferred to other electorates.

Please note our objection to any change or redistribution which splits Conondale, Witta, Reesville, Booroobin, Cedarton, Maleny into separate electorates.

Yours faithfully,

Derek Sheppard
Administration Clerk
April 7, 1999

ELECTORAL COMMISSION
QUEENSLAND

10 MAY 1999
RECEIVED

The Booroobin School is a democratic place of learning in which the following principles apply:
Equal status is given to Students and Staff; a Curriculum which is determined by the interests of Students and Staff with Equal status being given to all learning pursuits; Individual Responsibility; and that Learning is best fostered by self-motivation, self-regulation and self-assessment.

These principles are drawn from the Sudbury Valley School model of education (www.sudval.org/).
Dear Sir,

We wish to ask you to reconsider including us in the proposed New electorate of Glasshouse.

We have absolutely no interest in connections with Caboolture and surrounding districts and we would be separated from our community interests in Ninderry.

Could the new Ninderry boundary be where the Maroochy Shire boundary is in respect to Ninderry?

Yours Sincerely,

M.H. O'Flynn

F.J. O'Flynn
The Queensland Redistribution Commission,  
Locked Bag 3300,  
BRISBANE, Qld. 9001.

Dear Sir/Madam,

We strongly object to the township of Eudlo being divided into two electorates by the proposed new Electorate of Glasshouse.

Please note:

Section 46(1) of the Act

The Commission must give consideration to the following matters when drawing the boundaries of proposed electoral districts:

a) The extent to which there is a community of economic, social, regional or other interest within each proposed electoral district.

b) The ways of communication and travel within each proposed electoral district.

c) The physical features of each proposed electoral district.

d) Boundaries of existing electoral districts.

Section 46(2) of the Act

The commission may also consider the boundaries of local government areas to the extent that it is satisfied that there is a community of economic, social, regional or other interests within each local government area.

Yours faithfully,

S. Newman

E. Newman

10 MAY 1999
Mrs T Aurisch  
Secretary  
Re- Distribution Commission  
Locked Bag 3300  
BRISBANE 9001.

Dear Madam,

I wish to strongly object to the proposed changes to the electorate boundaries involving my place of residence at West Chermside.

As you may not be aware we have a lot more in common with the Aspley area than Chermside/Stafford area.

The regular public transport between Aspley and the city passes my door, so it is easier for me to be involved in the Aspley community and Schools and recreational facilities.

This is a great area to live in and I feel strongly apposed to be moved into an electorate in which I have no interest.

I feel that Hamilton road should remain the boundary in your new proposal.

Yours Sincerely,

Susan Orwell.

[Signature]
FROM: Queensland Redistribution Commission
TO: Locked Bag 3300
     BRISBANE QLD 9001
     Ph. 1800 801 665
     Fax. 07 3229 7391

QRC 1085 840

OLD REDISTRIBUTION COMMISSION

Please accept this document from me and treat it as my official objection to the Electorate Redistribution of the Warrego District.

I object most strongly to the proposed redistribution for the following reasons:

1. I believe that the Commission has not seriously considered Charleville's connection to the East Coast. Presently nearly all Government direction, information and departmental contacts come from Roma or Brisbane. The current boundaries allow us to have a say through these easily accessible avenues in regard to our future. I feel the new proposed boundary will hinder our voice in this regard. I also feel that this applies to both transport and freight facilities which run the same eastern route from Brisbane e.g. If Charleville needs Government Representation regarding rail facilities I believe we would be disadvantaged because of the proposed future Parliament Members offices lie on the Longreach to Rockhampton line causing a conflict of interest.

2. It is my belief that the commissions' computer has worked with only population figures with no regard to geographical distances and productivity of the areas involved. I feel that Charleville will be chopped out of the Warrego Electorate and stuck with Gregory to make up numbers at the expense of our needs and access to facilities.

Please consider:

1. Adding 34,000 km² to Gregory Electorate is a large piece of Queensland for the minister to cover (it is not a drive from the Sunshine Coast to Brisbane). I feel Charleville will be disadvantaged due to the geographical remoteness of our town. In fact we will not be close to or within the heavier populated areas of the Gregory Electorate where at present I am situated in an area where towns are of approximately the same population and share the same geographical needs. We presently share and compete on a common basis for access to existing facilities - which is definitely more preferable.

2. I would like the Commission to consider moving the boundaries north not south to keep the electorate of Warrego uniform with its town and Councils having similar population and needs. Tacking a large, sparsely populated area on to the extreme boundary edge of an electorate that has its most heavily populated areas over 600km away would critically hinder the smaller populated areas in all Government matters. (No equality due to different needs of the areas within the proposed Gregory Boundary changes.)

3. As Warrego electors' needs will remain, their demand for direct access to their member will not diminish. Therefore, it is going to cost the Member (taxpayer) considerable extra cost in travel and accommodation. Therefore, again, it would make economic sense to allow a third electoral office in Charleville. In the event of boundary changes going ahead this would be imperative.

Thanking you for the opportunity to appeal against these changes.

[Signature]

CHARLEVILLE RADIO & TV

ELECTORAL COMMISSION

QUEENSLAND

10 MAY 1999
Dear Sir,

Re: Objection – Proposed Redistribution of Boundaries – Electoral Districts

Council, at its meeting held on 4 May 1999, considered the proposed redistribution of boundaries as detailed in the Queensland Government Gazette dated 9 April 1999.

Pursuant to section 48(1)(b) of the Electoral Act 1992, Council resolved to lodge an Objection, on the basis that the electoral boundaries as proposed do not provide the residents of Caboolture Shire with a series of future seats, which are in keeping with the community of interests in the Shire for urban and rural sectors.

Council, on behalf of the residents of the Shire, endeavours to seek the creation of State electoral boundaries that best reflect the community of economic, social, regional, or other interests that are relevant to the residents for the delivery of state services and infrastructure based on electoral boundaries.

It is further considered that the proposed boundaries of Glasshouse and Pumicestone do not accurately reflect the community of interest of the urban corridor of Caboolture – Morayfield. The boundaries divide the community in a rather illogical manner, that do not relate to geographic or topographic features such as the Caboolture River (as currently is the case). Similarly the rural communities of the Shire share little in common with the electors of an area of the South Burnett.

A copy of Council's Minutes outlining the electoral boundaries within Caboolture Shire and an explanation relating to proposed boundaries is attached as support.

Yours faithfully,

[Signature]

ROB NOBLE
CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER

[Stamp: ELECTORAL COMMISSION QUEENSLAND 10 MAY 1999]
2.1.15 NEW STATE ELECTORAL BOUNDARIES

Responsible Officer: MANAGER - ENVIRONMENT & DEVELOPMENT

Attachments: Commencing Page 51

File Reference: ENVD 600/1

Executive Summary

Council has until the 10 May 1999 to determine whether it wishes to make a submission to the Queensland Redistribution Commission about the proposed new electoral boundaries. The Shire is currently divided into five (5) electorates and the new boundaries will create six (6). How the Shire has been divided is of concern from the aspect of the electorates having a community interest.

Report

The Commission considered the following matters in preparing the boundaries:-

(a) The quota of electors: on Monday 21 December 1998 the Commission determined that the quota was 24,769 electors.

(b) A margin of allowance whereby the quota may be departed from by not more than one tenth more (27,246) or one tenth less (22,292).

(c) In respect of a proposed electoral district of at least 100,000 square kilometres in area, the quota may be departed from in that case to an extent greater than one tenth less if the number of electors for that proposed electoral district is such that the sum of-

(i) the number of electors; and

(ii) a number that expresses the value of two per centum of the area of the proposed electoral district expressed in square kilometres;

equals the quota or conforms to the margin of allowance permitted to the Commission.

Subject to these matters, the Commission has considered:

(a) the extent to which there is a community of economic, social, regional or other interests within each proposed electoral district;

(b) the ways of communication and travel within each proposed electoral district;

(c) the physical features of each proposed electoral district; and

(d) the boundaries of existing electoral districts.

Under section 46(2) of the Act the Commission in preparing the proposed redistribution, was given a discretion, to consider the boundaries of local government areas to the extent that it is satisfied that there is a community of economic, social, regional or other interests within each local government area.

There are six electorates, briefly described as follows:-

Glasshouse – Enrolment 24,640

Within the Shire it covers west from the Bruce Highway and the Caboolture River out to D’Aguilar then up to the Woodford Glasshouse Road and then following the North East boundary of the Shire with Caloundra City. Therefore within the seat in the Shire are Caboolture town (north of the river), Wamuran, Eliimbah and D’Aguilar. Also in the electorate are the towns of Maleny, Mooloolaba, Landsborough and Beerburrum.
Comment
It is difficult to sustain an argument that there is a community of interest between the residents of the urban corridor of Caboolture experiencing rapid growth and urban development issues, and those of the rural villages of Maleny and the railway towns in Caloundra City.

Kurwongbah – Enrolment 27,224

This electorate is predominantly based on Pine Shire with the only area of Caboolture being west of Tinney Road, including parts of Upper Caboolture and Rocksberg.

Comment
Again the community of interest for the residents in these areas is more towards Caboolture and Morayfield then it is to Petrie and Pine Shire. There is no or limited road access into this area direct from Pine Shire, everyone comes through the Caboolture urban area to gain access.

Murrumba – Enrolment 24,129

This electorate stays relatively the same, and generally relates quite closely to Council’s new Division 6, except it excludes the area north of Uhlmann Road and west of Farrey Road.

Comment
As it relates to the Shire, the boundaries are probably acceptable.

Nanango – Enrolment 26,917

The western area of the Shire including Woodford and Mt Mee are now in a seat that also contains Esk, Blackbutt, Tarong, Kingaroy, Kenilworth, Mapleton and west of Eumundi.

Comment
While the area has a rural character to it, the eastern section of the seat would have different community of interest and economics to that of the area of the South Burnett in the middle and to the west of the electorate, which stretches on to the Darling Downs.

Narangba – Enrolment 23,524

The seat aligns with the southern section of Council’s Division 4 and all of Division 5, together with parts of Dakabin and Kallangur.

Comment
There are no problems with this proposal.

Pumicestone – Enrolment 22,979

This electorate contains all of Division 1 and Division 2 east of the Bruce Highway. It also includes a section between the Caboolture River in the north and a line roughly following Buchanan's Road, Walkers Road, Sheep Station Creek, Tinney Road through to the Caboolture River then back to the Bruce Highway.

Comment
This seat, apart from the section of Caboolture, Morayfield and Upper Caboolture is soundly based. The urban corridor area and the area to the west have little relationship to that of Bribie Island and the coastal villages.
Financial Implications
There is little or no financial implication to Council for having six (6) Members of Parliament.

Conclusion
It is considered appropriate that Council should, on behalf of the residents of the Shire, endeavour to seek the creation of State electoral boundaries that best reflect the community of economic, social, regional, or other interests that are relevant to the residents for the delivery of state services and infrastructure based on electoral boundaries.

It is considered that the proposed boundaries of Glasshouse and Pumicestone do not accurately reflect the community of interest of the urban corridor of Caboolture – Morayfield. The boundaries divide the community in a rather illogical manner, that do not relate to geographic or topographic features such as Caboolture River (as currently is case). Similarly the rural communities of the Shire share little in common with the electors of an area of the South Burnett.

Recommendation
That Council object to the electoral boundaries as proposed on the basis that they do not provide the residents of Caboolture Shire with a series of future seats, which are in keeping with the community of interests in the Shire for urban and rural electors.

Council Resolution

2.1.15 NEW STATE ELECTORAL BOUNDARIES

Moved by Cr C Minetti and seconded by Cr S Grubb that the recommendation be adopted with the addition of the following:

...a copy of this report be sent to the State Electoral Office.

AMENDMENT

Moved by Cr J McNaught and seconded by Cr L Devereaux that:

1. **GLASSHOUSE** - Boundary of Narangba/Glasshouse be relocated to the Kilcoy/Beerwah Road, thus retaining Woodford in the electorate;

2. **KURWONGBAH** - Areas to the west of Tinney Road, including parts of Upper Caboolture and Rocksberg be relocated to Narangba;

3. **PUMICESTONE** - The electorate of Pumicestone, with the inclusion of the area of Morayfield and Caboolture, was not considered desirable.

The amendment was **LOST**.

The Original Motion was **PUT** and **CARRIED**.

**ATTENDANCE**

At 12.05pm, the Manager Community Services (Mr O C Simon) attended the meeting.
10 May 1999

Dear Miss,

I have followed the boundary changes for the Emporium in Queensland very closely and note with dismay that my own Electorate of Redland is affected. As shown on the map, it would seem to me that to have the boundary divide end up on Cambridge Road near the Browns Plains/Crestmead area makes little sense to me. Instead of

The Boundary Commission

Ref: 1616 Memorial House
160 Mary St
Brisbane Q 4000

QRC:035 842
I believe it would be for better to have the
three projectionists included in the one
Community

I trust that you will take my suggestion on
board.

Yours sincerely,

Wayne de Graaf

Wayne de Graaf
The Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

Queensland Electoral Redistribution

I note that the town of Kenilworth is proposed to be included in the new electorate of Nanango along with other small towns situated in the Mary Valley, Brooloo and Conondale.

I wish to strenuously object to this arrangement on the grounds that the towns of Kenilworth, Brooloo and Conondale have no community of interest with the towns in the Nanango area and the area shown as included in the proposed electorate. Rather they are physically, commercially and socially connected to the areas of Nambour, Gympie, Maroochydore and the Sunshine Coast Hinterland area.

In addition:

1. These three towns rely for their major commerce on the coastal towns of Gympie, Nambour and Maleny. They have no direct road connection with the Nanango area and no business or social connection with that area.

2. For these towns the Health Support Systems are all based in Nambour, Maleny or Gympie. Such services as hospitals, specialist services and aged facilities are all located East of our towns, never in a Westward direction.

3. Gaining access to the Parliamentarian for the area would be very difficult as road access all run in an Easterly direction. Likewise, servicing this area would prove difficult for the elected member.

I therefore, request that the redistribution of electoral boundaries include the towns of Kenilworth, Brooloo and Conondale in an area based on the Coast.

Sincerely

[Signature]

Name Errol C White
Address PO BOX 171
Date 10-5-89

KENILWORTH 4574
2 May 1999

The Secretary
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE. QLD. 9001.

Dear Sir,

RE: Objection to the proposed redistribution of the Caboolture Electorate

My objections to the Redistribution as outlined in the Queensland Redistribution Commission – Publications of Proposals published in April 1999 are as follows:

My first objection is outlined under Section 46(1) of the Electoral Act, which gives consideration to the following matters when drawing up the boundaries of the proposed electoral districts:

(a) the extent to which there is a community of economic, social, regional, or other interests within each proposed electoral district;

(b) the ways of communication and travel within each proposed electoral district

(c) the physical features of each proposed electoral district

(d) the boundaries of existing electoral districts

Whilst I agree that there was a definite need to create a new seat within the Sunshine Coast area, centered on the Caboolture Electorate. I cannot understand any rationale behind the splintering of the Mount Mee, D'Aguilar, Delaney’s Creek, and Woodford areas from the newly created seat of Glasshouse. This new seat should not have been renamed, but should have retained the electorate name of Caboolture, the major community of interest in the electorate.

These areas still fall under the control of the Caboolture Shire Council and are definitely tied economically, socially and regionally to the Caboolture Township. Transport and travel is from these centers to Caboolture, for work purposes, or to the major rail, road, and bus routes to Brisbane.

The major centers for Government Agencies for these area's is Caboolture, with State Transport Department, Department of Natural Resources, Family Services Department, CES, Social Security Department, and Telstra just to name a few centered in Caboolture.

E-mail: caboolture@parliament.qld.gov.au
The Caboolture Magistrates Court caters for the arrests made by Police, Coroners Inquests, and other legal matters from these areas as well. The communities of Woodford, D’Aguilar, Delaney’s Creek, and Mount Mee are well and truly tied to the major center in this area, Caboolture.

The business center of the township of Caboolture, and the four (4) Commercial and Industrial centers of Caboolture are also the major employers of the Shire. The fruit and vegetable farming community of Mount Mee is connected in many ways to the township of Wamuran, in the Caboolture electorate through the Wamuran Co-operative.

With the communities of Woodford, D’Aguilar, Delaney’s Creek, and Mount Mee, included in the Caboolture Electorate, there was no need whatsoever to go as far north in the electorate of Glasshouse to take portions of the Maleny Area Council, Nambour Shire Council, Noosa Shire Council, and Maroochydore Shire Council. If the redistribution had been taken only as far north as Landsborough, and followed the Landsborough – Maleny Road to the Maleny Stanley River, across to Peachester, and followed the Caboolture – Kileey Shire Council Boundary along Mary Smokes Creek following that creek back through to the Stanley River. Then coming back through to Mount Mee and the Zillman Creek, and the Caboolture River.

If this had been followed the seat would only consist of two (2) Shire Councils, all the remaining Caboolture Shire Council area, with only a portion of the Caloundra City Council. The Community of interest would still focus on Caboolture and there would have been no necessity to rename the seat, and the expected growth would still be in the area outlined above.

In the seat of Glasshouse as designed, I cannot fathom the necessity to suddenly jut into the old Noosa Electorate in that funny triangular section, where it juts off the North Coast railway Line, runs along the Mooloolah River, and jumps back up the south Mooloolah River, back onto the North Coast Railway.

I cannot see, and neither can the Caboolture Shire Council see the Caboolture Township the main feature in this area, taken into account as the major community of interest. This redistribution has simply splintered the entire community.

My second point of Objection, is as follows:

Section 46(2) of the Electoral Act 1992 states that:

"may also consider the boundaries of local Government areas to the extent that it is satisfied that there is a community of economic, social, regional or other interests within each local government area."

As I have pointed out in the above paragraphs, my contention is that I cannot see where the Local Government Boundaries have been taken into account at all. Caboolture Shire Council Boundaries have been splintered into the Electorates of Nanango, Glasshouse, Pomona, Kurwongbah, Naranba, and Murrumbah.
If the redistribution placed the Woodford area to Mount Mee in the Caboolture Electorate the majority of the Caboolture Shire Council would be catered for in the seat of Glasshouse, which could still hold the name of Caboolture as the electorate name.

If the name change did occur this would allow the majority of the Caboolture Shire Council to be contained in the two (2) Electorates of Glasshouse and Pumicestone and maintain the community of interest principles of the EARC recommendations.

As pointed out above the portions of the Caboolture Shire Council that have been annexed into the Nanango Electorate have little to do with that regional area, but are connected economically, and socially with the Caboolture area.

Looking forward to a positive response to the objections as outlined

Bill Feldman MLA
Member for Caboolture
Qld Parliamentary Leader Pauline Hanson’s One Nation.
South West Regional Development Association Inc.

PO Box 57
Quilpie Q 4480

Phone (07) 46561133
Fax (07) 46561441

10 May 1999

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE 4001

Dear Sir,

Re: Proposed Queensland Electoral Distribution

The Board of the South West Regional Development Association was formed in 1992 and represents Local Government, business, industry and community interests in the Shires of Paroo, Murweh, Quilpie and Bulloo.

The Board areas covers 232604sq k.ms in the South West of the State and electorally is covered by Warrrego.

The proposed changes to Queensland's Electoral boundaries was discussed at a recent Board meeting in Cunnamulla.

The Board lodges an objection to changes proposed for Warrrego and Gregory on the following basis:

(a) Community of Economic, Social and Regional Interests
(b) Communication and Travel

Traditionally our links with the broader community in terms of (a) and (b) are east-west and it appears odd that electorally at least they will now become north-south.

Furthermore, apart from the area covered by the Board now being electorally split, the proposed electoral district of Warrrego apart from being extremely large and difficult to service now contains three large urban centres Longreach, Emerald and Charleville at the extremes of the proposed electorate which would place an additional burden on the member in terms of area to service but poses the question where does he/she site the electoral offices given that only two are allowed?

Therefore, it is our conclusion that electorally we could be best served by making no change to the current structure and I look to your comments in due course.

Yours faithfully,

[Signature]

Ges Niesler
SECRETARY
Submission suggesting a change in the proposed electoral boundary of Ipswich West.

The proposed boundaries of the state electorate of Ipswich West are confusing to the residents of the traditional suburb of Churchill.

This submission suggests that the old established suburb of Churchill be returned to the electorate of Ipswich West.

This would correct the present situation under which the area bounded by Deebing Creek and the old Ipswich City Council boundary is removed from its traditional home.

This would remove the confusion caused by the fact that boundaries in this area are different for State and Federal elections.

It would also make more sense in terms of the common social and community interests of the residents of Churchill. It is only in the state electoral sphere that churchill is divided in this way from the neighbouring community with which it shares such facilities as shopping centres and churches. There are a number of community groups where this confusion would be relevant.

I understand that there are less than 1400 voters in the Churchill area affected. It would be a fair exchange for an area in the Bundamba area with a similar number of voters was added to Ipswich Central to compensate for this correction.

This change would reduce confusion caused by conflicting boundaries and reinforce the existing community ties that bind Churchill to the facilities and organisations in Ipswich West.

Yours faithfully, [Signature]

[Address]

11 Derby Court, Yamanto, 4305.
The Secretary.
c/o 91, Elouera Drive.
Yandina. 4561.
8.5.99.

Dear Sir/ Madam,

This is to inform you that Yandina & District Community
Association intend to make a submission regarding boundary changes in this
area.

As our next meeting is on Tuesday 11th May and submissions are due
in on Monday 10th. May, we would ask that an extension to this submission
deadline be granted.

The redistribution affects Yandina residents considerably, notably
the inclusion of the Western side of the district being included in the
Nanango electorate.

Hoping this extension will be granted.

Yours sincerely,

Meg J. Woods.

Meg J. Woods.
Secretary. Y.A.D.C.A.

Queensland Redistribution Commission.
Locked Bag 3300.
Brisbane. 9001.
The Liberal Party of Australia
QUEENSLAND DIVISION

10 May 1999

The Secretary
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Floor 6
Forestry House
160 Mary Street
Brisbane 4000

Dear Mrs Aurisch

Please find enclosed objections on behalf of the Liberal Party of Australia (Queensland Division) to the proposed redistribution of Queensland electorate boundaries.

Should you require any further information please don’t hesitate to contact me.

Yours sincerely

[Signature]
Graham Jakeschke
State Director

25 O’Connell Terrace, Bowen Hills Qld. 4006  PO Box 216, Lutwyche Qld. 4030  Telephone (07) 3252 1316  Facsimile (07) 3252 3508
OBJECTIONS TO

PROPOSED ELECTORAL REDISTRIBUTION

FROM

LIBERAL PARTY OF AUSTRALIA
(QLD DIVISION)

10 MAY 1999
INTRODUCTION

The Liberal Party offers its broad support to the proposed electoral boundaries released by the Queensland Redistribution Commission.

However, there are a number of objections made that the Party believes would give greater effect to the intentions of the Electoral Act as well as serving the interests of relevant electors.

These are detailed below. Suggested changes are discussed according to particular electorate groupings.

A. ASPLEY, EVERTON, FERNY GROVE, KURWONGBAH AND STAFFORD

Two anomalies exist in relation to the proposed seat of Aspley - one which was created in the 1991 redistribution and another which has emerged from the current proposals.

At the same time the Liberal Party supports the inclusion of Zillmere in Nudgee. This area is more closely associated with suburbs to the east and has clear commercial and retail links in that direction.

However, further changes are suggested which are intended to use suburban boundaries and major traffic corridors as boundaries between electorates.

Firstly, despite the removal of some 1400 electors from the Murrumba Downs and Griffin areas to Murrumba, Aspley retains nearly 3000 electors from the Strathpine and Lawnton areas of Pine Rivers Shire. This is less than 12 per cent of the total enrolments.

There is no doubt that Aspley is a Brisbane-oriented seat. The addition of this area is inconsistent with the electorate’s character, causes unnecessary inconvenience and creates confusion. Residents are required to drive through the neighbouring electorate of Kurwongbah if they wish to travel from the Brisbane section of the electorate to the Pine Rivers section.

Ironically, electors of Aspley have to drive past the electorate office of the Member of Kurwongbah situated in Gympie Road, Strathpine to enter the northern section of the Aspley electorate. Clearly, this is confusing to residents of the Strathpine area.

There is no doubt that Strathpine and Lawnton are integral parts of the Pine Rivers area while Strathpine itself is the commercial and retail centre of the shire. Its annexation to a Brisbane-based electorate is difficult to justify. The attached photographs demonstrate that Gympie Road is an artificial boundary at this point and unnecessarily divides what is the indisputable centre of the district.

That part of Strathpine included in Aspley constitutes the older part of the suburb and was part of the original “Little Aspley” subdivision. Consequently, the Pine Rivers State High School is located in the area. However, most of the school’s students reside in the Kurwongbah electorate in localities such as Petrie, Joyner, Whiteside, Kurwongbah, Kallangur and even
Dayboro. The same situation applies to the Pine Rivers Special School with the bulk of students residing outside Aspley.

There is no justification for retaining this area in Aspley.

The second anomaly relates to the changes to the boundary of Aspley and the proposed electorate of Stafford. An unnecessary change in this area has resulted in the division of suburbs between two electorates and unnecessary dislocation for electors.

It is contended that the entire suburb of Chermside should be included in the Stafford electorate. This would ensure the suburb retains a distinct community of interest and that the entire Chermside Business District Zone is located in the one electorate - Stafford.

The Liberal Party believes that the inclusion of all of Chermside in Stafford should be offset by the inclusion of parts of Chermside West in Aspley. Making Hamilton Road and Webster Road the boundary between both seats reflects developments within the area.

Recent upgrading of roads in the area reflect the Brisbane City Council’s policy of making Hamilton Road, rather than Rode Road, the principal east-west transport corridor.

With these changes in mind a series of transfers between five electorates which enhance the character of all seats involved should be undertaken.

It is proposed that:

- **Strathpine - Lawnton** be transferred from Aspley to Kurwongbah, an area with which it is closely related.

- **Eatons Hill - Cashmere** be transferred from Kurwongbah to Ferny Grove.

  This will result in a consolidation of Kurwongbah’s character as a more closely settled electorate and will include in Ferny Grove more expanding outer urban areas.

- **Parts of Mitchelton - Keperra** are transferred from Ferny Grove to Everton.

  Ferny Grove should become a seat centred on emerging urban-rural areas while Everton should become an electorate of more settled suburbs. The addition of these Brisbane suburbs will promote this as well as enhancing Ferny Grove’s character as a Pine Rivers Shire based seat.

- **McDowall** (currently in Everton and Stafford) be transferred to Aspley.

  This area is closely associated with Bridgeman Downs in Aspley and has a clear affinity with the Brisbane suburbs to the east rather than the Pine Rivers Shire to the west.

- **Chermside West** transferred from Stafford to Aspley.

  This restores an existing boundary.

- **Chermside** transferred from Aspley to Stafford.

  This ensures that all of Chermside remains within the one electorate.
ASPLEY

Proposed Enrolments
Less CCD 3180605 - B,
3180607, 3180802 - 807,
3180809 (Strathpine)

25361

Plus CCD 3220701 - A - B,
3220704 - 707
(McDowell)

2975

22386

Plus CCD 3220601 - A
3220702
(Chermside West)

2767

25153

Less CCD 3220501 - 502
(Chermside)

26391

Less CCD 3220501 - 502
(Chermside)

1045

25346

KURWONGBAH

Proposed Enrolment
Plus Strathpine

27224

2975

30199

Less CCD 3180301-302,
3180308-310A, 3180311
(Etons Hill, Cashmere)

3238

26961

FERNY GROVE

Proposed Enrolments
Plus Etons Hill, Cashmere

26644

3238

29882

Less CCD 3230407-410A,
3230411, 3230304-306
(Mitchelton, Keperra)

3064

26818
**EVERTON**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proposed Enrolments</th>
<th>25513</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Plus Mitchelton, Keppera</td>
<td>3064</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>28577</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Less McDowell</th>
<th>2767</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>25810</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If the Commission rejects the suggestion in relation to the removal of Strathpine from Aspley, the charge in relation to Chermside and Chermside West can still proceed. In this scenario a small part of McDowell would be transferred from Stafford to Everton to join the remainder of that suburb. All three seats would then fall within quota.

**ASPELEY**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proposed Enrolment</th>
<th>25361</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Plus CCD 3220601 - A</td>
<td>627</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Chermside)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>25988</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Less CCD 3220501-502 | 1045 |
| (Chermside)           |      |
| **Total**             | **24943** |

**STAFFORD**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proposed Enrolment</th>
<th>27130</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Plus Chermside</td>
<td>1045</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>28175</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Less Chermside West | 627 |
|                     |     |
| **Total**           | **27548** |

| Less 3220702 (McDowall) | 611 |
|                         |    |
| **Total**               | **26937** |

**EVERTON**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proposed Enrolment</th>
<th>25513</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Plus McDowall</td>
<td>611</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>26124</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Proposed changes in relation to Stafford should be read in conjunction with proposals outlined at H below in relation to Clayfield.
B. ASHGROVE, BRISBANE CENTRAL AND MOUNT COOT-THA

The Liberal Party believes that the Commission has made unnecessary changes in an effort to accommodate enrolment changes in inner-city areas.

Chief amongst these is the continuing separation of the suburbs of Wilston (now in Brisbane Central and proposed to remain there) and Grange (now in Kedron and to be added to Ashgrove). These suburbs have an association extending over many years and share common retailing, sporting, social and community links. That they have been divided is a matter of regret and the opportunity should be taken to restore both communities to the one electorate.

This change will result in the northern boundary of Brisbane Central extending to Kedron Brook rather than the somewhat arbitrary boundary which is proposed and will bisect the suburb of Grange. While this was done to meet enrolment requirements a better alternative exists.

The inclusion of some 2000 additional electors from the existing Kedron electorate means that a similar number will have to be transferred to neighbouring electorates.

The only logical place where this can occur is on the western boundary with Mount Coot-tha. That electorate already includes the inner city suburbs of Red Hill, Milton and Paddington. Consequently, it is proposed to enhance the inner city nature of the seat by including the suburb of Kelvin Grove within its boundaries.

Kelvin Grove straddles Kelvin Grove Road, a major route into the city centre and to the western suburbs. Its links are as much to the west - to Mount Coot-tha - as they are to the south. Proposed traffic changes in the area will make the suburbs part of a major corridor to the west.

In an effort to overcome the problems created in the north of Brisbane Central the inclusion of Kelvin Grove in Mount Coot-tha is the obvious complementary change.

To provide for the loss of some 2000 electors from the proposed seat of Ashgrove and to compensate for the addition of some 2800 electors to Mount Coot-tha it is proposed to transfer 2000 electors from the proposed Mount Coot-tha electorate to Ashgrove.

These will be drawn from the suburbs of Ashgrove, including that area south of Waterworks Road that the Commission proposed to place in Mount Coot-tha. This will ensure that the entire suburb of Ashgrove is located in the electorate of the same name.

At the same time it is proposed to include in Ashgrove northern parts of the suburb of Bardon. These have a relationship with Ashgrove and can be included in this electorate comfortably.
C. ALBERT AND WATERFORD

The rapid growth in the Gold Coast area, and the consequent need to undertake significant boundary changes, have resulted in an anomaly which the Liberal Party believes should be corrected.

It is accepted that the proposed seat of Albert should remain one based entirely within the boundaries of the City of Gold Coast and that Waterford should be composed of electors from both Gold Coast and Logan City. However, the Liberal Party contends that the Eagleby area (from Gold Coast) should be located more appropriately in Waterford rather than Albert.
Eagleby is separated from the remainder of Albert by the Albert River. The only link between Eagleby and the remainder of Albert is along the Pacific Highway. However, as the Highway is proposed to constitute part of the Albert - Waterford boundary, it is necessary to leave Albert at this point in order to enter the southern section.

At the same time it should be noted that the principal point of exit from Eagleby is through Beenleigh itself. Beenleigh remains the focal point of the area and includes the principal services for people living in both communities.

Eagleby is separated from the proposed electorate of Springwood by the Logan River in the north. It constitutes a distinct community that, in reality, has little in common with the remainder of Albert.

To compensate for the addition of some 4500 electors to Waterford it is proposed to add approximately 3400 from Edens Landing and part of Waterford to Albert. These areas are included in the City of Gold Coast and, with a greater capacity than Eagleby to expand beyond their boundaries, are not as relatively isolated.

While it is possible to raise objections to this proposition, the inclusion of this particular area in Albert is more sustainable than the inclusion of Eagleby. Both electorates remain within quota while the reduction in Albert's enrolments will better assist it in remaining closer to the quota in coming years.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ALBERT</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Proposed Enrolment</td>
<td>23273</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less CCD 3252601-614</td>
<td>4581</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Eagleby)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>18692</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Add CCD 3252203, 3252810</td>
<td>289</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(restoring existing boundary)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Add 3252201-205, 32522704,</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32522707</td>
<td>3391</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>22372</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WATERFORD</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Proposed Enrolment</td>
<td>24204</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Add from Albert (Eagleby)</td>
<td>4581</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>28785</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less to Albert</td>
<td>3680</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>25105</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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D. MUNDINGBURRA

The Liberal Party strongly supports the inclusion of additional urban areas of Townsville, now located in Burdekin, in the Townsville-based seat of Mundingburra.

However, the Party submits that an additional area - containing Lavarack Barracks - should be included in Mundingburra. Lavarack Barracks - like James Cook University - are located south of University Drive and have similar connections to the greater Townsville area.

At the same time the location of Mount Stuart to the immediate south of the Barracks means they are physically and socially detached from Burdekin.

Residents of Lavarack Barracks tend to be transient and only establish community bonds with the Townsville based communities. They work closely with married and living-out service people who reside in the three Townsville seats, particularly in the nearby suburb of Annandale which will be located in the proposed Mundingburra electorate.

The interests of soldiers in Lavarack Barracks correspond to those of airmen of RAAF Garbutt, located in the Townsville electorate. Social infrastructure is centred on the city of Townsville and electoral boundaries should reflect this.

There is no discernible difference between Lavarack Barracks and the University. Both institutions have large “day” populations enrolled in urban-based seats as well as significant resident populations sharing common interests with this “day” population. Both are, for all intents and purposes, parts of the Annandale - Douglas areas to be included in Mundingburra.

There will be minimal impact on enrolments.

MUNDINGBURRA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proposed enrolment</th>
<th>25100</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Add CCD 3043404</td>
<td>781</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[\text{Total} = 25881\]

BURDEKIN

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proposed Enrolment</th>
<th>23422</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Less Lavarack</td>
<td>781</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[\text{Total} = 22641\]

E. THURINGOWA

The Liberal Party believes the proposed changes to boundaries in the Townsville region generally reflect expected population movements. However, northern beachside communities have strong links with the proposed electorate of Thuringowa and remain within Thuringowa City.
Bushland Beach and Mt Low are now regarded as suburbs of the City of Thuringowa. While school children from the adjacent areas of Duragun, Mt Low and Bushland Beach attend Bohle Vale State School (in proposed Hinchinbrook) and Northern Beaches State High School (in Thuringowa) families are drawn from both electorates.

At Federal level these northern suburbs are considered part of the wider Townsville community and are included in the seat of Herbert. Congruent Federal and State boundaries in this area would enhance the links within Thuringowa City. The notion that electors should be located in a Townsville based electorate for Federal elections but in a Tully or Ingham based electorate for State elections is perplexing.

Under the Commission’s proposals Mt Low and Bushland Beach are isolated from both Thuringowa by an artificial boundary and from Hinchinbrook by the Black River.

Accordingly, the boundary should be changed to mirror that of Herbert and follow the Black River to the coast.

**THURINGOWA**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proposed Enrolment</th>
<th>23856</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Add CCD 3040804, 3040809-10, 3040812</td>
<td>1964</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>25820</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To compensate for the decrease in enrolments in Hinchinbrook it is proposed to include in Hinchinbrook some 500 electors from the Mundoo locality of the proposed Tablelands electorate. These electors, situated in close proximity to the coast and presently included in the coastal seat of Mulgrave, have a greater affinity with a seat based on the coast than with a seat based on the Atherton Tableland.

**HINCHINBROOK**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proposed Enrolment</th>
<th>24111</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Less Bushland Beach</td>
<td>1964</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>22147</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Add Mundoo | 500 |

| **22647** |       |

**TABLELANDS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proposed Enrolment</th>
<th>24577</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Less Mundoo</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>24077</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
F. BARRON RIVER

The Liberal Party believes that unnecessary changes have been proposed in relation to Barron River.

While it is acknowledged that Cook needed to gain electors with a minimal increase in area, the exclusion of 1706 electors from Palm Cove and Clifton Beach and their inclusion in Cook is unfortunate. These northern beach localities have little in common with the bulk of the Cook electorate and have an indisputably stronger link with Cairns.

They very much reflect the tourism base of Cairns and their arbitrary removal will do little to serve the interests of residents of the area or the economic interests they represent. Alternatives should be examined to determine whether the required number of electors can be drawn from a more compatible area for inclusion in Cook.

However, whatever the outcome of these deliberations, the Liberal Party believes a further change should be considered in relation to the proposed Barron River-Cairns boundary.

The Commission has proposed to include in Barron River some 2700 electors from the existing seat of Cairns from Leanoora, Manunda and Edge Hill. It is contended that these localities should remain in the proposed Cairns Central as they are clearly established suburban areas with clear links to the City of Cairns. Their inclusion in Barron River, which encompasses the expanding urban-rural fringe to the north of Cairns, would be inconsistent. Residents of this area look to Cairns itself for schools, community facilities, clubs and retail outlets rather than to the north.

Clearly, an alternative is required. The Liberal Party submits that electors be drawn from the Redlynch, Buchan Point and Jungara for inclusion in Barron River. This area currently appears as an inelegant appendix to Cairns and has clear links to Barron River. The restoration of the Cairns Central - Barron River boundary as outlined above and the exclusion of the Redlynch area would create a more logical division between the seats.

The enrolment implications are outlined below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BARRON RIVER</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Proposed Enrolment</td>
<td>24136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less area formally in Cairns</td>
<td>2711</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>21425</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Add CCD 3011901, 3011910,</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3011912-13 (Redlynch)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2243</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>23668</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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CAIRNS

Proposed Enrolment 24881
Add area from Barron River 2711

27592

Less Redlynch 2243

25349

G. ROBINA

A minor adjustment is suggested in the boundary between Robina and Burleigh.

That part of Burleigh Waters (generally between the Miami Retirement Village and Beaconsfield Drive) proposed to be included in Robina should be included in Burleigh. This change would ensure that the entire suburb of Burleigh Waters was contained within the one electorate - Burleigh.

The proposed boundaries divide the suburb between Robina and Burleigh for no apparent reason.

At the same time there is no direct access from the area in question to the remainder of Robina. Indeed, the main Southport Burleigh Road (Bermuda Street), a four lane highway, separates this area from Robina and there is no access across this road to the proposed Robina electorate.

The impact on enrolments in both Robina and Burleigh will be minimal.

ROBINA

Proposed Enrolment 26454
Less CCD 3-17-04-05 393

26061

BURLEIGH

Proposed Enrolment 26596
Add CCD 3-17-04-05 393

26989

ORC / OBS 848
H. CLAYFIELD

In the Albion and Wooloowin areas of this proposed electorate the Liberal Party submits that the existing Clayfield - Kedron boundary should be restored.

This would involve the inclusion of parts of Albion and Wooloowin (bounded by the Albion Overpass, McLennan Street, Chalk Street, Kedron Park Road and the North Coast Railway) in the proposed Stafford rather than Clayfield.

No reasons were given as to why this area was to be included in Clayfield and in the interests of maintaining existing boundaries, it should be included in Stafford. There would appear to be no compelling reasons as to why this could not occur.

To maintain enrolments in Clayfield that part of Wooloowin to be excised to Stafford should be retained in Clayfield. This is the area between the existing boundary and Kedron Park Road, Kent Road, Rose Street, Park Road and Judge Street. This area is separated from the remainder of Stafford by Lutwyche Road and Kedron Brook. Its restoration to Clayfield would ensure the bulk of the suburb of Wooloowin remains in Clayfield.

Minimal changes to enrolments in both Clayfield and Stafford would result from these proposals.

**CLAYFIELD**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Proposed Enrolment</td>
<td>26390</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less area from Stafford</td>
<td>658</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>25732</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Add area formerly in Clayfield</td>
<td>392</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>26124</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**STAFFORD**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Proposed Enrolment</td>
<td>27130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less area from Clayfield</td>
<td>392</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>26738</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Add area formerly in Kedron</td>
<td>658</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>27396</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. If the Commission accepts changes suggested in relation to the Stafford-Aspley boundary enrolments in Stafford would then be 27203. Enrolments in Clayfield would remain at 26124.
I. GLASSHOUSE, NICKLIN AND NANANGO

There is a distinct anomaly in the proposed boundary between the electorates of Glasshouse and Nicklin which should be corrected.

The proposed boundary divides both the communities of Mooloolah and Eudlo in half, a situation which would create undue difficulties for residents of both communities as well as their elected representatives.

To overcome this problem it is suggested that the boundary between Glasshouse and Nicklin be altered to correspond to the boundary between the City of Caloundra and the Maroochy Shire.

This would result in Eudlo being located in Nicklin and Mooloolah in Glasshouse and would more accurately reflect the interests of the respective populations.

Further minor changes are suggested in the Maleny area, proposed to be included in Glasshouse. The proposed boundaries place the localities of Witta, Wootha and Reesville in the adjacent electorate of Nanango.

Consequently, residents of these areas are isolated from the business, shopping, social, sporting and cultural facilities of the area. There is only one shop in Witta and no shop in Reesville. The mail service to Witta and Reesville originates in Maleny with which they share a postcode. Children are bussed to schools in Maleny.

Maleny is the centre of this community and it would be difficult to justify placing electors from these small localities in an electorate with its centre at Kingaroy or Nanango. The minimal changes required to effect this would not alter enrolments significantly in either electorate.

J. MOUNT OMMANEY

The Liberal Party supports the Committee’s proposals in relation to Mount Ommaney.

However, anecdotal evidence suggests that the expected rate of population growth over the next six years may exceed that factored into the Committee’s calculations. Continuing, residential development in the western half of the proposed electorate may render these calculations somewhat inaccurate.

Accordingly, the liberal Party believes any moves to include additional areas in the electorate should be resisted. Indeed, there is merit in considering whether the boundaries of Mount Ommaney should more closely reflect the southern boundaries of the proposed Brisbane City Council ward of Jamboree. This would result in more of the suburb of Oxley being included in the proposed electorate of Inala, bringing enrolments in that seat further within quota.
K. HELENSVALE

The Liberal Party strongly supports the creation of a new seat - Helensvale - drawn from the existing seats of Albert and Nerang and based on the localities of Helensvale, Oxenford and Nerang.

However, the Commission, in creating the new seat, has divided the community of Nerang between the proposed seats of Helensvale and Mudgeeraba. Although such a move was necessary given the enrolment limitations imposed by legislative requirements, an alternative should be sought in an effort to ensure such communities are kept intact.

Nerang is clearly a single community and its division in this instance would aggravate the problem which already arises in relation to Gold Coast City Council boundaries. Nerang is divided between three divisions which creates a number of administrative problems. Further division would add to these difficulties.

Consideration should be given to extending the Helensvale - Mudgeeraba boundary east to the Gold Coast Railway ensuring the bulk of Nerang is contained with one seat.

While the difficulty of such a change is acknowledged its successful negotiation would enhance the community of interest within the proposed seat.
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ILKLEY ROAD
EUDLO 4554
9TH MAY 1999

To
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE 9001

Dear Sir,

I would like to strenuously object to the usage of the Railway Line in Eudlo as a demarcation point between the Electorates of Glasshouse Mountains and Nicklin. It is ridiculous that you are considering dividing our community into two. On a purely practical level I object because:

- Having to lobby two politicians for improvements to our area is absurd. Having two separate politicians being responsible for Eudlo means that nothing will be done.
- We are a small community already (read not many voters) and it is hard enough to convince one person that we are needy. If the vote numbers are halved we will have buckleys chance.
- Eudlo Township itself is very small but supports people from both sides of the railway. We are all from Eudlo and it is important for community unity for us to remain in the same electorate.
- My arguments here are commonsense, however, I can also cite Section 46(2) of the Act, regarding the recommended consideration of local government boundaries.

I would be quite happy for the ENTIRE EUDLO AND ILKLEY DISTRICT to be included in Nicklin as we have far more community of interest with Palmwoods than with Mooloolah. Most Eudlo people use Palmwoods as their nearest commercial centre, there are very few people who ever go to Mooloolah.

Yours faithfully

Peter Furness

[Signature]
ILKLEY ROAD
EUDLO 4554
9TH MAY 1999

To
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE 9001

Dear Sir,

I would like to strenuously object to the usage of the Railway Line in Eudlo as a demarcation point between the Electorates of Glasshouse Mountains and Nicklin. It is ridiculous that you are considering dividing our community into two.

On a purely practical level I object because:

- Having to lobby two politicians for improvements to our area is absurd. Having two separate politicians being responsible for Eudlo means that nothing will be done.
- We are a small community already (read not many voters) and it is hard enough to convince one person that we are needy. If the vote numbers are halved we will have buckleys chance.
- Eudlo Township itself is very small but supports people from both sides of the railway. We are all from Eudlo and it is important for community unity for us to remain in the same electorate.
- My arguments here are commonsense, however, I can also cite Section 46(2) of the Act, regarding the recommended consideration of local government boundaries.

I would be quite happy for the Entire Eudlo and Ilkley District to be included in Nicklin as we have far more community of interest with Palmwoods than with Mooloolah. Most Eudlo people use Palmwoods as their nearest commercial centre, there are very few people who ever go to Mooloolah.

Yours faithfully

[Signed]
Suzanne Furness
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE 9001

Dear Sir

The Eudlo and Ilkley Landcare Group would like to register an objection to the use of the Queensland Railway Line as a demarcation line between the Electorates of Nicklin and Glasshouse Mountains. This objection is to the splitting up of the Eudlo and Ilkley Districts A1 A1.

We are a group primarily involved with the area of Eudlo and Ilkley, as defined by the Caloundra/Mooloolah Local government electoral boundary to the south, the Bruce Highway to the East, Chevaillum to the North and the Blackall Ranges to the west. It is entirely inappropriate that this area should be split into two by the electoral redistribution process. We are a strong small community and our unity will be considerably at risk if these electoral boundaries go ahead. They will split the community completely.

From the point of view of our organisation, where we are often in contact with politicians, we would be in a position of having to talk to two offices all the time. It is definitely not appropriate. We would be happy to see Eudlo in its entirety pass into the electorate of Nicklin as Eudlo has a great deal of commonality with the Palmwoods district and nothing with Mooloolah.

Your faithfully,

Suzy Furness (Secretary)
10/5/99

Chris Jameson
PO Box 88
Eudlo 4554

The Queensland Electoral Commission
Locked Bag 3300
Brisbane Qld 4001
Fax: (07) 32297391

Dear Sir/Madam

In regards to the proposal to divide Eudlo into two electorates, Nicklin and Caboolture, using the North Coast rail line as the boundary, I wish to object on the following grounds:

1. Such realignment would split the Eudlo community politically, thus eroding the capacity for cohesive community representation on state government issues. The realignment proposed by the Electoral Commission is against the interests of the Eudlo community. I draw your attention to Section 46(1)(a) of the Electoral Act, which states that ‘other’ community interests must be given due consideration by the Commission.

2. At a recent community meeting, attended by Bruce Laming MLA and Peter Wellington MLA, it was decided the new electoral boundary should be the border between the Maroochy Shire and Caloundra City. This would preserve the Eudlo community as one voice. Section 46(2) of the Act states the Commission should consider the boundaries of local government with respect to community interests.

3. Section 46(1)(a) of the Act stipulates the Commission must give consideration to the extent to which there is a community of social interest within each proposed electorate. The proposed realignment would dramatically affect social activities within the Eudlo community. For example, under the proposed realignment the community hall and showground would be situated in different electorates thus increasing the complexity of operation and potential loss of cohesion for community groups.
4. Under Section 46(1)(c) the physical features of each proposed electorate are to be given due consideration. The North Coast rail line cannot be seen to represent the topographical features that naturally define the Eudlo district from surrounding areas. The community proposal for the use of local government boundaries to define state electorates clearly identifies with the physical features of the Eudlo district.

5. Under Section 46(1)(d) the boundaries of existing electoral districts are to be given due consideration. The present state electoral boundary far exceeds the capacity of the proposed realignment to meet the electoral needs of the Eudlo community.

6. Section 46(1)(a) also demands the Commission consider regional interests of a community when drawing the boundaries of electorate districts. To place half of the Eudlo community in Caboolture electorate can in no way be seen to represent Eudlo community interest within the wider Sunshine Coast region. The community of Eudlo strongly identifies this district as an integral part of the Sunshine Coast region.

For the reasons outlined above, the North Coast rail line boundary proposal does not take into account either Eudlo community interest or provisions for consideration under the Electoral Act. Therefore, I request the Commission take notice of objection and reject the proposed boundary realignment, thus allowing the Eudlo community to retain cohesion and self-identity within the state electoral system.

Yours faithfully

[Signature]
The Commissioners
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Brisbane

Your Reference QRC/O

1) We individually hereby lodge objections to the proposed redistribution of State Electoral Boundaries in relation to the Eudlo District as published on 9 April 1999. Our objections relate to the proposed Nicklin/Glasshouse boundary which would adversely affect part of the Eudlo District bounded by the North Coast Railway to the East Moooloolah Range to the South Blackall Range Escarpment to the West Upper Landershute Road to the North.

2) The Eudlo District was established 107 years ago and there is a strong community of interest in the Historic Area. The community supports in the first instance and is serviced by, in particular, the

- Eudlo Post Office
- Eudlo General NewsStore
- Eudlo State School
- Eudlo Rural Fire Brigade
- Eudlo District Tennis Club
- Eudlo Community Church
- Eudlo Public Park
- Cheureciz Institute
- Eudlo Hall and Recreation Committee Inc.
- Eudlo and Ilkley Community Association Inc.
- Maroochy Shire Council

3) The area involved is wholly within the Maroochy Shire. The Council approved the Eudlo Local Area Plan on 27/10/98 after eight years of community initiated input and consultation. The Local Government boundary between the Maroochy and Caloundra City Shires traverses East to West along the Moooloolah Range from the Bruce Highway to the Blackall Range Escarpment. Rural Fire Brigade Levies for this area are collected by the Maroochy Shire Council for the Volunteer Eudlo Brigade based on State Government Boundaries declared under the Fire Services Act.
4) The grounds of our objections are that the proposed boundary between the NICKLIN and GLASSHOUSE ELECTORATES;
   • would destroy the long established community of interest to the detriment of the landowners and residents
   • gives inadequate recognition to the topography in that the Mooloolah Range separates this area from the Mooloolah community
   • gives inadequate recognition that the only roads in this area exit at Eudlo. No roadways are available to the South, West, or North
   • would excise this small area from the Maroochy Shire.

5) For your information we enclose a copy of the only print media information available to land owners and residents on the Sunshine Coast.

6) In conclusion we consider that the boundary between the NICKLIN and GLASSHOUSE STATE GOVERNMENT ELECTORATES should traverse East to West from the Bruce Highway to the Blackall Range Escarpment along the existing boundary between the Maroochy and Caloundra City Shires.

Yours faithfully,

J.A. Bywaters

D.J. Bywaters

QRC/083 853

PAGE TWO OF THREE
Electoral changes ‘will divide towns’

Nine Coast towns may soon be split into different electorates

Nine Sunshine Coast communities will be divided if proposed changes to the Queensland electoral boundaries are approved without amendment.

Eudlo, Mapleton, Kurrajong, Nanango Heights, Kenilworth, Yandina, Buderim and Noosa will be split into two or three different electorates by the proposed boundaries.

Nanango to the west and Nicklin to the South.

Coblar would be split at the northern border, dividing the growing area between the electorates of Maroochydore and Noosa.

Maroochy Shire councillor Gary Gordon said the proposed boundary would split the community of interest, saying that Coblar residents had more of a link to Noosa than to downtown Maroochydore.

Member for Maroochydore Fiona Simpson said there would be a lot of split communities if the new boundaries were approved, saying that the hinterland and range areas had been the hardest hit.

Many people in the hinterland and range areas are now going to be further away from their electoral offices... In some cases people will be a two to three-hour drive away,” Miss Simpson said. “On the coastal strip most people will still only be a 30 to 30-minute drive from their local member.”

Submissions to the Redistribution Commission must be lodged by 3pm on May 10.

Elections

Residents oppose changes

Residents of the railway town of Eudlo are fearful that the proposed changes to State Electoral Boundaries will divide their community in two.

Eudlo and Illyary District Community Association chairman said by putting the North Coast railway as a divisional boundary the Electoral Commission proposed to divide the township into two electorates.

"Up to 300 residents on the western side of Eudlo will find themselves in the new Glasshouse electorates with the sitting member probably based at Caboolture,” Mr. Hammond said.

"The remainder of the town will be in the Electorate of Nicklin.”

He said at a recent public meeting attended by Member for Nicklin Peter Wellington and Member of Mooloolah Bruce Laming residents voted unanimously to reject the proposed boundary.

"The proposal divides the community, economically, socially and physically,” he said.
May 1999

The Commissioner
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

Dear Sir,

I wish to lodge an objection to the proposal to include the beachside suburbs of Palm Cove and Clifton Beach in the State Electorate of Cook.

As a resident of Palm Cove / Clifton Beach, I fail to see what possible community of interest I would have with the electorate of Cook which is based on Cape York Peninsula and Torres Strait.

Currently Palm Cove and Clifton Beach are in the State Electorate of Barron River, and that is where I would prefer them to stay.

This electorate includes the rest of the beachside suburbs which make up the Northern Beaches area of Cairns and I can see no sense in splitting this geographical area.

I urge you to please reconsider your proposal and return both Palm Cove and Clifton Beach into the electorate of Barron River.

Yours sincerely,

[Signature]

E. Campbell
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE 9001

I cannot understand the Commissioner’s point of view when he has taken suburbs 10 minutes out of the Cairns City area (part of Manunda) and moved them to Barron River.

And then we have southern suburbs of Cairns which are up to 15 minutes away from the city, coming into the Cairns electorate. The Cairns inner suburbs are to be moved to Barron River and the outer suburbs to the south are to be included in the Cairns electorate.

Most of the people in Manunda will approach the Member for Cairns rather than the Member for Barron River who has an office at the northern beach suburb of Smithfield - a couple of bus changes away for pensioners and others who rely on public transport.

The new boundary splits the suburb of Manunda. In the area of Manunda between the new Anderson Street boundary and the old boundary of Collins Avenue, apart from the residents there are several institutions like the Cairns Ambulance Station, State Emergency Services headquarters, Police Citizens Youth Club, the cultural precinct on Greenslopes Street, Cairns District Soccer Association. How ludicrous to have these Cairns organisations being serviced by the Member for Barron River!

The original boundary between Cairns and Barron River electorates should be maintained in the interests of the citizens of Cairns.

Please consider the impact on residents - not just a convenient line on a map.

Yours sincerely

Joe Falchetti
Raffles Court
Unit 11, 51 McCormack Street
MANUNDA 4870

10 May, 1999
FROM: Thomas John Wagner
182 Parry St
Charleville QLD 4470.

TO: Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001
Ph. 1800 801 665
Fax. 07 3229 7391

QLD REDISTRIBUTION COMMISSION

Please accept this document from me and treat it as my official objection to the Electorate Redistribution of the Warrego District.

I object most strongly to the proposed redistribution for the following reasons:

1. I believe that the Commission has not seriously considered Charleville's connection to the East Coast. Presently nearly all Government direction, information and departmental contacts come from Roma or Brisbane. The current boundaries allow us to have a say through these easily accessible avenues in regard to our future. I feel the new proposed boundary will hinder our voice in this regard. I also feel that this applies to both transport and freight facilities which run the same eastern route from Brisbane e.g. If Charleville needs Government Representation regarding rail facilities I believe we would be disadvantaged because of the proposed future Parliament Members offices lie on the Longreach to Rockhampton line causing a conflict of interest.

2. It is my belief that the commissions' computer has worked with only population figures with no regard to geographical distances and productivity of the areas involved. I feel that Charleville will be chopped out of the Warrego Electorate and stuck with Gregory to make up numbers at the expense of our needs and access to facilities.

Please consider:

1. Adding 34,000 km² to Gregory Electorate is a large piece of Queensland for the member to cover (it is not a drive from the Sunshine Coast to Brisbane). I feel Charleville will be disadvantaged due to the geographical remoteness of our town. In fact we will not be close to or within the heavier populated areas of the Gregory Electorate where at present I am situated in an area where towns are of approximately the same population and share the same geographical needs. We presently share and compete on a common basis for access to existing facilities - which is definitely more preferable.

2. I would like the Commission to consider moving the boundaries north not south to keep the electorate of Warrego uniform with its town and Councils having similar population and needs. Tacking a large, sparsely populated area on to the extreme boundary edge of an electorate that has its most heavily populated areas over 600km away would critically hinder the smaller populated areas in all Government matters. (No equality due to different needs of the areas within the proposed Gregory Boundary changes.)

3. As Warrego electors' needs will remain, their demand for direct access to their member will not diminish. Therefore, it is going to cost the Member (taxpayer) considerable extra cost in travel and accommodation. Therefore, again, it would make economic sense to allow a third electoral office in Charleville. In the event of boundary changes going ahead this would be imperative.

Thanking you for the opportunity to appeal against these changes.

[Signature]

QRC | 085 856

*6 MAY 1999*
FROM: Alison McMeniman 
15 Baker Street 
Charleville Qld 4470

TO: Queensland Redistribution Commission 
Locked Bag 3300 
BRISBANE QLD 9001 
Ph. 1800 801 665 
Fax. 07 3229 7391

QLD REDISTRIBUTION COMMISSION

Please accept this document from me and treat it as my official objection to the Electorate Redistribution of the Warrego District.

I object most strongly to the proposed redistribution for the following reasons:

1. I believe that the Commission has not seriously considered Charleville's connection to the East Coast. Presently nearly all Government direction, information and departmental contacts come from Roma or Brisbane. The current boundaries allow us to have a say through these easily accessible avenues in regard to our future. I feel the new proposed boundary will hinder our voice in this regard. I also feel that this applies to both transport and freight facilities which run the same eastern route from Brisbane e.g. If Charleville needs Government Representation regarding rail facilities I believe we would be disadvantaged because of the proposed future Parliament Members offices lie on the Longreach to Rockhampton line causing a conflict of interest.

2. It is my belief that the commission's computer has worked with only population figures with no regard to geographical distances and productivity of the areas involved. I feel that Charleville will be chopped out of the Warrego Electorate and stuck with Gregory to make up numbers at the expense of our needs and access to facilities.

Please consider:

1. Adding 34,000 km² to Gregory Electorate is a large piece of Queensland for the minister to cover (it is not a drive from the Sunshine Coast to Brisbane). I feel Charleville will be disadvantaged due to the geographical remoteness of our town. In fact we will not be close to or within the heavier populated areas of the Gregory Electorate where at present I am situated in an area where towns are of approximately the same population and share the same geographical needs. We presently share and compete on a common basis for access to existing facilities - which is definitely more preferable.

2. I would like the Commission to consider moving the boundaries north not south to keep the electorate of Warrego uniform with its town and Councils having similar population and needs. Tacking a large, sparsely populated area on to the extreme boundary edge of an electorate that has its most heavily populated areas over 600km away would critically hinder the smaller populated areas in all Government matters. (No equality due to different needs of the areas within the proposed Gregory Boundary changes.)

3. As Warrego electors' needs will remain, their demand for direct access to their member will not diminish. Therefore, it is going to cost the Member (taxpayer) considerable extra cost in travel and accommodation. Therefore, again, it would make economic sense to allow a third electoral office in Charleville. In the event of boundary changes going ahead this would be imperative.

Thanking you for the opportunity to appeal against these changes.
FROM: BEANADette MARY DOYLE  
169 HARRY STREET  
CHARLEVILLE QLD 4470  

TO: Queensland Redistribution Commission  
Locked Bag 3300  
BRISBANE QLD 9001  
Ph. 1800 801 665  
Fax. 07 3229 7391  

QLD REDISTRIBUTION COMMISSION  

Please accept this document from me and treat it as my official objection to the Electorate Redistribution of the Warrego District. I object most strongly to the proposed redistribution for the following reasons:

1. I believe that the Commission has not seriously considered Charleville's connection to the East Coast. Presently nearly all Government direction, information and departmental contacts come from Roma or Brisbane. The current boundaries allow us to have a say through these easily accessible avenues in regard to our future. I feel the new proposed boundary will hinder our voice in this regard. I also feel that this applies to both transport and freight facilities which run the same eastern route from Brisbane e.g. If Charleville needs Government Representation regarding rail facilities I believe we would be disadvantaged because of the proposed future Parliament Members offices lie on the Longreach to Rockhampton line causing a conflict of interest.

2. It is my belief that the commissions' computer has worked with only population figures with no regard to geographical distances and productivity of the areas involved. I feel that Charleville will be chopped out of the Warrego Electorate and stuck with Gregory to make up numbers at the expense of our needs and access to facilities.

Please consider:
1. Adding 34,000 km² to Gregory Electorate is a large piece of Queensland for the member to cover (it is not a drive from the Sunshine Coast to Brisbane). I feel Charleville will be disadvantaged due to the geographical remoteness of our town. In fact we will not be close to or within the heavier populated areas of the Gregory Electorate where at present I am situated in an area where towns are of approximately the same population and share the same geographical needs. We presently share and compete on a common basis for access to existing facilities - which is definitely more preferable.

2. I would like the Commission to consider moving the boundaries north not south to keep the electorate of Warrego uniform with its town and Councils having similar population and needs. Tacking a large, sparsely populated area on to the extreme boundary edge of an electorate that has its most heavily populated areas over 600km away would critically hinder the smaller populated areas in all Government matters. (No equality to different needs of the areas within the proposed Gregory Boundary changes.)

3. As Warrego electors' needs will remain, their demand for direct access to their member will not diminish. Therefore, it is going to cost the Member (taxpayer) considerable extra cost in travel and accommodation. Therefore, again, it would make economic sense to allow a third electoral office in Charleville. In the event of boundary changes going ahead this would be imperative.

Thanking you for the opportunity to appeal against these changes.

[Signature]

[Postmark: 18 MAY 1999]
FROM: MARGARET O’DEMPSEY  
1 ELLIOT STREET  
CHARLEVILLE Q 4470

TO: Queensland Redistribution Commission  
Locked Bag 3300  
BRISBANE QLD 9001  
Ph. 1800 801 665  
Fax. 07 3229 7391

QLD REDISTRIBUTION COMMISSION

Please accept this document from me and treat it as my official objection to the Electorate Redistribution of the Warrego District.

I object most strongly to the proposed redistribution for the following reasons:

1. I believe that the Commission has not seriously considered Charleville’s connection to the East Coast. Presently nearly all Government direction, information and departmental contacts come from Roma or Brisbane. The current boundaries allow us to have a say through these easily accessible avenues in regard to our future. I feel the new proposed boundary will hinder our voice in this regard. I also feel that this applies to both transport and freight facilities which run the same eastern route from Brisbane e.g. If Charleville needs Government Representation regarding rail facilities I believe we would be disadvantaged because of the proposed future Parliament Members offices lie on the Longreach to Rockhampton line causing a conflict of interest.

2. It is my belief that the commissions’ computer has worked with only population figures with no regard to geographical distances and productivity of the areas involved. I feel that Charleville will be chopped out of the Warrego Electorate and stuck with Gregory to make up numbers at the expense of our needs and access to facilities.

Please consider:
1. Adding 34,000 km² to Gregory Electorate is a large piece of Queensland for the member to cover (it is not a drive from the Sunshine Coast to Brisbane). I feel Charleville will be disadvantaged due to the geographical remoteness of our town. In fact we will not be close to or within the heavier populated areas of the Gregory Electorate where at present I am situated in an area where towns are of approximately the same population and share the same geographical needs. We presently share and compete on a common basis for access to existing facilities - which is definitely more preferable.

2. I would like the Commission to consider moving the boundaries north not south to keep the electorate of Warrego uniform with its town and Councills having similar population and needs. Tackling a large, sparsely populated area on to the extreme boundary edge of an electorate that has its most heavily populated areas over 600km away would critically hinder the smaller populated areas in all Government matters. (No equality due to different needs of the areas within the proposed Gregory Boundary changes.)

3. As Warrego electors’ needs will remain, their demand for direct access to their member will not diminish. Therefore, it is going to cost the Member (taxpayer) considerable extra cost in travel and accommodation. Therefore, again, it would make economic sense to allow a third electoral office in Charleville. In the event of boundary changes going ahead this would be imperative.

Thanking you for the opportunity to appeal against these changes.

[Signature]

M O'DEMPSEY

16 MAY 1999

QRC OBJ 859
FROM: F. J. Toms
15 Burke St
Charleville
QLD 4470.

TO: Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001
Ph. 1800 801 665
Fax. 07 3229 7391

QLD REDISTRIBUTION COMMISSION

Please accept this document from me and treat it as my official objection to the Electorate Redistribution of the Warrego District.

I object most strongly to the proposed redistribution for the following reasons:

1. I believe that the Commission has not seriously considered Charleville’s connection to the East Coast. Presently nearly all Government direction, information and departmental contacts come from Roma or Brisbane. The current boundaries allow us to have a say through these easily accessible avenues in regard to our future. I feel the new proposed boundary will hinder our voice in this regard. I also feel that this applies to both transport and freight facilities which run the same eastern route from Brisbane e.g. If Charleville needs Government Representation regarding rail facilities I believe we would be disadvantaged because of the proposed future Parliament Members offices lie on the Longreach to Rockhampton line causing a conflict of interest.

2. It is my belief that the commissions’ computer has worked with only population figures with no regard to geographical distances and productivity of the areas involved. I feel that Charleville will be chopped out of the Warrego Electorate and stuck with Gregory to make up numbers at the expense of our needs and access to facilities.

Please consider:

1. Adding 34,000 km² to Gregory Electorate is a large piece of Queensland for the member to cover (it is not a drive from the Sunshine Coast to Brisbane). I feel Charleville will be disadvantaged due to the geographical remoteness of our town. In fact we will not be close to or within the heavier populated areas of the Gregory Electorate where at present I am situated in an area where towns are of approximately the same population and share the same geographical needs. We presently share and compete on a common basis for access to existing facilities – which is definitely more preferable.

2. I would like the Commission to consider moving the boundaries north not south to keep the electorate of Warrego uniform with its town and Councils having similar population and needs. Tacking a large, sparsely populated area on to the extreme boundary edge of an electorate that has its most heavily populated areas over 600km away would critically hinder the smaller populated areas in all Government matters. (No equality due to different needs of the areas within the proposed Gregory Boundary changes.)

3. As Warrego electors’ needs will remain, their demand for direct access to their member will not diminish. Therefore, it is going to cost the Member (taxpayer) considerable extra cost in travel and accommodation. Therefore, again, it would make economic sense to allow a third electoral office in Charleville. In the event of boundary changes going ahead this would be imperative.

Thanking you for the opportunity to appeal against these changes.
Charleville Branch of the National Party
PO Box 131
CHARLEVILLE Q 4470

Queensland Redistribution Commission
By Fax 32297391

10th May 1999

The Charleville Branch of the National Party wish to object to the proposed Electoral Redistribution.

Following are the points of objection:

- Loss of immediate access to the parliamentary representative would cause undue hardship to Charleville and District which has suffered from floods and low commodity prices in recent years and needs adequate government representation in the local area.

- Lack of true community of interest between South West Queensland and Northern Districts - needs are different as industry types vary.

- Remoteness of access if member based in Longreach and Emerald - Murweh Shire issues would be difficult to address in person and likelyhood that members physical presence would be rare due to the vastness of the proposed Gregory Electorate.

- Charleville is geographically central to a like region of South West Queensland, and is the logical town for placement of regional Electorate Office representing a similar population and issues.

- National Party Charleville support in principal the submission of the Charleville and District Chamber of Commerce.

Yours faithfully

Graham Kenny, Karen Sharpe, Ann Leahy.
PAUL LUCAS MLA
MEMBER FOR LYTTON

10 May 1999

The Secretary
Redistribution Committee
Electoral Commission Queensland
GPO Box 1393
BRISBANE Q 4001

Dear Madam,

re: Proposed redistribution of Queensland Electoral Districts

I write with respect to the recently released draft redistribution of Queensland Electoral Districts.

I wish to make a short comment in relation to the boundaries between the Electorate of Lytton and the Electorate of Cleveland.

Under the last electoral redistribution and under the proposed redistribution, the islands of Mud, St Helena and Green, are included within the Electoral District of Cleveland.

These islands, however, are very close to the shore of Wynnum/Manly and have nothing in common with the other Bay islands other than the mere fact that they are islands.

Both Mud and Green Island are uninhabited with St Helena Island, as far as I am aware, only being inhabited by Parks Rangers. Accordingly, there are no population issues of relevance to consider.
Electoral Redistribution Committee

The issue is simply that these islands have far more in common with the Electorate of Lytton than Cleveland. There is a small tourist industry developing on convict tours to St Helena. These tours invariably leave from the Manly Boat Harbour which is also situated within Lytton.

Furthermore, each of the three islands are within the City of Brisbane, as is the State Electorate of Lytton. The other Bay islands within Cleveland are, like that electorate, within Redland Shire.

Please forgive my oversight in not making this submission at an earlier stage.

Yours sincerely,

Paul Lucas MLA
MEMBER FOR LYTTON

ORC/085 862
Please accept this document from me and treat it as my official objection to the Electorate Redistribution of the Warrego District.

I object most strongly to the proposed redistribution for the following reasons:

1. I believe that the Commission has not seriously considered Charleville's connection to the East Coast. Presently nearly all Government direction, information and departmental contacts come from Roma or Brisbane. The current boundaries allow us to have a say through these easily accessible avenues in regard to our future. I feel the new proposed boundary will hinder our voice in this regard. I also feel that this applies to both transport and freight facilities which run the same eastern route from Brisbane e.g. If Charleville needs Government Representation regarding rail facilities I believe we would be disadvantaged because of the proposed future Parliament Members offices lie on the Longreach to Rockhampton line causing a conflict of interest.

2. It is my belief that the commissions' computer has worked with only population figures with no regard to geographical distances and productivity of the areas involved. I feel that Charleville will be chopped out of the Warrego Electorate and stuck with Gregory to make up numbers at the expense of our needs and access to facilities.

*Please consider:*

1. Adding 34,000 km² to Gregory Electorate is a large piece of Queensland for the minister to cover (it is not a drive from the Sunshine Coast to Brisbane). I feel Charleville will be disadvantaged due to the geographical remoteness of our town. In fact we will not be close to or within the heavier populated areas of the Gregory Electorate where at present I am situated in an area where towns are of approximately the same population and share the same geographical needs. We presently share and compete on a common basis for access to existing facilities - which is definitely more preferable.

2. I would like the Commission to consider moving the boundaries north not south to keep the electorate of Warrego uniform with its town and Councils having similar population and needs. Tacking a large, sparsely populated area on to the extreme boundary edge of an electorate that has its most heavily populated areas over 600km away would critically hinder the smaller populated areas in all Government matters. (No equality due to different needs of the areas within the proposed Gregory Boundary changes.)

3. As Warrego electors' needs will remain, their demand for direct access to their member will not diminish. Therefore, it is going to cost the Member (taxpayer) considerable extra cost in travel and accommodation. Therefore, again, it would make economic sense to allow a third electoral office in Charleville. In the event of boundary changes going ahead this would be imperative.

Thanking you for the opportunity to appeal against these changes.

GRiffin Jone
13 May 1999
10 May 1999

Redistribution Commissioner
FAX 3229 7391

Dear Sir/Madam

REDISTRIBUTION OF BOUNDARIES - YANDINA AREA

We hereby wish to submit our objection to the proposed redistribution of the Yandina area. Our residence falls into the area that would become part of the Nanango Electorate. This means our local member could be based anywhere between Kingaroy and Yandina. This would be unserviceable to the whole electorate as a fair proportion would not be within reasonable distance of contact thus not being in conjunction with the interest of the community. Voting would also cause problems meaning a fair proportion of the electorate would be required to vote absentee unless voting booths were set up at every reasonable voting place to service the whole electorate. If necessary a new electorate should be created to fully service the community efficiently and effectively as this area is not going to decrease in size but expand with a growing population and growing concerns and requirements.

We would also like to express our concerns with the lack of publicity given in regards to the redistribution. The only reason we managed to learn of this was a neighbours parents were out in Kenilworth on Sunday and heard of it there. Upon enquiring within the neighbourhood, no-one else had heard of this redistribution nor of the impending 5pm on 10/5/99 closure of objections. To gain full details and obtain a fax number, I was required to phone Mr Peter Wellington's Office and they advised that they had spoken to numerous concerned people about the lack of advertising. We feel a mail distribution should have been carried out to all affected property owners advising of the upcoming redistribution.

Yours faithfully

Ken & Natalie

[Signature]
P.O. Box 157  
STRATFORD. 4870

10 May, 1999.

The Commissioner  
Queensland Redistribution Commission  
Locked Bag 3300  
BRISBANE 9001

Dear Sir,

I wish to object most strongly to the decision to exclude the beachside suburbs of Palm Cove and Clifton Beach from the electorate of Barron River and include them in the electorate of Cook.

Section 46(1) (a) of the Electoral Act 1992 requires the Commission’s consideration of the extent to which there is a community of economic, social, regional and other interests within each proposed electoral district. The exclusion of Palm Cove and Clifton Beach from Barron River appears contrary to this provision in every way. Palm Cove and Clifton Beach do not share anything in common with the Cape York Peninsula and Torres Strait based seat of Cook. They in fact have everything in common with the rest of the Northern Beaches area of Cairns including tourism, infrastructure, education facilities, police and law enforcement activities and employment.

Section 46(1) (b) of the Act requires the Commission’s consideration of the ways of communication and travel within each proposed electoral district. The draft redistribution clearly ignores this provision. The areas of Palm Cove and Clifton Beach are inextricably linked in terms of travel, public transport and communication to the Northern Beaches of Cairns while under the draft redistribution, they will have little or nothing in common with the largely remote area which forms the seat of Cook.

I suggest that the areas of Manoora and Manunda be retained in the seat of Cairns and not included in Barron River as they are socially and economically diverse from that electorate. I fail to understand how this area of Cairns has been allocated to Barron River and yet the suburb of Redlynch, some parts of Kamerunga and the new suburb of Jungara, (which are geographically, socially and economically right in the middle of Barron River) continue to be left in the electorate of Cairns.

I urge you to reconsider this draft proposal and:
1. Return the suburbs of Palm Cove and Clifton Beach to Barron River.
2. Retain the suburbs of Manunda and Manoora in the seat of Cairns.
3. Include the suburb of Redlynch, the remainder of Kamerunga and the new suburb of Jungara in the seat of Barron River.

Yours sincerely,

LYN WARWICK

18 MAY 1999

RECEIVED
OLD REDISTRIBUTION COMMISSION

Please accept this document from me and treat it as my official objection to the Electorate Redistribution of the Warrego District.

I object most strongly to the proposed redistribution for the following reasons:

1. I believe that the Commission has not seriously considered Charleville’s connection to the East Coast. Presently nearly all Government direction, information and departmental contacts come from Roma or Brisbane. The current boundaries allow us to have a say through these easily accessible avenues in regard to our future. I feel the new proposed boundary will hinder our voice in this regard. I also feel that this applies to both transport and freight facilities which run the same eastern route from Brisbane e.g. If Charleville needs Government Representation regarding rail facilities I believe we would be disadvantaged because of the proposed future Parliament Members offices lie on the Longreach to Rockhampton line causing a conflict of interest.

2. It is my belief that the commissions’ computer has worked with only population figures with no regard to geographical distances and productivity of the areas involved. I feel that Charleville will be chopped out of the Warrego Electorate and stuck with Gregory to make up numbers at the expense of our needs and access to facilities.

Please consider:
1. Adding 34,000 km² to Gregory Electorate is a large piece of Queensland for the minister to cover (it is not a drive from the Sunshine Coast to Brisbane). I feel Charleville will be disadvantaged due to the geographical remoteness of our town. In fact we will not be close to or within the heavier populated areas of the Gregory Electorate where at present I am situated in an area where towns are of approximately the same population and share the same geographical needs. We presently share and compete on a common basis for access to existing facilities - which is definitely more preferable.

2. I would like the Commission to consider moving the boundaries north not south to keep the electorate of Warrego uniform with its town and Councils having similar population and needs. Tacking a large, sparsely populated area on to the extreme boundary edge of an electorate that has its most heavily populated areas over 600km away would critically hinder the smaller populated areas in all Government matters. (No equality due to different needs of the areas within the proposed Gregory Boundary changes.)

3. As Warrego electors’ needs will remain, their demand for direct access to their member will not diminish. Therefore, it is going to cost the Member (taxpayer) considerable extra cost in travel and accommodation. Therefore, again, it would make economic sense to allow a third electoral office in Charleville. In the event of boundary changes going ahead this would be imperative.

Thanking you for the opportunity to appeal against these changes.

QRC 005 866
FROM:  Janette Heinemann

TO:  Queensland Redistribution Commission
      Locked Bag 3300
      BRISBANE QLD 9001
      Ph. 1800 801 665
      Fax. 07 3229 7391

OLD REDISTRIBUTION COMMISSION

Please accept this document from me and treat it as my official objection to the Electorate Redistribution of the Warrego District.

I object most strongly to the proposed redistribution for the following reasons:

1. I believe that the Commission has not seriously considered Charleville’s connection to the East Coast. Presently nearly all Government direction, information and departmental contacts come from Roma or Brisbane. The current boundaries allow us to have a say through these easily accessible avenues in regard to our future. I feel the new proposed boundary will hinder our voice in this regard. I also feel that this applies to both transport and freight facilities which run the same eastern route from Brisbane e.g. If Charleville needs Government Representation regarding rail facilities I believe we would be disadvantaged because of the proposed future Parliament Members offices lie on the Longreach to Rockhampton line causing a conflict of interest.

2. It is my belief that the commissions’ computer has worked with only population figures with no regard to geographical distances and productivity of the areas involved. I feel that Charleville will be chopped out of the Warrego Electorate and stuck with Gregory to make up numbers at the expense of our needs and access to facilities.

Please consider:

1. Adding 34,000 km² to Gregory Electorate is a large piece of Queensland for the minister to cover (it is not a drive from the Sunshine Coast to Brisbane). I feel Charleville will be disadvantaged due to the geographical remoteness of our town. In fact we will not be close to or within the heavier populated areas of the Gregory Electorate where at present I am situated in an area where towns are of approximately the same population and share the same geographical needs. We presently share and compete on a common basis for access to existing facilities – which is definitely more preferable.

2. I would like the Commission to consider moving the boundaries north and south to keep the electorate of Warrego uniform with its town and Councils having similar population and needs. Taking a large, sparsely populated area on to the extreme boundary edge of an electorate that has its most heavily populated areas over 600km away would critically hinder the smaller populated areas in all Government matters. (No equality due to different needs of the areas within the proposed Gregory Boundary changes.)

3. As Warrego electors’ needs will remain, their demand for direct access to their member will not diminish. Therefore, it is going to cost the Member (taxpayer) considerable extra cost in travel and accommodation. Therefore, again, it would make economic sense to allow a third electoral office in Charleville. In the event of boundary changes going ahead this would be imperative.

Thanking you for the opportunity to appeal against these changes.

[Signature]

[Date: 16 MAY 1999]
14 Keitel Close
BRIDGEMAN DOWNS  Q  4035

May 9, 1999

Dear Sir,

I wish to draw your attention to the small pocket of housing in Greenwoods Estate located in Keitel Close and Goldberg Place, Bridgeman Downs. These streets do not seem to appear on the map provided with the proposed changed electoral division boundaries.

These streets, which currently can only be accessed from Paramount Circuit, McDowall (see attached map) contain just a few households and appear to be an anomaly in that they are located in the suburb of Bridgeman Downs, but only accessible from McDowall. The local newspaper and most advertising we receive is usually for McDowall, Telecom list this area as McDowall and Australia Post run a special delivery to the few houses in this small estate in Bridgeman Downs. These houses appear to be not identified by candidates and are ignored during most elections.

We have also had the experience of going to the local polling booth at McDowall School only to find that we had to enter an absentee vote for our electorate.

While I appreciate that the boundary for the division is Althorp Road, I would suggest that consideration be given to either including the estate in McDowall rather than Aspley or notifying all candidates for future elections of the existence of this estate so that an informed vote can be made by the residents of this estate.

Yours sincerely,

[Signature]
Margaret Duckworth.
10 May, 1999

The Honourable Judge J P Shanahan
Chairperson
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE 9001

Dear Judge Shanahan

Please find attached the Australian Labor Party Submission on objections to the proposed boundaries for Queensland’s 89 State Electorates.

The ALP would like to thank the Commission for the opportunity to submit this report and welcomes the ability to make further comments at a later stage.

Please do not hesitate to contact me if you require clarification of any matters contained in this report.

Yours sincerely,

[Signature]

Mike Kaiser
STATE SECRETARY
ALP State Redistribution Objections Report

The Australian Labor Party welcomes the opportunity to make a submission to the Queensland Redistribution Commission regarding objections to the boundaries as proposed in Draft Proposal of Queensland State Electorates.

The ALP has two broad objections. The first is the naming conventions used by the Redistribution Commission and the second relates to specific suggestions to better accommodate community of interest in the proposed electorates.

1.0 Naming Conventions

The ALP welcomes the Redistribution Commissions desire to alleviate elector confusion in the naming of electorates. These concerns were raised in a number of original submissions and also flows from a genuine desire to enfranchise as many electors as possible.

The attempt by the Commissioners to try to resolve voter error in absentee and other forms of voting by addressing naming conventions is laudable. However the ALP believes strongly that the changing of names in regional centres will lead to greater confusion, not less in the voting process.

1.1 The addition of the word ‘Central’ to Regional City Electorates

Specifically the ALP objects to the addition of the word ‘Central’ to the electorates of Cairns, Townsville, Mackay, Rockhampton and Ipswich.

The ALP objects on the following grounds:

i) That these electorates are already at the geographic centre of these regional communities and so the addition of the word ‘Central’ is administratively unnecessary.

ii) That the addition of the word ‘Central’ may increase voter error. The use of Central implies in many other instances to the central business district or the commercial hub of a regional city. An elector who lives say on Magnetic Island, in Bayview heights, Slade Point, Frenchville or Yamanto may better regard themselves as living in the area of the respective regional cities, but almost certainly not in the centre of their regional city.

iii) There are strong historical grounds for the retention of electorate names, many dating directly from their proclamation.

iv) That certainly in Cairns and elsewhere commercial shopping developments are already known by names like ‘Cairns Central’ and that this could lead again to greater confusion.

v) That the name change represents significant administrative costs for little electoral or administrative benefit.
1.2 Review the continued use of the name Thuringowa

The issue of minimising elector confusion is best handled by retaining the existing names of electorates wherever possible and instead using naming conventions like Ipswich and Ipswich West. The ALP also submits that for similar grounds that Thuringowa should be altered to Townsville West.

i) The ALP acknowledges the arguments in the Thuringowa section relating to the proposal to retain the name of the electorate as Thuringowa. However in line with broad assessment that elector confusion should be minimised by the naming conventions that this new electorate should be called Townsville West.

ii) The clearest reason for this is that the proposed electorate of Thuringowa contains a number of Townsville City suburbs. The ALP believes this may cause greater confusion, rather than naming it as Townsville West like the way the Commission has decided to in other parts of Queensland like Ipswich and Ipswich West.

iii) Further support for the name change is the historical use of the name in the past and the Commissions recognition on page 11 where they state “Thuringowa (which includes the Townsville suburbs of Kirwan, Rasmussen and Kelso as well as developing coastal areas to the north of the city)”

1.3 Further objections to changed electorate names

The ALP understands that where significant boundary change has taken place then there are good grounds for changing the name of the proposed electorate. Therefore the name changes such as Pumicestone, Glasshouse and Stafford are supported.

The ALP however does object to the unnecessary name changes to the electorate of Mansfield(Burbank), Algester( Archerfield), Kallangur(Narangba).

Both the names Burbank and Narangba refer to quite small communities within the respective electorates whereas both Kallangur and Mansfield are still the largest single suburbs in the proposed electorates. Archerfield still represents a significant landmark in the electorate of Algester as proposed by the ALP.

2.0 Specific Objections to Boundaries of Proposed Electorates.

For ease of reading the ALPs' objections submission the ALP will follow the broad grouping of electorates in the Redistribution Commissions Proposed Boundaries Report.

Though the ALP made an original submission that in a number of places significantly differed from the proposed boundaries by the Redistribution Commission, the ALP believes that role of the objections phase is to offer better
alternatives rather than continue to maintain arguments that have clearly already been given due consideration by the Redistribution Commission.

2.1 Electoral Districts Above 100,000 Sq Kms

The ALP is in broad agreement with the boundaries as proposed.

2.2 Northern Queensland

The ALP broadly agrees however believes that the proposed boundaries could better encompass some of the community of interest criteria as outlined in the Act.

2.2.1 The Mackay Region

The ALP believes that an area of East Mackay should be returned to the electorate of Mackay. This area of Mackay has been in the State seat of Mackay since its Proclamation in 1878 and the residents have strong historical, educational, recreational, social and business links to the seat of Mackay.

The only access that people in this part of Mackay have to the electorate of Mirani is along Bridge road through the proposed electorate of Mackay Central. They are completely isolated from the electorate of Mirani.

The ALP would suggest the following alternations to the proposed seat of Mackay Central.

i) continue the boundary along Milton Street to Boundary Road and then the boundary should continue east along Boundary Road to the centre of the midstream of Bakers Creek. This alteration would in effect include the Mackay Airport and the residents of East Mackay as a whole. There is not potential for further urban growth in this area because the area is surrounded by wetlands, ocean and the Mackay Airport Reserve.

ii) continue the proposed boundary of the electorate of Mirani east of the Bruce Highway at the northern end of the Ron Camm Bridge then in an easterly direction to the intersection of Sams Road and Riverside Drive down in a southerly direction to the Northern bank of the Pioneer River and west along the river to the eastern side of the Bruce Highway at the northern end of the Ron Camm Bridge, which includes the streets of Riversleigh Drive, Hilda Court, Well Court and Finge Street, in the suburb of Riversleigh and the streets (currently unnamed) in the new suburb of the Oasis development.

iii) This alteration excluding the suburbs of Riversleigh and the Oasis development from the proposed seat of Mackay
Central Queensland

The ALP has no specific objections in this area.

2.4 Wide Bay and Burnett Area

The ALP has no specific objections in this area.

2.5 The Sunshine Coast Area

The ALP believes that one small change would better improve the communities within the electorate of Noosa.

The ALP believes that the Eumundi community has close ties to the Noosa region and should remain within the electorate of Noosa.

To assist with the increased enrolments in Noosa it is proposed to combine the areas of Coolum and Marcoola in the electorate of Maroochydore. The combination of Coolum Beach and Marcoola in the one electorate is supported by the similar era and style of urban developments in the respective areas.

The electorate of Nicklin in losing the Eumundi area would need to pick up an area like Woombye from the electorate of Maroochydore.

Two more minor changes to the boundaries of Nicklin would reduce elector confusion and better satisfy the community of interest criteria. These changes are to align the western boundary of Nicklin to the range line and for the whole of the township of Eudlo to be integrated into the electorate of Glasshouse.

2.6 The Area between Brisbane and the Sunshine Coast.

The ALP is in broad agreement with the boundaries as drafted.

2.7 Southern Queensland.

The ALP is in broad agreement with the boundaries as drafted.

2.8 The Ipswich area
The ALP only has one specific objection to the boundaries amongst the electorates of Ipswich. These changes relate to maintaining the essential nature of Ipswich West as an urban electorate based on the western suburbs of Ipswich.

The ALP proposes two changes to further enhance the community of interest arguments in relation to the proposed boundaries for Ipswich West.

The ALP proposes that the boundary of Ipswich West and Ipswich be returned to the current federal boundary of Blair and Oxley. This is a recognised electoral boundary and would require the return of the contiguous suburb of Churchill to the electorate of Ipswich West.

The increased population in Ipswich West can be accommodated by a further improvement in the north west boundary with Nanango.

The ALP proposes that the boundary in this area should be the Lockyer Creek and the Brisbane River. This is a major natural boundary and defines different styles of development. Nanango has an essential rural nature, while Ipswich West is an established suburban electorate with newer extensions of those suburbs. Placing essentially rural acreage into this electorate contravenes the clear community of interest criteria.

2.9 The Gold Coast Area

The ALP agrees broadly with the direction of the Redistribution Commissions drafting of boundaries.

2.10 The area between the Gold Coast and Brisbane.

The ALP believes that a number of changes to the electorate of Logan would assist to better define the boundaries of this rapidly growing electorate.

The ALP proposes that the area of Greenbank west of the Interstate Railway line should be removed and placed in the electorate of Lockyer. Clearly the railway line is a significant barrier to lines of communication and transport for these residents.

Similarly the ALP believes that a minor change in the Stockleigh area – using the Logan River as the boundary – moving it from Logan to Beaudesert would assist with a better physical boundary than the current proposal.

A further minor change between Redlands and Springwood removing four streets in the Paradise Road area into the rest of Shailer Park from Redlands would greatly reduce elector confusion and is supported on all community of interest criteria.

2.11 Brisbane South of the Brisbane River
The ALP believes very strongly that the Brisbane Southside area has a series of changes required to enhance the community of interest criteria to be followed by the Redistribution Commission. The ALP believes that the boundaries of Chatsworth, Mount Ommaney, Mount Gravatt, Yeerongpilly and Algester could all be improved by minor modifications to include areas of greater community of interest.

2.11.1 Chatsworth

This submission requests that the portion of Carina and Camp Hill which is bounded by Stanley Road, Creek Road, Meadowlands Road, Bulimba Creek from Bulimba Creek through Council Reserve to Billan Street Creek Road, Darcy Road, Tranter's Avenue be returned to the electorate of Chatsworth. It is submitted that this area has a far more significant community of interest with the other portions of Camp Hill, Carina and Carindale, which are within the electorate of Chatsworth, than with the electorate of Bulimba. This area has a very strong community, which is built on links with such areas as the Clem Jones Centre as well as the majority of cultural and educational activities being within this electorate of Chatsworth.

The Commission at page 5 of its report state "Local Government areas are appropriate community of interest building blocks". The boundary suggested above conforms more closely to the suburb boundaries than that proposed by the Electoral Commission and therefore better meets the "Community of Interest" criteria.

To place this area back into the electorate of Chatsworth would return approximately 3300 electors into Chatsworth, to compensate for this shift it would be necessary to make further changes.

The following is submitted as changes to compensate. The previous boundary between Bulimba and Lytton be reinstated thereby placing approximately 1200 electors back in Bulimba from Lytton. If this boundary were reinstated it would comply with the principle laid down by the Commission on page 5 of its report that "changes to existing (electorate) boundaries should be kept to a minimum".

The ALP strongly submits that the boundary between Bulimba and Lytton should be Kianawah Road as this provides a clearly identifiable boundary for residents in the area. Kianawah Road is a common boundary with the current band proposed boundary of the BCC Ward of Wynnnum/Manly. It is essential that all areas east of Kianawah Road remain within Lytton due its unique(for an urban area) local community of interest. This unique community of interest has been recognised in that all original submissions to the Commission (QRC –S15, 26,31, 32, 34, 35 and 36) have without exception all agreed on the principle of that the core area of Lytton
should contain all areas east of Kianawah Road and in the south all areas of Manly West.

For the electorate of Lytton, the area of Chatsworth bounded by Wondall Road, Manly Road and New Cleveland Road, Dairy Swamp Road, Belmont Road, Gateway Arterial Road to the suburban boundary of Mackenzie and Belmont, Prout Street, Tingalpa Creek, Lota Creek, Whites Road, Manly Road, Catamaran Street, Graduate Street, Radford Road thereby placing approximately 2800 electors from Chatsworth to Lytton. This arrangement keeps suburbs together in recognition of the Commissions criteria of using Local Government areas as “Community of Interest” building blocks.

These proposed changes should provide the following approximate electors for each electorate:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Electorate</th>
<th>Electors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chatsworth</td>
<td>25556</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bulimba</td>
<td>25121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lytton</td>
<td>27645</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It is further submitted that in considering these proposed enrolments, consideration needs to be given to a new growth area in the Bulimba electorate at the Cannon Hill Sale Yards which has the potential to house 2500 electors.

2.11.2 Algester

The ALP is concerned that the proposed division of Algester contains significant growth pressures, is not based on major transport boundaries and contains a number of different community centres.

The ALP believes minor adjustments to the proposed boundaries will better spread the growth pressures, provide a division bounded by clear arterial boundaries, improve the community cohesion within the division and displace fewer voters within the current Archerfield boundaries.

To achieve this it is proposed that the division continue to be named Archerfield and be based on the following:

i) The Northern boundary of the proposed division be Ipswich, Granard and Riawena Roads. This change would transfer the suburbs of Archerfield and Coopers Plains from the proposed division of Yeerongpilly into Algester/Archerfield and divide the two divisions by a clear East-West arterial route

ii) The Eastern boundary remain as drawn but only continue south to the Logan Motorway. This enables the growth areas of Calamvale and Parkinson to remain in their
existing and proposed divisions with these communities maintaining the clear boundaries of Mount Lindesay Highway and Jackson Road

iii) The Southern boundary be the Logan Motorway. This is a significant boundary that clearly distinguishes the developing communities within the division from the areas of Forestdale and the Greenbank military reserve which share little geographic association or community of interest with the rest of the proposed or existing division.

iv) It is proposed that the relatively small number of voters affected by this be included in the division of Lockyer and that the Northern boundary of Lockyer be the Logan Motorway.

v) The Western boundary continue to be Blunder Road however the boundary should continue down Blunder Road to the Logan Motorway. This amendment would place the suburb of Forest Lake into the division of Inala. Forest Lake has little community of interest with the rest of the proposed division and is separated from the other major community centres in the electorate by the railway line, Oxley Creek and Blunder Creek.

By amending the proposed division of Algester as outlined and continuing with the current name of Archerfield minimal voter displacement is achieved.

In addition growth pressures from the proposed division are relieved, a division based on clear arterial boundaries is created and community of interest is maintained through the inclusion of population centres contained within the current boundaries, all of which are serviced by common transport routes.

2.11.3 Mount Gravatt

The ALP believes that a minor adjustment on the western boundary between Mount Gravatt and Yeerongpilly. This minor adjustment involves that section of the suburb of Salisbury bounded by Kessels Road and Orange Grove Road (Rosebank Square). This area is in the current electorate of Mount Gravatt and the ALP believes that this section should be returned in the proposed Mount Gravatt for clear community of interest arguments.

2.11.4 Mount Ommaney

The ALP believes that there is a clear alternative to the proposed Mount Ommaney that would benefit the community in areas such as commercial and educational catchment areas, socio-economic mix, public service and utilities service areas, recreational and
vi) All Oxley residents would remain in the same electorate as their own shopping centre and railway station. The railway line links the suburbs of Corinda, Oxley and Darra.

vii) Darra railway station is shared by Centenary residents as well as Darra residents.

viii) Oxley State School and Oxley High School would remain in the same electorate.

ix) Mt Ommaney Police Station services all suburbs in the existing Mt Ommaney electorate and Darra to the Ipswich Motorway.

x) Oxley residents would maintain links with the Corinda Shopping Centre and access to the Commonwealth Bank at Oxley.

xi) The Mt Ommaney and Corinda libraries are utilised by Oxley and Darra residents. A resource centre exists specifically for Vietnamese people of Darra in the Mt Ommaney library. People in Darra frequently use these resources.

xii) The Oxley Post Office, currently in the Mt Ommaney electorate serves all Oxley residents, some Corinda residents and most Seventeen Mile Rocks residents.

xiii) The Centenary and Districts Chamber of Commerce have members in business from Darra, Oxley and the Centenary suburbs.

xiv) The Oxley Senior Citizens Club, the Oxley Progress Association are long-standing community groups in the Oxley area and these would not be split if the Oxley suburb was maintained as a whole in the Mt Ommaney electorate. They would find it difficult to lobby government authorities as a split community.

xv) Centenary residents frequently use shops and restaurants in the Darra area.

xvi) Combining Oxley, Darra and the Centenary suburbs would provide strong cultural links with these communities and their families.

xvii) A number of community groups have previously written submissions supporting such a change to the Redistribution Commission. These include the Vietnamese Forum for Multiculturalism, Focus on Youth and CRAMP (Concerned Residents Against More Prisons Group).
social patterns, transport accessibility and these can be used to demonstrate significant communities of interest as described below.

a) The current proposal by the Redistribution Commission suggests that Mount Ommaney’s southern border be changed to exclude part of Oxley and changes the boundary from Ipswich Road to Englefield Road. It also maintains an inconsistent northern boundary which splits the suburb of Sherwood between Mt Ommaney and Indooroopilly electorates.

b) The alternative proposal suggests that all of Oxley is kept within the Mt Ommaney electorate but the part of the suburb of Sherwood be excluded so that the electorate has whole suburbs and not part suburbs with the natural boundary being the suburb boundary of Corinda/Sherwood and retaining the natural boundary of Ipswich Road to include Darra.

c) This would result in less confusion by Sherwood voters which is currently split and maintain the whole suburb of Oxley in the electorate of Mt Ommaney which would be unchanged.

d) The alternative boundaries for the Mt Ommaney electorate would be Quarry Street and Jerrold Streets (natural southern boundary for the suburb of Sherwood), bounded thence by that road easterly to Oxley Creek, by that creek upwards to Ipswich Road, along Ipswich Road to the Centenary Highway to Sumners Road, along Sumners Road to Wolston Creek.

There are clear arguments that support the ALP’s submitted changes:

i) All electors in Mt Ommaney would have a consistent State and Federal electoral boundaries.

ii) Accessing existing State and Federal Members would be easier due to availability of public transport and private transport routes.

iii) If Darra was also included in the Mt Ommaney electorate, residents would not have to cross the Ipswich motorway to access their local member.

iv) The name “Oxley” has historical importance and resonance for the people who reside there. To artificially split the suburb detracts from the long-standing identification the people associate with that suburb. The age demographics of this area denotes the long time establishment of this suburb.

v) Bus routes serve the suburbs of Oxley, Corinda, Seventeen Mile Rocks, Sinnamon Park and link to all shopping centres including Mt Ommaney, Oxley, Corinda, Sinnamon Park.
In conclusion the changes will maintain consistency for people in the existing Mt Ommaney electorate and cause less confusion. This enables whole suburbs and communities of interest to remain intact. The use of natural boundaries will make it easier for electors to determine their correct State Electorate and will ensure better access to their local member. Communities of interest will be enhanced by keeping school communities together, taking into consideration current transport routes, State service, public facilities, local community groups and shopping centres. Elector numbers will remain within the prescribed maximum quota for Mt Ommaney and surrounding electorates.

2.11 Brisbane North of the Brisbane River

The ALP believes that the boundaries of three electorates could be improved with minor changes to Everton, Stafford and Aspley. The change involves the following:

a) Returning sections of Stafford to the electorate of Everton bounded by Trouts Road, Redwood Street, Appleby and Shand Streets and extending south to the Kedron Brook. This area is centred around the shopping centre in Everton Park at the intersection of Stafford Road and South Pine Road. Appleby Road is a major physical boundary as it is a major arterial road. All of this area falls into the same postcode of 4053.

b) That to compensate Stafford for this change that area of Chermside north of Hamilton Road, previously in the electorate of Chermside be returned to the electorate of Stafford. Clearly this area share similar shopping facilities like Chermside Shopping Centre and the associated services hub that is around Chermside.

c) That Aspley take back that area of McDowall and Bridgeman Downs to reunite these two communities in the one electorate.

QRC OBJ 869
07 May 1999

Mrs GE Aurisch
Secretary
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

Dear Mrs Aurisch

QUEENSLAND STATE ELECTORAL REDISTRIBUTION – REPORT ON THE PROPOSED REDISTRIBUTION

I refer to your correspondence dated 7 April 1999, in which you enclosed the Reasons, Descriptions and Maps of the Proposed Queensland Electoral Districts.

This matter was considered by Council during its May meetings, with Council resolving to support the proposal of the proposed electorate of Beaudesert, in that the existing Beaudesert electoral district is to be expanded westward to join with the whole of the enlarged Boonah Shire (including the area to be transferred to Boonah Shire from Ipswich City on conclusion of the March 2000 Local Government Elections). I reiterate our previous advice that considers that all of Boonah Shire should be within a single electorate together with other areas of like interest in rural pursuits.

I also confirm that Council has attended to the exhibition of the detailed material supplied at the Boonah Shire Public Library for public inspection, as per your request. May I suggest that Council be supplied with its own copy of material in future for reference purposes.

Yours faithfully

IAN C FLINT
Chief Executive Officer
Dear Sir or Madam,

Our association had our AGM last week where one of our guest speakers brought along a copy of the Proposed Queensland Electoral Districts. We were surprised to find that the proposed boundary divides our hinterland town of Mapleton at the northern end.

This morning I went to the library, as suggested by someone from your office, to examine the supposed large maps that shown where the actual boundaries run, as the maps in the book are totally inadequate, and an extensive knowledge is required to work out whose property is affected. I also went to the electoral office in Nambour to find a detailed map of the area but I found there was no such map.

Mapleton has increased in size in the last few years and perhaps the proposed boundaries do not allow for this increase.

It is very unclear where these boundaries are, and maybe the process should be reviewed so that those people that are most affected by the changes, be informed as to the actual changes. The system is one that is very difficult to understand, and could leave a lot of people disenfranchised. Why is my neighbour across College Road in Nanango, and I am still in Nicklin. The fact that part of the Sunshine Coast hinterland should be represented by a state member whose centre is based in Kingaroy is quite illogical.

Our Association is strongly against the division of the hinterland of the Sunshine Coast, and more specifically the town of Mapleton.

Yours faithfully,

Vicki Locke.
Committee. Blackall Range Land Use Planning Assoc. Inc.
Sunday, 9 May 1999

Queensland Redistribution Commission,
Level 6, Forestry House
160 Mary Street
Brisbane Qld 4000

Telephone: 3227 6219
Facsimile: 3229 7391

Members of the Queensland Redistribution Commission,

The members of the Australian Labor Party - Clayfield EEC would like to propose a change of name for the electorate. Accordingly, we submit an objection to the proposed Queensland electoral district as outlined in the introduction to Proposed Queensland Electoral Districts - Reasons, Descriptions and Maps.

The main basis for our objection lies in the fact that the name Clayfield no longer represents the community of interest of the electorate as a whole. Residents of suburbs like Pinkenba or Nundah would generally have little to do with Clayfield itself, and the suburb of Clayfield represents only a small proportion of the land area covered by the electorate.

As alternate names, we propose the following as a by no means exhaustive list of better names:

- Nundah - Covers a large population centre;
- Toombul - Parish covers a large area and Toombul Shopping town draws people from all parts of the electorate;
- Kinsford-Smith - Apart from being the name of an Australian pioneer, Kinsford-Smith Drive is an easily identifiable local landmark;
- Airport or Gateway - Also obvious landmarks located within the electorate.

In closing, the name Clayfield no longer truly describes this electorate and there are a number of easily identifiable names, any of which could, and should be used to replace it.

Regards,

Anthony Bigby
Secretary/Treasurer
Clayfield EEC - Australian Labor Party.
Dear Sir/Madam,

Re: Proposed Queensland Legislative Assembly Electoral Districts

The Roma Town Council wishes to object to the proposed changes to the electoral district boundaries, in particular the abolition of the Western Downs electoral district and the proposed boundaries of the Warrego electoral district.

Section 47(1)(a) of the Electoral Act 1992 states that in preparing the proposed redistribution, the commission must consider the extent to which there is a community of economic, social regional or other interests within each proposed electoral district.

Considering the dimensions of the proposed Warrego electoral district (approximately 1000km from east to west) it is difficult to see how the residents of Chinchilla could have any community of interest with the residents of Thargomindah. Although both centres rely heavily on primary production for their economic well being, the type of agricultural industry varies from intensive small cropping in the east, to broad acre grazing on a vast scale in the west. The residents of these centres would have little or no social contact due to the fact that they are approximately six hundred and fifty kilometres apart and are located in distinctly different regions of the State.

Section 47(1)(b) of the Electoral Act 1992 also states that in preparing the proposed redistribution, the commission must consider the ways of communication and travel within each proposed electoral district.

Roma is the only population centre within the proposed Warrego electoral district that has a regular air transport service. Therefore, unless the sitting member is given a special allowance for regular aircraft charter, most travelling within Warrego will have to be by road. If the proposed boundaries of the Warrego electorate remain as they are, it would take a minimum of ten hours, driving non-stop, to cross the electorate from east to west. This is clearly an impossible task for one person to undertake on a regular basis. Due to the constraints of time and distance, Council believes that the residents of the proposed Warrego electoral district will receive a sub standard level of representation compared to residents in
metropolitan areas, where the residents have easy access to their sitting members. With regard to communication, information technology innovations such as video conferencing cannot be used to defeat the tyranny of distance, due to the generally poor state of telecommunications in rural and remote areas.

Section 47(1)(c) of the Electoral Act 1992 also states that in preparing the proposed redistribution, the commission must consider the physical features of each proposed electoral district.

The proposed Warrego electorate includes three distinct geographical areas; the Warrego or far southwest, the Maranoa and the western Darling Downs. Each of these regions have their own particular issues that need to be addressed, such as water and land use. The sitting member will be expected to have a working knowledge of the issues associated with three distinct catchment areas, as opposed to most members who will deal with the issues relating to one region.

Section 47(3) of the Electoral Act 1992 states that commission may give such weight to the matters above mentioned, as it considers appropriate. Council would dispute that these matters have been given any consideration at all. While the primary consideration for electoral redistribution is based on the average number of enrolled electors, rural and remote areas can expect to receive a lower standard of representation then that afforded to the residents of metropolitan areas.

Yours faithfully

[Signature]

Russell J Hood
Chief Executive Officer
OBJECTION - AND PROPOSAL FOR A SENSIBLE CHANGE TO THE PROPOSED BOUNDARIES OF THE ELECTORATE OF IPSWICH WEST.

This submission makes a case for a change in the northern boundaries of the proposed new borders of the electorate of Ipswich West which would be quite easy to make.

The changes we are seeking would ensure local people would have representation - irrespective of political party - that would be more closely in touch with our interests than if we were part of an electorate focussed on the city.

The proposed boundaries have the electorate of Ipswich West extending out in a northerly and north-westerly direction and covering our quiet, small rural communities.

We believe we would be better represented if the boundary was drawn along the natural dividing line of Lockyer Creek, following it from the west, and then along the Brisbane River until it crosses the present eastern boundary of the proposed Ipswich West electorate.

The section in which we live could then be absorbed by either the seat of Lockyer on the west or Nanango to the north.

We have a great deal more in common with people in those areas - and in particular with the rural centre of Gatton - than we do with the city of Ipswich.

We would appreciate it very much if you would consider changing the electoral boundaries so we can feel we are in an electorate with people who share much the same needs and aspirations we do.

Yours sincerely,

[Signature]

[Signature]

10 MAY 1999
6 May 1999

Queensland Redistribution Commission
Level 6 Forestry House
160 Mary Street
BRISBANE QLD 4000

Dear Sir,

At a recent meeting of Council I was requested to submit an objection to Croydon Shire being included in the Mount Isa electorate.

When citing reasons for the decision on boundaries it was mentioned that the Mount Isa electorate would not extend south because that would cut across existing road and rail routes which run east - west. Croydon is in the same situation. Croydon Shire is serviced primarily from the Cairns/Atherton Tablelands area. I also note that Etheridge Shire has been included in the Charters Towers Electorate. The same argument applies to them.

Croydon Shire is within the Cairns District for all State Government Departments except for the Department of Environment, and our current Member’s electoral office is also situated in Cairns. When any Shire resident seeks help from our present Member, his staff can easily access relevant Departments for advice or further information. It seems improbable that an electoral office in Cloncurry, some 1100 kms from Cairns, could provide the same sort of service as our current Member’s office does.

Electorate figures show an obvious population drift from the Rural Districts. The proposed changes will only make matters worse as time goes by. This is indicated by your own estimates for June 2005:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Electorate</th>
<th>21/12/'98</th>
<th>June 2005</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cook</td>
<td>- 6.7</td>
<td>-5.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charters Towers</td>
<td>+0.7</td>
<td>-14.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mount Isa</td>
<td>+4.4</td>
<td>-9.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gregory</td>
<td>+6.4</td>
<td>-4.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

I suggest that the Commission bites the bullet now and adds Croydon and Etheridge Shires (estimated 1000 voters) to the proposed Cook electorate. I further suggest that the Commission abolish
Charters Towers, Mount Isa and Gregory electorates and, instead, create two new electorates. There are probably some small areas with larger populations closer to the east coast which could be added to other electorates to balance the quotas. The proposed two new western electorates would be comprised of areas which have far more in common with each other and would easily meet the population quotas for now and in future years.

Finally, I would like to suggest further that the current Quota system be seriously looked at and concessions be made. It is suggested that a second quota be established for areas west of the Great Dividing Range so that the bush still has the same say and voting power as the urban areas. After all, it is in the bush where most of the State's Gross Domestic Product is generated.

I thank you for your time and request that you give serious consideration to Council's suggestions.

Yours faithfully

[Signature]

Peter J. Tigemann
CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER
SUBMISSION ON THE PROPOSED NEW BOUNDARIES OF
IPSWICH WEST.

We live in a rural setting in what has now become part of the electorate of
Ipswich West.

It is our strong opinion that our area is likely to get less effective representation
because it is now included in an electorate that is strongly dominated by suburban
and city dwellers. The vast majority of the interests of those voters is directly
connected to the urban areas of Ipswich.

We understand there will always be problems dealing with the concerns of people like
us who have elected to live at some distance removed from the fringes of cities.

However, we believe there is a simple solution to our problem.

We would ask you to consider ending the western and northern border of Ipswich
West at the natural boundary that is provided by the course of Lockyer Creek and the
Brisbane River.

That natural line would excise our distinct rural area which could then be included
in one of the two rural electorates that adjoin us - either Lockyer or Nanango.

We have much more in common in terms of community of interest with people living in
those electorates. We normally do our shopping and business in Gatton - rather than
Ipswich.

There is strong support for this sort of solution in our area - and quite strong
opposition to us being included in Ipswich West where we fear we will be forgotten
fringe dwellers.

We would be grateful if you would consider our suggestion,

Yours faithfully,
T. P. Flood

SITARYN FLOOD

18 MAY 1999
Queensland Electoral Redistribution

I note that the town of Kenilworth is proposed to be included in the new electorate of Nanango along with other small towns situated in the Mary Valley, Brooloo and Conondale.

I wish to strenuously object to this arrangement on the grounds that the towns of Kenilworth, Brooloo and Conondale have no community of interest with the towns of the Nanango area and the area shown as included in the proposed electorate. Rather they are physically, commercially and socially connected to the areas of Nambour, Gympie, Maroochydore and the Sunshine Coast Hinterland area.

In addition:

1. These three towns rely for their major commerce on the coastal towns of Gympie, Nambour and Maleny. They have no direct road connection with the Nanango area and no business or social connection with that area.

2. For these towns the Health Support Systems are all based in Nambour, Maleny or Gympie. Such services as hospitals, specialist services and aged facilities are all located East of our towns, never in a Westward direction.

3. Gaining access to the Parliamentarian for these towns would be very difficult as all access roads run in an Easterly direction. Likewise, servicing this area would prove difficult for the elected incumbent.

I therefore, request that the redistribution of electoral boundaries include the towns of Kenilworth, Brooloo and Conondale in an area based on the Coast.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Name Lisa Dunham
Address Lot 17 Chinaman Creek Road
Cambroon 4652
Ph. 54 460 551

Date 10.05.99
CONONDALE COMMUNITY FORUM 4 MAY 1999
RESPONSE TO AMENDMENTS TO
PROPOSED QUEENSLAND ELECTORAL BOUNDARIES APRIL 1999

Suggested Amendments to boundaries of the proposed new electorate of
GLASSHOUSE

An outline of Conondale

The small settlements of Conondale and Crystal Waters are enclosed within the ridges and valleys of the west side of the Maleny–Mapleton plateau.

Conondale has a long-time connection with Maleny. Both were developed simultaneously in the late 1800s–early 1900s for forestry and agricultural purposes. Maleny is the business, shopping, social, sporting and cultural centre of the area, with mail service to Conondale originating in Maleny and both sharing the same postcode.

There is only one shop, a convenience store/petrol pump in Conondale and one State Primary school. Most Conondale children attend Conondale State School and then carry on to either Maleny State High School or Kenilworth P–10 State School.

Conondale has little, if any, communality with Nanango. The mountains of the Great Dividing Range form a complete barrier to communication and communality. The road from Conondale passes through Maleny in one direction, then a long, circuitous route before assessing the towns and villages of Nanango electorate. In the other direction, the road from Conondale passes through Kenilworth and continues eastwards away from the Nanango electorate.

The proposal involves adding the communities of Willia, Conondale, Crystal Waters, Belthorpe and Booreebin into the electorate of GLASSHOUSE. The electorate now has 24,540 electors, the change effects approximately 2,000 electors. The lower end of the GLASSHOUSE electorate could be incorporated into the Coolumture end of Pumicestone.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proposed Electorate</th>
<th>1996 enrol. (deviation)</th>
<th>2005 enrol. (deviation)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Glasshouse</td>
<td>24,640 (0.5%)</td>
<td>32,166 (+1.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nanango</td>
<td>26,917 (+8%)</td>
<td>30,183 (+5.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nicklin</td>
<td>25,540 (+3%)</td>
<td>35,837 (+24.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pumicestone</td>
<td>22,879 (-7%)</td>
<td>32,624 (+13%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Glasshouse will be average. It is expected to grow above the preferred 10%. Nanango now has more electors than Glasshouse, but is not expected to grow as much. Pumicestone is now well below Glasshouse, but is expected to grow to exceed the 10% limit.

Information supplied by the Sunshine Coast Rural Landholders Association.
CONONDALE COMMUNITY FORUM 4 MAY 1979
RESPONSE TO AMENDMENTS TO
PROPOSED QUEENSLAND ELECTORAL BOUNDARIES APRIL 1979
SEAT OF NANANGO

3.

Employment cont'd

* What Kingaroy needs regarding employment will not be identical to what Conondale needs.

Political Power:

* Priorities of Kingaroy area will be preferred to Conondale area ... much smaller number of voters.
* Will the elected representative spend time in our area?
* Conondale residents will have very little influence in the State Government sphere of operations.

Electrical Power:

* Conondale is already on low priority during power problems - How will our needs be affected during periods of blackout, load sharing, etc. in relation to the needs of a much greater population base.

Emergency Services:

* Where are headquarters to be? How will both sides of the range bear up in emergency ... access?
* Will need to split organisation to cope with different situations.

Industrial Relations:

* Workers find work in high density populations on the Coast ... never further west.
* All Government offices and institutions relevant to us are situated on the Coast.

Roads:

* The impracticality of having isolated representation.
* How will our priorities for roads fare against those determined on the bulk of the electorate which has a different geographical orientation?
* What about our current roads, bridges, culverts, etc. These need attention now! Kingaroy representative may not be able to visit to recognise problem in the event of flood, etc.
* How long would it take a representative from Kingaroy to impact on our roads?
* Government plans to allow "little used" roads to revert to dirt, will make it even harder to drive to.
CONONDALE COMMUNITY FORUM 4 MAY 1999
RESPONSE TO AMENDMENTS TO
PROPOSED QUEENSLAND ELECTORAL BOUNDARIES APRIL 1999
SEAT OF NANANGO

Road cont.: see your M.P.
A State Rep. living on the western side of Kenilworth Forestry would have to appreciation of problems on the western side.

Other comments:
* Relations with local coastal councils.
* Access to State Parliamentary Representative ... there would be little.
* Overt influence by Kingaroy.
* Proposed area out of balance both geographically and logically.
* There appears to be no logic other than the numbers ... that Kingaroy area has no relevance for us.
The Secretary
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE 9001
Fax. 3225 2691
Ph. 1800 801665

RE: Proposed Queensland Electoral Boundaries
Redistribution
Proposed Seat of Nanango

I would like to strongly voice my discontent at the proposed Queensland Electoral Boundaries Redistribution of the Seat of Nanango and the effect this will have on my community of Conondale.

The hinterland communities including my community of Conondale have no ties to the community of Kingaroy or the district of Nanango and feel it would be detrimental for the areas of Conondale, Crystal Waters, Witta, Belthorpe, Boorobin, Reeseville and Kenilworth to be included within these electoral boundaries.

I believe it would be beneficial for the proposed boundary between Glasshouse and Nanango to be redrawn to include the above communities in Glasshouse.

There is a strong community of interest between these communities and Maleny, the major town in the area. They are joined by geographic and topographic features and share communality and homogeneity through agricultural, pastoral and tourism industries. Maleny is the shopping, business, cultural and social centre of our area.

All these communities have little or no communality with Nanango. The mountains of the Great Dividing Range form a complete barrier to communication and communality with Nanango.

I hope you look favourably upon my objection and the suggested alternative.

Yours sincerely,

[Signature]

On behalf of:

Address details: Conondale Community Farm
Cl. Peter Pamment, Chair
Cl. Knucks Road,
Conondale 4652 Ph. 54944562

10 MAY 1999
RECEIVED
CONONDALE COMMUNITY FORUM
4 MAY 1999

RESPONSE TO AMENDMENTS TO
PROPOSED QUEENSLAND ELECTORAL BOUNDARIES APRIL 1999

SEAT OF NANANGO

Attendees of the Conondale Community Forum held on 4 May raised the following fears and concerns regarding the proposed amendments to the Queensland Electoral Boundaries and the proposed Seat of Nanango and would like their strong opposition, highlighted in these concerns, to be brought to the attention of the Queensland Redistribution Commission and the State Electoral Commission.

Communication:

* Distance to communicate with Member of Parliament based in Kingaroy
* No direct transport route between Conondale and Kingaroy.
* Cost of STD telephone calls between Conondale and Kingaroy.
* Media representation of the area all based on the coast not in Kingaroy.
* If, as is likely, the local Member is not keen to come to us, we will have to go to him. Where is the quick, safe road to Kingaroy?
* If present proposal becomes fact, two electoral offices should be maintained by Member.
* Interest of a representative in Kingaroy in the issues of our local area would be limited.

Education:

* Local Member in Kingaroy has no knowledge of individual school communities.
* Schools can’t easily get together.
* Children may be in different electorates i.e. high school and primary children.
* The costs associated with children being involved in small school activities such as sports, Tournament of Minds, etc., and travelling to participate in such activities within their district would be exorbitant.

Waters:

* Rivers run different directions both sides of the hills ... totally different catchment areas i.e. Burnett/Mary.
* Residents in Nanango pay for all dam water, particularly farmers.
* Our catchment areas affect the Coast not the other side of the range. How will our rivers in the dam issues be affected if our power base is burned?
CONONDALE COMMUNITY FORUM 4 MAY 1999
RESPONSE TO AMENDMENTS TO
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SEAT OF NANANGO

2.

Primary Production:

* Agricultural focus is different ... nothing in common ... different type of country.
* Different river catchment.

Health:

* There is no Health Service from Kingaroy ... patients already travel from Kingaroy to the Sunshine Coast for treatment on the Sunshine Coast.
* All services for Conondale area are totally alienated from western sector of the electorate.

Local Government:

* State Member in Kingaroy would have no idea of situations governed by Coastal local authorities.

Law:

* Crime management of inland areas are totally different to that of Coastal communities. This electorate covers two geographically separated areas, making difficult management problems.
* Will our police numbers decrease in size?
* Respond to the proposed changes by not voting or voting in an electorate of your choice.

Transport:

* I cannot see a State Representative, based in another geographical area, to be in a position to know or care about our roads and transport needs on this side of the range.
* Long way to visit local Member ... quicker to drive to Brisbane to Parliament House.

Employment:

* The employment issues of the Nanango electorate will not be reflected upon on the Conondale side of the range.
involved in any employment opportunities or initiatives created in the bulk of the Nanango electorate.
QUEENSLAND REDISTRIBUTION COMMISSION

Please accept this document from me and treat it as my official objection to the Electorate Redistribution of the Warrego District.

I object most strongly to the proposed redistribution for the following reasons:

1. I believe that the Commission has not seriously considered Charleville’s connection to the East Coast. Presently nearly all Government direction, information and departmental contacts come from Roma or Brisbane. The current boundaries allow us to have a say through these easily accessible avenues in regard to our future. I feel the new proposed boundary will hinder our voice in this regard. I also feel that this applies to both transport and freight facilities which run the same eastern route from Brisbane e.g. If Charleville needs Government Representation regarding rail facilities I believe we would be disadvantaged because of the proposed future Parliament Members offices lie on the Longreach to Rockhampton line causing a conflict of interest.

2. It is my belief that the commissions’ computer has worked with only population figures with no regard to geographical distances and productivity of the areas involved. I feel that Charleville will be chopped out of the Warrego Electorate and stuck with Gregory to make up numbers at the expense of our needs and access to facilities.

Please consider:
1. Adding 34,000 km² to Gregory Electorate is a large piece of Queensland for the minister to cover (it is not a drive from the Sunshine Coast to Brisbane). I feel Charleville will be disadvantaged due to the geographical remoteness of our town. In fact we will not be close to or within the heavier populated areas of the Gregory Electorate where at present I am situated in an area where towns are of approximately the same population and share the same geographical needs. We presently share and compete on a common basis for access to existing facilities - which is definitely more preferable.

2. I would like the Commission to consider moving the boundaries north not south to keep the electorate of Warrego uniform with its town and Councils having similar population and needs. Tacking a large, sparsely populated area on to the extreme boundary edge of an electorate that has its most heavily populated areas over 600km away would critically hinder the smaller populated areas in all Government matters. (No equality due to different needs of the areas within the proposed Gregory Boundary changes.)

3. As Warrego electors' needs will remain, their demand for direct access to their member will not diminish. Therefore, it is going to cost the Member (taxpayer) considerable extra cost in travel and accommodation. Therefore, again, it would make economic sense to allow a third electoral office in Charleville. In the event of boundary changes going ahead this would be imperative.

Thanking you for the opportunity to appeal against these changes.

QRC | OBJ 879
From: "Bruce Head" <babahead@esprov.com.au>
To: ECQ.0EQ(ECQ)
Date: Mon, May 10, 1999 5:44 pm
Subject: Fw: Objection to Proposed Boundaries

-----Original Message-----
From: Bruce Head <babahead@esprov.com.au>
To: qld elec comm <qld elec comm>
Date: Monday, 10 May 1999 17:34
Subject: Objection to Proposed Boundaries

Springvale
Brigalow, 4412.

Qld Redistribution Commission
160 Mary St
Brisbane, 4000.

To Whom it May Concern,

I wish to lodge my objection to the proposed boundaries of the proposed seat of Darling Downs ,Warrego and Cunningham.

1. The division of Darling Downs and Cunningham divides the actual Darling Downs down the middle, but does not include the area of Chinchilla on the West or Allora to Warwick on the South-east. It does not divide the Downs into Northern and southern, or eastern and western, but is a strange boundary with respect to the eastern edge of Cunningham in part of Toowoomba and its Northern boundary only following the Cecil Plains highway for a while.

2. Under Section 46 of Part 3 of the Act it States that there should be a community of Economic, Social and Regional interests and the redistribution should bear in mind communication and travel within each proposed district. Of course, any relevant physical features should be taken into account. - it is my belief that your proposed boundaries for Warrego, the Darling Downs and Cunningham fall in these respects.

3. With respect to Warrego, you go from areas in the south and west where isolation, poor telecommunications and mail services, long distances from service towns and the larger centres are issues, whereas on the north east it is a closely settled area within a short drive from major service towns, three and five times a week mail services and very efficient phone lines. With respect to travel, most people on the Northern parts of the electorate travel east to Dalby and Toowoomba for many services when they dont use the local towns of Roma, Miles, Chinchilla. I believe a fair area of the south and West uses Goondiwindi as Major service centre. Most of the travel is focussed on the East West highways.

With respect to Economic issues, these are best characterised by the land use and industries common to the areas. The North-East of the electorate is intensive agriculture and has been considered a part of the Darling Downs for years. The vast part of the electorate of Warrego is extensive pastoral holdings and on the east and north parts there is large areas of mixed sheep, cattle and grain enterprises.

Solutions:

Have a seat of Western Downs retained which gains some population from the Western parts of Cunningham and the new seat of Darling Downs, or Wandoan - Taroom. Have a seat of Eastern and southern Downs.

If you still wish to destroy Western Downs then include Chinchilla in the new seat of Darling Downs to reflect its traditional position in the "true Darling Downs." Extra population for Warrego might be obtained around Goondiwindi or Charleville.

Yours faithfully
Bruce Allan Head
0 May 1999

The Commissioner  
Queensland Redistribution Commission  
Locked Bag 3300  
BRISBANE QLD 9001

Dear Sir,

I wish to lodge an objection to the proposal to include the seaside suburbs of Palm Cove and Clifton Beach in the State Electorate of Cook.

As a resident of Palm Cove / Clifton Beach, I fail to see what possible community of interest I would have with the electorate of Cook which is based on Cape York Peninsula and Torres Strait.

Currently Palm Cove and Clifton Beach are in the State Electorate of Barron River, and that is where I would prefer them to stay.

This electorate includes the rest of the seaside suburbs which make up the Northern Beaches area of Cairns and I can see no sense in splitting this geographical area.

I urge you to please reconsider your proposal and return both Palm Cove and Clifton Beach into the electorate of Barron River.

Yours sincerely

M. V. ASHWORTH
... May 1999

The Commissioner
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

Dear Sir,

I wish to lodge an objection to the proposal to include the beachside suburbs of Palm Cove and Clifton Beach in the State Electorate of Cook.

As a resident of Palm Cove / Clifton Beach, I fail to see what possible community of interest I would have with the electorate of Cook which is based on Cape York Peninsula and Torres Strait.

Currently Palm Cove and Clifton Beach are in the State Electorate of Barron River, and that is where I would prefer them to stay.

This electorate includes the rest of the beachside suburbs which make up the Northern Beaches area of Cairns and I can see no sense in splitting this geographical area.

I urge you to please reconsider your proposal and return both Palm Cove and Clifton Beach into the electorate of Barron River.

Yours sincerely

[Signature]

T. S. ASHWORTH

10 MAY 1999
0 May 1999

The Commissioner
Queensland Redistribution Commission
Locked Bag 3300
BRISBANE QLD 9001

Dear Sir,

I wish to lodge an objection to the proposal to include the beachside suburbs of Palm Cove and Clifton Beach in the State Electorate of Cook.

As a resident of Palm Cove / Clifton Beach, I fail to see what possible community of interest I would have with the electorate of Cook which is based on Cape York Peninsula and Torres Strait.

Currently Palm Cove and Clifton Beach are in the State Electorate of Barron River, and that is where I would prefer them to stay.

This electorate includes the rest of the beachside suburbs which make up the Northern Beaches area of Cairns and I can see no sense in splitting this geographical area.

I urge you to please reconsider your proposal and return both Palm Cove and Clifton Beach into the electorate of Barron River.

Yours sincerely

T. J. Ashworth
Dear Commissioner,

This is to object to the proposed redistribution of boundaries where it refers to the part of Mulgrave now being considered for annexation to the Tableland Electorate.

The Mulgrave electorate has served us well and we are happy with the boundaries as they stand. The proposed redistribution will split the tightly knitted rural population effectively dispossessing those living at Waugh Pocket, Whoopen Creek, Bartle Frere and Stager Road. Many farmers live in one area, but farm on another hence their farming area will be in Mulgrave and their residential area in the Tableland electorate.

The Bellenden Ker range is a natural barrier between the two highly different electorates and it would be detrimental to split the constituents of this area, most of whom send their children to Babinda State School which will remain in the Mulgrave electorate.

Yours faithfully,

Adam Budge
Lot 1 Stager Road
Babinda 4861

Jill Yeoman
Lot 1 Stager Road
Babinda 4861

PH: 4067 2020

RECEIVED